

FEKETE-SZEGÖ INEQUALITIES FOR CERTAIN CLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY CONVOLUTION

R. M. EL-ASHWAH, M. K. AOUF AND S. M. EL-DEEB

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain Fekete-Szegö inequalities for a certain class of analytic functions $f(z)$ for which

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2 (f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z (f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right] \prec \Phi(z)$$

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of analytic functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (z \in U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}) \quad (1.1)$$

and \mathcal{S} be the subclass of \mathcal{A} , which are univalent functions.

Let $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}$, be given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad (1.2)$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is given by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k = (g * f)(z). \quad (1.3)$$

If f and g are analytic functions in U , we say that f is subordinate to g , written $f \prec g$ if there exists a Schwarz function w , which is analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in U$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$. Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in U , then we have the following equivalence (see [2] and [11]):

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(U) \subset g(U).$$

For complex parameters $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q$ and β_1, \dots, β_s ($\beta_j \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$), we now define the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_qF_s(\alpha_1,$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Fekete-Szegö inequality, complex order, convolution.

Submitted May 7, 2013.

$\dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)$ by (see, for example, [18, p.19])

$${}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_k \dots (\alpha_q)_k}{(\beta_1)_k \dots (\beta_s)_k} \cdot \frac{z^k}{k!}$$

$$(q \leq s + 1; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}; z \in U),$$

where $(\theta)_\nu$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined, in terms of the Gamma function Γ , by

$$(\theta)_\nu = \frac{\Gamma(\theta + \nu)}{\Gamma(\theta)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (\nu = 0; \theta \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), \\ \theta(\theta + 1) \dots (\theta + \nu - 1) & (\nu \in \mathbb{N}; \theta \in \mathbb{C}). \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

Corresponding to the function $h_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1; z) = h(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z)$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} h_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1; z) &= z {}_qF_s(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s; z) \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) z^k, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$\Gamma_k(\alpha_1) = \frac{(\alpha_1)_{k-1} \dots (\alpha_q)_{k-1}}{(\beta_1)_{k-1} \dots (\beta_s)_{k-1} (k-1)!}. \quad (1.6)$$

In [8] El-Ashwah and Aouf defined the operator $I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{q,s,\lambda}^{0,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) &= f(z) * h_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1; z); \\ I_{q,s,\lambda}^{1,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) &= (1 - \lambda)(f(z) * h_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1; z)) + \\ &\quad \frac{\lambda}{(1 + \ell)z^{\ell-1}} [z^\ell (f(z) * h_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1; z))]'; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) = I_{q,s,\lambda}^{1,\ell}(I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m-1,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)). \quad (1.7)$$

If $f \in A$, then from (1.1) and (1.7), we can easily see that

$$I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1 + \ell + \lambda(k-1)}{1 + \ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) a_k z^k, \quad (1.8)$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \dots\}$, $\ell \geq 0$ and $\lambda \geq 0$.

We note that when $\ell = 0$, the operator $I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,0}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) = D_\lambda^m(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)$ was studied by Selvaraj and Karthikeyan [16].

We also note that:

- (i) $I_{q,s,\lambda}^{0,\ell}f(z) = H_{q,s}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z)$ (see Dziok and Srivastava [6,7]);
- (ii) For $q = s + 1$, $\alpha_i = 1 (i = 1, \dots, s + 1)$ and $\beta_j = 1 (j = 1, \dots, s)$, we get the operator $I(m, \lambda, \ell)$ (see Catas [3], Prajapat [12] and El-Ashwah and Aouf [9]);
- (iii) For $q = s + 1$, $\alpha_i = 1 (i = 1, \dots, s + 1)$, $\beta_j = 1 (j = 1, \dots, s)$, $\lambda = 1$ and $\ell = 0$, we obtain the Salagean operator D^m (see Salagean [15]);
- (iv) For $q = s + 1$, $\alpha_i = 1 (i = 1, \dots, s + 1)$, $\beta_j = 1 (j = 1, \dots, s)$ and $\lambda = 1$, we get the operator I_ℓ^m (see Cho and Srivastava [4] and Cho and Kim [5]).
- (v) For $q = s + 1$, $\alpha_i = 1 (i = 1, \dots, s + 1)$, $\beta_j = 1 (j = 1, \dots, s)$ and $\ell = 0$, we obtain the operator D_λ^m (see Al-Oboudi [1]).

