Journal of Fractional Calculus and Applications Vol. 12(1) Jan. 2021, pp. 164-171 ISSN: 2090-5858. http://math-frac.oreg/Journals/JFCA/

# FEKETE-SZEGÖ INEQUALITY FOR CERTAIN CLASSES OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

GAGANDEEP SINGH, GURCHARANJIT SINGH, HARJINDER SINGH

ABSTRACT. Close-to-convex functions and quasi-convex functions are of great importance in geometric function theory. In the present investigation, the authors study the subclass  $C_1$  of close-to-convex functions and the subclasses C' and  $C'_1$  of quasi convex functions in the open unit disc  $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . The sharp upper bounds of the functional  $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ ,  $\mu$  real, for the functions of the form  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  belonging to these classes are provided. This work will pave the way to investigate the upper bound of the Fekete-Szegö functional for some other subclasses of close-to-convex and quasi-convex functions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let A denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \tag{1}$$

which are analytic in the unit disc  $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . Let S be the class of functions of the form (1) which are analytic univalent in E.

We shall concentrate on the coefficient problem for the class S and certain of its subclasses. In 1916, Bieberbach [3] proved that  $|a_2| \leq 2$  for  $f(z) \in S$  as a corollary to an elementary area theorem. He conjectured that, for each function  $f(z) \in S$ ,  $|a_n| \leq n$ ; equality holds for the Koebe function  $k(z) = z/(1-z)^2$ , which maps the unit disc E onto the entire complex plane minus the slit along the negative real axis from  $-\frac{1}{4}$  to  $-\infty$ . De Branges [5] solved the Bieberbach conjecture in 1984. The contribution of Löwner [10] in proving that  $|a_3| \leq 3$  for the class S was huge.

With the known estimates  $|a_2| \leq 2$  and  $|a_3| \leq 3$ , it was natural to seek some relation between  $a_3$  and  $a_2^2$  for the class S. This thought prompted Fekete and Szegö [6] and they used Löwner's method to prove the following well-known result for the class S:

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45, 30C50.

Key words and phrases. Univalent functions, starlike functions, convex functions, close to convex functions, bounded functions.

Submitted April 30, 2020. Revised May 24, 2020.

If  $f(z) \in S$ , then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \begin{cases} 3 - 4\mu & \text{if } \mu \le 0, \\ 1 + 2 \exp\left(\frac{-2\mu}{1 - \mu}\right) & \text{if } 0 \le \mu \le 1, \\ 4\mu - 3 & \text{if } \mu \ge 1. \end{cases}$$
(2)

The inequality (2) plays a very important role in determining estimates of higher coefficients for some subclasses of S (see Chichra [4], Babalola [2]).

Next, we define some subclasses of S and obtain analogous of (2).

We denote by  $S^*$  the class of univalent starlike functions  $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in A$  and satisfying the condition

$$\Re\left(\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)}\right) > 0, \ z \in E.$$
(3)

We denote by K the class of convex univalent functions  $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n \in A$ which satisfy the condition

$$\Re\left(\frac{(zh'(z))'}{h'(z)}\right) > 0, \ z \in E.$$
(4)

A function  $f(z) \in A$  is said to be close to convex if there exists a function  $g(z) \in S^*$  such that

$$\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)}\right) > 0, \ z \in E.$$
(5)

The class of close to convex functions is denoted by C and was introduced by Kaplan [8], who showed that all close to convex functions are univalent. The immediate shoot of C are its following subclasses:

$$C_1 = \left\{ f(z) \in A : \Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{h(z)}\right) > 0, \ h(z) \in K, \ z \in E \right\},\tag{6}$$

$$C' = \left\{ f(z) \in A : \Re\left(\frac{(zf'(z))'}{g'(z)}\right) > 0, \ g(z) \in S^*, \ z \in E \right\},\tag{7}$$

$$C_1' = \left\{ f(z) \in A : \Re\left(\frac{(zf'(z))'}{h'(z)}\right) > 0, \ h(z) \in K, \ z \in E \right\}.$$
 (8)

Some specific examples for the functions belonging to the classes C,  $C_1$ , C' and  $C'_1$  are  $f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$ ,

$$f_{1}(z) = \frac{3}{16\sqrt{2}} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{10\sqrt{2}}{3}z \right)^{\frac{8}{5}} - 1 \right],$$
  

$$f_{2}(z) = \int_{0}^{z} \frac{3\sqrt{5}}{44z} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{29}{3\sqrt{5}}z \right)^{\frac{44}{29}} - 1 \right] dz$$
  
and  

$$f_{3}(z) = \int_{0}^{z} \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{28z} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{19}{3\sqrt{3}}z \right)^{\frac{28}{19}} - 1 \right] dz$$
 respectively.

