

INTEGRAL TRANSFORMS OF GENERALIZED M -SERIES

DHEERANDRA SHANKER SACHAN, SHAILESH JALOREE

ABSTRACT. Integral transforms provide powerful operational methods for solving initial value problems and initial-boundary value problems for linear differential and integral equations. Keeping these features in mind, in this paper we have provided certain integral transforms of generalized M -series. The results are provided in terms of hypergeometric function and generalized Wright function.

1. INTRODUCTION

The generalized M -series [1] is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} {}_pM_q^{\alpha,\beta}(z) &= {}_pM_q^{\alpha,\beta}(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; z) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in C$, $z \in C$, $Re(\alpha) > 0$; $(a_i)_k$ ($i = \overline{1, p}$) and $(b_j)_k$ ($j = \overline{1, q}$) are Pochhammer symbols. The series (1) is defined when none of the parameters $(b_j)_k$ ($j = \overline{1, q}$) is a negative integer or zero; if any numerator parameter a_i is a negative integer or zero, then series terminates to a polynomial in z . The series (1) is convergent for all z if $p \leq q$; it is convergent for $|z| < \delta = \alpha^\alpha$ if $p = q + 1$ and divergent if $p > q + 1$. When $p = q + 1$ and $|z| = \delta$, the series is convergent on conditions depending on the parameters. The detailed account of the M -series can be found in the paper [1]

The generalized M -series is a special case of the Wright generalized hypergeometric function ${}_p\psi_q(z)$. The M -series has following relationship with various classical special functions and trigonometrical functions.

(i) When $\alpha = \beta = 1$ then (1) reduces to a generalized hypergeometric function [2]

$$\begin{aligned} {}_pM_q^{1,1}(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!} \\ &= {}_pF_q(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; z) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 33C20, 33C40, 33E12.

Key words and phrases. Beta transform, Laplace transform, K-transform, Varma transform, Hankel transform, Sumudu transform, Fractional Fourier transform, Mittag-Leffler function, Hypergeometric function, M -Series.

Submitted Feb. 5, 2020. Revised Jul. 12, 2020.

$$|z| < \infty \text{ if } p \leq q, |z| < 1 \text{ if } p = q + 1$$

(ii) When $p = q = 1, a = \rho \in C, b = 1$ then (1) reduces to generalized Mittag-Leffler function introduced by Prabhakar [3]

$${}_1M_1^{\alpha, \beta}(\rho; 1; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\rho)_k}{(1)_k} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} = E_{\alpha, \beta}^{\rho}(z) \quad (3)$$

$$Re(\rho) > 0, Re(\alpha) > 0, Re(\beta) > 0$$

(iii) When there are no upper and lower parameters ($p = q = 0$) then (1) reduces to generalized Mittag-Leffler function [4]

$${}_0M_0^{\alpha, \beta}(-; -; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} = E_{\alpha, \beta}(z) \quad (4)$$

$$Re(\alpha) > 0, Re(\beta) > 0$$

(iv) When there are no upper and lower parameters ($p = q = 0$) and $\alpha = \beta = 1$ then (1) reduces to exponential series

$${}_0M_0^{1,1}(-; -; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} = e^z \quad (5)$$

(v) When $p = 0, q = 1, b = \frac{3}{2}, \alpha = \beta = 1$ and z is replaced by $-\frac{z^2}{4}$ then (1) reduces to sine series

$$z {}_0M_1^{1,1}(-; \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{z^2}{4}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k z^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} = \sin z \quad (6)$$

(vi) When $p = 0, q = 1, b = \frac{1}{2}, \alpha = \beta = 1$ and z is replaced by $-\frac{z^2}{4}$ then (1) reduces to cosine series

$${}_0M_1^{1,1}(-; \frac{1}{2}; -\frac{z^2}{4}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k z^{2k}}{(2k)!} = \cos z \quad (7)$$

(vi) When $p = 1, q = 0$ and $\alpha = \beta = 1$ then (1) reduces to binomial series

$${}_1M_0^{1,1}(a; -; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k z^k}{k!} = (1-z)^{-a} \quad (8)$$

Wright generalized hypergeometric function [6, 7, 8] is defined by means of the series representation in the form

$$\begin{aligned} {}_p\psi_q(z) &= {}_p\psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_p, \alpha_p); \\ (b_q, \beta_q); \end{matrix} \middle| z \right] = {}_p\psi_q \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (a_p, \alpha_p); \\ (b_1, \beta_1), \dots, (b_q, \beta_q); \end{matrix} \middle| z \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma(a_j + \alpha_j k)}{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j + \beta_j k)} \frac{z^k}{k!} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Where $z \in C, a_j(j = 1, 2, \dots, p), b_j(j = 1, 2, \dots, q) \in C, \alpha_j \neq 0, \beta_j \neq 0$ and $\alpha_j(j = 1, 2, \dots, p), \beta_j(j = 1, 2, \dots, q) \in R$.

