Journal of Fractional Calculus and Applications

Vol. 13(1) Jan. 2022, pp. 115-122.

ISSN: 2090-5858.

http://math-frac.org/Journals/JFCA/

# OSCILLATION CRITERIA FOR THREE DIMENSIONAL NONLINEAR CONFORMABLE FRACTIONAL DELAY DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEM WITH FORCING TERMS

OGUNBANJO A.M AND ARAWOMO P.O

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the oscillation of three dimensional nonlinear conformable delay differential system with forcing terms. By using generalized Riccati transformation, conformable derivatives and some inequality based techniques, we obtain several oscillation criteria for the system. Furthermore, an example is given to authenticate our results.

†Corresponding Author

#### 1. Introduction

Research on the qualitative properties of solutions of differential equations which includes the problems of oscillation and non-oscillation of solutions dated back to the time of C. Sturm in 1836. Since that period, researchers have continued to study the oscillation of differential equations [[5],[8],[24],[25],[27]] using different approaches.

The theory of fractional calculus attracted many researchers in the last few decades due to the applicability of fractional differential equations in science and engineering [[1], [9]-[12]]; thus, researchers have developed interest in the study of oscillation of the Caputo, Riemann-Liouville, modified Riemann-Liouville, and conformable fractional differential equations [[2]-[4],[6],[7],[19]].

In [[17],[18]], oscillation and non-oscillation of two-dimensional differential systems were studied. Ogunbanjo and Arawomo also investigated the oscillation criteria for a nonlinear conformable fractional differential system with a forcing term [[20]]. Some authors have worked on the oscillation of three-dimensional differential systems [[16],[23],[26]] using different methods.

However, to the best of our knowledge, little or no work has been done on the oscillation of three-dimensional nonlinear fractional delay differential system using

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 34K11, 55M10, 93C10, 70K40, 34A08.

Key words and phrases. Oscillation; Three dimensional nonlinear System, Forcing terms; Conformable fractional delay differential system.

Submitted Jan. 2, 2021. Revised May 14, 2021.

conformable fractional differential system with two or more forcing terms. Motivated by these observations, we study the following fractional differential system:

$$D^{\alpha}(x(t)) = p(t)g(y(\sigma(t))) D^{\alpha}(y(t)) = -q(t)v(z(t)) + \phi(t) D^{\alpha}(z(t)) = r(t)f(x(\tau(t))) + \psi(t, x(t)) t \ge t_0; \ 0 < \alpha < 1$$
 (1)

where  $D^{\alpha}$  denotes the conformable fractional derivative of order  $\alpha$  w.r.t t.

Now, we state some conditions that will be useful throughout this paper:

 $\Lambda_1 - p(t) \in C^{2\alpha}([t_0, \infty), \Re^+), q(t) \in C^{\alpha}([t_0, \infty), \Re^+), r(t) \in C([t_0, \infty), \Re^+); p(t), q(t)$ and r(t) are not identically zero on any interval of the form  $[T_0, \infty)$ , where  $T_0 \geq t_0$ , q(t) and r(t) are positive and decreasing;

 $\Lambda_2 - g \in C^{\alpha}(\Re, \Re), \ yg(y) > 0, \ D^{\alpha}g(y) \ge l' > 0, \ v \in C^{\alpha}(\Re, \Re), \ zv(z) > 0,$  $D^{\alpha}v(z) \geq m' > 0, f \in C^{\alpha}(\Re, \Re) \text{ and } xf(x) > 0, \text{ for } x \neq 0$ 

 $\Lambda_3$  -  $\sigma(t) \leq t$ ,  $\tau(t) \leq t$  with  $D^{\alpha}\sigma(t) \geq l > 0$   $\Lambda_4$ -  $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} s^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{b(s)} ds = \infty$ ,  $\int_{t_0}^{\infty} s^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{a(s)} ds = \infty$ , where  $b(t) = \frac{1}{q(t)}$ ,  $a(t) = \frac{1}{p(t)}$  and c(t) = ll'm'r(t); a(t), b(t) and c(t) are positive real valued continuous functions with  $(tz)^{\alpha-1} \ge 1$ 

