j. Egypt. net. med. Assac 77, no 3. 453 - 466 /2017/

# CHARACTERIZATION OF EXTENDED SPECTRUM B-LACTAMASES (ESβLS) GENES OF COLISEPTICEMIC *E.COLI* IN BROILER CHICKENS

By

M. A. Samir \*, Jihan M. Badr\*\* and Zohni Montaser A.\*\*

\*Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University \*\* Department of poultry diseases, Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki, Giza

#### ABSTRACT

A total of 120 broiler chickens (76 diseased living and 44 freshly dead), 2 - 8 weeks old were collected from different localities in Egypt ( Giza, Kaliobyia, Monofyia, Dakahlyia, Sharkyia, Kafr El-sheikh and Behyira ).The internal organs " heart, liver, bone marrow, spleen and kidney" were collected from the examined broilers. The percentage recovery rate of *E. coli* from broiler chicks was (18.33%). The antimicrobial sensitivity for the recovered isolates against 10 antibiotic agents revealed that resistance to Doxycycline was (100%), Amoxicillin (90.91%), Ciprofloxacin (86.37%), Gentamycin (81.82), Sulfamethoxazole/Trimethoprim (77.27%), Chloramphenicol (72.73%), Danofloxacin (68.18%), Nitrofurantoin (68.18%), cefotaxime (40.91%) and Colistin sulphate (27.27%). The molecular characterization of some ES $\beta$ L genes (*bla<sub>TEM</sub>*, *bla<sub>CTX</sub>* and *bla<sub>SHV</sub>* genes detected in 18/22 isolates at a percentage of 81.82%, while *bla<sub>CM1</sub>* and *bla<sub>OX1</sub>* could not be detected. Meanwhile none of these genes could be recovered from the chromosomal DNA of the examined isolates. This study suggests that emergence of ES $\beta$ L Colisepticemic *E. coli* magnify the disease condition and failure of control.

#### <u>Key words:</u>

*E.coli, Colisepticemia*, ESβL, Broilers

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Avian Pathogenic *E.coli* (APEC) is a substantial burden to the global poultry industry. It causes a syndromic poultry infection known as colibacillosis ,which has been previously associated with broiler chickens over two weeks old' **Kemmett** *et al.* (2014). Avian colibacillosis causes significant economic losses, either as a primary disease or as a secondary infection, to broiler chickens, youth, of laying hens and of breeding hens. It is produced by

strains of E. coli falling within APEC pathotypes (with the penetration site, the body's respiratory mucosa), being considered systemic extra intestinal infection Iancu et al. (2015) the detection of the first ß-lactamase was reported before the use of penicillin in the medical field. Extended-spectrum-ß-lactams have been introduced in the medical practice in the 1980s for the treatment of serious gram negative bacteria but the resistance to this class of antibiotic has emerged rapidly due to production of a new class of B-lactamase later termed Extended Spectrum *β*-Lactamases (ES*β*Ls). Al-Jasser (2006).(APEC) strains harbor chromosomal and plasmid pathogenicity-related genes. The presence of resistance plasmids in avian E. coli strains could facilitate horizontal transfer of virulence genes between pathogenic and nonpathogenic strains (Barros, 2012) .In Gram-negative bacteria, the production of betalactamases represents the most important contributing factor to resistance against beta-lactam antibiotics. During the last few years increasing numbers of antibiotic-resistant bacteria have become a problem in the field of infection control (Liebana, 2013). (APEC) have the ability to hydrolyze various types of the newer beta-lactam antibiotics, including extended-spectrum cephalosporins of the 3rd and 4th generations (e.g. cefotaxime, ceftriaxone and ceftazidime) and monobactams (e.g.aztreona) ,which were assessed as "critically important antimicrobials" (WHO, 2011). Nowadays the predominant ESBL-gene families encountered are blactx-M, *blatem* and *blashy* (Poirel et al., 2012). Multi-drug resistant (MDR) E. coli among poultry may colonize in the human intestinal tract via food and may also contribute resistance genes to human endogenous flora (Savita, 2007). So the present study aimed at characterization of the commonly isolated *E coli* from septicemic broiler chickens with the determination of their antimicrobial susceptibility, resistance, and resistance genes.

#### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

#### Samples:

A total of 600 heart, liver, bone marrow, spleen and kidney collected from 120 broiler chicks to investigate the prevalence of *E. coli*. All samples were brought to the bacteriology laboratory, Poultry Department, Animal Health Research Institute, Dokki, Giza in sterile wide-mouth screw capped bottles undercooling and then analyzed for the presence of *E. coli*. Isolation of Colisepticemic *E. coli* according to Swayne *et al.*, (1998) and *Quinn et al.*, (2002).