The conditions for the existence of the generalized Wright function ${}_p\psi_q(z)$ are

given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j - \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha_j \\ \delta &= \prod_{j=1}^p |\alpha_j|^{-\alpha_j} \prod_{j=1}^q |\beta_j|^{\beta_j} \\ \mu &= \sum_{j=1}^q b_j - \sum_{j=1}^p a_j + \frac{p-q}{2}\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

If $\Delta > -1$ then the series (9) is absolutely convergent for all $z \in C$ and also if $\Delta = -1$ then the series (9) is absolutely convergent for all values of $|z| < \delta$ and $|z| = \delta$, $Re(\mu) > \frac{1}{2}$.

Various properties and applications of (1) are studied by Saxena [5], Chouhan and Saraswat [9], Gehlot [10], Singh [11] Chouhan and Khan [12] Kumar and Saxena [13] Suthar et al.[14] Khan et al.[15] etc.

For our study of integral transforms of generalized M -Series, we need the following well known facts.

(i) Beta Transform (Sneddon [16])

The Beta (Euler) transform of the function $f(z)$ is defined by

$$B\{f(z); a, b\} = \int_0^1 z^{a-1} (1-z)^{b-1} f(z) dz, \quad Re(a) > 0, Re(b) > 0 \quad (11)$$

(ii) Laplace Transform (Mathai et al. [18], p.48, eq. 2.11)

The Laplace transform of the function $f(z)$ is defined by

$$F(s) = L\{f(z); s\} = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sz} f(z) dz, \quad Re(s) > 0 \quad (12)$$

(iii) K -Transform (Mathai et al. [18], p. 53, eq. 2.33)

The K -transform of function $f(z)$ is defined by the following integral equation

$$R_{\nu}\{f(x); p\} = g(p; \nu) = \int_0^{\infty} (px)^{\frac{1}{2}} K_{\nu}(px) f(x) dx \quad (13)$$

where p is a complex parameter and $K_{\nu}(z)$ represent a modified Bessel function of third kind.

(iv) Varma Transform (Mathai et al. [18], p.55, eq. 2.38)

The Varma transform of function $f(z)$ is defined by the following integral equation

$$V(f, k, m; s) = \int_0^{\infty} (sx)^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}sx\right) W_{k,m}(sx) f(x) dx \quad (14)$$

where $W_{k,m}(z)$ represents a Whittaker function defined by (Mathai et al.[18], p.55, eq. 2.39)

(v) Hankel Transform (Mathai et al. [18], p. 56, eq. 2.43)

The Hankel transform of function $f(z)$ is defined as

$$H_{\nu}\{f(x); p\} = g(p; \nu) = \int_0^{\infty} (px)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{\nu}(px) f(x) dx, \quad p > 0 \quad (15)$$

where $J_{\nu}(z)$ represents a Bessel function of first kind.

(vi) Sumudu Transform (Watugala[19] p. 38,eq. 12)

The Sumudu transform is defined as

$$G(u) = S[f(t)] = \int_0^{\infty} f(ut) e^{-t} dt, \quad u \in (-\tau_1, \tau_2) \quad (16)$$

over the set of functions

$$A = \{f(t) \in R | \exists M, \tau_1, \tau_2 > 0, |f(t)| < M e^{\frac{|t|}{\tau_j}}, \\ \text{if } t \in (-1)^j \times [0, \infty), j = 1, 2\}$$

(vii) Fractional Fourier Transform (Luchko et al.[20] p.460, eq.4)

The fractional Fourier transform of the order α , $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ is defined as

$$\hat{u}_{\alpha}(\omega) = \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha}[u](\omega) = \int_R e^{i\omega^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}z} u(z) dz \quad (17)$$

where u is a function belonging to the Lizorkin space of functions. On setting $\alpha = 1$, (17) reduces to conventional Fourier transform given by

$$\hat{u}(\omega) = \mathfrak{F}[u](\omega) = \int_R e^{i\omega z} u(z) dz \quad (18)$$

2. INTEGRAL TRANSFORMS OF GENERALIZED M -SERIES

In this section, several integral transforms like Laplace transform, Beta transform, Varma transform, K -transform, Hankel transform, Sumudu transform and fractional Fourier transform are discussed for the generalized M -Series under the following theorems.