Abdel Gawad and Thomas [1] investigated the class  $C_1$  and also obtained (2) for  $-\infty < \mu \leq 1$  (although this result seems to be doubtful).

Let U be the class of analytic bounded functions of the form

$$w(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n z^n, \ z \in E,$$
(9)

and satisfying the conditions w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1. It is known (see [11]) that

$$|d_1| \le 1, \, |d_2| \le 1 - |d_1|^2. \tag{10}$$

We shall apply the subordination principle due to Rogosinski [12], which states that if  $f(z) \prec F(z)$ , then  $f(z) = F(w(z)), w(z) \in U$  (where  $\prec$  stands for subordination).

Hummel [7] proved a conjecture of V. Singh that  $|c_3 - c_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{3}$  for the class K. Keogh and Merkes [9] obtained the estimates (2) for the classes  $S^*$ , K and C. Estimates (2) for the classes  $C_1$ , C' and  $C'_1$  have been waiting to be determined for the last 60 years.

**Lemma 1** Let  $g(z) \in S^*$ . Then

$$|b_3 - \frac{3\mu}{4}b_2^2| \le \begin{cases} 3(1-\mu) & \text{if } \mu \le \frac{2}{3}, \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \le \mu \le \frac{4}{3}, \\ 3(\mu-1) & \text{if } \mu \ge \frac{4}{3}. \end{cases}$$

This lemma is a direct consequence of the result of Keogh and Merkes [9] which states that for  $g(z) \in S^*$ ,

$$|b_3 - \mu b_2^2| \le \begin{cases} 3 - 4\mu & \text{if } \mu \le \frac{1}{2}, \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \le \mu \le 1, \\ 4\mu - 3 & \text{if } \mu \ge 1. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 2** Let  $h(z) \in K$ . Then

$$|c_3 - \frac{3\mu}{4}c_2^2| \le \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{3}{4}\mu & \text{if } \mu \le \frac{8}{9}, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \frac{8}{9} \le \mu \le \frac{16}{9}, \\ \frac{3}{4}\mu - 1 & \text{if } \mu \ge \frac{16}{9}. \end{cases}$$

This lemma is a direct consequence of a result of Keogh and Merkes [9], which states that for  $h(z) \in K$ ,

$$|c_3 - \mu c_2^2| \le \begin{cases} 1 - \mu & \text{if } \mu \le \frac{2}{3}, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \le \mu \le \frac{4}{3}, \\ \mu - 1 & \text{if } \mu \ge \frac{4}{3}. \end{cases}$$

Unless mentioned otherwise, throughout the paper we assume the following notations:

$$w(z) \in U, \ z \in E.$$
  
For  $0 < c < 1$ , we write  $w(z) = z(\frac{c+z}{1+cz})$  so that  $\frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} = 1 + 2cz + 2z^2 + \dots, \ z \in E.$ 

### 2. Main Results

**Theorem 1** Let  $f(z) \in C'$ . Then

$$|a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{19}{9} - \frac{9\mu}{4} & \text{if } \mu \leq \frac{16}{27}, \\ \frac{64}{81\mu} - \frac{5}{9} & \text{if } \frac{16}{27} \leq \mu \leq \frac{2}{3}, \\ \frac{5}{9} + \frac{(8 - 9\mu)^{2}}{81\mu} & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \mu \leq \frac{8}{9}, \\ \frac{5}{9} + \frac{(9\mu - 8)^{2}}{16 - 9\mu} & \text{if } \frac{8}{9} \leq \mu \leq \frac{32}{27}, \\ \frac{5\mu}{4} - \frac{7}{9} & \text{if } \frac{32}{27} \leq \mu \leq \frac{4}{3}, \\ \frac{9\mu}{4} - \frac{19}{9} & \text{if } \mu \geq \frac{4}{3}. \end{cases}$$
(11)

These results are sharp.