Under complete sterile condition broilers internal organs (Heart, Liver, Bone marrow, Spleen and Kidney) were examined for isolation and identification of *E.coli*. Samples were

454 j. Egypt. act. med. Assac 77, no 3. 453 - 466 (2017)

first inoculated into peptone buffer water and incubated at 37 °C for 18 - 24 hours. A loopful from each culture was subcultured on MacConkey's agar medium at 37 °C for a further 24 hr. the suspected colonies (lactose fermenter pink colonies) were subcultured on E.M.B agar medium for further identification of *E. coli* organisms. The biochemical identification of the isolated *E. coli* was confirmed according to the API 20 E system.

## Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

The disc diffusion technique was applied to detect the antimicrobial sensitivity of the isolated *E.coli* against 10 antimicrobials (Doxycycline, Amoxicillin, Ciprofloxacin, Gentamycin, Sulfamethoxazole/Trimethoprim, Chloramphenicol, Danofloxacin, Nitrofurantoin, cefotaxime and Colistin sulphate) .The test was carried out according to **Cruickshank** *et al.* (1975). Cartridges of antimicrobial-containing discs were obtained from Oxoid (Hampshire, UK). Interpretation was done according to **NCCLS**, (1990).

## **β**-lactamase detection using Combined Disc Diffusion Test (CDD).

The identification of the ES $\beta$ L genes was carried out by using both Cefotaxime and Ceftazidime, alone and in combination with Clavulanic acid (cefotaxime 30 µg, cefotaxime/clavulanic acid 30/10 µg) and (Ceftazidime 30 µg, Ceftazidime/Clavulanic acid 30/10 µg). The plats were incubated at 37 °C overnight. The organisms were considered to be producing ES $\beta$ L when A  $\geq$  5 mm increase in a zone diameter for either antimicrobial agent tested in combination with Clavulanic acid versus the zone diameter of the agent when tested alone equals ES $\beta$ L. (CLSI, 2016).

# Molecular Detection of ESBL Colisepticemic E.coli genes by PCR.

The molecular detection of  $ES\beta L$  genes was carried out by using primers for detection of chromosomal DNA and plasmid. Theses primers in addition to the cyclic conditions are demonstrated in (Tables1and 2).

## RESULTS

## Incidence of E. coli recovered from Septicemic broiler chickens.

AS demonstrated in (Table 3) out of 120 examined broiler chickens (76 diseased living and 44 freshly dead), 22 *E. coli* isolates were recovered with an incidence of 18.33 % (22 isolates /120 bird). Regarding the diseased living birds, the incidence was 22.37% (17/76), while from dead chichens was 11.36% (5/44).

#### Results of Antibiogram for the recovered E. coli.

22 *E. coli* isolates tested against 10 chemotherapeutic agents are tabulated in (Table 4). Doxycycline recorded the highest resistance percentage (100%), while Amoxicillin, Ciprofoxacin, Gentamycin Sulfamethxazole/Trimethoprim, Chloramphenicol, Danofloxacin, Nitrofurantoin and Cefotaxime recorded 90.91%, 86.37%, 81.82%, 77.27%, 72.73%, 68.18%m 68.18% and 40.91% resistance respectively. Colistin sulphate recorded the lowest percentage of resistance (27.27%) against *E.coli* isolates.

## **Results of β -lactamase detection using Combined Disc Diffusion Test (CDD).**

Results of phenotypic detection of of  $\beta$  –lactamase producing *E. coli* revealed that out of 22 examined *E. coli* 19 (86.36%) found ES $\beta$ Ls producers (Table 5).

#### Results of molecular detection of ESBL genes in the isolated E. coli.

The molecular characterization of some ES $\beta$ L genes (*bla<sub>TEM</sub>*, *bla<sub>CTX</sub>*, *bla<sub>CMY1</sub>*, *bla<sub>SHV</sub>* and *bla<sub>OXA1</sub>*) in the chromosomal DNA revealed that these genes could not be detected. While in the plasmid *bla<sub>TEM</sub>*, *bla<sub>CTX</sub>* and *bla<sub>SHV</sub>* genes detected in 15/22(68.18 %), 6/22 (27.27%) and 18/22 (81.82%) respectively, while *bla<sub>CMY1</sub>* and *bla<sub>OXA1</sub>* could not be detected in the examined isolates, these results are demonstrated in (Table 6) and illustrated in Figs. (1 and 2). Results of the relationship between phenotypic and genotypic characters of ES $\beta$ Ls in chromosomal and plasmid DNA revealed that 18/22 (81.82%) found harboring ES $\beta$ Ls plasmid genes, sample number 5 found positive phenotypically but negative genotypically, all the phenotypically negative and positive ES $\beta$ Ls are found negative for ES $\beta$ l genes in the chromosomal DNA (Table 7)

