Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Vol. 3(1) Jan. 2015, pp. 111–121. ISSN: 2090-792X (online) http://fcag-egypt.com/Journals/EJMAA/

# $\mathcal{I}_2$ -CONVERGENCE OF DOUBLE SEQUENCES OF FUNCTIONS

ERDİNÇ DÜNDAR AND BİLAL ALTAY

ABSTRACT. In this work, we discuss various kinds of ideal convergence for double sequences of functions with values in  $\mathbb{R}$ . We introduce the concepts of  $\mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{I}_2^*$ -pointwise convergence and the concepts of  $\mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{I}_2^*$ -pointwise Cauchy for double sequences of functions and show the relation between them.

## 1. BACKGROUND AND INTRODUCTION

The concept of convergence of a sequence of real numbers has been extended to statistical convergence independently by Fast [12] and Schoenberg [30]. This concept was extended to the double sequences by Mursaleen and Edely [23]. A lot of development have been made in this area after the works of Šalát [29], Móricz [22] and Fridy [14, 15]. Furthermore, Gökhan et al. [17] introduced the notion of pointwise and uniform statistical convergence of double sequences of real-valued functions. In general, statistically convergent sequences satisfy many of the properties of ordinary convergent sequences in metric spaces [12, 14, 15, 27]. Çakan and Altay [4] presented multidimensional analogues of the results presented by Fridy and Orhan [13].

The idea of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence was introduced by Kostyrko et al. [19] as a generalization of statistical convergence which is based on the structure of the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of subset of the set of natural numbers. Nuray and Ruckle [25] indepedently introduced the same with another name generalized statistical convergence. Kostyrko et al. [20] gave some of basic properties of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence and dealt with extremal  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit points. Das et al. [5] introduced the concept of  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence of double sequences in a metric space and studied some properties of this convergence. Also Das and Malik [6] introduced the concept of  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit points,  $\mathcal{I}$ -cluster points and  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit superior and  $\mathcal{I}$ -limit inferior of double sequences. Balcerzak et al. [3] discussed various kinds of statistical convergence and  $\mathcal{I}$ -convergence for sequences of functions with values in  $\mathbb{R}$  or in a metric space. Gezer and Karakuş [16] investigated  $\mathcal{I}$ -pointwise and uniform convergence and  $\mathcal{I}^*$ -pointwise and uniform convergence of function sequences and then they examined the relation between them. Dündar and Altay [10] studied

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 40A30, 40A35.

Key words and phrases. Ideal; Double Sequences; <br/>  $\mathcal I\text{-}\mathrm{Convergence};$  Double Sequences of Functions.

Submitted June. 13, 2014.

the concepts of  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -Cauchy and  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -Cauchy for double sequences in a linear metric space and investigated the relation between  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergence and  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -convergence of double sequences of functions defined between linear metric spaces. Also some results on  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergence may be found in [2, 7, 8, 9, 11, 18, 21, 24, 28, 31].

In this study, we discuss various kinds of ideal convergence for double sequences of functions with values in  $\mathbb{R}$ . We introduce the concepts of  $\mathcal{I}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -pointwise convergence and  $\mathcal{I}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -pointwise Cauchy sequences for double sequences of functions and show the relation between them.

#### 2. Definitions and Notations

Now, we recall the concept of statistical, ideal convergence of sequences and basic concepts. (See [1, 5, 10, 12, 17, 19, 23, 26, 28]). Throughout the paper  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of all positive integers and  $\mathbb{R}$  the set of all real numbers.

A double sequence  $x = (x_{mn})_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of real numbers is said to be convergent to  $L \in \mathbb{R}$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_{mn} - L| < \varepsilon$ , whenever  $m, n > N_{\varepsilon}$ . In this case we write

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} x_{mn} = L.$$

A double sequence  $x = (x_{mn})_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of real numbers is said to be bounded if there exists a positive real number M such that  $|x_{mn}| < M$ , for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . That is

$$||x||_{\infty} = \sup_{m,n} |x_{mn}| < \infty.$$

Let  $K \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $K_{mn}$  be the number of  $(j, k) \in K$  such that  $j \leq m, k \leq n$ . That is,

$$K_{mn} = |\{(j,k) : j \le m, k \le n\}|,$$

where |A| denotes the number of elements in A. If the double sequence  $\left\{\frac{K_{mn}}{m.n}\right\}$  has a limit then we say that K has double natural density and is denoted by

$$d_2(K) = \lim_{m,n \to \infty} \frac{K_{mn}}{m.n}.$$

A double sequence  $x = (x_{mn})$  of real numbers is said to be statistically convergent to  $L \in \mathbb{R}$ , if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have  $d_2(A(\varepsilon)) = 0$ , where  $A(\varepsilon) = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |x_{mn} - L| \ge \varepsilon\}$ .

