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Abstract. The object of the paper is to study some properties for $K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)$
belonging to some class by applying Jack's lemma.

## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ be denote the class of all analytic functions $f$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, n \in N=\{1,2,3, \cdots\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the punctured unit disc $E=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: 0<|z|<1\}$. Let $S$ denote the subclass of $A$ which consists of functions of the form (1) that are univalent and normalized by conditions $f(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=1$ in $E$.

Recently Komatu [6] introduced a certain integral operator $K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)=\frac{c^{\delta}}{\Gamma(\delta)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{c-2}\left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{c-1} f(t z) d t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$c>0, \delta \geq 0$ and $z \in E$.
Thus, if $f \in A$ is of the form (1) then it is easily seen from (2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{c}{c+n-1}\right)^{\delta} a_{n} z^{n}, a>0, \delta \geq 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that
(i). for $c=1$ and $\delta=k$ ( $k$ is any integer), the multiple transformation $K_{1}^{\delta} f(z)=$ $I^{k} f(z)$ was studied by Flett [1].
(ii). for $c=1$ and $\delta=-k\left(k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right)$, the differential operator $K_{1}^{-k} f(z)=D^{k} f(z)$ was studied by Salagean [7].

[^0](iii). for $c=2$ and $\delta=k$ ( $k$ is any integer), the operators $K_{2}^{k} f(z)=K^{k} f(z)$ was studied by Uralegaddi and Somanatha [9].
(iv). for $c=2$, the multiple transformation $K_{2}^{\delta} f(z)=K^{\delta} f(z)$ was studied by Jung et al. [3].
In the following definition, we introduce a new class of analytic functions containing a integral operator of equation (3).
Definition 1.1. Let a function $f \in A$. Then $f \in K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)$ if and only if
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}\right\}>\beta, z \in E, 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Let $f$ and $g$ be analytic in $E$. Then $f$ is said to be subordinate to $g$ if there exists an analytic function $\omega$ satisfying $\omega(0)=0$ and $\omega(z)<1$, such that $f(z)=$ $g(\omega z), z \in E$. We denote this subordination as $f(z) \prec g(z)$ or $(f \prec g), z \in E$.

The basic idea in proving our result is the following lemma due to Jack [2] (also, due to Miller and Mocannu [4])
Lemma 1.2. Let $\omega(z)$ be analytic in $E$ with $\omega(0)=0$. Then if $|\omega(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z|=r$ at a point $\left.z_{0}\right]$ inE then we have $z_{0} \omega^{\prime}(z)=$ $k \omega\left(z_{0}\right)$, where $k \geq 1$ is a real number.

## 2. Main Results

In the present paper, we follow similar works done by Shireishi and Owa [8] and Ochiai et al. [5], we derive the following result.
Theorem 2.1. If $f \in A$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}\right\}<\frac{\beta-3}{2(\beta-1)}, z \in E
$$

for some $\beta(-1<\beta \leq 0)$ then

$$
\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1+\beta z}{1-z}, \quad z \in E .
$$

This implies that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}\right\}>\frac{1-\beta}{2}
$$

Proof. Let us define the function $\omega(z)$ by

$$
\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}=\frac{1-\beta \omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)},(\omega(z) \neq 1)
$$

Clearly, $\omega(z)$ is analytic in $E$ and $\omega(0)=0$. We want to prove that $|\omega(z)|<1$ in $E$. Since

$$
\frac{z\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}=\frac{-\beta z \omega^{\prime}(z)}{1-\beta \omega(z)}+\frac{z \omega^{\prime}(z)}{1-\omega(z)}+1
$$

we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}\right\} & =\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{-\beta z \omega^{\prime}(z)}{1-\beta \omega(z)}+\frac{z \omega^{\prime}(z)}{1-\omega(z)}+1\right\} \\
& <\frac{\beta-3}{2(\beta-1)},(z \in E)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $-1<\beta \leq 0$. If there exists a point $z_{0} \in E$ such that

$$
\max _{|z| \leq\left|z_{0}\right|}|\omega(z)|=\left|\omega\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1
$$