## DISCUSSION

Avian colibacillosis is caused by a group of pathogens designated avian pathogenic *E. coli* (APEC). Despite being known for over a century, avian colibacillosis remains one of the major endemic diseases afflicting the poultry industry worldwide. (Francis *et al.*, 2008). In this study, the incidence of *E. coli* in broiler chicks was 18.33%, as shown in (Table 3), this result may be due to many factors such as, environmental stresses, keeping large number of birds in a poorly ventilated houses or due to infection with Mycoplasma gallicepticum or viral infection (Seidavi *et al.*, 2010; and Bopp *et al.*, 2005) or due to vertical contamination (Gross, 1994). These results mostly agreed with that results obtained by Ammar *et al.* (2015) who recovered pathogenic *E. coli* from 20% of the examined poultry collected from different sources in Egypt. Also Mona *et al.* (2015) isolated pathogenic *E. coli* from broilers infected

456 j.Egypt.act.med.Assec 77, no 3. 453 - 466 (2017)

with swollen head syndrome in Egypt at a percentage of 28%. Higher results obtained by Ashraf et al.(2014) who isolated the organism from 38% from the examined cases in four different centers in Kaliobia governorate. Heba et al. (2012) examined 800 chickens suffering from colisepticaemia, collected from different governorates in Egypt for detection of pathogenic *E. coli*; they isolated the organism from 43% from the examined birds. Hamza et al.(2016) investigated 100 diseased broiler chickens in Sharkia province, Egypt, the incidence of E. coli isolation was 60%. Sarah et al. (2013) recovered pathogenic E. coli from 510 samples collected from different sources of poultry broiler farms with overall prevalence of 75.2%. As shown in (Table 4), the antibiogram was carried out against all E. coli isolates by using 10 different antibiotic discs. The results revealed that all isolates expressed multiple antimicrobial phenotypes (greater than or equal to 3 antimicrobials). This pattern of E. coli resistance was reported by (Savita et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2010; Foder et al., 2011 and Meena, 2015). The results reported in this study are due to the misuse of antimicrobial agents in poultry farms for treatment of infections caused by pathogenic bacteria, this widespread usage of large quantities of antimicrobials in poultry farms in Egypt without veterinary consultation together with using antibiotics as growth promoters create a great problem in controlling diseases. These finding agreed with that recorded by (Aarestrup, 2005). In this work genotypic characterization of  $ES\beta Ls$  was carried out through amplification of 5 genes (blatem, blactx, blacmy, blashy and blaoxA) by PCR in both chromosomal DNA and plasmid of the isolated pathogenic *E.coli* as demonstrated in (Table 6). None of these genes could be detected in the chromosomal DNA, this agreed with George et al. (2014) how found that extended spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamases are typically plasmid mediated and seen mainly in E. coli and Klebsiella pneumonia, although other authors like Chishimba et al. (2016) recovered *bla*<sub>TEM</sub>, *bla*<sub>CTX</sub> and *bla*<sub>SHV</sub> genes from the chromosomal DNA of *E. coli* isolates . Meanwhile three genes (*bla<sub>TEM</sub>*, *bla*<sub>CTX</sub> and *bla*<sub>SHV</sub>) could be recovered from the plasmid, blaTEM was detected in 15/22 E. coli isolate (68.18%), blaCTX, in 6/22 (27.27%) and blaSHV in18/22 (81.82%). Also there were combinations between 2 or 3 genes in the same E. coli isolate. A combination between  $bla_{\text{TEM}}$  and  $bla_{\text{SHV}}$  was noticed in 9 isolates (40.91%), meanwhile a combination between the 3 genes was detected in 6 isolates (27.27%). Here are some reports recorded in Egypt about detection of ES $\beta$ Ls, In Egypt Ahmed *et al.* (2013) could recover beta-lactamase encoding genes,  $bla_{\text{TEM}}$ ,  $bla_{\text{OXA}}$ ,  $bla_{\text{CTX}}$  and  $bla_{\text{SHV}}$  from 94.5% coli cepticemic broiler chicks. Abdelhakim et al. (2011) tested Seventy six non-typhoid

