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#### Abstract

This aim of this paper is to establish the coefficient estimates, distortion theorems, growth theorems and inclusion relations for certain new subclasses of univalent functions in the open unit disc $E=\{z:|z|<1\}$. The results established here, will generalize earlier known results.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denotes the class of analytic functions in the unit disc $E=\{z:|z|<1\}$, which are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and normalized by $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$. $\mathcal{S}$ is the class of functions in $\mathcal{A}$, which are univalent in $E$.

By $\mathcal{U}$, we denote the class of Schwarzian functions $w(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}$, which are analytic in the unit disc $E$ such that $w(0)=0,|w(z)|<1$.

For two functions $f$ and $g$ analytic in $E, f$ is said to be subordinate to $g$ (symbolically $f \prec g$ ) if a Schwarzian function $w(z) \in \mathcal{U}$ can be found for which $f(z)=g(w(z))$. Under the assumption that $g$ is univalent, we have $f \prec g$ if and only if $f(0)=g(0)$ and $f(E) \subset g(E)$.

The well known classes of starlike and convex functions are denoted by $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ respectively and defined as

$$
\mathcal{S}^{*}=\left\{f: f \in \mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>0, z \in E\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{K}=\left\{f: f \in \mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>0, z \in E\right\} .
$$

[^0]The classes $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are related by the Alexander relation [1] as $f \in \mathcal{K}$ if and only if $z f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$.

Kaplan [3] introduced the class $\mathcal{C}$ of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right)>0$, $h \in \mathcal{K}$ or equivalently $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right)>0$ where $g=z h^{\prime}$ is starlike. The functions in the class $\mathcal{C}$ are known as close-to-convex functions. Subsequently, Noor [6] introduced the class $\mathcal{C}^{*}$ of quasi-convex functions defined as

$$
\mathcal{C}^{*}=\left\{f: f \in \mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right)>0, h \in \mathcal{K}, z \in E\right\}
$$

Every quasi-convex function is convex and close-to-convex and so is univalent. Also $f \in \mathcal{C}^{*}$ if and only if $z f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{C}$.

Singh and Singh [10] discussed the class $\mathcal{C}_{s}^{*}$ as

$$
\mathcal{C}_{s}^{*}=\left\{f: f \in \mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right)>0, g \in \mathcal{S}^{*}, z \in E\right\}
$$

For $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$, Xiong and Liu [11] and Singh and Singh [10] studied the classes $\mathcal{C}^{*}(A, B)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{s}^{*}(A, B)$ respectively, which are the subclasses of quasi-convex functions defined as:

$$
\mathcal{C}^{*}(A, B)=\left\{f: f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{h^{\prime}(z)} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}, h \in \mathcal{K}, z \in E\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{C}_{s}^{*}(A, B)=\left\{f: f \in \mathcal{A}, \frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}(z)} \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}, g \in \mathcal{S}^{*}, z \in E\right\}
$$

Some other subclasses of quasi-convex functions were studied in $[8,9,10]$.
For $-1 \leq D<C \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha<1$, Polatoglu et al. [7] defined the class $\mathcal{P}(C, D ; \alpha)$ which consists of the functions $p(z)$ such that $p(z) \prec \frac{1+[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] z}{1+D z}$. For $\alpha=0$, the class $\mathcal{P}(C, D ; \alpha)$ agrees with $\mathcal{P}(C, D)$, which is a subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ introduced by Janowski [2].

Noor [6] introduced the class $\mathcal{K}_{1}$ which includes the functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right)>0$ where $g \in \mathcal{C}^{*}$. Obviously, $\mathcal{C}^{*} \subset \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{K}_{1}$.

Throughout this paper, we assume that

$$
-1 \leq D \leq B<A \leq C \leq 1,0 \leq \alpha<1, z \in E
$$

Motivated and stimulated by the above work, we now introduce the following subclasses of univalent functions:

Definition 1 Let $\mathcal{K}_{1}(A, B ; C, D ; \alpha)$ be the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ which satisfy the condition

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)} \prec \frac{1+[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] z}{1+D z}
$$

where $g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{C}^{*}(A, B)$.
The following observations are obvious:
(i) $\mathcal{K}_{1}(A, B ; C, D ; 0) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{1}(A, B ; C, D)$.
(ii) $\mathcal{K}_{1}(1,-1 ; C, D ; 0) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{1}(C, D)$.
(iii) $\mathcal{K}_{1}(1,-1 ; 1,-1 ; 0) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{1}$.

