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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Abstract. In this work we are interested in the existence and uniqueness of } \\
& \text { solutions for the Navier problem associated to the degenerate nonlinear elliptic } \\
& \text { equations } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{c}
\Delta\left(\omega(x)|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{j}\left[\omega(x) \mathcal{A}_{j}(x, u, \nabla u)\right] \\
=f_{0}(x)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{j} f_{j}(x), \text { in } \Omega
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

in the setting of the Weighted Sobolev Spaces

## 1. Introduction

In this work we prove the existence and uniqueness of (weak) solutions in the weighted Sobolev space $X=W^{2, p}(\Omega, \omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega, \omega)$ (see Definition 3) for the Navier problem

$$
(P) \begin{cases}L u(x) & =f_{0}(x)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{j} f_{j}(x), \text { in } \Omega \\ u(x) & =0, \text { on } \partial \Omega \\ \Delta u & =0, \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $L$ is the partial differential operator

$$
L u(x)=\Delta\left(\omega(x)|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} D_{j}\left[\omega(x) \mathcal{A}_{j}(x, u(x), \nabla u(x))\right]
$$

where $D_{j}=\partial / \partial x_{j}, \Omega$ is a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \omega$ is a weight function, $\Delta$ is the Laplacian operator, $1<p<\infty$ and the functions $\mathcal{A}_{j}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(j=1, \ldots, n)$ satisfies the following conditions:
(H1) $x \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{j}(x, \eta, \xi)$ is measurable on $\Omega$ for all $(\eta, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$
$(\eta, \xi) \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{j}(x, \eta, \xi)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for almost all $x \in \Omega$.

[^0](H2) there exist a constant $\theta_{1}>0$ such that
$$
\left[\mathcal{A}(x, \eta, \xi)-\mathcal{A}\left(x, \eta^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)\right] \cdot\left(\xi-\xi^{\prime}\right) \geq \theta_{1}\left|\xi-\xi^{\prime}\right|^{p}
$$
whenever $\xi, \xi^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \xi \neq \xi^{\prime}$, where $\mathcal{A}(x, \eta, \xi)=\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}(x, \eta, \xi), \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{n}(x, \eta, \xi)\right.$ ) (where a dot denote here the Euclidian scalar product in $\left.\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
(H3) $\mathcal{A}(x, \eta, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq \lambda_{1}|\xi|^{p}$, where $\lambda_{1}$ is a positive constant.
$(\mathbf{H} 4)|\mathcal{A}(x, \eta, \xi)| \leq K_{1}(x)+h_{1}(x)|\eta|^{p / p^{\prime}}+h_{2}(x)|\xi|^{p / p^{\prime}}$, where $K_{1}, h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ are positive functions, with $h_{1}$ and $h_{2} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and $K_{1} \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)$ (with $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=$ 1).

By a weight, we shall mean a locally integrable function $\omega$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $\omega(x)>0$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Every weight $\omega$ gives rise to a measure on the measurable subsets on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ through integration. This measure will be denoted by $\mu$. Thus, $\mu(E)=\int_{E} \omega(x) d x$ for measurable sets $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

In general, the Sobolev spaces $\mathrm{W}^{k, p}(\Omega)$ without weights occur as spaces of solutions for elliptic and parabolic partial differential equations. For degenerate partial differential equations, i.e., equations with various types of singularities in the coefficients, it is natural to look for solutions in weighted Sobolev spaces (see [1], [2] and [4]).

In various applications, we can meet boundary value problems for elliptic equations whose ellipticity is disturbed in the sense that some degeneration or singularity appears. This bad behaviour can be caused by the coefficients of the corresponding differential operator as well as by the solution itself. The so-called p-Laplacian is a prototype of such an operator and its character can be interpreted as a degeneration or as a singularity of the classical (linear) Laplace operator (with $p=2$ ). There are several very concrete problems from practice which lead to such differential equations, e.g. from glaceology, non-Newtonian fluid mechanics, flows through porous media, differential geometry, celestial mechanics, climatology, petroleum extraction, reaction-diffusion problems, etc.

A class of weights, which is particularly well understood, is the class of $A_{p^{-}}$ weights (or Muckenhoupt class) that was introduced by B. Muckenhoupt (see [11]). These classes have found many useful applications in harmonic analysis (see [13]). Another reason for studying $A_{p}$-weights is the fact that powers of the distance to submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ often belong to $A_{p}$ (see [10]). There are, in fact, many interesting examples of weights (see [9] for p-admissible weights).