Salmonella isolated from both human and poultry in Egypt and Algeria, by Polymerase chain reaction (PCR), he could detect  $bla_{\text{TEM}}$  and  $bla_{\text{SHV}}$  genes. Sascha *et al.* (2016) in their study in Nile Delta, Egypt reported 113 of 114 phenotypically 3rd generation cephalosporin-resistant isolates harbored at least one of the ES $\beta$ L resistance genes ( $bla_{\text{CTX}}$ -M15,  $bla_{\text{CTX}}$ -M9,  $bla_{\text{TEM}}$  and  $bla_{\text{SHV}}$ ). Mohammed *et al.* (2015) detected  $bla_{TEM-1}$  gene in 57.6% and  $bla_{\text{SHV-1}}$  in 6.8% of the isolates from chickens, whilst they could not detect  $bla_{\text{OXA}}$  gene.

#### CONCLUSION

It was concluded that emergence of ES $\beta$ L Colisepticemic *E.coli* magnify the disease condition and failure of control.

#### REFERENCES

- Aarestrup, F.M., (2005): Veterinary drug usage as antimicrobial resistance in bacteria of animal origin. Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol.2005, vol. 96, pp.271-281.
- Abdelhakim Aouf, Yamina Messai, Mohammed S. Salama, Hala M. Aboushady, Mervat G. El-Anany, Souhila Alouache and Rabah Bakour (2011): Resistance to -lactams of human and veterinary Salmonella isolates in Egypt and Algeria .African Journal of Microbiology Research Vol. 5 (7) pp. 802-808, 4 April, 2011.
- Ahmed, A. M.; Shimamoto, T.; Shimamoto, T. (2013): Molecular characterization of multidrugresistant avian pathogenic Escherichia coli isolated from septicemic broilers.International Journal of Medical Microbiology; 2013. 303 (8):475 - 483. 43 refs.
- Al Jasser, A.M. (2006): Extended-Spectrum & Lactamases (ESBLs): A Global problem. Kuwait Med. J. 38 (3):171-185.
- Ammar, A. M.; El-Hamid, M. I. A.; Eid, S. E. A.; El-Oksh, A. S. (2015): Insights into antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes of emerg multidrug resistant avian pathogenic Escherichia coli in Egypt: Revue de Medecine Veterinaire; 2015. 166 (9/10):304 -314. 47 refs.
- Ashraf, A. Abd El Tawab, Ahmed, A. A. Maarouf, Samir, A. Abd El Al, Fatma, I .El Hofy and Emad, E. A. El Mougy(2014): Detection of some virulence genes of avian pathogenic *E. coli* by Plymerase Chain Reaction. Benha Veterinary Medical Journal, vol. 26, no. 2:159-176, 2014.
- Barros, M. R.; Silveira, W. D. da; Araujo, J. M. de; Costa, E. P.; Oliveira, A. A. da F.; Santos, A. P. da S. F.; Silva, V. A. S.; Mota, R. A.(2012): Antimicrobial resistance and plasmidial profile of Escherichia coli strain isolated from broilers and commercial layers in the state of Pernambuco, Brazil. Pesquisa Veterinaria Brasileira; 2012. 32 (5):405 410. 37 refs.
- Bopp, A., Brenner, F., Wells, J. and Strockbine, N. (2005): *Escherichia*, *Shigella* and *Salmonella*.
  In: Manual of Clinical Microbiology. (Murray, P. R., E. J. Baron, M. A. Pfaller, F. C. Tenover, R. H. Yolken, Eds.) American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC. pp. 459-474.