Theorem 1 (Laplace Transform): If $\alpha, \beta, a, s \in C, Re(\alpha) > 0, Re(\beta) > 0, Re(s) > 0$ and $|as^{-\alpha}| < 1$ then there hold the following formula

$$\int_0^\infty z^{\beta-1} e^{-sz} {}_pM_q^{\alpha, \beta}(az^\alpha) dz = \frac{1}{s^\beta} {}_{p+1}F_q \left(1, a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; \frac{a}{s^\alpha} \right) \tag{19}$$

Proof. In virtue of (1) and(12)

$$\int_0^\infty z^{\beta-1} e^{-sz} {}_pM_q^{\alpha, \beta}(az^\alpha) dz = \int_0^\infty z^{\beta-1} e^{-sz} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{a^k z^{\alpha k}}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} dz$$

Interchanging the order of integral and summation which is permissible under the conditions stated with the Theorem , we get

$$= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{a^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} \int_0^\infty e^{-sz} z^{\alpha k + \beta - 1} dz$$

substituting $sz = t$, we get

$$= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{a^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} \frac{1}{s^{\alpha k + \beta}} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{\alpha k + \beta - 1} dt$$

on applying the definition of Gamma function, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{s^\beta} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \left(\frac{a}{s^\alpha} \right)^k \\ &= \frac{1}{s^\beta} {}_{p+1}F_q \left(1, a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; \frac{a}{s^\alpha} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence the required result.

Theorem 2 (Beta Transform): If $\alpha, \beta, \rho, \sigma, b \in C, Re(\alpha) > 0, Re(\beta) > 0, Re(\rho) > 0, Re(\sigma) > 0$ and $\nu > 0, \tau > 0$ then there hold the following formula

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t z^{\rho-1} (t-z)^{\sigma-1} {}_pM_q^{\alpha, \beta} \left(bz^\nu (t-z)^\tau \right) dz &= t^{\rho+\sigma-1} \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma(a_j)} \right) \\ &\times {}_{p+3}\psi_{q+2} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, 1), \dots, (a_p, 1), (\rho, \nu), (\sigma, \tau)(1, 1) \\ (b_1, 1), \dots, (b_q, 1), (\beta, \alpha), (\rho + \sigma, \nu + \tau) \end{matrix} \middle| bt^{\nu+\tau} \right] \tag{20} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If we apply the definition (1) of the M -Series to the given integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t z^{\rho-1} (t-z)^{\sigma-1} {}_pM_q^{\alpha,\beta} \left(bz^\nu (t-z)^\tau \right) dz \\ = \int_0^t z^{\rho-1} (t-z)^{\sigma-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{b^k z^{\nu k} (t-z)^{\tau k}}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} dz \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging the order of integral and summation which is permissible under the conditions stated with the Theorem , we get

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{b^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} \int_0^t z^{\nu k + \rho - 1} (t-z)^{\tau k + \sigma - 1} dz$$

substituting $z = st$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} = t^{\rho + \sigma - 1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{b^k t^{(\nu + \tau)k}}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} \int_0^1 s^{\nu k + \rho - 1} (1-s)^{\tau k + \sigma - 1} ds \\ = t^{\rho + \sigma - 1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{\Gamma(\nu k + \rho) \Gamma(\tau k + \sigma) (1)_k b t^{(\nu + \tau)k}}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta) \Gamma((\nu + \tau)k + \rho + \sigma) k!} \end{aligned}$$

by adjusting the terms, we obtain (20) where ${}_p\psi_q$ is Wright's generalized hypergeometric function defined by (9).