In the non-degenerate case (i.e. with $\omega(x) \equiv 1$ ), for all $f \in L^{p}(\Omega)$ the Poisson equation associated with the Dirichlet problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u=f(x), \text { in } \Omega \\
u(x)=0, \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

is uniquely solvable in $W^{2, p}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ (see [8]), and the nonlinear Dirichlet problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} u=f(x), \text { in } \Omega \\
u(x)=0, \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

is uniquely solvable in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ (see [3]), where $\Delta_{p} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)$ is the pLaplacian operator. In the degenerate case, the weighted p-Biharmonic operator
have been studied by many authors (see [12] and the references therein), and the degenerated p-Laplacian has been studied in [4].

The following theorem will be proved in section 3 .
Theorem 1 Assume (H1)-(H4). If $\omega \in A_{p}$ (with $\left.1<p<\infty\right), f_{j} / \omega \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)$ $\left(j=0,1, \ldots, n\right.$ and $\left.1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1\right)$ then the problem (P) has a unique solution $u \in X=W^{2, p}(\Omega, \omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega, \omega)$. Moreover, we have

$$
\|u\|_{X} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma^{p^{\prime} / p}}\left(C_{\Omega}\left\|f_{0} / \omega\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|f_{j} / \omega\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}\right)^{p^{\prime} / p}
$$

where $\gamma=\min \left\{\lambda_{1}, 1\right\}$.

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND BASIC RESULTS

Let $\omega$ be a locally integrable nonnegative function in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and assume that $0<$ $\omega(x)<\infty$ almost everywhere. We say that $\omega$ belongs to the Muckenhoupt class $A_{p}, 1<p<\infty$, or that $\omega$ is an $A_{p}$-weight, if there is a constant $C=C_{p, \omega}$ such that

$$
\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} \omega(x) d x\right)\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{B} \omega^{1 /(1-p)}(x) d x\right)^{p-1} \leq C
$$

for all balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $|$.$| denotes the n$-dimensional Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. If $1<q \leq p$, then $A_{q} \subset A_{p}$ (see [7],[9] or [13] for more information about $A_{p}$-weights). The weight $\omega$ satisfies the doubling condition if there exists a positive constant $C$ such that $\mu(B(x ; 2 r)) \leq C \mu(B(x ; r))$ for every ball $B=B(x ; r) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $\mu(B)=\int_{B} \omega(x) d x$. If $\omega \in A_{p}$, then $\mu$ is doubling (see Corollary 15.7 in [9]).

As an example of $A_{p}$-weight, the function $\omega(x)=|x|^{\alpha}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, is in $A_{p}$ if and only if $-n<\alpha<n(p-1)$ (see Corollary 4.4, Chapter IX in [13]).

If $\omega \in A_{p}$, then $\left(\frac{|E|}{|B|}\right)^{p} \leq C \frac{\mu(E)}{\mu(B)}$ whenever $B$ is a ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $E$ is a measurable subset of $B$ (see 15.5 strong doubling property in [9]). Therefore, if $\mu(E)=0$ then $|E|=0$.

Definition 1 Let $\omega$ be a weight, and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be open. For $1<p<\infty$ we define $L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)$ as the set of measurable functions $f$ on $\Omega$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|f(x)|^{p} \omega(x) d x\right)^{1 / p}<\infty
$$

If $\omega \in A_{p}, 1<p<\infty$, then $\omega^{-1 /(p-1)}$ is locally integrable and we have $L^{p}(\Omega, \omega) \subset L_{\text {loc }}^{1}(\Omega)$ for every open set $\Omega$ (see Remark 1.2.4 in [14]). It thus makes sense to talk about weak derivatives of functions in $L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)$.

Definition 2 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be open and let $\omega \in A_{p}(1<p<\infty)$. We define the weighted Sobolev space $W^{1, p}(\Omega, \omega)$ as the set of functions $u \in L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)$ with weak derivatives $D_{j} u \in L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$. The norm of $u$ in $W^{1, p}(\Omega, \omega)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W^{1, p}(\Omega, \omega)}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p} \omega(x) d x+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|D_{j} u(x)\right|^{p} \omega(x) d x\right)^{1 / p} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also define $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega, \omega)$ as the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1, p}(\Omega, \omega)}$.