A double sequence of functions  $\{f_{mn}\}$  is said to be pointwise convergent to f on a set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ , if for each point  $x \in S$  and for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $N = N(x, \varepsilon)$  such that

$$|f_{mn}(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon,$$

for all m, n > N. In this case we write

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} f_{mn}(x) = f(x) \text{ or } f_{mn} \to f,$$

on S.

A double sequence of functions  $\{f_{ij}\}$  is said to be pointwise statistically convergent to f on a set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{m,n \to \infty} \frac{1}{mn} |\{(i,j), i \le m \text{ and } j \le n : |f_{ij}(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon\}| = 0,$$

for each (fixed)  $x \in S$ , i.e., for each (fixed)  $x \in S$ ,

$$|f_{ij}(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon, \ a.a.(i,j).$$

In this case we write

$$st - \lim_{i,j \to \infty} f_{ij}(x) = f(x) \text{ or } f_{ij} \to_{st} f,$$

on S.

Let  $X \neq \emptyset$ . A class  $\mathcal{I}$  of subsets of X is said to be an ideal in X provided: i)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ , ii)  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$  implies  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ , iii)  $A \in \mathcal{I}, B \subset A$  implies  $B \in \mathcal{I}$ .  $\mathcal{I}$  is called a nontrivial ideal if  $X \notin \mathcal{I}$ .

Let  $X \neq \emptyset$ . A non empty class  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of X is said to be a filter in X provided:

i)  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$ , ii)  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  implies  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$ , iii)  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $A \subset B$  implies  $B \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** [19] If  $\mathcal{I}$  is a nontrivial ideal in  $X, X \neq \emptyset$ , then the class

 $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \{ M \subset X : (\exists A \in \mathcal{I}) (M = X \backslash A) \}$ 

is a filter on X, called the filter associated with  $\mathcal{I}$ .

A nontrivial ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  in X is called admissible if  $\{x\} \in \mathcal{I}$  for each  $x \in X$ .

Throughout the paper we take  $\mathcal{I}_2$  as a nontrivial admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ .

A nontrivial ideal  $\mathcal{I}_2$  of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is called strongly admissible if  $\{i\} \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{N} \times \{i\}$  belong to  $\mathcal{I}_2$  for each  $i \in N$ .

It is evident that a strongly admissible ideal is admissible also.

Let  $\mathcal{I}_2^0 = \{A \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : (\exists m(A) \in \mathbb{N}) (i, j \ge m(A) \Rightarrow (i, j) \notin A)\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}_2^0$  is a nontrivial strongly admissible ideal and clearly  $\mathcal{I}_2$  is strongly admissible if and only if  $\mathcal{I}_2^0 \subset \mathcal{I}_2$ .

Let  $(\tilde{X}, \rho)$  be a linear metric space and  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be a strongly admissible ideal. A double sequence  $x = (x_{mn})$  of elements of X is said to be  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergent to  $L \in X$ , if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \rho(x_{mn},L) \ge \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

In this case we say that x is  $\mathcal{I}_2$  -convergent to  $L \in X$  and we write

$$\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n \to \infty} x_{mn} = L.$$

If  $\mathcal{I}_2$  is a strongly admissible ideal on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , then usual convergence implies  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergence.

Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a linear metric space and  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be a strongly admissible ideal. A double sequence  $x = (x_{mn})$  of elements of X is said to be  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -convergent to  $L \in X$  if and only if there exists a set  $M \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  (i.e.,  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus M \in \mathcal{I}_2$ ) such that

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} x_{mn} = L_{t}$$

for  $(m, n) \in M$  and we write

$$\mathcal{I}_2^* - \lim_{m,n \to \infty} x_{mn} = L.$$

Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a linear metric space and  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be a strongly admissible ideal. A double sequence  $x = (x_{mn})$  of elements of X is said to be  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -Cauchy if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $s = s(\varepsilon), t = t(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \rho(x_{mn}, x_{st}) \ge \varepsilon \} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

