

**SOME PROPERTIES OF CERTAIN SUBCLASS OF  
GENERALIZED  $p$ -VALENT LOGARITHMIC  $\lambda$ -BAZILEVIC  
FUNCTIONS**

SHU-HAI LI, LI-NA MA, HUO TANG

**ABSTRACT.** The aim of this paper is to study the properties of a subclass of analytic functions related to the generalized  $p$ -valent logarithmic  $\lambda$ -Bazilevic functions by using the concept of differential subordination. We obtain some results concerned with inclusion relations, radius problems, argument properties, and some other interesting properties.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the class of functions analytic in the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ .

For two functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , we say that the function  $f_1$  is subordinate to  $f_2$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ , and write  $f_1(z) \prec f_2(z)$  ( $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ), if there exists a Schwarz function  $\omega$ , which is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $\omega(0) = 0$  and  $|\omega(z)| < 1$  such that  $f_1(z) = f_2(\omega(z))$  ( $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ). Furthermore, if the function  $f_2$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$ , then we have the following equivalence (see, for details, [11],[22],[35]):

$$f_1(z) \prec f_2(z) \ (z \in \mathbb{D}) \iff f_1(0) = f_2(0) \text{ and } f_1(\mathbb{D}) \subset f_2(\mathbb{D}).$$

Let  $P$  denote the class of functions  $p(z)$  given by

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n \ (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

which are analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  and satisfy the condition  $\Re(p(z)) > 0$ .

Let  $P_\phi$  denote the class of analytic functions  $\phi(z)$  with positive real part in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $\phi(0) = 1$  and  $\phi'(0) > 0$ , which map the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and which are symmetric with respect to the real axis.

Let  $\mathcal{A}_p$  be the class of analytic functions

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \ (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}) \quad (1)$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45, Secondary 30C50.

*Key words and phrases.* analytic functions,  $p$ -valent functions, logarithmic  $\lambda$ -Bazilevic functions, differential subordination, argument.

Submitted May 17, 2015.

defined in the open unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$ , and let  $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$ . We denote by  $S^*$  and  $K$  the subclasses of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of all analytic functions which are, respectively, starlike and convex in  $\mathbb{D}$  (see, e.g., Srivastava and Owa [35]).

**Definition 1** A function  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is said to be in the class  $J_p[\lambda, A, B]$  if and only if

$$(1 - \lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \prec p \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (\lambda \geq 0, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Obviously, for  $p = 1, \lambda = 0, A = 1 - 2\rho$  ( $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ) and  $B = -1$  in Definition 1, we have the well-known classes  $J(\alpha, \rho)$  (see [14] and [16]; also see [26]). When  $p = 1, A = 1$  and  $B = -1$ , if we set  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\lambda = 1$  in Definition 1, respectively, we have the well-known classes  $S^*$  and  $K$ . Also, for  $\lambda = 0$  and  $p = 1$ , we obtain the class  $J_1[0, A, B]$  of Janowski starlike functions (see [9],[33],[34]). Furthermore, for the function classes  $S_p^*(\rho)$  ( $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ ) and  $K_p(\rho)$  (see [32]), it is easily seen that  $J_p[0, 1 - 2\rho, -1] = S_p^*$  and  $J_p[1, 1 - 2\rho, -1] = K_p(\rho)$ .

It is clear that  $f \in J_p[\lambda, A, B]$  if and only if

$$\left| (1 - \lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} + \lambda \frac{(zf'(z))'}{pf'(z)} - \frac{1 - AB}{1 - B^2} \right| < \frac{A - B}{1 - B^2} \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1, z \in \mathbb{D})$$

and

$$\Re \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \right\} > \frac{p(1 - A)}{2} \quad (B = -1, z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

In particular, when  $A = 1 - 2\rho$  and  $B = -1$ , we have  $f \in J_p(\lambda, \rho) = J_p[\lambda, 1 - 2\rho, -1]$  if and only if

$$\Re \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \right\} > p\rho \quad (0 \leq \rho < 1, z \in \mathbb{D}). \tag{2}$$

**Definition 2** A function  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is said to be in the class  $J_p[\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B]$  of  $p$ -valent  $\lambda$ -Bazilevic function of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$  if and only if

$$(1 - \lambda) \left[ \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \left( \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{i\beta} \right] + \lambda \left[ \frac{(zf'(z))'}{pf'(z)} \left( \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} \right)^{i\beta} \right] \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}, \tag{3}$$

where  $\lambda \geq 0, \alpha \geq 0, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, -1 \leq B \leq 1, A \neq B$  and  $g \in \mathcal{S}_p^* = \mathcal{S}_p^*(0)$ .