 $\Lambda_5 - \frac{\phi(t)}{q(t)} \leq \xi(t), \ \frac{f(x)}{x(t)} \leq k \ , \ \frac{\psi(t,x(t))}{x(t)} \leq \gamma(t). \ \xi(t) \in C^{\alpha}([t_0,\infty),\Re^+), \ \xi_*'(t), \gamma(t) \in C([t_0,\infty),\Re^+) \text{ and k is a constant. } \psi(t,x(t)) \text{ is a continuous function on } [t_0,\infty) \times C([t_0,\infty),\Re^+) \text{ and k is a constant.}$  $\Re$ .

For a solution of system (1), we mean that it is a vector valued function  $\chi(t) =$ (x(t), y(t), z(t)) with  $T_1 = \min\{\tau(t_1), \sigma(t_1)\}\$  for some  $t_1 \ge t_0$  which has the property that  $b(t)D^{\alpha}(a(t)D^{\alpha}x(t)) \in C^{\alpha}([T_1, \infty), \Re)$  and the system (1) on  $[T_1, \infty)$ .

The solution (x(t), y(t), z(t)) of system (1) will be called oscillatory if all the components are oscillatory, otherwise it will be called nonoscillatory. The system (1) is called oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we are supposed to explain the basic concept of conformable fractional derivative, but we refer the readers who are not familiar with the concept of conformable fractional derivatives and its properties to see [[13]-[15]].

#### 3. Main Results

Here, we are concerned with the oscillation of system (1). Before this, we first state and establish the following lemmas needed in proving our theorems.

**Lemma 1.** Suppose  $\psi'(t) \leq M$  and let

$$t^{1-\alpha}x(t) \le (t-T)^3 \psi'(t)a(t)D^{\alpha}x(t)$$

Then.

$$\frac{x(t)}{a(t)D^{\alpha}x(t)} \leq \frac{(t-T)^3M}{t^{1-\alpha}}$$

**Lemma 2.** Suppose  $p(t) \le 0$ . Then, the first component x(t) of a nonoscillatory solution (x(t), y(t), z(t)) of (1) is also nonoscillatory.

**Proof.** The proof follows from Lemma 7.2.1[[21]].

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that  $(\Lambda_1)$  and  $(\Lambda_4)$  holds. Then, there exists a  $t_1 \geq t_0 \ni$ 

$$(\Lambda_a)$$
-  $x(t) > 0$ ,  $D^{\alpha}x(t) > 0$ ,  $D^{\alpha}(a(t)D^{\alpha}x(t)) > 0$  for  $t > t_1$ .

or

 $(\Lambda_b) - x(t) > 0, D^{\alpha}x(t) < 0, D^{\alpha}(a(t)D^{\alpha}x(t)) > 0 \text{ for } t \ge t_1 \text{ holds.}$ 

**Proof.** Let x(t) be an eventually positive solution of (1) on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . From (1) and conditions  $\Lambda_2$  -  $\Lambda_5$ , we arrived at

$$D^{\alpha}[b(t)D^{\alpha}[a(t)D^{\alpha}x(t)]] + c(t)f(x) + k_1\psi(t,x(t)) - k_2\xi'(t) \le 0$$
 (2)

where c(t) = ll'm'r(t),  $k_1(t) = ll'm'$  and  $k_2(t) = ll'$ .  $k_1(t)$  and  $k_2(t)$  are functions. From (2), we get

$$D^{\alpha}[b(t)D^{\alpha}[a(t)D^{\alpha}x(t)]] \leq 0 \text{ for } t \geq t_0$$

The rest of the proof follows from Lemma 3.2 [[16]].