Definition 2 Let $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}(A, B ; C, D ; \alpha)$ denote the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and satisfying the condition

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)} \prec \frac{1+[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] z}{1+D z},
$$

where $h(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{C}_{s}^{*}(A, B)$.
We have the following observations:
(i) $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}(A, B ; C, D ; 0) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}(A, B ; C, D)$.
(ii) $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}(1,-1 ; C, D ; 0) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}(C, D)$.
(iii) $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}(1,-1 ; 1,-1 ; 0) \equiv \mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}$.

The paper is concerned with the study of the classes $\mathcal{K}_{1}(A, B ; C, D ; \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}(A, B ; C, D ; \alpha)$. We obtain the coefficient estimates, distortion theorems, growth theorems and inclusion relations for the functions in these classes. Some earlier established results follow as special cases.

## 2. Preliminary Results

Lemma $1[7]$ If $P(z)=\frac{1+[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] w(z)}{1+D w(z)}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n} z^{n}$, then

$$
\left|p_{n}\right| \leq(C-D)(1-\alpha), n \geq 1
$$

Lemma 2 [10] If $g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{C}^{*}(A, B)$, then for $A-(n-1) B \geq$ $(n-2), n \geq 3$,

$$
\left|b_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n}+\frac{(n-1)(A-B)}{2 n}
$$

Lemma 3 [10] If $g(z) \in \mathcal{C}^{*}(A, B)$, then for $|z|=r<1$, for $B \neq-1$,
$\frac{A-B}{r(1+B)^{2}} \log \left(\frac{1-B r}{1+r}\right)+\frac{1+A}{(1+B)(1+r)} \leq\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{A-B}{r(1+B)^{2}} \log \left(\frac{1-r}{1+B r}\right)+\frac{1+A}{(1+B)(1-r)}$, and for $B=-1$,

$$
-\frac{1+A}{2 r(1+r)^{2}}+\frac{A}{r(1+r)}+\frac{1}{2 r}(1-A) \leq\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1+A}{2 r(1-r)^{2}}-\frac{A}{r(1-r)}+\frac{1}{2 r}(A-1) .
$$

Lemma 4 [10] If $h(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{C}_{s}^{*}(A, B)$, then for $A-(n-1) B \geq$ $(n-2), n \geq 3$,

$$
\left|d_{n}\right| \leq 1+\frac{(A-B)(n-1)(2 n-1)}{6 n}
$$

Lemma 5 [10] If $h(z) \in \mathcal{C}_{s}^{*}(A, B)$, then for $|z|=r<1$, for $B \neq-1, B \neq 0$,
$L_{1} \leq\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq L_{2}$, where
$L_{1}=\frac{(B-1)}{r(B+1)^{3}} \log \left|\frac{1+r}{1-B r}\right|+\left[\frac{(B-1)}{B(B+1)^{2}}-\frac{A}{B}\right] \frac{1}{1+r}+\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\frac{A}{B}-\frac{(B-1)}{B(B+1)}\right] \frac{(2+r)}{(1+r)^{2}}$,
$L_{2}=\frac{(B-1)}{r(B+1)^{3}} \log \left|\frac{1+B r}{1-r}\right|+\left[\frac{(B-1)}{B(B+1)^{2}}-\frac{A}{B}\right] \frac{1}{1-r}+\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\frac{A}{B}-\frac{(B-1)}{B(B+1)}\right] \frac{(2-r)}{(1-r)^{2}}$,
and for $B=-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{A}{1+r}-\frac{(3 A+1)(2+r)}{2(1+r)^{2}}+\frac{2(A+1)\left(3+3 r+r^{2}\right)}{3(1+r)^{3}} \\
& \quad \leq\left|h^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{A}{1-r}-\frac{(3 A+1)(2-r)}{2(1-r)^{2}}+\frac{2(A+1)\left(3-3 r+r^{2}\right)}{3(1-r)^{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 6 [4] Let $-1 \leq D_{2} \leq D_{1}<C_{1} \leq C_{2} \leq 1$, then