**Proof.** By definition of C',

$$\frac{(zf'(z))'}{g'(z)} = \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)}$$

which on expansion yields

 $1 + 4a_2z + 9a_3z^2 + \dots = (1 + 2b_2z + 3b_3z^2 + \dots)(1 + 2d_1z + 2(d_2 + d_1^2)z^2 + \dots).$ Identifying terms in the above expansion,

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{2}(b_2 + d_1), \tag{12}$$

$$a_3 = \frac{b_3}{3} + \frac{4}{9}b_2d_1 + \frac{2}{9}(d_2 + d_1^2).$$
(13)

From (12) and (13) and using (10), it is easily established that

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \frac{1}{3} \left| b_3 - \frac{3}{4} \mu b_2^2 \right| + \frac{1}{18} |8 - 9\mu| |b_2| |d_1| + \frac{1}{36} (8(1 - |d_1|^2) + |8 - 9\mu| |d_1|^2).$$
(14)

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \frac{2}{9} + \frac{1}{3} \left| b_3 - \frac{3}{4} \mu b_2^2 \right| + \frac{1}{18} |8 - 9\mu| xy + \frac{1}{36} (|8 - 9\mu| - 8) x^2, \quad (15)$$
  
where  $x = |d_1| \le 1$  and  $y = |b_2| \le 2$ .

**Case I.** Suppose that  $\mu \leq \frac{2}{3}$ . By Lemma 1, (15) can be written as

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \frac{2}{9} + (1 - \mu) + \frac{1}{9}(8 - 9\mu)x - \frac{\mu}{4}x^2 = H_0(x), \text{ say,}$$

and

$$H_0'(x) = \frac{1}{9}(8-9\mu) - \frac{\mu}{2}x, \quad H_0''(x) = -\frac{\mu}{2}.$$

**Subcase I(i)**. For  $\mu \leq 0$ , since  $x \geq 0$  we have  $H'_0(x) > 0$ .  $H_0(x)$  is an increasing function in [0, 1] and  $\max H_0(x) = H_0(1) = \frac{19}{9} - \frac{9\mu}{4}$ . Subcase I(ii). Suppose  $0 < \mu \leq \frac{2}{3}$ .  $H'_0(x) = 0$  when  $x = \frac{2(8-9\mu)}{9\mu} = x_0$ .  $x_0 > 1$  if and only if  $\mu < \frac{16}{27}$  and we have  $maxH_0(x) = H_0(1) = \frac{19}{9} - \frac{9\mu}{4}$ .

Combining the above two subcases, we obtain first result of (11).

**Subcase I(iii).** For  $\frac{16}{27} \le \mu \le \frac{2}{3}(x_0 < 1)$ , since  $H_0''(x) < 0$ , therefore we have  $\max H_0(x) = H_0(x_0) = \frac{64}{81\mu} - \frac{5}{9}$ .

**Case II.** Suppose that  $\frac{2}{3} \le \mu \le \frac{8}{3}$ , then by Lemma 1,(15) takes the form

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \frac{2}{9} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{9}|8 - 9\mu|x - \frac{\mu}{4}x^2.$$

Subcase II(i).  $\frac{2}{3} < \mu < \frac{8}{9}$ . Under the above condition, from (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{2}{9} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{9}(8 - 9\mu)x - \frac{\mu}{4}x^2 = H_1(x), \text{say.} \\ H_1'(x) &= \frac{1}{9}(8 - 9\mu) - \frac{\mu}{2}x, H_1''(x) = -\frac{\mu}{2} < 0 \end{aligned}$$

 $H_1'(x) = 0$  implies that  $x = \frac{2(8-9\mu)}{9\mu} = x_1$  and  $\max H_1(x) = H_1(x_1) = \frac{5}{9} + \frac{(8-9\mu)^2}{81\mu}$ .

Subcase II(ii). For  $\frac{8}{9} \le \mu \le \frac{32}{27}$ , by Lemma 1, (15) reduces to

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \frac{5}{9} + (9\mu - 8)x + \frac{(16 - 9\mu)}{36}x^2 = H_2(x), \text{ say}$$
$$H_2'(x) = (9\mu - 8) - \frac{1}{18}(9\mu - 16)x, H_2''(x) < 0.$$

 $H'_{2}(x)$  vanishes when  $x = \frac{2(9\mu - 8)}{(16 - 9\mu)} = x_{2} < 1$  and  $\max H_{2}(x) = H_{2}(x_{2}) = \frac{5}{9} + \frac{(8 - 9\mu)^{2}}{(16 - 9\mu)}.$ 

Subcase II(iii).  $\frac{32}{27} \le \mu \le \frac{4}{3}$ . (15) can be expressed as