**Lemma 4.** Suppose that the conditions  $(\Lambda_1)$  -  $(\Lambda_5)$  hold. Assume also that Case  $(\Lambda_b)$  of lemma 3 holds. If

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta^{\alpha - 1}}{a(\theta)} \left( \int_{\theta}^{\infty} \frac{\eta^{\alpha - 1}}{b(\eta)} \int_{\eta}^{\infty} s^{\alpha - 1} V(s) ds d\eta \right) d\theta = \infty \tag{3}$$

Then,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$ 

**Proof.** Considering Case  $(\Lambda_b)$  of lemma 3. Since x(t) is positive and decreasing, there exists a  $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = \mu \geq 0$ . If  $\mu > 0$ , and from (2), we have

$$\frac{D^{\alpha}[b(t)D^{\alpha}[a(t)D^{\alpha}x(t)]}{x(t)} \le -\frac{c(t)f(x)}{x(t)} - \frac{k_1\psi(t,x(t)))}{x(t)} + \frac{k_2\xi'(t)}{x(t)}$$

which implies that

$$D^{\alpha}[b(t)D^{\alpha}[a(t)D^{\alpha}x(t)]] \le -x(t)V(t)$$

where

$$\frac{\xi'(t)}{x(t)} = \xi'_*(t)$$
 and  $V(t) = kc(t) + k_1 \gamma(t) - k_2 \xi'_*(t)$ 

integrating the above inequality from t to  $\infty$  twice w.r.t  $d_{\alpha}s$ , we have

$$-D^{\alpha}x(t) \ge \frac{\mu}{a(t)} \int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{\eta^{\alpha-1}}{b(\eta)} \int_{r}^{\infty} s^{\alpha-1}V(s)dsd\eta$$

integrating the above inequality once again from  $t_0$  to  $\infty$  w.r.t  $d_{\alpha}s$ , we have

$$x(t_0) \geq \mu \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta^{\alpha-1}}{a(\theta)} \bigg( \int_{\theta}^{\infty} \frac{\eta^{\alpha-1}}{b(\eta)} \int_{\eta}^{\infty} s^{\alpha-1} V(s) ds d\eta \bigg) d\theta$$

which contradicts (3). Whence  $\mu = 0$  i.e  $x(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ 

Now, we state and prove our main results.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that the conditions  $(\Lambda_1)$ - $(\Lambda_5)$  hold and  $\exists$  a differentiable function  $\rho: [t_0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  such that

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \left[ \frac{(s-T)^3 \rho(s) \Phi(s) M}{s^{2(1-\alpha)}} - \frac{s^{1-\alpha} (\rho'(s))^2 b(s)}{4\rho(s)} \right] ds = \infty \tag{4}$$

then every solution of (1) is either oscillatory or  $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that (1) has a nonoscillatory solution (x(t), y(t), z(t)) on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . From lemma 2, x(t) is always nonoscillatory. Without loss of generality, we shall assume that x(t) > 0 and  $x(\tau(t)) > 0$  for  $t \ge T \ge t_0$ . Suppose also that case  $(\Lambda_a)$  of lemma 3 holds for  $t \ge t_1$ .

Define

$$\omega(t) = \rho(t) \frac{b(t)D^{\alpha}[a(t)D^{\alpha}x(t)]}{a(t)D^{\alpha}x(t)}$$
(5)

Thus,  $\omega(t) > 0$ , for  $t \ge t_1$ 

$$D^{\alpha}\omega(t) = \frac{b(t)D^{\alpha}[a(t)D^{\alpha}x(t)]}{a(t)D^{\alpha}x(t)}D^{\alpha}\rho(t) + \rho(t)\frac{D^{\alpha}[b(t)D^{\alpha}[a(t)D^{\alpha}x(t)]]}{a(t)D^{\alpha}x(t)} - b(t)[\frac{D^{\alpha}[a(t)D^{\alpha}x(t)]}{a(t)D^{\alpha}x(t)}]^{2}$$