For  $p = 1, \lambda = 0, A = 1$  and  $B = -1$ , the class  $J_1[0, \alpha, \beta, 1, -1]$  was introduced by Bazilevic (see, for instance, [8],[24],[23],[25],[2],[3],[4],[5],[6],[29],[7]). For  $p = 1$  and  $a_n = 0$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots, k$ ), the class  $J_1[\lambda, \alpha, \beta, A, B]$  was introduced by Wang et al. [36]. Also, for  $p = 1, a_n = 0$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots, k$ ),  $A = 1 - 2\rho$  ( $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ ) and  $B = -1$ , the class  $J_1[\lambda, \alpha, \beta, 1 - 2\rho, -1]$  was introduced by Li [13].

By making use of the principle of subordination between analytic functions, Ma and Minda [17] introduced the following subclasses  $L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \mu, \phi)$  and  $N_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \phi)$  of the class  $\mathcal{A}_p$  for  $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \geq 0, \mu \geq 0$  and  $\phi(z) \in P_\phi$ .

**Definition 3** Let  $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \geq 0, \mu \geq 0$  and  $\phi(z) \in P_\phi$ . A function  $f$  be in the class  $L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \mu, \phi)$  if it satisfies the condition

$$\frac{1}{p} \left[ G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) + \mu H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) \right] \prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}), \tag{4}$$

where

$$G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) = \left[ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left( \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{i\beta} \right]^{(1-\lambda)} \left[ \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \left( \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} \right)^{i\beta} \right]^\lambda, \quad (5)$$

$$H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) = \left[ (1-\lambda) \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} + \lambda \frac{(z(zf'(z)))'}{(zf'(z))'} \right] + (\alpha+i\beta-1) \left[ (1-\lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \right] - \alpha \left[ (1-\lambda) \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + \lambda \frac{(zg'(z))'}{g'(z)} \right] - ip\beta \quad (6)$$

and  $g \in J_p(\lambda, \rho)$ .

For  $\mu = 0$ , we have the following logarithmic  $\lambda$ -Bazilevic functions of  $\mathcal{A}_p$ .

**Definition 4** Let  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $\phi(z) \in P_\phi$ . A function  $f$  be in the class  $N_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \phi)$  if it satisfies the condition

$$\frac{1}{p} G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) \prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

where  $g \in J_p(\lambda, \rho)$  and  $G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z)$  is given by (5).

For

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1 + [(1-\eta)A + \eta B]z}{1 + Bz} \quad (0 \leq \eta \leq 1, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$$

in Definitions 3 and 4, respectively, we have the following subclasses.

A function  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is said to be in the class  $L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \mu, \eta, A, B)$  if and only if

$$G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) + \mu H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) \prec p \frac{1 + [(1-\eta)A + \eta B]z}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

where  $g \in J_p(\lambda, \rho)$ ,  $G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z)$  and  $H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z)$  are given by (5) and (6), respectively.

A function  $f$  in  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is said to be in the class  $N_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \eta, A, B)$  if and only if

$$G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) \prec p \frac{1 + [(1-\eta)A + \eta B]z}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

where  $g \in J_p(\lambda, \rho)$  and  $G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z)$  is given by (5).