$$
\frac{1+C_{1} z}{1+D_{1} z} \prec \frac{1+C_{2} z}{1+D_{2} z} .
$$

## 3. The class $\mathcal{K}_{1}(A, B ; C, D ; \alpha)$

Theorem 1 Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{1}(A, B ; C, D ; \alpha)$, then for $A-(n-1) B \geq(n-2), n \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{n}+\frac{(n-1)(A-B)}{2 n}+\frac{(C-D)(1-\alpha)(n-1)}{n}\left[1+\frac{(A-B)(n-2)}{4}\right] . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bounds are sharp.
Proof. In Definition 1, using Principle of subordination, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=g^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{1+C w(z)}{1+D w(z)}\right), w(z) \in \mathcal{U} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On expanding (3), it yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1+2 a_{2} z+3 a_{3} z^{2}+\ldots+n a_{n} z^{n-1}+\ldots \\
& \quad=\left(1+2 b_{2} z+3 b_{3} z^{2}+\ldots+n b_{n} z^{n-1}+\ldots\right)\left(1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\ldots+p_{n-1} z^{n-1}+\ldots\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Equating the coefficients of $z^{n-1}$ in (4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n a_{n}=n b_{n}+(n-1) p_{1} b_{n-1}+(n-2) p_{2} b_{n-2} \ldots+2 p_{n-2} b_{2}+p_{n-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying triangle inequality and Lemma 1 in (5), it gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
n\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n\left|b_{n}\right|+(C-D)(1-\alpha)\left[(n-1)\left|b_{n-1}\right|+(n-2)\left|b_{n-2}\right| \ldots+2\left|b_{2}\right|+1\right] . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Lemma 2 in (6), the result (2) is obvious.
For $n=2$, equality sign in (2) holds for the functions $f_{n}$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{z}\left(\frac{1+[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] \delta_{1} z^{n}}{1+D \delta_{1} z^{n}}\right) \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{\left(1-\delta_{2} z\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{1+A \delta_{3} z^{n}}{1+B \delta_{3} z^{n}}\right) d z \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|\delta_{1}\right|=\left|\delta_{2}\right|=\left|\delta_{3}\right|=1$.
Remark 1 (i) On putting $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 1, we can easily get the result for the class $\mathcal{K}_{1}(A, B ; C, D)$.
(ii) For $A=1, B=-1, \alpha=0$, Theorem 1 yields the result for the class $\mathcal{K}_{1}(C, D)$.
(iii) For $A=1, B=-1, C=1, D=-1, \alpha=0$, Theorem 1 agrees with the result due to Noor [6].

Theorem 2 If $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{1}(A, B ; C, D ; \alpha)$, then for $|z|=r, 0<r<1$, we have, for $B \neq-1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{1-[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] r}{1-D r}\right)\left[\frac{A-B}{r(1+B)^{2}} \log \left(\frac{1-B r}{1+r}\right)+\frac{1+A}{(1+B)(1+r)}\right] \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left(\frac{1+[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] r}{1+D r}\right)\left[\frac{A-B}{r(1+B)^{2}} \log \left(\frac{1-r}{1+B r}\right)+\frac{1+A}{(1+B)(1-r)}\right] \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\int_{0}^{r}\left(\frac{1-[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] t}{1-D t}\right)\left[\frac{A-B}{t(1+B)^{2}} \log \left(\frac{1-B t}{1+t}\right)+\frac{1+A}{(1+B)(1+t)}\right] d t \leq|f(z)|
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq \int_{0}^{r}\left(\frac{1+[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] t}{1+D t}\right)\left[\frac{A-B}{t(1+B)^{2}} \log \left(\frac{1-t}{1+B t}\right)+\frac{1+A}{(1+B)(1-t)}\right] d t \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $B=-1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{1-[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] r}{1-D r}\right)\left[-\frac{1+A}{2 r(1+r)^{2}}+\frac{A}{r(1+r)}+\frac{1}{2 r}(1-A)\right] \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left(\frac{1+[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] r}{1+D r}\right)\left[\frac{1+A}{2 r(1-r)^{2}}-\frac{A}{r(1-r)}+\frac{1}{2 r}(A-1)\right]  \tag{10}\\
& \int_{0}^{r}\left(\frac{1-[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] t}{1-D t}\right)\left[-\frac{1+A}{2 t(1+t)^{2}}+\frac{A}{t(1+t)}+\frac{1}{2 t}(1-A)\right] d t \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \int_{0}^{r}\left(\frac{1+[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] t}{1+D t}\right)\left[\frac{1+A}{2 t(1-t)^{2}}-\frac{A}{t(1-t)}+\frac{1}{2 t}(A-1)\right] d t \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Estimates are sharp.
Proof. From (3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|=\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|\left|\frac{1+[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] w(z)}{1+D w(z)}\right|, w(z) \in \mathcal{U} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be easily proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] r}{1-D r} \leq\left|\frac{1+[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] w(z)}{1+D w(z)}\right| \leq \frac{1+[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] r}{1+D r} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $g(z) \in \mathcal{C}^{*}(A, B)$, so using Lemma 3 and (13) in (12), the results (8) and (10) can be easily obtained. On integrating (8) and (10) from 0 to $r$, the results (9) and (11) are obvious.