then Lemma 1.2, gives us that $\omega\left(z_{0}\right)=e^{i \theta}$ and $z_{0} \omega^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k \omega\left(z_{0}\right), k \geq 1$.
Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{z_{0}\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f\left(z_{0}\right)} & =\frac{-\beta z_{0} \omega^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{1-\beta \omega\left(z_{0}\right)}+\frac{z_{0} \omega^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{1-\omega\left(z_{0}\right)}+1 \\
& =1+\frac{k}{1-e^{i \theta}}-\frac{k}{1-\beta e^{i \theta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{1-\omega\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{1-e^{i \theta}}\right\}=\frac{1}{2} \\
& \text { and } \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{1-\beta \omega\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\}=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{1-\beta e^{i \theta}}\right\}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1-\beta^{2}}{2\left(1+\beta^{2}-2 \beta \cos \theta\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z_{0}\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\}=1-\frac{k\left(\beta^{2}-1\right)}{2\left(1+\beta^{2}-2 \beta \cos \theta\right)}
$$

This implies that $-1<\beta \leq 0$,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z_{0}\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\} \geq 1+\frac{\left(1-\beta^{2}\right)}{2(\beta-1)^{2}}=\frac{\beta-3}{2(\beta-1)}
$$

This contradicts the condition in the theorem. Then there is no $z_{0} \in E$ such that $\left|\omega\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1$ for all $z \in E$, that is

$$
\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}<\frac{1+\beta z}{1-z}, \quad z \in E
$$

Further more, since

$$
\omega(z)=\frac{\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}-1}{\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}-\beta}, z \in E
$$

and $|\omega(z)|<1, \quad(z \in E)$, we conclude that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}\right\}>\frac{1-\beta}{2}
$$

Taking $\beta=0$ in the Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 2.2. If $f \in A$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}\right\}>\frac{3}{2}, z \in E
$$

then

$$
\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z}{z} \prec \frac{1}{1-z}, z \in E
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}\right\}>\frac{1}{2}, z \in E
$$

Theorem 2.3. If $f \in A$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}\right\}>\frac{3 \beta-1}{2(\beta-1)}, z \in E
$$

for some $\beta(-1<\beta \leq 0)$ then

$$
\frac{z}{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, z \in E
$$

and

$$
\left|\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}-\frac{1}{1-\beta}\right|<\frac{1}{1-\beta}, z \in E
$$

This implies that $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}\right\}>0, z \in E$.
Proof. Let us define the function $\omega(z)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z}{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}=\frac{1-\beta \omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)}, \omega(z) \neq 1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have $\omega(z)$ is analytic in $E$ and $\omega(0)=0$. We want to prove that $|\omega(z)|<1$ in $E$. Differenting equation (5), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{z\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)} & =\frac{-z \omega^{\prime}(z)}{1-\omega(z)}+\frac{\beta z \omega^{\prime}(z)}{1-\beta \omega(z)}+1 \\
\Rightarrow \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}\right\} & =\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{-z \omega^{\prime}(z)}{1-\omega(z)}+\frac{\alpha z \omega^{\prime}(z)}{1-\beta \omega(z)}+1\right\} \\
& >\frac{3 \beta-1}{2(\beta-1)}, \quad z \in E,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $(-1<\beta \leq 0)$. If there exists a point $\left(z_{0} \in E\right)$ such that Lemma 1.2, gives us that $\omega\left(z_{0}\right)=e^{i \theta}$ and $z_{0} \omega^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k \omega\left(z_{0}\right), k \geq 1$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{z_{0}\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f\left(z_{0}\right)} & =\frac{-z_{0} \omega^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{1-\omega\left(z_{0}\right)}+\frac{\beta z_{0} \omega^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{1-\beta \omega\left(z_{0}\right)}+1 \\
& =1-\frac{k}{1-e^{i \theta}}+\frac{k}{1-\beta e^{i \theta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z_{0}\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\}=1+\frac{k\left(\beta^{2}-1\right)}{2\left(1+\beta^{2}-2 \beta \cos \theta\right)}
$$