Obviously, for  $\eta = 0$ ,  $A = 1 - 2\xi$  ( $0 \leq \xi < 1$ ) and  $B = -1$  in  $L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \mu, \eta, A, B)$  and  $N_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \eta, A, B)$ , respectively, we have the following equivalence relationships.

$$\begin{aligned} f \in L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \mu, \xi) = L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, 0, \mu, 1 - 2\xi, -1) \\ \iff \Re\{G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) + \mu H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z)\} > \xi p \end{aligned}$$

and

$$f \in N_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \xi) = N_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, 0, 1 - 2\xi, -1) \iff \Re\{G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z)\} > \xi p.$$

For suitable choices of the parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $p$  and the function  $\phi$  involved in Definitions 3 and 4, we also obtain the following subclasses which were studied in many earlier works:

- (1)  $N_{1,0,0,0}(\lambda, \phi) = L(\lambda, \phi)$  (logarithmic  $\lambda$ -convex functions) (Ali et al. [1]);
- (2)  $L_{1,\alpha,0,0}(0, \mu, \phi)(g(z) = z) = M_{\alpha,\mu}(\phi)$  (Rosy et al. [31]);
- (3)  $L_{p,\alpha,0,0}(0, 0, \mu, 0, A, B) = M_p(\alpha, \mu, A, B)$  (Patel [27]);
- (4)  $L_{p,\alpha,0,0}(0, 0, \mu, 1 - 2\xi, -1) = M_p(\alpha, \mu, \xi)$  ( $0 \leq \xi < 1$ ) (Wang et al. [37]);

(5)  $L_{p,\alpha,\beta,0}(0, 0, \mu, A, B) = M_p(\alpha, \beta, \mu, A, B)$  and  $L_{p,\alpha,\beta,0}(0, 0, \mu, 1 - 2\xi, -1) = B_p(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \xi)$  ( $0 \leq \xi < 1$ ) (Raza et al. [30]).

In this paper, we focus on discussing the properties of the classes  $L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \mu, \eta, A, B)$  and  $N_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \eta, A, B)$ . In order to prove our main results, we shall require the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1** ([21]) Let  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$  and  $t > 0$ . If a complex number  $\gamma$  satisfies  $\Re\{\gamma\} \geq -\frac{t(1-A)}{1-B}$ , then the differential equation

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{tq(z) + \gamma} = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

has a univalent solution in  $\mathbb{D}$  given by

$$q(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z^{t+\gamma}(1+Bz)^{t((A-B)/B)}}{t \int_0^z s^{t+\gamma-1}(1+Bs)^{t((A-B)/B)} ds} - \frac{\gamma}{t}, & B \neq 0, \\ \frac{z^{t+\gamma}e^{tAz}}{t \int_0^z s^{t+\gamma-1}e^{tAs} ds} - \frac{\gamma}{t}, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$

If the function  $h(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  and satisfies

$$h(z) + \frac{zh'(z)}{th(z) + \gamma} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

then

$$h(z) \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

and  $q(z)$  is the best dominant.

**Lemma 2** ([38]) Let  $a_1, b_1$  and  $c_1 \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$  be complex numbers. Then, for  $\Re c_1 > \Re b_1 \neq 0$ ,

- (i)  ${}_2F_1(a_1, b_1, c_1; z) = \frac{\Gamma(c_1)}{\Gamma(c_1-b_1)\Gamma(b_1)} \int_0^1 s^{b_1-1}(1-s)^{c_1-b_1-1}(1-sz)^{-a_1} ds$ ;
- (ii)  ${}_2F_1(a_1, b_1, c_1; z) = {}_2F_1(b_1, a_1, c_1; z)$ ;
- (iii)  ${}_2F_1(a_1, b_1, c_1; z) = (1-z)^{-a_1} {}_2F_1(a_1, c_1 - b_1, c_1; \frac{z}{z-1})$ .

**Lemma 3** ([39]) Let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive measure on  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $g$  be a complex-valued function defined on  $\mathbb{D} \times [0, 1]$  such that  $g(\cdot, t)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  for each  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $g(\cdot, t)$  is  $\varepsilon$ -integrable on  $[0, 1]$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . In addition, suppose that  $\Re g(z, t) > 0$ ,  $g(-r, t)$  is real and  $\Re \frac{1}{g(z,t)} \geq \frac{1}{g(-r,t)}$  for  $|z| \leq r < 1$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . If  $g(z) = \int_0^1 g(z, s)d\varepsilon(s)$ , then  $\Re \left\{ \frac{1}{g(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{g(-r)}$ .