We say that an admissible ideal  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies the property (AP2) if for every countable family of mutually disjoint sets  $\{A_1, A_2, ...\}$  belonging to  $\mathcal{I}_2$ , there exists a countable family of sets  $\{B_1, B_2, ...\}$  such that  $A_j \Delta B_j \in \mathcal{I}_2^0$ , i.e.,  $A_j \Delta B_j$ is included in the finite union of rows and columns in  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_j \in \mathcal{I}_2$  (hence  $B_j \in \mathcal{I}_2$  for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

Now, we begin with quoting the lemmas due to Das et al. [5] which are needed throughout the paper.

**Lemma 2.2** ([5], Theorem 1). Let  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be a strongly admissible ideal. If  $\mathcal{I}^*_2 - \lim_{m,n\to\infty} x_{mn} = L$  then  $\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n\to\infty} x_{mn} = L$ .

**Lemma 2.3** ([5], Theorem 3). If  $\mathcal{I}_2$  is an admissible ideal of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  having the property (AP2) and  $(X, \rho)$  is an arbitrary metric space, then for an arbitrary double sequence  $x = (x_{mn})_{m,n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of elements of X,  $\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n\to\infty} x_{mn} = L$  implies  $\mathcal{I}_2^* - \lim_{m,n\to\infty} x_{mn} = L$ .

3.  $\mathcal{I}_2$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -Convergence Of Double Sequences Of Functions

Throughout the paper we take convergent instead of pointwise convergent.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be a strongly admissible ideal. A double sequence of functions  $\{f_{mn}\}$  is said to  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergent to f on a set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ 

$$\{(m,n)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}:|f_{mn}(x)-f(x)|\geq\varepsilon\}\in\mathcal{I}_2,$$

for each (fixed)  $x \in S$ . This can be written by the formula

$$(\forall x \in S) \ (\forall \varepsilon > 0) \ (\exists H \in \mathcal{I}_2) \ (\forall (m, n) \notin H) \ |f_{mn}(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon.$$

This convergence can be showed by

$$f_{mn} \to_{\mathcal{I}_2} f.$$

The function f is called the double  $\mathcal{I}_2$ - limit (or Pringsheim  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -limit) function of the  $\{f_{mn}\}$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be a strongly admissible ideal.  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -limit of any double sequence  $\{f_{mn}\}$  of functions on  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  if exist is unique.

*Proof.* Let a double sequence  $\{f_{mn}\}$  of functions on  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that

$$\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n\to\infty} f_{mn}(x_0) = f_1(x_0) \text{ and } \mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n\to\infty} f_{mn}(x_0) = f_2(x_0)$$

on S, where  $f_1(x_0) \neq f_2(x_0)$ , for a  $x_0 \in S$ . Since  $f_1(x_0) \neq f_2(x_0)$ , so we may suppose that  $f_1(x_0) > f_2(x_0)$ . Select

$$\varepsilon = \frac{f_1(x_0) - f_2(x_0)}{3},$$

so that the neighborhoods  $(f_1(x_0) - \varepsilon, f_1(x_0) + \varepsilon)$  and  $(f_2(x_0) - \varepsilon, f_2(x_0) + \varepsilon)$  of points  $f_1(x_0)$  and  $f_2(x_0)$ , respectively, are disjoints. Since

$$\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n \to \infty} f_{mn}(x_0) = f_1(x_0) \text{ and } \mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n \to \infty} f_{mn}(x_0) = f_2(x_0),$$

therefore we have

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |f_{mn}(x_0) - f_1(x_0)| \ge \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_2$$

and

$$B(\varepsilon) = \{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |f_{mn}(x_0) - f_2(x_0)| \ge \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

This implies that the sets

$$A^{c}(\varepsilon) = \{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |f_{mn}(x_0) - f_1(x_0)| < \varepsilon\}$$

and

$$B^{c}(\varepsilon) = \{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |f_{mn}(x_0) - f_2(x_0)| < \varepsilon \}$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  and  $A^c(\varepsilon) \cap B^c(\varepsilon)$  is a non empty set in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$ .