using (2), (5), assumption  $\Lambda_5$  and lemma 1 respectively in (6), we have

$$\omega'(t) \le \frac{\rho'(t)}{\rho(t)}\omega(t) - \frac{\rho(t)(t-T)^3}{t^{1-\alpha}}M\Phi(t) - \frac{\omega^2(t)}{t^{1-\alpha}\rho(t)b(t)}$$
(7)

where  $\Phi(t) = k(t)c(t) - k_1(t)\gamma(t) - k_2(t)\xi'_*(t)$ 

simplifying the above inequality and later integrating from t to  $t_0$ , we get

$$\omega(t) \le \omega(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^t \left[ \frac{(s-T)^3 \rho(s)}{s^{2(1-\alpha)}} M\Phi(s) - \frac{s^{1-\alpha} (\rho'(s))^2 b(s)}{4\rho(s)} \right] ds$$

taking the  $\limsup as t \to \infty$ , we have

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \omega(t) \le -\infty$$

which contradicts (4), the proof is complete.

Corollary 1. If conditions  $(\Lambda_1)$ - $(\Lambda_5)$  hold such that (4) is replaced by

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{(s-T)^3 \rho(s)}{s^{2(1-\alpha)}} M\Phi(s) ds = \infty$$
 (8)

and

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{s^{1-\alpha}(\rho'(s))^2 b(s)}{4\rho(s)} ds < \infty \tag{9}$$

Then, every solution of (1) is either oscillatory or  $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$ .

In what follows, we introduce a class of functions G. Let

$$D_0 = \{(t, s) : t > s \ge t_0\}; D = \{(t, s) : t \ge s \ge t_0\}$$

The function  $H \in C(D, \Re)$  is said to belong to the class G, if

$$\Lambda_6 - H(t,t) = 0 \text{ for } t \ge t_0; H(t,s) > 0 \text{ for } (t,s) \in D_0$$

 $\Lambda_7$  - H(t,s) has a continuous and non-positive partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial H(t,s)}{\partial s} \quad and \quad h(t,s) = \frac{\partial H(t,s)}{\partial s} + H(t,s) \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)}$$

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that the conditions  $(\Lambda_1)$ - $(\Lambda_7)$  hold and  $\exists$  a differentiable function  $\rho: [t_0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  such that

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \left[ \frac{(s - T)^3 H(t, s) \rho(s) \Phi(s) M}{s^{2(1 - \alpha)}} - \frac{s^{1 - \alpha} \rho(s) b(s) h^2(t, s)}{4 H(t, s)} \right] ds = \infty$$
(10)

Then every solution of (1) is either oscillatory or  $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that (1) has a nonoscillatory solution (x(t), y(t), z(t)) on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . Following the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain (7). Multiplying (7) by H(t, s) and integrate from  $t_0$  to t, we get

$$\begin{split} \int_{t_0}^t H(t,s) \omega'(s) ds & \leq \int_{t_0}^t H(t,s) \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} \omega(s) ds - \int_{t_0}^t H(t,s) \frac{\rho(s)(s-T)^3}{s^{1-\alpha}} M \Phi(s) ds \\ & - \int_{t_0}^t H(t,s) \frac{\omega^2(s)}{s^{1-\alpha} \rho(s) b(s)} ds \end{split}$$

simplifying the above inequality, we arrive at

$$\int_{t_0}^t \left[ \frac{(s-T)^3 H(t,s) \rho(s) \Phi(s) M}{s^{2(1-\alpha)}} - \frac{s^{1-\alpha} \rho(s) b(s) h^2(t,s)}{4 H(t,s)} \right] ds \leq H(t,t_0) \omega(t_0)$$

dividing the inequality above by  $H(t,t_0)$  and taking the  $\limsup t \to \infty$ , we have

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{H(t,t_0)}\int_{t_0}^t \bigg[\frac{(s-T)^3H(t,s)\rho(s)\Phi(s)M}{s^{2(1-\alpha)}} - \frac{s^{1-\alpha}\rho(s)b(s)h^2(t,s)}{4H(t,s)}\bigg]ds \leq \omega(t_0) < \infty$$

which contradicts (10), the proof is complete.