If $\omega \in A_{p}$, then $W^{1, p}(\Omega, \omega)$ is the closure of $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm (1) (see Theorem 2.1.4 in [14]). The spaces $W^{1, p}(\Omega, \omega)$ and $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega, \omega)$ are Banach spaces.

It is evident that the weight function $\omega$ which satisfy $0<c_{1} \leq \omega(x) \leq c_{2}$ for $x \in \Omega\left(c_{1}\right.$ and $c_{2}$ positive constants), give nothing new (the space $\mathrm{W}_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega, \omega)$ is then identical with the classical Sobolev space $\mathrm{W}_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ ). Consequently, we shall interested above all in such weight functions $\omega$ which either vanish somewhere in $\bar{\Omega}$ or increase to infinity (or both).

In this article we use the following results.
Theorem 2 Let $\omega \in A_{p}, 1<p<\infty$, and let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. If $u_{m} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)$ then there exist a subsequence $\left\{u_{m_{k}}\right\}$ and a function $\Phi \in L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)$ such that
(i) $u_{m_{k}}(x) \rightarrow u(x), m_{k} \rightarrow \infty, \mu$-a.e. on $\Omega$;
(ii) $\left|u_{m_{k}}(x)\right| \leq \Phi(x)$, $\mu$-a.e. on $\Omega$;
(where $\mu(E)=\int_{E} \omega(x) d x$ ).
Proof. The proof of this theorem follows the lines of Theorem 2.8.1 in [6].
Theorem 3 (The weighted Sobolev inequality) Let $\Omega$ be an open bounded set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\omega \in A_{p}(1<p<\infty)$. There exist positive constants $C_{\Omega}$ and $\delta$ such that for all $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega, \omega)$ and all $k$ satisfying $1 \leq k \leq n /(n-1)+\delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{k p}(\Omega, \omega)} \leq C_{\Omega}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Its suffices to prove the inequality for functions $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ (see Theorem 1.3 in [5]). To extend the estimates (2) to arbitrary $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega, \omega)$, we let $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ be a sequence of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ functions tending to $u$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega, \omega)$. Applying the estimates (2) to differences $u_{m_{1}}-u_{m_{2}}$, we see that $\left\{u_{m}\right\}$ will be a Cauchy sequence in $L^{k p}(\Omega, \omega)$. Consequently the limit function $u$ will lie in the desired spaces and satisfy (2).

Lemma 1 Let $1<p<\infty$.
(a) There exists a constant $\alpha_{p}$ such that

$$
\left||x|^{p-2} x-|y|^{p-2} y\right| \leq \alpha_{p}|x-y|(|x|+|y|)^{p-2}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$;
(b) There exist two positive constants $\beta_{p}, \gamma_{p}$ such that for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
\beta_{p}(|x|+|y|)^{p-2}|x-y|^{2} \leq\left(|x|^{p-2} x-|y|^{p-2} y\right) .(x-y) \leq \gamma_{p}(|x|+|y|)^{p-2}|x-y|^{2}
$$

Proof. See [3], Proposition 17.2 and Proposition 17.3.
Definition 3 We say that an element $u \in X=W^{2, p}(\Omega, \omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega, \omega)$ is a (weak) solution of problem (P) if, for all $\varphi \in X$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta \varphi \omega d x+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \omega(x) \mathcal{A}_{j}(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) D_{j} \varphi(x) d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} f_{0}(x) \varphi(x) d x+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} f_{j}(x) D_{j} \varphi(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The basic idea is to reduce the problem (P) to an operator equation $A u=T$ and apply the theorem below.

Theorem 4 Let $A: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be a monotone, coercive and hemicontinuous operator on the real, separable, reflexive Banach space $X$. Then the following assertions hold:
(a) For each $T \in X^{*}$ the equation $A u=T$ has a solution $u \in X$;
(b) If the operator $A$ is strictly monotone, then equation $A u=T$ is uniquely solvable in $X$.