Since  $A^c(\varepsilon) \cap B^c(\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$ , we obtain a contradiction to the fact that the neighborhoods  $(f_1(x_0) - \varepsilon, f_1(x_0) + \varepsilon)$  and  $(f_2(x_0) - \varepsilon, f_2(x_0) + \varepsilon)$  of points  $f_1(x_0)$  and  $f_2(x_0)$ , respectively, are disjoints. Hence, it is clear that  $f_1(x_0) = f_2(x_0)$  and consequently we have

$$f_1(x) = f_2(x), \ (i.e., f_1 = f_2),$$

for each  $x \in S$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be a strongly admissible ideal,  $\{f_{mn}\}$  be a double sequence of functions and f be a function on  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} f_{mn}(x) = f(x) \text{ implies } \mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n\to\infty} f_{mn}(x) = f(x),$$

for each  $x \in S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} f_{mn}(x) = f(x)$  for each  $x \in S$ , therefore there exists a positive integer  $k_0 = k_0(\varepsilon, x)$  such that  $|f_{mn}(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ , whenever  $m, n \ge k_0$ . This implies that the set

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |f_{mn}(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon\} \\ \subset ((\mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2, ..., (k_0 - 1)\}) \cup (\{1, 2, ..., (k_0 - 1)\} \times \mathbb{N})).$$

Since  $\mathcal{I}_2$  be a strongly admissible ideal, therefore

$$\left( (\mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2, ..., (k_0 - 1)\}) \cup (\{1, 2, ..., (k_0 - 1)\} \times \mathbb{N}) \right) \in \mathcal{I}_2.$$

Hence, it is clear that  $A(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{I}_2$  and consequently we have

$$f_{mn} \to_{\mathcal{I}_2} f.$$

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be a strongly admissible ideal,  $\{f_{mn}\}$  and  $\{g_{mn}\}$  be double sequences of functions, f and g be functions on  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  and

$$\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n\to\infty} f_{mn}(x) = f(x) \text{ and } \mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n\to\infty} g_{mn}(x) = g(x),$$

for each  $x \in S$ . Then, for every  $(m, n) \in K$  we have

(i) If  $f_{mn}(x) \ge 0$  then  $f(x) \ge 0$  and (ii) If  $f_{mn}(x) \le g_{mn}(x)$  then  $f(x) \le g(x)$ , for each  $x \in S$ , where  $K \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $K \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that f(x) < 0. Select  $\varepsilon = -\frac{f(x)}{2}$  for each  $x \in S$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n\to\infty} f_{mn}(x) = f(x)$ , so there exists the set M such that

$$M = \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |f_{mn}(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon \} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2).$$

Since  $M, K \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  then  $M \cap K$  is a non empty set in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$ . So, we can find out a pair  $(m_0, n_0)$  in K such that

$$|f_{m_0n_0}(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon.$$

Since f(x) < 0 and  $\varepsilon = -\frac{f(x)}{2}$  for each  $x \in S$ , then we have  $f_{m_0 n_0}(x) < 0$ .

This is a contradiction to the fact  $f_{mn}(x) > 0$  for every  $(m, n) \in K$ . Hence we have f(x) > 0, for each  $x \in S$ .

(ii) Suppose that f(x) > g(x). Select  $\varepsilon = \frac{f(x)-g(x)}{3}$  for each  $x \in S$ , so that the neighborhoods  $(f(x) - \varepsilon, f(x) + \varepsilon)$  and  $(g(x) - \varepsilon, g(x) + \varepsilon)$  of f(x) and g(x), respectively, are disjoints. Since  $\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n\to\infty} f_{mn}(x) = f(x)$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n\to\infty} g_{mn}(x) = g(x)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  is a filter on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , therefore we have

$$A = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |f_{mn}(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$$

and

$$B = \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |g_{mn}(x) - g(x)| < \varepsilon \} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2).$$

This implies that  $\emptyset \neq A \cap B \cap K \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$ . There exists a pair  $(m_0, n_0)$  in K such that

$$|f_{m_0n_0}(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$$
 and  $|g_{m_0n_0}(x) - g(x)| < \varepsilon$ .