Theorem 3 (Varma Transform): If $\alpha, \beta, \rho, \nu, \lambda, a, b \in \mathbb{C}, \sigma > 0$ and $Re(\alpha) > 0, Re(\beta) > 0, Re(\rho) > 0, Re(\nu) > 0, Re(\lambda) > 0, Re(a) > 0$ then there hold the following formula

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty z^{\rho-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}az\right) W_{\lambda,\nu}(az) {}_pM_q^{\alpha,\beta}(bz^\sigma) dz = a^{-\rho} \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma(a_j)} \right) \\ \times {}_{p+3}\psi_{q+2} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, 1), \dots, (a_p, 1), \left(\rho + \nu + \frac{1}{2}, \sigma\right), \left(\rho - \nu + \frac{1}{2}, \sigma\right) \\ (b_1, 1), \dots, (b_q, 1), (\beta, \alpha), (1 - \lambda + \rho, \sigma) \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{b}{a^\sigma} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Proof. If we apply the definition (1) of the M -Series to the given integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty z^{\rho-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}az\right) W_{\lambda,\nu}(az) {}_pM_q^{\alpha,\beta}(bz^\sigma) dz \\ = \int_0^\infty z^{\rho-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}az\right) W_{\lambda,\nu}(az) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{b^k z^{\sigma k}}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} dz \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging the order of integral and summation which is permissible under the conditions stated with the Theorem , we get

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{b^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} \int_0^{\infty} z^{\sigma k + \rho - 1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}az\right) W_{\lambda, \nu}(az) dz$$

Now using the integral of Mathai and Saxena ([18], p.56, eq. 2.41)

$$\int_0^{\infty} z^{\rho-1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}az\right) W_{k, \nu}(az) dz = a^{-\rho} \frac{\Gamma(\rho + \nu + \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\rho - \nu + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(1 - k + \rho)}$$

in the above equation, we obtain

$$= a^{-\rho} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{\Gamma(\sigma k + \rho + \nu + \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\sigma k + \rho - \nu + \frac{1}{2})(1)_k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)\Gamma(1 - \lambda + \rho + \sigma k)k!} \left(\frac{b}{a^\sigma}\right)^k$$

by adjusting the terms, we obtain (21).

Theorem 4 (K -Transform): If $\alpha, \beta, \rho, \nu, a, b \in C, \sigma > 0$ and $Re(\alpha) > 0, Re(\beta) > 0, Re(\rho) > 0, Re(\nu) > 0$ then there hold the following formula

$$\int_0^{\infty} z^{\rho-1} K_{\nu}(az)_p M_q^{\alpha, \beta}(bz^{\sigma}) dz = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{2}{a}\right)^{\rho} \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma(a_j)} \right) \times {}_{p+2}\psi_{q+1} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, 1), \dots, (a_p, 1), \left(\frac{\rho \pm \nu}{2}, \frac{\sigma}{2}\right), (1, 1) \\ (b_1, 1), \dots, (b_q, 1), (\beta, \alpha) \end{matrix} \middle| b \left(\frac{2}{a}\right)^{\sigma} \right] \tag{22}$$

Proof. If we apply the definition (1) of the M -Series to the given integral, we have

$$\int_0^{\infty} z^{\rho-1} K_{\nu}(az)_p M_q^{\alpha, \beta}(bz^{\sigma}) dz = \int_0^{\infty} z^{\rho-1} K_{\nu}(az) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{b^k z^{\sigma k}}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} dz$$

Interchanging the order of integral and summation which is permissible under the conditions stated with the Theorem , we get

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{b^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} \int_0^{\infty} z^{\sigma k + \rho - 1} K_{\nu}(az) dz$$

using the integral of Mathai and Saxena ([18],p. 54, eq. 2.37)

$$\int_0^{\infty} z^{\rho-1} K_{\nu}(az) dz = 2^{\rho-2} a^{-\rho} \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho \pm \nu}{2}\right)$$

in the above equation, we obtain

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{2}{a}\right)^{\rho} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k \Gamma\left(\frac{\sigma k}{2} + \left(\frac{\rho \pm \nu}{2}\right)\right)(1)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k \Gamma(\alpha k + \beta) k!} \left\{ b \left(\frac{2}{a}\right)^{\sigma} \right\}^k$$

by adjusting the terms, we obtain (22).

Theorem 5 (Hankel Transform): If $\alpha, \beta, \rho, \nu, a, b \in C, \sigma > 0$ and $Re(\alpha) > 0, Re(\beta) > 0, Re(\rho) > 0$ then there hold the following formula

$$\int_0^{\infty} z^{\rho-1} J_{\nu}(az)_p M_q^{\alpha, \beta}(bz^{\sigma}) dz = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2}{a}\right)^{\rho} \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(b_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^p \Gamma(a_j)} \right) \times {}_{p+2}\psi_{q+2} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_1, 1), \dots, (a_p, 1), \left(\frac{\rho+\nu}{2}, \frac{\sigma}{2}\right), (1, 1) \\ (b_1, 1), \dots, (b_q, 1), (\beta, \alpha), \left(\frac{2+\nu-\rho}{2}, -\frac{\sigma}{2}\right) \end{matrix} \middle| b \left(\frac{2}{a}\right)^{\sigma} \right] \quad (23)$$