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \frac{5}{9} + \frac{1}{9}(9\mu - 8)x - \frac{(16 - 9\mu)}{36}x^2 = H_3(x), \text{ say.}$$
$$H_3'(x) = \frac{1}{9}(9\mu - 8) - \frac{1}{18}(16 - 9\mu)x.$$

 $H'_{3}(x) = 0$  yields  $x = \frac{2(9\mu - 8)}{(16 - 9\mu)} = x_{3} \ge 1$  and  $\max H_{3}(x) = H_{3}(1) = \frac{5\mu}{4} - \frac{7}{9}.$ 

**Case III.**  $\mu \geq \frac{4}{3}$ . By Lemma 1, (15) can be put in the form

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{2}{9} + (\mu - 1) + \frac{1}{9}(9\mu - 8)x - \frac{(16 - 9\mu)}{36}x^2 = H_4(x), \text{say.} \\ H_4^{'}(x) &= \frac{1}{9}(9\mu - 8) - \frac{1}{18}(16 - 9\mu)x \end{aligned}$$

which vanishes at  $x = \frac{2(9\mu - 8)}{(16 - 9\mu)} = x_4 \ge 1$  and therefore  $\max H_4(x) = H_4(1) =$  $\frac{9\mu}{4} - \frac{19}{9}$ .

The first and second inequalities of (11) coincide at  $\mu = \frac{16}{27}$  and each is equal to  $\frac{7}{9}$ .

The second and third inequalities of (11) coincide at  $\mu = \frac{2}{3}$  and each is equal to  $\frac{17}{27}$ . The third and fourth inequalities of (11) coincide at  $\mu = \frac{8}{9}$  and each is equal to  $\frac{5}{9}$ . The fourth and fifth inequalities of (11) coincide at  $\mu = \frac{32}{27}$  and each is equal to  $\frac{19}{27}$ . The fifth and last inequalities of (11) coincide at  $\mu = \frac{4}{3}$  and each is equal to  $\frac{8}{9}$ .

4

Results of (11) are sharp for the functions defined by their respective derivatives in order as follows:  $f'_{1}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \int_{0}^{z} \frac{(1+t)^{2}}{(1-t)^{4}} dt \right) \right].$ 

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(z) &= \frac{1}{z} \left[ \left( \int_0^z \frac{(1+t)(1+2ct+2t^2+...)}{(1-t)^3} dt \right) \right] \text{ where } c = \frac{2(8-9\mu)}{9\mu}. \\ f_2'(z) &= \frac{1}{z} \left[ \left( \int_0^z \frac{(1+t)(1+2dt+2t^2+...)}{(1-t)^3} dt \right) \right] \text{ where } d = \frac{2(8-9\mu)}{9\mu}. \\ f_3'(z) &= \frac{1}{z} \left[ \left( \int_0^z \frac{(1+t)(1+2et+2t^2+...)}{(1-t)^3} dt \right) \right] \text{ where } d = \frac{2(9\mu-8)}{9\mu}. \\ f_4'(z) &= \frac{1}{z} \left[ \left( \int_0^z \frac{(1+t)(1+2et+2t^2+...)}{(1-t)^3} dt \right) \right] \text{ where } e = \frac{2(9\mu-8)}{(16-9\mu)}. \\ f_5'(z) &= \frac{1}{z} \left[ \left( \int_0^z \left[ (1+\frac{29}{3\sqrt{5}}t)^{\frac{15}{29}} dt \right] \right) \right] \text{ where } |t| < \frac{3\sqrt{5}}{29}. \end{aligned}$$

$$f_{6}'(z) = f_{1}'(z)$$

Proof of the theorem is complete.

**Theorem 2** Let  $f(z) \in \overline{C'_1}$ . Then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \begin{cases} 1 - \mu & \text{if } \mu \le \frac{4}{9}, \\ \frac{16}{81\mu} + \frac{1}{9} & \text{if } \frac{4}{9} \le \mu \le \frac{8}{9}, \\ \frac{1}{3} + \frac{(9\mu - 8)^2}{36(16 - 9\mu)} & \text{if } \frac{8}{9} \le \mu \le \frac{4}{3}, \\ \frac{3\mu}{4} - \frac{5}{9} & \text{if } \frac{4}{3} \le \mu \le \frac{16}{9}, \\ \mu - 1 & \text{if } \mu \ge \frac{16}{9}. \end{cases}$$

The results are sharp.