Since f(x) > g(x) and  $\varepsilon = \frac{f(x) - g(x)}{3}$  for each  $x \in S$ , then we have

$$f_{m_0 n_0}(x) > g_{m_0 n_0}(x).$$

This is a contradiction to the fact  $f_{mn}(x) \leq g_{mn}(x)$  for every  $(m, n) \in K$ . Thus we have

$$f(x) \le g(x),$$

for each  $x \in S$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be a strongly admissible ideal,  $\{f_{mn}\}, \{g_{mn}\}$  and  $\{h_{mn}\}$  be double sequences of functions and k be a function on  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ . If

(i)  $\{f_{mn}\} \leq \{g_{mn}\} \leq \{h_{mn}\}, \text{ for every } (m,n) \in K, \text{ where } \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \supseteq K \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$ and

(ii)  $\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n\to\infty} f_{mn}(x) = k(x) \text{ and } \mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n\to\infty} h_{mn}(x) = k(x),$ then  $\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n\to\infty} g_{mn}(x) = k(x).$ 

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. By condition (ii) we have

$$\{(m,n)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}:|f_{mn}(x)-k(x)|\geq\varepsilon\}\in\mathcal{I}_2$$

and

$$\{(m,n)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}:|h_{mn}(x)-k(x)|\geq\varepsilon\}\in\mathcal{I}_2.$$

for each  $x \in S$ . This implies that the sets

$$P = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |f_{mn}(x) - k(x)| < \varepsilon\}$$

and

$$R = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |h_{mn}(x) - k(x)| < \varepsilon\}$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  for each  $x \in S$ . Let

$$Q = \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |g_{mn}(x) - k(x)| < \varepsilon \},\$$

for each  $x \in S$ . It is clear that, the set  $P \cap R \cap K$  is contained in Q. Since  $P \cap R \cap K \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  and  $P \cap R \cap K \subset Q$ , then from the property of filter we have  $Q \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$ . Hence

$$\{(m,n)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}:|g_{mn}(x)-k(x)|\geq\varepsilon\}\in\mathcal{I}_2,$$

for each  $x \in S$ . This completes the proof.

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be an admissible ideal. A double sequence of functions  $\{f_{mn}\}$  is said to be pointwise  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -convergent to f on  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ , if and only if there exists a set  $M \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  (i.e.  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus M \in \mathcal{I}_2$ ) such that

$$\lim_{m,n\to\infty} f_{mn}(x) = f(x),$$

for  $(m, n) \in M$  and we write

$$\mathcal{I}_2^* - \lim_{m,n \to \infty} f_{mn}(x) = f(x) \text{ or } f_{mn} \to_{\mathcal{I}_2^*} f.$$

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be a strongly admissible ideal,  $\{f_{mn}\}$  be a double sequence of functions and f be a function on  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{I}_{2}^{*} - \lim_{m,n \to \infty} f_{mn}(x) = f(x) \text{ implies } \mathcal{I}_{2} - \lim_{m,n \to \infty} f_{mn}(x) = f(x),$$

for each  $x \in S$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{I}_2^* - \lim_{m,n\to\infty} f_{mn}(x) = f(x)$ , so there exists a set  $M \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  (i.e.,  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus M \in \mathcal{I}_2$ ) such that

$$\lim_{\substack{m,n\to\infty\\(m,n)\in M}} f_{mn}(x) = f(x).$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $k_0 = k_0(\varepsilon, x) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|f_{mn}(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ , for all  $(m, n) \in M$  such that  $m, n \geq k_0$  and for each  $x \in S$ . Then, we have

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |f_{mn}(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon\} \\ \subset H \cup [M \cap ((\{1,2,3,...,(k_0-1)\} \times \mathbb{N}) \cup (\mathbb{N} \times \{1,2,3,...,(k_0-1)\}))],$$

for each  $x \in S$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  is a strongly admissible ideal, then

$$H \cup \left[ M \cap \left( (\{1, 2, 3, ..., (k_0 - 1)\} \times \mathbb{N}) \cup (\mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2, 3, ..., (k_0 - 1)\}) \right) \right] \in \mathcal{I}_2$$

and therefore  $A(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{I}_2$ . This implies that

$$\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n \to \infty} f_{mn}(x) = f(x).$$

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be a strongly admissible ideal,  $(X, d_x)$  and  $(Y, d_y)$  are metric spaces,  $f_{mn} : X \to Y$  is a double sequence of functions and  $f : X \to Y$  is a function. If Y has no accumulation point, then  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergence coincide.