# 458 j. Egypt. act. med. Assec 77, no 3. 453 - 466 (2017)

#### CLSI. (2016):

- Cruickshank, R., Duguid, J., Marmion, B., and Swain, R. (1975): Medical Microbiology "the practice of Medical Microbiology" 12<sup>th</sup> Ed., Churchill Livingstone, Edinbrough, London and New-York.drug-resistant diarrhaeagenic avian Escherichia coli isolate. Indian Journal of Poultry.
  EA George, S Sankar, M V Jesudasan, C Sudandiradoss, B Nandagopal (2014): Incidence of extended spectrum beta lactamase producing Escherichia coli among patients, healthy individuals and in the environment, Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology, 32 (2), 2014, 172-174.
- Fodor,I.; Groza, I.; Catana, N. (2011): Antibiotics susceptibility of *E. coli* strains isolated from chickens with colisepticemia in vest of Romania between 2010-2011. Scientific Works -University of Agronomical Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Bucharest Series C, Veterinary Medicine; 2011. 57(3):179-182. 5 refs.
- Francis Dziva and Mark P. Stevens (2008): Colibacillosis in poultry: unravelling the molecular basis of virulence of avian pathogenic Escherichia coli in their natural hosts Avian Pathology 2008. 37(4), 355-366.
- Hamza I. Eid, Abdelazeem M. Algammal, Soad A. Nasef, Wael K. Elfeil and Ghada H. Mansour (2016): Genetic Variation among Avian Pathogenic *E. coli* Strains Isolated from Broiler Chickens. Asian Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances ISSN 1683-9919 DOI: 10.3923/ajava.2016.350.356.
- Heba Roshdy, Soad Abd El-Aziz and Mohamed Refai (2012): Incidence of *E. coli* in chickens and ducks in different governorates in Egypt 1st Conf. of An. Health Res. Inst. Assoc., December 2012.
- Henrik Hasman, Dik Mevius, Kees Veldman, Inger Olesen1 and Frank M. Aarestrup (2005): b-Lactamases among extended-spectrum b-lactamase (ESBL)-resistant *Salmonella* from poultry, poultry products and human patients in the Netherlands Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy (2005) 56, 115 - 121.
- Iancu, I.; Popa, V.; Degi, J.; Catana, N. (2015): Research regarding on the frequency of characteristics genes of APEC strains. Lucrari Stiintifice - Universitatea de Stiinte Agricole a Banatului Timisoara, Medicina Veterinara; 2015. 48(3):65-68. 6 refs.
- K. Chishimba, B. M. Hang'ombe,K. Muzandu,S. E. Mshana,M. I. Matee,C. Nakajima and Y. Suzuki5 (2016): Detection of Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase-Producing *Escherichia coli* in Market-Ready Chickens in Zambia International Journal of Microbiology Volume 2016, Article ID 5275724, 5 pages.

- Kemmett, K.; Williams, N. J.; Chaloner, G.;Humphrey, S.; Wigley, P.; Humphrey, T.( 2014): The contribution of systemic Escherichia coli infection to the early mortalities of commercial broiler chickens. Avian Pathology; 2014. 43 (1):37-42. 42 refs.
- LiebanaE, Carattoli A, Coque TM, Hasman H, Magiorakos AP, et al. (2013): Public Health Risks of Enterobacterial Isolates Producing Extended-Spectrum beta-Lactamases or AmpC beta-Lactamases in Food and Food-Producing Animals: An EU Perspective of Epidemiology, Analytical Methods, Risk Factors, and Control Options. Clinical Infectious Diseases 56: 1030-037.
- Meena, R. H.; Mir, I. A.; Sunil Maherchandani; Kanchan Jangir; Nishtha Purohit; Kashyap, S. K. (2015): Antibiotic resistance pattern and phylogenetic analysis of commensal Escherichia coli isolated from poultry. Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology; 2015. 9(1):657-662. 27 refs.
- Mohammed Abdel-Maksoud; Rania Abdel-Khalek; Atef El-Gendy; Rawia F. Gamal; Hemmat M. Abdelhady; Brent L. House (2015): Genetic characterisation of multidrugresistant Salmonella enterica serotypes isolated from poultry in Cairo, Egypt Afr. J. Lab. Med. vol.4 n.1 Cape Town 2015.
- NCCLS, National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (1990): Performans standards for antimicrobial disc susceptibility tests. 4<sup>th</sup> Ed. Approved Standard M2-A4. Villanova Pa.
- Mona Arafat, Kamelia Osman, Ismail Radwan, Hala Hassan and Ahmed Orabi (2015): Antibiogram for major pathogens recovered from broilers swollen head syndrome. Journal of Global Bioscinces vol. 4, No. 7, 2015, pp. 2952-2960.
  - **Poirel L, Bonnin RA, Nordmann P (2012):** Genetic support and diversity ofacquired extendedspectrum beta-lactamases in Gram-negative rods. Infect Genet Evol 12: 883–893.
- Quinn, J., Markey, K., Carter, E., Donnelly, J. and Leonard, C. (2002): Veterinary Microbiology and Microbial Diseases. Black well scientific publications, Oxford, London.
- Sarah A. Yousef 1, 2, Ammar A. M.1, Ahmed D. A.3, 4 (2013): Serological and Molecular Typing of Avian Pathogenic *E. Coli* Originating from Outbreaks of Colibacillosis in Chicken Flocks International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319 -7064 Index Copernicus Value (2013): 6.14 | Impact Factor (2013): 4.438.
- Sascha D.Braun<sup>\*</sup>, Marwa F.E. Ahmed, HosnyEl-Adawy, Helmut Hotzel, Ines Engelmann, Daniel Weiß, Stefan Monecke and Ralf (2016): EhrichtSurveillance of Extended-Spectrum Beta-Lactamase-Producing *Escherichia coli* in Dairy Cattle Farms in the Nile Delta, Egypt Front. Microbiol, 04 July 2016.
  - Savita; Kusumakar, A. L.; Malik, Y. P. S. (2007): In vitro characterization of multiple Science; 2007. 42 (3):333-335. 16 refs.