Proof. If we apply the definition (1) of the M -Series to the given integral, we have

$$\int_0^{\infty} z^{\rho-1} J_{\nu}(az)_p M_q^{\alpha, \beta}(bz^{\sigma}) dz = \int_0^{\infty} z^{\rho-1} J_{\nu}(az) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{b^k z^{\sigma k}}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} dz$$

Interchanging the order of integral and summation which is permissible under the conditions stated with the Theorem, we get

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{b^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} \int_0^{\infty} z^{\sigma k + \rho - 1} J_{\nu}(az) dz$$

using the integral of Mathai and Saxena ([18], p. 57, eq. 2.46)

$$\int_0^{\infty} z^{\rho-1} J_{\nu}(az) dz = 2^{\rho-1} a^{-\rho} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\rho+\nu}{2})}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{\nu-\rho}{2})}$$

in the above equation, we obtain

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2}{a}\right)^{\rho} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k \Gamma(\frac{\sigma k}{2} + (\frac{\rho+\nu}{2}))(1)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k \Gamma(\alpha k + \beta) \Gamma(\frac{2+\nu-\rho}{2} - \frac{\sigma k}{2}) k!} \left\{ b \left(\frac{2}{a}\right)^{\sigma} \right\}^k$$

by adjusting the terms, we obtain (23).

Theorem 6 (Sumudu Transform): If $\alpha, \beta \in C, Re(\alpha) > 0, Re(\beta) > 0$ and $|u^{\alpha}| < 1$ then there hold the following formula

$$\int_0^{\infty} (uz)^{\beta-1} e^{-z} {}_p M_q^{\alpha, \beta}(uz)^{\alpha} dz = u^{\beta-1} {}_{p+1} F_q(1, a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; u^{\alpha}) \quad (24)$$

Proof. In virtue of (1) and (16)

$$\int_0^{\infty} (uz)^{\beta-1} e^{-z} {}_p M_q^{\alpha, \beta}(uz)^{\alpha} dz = \int_0^{\infty} (uz)^{\beta-1} e^{-z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{u^{\alpha k} z^{\alpha k}}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} dz$$

Interchanging the order of integral and summation which is permissible under the conditions stated with the Theorem , we get

$$= u^{\beta-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{u^{\alpha k}}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-z} z^{\alpha k + \beta - 1} dz$$

on applying the definition of Gamma function, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &= u^{\beta-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} u^{\alpha k} \\ &= u^{\beta-1} {}_{p+1}F_q \left(1, a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; u^\alpha \right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence the required result.

Theorem 7 (Fractional Fourier Transform): If $\sigma, \beta \in C, Re(\sigma) > 0, Re(\beta) > 0, z < 0$ and $\left| \left(\frac{-a}{i\omega^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \right)^\sigma \right| < 1$ then there hold the following formula

$$\int_R z^{\beta-1} e^{i\omega^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} z} {}_pM_q^{\sigma, \beta}(az)^\sigma dz = \frac{(-1)^{\beta-1}}{(i\omega^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})^\beta} {}_{p+1}F_q \left(1, a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; \left(\frac{-a}{i\omega^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \right)^\sigma \right) \tag{25}$$

Proof. If we apply the definition (1) of the M -Series to the given integral, we have

$$\int_R z^{\beta-1} e^{i\omega^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} z} {}_pM_q^{\sigma, \beta}(az)^\sigma dz = \int_R z^{\beta-1} e^{i\omega^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{a^{\sigma k} z^{\sigma k}}{\Gamma(\sigma k + \beta)} dz$$

Interchanging the order of integral and summation which is permissible under the conditions stated with the Theorem , we get

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{a^{\sigma k}}{\Gamma(\sigma k + \beta)} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{i\omega^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} z} z^{\sigma k + \beta - 1} dz$$

changing the variable $i\omega^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} z = -t$, we get

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{a^{\sigma k}}{\Gamma(\sigma k + \beta)} \frac{(-1)^{\sigma k + \beta - 1}}{(i\omega^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})^{\sigma k + \beta}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{\sigma k + \beta - 1} dt$$

on applying the definition of Gamma function, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{(-1)^{\beta-1}}{(i\omega^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})^\beta} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \frac{(-1)^{\sigma k} a^{\sigma k}}{(i\omega^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})^{\sigma k}} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{\beta-1}}{(i\omega^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})^\beta} {}_{p+1}F_q \left(1, a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; \left(\frac{-a}{i\omega^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}} \right)^\sigma \right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence the required result.