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.7, we must show that if  $\{f_{mn}\}$  double sequence of functions is  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergent, so it is  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -convergent. We suppose that

$$\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n \to \infty} f_{mn}(x) = f(x),$$

for  $x \in X$  and  $f(x) \in Y$ . Since Y has no accumulation point, so there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$B_{\delta}(f(x)) = \{ f_{mn}(x) : d_y(f_{mn}(x), f(x)) < \delta \} = \{ f(x) \},\$$

for each  $x \in X$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}_2 - \lim_{m,n\to\infty} f_{mn}(x) = f(x)$  then we have

$$\{(m,n)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}: d_{y}(f_{mn}(x),f(x))\geq\delta\}\in\mathcal{I}_{2},$$

for each  $x \in X$ . This gives

$$\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : d_y(f_{mn}(x), f(x)) < \delta\} = \{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : f_{mn}(x) = f(x)\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2).$$
  
Therefore, we have

$$\mathcal{I}_2^* - \lim_{m,n \to \infty} f_{mn}(x) = f(x).$$

## 4. $\mathcal{I}_2$ -Cauchy OF Double Sequences OF Functions

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be a strongly admissible ideal. A double sequence of functions  $\{f_{mn}\}$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -Cauchy on  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $s = s(\varepsilon), t = t(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\{(m,n)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}:|f_{mn}(x)-f_{st}(x)|\geq\varepsilon\}\in\mathcal{I}_2,$$

for each  $x \in S$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be a strongly admissible ideal.  $\{f_{mn}\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergent on  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  if and only if it is  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -Cauchy sequences.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{f_{mn}\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergent to f on S. Then

$$A\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) = \left\{ (m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |f_{mn}(x) - f(x)| \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \in \mathcal{I}_2,$$

for  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for each  $x \in S$ . This implies that

$$A^{c}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) = \left\{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |f_{mn}(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_{2}),$$

for each  $x \in S$  and therefore  $A^c(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})$  is non empty. So we can choose positive integers k, l such that  $(k, l) \notin A\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)$  and  $|f_{kl}(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Now, we define the set

$$B(\varepsilon) = \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |f_{mn}(x) - f_{kl}(x)| \ge \varepsilon \},\$$

for each  $x \in S$ , such that we show that  $B(\varepsilon) \subset A\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)$ . Let  $(m, n) \in B(\varepsilon)$ , then we have

$$\varepsilon \le |f_{mn}(x) - f_{kl}(x)| \le |f_{mn}(x) - f(x)| + |f_{kl}(x) - f(x)|$$
  
$$< |f_{mn}(x) - f(x)| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

for each  $x \in S$ . This implies that

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2} < |f_{mn}(x) - f(x)|$$

and therefore  $(m,n) \in A\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)$ . Hence, we have  $B(\varepsilon) \subset A\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)$ . This shows that  $\{f_{mn}\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -Cauchy sequence.

Conversely, suppose that  $\{f_{mn}\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -Cauchy sequence. We prove that  $\{f_{mn}\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergent. Let  $(\varepsilon_{pq})$  be a strictly decreasing sequence of numbers converging to zero. Since  $\{f_{mn}\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -Cauchy sequence, there exist two strictly increasing sequences  $(k_p)$  and  $(l_q)$  of positive integers such that

$$A(\varepsilon_{pq}) = \{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |f_{mn}(x) - f_{k_p l_q}(x)| \ge \varepsilon_{pq}\} \in \mathcal{I}_2, \ (p, q=1, 2, ...),$$

for each  $x \in S$ . This implies that

$$\emptyset \neq \{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |f_{mn}(x) - f_{k_p l_q}(x)| < \varepsilon_{pq}\} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2), \text{ (p, q= 1, 2, (4,1))}$$

for each  $x \in S$ . Let p, q, s and t be four positive integers such that  $p \neq q$  and  $s \neq t$ . By (4.1), both the sets

$$C(\varepsilon_{pq}) = \{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |f_{mn}(x) - f_{k_p l_q}(x)| < \varepsilon_{pq}\}$$

and

$$D(\varepsilon_{st}) = \{ (m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |f_{mn}(x) - f_{k_s l_t}(x)| < \varepsilon_{st} \}$$

are non empty sets in  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$ , for each  $x \in S$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  is a filter on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , therefore

$$\emptyset \neq C(\varepsilon_{pq}) \cap D(\varepsilon_{st}) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2).$$