Sharpness follows for the function $f_{n}$ defined in (7).
Remark 2 (i) On putting $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 2, we can easily get the result for the class $\mathcal{K}_{1}(A, B ; C, D)$.
(ii) For $A=1, B=-1, \alpha=0$, Theorem 2 agrees with the result for the class $\mathcal{K}_{1}(C, D)$.
(iii) For $A=1, B=-1, C=1, D=-1, \alpha=0$, the result due to Noor [6] can be easily obtained from Theorem 2.

Theorem 3 Let $-1 \leq D_{2}=D_{1}<C_{1} \leq C_{2} \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha_{2} \leq \alpha_{1}<1$, then

$$
\mathcal{K}_{1}\left(A, B ; C_{1}, D_{1} ; \alpha_{1}\right) \subset \mathcal{K}_{1}\left(A, B ; C_{2}, D_{2} ; \alpha_{2}\right)
$$

Proof As $f \in \mathcal{K}_{1}\left(A, B ; C_{1}, D_{1} ; \alpha_{1}\right)$, so

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)} \prec \frac{1+\left[D_{1}+\left(C_{1}-D_{1}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right)\right] z}{1+D_{1} z} .
$$

As $-1 \leq D_{2}=D_{1}<C_{1} \leq C_{2} \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha_{2} \leq \alpha_{1}<1$, we have

$$
-1 \leq D_{1}+\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(C_{1}-D_{1}\right) \leq D_{2}+\left(1-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(C_{2}-D_{2}\right) \leq 1
$$

So by Lemma 6, we obtain

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)} \prec \frac{1+\left[D_{2}+\left(C_{2}-D_{2}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{2}\right)\right] z}{1+D_{2} z},
$$

which implies $f \in \mathcal{K}_{1}\left(A, B ; C_{2}, D_{2} ; \alpha_{2}\right)$.
Theorem 4 If $f \in \mathcal{K}_{1}(A, B ; C, D ; \alpha)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}[2+3(A-B)+2(C-D)(1-\alpha)] \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{7}{9}+\frac{(C-D)(1-\alpha)}{6}[4+3(A-B)]+\frac{1}{3} D^{2}+\frac{(A-B)}{36}[58+27 A-35 B] . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimates are sharp.
Proof. Using the principle of subordination in Definition 1, we obtain

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{1+[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] w(z)}{1+D w(z)} .
$$

On expanding and comparing the coefficients, it leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=b_{2}+\frac{(C-D)(1-\alpha)}{2} c_{1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=b_{3}+\frac{2}{3}(C-D)(1-\alpha) b_{2} c_{1}+\frac{(C-D)(1-\alpha)}{3}\left[c_{2}-D c_{1}^{2}\right]+\frac{4}{3} b_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{3} D^{2} c_{1}^{2} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $g(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k} \in \mathcal{C}^{*}(A, B)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}[2+3(A-B)] \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{18}[8+(A-B)\{11-4 B\}] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also it was proved in [5], that for any complex number $\gamma$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{2}-\gamma c_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \max \{1,|\gamma|\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (18), (19) and (20) along with the inequality $\left|c_{1}\right| \leq 1$ in (16) and (17), the results (14) and (15) are obvious.
The results are sharp for the functions defined in (7).