By specializing the parameters $m, \lambda, \ell, q, s, \alpha_i (i = 1, \dots, q)$ and $\beta_j (j = 1, \dots, s)$, we obtain:

- (i) $I_{2,1,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(n+1, 1; 1)f(z) = I_{\lambda}^{m,\ell}(n)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \frac{(n+1)_{k-1}}{(1)_{k-1}} a_k z^k$
 $(n > -1)$;
- (ii) $I_{2,1,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(a, 1; c)f(z) = I_{\lambda}^{m,\ell}(a; c)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \frac{(a)_{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1}} a_k z^k$
 $(a \in \mathbb{R}; c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-)$;
- (iii) $I_{2,1,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(2, 1; n+1)f(z) = I_{\lambda,n}^{m,\ell}f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \frac{(2)_{k-1}}{(n+1)_{k-1}} a_k z^k$
 $(n \in \mathbb{Z}; n > -1)$.

In this paper, we define the following class $N^\gamma(g, b; \Phi)$ ($b \in \mathbb{C}^*$; $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$) as follows:

Definition 1. Let $\Phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ be univalent starlike function with respect to 1 which maps the unit disk U onto a region in the right half plane which is symmetric with respect to the real axis. Let $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $B_1 > 0$ and $g(z)$ be given by (1.2). Then functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $N^\gamma(g, b; \Phi)$ if

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1-\gamma)(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right] \prec \Phi(z) \quad (b \in \mathbb{C}^*; 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1; z \in U). \quad (1.9)$$

We note that for suitable choices of b , γ , $g(z)$ and $\Phi(z)$ we obtain the following subclasses:

- (i) $N^0\left(\frac{z}{1-z}, b; \Phi\right) = S_b^*(\Phi)$ and $N^1\left(b, \frac{z}{1-z}; \Phi\right) = C_b(\Phi)$ ($b \in \mathbb{C}^*$) (see Ravichandran et al. [14]);
- (ii) $N^0\left(z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)(k-1) + 1]^m z^k, 1; \Phi\right) = M_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^m(\Phi)$ ($\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta \geq 0$, $\lambda > \delta$, $\beta > \alpha$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$) (see Ramadan and Darus [13]);
- (iii) $N^\gamma\left(\frac{z}{1-z}, 1; \Phi\right) = M_\gamma(\Phi)$ ($0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$) (see Shanmugam and Sivasubramanian [17]).

Also, we note that:

$$(i) N^\gamma\left(z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) z^k, b; \Phi\right) = N_b^\gamma(\lambda, \ell, m, q, s, \alpha_1, \beta_1; \Phi)$$

$$= \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A} : 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{z \left(I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) \right)' + \gamma z^2 \left(I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) \right)''}{(1-\gamma) \left(I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) \right)' + \gamma z \left(I_{q,s,\lambda}^{m,\ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1)f(z) \right)'} - 1 \right] \prec \Phi(z), \right.$$

$$\left. (b \in \mathbb{C}^*; 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1; m \in \mathbb{N}_0; \ell \geq 0; \lambda \geq 0; q \leq s+1; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0; z \in U) \right\};$$

$$(ii) N^\gamma\left(z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1+\ell}{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)} \right]^m z^k, b; \Phi\right) = N_b^\gamma(\lambda, \ell, m; \Phi)$$

$$= \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A} : 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{z (J^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z))' + \gamma z^2 (J^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z))''}{(1-\gamma) (J^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z))' + \gamma z (J^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z))'} - 1 \right] \prec \Phi(z), \right.$$

$$\left. (b \in \mathbb{C}^*; 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1; m \in \mathbb{N}_0; \ell \geq 0; \lambda \geq 0; z \in U) \right\};$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{(iii) } N^\gamma \left(g, (1-\rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}; \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right) = N^\gamma [\rho, \eta, A, B, g] \\
& = \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A} : e^{i\eta} \left[\frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2 (f * g)''(z)}{(1-\gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z (f * g)'(z)} \right] \prec (1-\rho) \cos \eta \cdot \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} + \rho \cos \eta + i \sin \eta, \right. \\
& \quad \left. (|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}; 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1; 0 \leq \rho < 1; -1 \leq B < A \leq 1; z \in U) \right\}; \\
& \text{(iv) } N^\gamma \left(z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) z^k, (1-\rho) \cos \eta e^{-i\eta}; \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right) = N_{\lambda, \ell, q, s}^{\gamma, m} [\rho, \eta, A, B, \alpha_1, \beta_1] \\
& = \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A} : e^{i\eta} \left[\frac{z(I_{q, s, \lambda}^{m, \ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(z))' + \gamma z^2 (I_{q, s, \lambda}^{m, \ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(z))''}{(1-\gamma)(I_{q, s, \lambda}^{m, \ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(z)) + \gamma z (I_{q, s, \lambda}^{m, \ell}(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(z))'} \right] \prec (1-\rho) \cos \eta \cdot \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} + \rho \cos \eta + i \sin \eta, \right. \\
& \quad \left. (|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}; 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1; 0 \leq \rho < 1; -1 \leq B < A \leq 1; m \in \mathbb{N}_0; \ell \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0; z \in U) \right\}; \\
& \text{(v) } N^0(g, b; \Phi) = S_b^*(g; \Phi)
\end{aligned}$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{z(f * g)'(z)}{(f * g)(z)} - 1 \right] \prec \Phi(z) \quad (b \in \mathbb{C}^*; z \in U);$$