Corollary 2. If conditions  $(\Lambda_1)$ - $(\Lambda_7)$  hold such that (10) is replaced by

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \frac{(s - T)^3 H(t, s) \rho(s) \Phi(s) M}{s^{2(1 - \alpha)}} ds = \infty$$
 (11)

and

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{H(t,t_0)}\int_{t_0}^t\frac{s^{1-\alpha}\rho(s)b(s)h^2(t,s)}{4H(t,s)}ds<\infty \tag{12}$$

Then, every solution of (1) is either oscillatory or  $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$ .

Let  $H(t,s) = (E(t) - E(s))^{\beta}$ , such that

$$E(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \frac{ds}{e(s)} \ and \ \limsup_{t \to \infty} E(t) = \infty$$

for a positive constant  $\beta > 1$ . Then we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that the conditions  $(\Lambda_1)$ - $(\Lambda_7)$  hold and  $\exists$  a differentiable function  $\rho: [t_0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$  such that

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{(E(t) - E(t_0))^{\beta}} \int_{t_0}^t (E(t) - E(s))^{\beta} \rho(s) \times \left[ \frac{(s - T)^3 \Phi(s) M}{s^{2(1 - \alpha)}} - \frac{s^{1 - \alpha} b(s)}{4} \left( \frac{\beta}{e(s)(E(t) - E(s))} - \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} \right)^2 \right] ds = \infty$$
 (13)

Then every solution of (1) is either oscillatory or  $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$ .

**Proof.** Following the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain (7). Multiplying (7) by  $(E(t) - E(s))^{\beta}$  and integrate from  $t_0$  to t, we get

$$\int_{t_0}^t (E(t) - E(s))^{\beta} \rho(s) \left[ \frac{(s - T)^3 \Phi(s) M}{s^{2(1 - \alpha)}} - \frac{s^{1 - \alpha} b(s)}{4} \left( \frac{\beta}{e(s) (E(t) - E(s))} - \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} \right)^2 \right] ds$$

$$\leq (E(t) - E(t_0))^{\beta} \omega(t_0)$$

dividing the above inequality by  $(E(t) - E(t_0))^{\beta}$  and taking the lim sup as  $t \to \infty$ , we arrive at

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{(E(t) - E(t_0))^{\beta}} \int_{t_0}^t (E(t) - E(s))^{\beta} \rho(s) \times \left[ \frac{(s - T)^3 \Phi(s) M}{s^{2(1 - \alpha)}} - \frac{s^{1 - \alpha} b(s)}{4} \left( \frac{\beta}{e(s)(E(t) - E(s))} - \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} \right)^2 \right] ds \le \omega(t_0) < \infty$$

which contradicts (13), the proof is complete.

Corollary 3. If conditions  $(\Lambda_1)$ - $(\Lambda_7)$  hold such that (13) is replaced by

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{(E(t) - E(t_0))^{\beta}} \int_{t_0}^t (E(t) - E(s))^{\beta} \rho(s) \frac{(s - T)^3 \Phi(s) M}{s^{2(1 - \alpha)}} ds = \infty$$
 (14)

and

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{(E(t) - E(t_0))^{\beta}} \int_{t_0}^t (E(t) - E(s))^{\beta} \rho(s) \frac{s^{1 - \alpha} b(s)}{4} \left( \frac{\beta}{e(s)(E(t) - E(s))} - \frac{\rho'(s)}{\rho(s)} \right)^2 ds < \infty$$
(15)

Then, every solution of (1) is either oscillatory or  $\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) = 0$ .

## Example

In this section, we give example to show the relevance of our results.