Proof. See Theorem 26.A in [16].
To prove the existence of solutions, we define $B, B_{1}, B_{2}: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $T: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(u, \varphi) & =B_{1}(u, \varphi)+B_{2}(u, \varphi) \\
B_{1}(u, \varphi) & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \omega \mathcal{A}_{j}(x, u, \nabla u) D_{j} \varphi d x=\int_{\Omega} \omega \mathcal{A}(x, u, \nabla u) . \nabla \varphi d x \\
B_{2}(u, \varphi) & =\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta \varphi \omega d x \\
T(\varphi) & =\int_{\Omega} f_{0}(x) \varphi(x) d x+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} f_{j}(x) D_{j} \varphi(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $u \in X$ is a (weak) solution to problem (P) if

$$
B(u, \varphi)=B_{1}(u, \varphi)+B_{2}(u, \varphi)=T(\varphi)
$$

for all $\varphi \in X$.
Step 1 For $j=1, \ldots, n$ we define the operator $F_{j}: X \rightarrow L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)$ by

$$
\left(F_{j} u\right)(x)=\mathcal{A}_{j}(x, u(x), \nabla u(x))
$$

We have that the operator $F_{j}$ is bounded and continuous. In fact:
(i) Using (H4) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|F_{j} u\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p^{\prime}}=\int_{\Omega}\left|F_{j} u(x)\right|^{p^{\prime}} \omega d x=\int_{\Omega}\left|\mathcal{A}_{j}(x, u, \nabla u)\right|^{p^{\prime}} \omega d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(K_{1}+h_{1}|u|^{p / p^{\prime}}+h_{2}|\nabla u|^{p / p^{\prime}}\right)^{p^{\prime}} \omega d x \\
& \leq C_{p} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(K_{1}^{p^{\prime}}+h_{1}^{p^{\prime}}|u|^{p}+h_{2}^{p^{\prime}}|\nabla u|^{p}\right) \omega\right] d x \\
& =C_{p}\left[\int_{\Omega} K_{1}^{p^{\prime}} \omega d x+\int_{\Omega} h_{1}^{p^{\prime}}|u|^{p} \omega d x+\int_{\Omega} h_{2}^{p^{\prime}}|\nabla u|^{p} \omega d x\right] \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the constant $C_{p}$ depends only on $p$. We have, by Theorem 3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} h_{1}^{p^{\prime}}|u|^{p} \omega d x & \leq\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{p^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} \omega d x \\
& \leq C_{\Omega}^{p}\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{p^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \omega d x \\
& \leq C_{\Omega}^{p}\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{p^{\prime}}\|u\|_{X}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\int_{\Omega} h_{2}^{p^{\prime}}|\nabla u|^{p} \omega d x \leq\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{p^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \omega d x \leq\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{p^{\prime}}\|u\|_{X}^{p}$. Therefore, in (3) we obtain

$$
\left\|F_{j} u\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)} \leq C_{p}\left(\|K\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}+\left(C_{\Omega}^{p / p^{\prime}}\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\right)\|u\|_{X}^{p / p^{\prime}}\right)
$$