$$\text{(vi) } N^1(g, b; \Phi) = C_b(g; \Phi)$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \frac{z(f * g)''(z)}{(f * g)'(z)} \prec \Phi(z) \quad (b \in \mathbb{C}^*; z \in U).$$

In this paper, we obtain the Fekete-Szegő inequalities for the functions in the class $N^\gamma(g, b; \Phi)$.

2. FEKETE-SZEGŐ PROBLEM

To prove our results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 [10]. If $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$ is an analytic function with positive real part in U and ν is a complex number, then

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \leq 2 \max\{1; |2\nu - 1|\}.$$

The result is sharp for the functions given by

$$p(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} \quad \text{and} \quad p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

Lemma 2 [10]. If $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$ is an analytic function with positive real part in U , then

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} -4\nu + 2, & \text{if } \nu \leq 0, \\ 2, & \text{if } 0 \leq \nu \leq 1, \\ 4\nu - 2, & \text{if } \nu \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

When $\nu < 0$ or $\nu > 1$, the equality holds if and only if $p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ or one of its rotations. If $0 < \nu < 1$, then the equality holds if and only if $p(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}$ or one of its rotations. If $\nu = 0$, the equality holds if and only if

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\vartheta\right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\vartheta\right) \frac{1-z}{1+z} \quad (0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1),$$

or one of its rotations. If $\nu = 1$, the equality holds if and only if

$$\frac{1}{p(z)} = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\vartheta\right) \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\vartheta\right) \frac{1-z}{1+z} \quad (0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1).$$

Also the above upper bound is sharp and it can be improved as follows when $0 < \nu < 1$:

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + \nu |c_1|^2 \leq 2 \quad \left(0 < \nu < \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

and

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + (1 - \nu) |c_1|^2 \leq 2 \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} < \nu < 1\right).$$

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that :

$0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $z \in U$ and $g(z)$ given by (1.2).

Theorem 1. Let $\Phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ ($B_1 > 0$). If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $N^\gamma(g, b; \Phi)$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b| B_1}{2(1+2\gamma) b_3} \cdot \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + bB_1 - \frac{2\mu b B_1 (1+2\gamma) b_3}{(1+\gamma)^2 b_2^2} \right| \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

The result is sharp

Proof. Let $f(z) \in N^\gamma(g, b; \Phi)$, then there is a Schwarz function $w(z)$ in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ in U and such that

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1-\gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right] = \Phi(w(z)). \quad (2.2)$$

If the function $p_1(z)$ is analytic and has positive real part in U and $p_1(0) = 1$, then

$$p_1(z) = \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots \quad (2.3)$$

Since $w(z)$ is a Schwarz function. Define

$$p(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{z(f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(f * g)''(z)}{(1-\gamma)(f * g)(z) + \gamma z(f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right] = 1 + d_1z + d_2z^2 + \dots (z \in U). \quad (2.4)$$

In view of the equations (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$p(z) = \Phi \left(\frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1} \right).$$

Since

$$\frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[c_1 z + \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \left(c_3 + \frac{c_1^3}{4} - c_1 c_2 \right) z^3 + \dots \right].$$

Therefore

$$\Phi \left(\frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1} \right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} B_1 c_1 z + \left[\frac{1}{2} B_1 \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} B_2 c_1^2 \right] z^2 + \dots, \quad (2.5)$$

and from (2.5), we obtain

$$d_1 = \frac{1}{2} B_1 c_1 \quad \text{and} \quad d_2 = \frac{1}{2} B_1 \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} B_2 c_1^2.$$

Then, from (2.4), we see that

$$d_1 = \frac{(1 + \gamma) a_2 b_2}{b} \quad \text{and} \quad d_2 = \frac{2(1 + 2\gamma) a_3 b_3}{b} - \frac{(1 + \gamma)^2 a_2^2 b_2^2}{b}. \quad (2.6)$$