## 4. The Class $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}(A, B ; C, D ; \alpha)$

Theorem 5 Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}(A, B ; C, D ; \alpha)$, then for $A-(n-1) B \geq(n-2), n \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1+\frac{(n-1)(2 n-1)(A-B)}{6 n}+\frac{(C-D)(1-\alpha)(n-1)}{2}\left[1+\frac{(n-2)(4 n-3)(A-B)}{18 n}\right] \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The results are sharp.
Proof. From Definition 2, using Lemma 1 and Lemma 4 and following the procedure of Theorem 1, the result (21) can be easily derived.
For $n=2$, equality sign in (14) hold for the functions $f_{n}(z)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{z}\left(\frac{1+[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] \delta_{1} z^{n}}{1+D \delta_{1} z^{n}}\right) \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1+\delta_{2} z}{\left(1-\delta_{2} z\right)^{3}}\left(\frac{1+A \delta_{3} z^{n}}{1+B \delta_{3} z^{n}}\right) d z,\left|\delta_{1}\right|=\left|\delta_{2}\right|=\left|\delta_{3}\right|=1 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3 (i) On putting $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 5, we can easily get the result for the class $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}(A, B ; C, D)$.
(ii) For $A=1, B=-1, \alpha=0$, Theorem 5 agrees with the result for the class $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}(C, D)$.
(iii) For $A=1, B=-1, C=1, D=-1, \alpha=0$, the result for the class $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}$ can be easily obtained from Theorem 5 .

Theorem 6 If $f(z) \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}(A, B ; C, D ; \alpha)$, then for $|z|=r, 0<r<1$, we have, for $B \neq-1, B \neq 0$, $\left(\frac{1-[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] r}{1-D r}\right) L_{1} \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left(\frac{1+[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] r}{1+D r}\right) L_{2} ;$
$\int_{0}^{r}\left(\frac{1-[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] t}{1-D t}\right) L_{1} d t \leq|f(z)| \leq \int_{0}^{r}\left(\frac{1+[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] t}{1+D t}\right) L_{2}$,
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}=\frac{(B-1)}{r(B+1)^{3}} \log \left|\frac{1+r}{1-B r}\right|+\left[\frac{(B-1)}{B(B+1)^{2}}-\frac{A}{B}\right] \frac{1}{1+r}+\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\frac{A}{B}-\frac{(B-1)}{B(B+1)}\right] \frac{(2+r)}{(1+r)^{2}}, \\
& L_{2}=\frac{(B-1)}{r(B+1)^{3}} \log \left|\frac{1+B r}{1-r}\right|+\left[\frac{(B-1)}{B(B+1)^{2}}-\frac{A}{B}\right] \frac{1}{1-r}+\frac{1}{2}\left[1+\frac{A}{B}-\frac{(B-1)}{B(B+1)}\right] \frac{(2-r)}{(1-r)^{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $B=-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{1-[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] r}{1-D r}\right)\left[\frac{A}{1+r}-\frac{(3 A+1)(2+r)}{2(1+r)^{2}}+\frac{2(A+1)\left(3+3 r+r^{2}\right)}{3(1+r)^{3}}\right] \\
& \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq\left(\frac{1+[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] r}{1+D r}\right)\left[\frac{A}{1-r}-\frac{(3 A+1)(2-r)}{2(1-r)^{2}}+\frac{2(A+1)\left(3-3 r+r^{2}\right)}{3(1-r)^{3}}\right] \\
& \int_{0}^{r}\left(\frac{1-[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] t}{1-D t}\right)\left[\frac{A}{1+t}-\frac{(3 A+1)(2+t)}{2(1+t)^{2}}+\frac{2(A+1)\left(3+3 t+t^{2}\right)}{3(1+t)^{3}}\right] d t \\
& \leq|f(z)| \leq \int_{0}^{r}\left(\frac{1+[D+(C-D)(1-\alpha)] t}{1+D t}\right)\left[\frac{A}{1-t}-\frac{(3 A+1)(2-t)}{2(1-t)^{2}}+\frac{2(A+1)\left(3-3 t+t^{2}\right)}{3(1-t)^{3}}\right] d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Estimates are sharp.
Proof. Using Lemma 5 and following the procedure of Theorem 2, the results of Theorem 6 can be easily established.
Sharpness follows for the function $f_{n}$ defined in (22).