- Seidavi, A., Mirhosseini, S., Shivazad, M., Chamani, M., Sadeghi, A. and Pourseify, R. (2010): Detection and investigation of *Escherichia coli* in contents of duodenum, jejunum, ileum and cecum of broilers at different ages by PCR. AsPac J. Mol. Biol. Biotechnol. Vol. 18 (3): 321-326.
- Swayne, D.; Charman, E.; Glisson, J. R; Jackwood, M. W; Pearson, J. E and Reed, W. M. (1998): Colibacillosis: A laboratory manual for the Isolation and identification of avian pathogens, fourth edition. Am. Assoc. Avian Pathol. 14-16.
- Wang XM, Liao XP, Zhang WJ, Jiang HX, Sun J, Zhang MJ, He XF, Lao DX, Liu YH. (2010): "Prevalence of sero groups, virulence genotypes, antimicrobial resistance, and phylogenetic background of avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* in south of China". Food borne Pathog Dis. 2010 Sep; 7(9): 1099-106.

WHO (2011): Critically Important Antimicrobials for Human Medicine. WHO.

Table (1): Primers Used for Molecular Detection of Esβl's Colisepticemic E.coli Genes by

| Primer     |   | Sequence                      | Amplified<br>product | Reference              |  |
|------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--|
| blaTFM     | F | GCGGAACCCCTATTTG              | 964                  | Henrik                 |  |
|            | R | TCTAAAGTATATATGAGTAAACTTGGTC  | 704                  | <i>et al.</i> , (2005) |  |
| blaCTY     | F | ATGTGCAGYACCAGTAARGTKATGGC    | 593                  | Henrik                 |  |
| DIACTA     | R | TGGGTRAARTARGTSACCAGAAYCAGCGG |                      | <i>et al.</i> , (2005) |  |
|            | F | GTGGTGGATGCCAGCATCC           | 915                  | Henrik                 |  |
| DIACIVIY-I | R | GGTCGAGCCGGTCTTGTTGAA         |                      | <i>et al.,</i> (2005)  |  |
| hlaSHW     | F | TTCGCCTGTGTATTATCTCCCTG       | 854                  | Henrik                 |  |
| blaSHV     | R | TTAGCGTTGCCAGTGYTCG           |                      | <i>et al.</i> , (2005) |  |
| BlaOXA1    | F | ATGAAAAACACAATACATATCAACTTCG  | 820                  | Henrik                 |  |
|            | R | GTGTGTTTAGAATGGTGATCGCATT     | 820                  | et al.,(2005)          |  |

PCR (Henrik et al., 2005)

Table (2): Thermal cycle conditions Used For Molecular Detection of Esßl's Colisepticemic

*E.coli* Genes *by PCR* (Henrik *et al.*, 2005)

| Gene     | Primary<br>denaturation | Secondary<br>denaturation | Annealing        | Extension     | No. of<br>cycles | Final<br>extension |
|----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|
| balTEM   | 94 °C\3 min.            | 94 °C\60 sec.             | 50-52 °C\60 sec. | 72 °C\60 sec. | 25               | 72 °C\10 min.      |
| balCTX   | 94 °C\3 min.            | 94 °C\60 sec.             | 50-52 °C\60      | 72 °C\60      | 25               | 72 °C\10 min.      |
| balCMY-  | 94 °C\3 min.            | 94 °C\60 sec.             | 50-52 °C\60      | 72 °C\60      | 25               | 72 °C\10 min.      |
| balSHV   | 94 °C\3 min.            | 94 °C\60 sec.             | 50-52 °C\60      | 72 °C\60      | 25               | 72 °C\10 min.      |
| balOXA-1 | 94 °C\3 min.            | 94 °C\60 sec.             | 50-52 °C\60      | 72 °C\60      | 25               | 72 °C\10 min.      |

Table (3): Incidence of *E. coli* in broiler chickens.