Now from (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$a_2 = \frac{b B_1 c_1}{2(1 + \gamma) b_2},$$

and

$$a_3 = \frac{b B_1}{4(1 + 2\gamma) b_3} \left\{ c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{B_2}{B_1} c_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} b B_1 c_1^2 \right\}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{b B_1}{4(1 + 2\gamma) b_3} \{ c_2 - \nu c_1^2 \}, \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - b B_1 + \frac{2\mu b B_1 (1 + 2\gamma) b_3}{(1 + \gamma)^2 b_2^2} \right]. \quad (2.8)$$

Our result now follows by an application of Lemma 1. The result is sharp for the functions

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{z (f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2 (f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma) (f * g)(z) + \gamma z (f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right] = \Phi(z^2) \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{z (f * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2 (f * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma) (f * g)(z) + \gamma z (f * g)'(z)} - 1 \right] = \Phi(z) \quad (2.10)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Putting $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ and $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary improving the result obtained by Ravichandran et al [14, Theorem 4.1].

Corollary 1. Let $\Phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \dots$ ($B_1 > 0$). If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_b^*(\Phi)$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b| B_1}{2} \cdot \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + (1 - 2\mu) b B_1 \right| \right\}. \quad (2.11)$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ and $\gamma = 1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2. Let $\Phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ ($B_1 > 0$). If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $C_b(\Phi)$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b|B_1}{6} \cdot \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\mu\right) bB_1 \right| \right\}. \quad (2.12)$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ and $b = 1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 3. Let $\Phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ ($B_1 > 0$). If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $M_\gamma(\Phi)$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{2(1+2\gamma)} \cdot \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + B_1 - \frac{2\mu B_1(1+2\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)^2} \right| \right\}. \quad (2.13)$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)(k - 1) + 1]^m z^k$ ($\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta \geq 0$, $\lambda > \delta$, $\beta > \alpha$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$), $b = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4. Let $\Phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ ($B_1 > 0$). If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $M_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta}^m(\Phi)$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1}{2[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^m} \cdot \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(1 - \frac{2\mu[2(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^m}{[(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha) + 1]^{2m}}\right) B_1 \right| \right\}. \quad (2.14)$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) z^k$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$; $\ell \geq 0$; $\lambda \geq 0$; $q \leq s + 1$; $q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)$ be given by (1.6)) in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 5. Let $\Phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ ($B_1 > 0$). If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $N_b^\gamma(\lambda, \ell, m, q, s, \alpha_1, \beta_1; \Phi)$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b|B_1(1+\ell)^m}{2(1+2\gamma)(1+\ell+2\lambda)^m \Gamma_3(\alpha_1)} \cdot \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + bB_1 - \frac{2\mu b B_1(1+2\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)^2} \cdot \frac{(1+\ell+2\lambda)^m (1+\ell)^m \Gamma_3(\alpha_1)}{(1+\ell+\lambda)^{2m} (\Gamma_2(\alpha_1))^2} \right| \right\}. \quad (2.15)$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 6. Let $\Phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ ($B_1 > 0$). If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_b^*(g; \Phi)$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b|B_1}{2b_3} \cdot \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(1 - \frac{2\mu b_3}{b_2^2}\right) bB_1 \right| \right\}. \quad (2.16)$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $\gamma = 1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 7. Let $\Phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ ($B_1 > 0$). If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $C_b(g; \Phi)$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b| B_1}{6b_3} \cdot \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(1 - \frac{3\mu b_3}{2b_2^2} \right) b B_1 \right| \right\}. \quad (2.17)$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $b = (1 - \rho) e^{-i\eta} \cos \eta$ ($|\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 \leq \rho < 1$) and $\Phi(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$) in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 8. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $N^\gamma[\rho, \eta, A, B, g]$, then

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{(A - B)(1 - \rho) \cos \eta}{2(1 + 2\gamma) b_3} \cdot \max \left\{ 1, \left| -B + (A - B)(1 - \rho) e^{-i\eta} \cos \eta - \frac{2\mu(A - B)(1 - \rho) e^{-i\eta} \cos \eta (1 + 2\gamma) b_3}{(1 + \gamma)^2 b_2^2} \right| \right\}. \quad (2.18)$$

The result is sharp.