**Proof.** Proceeding as in Theorem 1, we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \frac{2}{9} + \frac{1}{3}|c_3 - \frac{3}{4}\mu c_2^2| + \frac{1}{18}|8 - 9\mu||c_2||d_1| + \frac{1}{36}(|8 - 9\mu| - 8)|d_1|^2.$$
(16)

**Case I.** Suppose that  $\mu \leq \frac{8}{9}$ . By Lemma 2, and putting  $x = |d_1| \leq 1$  and  $y = |c_2| \leq 1,(16)$  reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{2}{9} + \frac{1}{3} \left( 1 - \frac{3\mu}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{18} (8 - 9\mu) xy - \frac{\mu}{4} x^2 \\ &= \left( \frac{5}{9} - \frac{\mu}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{18} (8 - 9\mu) x - \frac{\mu}{4} x^2 = H_6(x), \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$H_6'(x) = \frac{8 - 9\mu}{18} - \frac{\mu}{2}x, \quad H_6''(x) = -\frac{\mu}{2}.$$

When  $H'_6(x) = 0$ , we have  $8 - 9\mu = 9\mu x = 9\mu x_6$ , say.

**Subcase I(i).** For  $\mu \leq 0$ , since  $x \geq 0$  we have  $H'_6(x) \geq 0$ . Suppose  $\mu > 0$ . Since  $x \leq 1$ ,  $H'_6(x) \geq 4/9 - \mu > 0$  if and only if  $\mu < 4/9$ . Then for  $\mu < 4/9$ , we have  $H_6(x) \leq H_6(1) = 1 - \mu$ .

**Subcase I(ii).** Suppose that  $\frac{4}{9} \le \mu \le \frac{8}{9}$ . Then  $\max H_6(x) = H_6(x_6) = 16/81\mu + 1/9$ .

#### 170 GAGANDEEP SINGH, GURCHARANJIT SINGH, HARJINDER SINGH JFCA-2021/12(1)

**Case II**. Suppose that  $\frac{8}{9} \le \mu \le \frac{16}{9}$ . By Lemma 2 and (16),

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{18}(9\mu - 8)x - \frac{1}{36}(16 - 9\mu)x^2 = H_7(x)$$
, say

Then  $H'_7(x) = 0$  when  $x = (9\mu - 8)/(16 - 9\mu) = x_7$ , say, and  $H''_7(x) = -(16 - 9\mu)/18 < 0$ . Since  $x_7 \le 1$ , this is relevant only for  $\mu \le \frac{4}{3}$ .

**Subcase II(i)**. Suppose that  $\frac{8}{9} \le \mu \le \frac{4}{3}$ . Then

$$\max H_7(x) = H_7(x_7) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{(9\mu - 8)^2}{36(16 - 9\mu)}$$

**Subcase II(ii).** If  $\frac{4}{3} \leq \mu \leq \frac{16}{9}$ , then  $H'_7(x) \geq 0$ , so  $H_7(x)$  is a monotonically increasing function of x and max  $H_7(x) = H_7(1) = 3\mu/4 - 5/9$ .

**Case III.** Suppose that  $\mu \geq \frac{16}{9}$ . By Lemma 2, from (16),

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \frac{2}{9} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{3\mu}{4} - 1\right) + \frac{1}{18} (9\mu - 8)x + \frac{1}{36} (9\mu - 16)x^2 = H_8(x), \text{ say.}$$

We have  $H'_8(x) > 0$  and  $\max H_8(x) = H_8(1) = \mu - 1$ . This completes the proof

This completes the proof.

Extremal function  $f_1(z)$  for the first and the last results is defined by  $f'_1(z) = \frac{1}{z} \left[ \left( \int_0^z \frac{(1+t)}{(1-t)^2} dt \right) \right].$ 

Extremal function  $f_2(z)$  for the second bound is defined by  $f'_2(z) = \frac{1}{z} \left[ \left( \int_0^z \frac{(1+2ct+2t^2+...)}{(1-t)^2} dt \right) \right]$ , where  $c = \frac{(8-9\mu)}{9\mu}$ . Extremal function  $f_3(z)$  for the third bound is defined by  $f'_3(z) = \frac{1}{z} \left[ \left( \int_0^z \frac{(1+2ct+2t^2+...)}{(1-t^2)^2} dt \right) \right]$ , where  $c = \frac{(9\mu-8)}{16-9\mu}$ . Extremal function  $f_4(z)$  for the fourth bound is defined by  $f'_4(z) = \frac{1}{z} \left[ \left( \int_0^z (1+\frac{19t}{3\sqrt{3}})^{\frac{9}{19}} dt \right) \right]$ ,  $|t| \le \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{19}$ .