**Lemma 4** ([19]) Let  $u = u_1 + iu_2, v = v_1 + iv_2$ , and let  $\phi(u, v)$  be a complex-valued function satisfying the conditions:

- (i)  $\phi(u, v)$  is continuous in a domain  $\mathbb{E} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ ;
- (ii)  $(0, 1) \in \mathbb{E}$  and  $\Re \phi(1, 0) > 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\Re \{\phi(iu_2, v_1)\} \leq 0$  whenever  $(iu_2, v_1) \in \mathbb{E}$  and  $v_1 \leq -\frac{(1+u_2^2)}{2}$ .

If the function  $h(z) = 1 + c_n z^n + \dots$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  such that  $(h(z), zh'(z)) \in \mathbb{E}$  and  $\Re \{\phi(h(z), zh'(z))\} > 0$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , then  $\Re \{h(z)\} > 0$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Lemma 5** ([15]) Let  $-1 \leq B_1 \leq B_2 < A_2 \leq A_1 \leq 1$ . Then

$$\frac{1 + A_2z}{1 + B_2z} \prec \frac{1 + A_1z}{1 + B_1z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

**Lemma 6** ([15]) Let  $F$  is analytic and convex in  $\mathbb{D}$ . If  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_p$  and  $f, g \prec F$ , then

$$\mu f + (1 - \mu)g \prec F \quad (0 \leq \mu < 1).$$

**Lemma 7** ([28]) If  $\phi(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $|\phi(z)| \leq 1$  for  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , then for  $|z| = r < 1$ ,

$$\left| \frac{z\phi'(z) + \phi(z)}{1 + z\phi(z)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{1 - r}.$$

**Lemma 8** ([10] and [18]) If  $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots \in P$ , then for  $|z| = r < 1$ ,

$$\left| \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right| \leq \frac{2r}{1 - r^2}, \quad \Re p(z) \geq \frac{1 - r}{1 + r} \quad \text{and} \quad |p'(z)| \leq \frac{2\Re p(z)}{1 - r^2}.$$

These estimates are sharp.

**Lemma 9** ([12]) Let  $h$  be analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $h(0) = 1$ ,  $h(z) \neq 0$  ( $z \in \mathbb{D}$ ) and suppose that

$$|\arg(h(z) + mzh'(z))| < \frac{\pi}{2} \left[ l + \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan(ml) \right] \quad (l, m > 0)$$

then

$$|\arg h(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2} l \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

**Lemma 10** ([20]) If  $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$ ,  $h(z)$  is a convex function in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $h(0) = 1$  and  $\gamma$  is a complex constant such that  $\Re\{\gamma\} > 0$ , then

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\gamma} = h(z)$$

implies

$$p(z) \prec \gamma z^{-\gamma} \int_0^z t^{\gamma-1} h(t) dt = q(z) \prec h(z).$$

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq \eta < 1$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$ ,  $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \xi < 1$ ,  $l > 0$ ,  $m > 0$ ,  $\gamma \geq 0$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  and all powers are understood as principle values.

**Theorem 1** If  $f \in L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \eta, \mu, A, B)$  ( $\mu > 0$ ), then

$$\frac{1}{p} G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}), \tag{7}$$

where  $G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z)$  and  $H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z)$  are given by (5) and (6), respectively,

$$q(z) = \frac{\mu}{pQ(z)} \prec \frac{1 + [(1 - \eta)A + \eta B]z}{1 + Bz}$$

and

$$Q(z) = \begin{cases} \int_0^1 s^{\frac{p}{\mu}-1} \left( \frac{1+Bzs}{1+Bz} \right)^{\frac{p}{\mu}(1-\eta)(A-B)/B} ds, & B \neq 0, \\ \int_0^1 s^{\frac{p}{\mu}-1} e^{\frac{p}{\mu}(s-1)((1-\eta)A+\eta B)z} ds, & B = 0. \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