By using Lemma 2, we can obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $\Phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ ($B_1 > 0$). If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $N^\gamma(g, b; \Phi)$ and $b > 0$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{bB_1}{2(1 + 2\gamma) b_3} \left[\frac{B_2}{B_1} + bB_1 - \frac{2\mu b B_1 (1 + 2\gamma) b_3}{(1 + \gamma)^2 b_2^2} \right] & \text{if } \mu \leq \sigma_1, \\ \frac{bB_1}{2(1 + 2\gamma) b_3} & \text{if } \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2, \\ \frac{-bB_1}{2(1 + 2\gamma) b_3} \left[\frac{B_2}{B_1} + bB_1 - \frac{2\mu b B_1 (1 + 2\gamma) b_3}{(1 + \gamma)^2 b_2^2} \right] & \text{if } \mu \geq \sigma_2, \end{cases} \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{(1 + \gamma)^2 b_2^2}{2bB_1(1 + 2\gamma) b_3} \left[-1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + bB_1 \right],$$

and

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{(1 + \gamma)^2 b_2^2}{2bB_1(1 + 2\gamma) b_3} \left[1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + bB_1 \right].$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. To show that the bounds are sharp, we define the functions $K_{\varphi_\delta}(\delta \geq 2)$ by

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{z(K_{\varphi_\delta} * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(K_{\varphi_\delta} * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(K_{\varphi_\delta} * g)(z) + \gamma z(K_{\varphi_\delta} * g)'(z)} - 1 \right] = \Phi(z^{\delta-1}), \quad K_{\varphi_\delta}(0) = 0 = K_{\varphi_\delta}'(0) - 1,$$

and the functions F_ρ and G_ρ ($0 \leq \rho \leq 1$) by

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{z(F_\rho * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(F_\rho * g)''(z)}{(1 - \gamma)(F_\rho * g)(z) + \gamma z(F_\rho * g)'(z)} - 1 \right] = \Phi\left(\frac{z(z + \rho)}{1 + \rho z}\right), \quad F_\rho(0) = 0 = F_\rho'(0) - 1,$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{z(G_\rho * g)'(z) + \gamma z^2(G_\rho * g)''(z)}{(1-\gamma)(G_\rho * g)(z) + \gamma z(G_\rho * g)'(z)} - 1 \right] = \Phi \left(-\frac{z(z+\rho)}{1+\rho z} \right), G_\rho(0) = 0 = G'_\rho(0) - 1.$$

Clearly the functions $K_{\varphi_\delta}, F_\rho$ and $G_\rho \in N^\gamma(g, b; \Phi)$. Also we write $K_\varphi = K_{\varphi_2}$. If $\mu < \sigma_1$ or $\mu > \sigma_2$, then the equality holds if and only if f is K_φ or one of its rotations. When $\sigma_1 < \mu < \sigma_2$, then the equality holds if f is K_{φ_3} or one of its rotations. If $\mu = \sigma_1$, then the equality holds if and only if f is F_ρ or one of its rotations. If $\mu = \sigma_2$, then the equality holds if and only if f is G_ρ or one of its rotations. If $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, in view of Lemma. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Remark 1. Putting $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^\infty [(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)(k - 1) + 1]^m z^k(\alpha, \beta, \lambda,$

$\delta \geq 0, \lambda > \delta, \beta > \alpha, m \in \mathbb{N}), b = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the result obtained by Ramadan and Darus [13, Theorem 1].

Putting $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ and $b = 1$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the following corollary improving the result obtained by Shanmugam and Sivasubramanian [17, Theorem 2.1].

Corollary 9. Let $\Phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots (B_1 > 0)$. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $M_\gamma(\Phi)$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_1}{2(1+2\gamma)} \left[\frac{B_2}{B_1} + B_1 - \frac{2\mu B_1(1+2\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)^2} \right] & \text{if } \mu \leq \eta_1, \\ \frac{B_1}{2(1+2\gamma)} & \text{if } \eta_1 \leq \mu \leq \eta_2, \\ \frac{-B_1}{2(1+2\gamma)} \left[\frac{B_2}{B_1} + B_1 - \frac{2\mu B_1(1+2\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)^2} \right] & \text{if } \mu \geq \eta_2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\eta_1 = \frac{(1+\gamma)^2}{2B_1(1+2\gamma)} \left[-1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + B_1 \right],$$

and

$$\eta_2 = \frac{(1+\gamma)^2}{2B_1(1+2\gamma)} \left[1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + B_1 \right].$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ and $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 10. Let $\Phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots (B_1 > 0)$. If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_b^*(\Phi)$ and $b > 0$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{bB_1}{2} \left[\frac{B_2}{B_1} + (1-2\mu)bB_1 \right] & \text{if } \mu \leq \sigma_1^*, \\ \frac{bB_1}{2} & \text{if } \sigma_1^* \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2^*, \\ \frac{-bB_1}{2} \left[\frac{B_2}{B_1} + (1-2\mu)bB_1 \right] & \text{if } \mu \geq \sigma_2^*, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\sigma_1^* = \frac{1}{2bB_1} \left[-1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + bB_1 \right],$$