Consider the system of fractional differential equations

$$D^{3/5}(x(t)) = 2\sqrt{t}(y^2(\frac{3t}{2}) + 1)$$

$$D^{3/5}(y(t)) = -t^{1/5}z(t)\exp(z(t)) + t^{1/4}$$

$$D^{3/5}(z(t)) = \frac{6}{\sqrt{t}}(\frac{x(t)}{x^2(t)+1}) + \frac{5x^2(t)+3}{t}$$

$$0 < \alpha = \frac{3}{5} < 1, \ t_0 = 1$$

$$(16)$$

By comparing (1) with (16), we deduce that

$$\alpha = 3/5, \quad p(t) = 2\sqrt{t}, \quad q(t) = t^{1/5}, \quad \sigma(t) = \frac{3t}{2}, 
r(t) = \frac{6}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad h(z(t)) = z(t) \exp(z(t)), \quad g(y(\delta(t))) = y^2(\frac{3t}{2}) + 1, 
\phi(t) = t^{1/4}, \quad \psi(t, x(t)) = \frac{5x^2(t) + 3}{t}, \quad f(x(t)) = \frac{x(t)}{x^2(t) + 1}$$
(17)

Also, we set

$$\begin{cases}
\rho(t) = 1, & x(t) = t^2 \\
H(t,s) = (t-s)^2, & M = 7, & z(t) = t
\end{cases}$$
(18)

From (17) and (18), we get

$$D^{\alpha}[h(z(t))] \geq 5t^{2/5} = m'$$

$$D^{\alpha}[g(y(\sigma(t)))] \geq 2t^{2/5} = l', \quad \frac{\partial H(t,s)}{\partial s} = -2(t-s)$$

$$D^{\alpha}[\sigma(t))] \geq 1.5t^{2/5} = l, \quad \rho'(t) = 0, \quad a(t) = 1/(2\sqrt{t})$$

$$b(t) = 1/(t^{1/5}), \quad \frac{\psi(t,x)}{x(t)} \leq 8t = \gamma(t)$$

$$\frac{\phi(t)}{q(t)} < t = \xi(t), \quad \xi'(t) = 1, \quad \frac{\xi'(t)}{x(t)} = 1/t^2 \leq t = \xi'_*(t)$$

$$\frac{f(x(t))}{x(t)} \leq \frac{t^3}{2} = k(t)$$

$$(19)$$

This implies that

$$c(t) = ll'm'r(t) = 90t^{-109/250}, \quad k_1(t) = ll'm' = 15t^{\frac{8}{125}}, \quad k_2 = ll' = 3t^{4/25}$$

$$V(t) = \Phi(t) = 45t^{2.56} + 120t^{1.06} - 3t^{1.2}, \quad h(t,s) = -2(t-s), \quad h^2(t,s) = 4(t-s)^2$$

$$(20)$$

using condition  $\Lambda_4$ ,

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{s^{\alpha - 1}}{a(s)} ds = \int_{1}^{\infty} s^{-2/5} \times 2s^{1/2} ds = \int_{1}^{\infty} 2s^{1/10} ds = \infty$$
$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{s^{\alpha - 1}}{b(s)} ds = \int_{1}^{\infty} s^{-2/5} \times s^{1/5} ds = \int_{1}^{\infty} s^{-1/5} ds = \infty$$

By substituting (19) and (20) into (3), we have

$$\begin{split} & \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta^{\alpha-1}}{a(\theta)} \bigg( \int_{\theta}^{\infty} \frac{\eta^{\alpha-1}}{b(\eta)} \int_{\eta}^{\infty} s^{\alpha-1} V(s) ds d\eta \bigg) d\theta \\ & = \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{2\theta^{-2/5}}{1/(\theta^{1/2})} \bigg( \int_{\theta}^{\infty} \frac{\eta^{-2/5}}{1/(\eta^{1/5})} \int_{\eta}^{\infty} s^{-2/5} (45s^{2.56} + 120s^{1.06} - 3s^{1.2}) ds d\eta \bigg) d\theta = \infty \end{split}$$

because

$$\int_{n}^{\infty} s^{-2/5} (45s^{2.56} + 120s^{1.06} - 3s^{1.2}) ds = \infty$$

for  $\eta \geq 1$ , and so equation (3) holds.