| Governorate   | No. of<br>diseased<br>living chicken | No. of<br>isolates | No. of dead<br>chicken | No. of<br>isolates | Total<br>No. of<br>isolates | Total No. of<br>examined<br>chicken | % of<br>isolation |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Giza          | 13                                   | 3                  | 7                      | 1                  | 4                           | 20                                  | 20                |
| Kalyobyia     | 10                                   | 2                  | 6                      | 1                  | 3                           | 16                                  | 18.75             |
| Monofyia      | 10                                   | 3                  | 5                      | 0                  | 3                           | 15                                  | 20                |
| Dakahlyia     | 9                                    | 1                  | 9                      | 1                  | 2                           | 18                                  | 11.11             |
| Sharkyia      | 13                                   | 4                  | 6                      | 0                  | 4                           | 19                                  | 21.05             |
| KafrEl-Sheikh | 10                                   | 1                  | 5                      | 1                  | 2                           | 15                                  | 13.33             |
| Behyra        | 11                                   | 3                  | 6                      | 1                  | 4                           | 17                                  | 23.53             |
| Total         | 76                                   | 17                 | 44                     | 5                  | 22                          | 120                                 | 18.33             |

| Isolate   |       |       |       | Cher         | nother | apeutic a | gent  |       |       |       | % of         |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|--------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|
| No.       | AML   | С     | СТ    | DFX          | DO     | GM        | F     | SXT   | CIP   | CTX   | resistance   |
| 1         | R     | R     | Ι     | R            | R      | R         | R     | R     | R     | S     | 8/10 (80%)   |
| 2         | R     | S     | S     | R            | R      | R         | R     | R     | R     | R     | 8/10 ( 80% ) |
| 3         | R     | R     | S     | R            | R      | R         | Ι     | R     | R     | S     | 7/10 ( 705 ) |
| 4         | R     | R     | S     | R            | R      | R         | R     | R     | R     | S     | 8/10 ( 80% ) |
| 5         | R     | R     | S     | Ι            | R      | R         | R     | R     | R     | R     | 8/10 ( 80% ) |
| 6         | R     | R     | S     | R            | R      | R         | R     | R     | R     | Ι     | 8/10 ( 80% ) |
| 7         | R     | R     | S     | R            | R      | R         | R     | R     | R     | R     | 9/10 ( 90% ) |
| 8         | R     | R     | S     | R            | R      | R         | R     | R     | R     | S     | 8/10 ( 80% ) |
| 9         | R     | R     | S     | Ι            | R      | R         | S     | R     | R     | S     | 6/10 ( 60% ) |
| 10        | R     | R     | S     | R            | R      | R         | S     | S     | R     | S     | 6/10 ( 60% ) |
| 11        | R     | R     | S     | R            | R      | S         | S     | S     | R     | S     | 5/10 ( 50% ) |
| 12        | R     | R     | S     | Ι            | R      | S         | R     | S     | R     | S     | 5/10 ( 50% ) |
| 13        | S     | S     | R     | S            | R      | R         | R     | R     | S     | R     | 6/10 ( 60% ) |
| 14        | R     | R     | R     | R            | R      | R         | R     | R     | R     | R     | 10/10(100%)  |
| 15        | R     | R     | R     | R            | R      | R         | S     | R     | Ι     | R     | 8/10 ( 80% ) |
| 16        | R     | R     | S     | R            | R      | R         | R     | R     | R     | R     | 9/10 ( 90% ) |
| 17        | R     | R     | R     | R            | R      | S         | R     | R     | R     | S     | 8/10 ( 80% ) |
| 18        | R     | R     | R     | R            | R      | R         | S     | R     | R     | R     | 9/10 ( 90% ) |
| 19        | R     | R     | Ι     | R            | R      | R         | R     | R     | R     | S     | 8/10 ( 80% ) |
| 20        | R     | S     | R     | S            | R      | R         | R     | R     | S     | R     | 7/10 ( 70% ) |
| 21        | R     | R     | S     | S            | R      | R         | S     | S     | R     | S     | 5/10 ( 50% ) |
| 22        | Ι     | S     | S     | S            | R      | S         | R     | S     | R     | S     | 3/10 ( 30% ) |
| No. of    |       |       |       |              |        |           |       |       |       |       |              |
| resistant | 20    | 16    | 6     | 15           | 22     | 18        | 15    | 17    | 19    | 9     |              |
| strains   |       |       |       |              |        |           |       |       |       |       |              |
| % of      |       |       |       |              |        |           |       |       |       |       |              |
| resistant | 90.91 | 72.73 | 27.27 | <b>68.18</b> | 100    | 81.82     | 68.18 | 77.27 | 86.37 | 40.91 |              |
| strains   |       |       |       |              |        |           |       |       |       |       |              |

|  | Table (4): | Results | of antimicrobial | sensitivity tests. |
|--|------------|---------|------------------|--------------------|
|--|------------|---------|------------------|--------------------|