and

$$\sigma_2^* = \frac{1}{2bB_1} \left[1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + bB_1 \right].$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ and $\gamma = 1$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 11. Let $\Phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ ($B_1 > 0$). If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $C_b(\Phi)$ and $b > 0$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{bB_1}{6} \left[\frac{B_2}{B_1} + (1 - \frac{3}{2}\mu) bB_1 \right] & \text{if } \mu \leq \sigma_1^{**}, \\ \frac{bB_1}{6} & \text{if } \sigma_1^{**} \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2^{**}, \\ \frac{-bB_1}{6} \left[\frac{B_2}{B_1} + (1 - \frac{3}{2}\mu) bB_1 \right] & \text{if } \mu \geq \sigma_2^{**}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\sigma_1^{**} = \frac{2}{3bB_1} \left[-1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + bB_1 \right],$$

and

$$\sigma_2^{**} = \frac{2}{3bB_1} \left[1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + bB_1 \right].$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) z^k$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}; \ell \geq 0; \lambda \geq 0;$

$q \leq s + 1; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$) and $\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)$ be given by (1.6) in Theorem 2, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 12. Let $\Phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ ($B_1 > 0$). If $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $N_b^\gamma(\lambda, \ell, m, q, s, \alpha_1, \beta_1; \Phi)$ and $b > 0$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{bB_1}{2(1+2\gamma)\Gamma_3(\alpha_1)} \left[\frac{1+\ell}{1+\ell+2\lambda} \right]^m \left[\frac{B_2}{B_1} + bB_1 - \frac{2\mu bB_1(1+2\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)^2 b_2^2} \frac{(1+\ell+2\lambda)^m (1+\ell)^m}{(1+\ell+\lambda)^{2m}} \frac{\Gamma_3(\alpha_1)}{(\Gamma_2(\alpha_1))^2} \right] & \text{if } \mu \leq \chi_1, \\ \frac{bB_1}{2(1+2\gamma)\Gamma_3(\alpha_1)} \left[\frac{1+\ell}{1+\ell+2\lambda} \right]^m & \text{if } \chi_1 \leq \mu \leq \chi_2, \\ -\frac{bB_1}{2(1+2\gamma)\Gamma_3(\alpha_1)} \left[\frac{1+\ell}{1+\ell+2\lambda} \right]^m \left[\frac{B_2}{B_1} + bB_1 - \frac{2\mu bB_1(1+2\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)^2 b_2^2} \frac{(1+\ell+2\lambda)^m (1+\ell)^m}{(1+\ell+\lambda)^{2m}} \frac{\Gamma_3(\alpha_1)}{(\Gamma_2(\alpha_1))^2} \right] & \text{if } \mu \geq \chi_2, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\chi_1 = \frac{(1+\gamma)^2}{2bB_1(1+2\gamma)} \frac{(1+\ell+\lambda)^{2m}}{(1+\ell+2\lambda)^m (1+\ell)^m} \frac{(\Gamma_2(\alpha_1))^2}{\Gamma_3(\alpha_1)} \left[-1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + bB_1 \right],$$

and

$$\chi_2 = \frac{(1+\gamma)^2}{2bB_1(1+2\gamma)} \frac{(1+\ell+\lambda)^{2m}}{(1+\ell+2\lambda)^m (1+\ell)^m} \frac{(\Gamma_2(\alpha_1))^2}{\Gamma_3(\alpha_1)} \left[1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + bB_1 \right].$$

The result is sharp.

The proof of Theorem 3 is similar to the proof Theorem 2, so the details are omitted.

Theorem 3. Let $\Phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ ($B_1 > 0$). Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $N^\gamma(g, b; \Phi)$ and $b > 0$. Let σ_3 be given by

$$\sigma_3 = \frac{(1+\gamma)^2 b_2^2}{2bB_1(1+2\gamma)b_3} \left[\frac{B_2}{B_1} + bB_1 \right]. \tag{2.20}$$

If $\sigma_1 < \mu \leq \sigma_3$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{(1+\gamma)^2 b_2^2}{2bB_1(1+2\gamma)b_3} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - bB_1 + \frac{2\mu bB_1(1+2\gamma)b_3}{(1+\gamma)^2 b_2^2} \right] |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{bB_1}{2(1+2\gamma)b_3}. \quad (2.21)$$

If $\sigma_3 < \mu \leq \sigma_2$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{(1+\gamma)^2 b_2^2}{2bB_1(1+2\gamma)b_3} \left[1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + bB_1 - \frac{2\mu bB_1(1+2\gamma)b_3}{(1+\gamma)^2 b_2^2} \right] |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{bB_1}{2(1+2\gamma)b_3}, \quad (2.22)$$

where σ_1 and σ_2 are given in Theorem 2. The result is sharp.