In terms of the hypergeometric function,

$$q(z) = \begin{cases} \left[ {}_2F_1 \left( 1, -\frac{p}{\mu}(1-\eta)(A-B)/B; \frac{p}{\mu} + 1; \frac{Bz}{Bz+1} \right) \right]^{-1}, & B \neq 0, \\ [{}_1F_1(1; \frac{p}{\mu} + 1; -\frac{p}{\mu}((1-\eta)A + \eta B)z)]^{-1}, & B = 0 \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

and if

$$(1 - \eta)A + \eta B < -\frac{\mu B}{p} \quad (-1 \leq B < 0),$$

then

$$L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \eta, \mu, A, B) \subset L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \eta, \mu, \xi),$$

where

$$\xi = \left[ {}_2F_1 \left( 1, \frac{p}{\mu} \left( 1 - \frac{(1-\eta)A + \eta B}{B} \right); \frac{p}{\mu} + 1; \frac{B}{B-1} \right) \right]^{-1}. \quad (10)$$

This result is best possible.

**Proof.** Let

$$\begin{aligned} h(z) &= \frac{1}{p} G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) \\ &= \left[ \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \left( \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{i\beta} \right]^{1-\lambda} \left[ \frac{(zf'(z))'}{pf'(z)} \left( \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} \right)^{i\beta} \right]^\lambda \end{aligned}$$

where  $h(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $h(0) = 1$ .

Differentiating logarithmically, we obtain

$$G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) + \mu H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) = ph(z) + \frac{\mu zh'(z)}{h(z)} \prec p \frac{1 + [(1-\eta)A + \eta B]z}{1 + Bz}. \quad (11)$$

Using Lemma 1 with  $t = \frac{p}{\mu}$  and  $\gamma = 0$ , we have

$$h(z) \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1 + [(1-\eta)A + \eta B]z}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

where  $q(z)$  is given as (9) and is the best dominant of (11).

Next, in order to prove

$$L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \eta, \mu, A, B) \subset L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \eta, \mu, \xi),$$

we show that

$$\inf_{|z|<1} \{\Re q(z)\} = q(-1).$$

Now, if we set

$$a = -\frac{p}{\mu} \frac{(1-\eta)(A-B)}{B}, \quad b = \frac{p}{\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad c = \frac{p}{\mu} + 1,$$

then it is clear that  $c > b > 0$ .

Therefore, for  $B \neq 0$ , by using Lemma 2, it follows from (8) that

$$Q(z) = (1 + Bz)^a \int_0^1 s^{b-1} (1 + Bsz)^{-a} ds = \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(c)} {}_2F_1 \left( 1, a; c; \frac{Bz}{Bz+1} \right). \quad (12)$$

To prove that

$$\inf_{|z|<1} \{\Re q(z)\} = q(-1),$$

we need to show that

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{1}{Q(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{Q(-1)}.$$

Since

$$(1-\eta)A + \eta B < -\frac{\mu B}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad -1 \leq B < 0$$

imply that  $c > a > 0$ , hence, by using Lemma 3, (12) yields

$$Q(z) = \int_0^1 g(z, s) ds,$$

where

$$g(z, s) = \frac{1 + Bz}{1 + (1 - s)Bz} \quad (0 \leq s \leq 1)$$

and

$$d\varepsilon(s) = \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(c-a)} s^{a-1}(1-s)^{c-a-1} ds,$$

which is a positive measure on  $[0, 1]$ .

For  $-1 \leq B < 0$ , it is clear that  $\Re g(z, s) > 0$  and  $g(-r, s)$  is real for  $0 \leq |z| \leq r < 1$  and  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Also,

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{1}{g(z, s)} \right\} = \Re \left\{ \frac{1 + (1 - s)Bz}{1 + Bz} \right\} \geq \frac{1 - (1 - s)Br}{1 - Br} = \frac{1}{g(-r, s)}$$

for  $|z| \leq r < 1$ .

Again, using Lemma 3, we have

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{1}{Q(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{Q(-r)}.$$

Now, letting  $r \rightarrow 1^-$ , it follows that

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{1}{Q(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{1}{Q(-1)}.$$

Thus, we have  $L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \eta, \mu, A, B) \subset L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \eta, \mu, \xi)$ .

**Corollary 1** If  $f \in L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \eta, \mu, A, B)$  ( $\mu > 0$ ), then  $f \in N_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \eta, A, B)$ .

Putting  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $\rho = 0$  and  $\eta = 0$  in Theorem 1, we have the following result proved in [30].