This implies that, for $-1<\alpha \leq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z_{0}\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\} & =1-\frac{k\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)}{2\left(1+\alpha^{2}-2 \alpha \cos \theta\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{3 \alpha-1}{2(\alpha-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradicts the condition in the theorem.
Hence, there is no $z_{0} \in E$ such that $\left|\omega\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1$ for all $z \in E$, that is

$$
\frac{z}{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, z \in E .
$$

Furthermore, since

$$
\omega(z)=\frac{1-\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}}{1-\frac{\beta K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}}, z \in E
$$

and $|\omega(z)|<1,(z \in E)$ we conclude that

$$
\left|\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}-\frac{1}{1-\beta}\right|<\frac{1}{1-\beta}, \quad z \in E
$$

which implies that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}\right\}>0, z \in E
$$

We complete the proof of the theorem.
By setting $\beta=0$ in Theorem 2.3, we readily obtain the following
Corollary 2.4. If $f \in A$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}\right\}>\frac{1}{2}, z \in E
$$

then

$$
\frac{z}{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)} \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}, z \in E
$$

and

$$
\left|\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}-1\right|<1, z \in E
$$

Theorem 2.5. If $f \in A$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}\right\}<\frac{\beta(2-\gamma)-(2+\gamma)}{2(\beta-1)}, z \in E
$$

for some $\beta(-1<\beta \leq 0$ and $0<\beta \leq 1$ then

$$
\left(\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \prec \frac{1+\beta z}{1-z}, z \in E
$$

Then implies that

$$
\left(\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}>\frac{1-\beta}{2}, z \in E
$$

Proof. Let us define the function $\omega(z)$ by

$$
\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}=\left(\frac{1-\beta \omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)}\right)^{\gamma}, \omega(z) \neq 1
$$

Clearly, $\omega(z)$ is analytic in $E$ and $\omega(0)=0$. We want to prove that $|\omega(z)|<1$ in $E$. Since

$$
\frac{z\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}=\gamma\left(\frac{z \omega^{\prime}(z)}{1-\omega(z)}-\frac{\beta z \omega^{\prime}(z)}{1-\beta \omega(z)}\right)+1
$$

We see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}\right\} & =\operatorname{Re}\left\{\gamma\left(\frac{z \omega^{\prime}(z)}{1-\omega(z)}-\frac{\beta z \omega^{\prime}(z)}{1-\beta \omega(z)}\right)+1\right\} \\
& <\frac{\beta(2-\gamma)-(2+\gamma)}{2(\beta-1)}, z \in E
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\beta(-1<\beta \leq 0)$ and $0<\gamma \leq 1$. If there exists a point $\left(z_{0} \in E\right)$ such that

$$
\max _{|z|<\left|z_{0}\right|}|\omega(z)|=\left|\omega\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1
$$

then by Lemma 1.2 , gives us that $\omega\left(z_{0}\right)=e^{i \theta}$ and $z_{0} \omega^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=k \omega\left(z_{0}\right), k \geq 1$.
Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{z_{0}\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f\left(z_{0}\right)} & =\gamma\left(\frac{z_{0} \omega^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{1-\omega\left(z_{0}\right)}-\frac{\beta z_{0} \omega^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{1-\beta \omega\left(z_{0}\right)}\right)+1 \\
& =1+\frac{k}{1-e^{i \theta}}-\frac{k}{1-\beta e^{i \theta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z_{0}\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\}=1+\frac{\gamma k\left(1-\beta^{2}\right)}{2\left(1+\beta^{2}-2 \beta \cos \theta\right)} .
$$

Thus implies that, for $\beta(-1<\beta \leq 0)$ and $0<\gamma \leq 1$

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z_{0}\left(K_{c}^{\delta} f\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{\prime}}{K_{c}^{\delta} f\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\} \geq \frac{\beta(2-\gamma)-(2+\gamma)}{2(\beta-1)}
$$

This contradicts the condition in the theorem.
Hence, there is no $z_{0} \in E$ such that $\left|\omega\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1$ for all $z \in E$, that is

$$
\left(\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \prec \frac{1-\beta z}{1-z}, z \in E .
$$

Furthermore, since

$$
\omega(z)=\frac{\left(\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}-1}{\left(\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}-\beta}
$$

and $|\omega(z)|<1, \quad(z \in E)$, we conclude that

$$
\left(\frac{K_{c}^{\delta} f(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}>\frac{1-\beta}{2}, z \in E
$$

we complete the proof of the theorem.
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