Proceeding as in Theorem 2 and using elementary calculus, we can easily prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3** Let  $f(z) \in C_1$ . Then

$$|a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{5}{3} - \frac{9\mu}{4} & \text{if } \mu \leq \frac{2}{9}, \\ \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{9\mu} & \text{if } \frac{2}{9} \leq \mu \leq \frac{2}{3}, \\ 1 - \frac{\mu}{4} + \frac{(3\mu - 2)^{2}}{12(4 - 3\mu)} & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq \mu \leq \frac{8}{9}, \\ \frac{7}{9} + \frac{(3\mu - 2)^{2}}{12(4 - 3\mu)} & \text{if } \frac{8}{9} \leq \mu \leq \frac{10}{9}, \\ \frac{7}{9} + 2(\mu - 1) & \text{if } \frac{10}{9} \leq \mu \leq \frac{16}{9}, \\ \frac{9\mu}{4} - \frac{5}{3} & \text{if } \mu \geq \frac{16}{9}. \end{cases}$$

,

The results are sharp. Extremal function  $f_1(z)$  for the first and the last results is defined by  $f_1(z) = \left[ \left( \int_0^z \frac{(1+t)}{(1-t)^2} dt \right) \right].$ 

Extremal function  $f_2(z)$  for the second bound is defined by  $f_2(z) = \left[ \left( \int_0^z \frac{(1+2ct+2t^2+...)}{(1-t)} dt \right) \right]$ , where  $c = \frac{(2-3\mu)}{3\mu}$ .

Extremal function  $f_3(z)$  for the third and fourth bound is defined by  $f_3(z) = \left[\left(\int_0^z \frac{(1+2ct+2t^2+...)}{(1-t)}dt\right)\right]$ , where  $c = \frac{(3\mu-2)}{2(4-3\mu)}$ .

Extremal function  $f_4(z)$  for the fifth bound is defined by  $f_4(z) = \left[ \left( \int_0^z (1 + \frac{10\sqrt{2}}{3}t)^{\frac{3}{5}} dt \right) \right]$ , where  $|t| \le \frac{3}{10\sqrt{2}}$ .

### References

- H.R. Abdel Gawad and D.K. Thomas, A subclass of close to convex functions, Publication De Lit' Institut Mathematique, 49(63), 1991, 61-66.
- [2] K.O. Babalola, The fifth and sixth coefficients of  $\alpha$  close to convex functions, Krajujevac J. Math., 30, 1909, 5-12.
- [3] L. Bieberbach, Über Koeffizienten derjenigen Potenzreihen, welche eine schlichte des Einheitskreises vermitteln, S. B. Press Akad, wiss, 38, 1916, 940-953.
- [4] P.N. Chichra, New subclasses of the class of close to convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 62, 1977, 37-43.
- [5] L.De Branges, A proof of Bieberbach conjecture, Acta Math., 154, 1985, 137-152.
- M. Fekete and G.Szegö, Eine Bemer Kung uber ungerade schlichte Functionen, J. London Math. Soc.8(1933), 85-89.
- [7] J. Hummel, The coefficient regions of starlike functions, Pacific J. Math., 7, 1957, 1381-1389.
- [8] W. Kaplan, Close to convex schlicht functions, Michigan Math journal., 1(1952), 169-185.
- [9] S.R. Keogh and E.P. Merkes, A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 20(1969), 8-12.
- [10] K. Löwner, Untersuchungen über schlichte konformen Abbildungen des Einheitskreises, Math. Ann., 89, 1923, 103-121.
- [11] Z. Nehari, Conformal Mapping, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1952.
- [12] W. W. Rogosinski, On subordinating functions, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 1939, 1-26.

GAGANDEEP SINGH

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KHALSA COLLEGE, AMRITSAR, PUNJAB, INDIA *E-mail address:* kamboj.gagandeep@yahoo.in

Gurcharanjit Singh

 $\label{eq:character} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Department of Mathematics, G.N.D.U. College, Chungh(Tarn Taran), Punjab, India $E-mail address: dhillongs82@yahoo.com } \end{array}$ 

HARJINDER SINGH

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GOVT. COLLEGE, MOHALI, PUNJAB, INDIA E-mail address: harjindpreet@gmail.com