(ii) Let $u_{m} \rightarrow u$ in $X$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. We need to show that $F_{j} u_{m} \rightarrow F_{j} u$ in $L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)$. If $u_{m} \rightarrow u$ in $X$, then $u_{m} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)$ and $\left|\nabla u_{m}\right| \rightarrow|\nabla u|$ in $L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)$. Using Theorem 2, there exist a subsequence $\left\{u_{m_{k}}\right\}$ and functions $\Phi_{1}$ and $\Phi_{2}$ in $L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{m_{k}}(x) \rightarrow u(x), \mu \text { - a.e. in } \Omega, \\
& \left|u_{m_{k}}(x)\right| \leq \Phi_{1}(x), \mu \text { - a.e. in } \Omega, \\
& \left|\nabla u_{m_{k}}(x)\right| \rightarrow|\nabla u(x)|, \mu-\text { a.e. in } \Omega, \\
& \left|\nabla u_{m_{k}}(x)\right| \leq \Phi_{2}(x), \mu-\text { a.e. in } \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, using (H4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|F_{j} u_{m_{k}}-F_{j} u\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p^{\prime}}=\int_{\Omega}\left|F_{j} u_{m_{k}}(x)-F_{j} u(x)\right|^{p^{\prime}} \omega d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left|\mathcal{A}_{j}\left(x, u_{m_{k}}, \nabla u_{m_{k}}\right)-\mathcal{A}_{j}(x, u, \nabla u)\right|^{p^{\prime}} \omega d x \\
& \leq C_{p} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\mathcal{A}_{j}\left(x, u_{m_{k}}, \nabla u_{m_{k}}\right)\right|^{p^{\prime}}+\left|\mathcal{A}_{j}(x, u, \nabla u)\right|^{p^{\prime}}\right) \omega d x \\
& \leq C_{p}\left[\int_{\Omega}\left(K_{1}+h_{1}\left|u_{m_{k}}\right|^{p / p^{\prime}}+h_{2}\left|\nabla u_{m_{k}}\right|^{p / p^{\prime}}\right)^{p^{\prime}} \omega d x\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\Omega}\left(K_{1}+h_{1}|u|^{p / p^{\prime}}+h_{2}|\nabla u|^{p / p^{\prime}}\right)^{p^{\prime}} \omega d x\right] \\
& \leq 2 C_{p} \int_{\Omega}\left(K_{1}+h_{1} \Phi_{1}^{p / p^{\prime}}+h_{2} \Phi_{2}^{p / p^{\prime}}\right)^{p^{\prime}} \omega d x \\
& \leq 2 C_{p}\left[\int_{\Omega} K_{1}^{p^{\prime}} \omega d x+\int_{\Omega} h_{1}^{p^{\prime}} \Phi_{1}^{p} \omega d x+\int_{\Omega} h_{2}^{p^{\prime}} \Phi_{2}^{p} \omega d x\right] \\
& \leq 2 C_{p}\left[\left\|K_{1}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p^{\prime}}+\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{p^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{1}^{p} \omega d x\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{p^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{2}^{p} \omega d x\right] \\
& \leq 2 C_{p}\left[\left\|K_{1}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(\Omega, \omega)}}^{p^{\prime}}+\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{p^{\prime}}\left\|\Phi_{1}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{p^{\prime}}\left\|\Phi_{2}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

By condition (H1), we have

$$
F_{j} u_{m}(x)=\mathcal{A}_{j}\left(x, u_{m}(x), \nabla u_{m}(x)\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{j}(x, u(x), \nabla u(x))=F_{j} u(x),
$$

as $m \rightarrow+\infty$. Therefore, by the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we obtain

$$
\left\|F_{j} u_{m_{k}}-F_{j} u\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)} \rightarrow 0
$$

that is,

$$
F_{j} u_{m_{k}} \rightarrow F_{j} u \quad \text { in } L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega) .
$$

By the Convergence Principle in Banach spaces (see Proposition 10.13 in [15]), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{j} u_{m} \rightarrow F_{j} u \text { in } L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2 We define the operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G: X \rightarrow L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega) \\
& (G u)(x)=|\Delta u(x)|^{p-2} \Delta u(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have that the operator G is continuous and bounded. In fact:
(i) We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|G u\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p^{\prime}} & =\left.\left.\int_{\Omega}| | \Delta u\right|^{p-2} \Delta u\right|^{p^{\prime}} \omega d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{(p-2) p^{\prime}}|\Delta u|^{p^{\prime}} \omega d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{p} \omega d x \\
& \leq\|u\|_{X}^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\|G u\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(\Omega, \omega)}} \leq\|u\|_{X}^{p / p^{\prime}}$.
(ii) If $u_{m} \rightarrow u$ in $X$ then $\Delta u_{m} \rightarrow \Delta u$ in $L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)$.By Theorem 2 , there exist a subsequence $\left\{u_{m_{k}}\right\}$ and a function $\Phi_{3} \in L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta u_{m_{k}}(x) \rightarrow \Delta u(x), \mu-a . e . \operatorname{in} \Omega \\
& \left|\Delta u_{m_{k}}(x)\right| \leq \Phi_{3}(x), \mu-a . e . \text { in } \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, using Lemma 1(a), we obtain, if $p \neq 2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|G u_{m_{k}}-G u\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p^{\prime}}=\int_{\Omega}\left|G u_{m_{k}}-G u\right|^{p^{\prime}} \omega d x \\
& =\left.\int_{\Omega}| | \Delta u_{m_{k}}\right|^{p-2} \Delta u_{m_{k}}-\left.|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u\right|^{p^{\prime}} \omega d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left[\alpha_{p}\left|\Delta u_{m_{k}}-\Delta u\right|\left(\left|\Delta u_{m_{k}}\right|+|\Delta u|\right)^{(p-2)}\right]^{p^{\prime}} \omega d x \\
& \leq \alpha_{p}^{p^{\prime}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\Delta u_{m_{k}}-\Delta u\right|^{p^{\prime}}\left(2 \Phi_{3}\right)^{(p-2) p^{\prime}} \omega d x \\
& \leq \alpha_{p}^{p^{\prime}} 2^{(p-2) p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\Delta u_{m_{k}}-\Delta u\right|^{p} \omega d x\right)^{p^{\prime} / p} \times \\
& \times\left(\int_{\Omega} \Phi_{3}^{(p-2) p p^{\prime} /\left(p-p^{\prime}\right)} \omega d x\right)^{\left(p-p^{\prime}\right) / p} \\
& \leq \alpha_{p}^{p^{\prime}} 2^{(p-2) p^{\prime}}\left\|u_{m_{k}}-u\right\|_{X}^{p^{\prime}}\|\Phi\|_{L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p-p^{\prime}},
\end{aligned}
$$