**Corollary 2** If  $f \in L_{p,\alpha,\beta,0}(0, 0, \mu, A, B)$  ( $\mu > 0$ ), then

$$\frac{1}{p} G_{\alpha,\beta,0,0}^p(f, g)(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \left( \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{i\beta} \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

and if

$$A < -\frac{\mu B}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad -1 \leq B < 0,$$

then

$$L_{p,\alpha,\beta,0}(0, 0, \mu, A, B) \subset L_{p,\alpha,\beta,0}(0, 0, \mu, \xi),$$

where  $q(z) = \frac{\mu}{pQ(z)}$ ,  $Q(z)$ ,  $q(z)$  and  $\xi$  are given by (8), (9) and (10) with  $\eta = 0$ . This result is best possible.

Putting  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\eta = 0$  in Theorem 1, we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 3** If  $f \in L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(1, 0, \mu, A, B)$  ( $\mu > 0$ ), then

$$\frac{1}{p} G_{\alpha,\beta,1,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) = \frac{(zf'(z))'}{pf'(z)} \left( \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} \right)^{i\beta} \prec q(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

and if

$$A < -\frac{\mu B}{p} \quad \text{and} \quad -1 \leq B < 0,$$

then

$$L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(1, 0, \mu, A, B) \subset L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(1, 0, \mu, \xi),$$

where  $q(z) = \frac{\mu}{pQ(z)}$ ,  $Q(z)$ ,  $q(z)$  and  $\xi$  are given by (8), (9) and (10) with  $\eta = 0$ . This result is best possible.

**Theorem 2** If  $f \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies

$$\Re\{G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z)(G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) + \mu H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z))\} > p^2\gamma \quad (0 \leq \gamma < 1, z \in \mathbb{D}), \quad (13)$$

then  $f \in N_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \xi)$ , where

$$\xi = \frac{-\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 + 8(2p^2\gamma + \mu p)}}{4p} \in (0, 1)$$

and  $G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z)$  and  $H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z)$  are given by (5) and (6), respectively.

**Proof.** Setting

$$G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) = p(1 - \xi)h(z) + p\xi, \quad (14)$$

then  $h(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $h(0) = 1$ .

Differentiating (14) and using the identity (13), we have

$$G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) + \mu H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) = \frac{p(1 - \xi)\mu zh'(z) + [p(1 - \xi)h(z) + p\xi]^2}{p(1 - \xi)h(z) + p\xi}. \quad (15)$$

Using (14) and (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z)[G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) + \mu H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z)] \\ &= p(1 - \xi)\mu zh'(z) + [p(1 - \xi)h(z) + p\xi]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

From (13) and (16), we obtain

$$\Re \frac{p(1 - \xi)\mu zh'(z) + p^2(1 - \xi)^2 h^2(z) + 2p^2\xi(1 - \xi)h(z) + p^2\xi^2 - p^2\gamma}{p^2(1 - \gamma)} > 0.$$

Next, we construct the function  $\phi(u, v)$  by choosing  $u = h(z)$  and  $v = zh'(z)$ , that is,

$$\phi(u, v) = p(1 - \xi)\mu v + 2p^2\xi(1 - \xi)u + p^2(1 - \xi)^2 u^2 + p^2\xi^2 - p^2\gamma. \quad (17)$$

Clearly, the conditions (i) and (ii) of Lemma 4 are satisfied. Now, we verify the condition (iii) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Re\{\phi(iu_2, v_1)\} &= p(1 - \xi)\mu v_1 - p^2(1 - \xi)^2 u_2^2 + p^2\xi^2 - p^2\gamma \\ &\leq -\frac{(1 + u_2^2)}{2} \cdot [p(1 - \xi)\mu - p^2(1 - \xi)^2 u_2^2 + p^2\xi^2 - p^2\gamma] \\ &= X + Y u_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$X = -\frac{1}{2}p\mu(1 - \xi) + p^2\xi^2 - p^2\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad Y = -\left(p^2(1 - \xi)^2 + \frac{p\mu(1 - \xi)}{2}\right).$$

We note that  $\Re\{\phi(iu_2, v_1)\} < 0$  if and only if  $X = 0$  and  $Y < 0$ . From  $X = 0$ , we have

$$\xi = \frac{-\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 + 8(2p^2\gamma + \mu p)}}{4p} \in (0, 1).$$

Thus, by applying Lemma 4, we get  $f \in N_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \xi)$ .