Thus, for each pair (p,q) and (s,t) of positive integers with  $p \neq q$  and  $s \neq t$ , we can select a pair  $(m_{(p,q),(s,t)}, n_{(p,q),(s,t)}) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|f_{m_{pqst}n_{pqst}}(x) - f_{k_p l_q}(x)| < \varepsilon_{pq} \text{ and } |f_{m_{pqst}n_{pqst}}(x) - f_{k_s l_t}(x)| < \varepsilon_{st},$$

for each  $x \in S$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{k_p l_q}(x) - f_{k_s l_t}(x)| &\leq |f_{m_{pqst} n_{pqst}}(x) - f_{k_p l_q}(x)| + |f_{m_{pqst} n_{pqst}}(x) - f_{k_s l_t}(x)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon_{pq} + \varepsilon_{st} \to 0, \end{aligned}$$

as  $p, q, s, t \to \infty$ . This implies that  $\{f_{k_p l_q}\}$  (p, q = 1, 2, ...) is a Cauchy sequence and therefore it satisfies the Cauchy convergence criterion. Thus, the sequence  $\{f_{k_p l_q}\}$  converges to a limit f (say). i.e.,

$$\lim_{p,q\to\infty} f_{k_p l_q}(x) = f(x),$$

for each  $x \in S$ . Also, we have  $\varepsilon_{pq} \to 0$  as  $p, q \to \infty$ , so for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can choose positive integers  $p_0, q_0$  such that

$$\varepsilon_{p_0q_0} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ and } |f_{k_pl_p}(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \text{ (for } p > p_0 \text{ and } q > q_0).$$
 (4.2)

Now, we define the set

$$A(\varepsilon) = \{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |f_{mn}(x) - f(x)| \ge \varepsilon\},\$$

for each  $x \in S$ . We prove that  $A(\varepsilon) \subset A(\varepsilon_{p_0q_0})$ . Let  $(m, n) \in A(\varepsilon)$ , then by second half of (4.2) we have

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon &\leq |f_{mn}(x) - f(x)| &\leq |f_{mn}(x) - f_{k_{p_0}l_{q_0}}(x)| + |f_{k_{p_0}l_{q_0}}(x) - f(x)| \\ &< |f_{mn}(x) - f_{k_{p_0}l_{q_0}}(x)| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \end{split}$$

for each  $x \in S$ . This implies that

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2} < |f_{mn}(x) - f_{k_{p_0}l_{q_0}}(x)|$$

and therefore by first half of (4.2)

$$\varepsilon_{p_0q_0} < |f_{mn}(x) - f_{k_{p_0}l_{q_0}}(x)|,$$

for each  $x \in S$ . Thus, we have  $(m, n) \in A(\varepsilon_{p_0q_0})$  and therefore  $A(\varepsilon) \subset A(\varepsilon_{p_0q_0})$ . Since  $A(\varepsilon_{p_0q_0}) \in \mathcal{I}_2$  so  $A(\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{I}_2$  by property of ideal. Hence,  $\{f_{k_pl_q}\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -convergent.

Now we introduce the notion of  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -Cauchy sequence.

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}$  be a strongly admissible ideal. A double sequence of functions  $\{f_{mn}\}$  is said to be  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -Cauchy sequence on  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ , if there exists a set  $M \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{I}_2)$  (i.e.,  $H = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \setminus M \in \mathcal{I}_2$ ) and  $k_0 = k_0(\varepsilon, x) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for  $(m, n), (s, t) \in M$ 

$$|f_{mn}(x) - f_{st}(x)| < \varepsilon.$$

whenever  $m, n, s, t > k_0$ . In this case we write

$$\lim_{m,n,s,t\to\infty} |f_{mn}(x) - f_{st}(x)| = 0.$$

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $\mathcal{I}_2 \subset 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$  be a strongly admissible ideal. If  $\{f_{mn}\}$  is  $\mathcal{I}_2^*$ -Cauchy sequence, then it is  $\mathcal{I}_2$ -Cauchy sequence on  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward and so is omitted.