Table (5): Phenotypic identification of  $ES\beta Ls$ .

| Isolate<br>No.    | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | Total           |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----------------|
| ESβL<br>phenotype | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | +  | +  | +  | -  | -  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | +  | -  | 19/22<br>86.36% |

| te         |     |     |      |     | ESBI | gene  |       |         |       |      |
|------------|-----|-----|------|-----|------|-------|-------|---------|-------|------|
| ola<br>Vo. |     |     | DNA  |     |      |       |       | Plasmid |       |      |
| Isc        | TEM | СТХ | CMY1 | SHV | OXA1 | TEM   | СТХ   | CMY1    | SHV   | OXA1 |
| 1          | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | +     | +     | -       | +     | -    |
| 2          | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | +     | +     | -       | +     | -    |
| 3          | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | +     | -     | -       | +     | -    |
| 4          | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | +     | +     | -       | +     | -    |
| 5          | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | -     | -     | -       | -     | -    |
| 6          | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | +     | +     | -       | +     | -    |
| 7          | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | +     | -     | -       | +     | -    |
| 8          | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | +     | -     | -       | +     | -    |
| 9          | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | +     | -     | -       | +     | -    |
| 10         | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | -     | -     | -       | +     | -    |
| 11         | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | +     | -     | -       | +     | -    |
| 12         | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | +     | -     | -       | +     | -    |
| 13         | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | -     | -     | -       | -     | -    |
| 14         | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | -     | -     | -       | -     | -    |
| 15         | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | +     | -     | -       | +     | -    |
| 16         | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | +     | -     | -       | +     | -    |
| 17         | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | +     | -     | -       | +     | -    |
| 18         | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | -     | -     | -       | +     | -    |
| 19         | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | +     | +     | -       | +     | -    |
| 20         | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | +     | +     | -       | +     | -    |
| 21         | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | -     | -     | -       | +     | -    |
| 22         | -   | -   | -    | -   | -    | -     | -     | -       | -     | -    |
| Total      | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0   | 0    | 15    | 6     | 0       | 18    | 0    |
| %          | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0   | 0    | 68.18 | 27.27 | 0       | 81.82 | 0    |

# **T** able (6): Results of $ES\beta L$ genes by PCR.

| Table (7): Relationship between p | phenotypic and genotypic characters in C | hromosomal DNA |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------------|
| and plasmid.                      |  |                |

| Sample | ESBL           | Chromosome    | Plasmid       |
|--------|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| No.    | ( Phenotypic ) | ( Genotypic ) | ( Genotypic ) |
| 1      | Pos.           | -             | +             |
| 2      | Pos.           | -             | +             |
| 3      | Pos.           | -             | +             |
| 4      | Pos.           | -             | +             |
| 5      | Pos.           | -             | -             |
| 6      | Pos.           | -             | +             |
| 7      | Pos.           | -             | +             |
| 8      | Pos.           | -             | +             |
| 9      | Pos.           | -             | +             |
| 10     | Pos.           | -             | +             |
| 11     | Pos.           | -             | +             |
| 12     | Pos.           | -             | +             |
| 13     | Neg.           | -             | -             |
| 14     | Neg.           | -             | -             |
| 15     | Pos.           | -             | +             |
| 16     | Pos.           | -             | +             |
| 17     | Pos.           | -             | +             |
| 18     | Pos.           | -             | +             |
| 19     | Pos.           | -             | +             |
| 20     | Pos.           | -             | +             |
| 21     | Pos.           | -             | +             |
| 22     | Neg.           | -             | -             |



Fig. (1): Detection of *bla*<sub>TEM</sub> gene in plasmid; positive samples produced band (964 bp);DNA Ladder,1Kb; lanes 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9,11,12,15,16,17,19 and 20 were the positive samples.



Fig. (2): Detection of *bla*SHV and *bla*CTX genes in plasmid; samples

No1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,15,16,17,18,19,20 and 21 were the positive samples produced band (964 bp) for *bla*<sub>SHV</sub> gene ,DNA Ladder,1Kb.While samples 1,2,4,5,19 and 20 were the positive samples of *bla*<sub>CTX</sub> gene produced band (593bp);DNA ladder,1kb.