**Theorem 3** If  $\mu_2 \geq \mu_1 \geq 0$  and  $-1 \leq B_1 \leq B_2 < A_2 \leq A_1 \leq 1$ , then

$$L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \eta, \mu_2, A_2, B_2) \subset L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \eta, \mu_1, A_1, B_1).$$



**Proof.** Let  $f(z) \in L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \eta, \mu_2, A_2, B_2)$ . Then

$$G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) + \mu_2 H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) \prec p \frac{1 + [(1 - \eta)A_2 + \eta B_2]z}{1 + B_2 z}.$$

Since  $-1 \leq B_1 \leq B_2 < A_2 \leq A_1 \leq 1$ , so, by Lemma 5, we have

$$G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) + \mu_2 H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) \prec p \frac{1 + [(1 - \eta)A_1 + \eta B_1]z}{1 + B_1 z},$$

which implies that  $f(z) \in L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \eta, \mu_2, A_1, B_1)$ . Thus, for  $\mu_2 = \mu_1 \geq 0$ , we have the required result.

When  $\mu_2 > \mu_1 \geq 0$ , Theorem 1 implies that

$$G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) \prec p \frac{1 + [(1 - \eta)A_1 + \eta B_1]z}{1 + B_1 z}.$$

Also, because

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) + \mu_1 H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2}\right) G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) + \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} \left\{ G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) + \mu_2 H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

by using Lemma 6, we get the required result.

Putting  $\lambda = 0, \eta = 0$  and  $\rho = 0$  in Theorem 3, we obtain the following result proved in [30].

**Corollary 4** If  $\mu_2 \geq \mu_1 \geq 0$  and  $-1 \leq B_1 \leq B_2 < A_2 \leq A_1 \leq 1$ , then

$$L_{p,\alpha,\beta,0}(0, 0, \mu_2, A_2, B_2) \subset L_{p,\alpha,\beta,0}(0, 0, \mu_1, A_1, B_1).$$

Setting  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\eta = 0$  in Theorem 3, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 5** If  $\mu_2 \geq \mu_1 \geq 0$  and  $-1 \leq B_1 \leq B_2 < A_2 \leq A_1 \leq 1$ , then

$$L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(1, 0, \mu_2, A_2, B_2) \subset L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(1, 0, \mu_1, A_1, B_1).$$

**Theorem 4** If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies

$$\Re \left[ \left( \frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{(1-\lambda)} \left( \frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} \right)^\lambda \right] > 0$$

and

$$\left| G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g) - p \right| < \sigma p \quad (0 < \sigma \leq 1)$$

for  $g \in J_p(\lambda, \rho)$ . Then

$$\Re \left[ (1 - \lambda) \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} + \lambda \frac{(z(zf'(z)))'}{(zf'(z))'} \right] > 0$$

in  $|z| < r_1$ , where

$$r_1 = \frac{2|1 - \alpha - i\beta| + 2\alpha p(1 - \rho) + \sigma - \sqrt{(2|1 - \alpha - i\beta| + 2\alpha p(1 - \rho) + \sigma)^2 - 4pN}}{2N} \tag{18}$$

and

$$N = 2\alpha p - p(1 + 2\alpha\rho) - \sigma.$$

**Proof.** Let

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{p} G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) - 1,$$

where  $h(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $h(0) = 0$  and  $|h(z)| < \sigma$ . By using the Schwarz lemma, we get

$$h(z) = \sigma z \phi(z),$$

where  $\phi(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $|\phi(z)| < 1$ . Differentiating logarithmically, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1-\lambda) \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} + \lambda \frac{(z(zf'(z)))'}{(zf'(z))'} &= (1-\alpha-i\beta) \left[ (1-\lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \right] \\ &+ \alpha \left[ (1-\lambda) \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + \lambda \frac{(zg'(z))'}{g'(z)} \right] + \frac{\sigma z(z\phi'(z) + \phi(z))}{1 + \sigma z\phi(z)} + ip\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\Re \left[ \left( \frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{(1-\lambda)} \left( \frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} \right)^\lambda \right] > 0.$$