since $(p-2) p p^{\prime} /\left(p-p^{\prime}\right)=p$ if $p \neq 2$. If $p=2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|G u_{m_{k}}-G u\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega, \omega)}^{2} & =? \int_{\Omega}\left|\Delta u_{m_{k}}-\Delta u\right|^{2} \omega d x \\
& \leq\left\|u_{m_{k}}-u\right\|_{X}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore (for $1<p<\infty$ ), by the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we obtain

$$
\left\|G u_{m_{k}}-G u\right\|_{X} \rightarrow 0
$$

that is, $G u_{m_{k}} \rightarrow G u$ in $L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)$. By convergence principle in Banach spaces (see Proposition 10.13 in [15]), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G u_{m} \rightarrow G u \text { in } L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3 We have, by Theorem 3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|T(\varphi)| & \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|f_{0}\right||\varphi| d x+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|f_{j} \| D_{j} \varphi\right| d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|f_{0}\right|}{\omega}|\varphi| \omega d x+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|f_{j}\right|}{\omega}\left|D_{j} \varphi\right| \omega d x \\
& \leq\left\|f_{0} / \omega\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}\|\varphi\|_{L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|f_{j} / \omega\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}\left\|D_{j} \varphi\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)} \\
& \leq\left(C_{\Omega}\left\|f_{0} / \omega\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|f_{j} / \omega\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}\right)\|\varphi\|_{X} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, using (H4) and the Hölder inequality, we also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|B(u, \varphi)| & \leq\left|B_{1}(u, \varphi)\right|+\left|B_{2}(u, \varphi)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\mathcal{A}_{j}(x, u, \nabla u)\right|\left|D_{j} \varphi\right| \omega d x+\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{p-2}|\Delta u||\Delta \varphi| \omega d x .(6)
\end{aligned}
$$

In (6) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}|\mathcal{A}(x, u, \nabla u)||\nabla \varphi| \omega d x \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(K_{1}+h_{1}|u|^{p / p^{\prime}}+h_{2}|\nabla u|^{p / p^{\prime}}\right)|\nabla \varphi| \omega d x \\
\leq & \left\|K_{1}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(\Omega, \omega)}}\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)}+\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p / p^{\prime}}\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)} \\
+ & \left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)}^{p / p^{\prime}}\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)} \\
\leq & \left(\left\|K_{1}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}+\left(C_{\Omega}^{p / p^{\prime}}\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\right)\|u\|_{X}^{p / p^{\prime}}\right)\|\varphi\|_{X},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{p-2}|\Delta u||\Delta \varphi| \omega d x & =\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{p-1}|\Delta \varphi| \omega d x \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{p} \omega d x\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\Delta \varphi|^{p} \omega d x\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leq\|u\|_{X}^{p / p^{\prime}}\|\varphi\|_{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, in (6) we obtain, for all $u, \varphi \in X$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|B(u, \varphi)| & \leq\left[\left\|K_{1}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}+C_{\Omega}^{p / p^{\prime}}\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\|u\|_{X}^{p / p^{\prime}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega, \omega)}\|u\|_{X}^{p / p^{\prime}}+\|u\|_{X}^{p / p^{\prime}}\right]\|\varphi\|_{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $B(u,$.$) is linear, for each u \in X$, there exists a linear and continuous operator $A: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ such that $\langle A u, \varphi\rangle=B(u, \varphi)$, for all $u, \varphi \in X$ (where $\langle f, x\rangle$ denotes the value of the linear functional $f$ at the point $x$ ) and