Remark 2. Putting $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(\lambda - \delta)(\beta - \alpha)(k - 1) + 1]^m z^k$ ($\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \delta \geq 0$, $\lambda > \delta$, $\beta > \alpha$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$), $b = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 3, we obtain the result obtained by Ramadan and Darus [13, Remark 2].

Putting $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ and $b = 1$ in Theorem 3, we obtain the following corollary improving the result obtained by Shanmugam and Sivasubramanian [17, Remark 1].

Corollary 13. Let $\Phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ ($B_1 > 0$). Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $M_\gamma(\Phi)$. Let σ_3 be given by

$$\eta_3 = \frac{(1+\gamma)^2}{2B_1(1+2\gamma)} \left[\frac{B_2}{B_1} + B_1 \right]. \quad (2.20)$$

If $\eta_1 < \mu \leq \eta_3$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{(1+\gamma)^2}{2B_1(1+2\gamma)} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - B_1 + \frac{2\mu B_1(1+2\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)^2} \right] |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{B_1}{2(1+2\gamma)}. \quad (2.21)$$

If $\eta_3 < \mu \leq \eta_2$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{(1+\gamma)^2}{2B_1(1+2\gamma)} \left[1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + B_1 - \frac{2\mu B_1(1+2\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)^2} \right] |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{B_1}{2(1+2\gamma)}, \quad (2.22)$$

where η_1 and η_2 are given in Corollary 9. The result is sharp.

Putting $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ and $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 3, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 14. Let $\Phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ ($B_1 > 0$). Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $S_b^*(\Phi)$ and $b > 0$. Let σ_3^* be given by

$$\sigma_3^* = \frac{1}{2bB_1} \left[\frac{B_2}{B_1} + bB_1 \right].$$

If $\sigma_1^* < \mu \leq \sigma_3^*$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{1}{2bB_1} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - (1 - 2\mu)bB_1 \right] |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{bB_1}{2}.$$

If $\sigma_3^* < \mu \leq \sigma_2^*$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{1}{2bB_1} \left[1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + (1 - 2\mu)bB_1 \right] |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{bB_1}{2},$$

where σ_1^* and σ_2^* are given in Corollary 10. The result is sharp.

Putting $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ and $\gamma = 1$ in Theorem 3, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 15. Let $\Phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ ($B_1 > 0$). Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $C_b(\Phi)$ and $b > 0$. Let σ_3^{**} be given by

$$\sigma_3^{**} = \frac{(1+\gamma)^2 b_2^2}{2bB_1(1+2\gamma)b_3} \left[\frac{B_2}{B_1} + bB_1 \right].$$

If $\sigma_1^{**} < \mu \leq \sigma_3^{**}$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{2}{3bB_1} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \left(1 - \frac{3\mu}{2} \right) bB_1 \right] |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{bB_1}{6}.$$

If $\sigma_3^{**} < \mu \leq \sigma_2^{**}$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{2}{3bB_1} \left[1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(1 - \frac{3\mu}{2} \right) bB_1 \right] |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{bB_1}{6},$$

where σ_1^{**} and σ_2^{**} are given in Corollary 11. The result is sharp.

Putting $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1+\ell+\lambda(k-1)}{1+\ell} \right]^m \Gamma_k(\alpha_1) z^k$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$; $\ell \geq 0$; $\lambda \geq 0$; $q \leq s+1$; $q, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$) and $\Gamma_k(\alpha_1)$ be given by (1.6) in Theorem 3, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 16. Let $\Phi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$ ($B_1 > 0$). Let $f(z)$ given by (1.1) belongs to the class $N_b^\gamma(\lambda, \ell, m, q, s, \alpha_1, \beta_1; \Phi)$ and $b > 0$. Let χ_3 be given by

$$\chi_3 = \frac{(1+\gamma)^2 b_2^2}{2bB_1(1+2\gamma)b_3} \left[\frac{B_2}{B_1} + bB_1 \right].$$