Remark 4 (i) On putting $\alpha=0$ in Theorem 6, we can easily get the result for the class $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}(A, B ; C, D)$.
(ii) For $A=1, B=-1, \alpha=0$, Theorem 6 agrees with the result for the class $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}(C, D)$.
(iii) For $A=1, B=-1, C=1, D=-1, \alpha=0$, the result for the class $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}$ can be easily obtained from Theorem 6 .

Theorem 7 Let $-1 \leq D_{2}=D_{1}<C_{1} \leq C_{2} \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \alpha_{2} \leq \alpha_{1}<1$, then

$$
\mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}\left(A, B ; C_{1}, D_{1} ; \alpha_{1}\right) \subset \mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}\left(A, B ; C_{2}, D_{2} ; \alpha_{2}\right)
$$

Proof. Using Lemma 6 and following the procedure of Theorem 3, the proof of Theorem 7 is obvious.

Theorem 8 If $f \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}(A, B ; C, D ; \alpha)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}[2+3(A-B)+2(C-D)(1-\alpha)] \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{11}{6}+\frac{(C-D)(1-\alpha)}{6}[4+3(A-B)]+\frac{1}{3} D^{2}+\frac{(A-B)}{108}[194+81 A-105 B] \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bounds are sharp.
Proof. Following the procedure of Theorem 4 and using the results that, for $h(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{C}_{s}^{*}(A, B)$,

$$
\left|d_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}[2+3(A-B)]
$$

and

$$
\left|d_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{54}[81+(A-B)\{43-12 B\}]
$$

the proof is obvious.
The results are sharp for the functions defined in (22).

## References

[1] J. W. Alexander, Functions which map the interior of the unit circle upon simple regions, Ann. Math., Vol. 17, 12-22, 1915-16.
[2] W. Janowski, Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions, Ann. Pol. Math., Vol. 28, 297-326, 1973.
[3] W. Kaplan, Close-to-convex Schlicht functions, Mich. Math. J., Vol. 1, 169-185, 1952.
[4] M. S. Liu, On a subclass of $p$-valent close-to-convex functions of order $\beta$ and type $\alpha$, J. Math. Study, Vol. 30, 102-104, 1997.
[5] Z. Nehari, Conformal Mappings, Mc. Graw Hill, New York, 1952.
[6] K. I. Noor, On quasi-convex functions and related topics, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., Vol. 10, 241-258, 1987.
[7] Y. Polatoglu, M. Bolkal, A. Sen and E. Yavuz, A study on the generalization of Janowski function in the unit disc, Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyiregyhaziensis, Vol. 22, 27-31, 2006.
[8] C. Selvaraj and S. Stelin, On a generalized class of quasi-convex functions, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 101, No. 6, 1051-1061, 2015.
[9] C. Selvaraj, S. Stelin and S. Logu, On a subclass of close-to-convex functions associated with fixed second coefficient, Appl. Comput. Math., Vol. 4, No. 5, 342-345, 2015.
[10] G. Singh and G. Singh, Generalized subclasses of quasi-convex functions defined with subordination, Elec. J. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol. 9, No. 2, 172-185, 2021.
[11] L. Xiong and X. Liu, A general subclass of close-to-convex functions, Kragujevac J. Math., Vol. 36, No. 2, 251-260, 2012.

Gagandeep Singh
Department of Mathematics, Khalsa College, Amritsar-143002, Punjab, India
E-mail address: kamboj.gagandeep@yahoo.in
Gurcharanjit Singh
G.N.D.U. College, Chungh(Tarn-Taran)-143304, Punjab, India

E-mail address: dhillongs82@yahoo.com


[^0]:    2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45, 30C50.
    Key words and phrases. Subordination, Univalent functions, Quasi-convex functions, Coefficient estimates, Distortion theorem, Inclusion relation.

    Submitted Jan. 25, 2022. Revised March 6, 2022.