$$
\|A u\|_{*} \leq\left\|K_{1}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}+C_{\Omega}^{p / p^{\prime}}\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\|u\|_{X}^{p / p^{\prime}}+\left\|h_{2}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega, \omega)}\|u\|_{X}^{p / p^{\prime}}+\|u\|_{X}^{p / p^{\prime}}
$$

Consequently, problem (P) is equivalent to the operator equation

$$
A u=T, u \in X
$$

Step 4 Using condition (H2) and Lemma 1(b), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle A u_{1}-A u_{2}, u_{1}-u_{2}\right\rangle=B\left(u_{1}, u_{1}-u_{2}\right)-B\left(u_{2}, u_{1}-u_{2}\right) \\
= & \int_{\Omega} \omega \mathcal{A}\left(x, u_{1}, \nabla u_{1}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\Delta u_{1}\right|^{p-2} \Delta u_{1} \Delta\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) \omega d x \\
- & \int_{\Omega} \omega \mathcal{A}\left(x, u_{2}, \nabla u_{2}\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega}\left|\Delta u_{2}\right|^{p-2} \Delta u_{2} \Delta\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) \omega d x \\
= & \int_{\Omega} \omega\left(\mathcal{A}\left(x, u_{1}, \nabla u_{1}\right)-\mathcal{A}\left(x, u_{2}, \nabla u_{2}\right)\right) \cdot \nabla\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) d x \\
+ & \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\Delta u_{1}\right|^{p-2} \Delta u_{1}-\left|\Delta u_{2}\right|^{p-2} \Delta u_{2}\right) \Delta\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) \omega d x \\
\geq & \theta_{1} \int_{\Omega} \omega\left|\nabla\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)\right|^{p} d x+\beta_{p} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\Delta u_{1}\right|+\left|\Delta u_{2}\right|\right)^{p-2}\left|\Delta u_{1}-\Delta u_{2}\right|^{2} \omega d x \\
\geq & \theta_{1} \int_{\Omega} \omega\left|\nabla\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)\right|^{p} d x+\beta_{p} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\Delta u_{1}-\Delta u_{2}\right|\right)^{p-2}\left|\Delta u_{1}-\Delta u_{2}\right|^{2} \omega d x \\
= & \theta_{1} \int_{\Omega} \omega\left|\nabla\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)\right|^{p} d x+\beta_{p} \int_{\Omega}\left|\Delta u_{1}-\Delta u_{2}\right|^{p} \omega d x \\
\geq & \theta\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|_{X}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta=\min \left\{\theta_{1}, \beta_{p}\right\}$.
Therefore, the operator $A$ is strictly monotone. Moreover, using (H3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle A u, u\rangle & =B(u, u)=B_{1}(u, u)+B_{2}(u, u) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \omega \mathcal{A}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u d x+\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta u \omega d x \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} \lambda_{1}|\nabla u|^{p} \omega d x+\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{p} \omega d x \\
& \geq \gamma\|u\|_{X}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma=\min \left\{\lambda_{1}, 1\right\}$. Hence, since $p>1$, we have

$$
\frac{\langle A u, u\rangle}{\|u\|_{X}} \rightarrow+\infty, \text { as }\|u\|_{X} \rightarrow+\infty
$$

that is, $A$ is coercive.
Step 5 We show that the operator $A$ is continuous which, in particular means that $A$ is hemicontinuous.