Also substituting (18) - (20) into the left hand side of (4), we have

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \left[ \frac{(s-T)^3 \rho(s) \Phi(s) M}{s^{2(1-\alpha)}} - \frac{s^{1-\alpha} \rho^{'2}(s) b(s)}{4\rho(s)} \right] ds$$

$$= \limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{1}^{\infty} 7(s-T)^3 (s^{-4/5}) (45s^{2.56} + 120s^{1.06} - 3s^{1.2}) ds$$

$$= \limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{1}^{\infty} 7(s-T)^3 (45s^{1.76} + 120s^{0.26} - 3s^{0.4}) ds = \infty$$

In corollary 1, (8) gives infinity and (9) gives zero.

In the same way, we substitute (17) - (20) into the left hand side of (10), we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \frac{1}{H(t, t_0)} \int_{t_0}^t \left[ \frac{(s - T)^3 H(t, s) \rho(s) \Phi(s) M}{s^{2(1 - \alpha)}} - \frac{s^{1 - \alpha} \rho(s) b(s) h^2(t, s)}{4 H(t, s)} \right] ds = \limsup_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{(t - 1)^2}$$

$$\times \int_{1}^{\infty} \left[ 7(s - T)^3 (t - s)^2 (45s^{1.76} + 120s^{0.26} - 3s^{0.4}) - s^{1/5} \right] ds = \infty$$

In corollary 2, (11) gives infinity and (12) is zero. These show that Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 with their corollaries are satisfied. Hence, every solution of (16) is either oscillatory or tends to zero since (3) holds.

Suppose

$$\beta = 2 \text{ and } e(s) = 1, \text{ then } E(t) = t.$$
 (21)

Substitute (18) - (21) into the left hand side of (13), we arrived at infinity. Similarly in corollary 3, (14) gives infinity and (15) gives zero. These shows that Theorem 3 and its corollary are satisfied. Whence, every solution of (16) is either oscillatory or tends to zero.

#### References

- [1] Arshad Ali, Kamal Shah, Thabet Abdeljawad, Hasib Khan and Aziz Khan-Study of fractional order pantograph type impulsive antiperiodic boundary value problem. Advances in Difference Equations. 2020 Dec;2020(1):1-32.
- [2] D.X. Chen, Oscillation criteria of fractional differential equations, Adv. Diff. Equ., Vol 2012, pp 1-18, 2012
- [3] D.X.Chen, "Oscillatory behaviour of a class of fractional differential equations with damping", U.P.B.Sci.Bull. Series A, Vol 75, no 1, pp 107-118, 2013.
- [4] Da-Xue Chen, Pei-Xin Qu and Yong-Hong Lan, "Forced oscillation of certain fractional differential equation, Adv. Diff.Equ. 2013,(125-135).
- [5] L.H Erbe, Q.K. Kong and B.G. Zhang, "Oscillation theory for functional diffderential equations", Marcel Dekker, New York, 1995.
- [6] Q. Feng, "Interval Oscillation Criteria for a class of Nonlinear Fractional Differential Equations with Nonlinear Damping Term", International Journal of Applied Mathematics, 43:3, 2013.
- [7] Q. Feng, Fanwei Meng, "Oscillation of solutions to nonlinear forced fractional differential equations", Electronic Journal of Differential Equ., Vol 2(2013), no. 169, pp 1-10
- [8] I.Gyori and G.Ladas, "Oscillation theory of delay differential equations with applications", Clarendon press, Oxford, 1991. derivative with classical properties", e-print arXiv:1410.6535, (2014)