If $\chi_1 < \mu \leq \chi_3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \\ & \frac{(1+\gamma)^2 b_2^2}{2bB_1(1+2\gamma)b_3} \frac{(1+\ell+\lambda)^{2m}}{(1+\ell)^m(1+\ell+2\lambda)^m} \frac{(\Gamma_2(\alpha_1))^2}{\Gamma_3(\alpha_1)} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - bB_1 + \frac{2\mu bB_1(1+2\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)^2} \frac{(1+\ell+2\lambda)^m(1+\ell)^m}{(1+\ell+\lambda)^{2m}} \frac{\Gamma_3(\alpha_1)}{(\Gamma_2(\alpha_1))^2} \right] |a_2|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{bB_1(1+\ell)^m}{2(1+2\gamma)(1+\ell+2\lambda)^m \Gamma_3(\alpha_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\chi_3 < \mu \leq \chi_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \\ & \frac{(1+\gamma)^2 b_2^2}{2bB_1(1+2\gamma)b_3} \frac{(1+\ell+\lambda)^{2m}}{(1+\ell)^m(1+\ell+2\lambda)^m} \frac{(\Gamma_2(\alpha_1))^2}{\Gamma_3(\alpha_1)} \left[1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + bB_1 - \frac{2\mu bB_1(1+2\gamma)}{(1+\gamma)^2} \frac{(1+\ell+2\lambda)^m(1+\ell)^m}{(1+\ell+\lambda)^{2m}} \frac{\Gamma_3(\alpha_1)}{(\Gamma_2(\alpha_1))^2} \right] |a_2|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{bB_1(1+\ell)^m}{2(1+2\gamma)(1+\ell+2\lambda)^m \Gamma_3(\alpha_1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where χ_1 and χ_2 are given in Corollary 12. The result is sharp.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 27(2004), 1429-1436.
- [2] T. Bulboacă, *Differential Subordinations and Superordinations, Recent Results*, House of Scientific Book Publ., Cluj-Napoca, 2005.
- [3] A. Catas, On certain classes of p-valent functions defined by multiplier transformations, in *Proc. Book of the International Symposium on Geometric Function Theory and Applications*, Istanbul, Turkey, (August 2007), 241-250.
- [4] N. E. Cho and H. M. Srivastava, Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 37(2003), no. 1-2, 39-49.

- [5] N. E. Cho and T.H. Kim, Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 40(2003), no. 3, 399-410.
- [6] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Appl. Math. Comput. 103 (1999), 1-13.
- [7] J. Dziok and H. M. Srivastava, Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 14(2003), 7-18.
- [8] R. M. El-Ashwah and M. K. Aouf, Differential subordination and superordination for certain subclasses of p -valent functions, Math. Comput. Modelling, 51(2010), 349-360.
- [9] R. M. El-Ashwah and M. K. Aouf, Some properties of new integral operator, Acta Univ. Apulensis, 24(2010), 51-61.
- [10] W. Ma and D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in Proceedings of the conference on complex Analysis, Z. Li, F. Ren, L. Lang and S. Zhang (Eds.), Int. Press (1994), 157-169.
- [11] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential Subordination: Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 225, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York and Basel, 2000.
- [12] J. K. Prajapat, Subordination and superordination preserving properties for generalized multiplier transformation operator, Math. Comput. Modelling, 55(2012), 1456-1465.
- [13] S. F. Ramadan and M. Darus, On the Fekete-Szego inequality for a class of analytic functions defined by using generalized differential operator, Acta Univ. Apulensis, 26(2011), 167-178.
- [14] V. Ravichandran, Y. Polatoglu, M. Bolcal and A. Sen, Certain subclasses of starlike and convex functions of complex order, Hacettepe J. Math. Statistics, 34(2005), 9-15.
- [15] G. S. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, Lecture Notes in Math. (Springer-Verlag) 1013(1983), 362-372.
- [16] C. Selvaraj and K. R. Karthikeyan, Differential subordination and superordinations for certain subclasses of analytic functions, Far East J. Math. Sci., 29(2008), no. 2, 419-430.
- [17] T. N. Shanmugam and S. Sivasubramanian, On the Fekete-Szego problem for some subclasses of analytic functions, J. Inequal. Pure and Applied Math., 6(2005), no. 3, Art. 71, 1-15.
- [18] H. M. Srivastava and P. W. Karlsson, Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series, Halsted Press, Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester, John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane, Toronto, 1985.

R. M. EL-ASHWAH, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF DAMIETTA, NEW DAMIETTA 34517, EGYPT

E-mail address: r_elashwah@yahoo.com

M. K. AOUF, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF MANSOURA, MANSOURA 35516, EGYPT

E-mail address: mkaouf127@yahoo.com

S. M. EL-DEEB, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF DAMIETTA, NEW DAMIETTA 34517, EGYPT

E-mail address: shezaeldeeb@yahoo.com