Let $u_{m} \rightarrow u$ in $X$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. We have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|B_{1}\left(u_{m}, \varphi\right)-B_{1}(u, \varphi)\right| & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|\mathcal{A}_{j}\left(x, u_{m}, \nabla u_{m}\right)-\mathcal{A}_{j}(x, u, \nabla u)\right|\left|D_{j} \varphi\right| \omega d x \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|F_{j} u_{m}-F_{j} u \| D_{j} \varphi\right| \omega d x \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|F_{j} u_{m}-F_{j} u\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(\Omega, \omega)}}\left\|D_{j} \varphi\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|F_{j} u_{m}-F_{j} u\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(\Omega, \omega)}}\|\varphi\|_{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|B_{2}\left(u_{m}, \varphi\right)-B_{2}(u, \varphi)\right| \\
& =\left.\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| \Delta u_{m}\right|^{p-2} \Delta u_{m} \Delta \varphi \omega d x-\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta \varphi \omega d x \mid \\
& \leq\left.\int_{\Omega}| | \Delta u_{m}\right|^{p-2} \Delta u_{m}-|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u| | \Delta \varphi \mid \omega d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left|G u_{m}-G u\right||\Delta \varphi| \omega d x \\
& \leq\left\|G u_{m}-G u\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}\|\varphi\|_{X} .
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\varphi \in X$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|B\left(u_{m}, \varphi\right)-B(u, \varphi)\right| \leq\left|B_{1}\left(u_{m}, \varphi\right)-B_{1}(u, \varphi)\right|+\left|B_{2}\left(u_{m}, \varphi\right)-B_{2}(u, \varphi)\right| \\
& \leq\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|F_{j} u_{m}-F_{j} u\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}+\left\|G u_{m}-G u\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}\right]\|\varphi\|_{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we obtain

$$
\left\|A u_{m}-A u\right\|_{*} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|F_{j} u_{m}-F_{j} u\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}+\left\|G u_{m}-G u\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)} .
$$

Therefore, using (4) and (5) we have $\left\|A u_{m}-A u\right\|_{*} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow+\infty$, that is, $A$ is continuous.

Therefore, by Theorem 4, the operator equation $A u=T$ has a unique solution $u \in X$ and it is the unique solution for problem (P).

Step 6 In particular, by setting $\varphi=u$ in Definition 3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(u, u)=B_{1}(u, u)+B_{2}(u, u)=T(u) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, using (H3) and $\gamma=\min \left\{\lambda_{1}, 1\right\}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{1}(u, u)+B_{2}(u, u)= & \int_{\Omega} \omega \mathcal{A}(x, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u d x+\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u \Delta u \omega d x \\
& \geq \int_{\Omega} \lambda_{1}|\nabla u|^{p}+\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u|^{p} \omega d x \\
& \geq \gamma\|u\|_{X}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(u) & =\int_{\Omega} f_{0} u d x+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} f_{j} D_{j} u d x \\
& \leq\left\|f_{0} / \omega\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}\|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|f_{j} /\left.\omega\right|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)}\right\| D_{j} u \|_{L^{p}(\Omega, \omega)} \\
& \leq\left(C_{\Omega}\left\|f_{0} / \omega\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|f_{j} / \omega\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)}\right)\|u\|_{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, in (7), we have

$$
\gamma\|u\|_{X}^{p} \leq\left(C_{\Omega}\left\|f_{0} / \omega\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|f_{j} / \omega\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}\right)\|u\|_{X}
$$

and we obtain

$$
\|u\|_{X} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma^{p^{\prime} / p}}\left(C_{\Omega}\left\|f_{0} / \omega\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|f_{j} / \omega\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega, \omega)}\right)^{p^{\prime} / p}
$$

Example Let $\Omega=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x^{2}+y^{2}<1\right\}$, the weight function $\omega(x, y)=$ $\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\omega \in A_{3}, p=3\right)$, and the function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}: \Omega \times \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \\
& \mathcal{A}((x, y), \eta, \xi)=h_{2}(x, y)|\xi| \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h(x, y)=2 \mathrm{e}^{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}$. Let us consider the partial differential operator

$$
L u(x, y)=\Delta\left(\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}|\Delta u| \Delta u\right)-\operatorname{div}\left(\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \mathcal{A}((x, y), u, \nabla u)\right)
$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1, the problem

$$
(P) \begin{cases}L u(x) & =\frac{\cos (x y)}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\sin (x y)}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\sin (x y)}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}\right), \text { in } \Omega \\ u(x) & =0, \text { on } \partial \Omega \\ \Delta u & =0, \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

has a unique solution $u \in X=W^{2,3}(\Omega, \omega) \cap W_{0}^{1,3}(\Omega, \omega)$.
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