- [9] Hasib Khan , Cemil Tunc and Aziz Khan Stability results and existence theorems for nonlinear delay-fractional differential equations with  $\Phi_p$ -operator. J. Appl. Anal. Comput. 10 (2020), no. 2, 584-597.
- [10] Hasib Khan, Cemil Tunc, and Aziz Khan- Green function's properties and existence theorems for nonlinear singular-delayfractional differential equations with p-Laplacian. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S. 13 (2020), no. 9, 2475-2487.
- [11] Hasib Khan, Cemil Tunc, Wen Chen, and Aziz Khan Existence theorems and Hyers-Ulam stability for a class of Hybrid fractional differential equations with p-Laplacian operator. J. Appl. Anal. Comput. (2018), no. 4, 1211-1226.
- [12] Juan E. Napoles Valdes, Cemil Tunc- On the Boundedness and Oscillation of Nonconformable Lienard Equation, J. Fract. Calc. Appl. 11 (2020), no.2, 92-101.
- [13] U.N. Katugampola, "A new fractional derivatives with classical properties", e-print arXiv:1410.6535,(2014).
- [14] U.N. Katugampola, "A new approach to generalised fractional derivatives Bull.Math.Anal.Appl.,6(4) (2004) 1-15.
- [15] R. Khalil, M.Al Horani, A Yousef, M. Sababheli: A new definition of Fractional derivatives; Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics (2014).
- [16] A. Kilicman, V. Sadhasivam, M. Deepa and N. Nagajothi: "Oscillatory Behavior of Three Dimensional a-Fractional Delay Differential Systems", Symmetry (2018).
- [17] I.G.E. Kordonis and Ch.G.Philos, "On the Oscillation of nonlinear two-dimensional differential systems", Proc. Amer.Math. Soc.,126(1998), 1661-1667.
- [18] A.Lomtatidze, and Sremr J.: "On oscillation and nonoscillation of two-dimensional linear differential systems", Georgian Math.J. (2013), Vol 20, pp 573-600.
- [19] A. Ogunbanjo and P. Arawomo, Oscillation of solutions to a generalised forced nonlinear conformable fractional differential equation; Proyecciones (Antofogasta, on line), vol.38, no.3 pp. 429-445, Aug 2019, doi:10.22199/issn. 0717-6279-2019-03-002
- [20] A. Ogunbanjo and P. Arawomo, "Oscillation Criteria of Nonlinear Conformable Fractional Differential System with a Forcing term", Advances in differential equations and control processes, doi.org—10.17654. ISSN: 0974-3243.
- [21] Ravip. Agarwal, Martin Bohner, Wan-Tong Li, "Non-oscillation and Oscilation Theory for Functional Differential Equations. Marcel Dekker, Inc. 2004
- [22] V. Sadhasivam, J. Kavitha and M. Deepa: "Existence of solutions of three dimensional fractional differential systems", Applied Mathematics, 8(2017), 193-208.
- [23] Spanikova, E. Oscillatory properties of solutions of three-dimensional differential systems of neutral type. Czechoslov. Math. J. 2000, Vol 50, pp 879-887.
- [24] V.A. Stakos and Y.G. Sfica," Forced oscillation for differential equations of arbitrary order", J. Diff. Eqns 17(1975), 1-11.
- [25] H.Teufel, Jr; "Forced second order nonlinear oscillation, J. Math.Anal.Appl. 40(1972), 148-152.
- [26] Thandapani, E.; Selvaraj, B. Oscillatory behavior of solutions of three-dimensional delay difference systems. Rodov. Mater. 2004, Vol. 13, pp 39-52.
- [27] Troy, W.C. Oscillations in a third order differential equation modeling a nuclear reactor. SIAM J. Appl. Math. 1977, Vol. 32, pp 146-153.

Ogunbanjo A.M

FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF IBADAN, IBADAN, NIGERIA.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: ogunbanjoadeyemi@gmail.com}$ 

Arawomo P.O

Department of Mathematics, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: womopeter@gmail.com}$