#### 

#### References

- B. Altay, F. Başar, Some new spaces of double sequences, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 309(1) (2005), 70-90.
- [2] V. Baláz, J. Červeňanský, P. Kostyrko, T. Šalát, *I-convergence and I-continuity of real func*tions, Acta Mathematica, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Constantine the Philosopher University, Nitra, 5 (2004), 43–50.
- [3] M. Balcerzak, K. Dems, A. Komisarski, Statistical convergence and ideal convergence for sequences of functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 328 (2007), 715-729.
- [4] C. Çakan, B. Altay, Statistically boundedness and statistical core of double sequences, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 317 (2006), 690-697.
- [5] P. Das, P. Kostyrko, W. Wilczyński, P. Malik, I and I\*-convergence of double sequences, Math. Slovaca, 58 (2008), No. 5, 605-620.
- [6] P. Das, P. Malik, On extremal I-limit points of double sequences, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. 40 (2008), 91-102.
- [7] K. Demirci, I-limit superior and limit inferior, Math. Commun. 6 (2001), 165-172.
- [8] K. Dems, On I-Cauchy sequence, Real Anal. Exchange **30** (2004/2005) 123–128.
- [9] E. Dündar, B. Altay Multipliers for bounded I<sub>2</sub>-convergent of double sequences, Math. Comput. Modelling, 55(3-4) (2012), 1193–1198.
- [10] E. Dündar, B. Altay, On some properties of I<sub>2</sub>-convergence and I<sub>2</sub>-Cauchy of double sequences, Gen. Math. Notes, 7(1) (2011), 1–12.
- [11] E. Dündar, B. Altay, *I*<sub>2</sub>-convergence and *I*<sub>2</sub>-Cauchy of double sequences, Acta Mathematica Scientia, **34B**(2) (2014), 343–353.
- [12] H. Fast, Sur la convergenc statistique, Colloq. Math. 2 (1951), 241-244.
- [13] J. A. Fridy, C. Orhan, Statistical limit superior and inferior, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997), 3625–3631.
- [14] J. A. Fridy, On statistical convergence, Analysis, 5 (1985), 301-313.
- [15] J. A. Fridy, Statistical limit points, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1993), 1187–1192.
- [16] F. Gezer, S. Karakuş,  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{I}^*$  convergent function sequences, Math. Commun. 10 (2005), 71-80.
- [17] A. Gökhan, M. Güngör and M. Et, Statistical convergence of double sequences of real-valued functions, Int. Math. Forum, 2(8) (2007), 365-374.
- [18] M. Gürdal, A. Şahiner, Extremal I<sub>2</sub>-limit points of double sequences, Applied Mathematics E-Notes, 2 (2008), 131-137.
- [19] P. Kostyrko, T. Salat and W. Wilczyński, *I-convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange, 26(2) (2000), 669-686.
- [20] P. Kostyrko, M. Macaj, T. Salat and M. Sleziak, *I-convergence and extremal I-limit points*, Math. Slovaca, 55 (2005), 443-464.
- [21] V. Kumar, On I and I\*-convergence of double sequences, Math. Commun. 12 (2007), 171-181.
- [22] F. Móricz, Statistical convergence of multiple sequences, Arch. Mat. 81(1) (2003), 82–89.
- [23] Mursaleen, O. H. H. Edely, Statistical convergence of double sequences, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 288 (2003), 223-231.

- [24] A. Nabiev, S. Pehlivan and M. Gürdal, On I-Cauchy sequence, Taiwanese J. Math. 11(2) (2007), 569-576.
- [25] F. Nuray, W. H. Ruckle, Generalized statistical convergence and convergence free spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 245 (2000), 513–527.
- [26] A. Pringsheim, Zur theorie der zweifach unendlichen Zahlenfolgen, Math. Ann. 53 (1900), 289–321.
- [27] D. Rath, B. C. Tripaty, On statistically convergence and statistically Cauchy sequences, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 25(4) (1994), 381-386.
- [28] T. Šalát, B. C. Tripathy and M. Ziman, On I-convergence field, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math. 17 (2005),45-54.
- [29] T. Šalát, On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers, Math. Slovaca, 30 (1980), 139-150.
- [30] I. J. Schoenberg, The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods, Amer. Math. Monthly 66 (1959), 361-375.
- [31] B. Tripathy, B. C. Tripathy, On I-convergent double sequences, Soochow J. Math. 31 (2005), 549-560.

 $\label{eq:department} \begin{array}{l} \texttt{Department} \text{ of mathematics, afyon kocatepe university, afyonkarahisar turkey} \\ E-mail \ address: \texttt{erdincdundar790gmail.com, edundar@aku.edu.tr} \end{array}$ 

FACULTY OF EDUCATION, INÖNÜ UNIVERSITY, 44280-MALATYA, TURKEY *E-mail address*: bilal.altay@inonu.edu.tr