3. CONCLUSION

In this study, we have developed various integral transforms of the generalized M -series. The results obtained in this article are general in nature and are likely to find useful in applied problems of science, engineering and technology.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Sharma and R. Jain, A Note on a Generalized M -Series as a Special Function of Fractional Calculus, *Fract.Calc.Appl.Anal.*, 12, 449-452, (2009).
- [2] E. D. Rainville, *Special Functions*, Chelsea Publishing Company, Bronx, New York, (1960).
- [3] T. R. Prabhakar, A singular integral equation with a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel., *Yakohama Math. J.*, 19, 7-15, (1971).
- [4] G. M. Mittag-Leffler, Sur la nouvelle fonction $E_\alpha(z)$. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 137, 554-558, (1903).
- [5] R. K. Saxena, A remark on a paper on M -series, *Frac. Calc. Appl. Anal.* 12(1), 109-110, (2009).
- [6] E.M. Wright, The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 46, no. 2, 389-408, (1940).
- [7] E.M. Wright, The asymptotic expansion of integral functions defined by Taylor series, *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A.* 238, 423-451, (1940).
- [8] E.M. Wright, The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function II, *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A.* 239, 217-232, (1941).
- [9] A. Chouhan and S. Saraswat, Certain Properties of Fractional Calculus Operators Associated with M -series, *Scientia: Series A: Mathematical Sciences* 22, 25-30, (2012).
- [10] K. S. Gehlot, Integral Representation and Certain Properties of M -Series Associated with Fractional Calculus, *International Mathematical Forum*, Vol. 8, no. 9, 415-426,(2013).
- [11] D. K. Singh, Fractional Calculus Operators Involving Generalized M -Series,*Jour. of Frac. Calc. and Appl.*, Vol 5(2), 78-83,July (2014).
- [12] A. Chouhan and A. M. Khan, Unified Integrals Associated with H -Functions and M -Series, *Jour. of Frac. Calc. and Appl.*, Vol 6(2), 11-17,July (2015).
- [13] D. Kumar and R. K. Saxena, Generalized Fractional Calculus of the M -Series Involving F_3 Hypergeometric function, *Sohag J. Math.* 2, No. 1,17-22,(2015).
- [14] D.L.Suthar, Hagos Tadesse and Kelelaw Tilahun, Integrals Involving Jacobi Polynomials and M -Series, *Jour.of Frac. Calc. and Appl.*, Vol 9(2),287-294,July 2018.
- [15] A.M.Khan, R.K.Kumbhat, Amit Chouhan and Anita Alaria, Generalized Fractional Integral Operators and M -Series, *Journal of Mathematics*, (Article ID 2872185),1-10, Vol (2016).
- [16] I.N. Sneddon, *The Use of Integral Transform*, Tata Mc Graw-Hill, New Delhi, 1979.
- [17] C.S Meijer, Über eine Erweiterung der Laplace-Transformation. *Neder Akad Wetensch Proc.* 43:599-608, 702-711=*Indag Math* 2:29-238,269-278.
- [18] A.M. Mathai, R.K.Saxena and H.J.Haubold, *The H -function Theory and Applications*, Springer New York Dordrecht Heidelberg London, 2010.
- [19] G.K.Watugala, Sumudu transform : A New Integral Transform to Solve Differential Equations and Control Engineering Problems, *Inter.J. Math. Ed. Sci. Tech.*, 24,,35-42, (1993).
- [20] Y. F. Luchko, H. Matrinez, J. J. Trujillo, Fractional Fourier Transform and Some of Its Applications, *Frac. Calc. & Appl. Anal.*, 11, no. 4, 457-470, (2008).
- [21] V. Namias, The Fractional Order Fourier Transform and its Applications to Quantum Mechanics, *J. Inst. Appl.* 25, 241-265, (1980).

D.S. SACHAN

ST. MARY'S PG COLLEGE, VIDISHA, (M.P.), INDIA, 464001.

E-mail address: sachan.dheerandra17@gmail.com

S. JALOREE

SAMRAT ASHOK TECHNOLOGICAL INSTITUTE, VIDISHA, (M.P.) INDIA, 464001.

E-mail address: shailesh_jaloree@rediffmail.com