Let

$$\psi(z) = \left( \frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{(1-\lambda)} \left( \frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} \right)^\lambda,$$

then,

$$(1-\lambda) \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} + \lambda \frac{(z(zf'(z)))'}{(zf'(z))'} = p + \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)} \text{ and } \Re\psi(z) > 0.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Re \left[ (1-\lambda) \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} + \lambda \frac{(z(zf'(z)))'}{(zf'(z))'} \right] \\ &\geq (1-\alpha)p + \alpha \Re \left[ (1-\lambda) \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + \lambda \frac{(zg'(z))'}{g'(z)} \right] \\ &\quad - |1-\alpha-i\beta| \left| \frac{z\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)} \right| - \sigma \left| \frac{z(z\phi'(z) + \phi(z))}{1 + \sigma z\phi(z)} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the well-known results for the class  $J_p(\lambda, \rho)$ , Lemmas 7 and 8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Re \left[ (1-\lambda) \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} + \lambda \frac{(z(zf'(z)))'}{(zf'(z))'} \right] \\ &\geq (1-\alpha)p + \alpha p \frac{1-(1-2\rho)r}{1+r} - |1-\alpha-i\beta| \frac{2r}{1-r^2} - \frac{\sigma r}{1-r} \\ &= \frac{(2\alpha p - p(1+2\alpha\rho) - \sigma)r^2 - (2|1-\alpha-i\beta| + 2\alpha p(1-\rho) + \sigma)r + p}{1-r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that

$$p(r) = (2\alpha p - p(1+2\alpha\rho) - \sigma)r^2 - (2|1-\alpha-i\beta| + 2\alpha p(1-\rho) + \sigma)r + p.$$

Since  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 < \sigma \leq 1$ , so we have

$$p(0) = p > 0 \text{ and } p(1) = -2(|1-\alpha-i\beta| + \sigma) < 0.$$

It follows that the root lies in  $(0, 1)$ . This implies that

$$\Re \left[ (1-\lambda) \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} + \lambda \frac{(z(zf'(z)))'}{(zf'(z))'} \right] > 0$$

if  $r < r_1$ , where  $r_1$  is given by (18).

Putting  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\rho = 0$  in Theorem 4, we obtain the following result proved in [30].

**Corollary 6** If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies

$$\Re \left[ \frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right] > 0 \text{ and } \left| G_{\alpha,\beta,0,0}^p(f, g) - p \right| < \sigma p \quad (0 < \sigma \leq 1)$$

for  $g \in S_p^*$ . Then  $f$  is  $p$ -valent convex in  $|z| < r_2$ , where

$$r_2 = \frac{(2|1 - \alpha - i\beta| + 2\alpha p + \sigma) - \sqrt{(2|1 - \alpha - i\beta| + 2\alpha p + \sigma)^2 - 4p(2\alpha p - p - \sigma)}}{2(2\alpha p - p - \sigma)}.$$

**Theorem 5** If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies

$$\left| G_{\alpha,0,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) - p \right| < \sigma p \quad (\alpha > 0, 0 < \sigma \leq 1)$$

for  $g \in J_p(\lambda, \rho)$ . Then

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{\lambda(z(zf'(z)))'}{\alpha(zf'(z))'} + \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha}(1 - \lambda) + \lambda \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \right] \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (1 - \lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0$$

in  $|z| < r_3$ , where

$$r_3 = \frac{(2\alpha p(1 - \rho) + \sigma) - \sqrt{(2\alpha p(1 - \rho) + \sigma)^2 - 4\alpha p(\alpha p(1 - 2\rho) - \sigma)}}{2(\alpha p(1 - 2\rho) - \sigma)}. \quad (19)$$

**Proof.** Let

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{p} G_{\alpha,0,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) - 1 = \left[ \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \left( \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right)^\alpha \right]^{1-\lambda} \left[ \frac{(zf'(z))'}{pf'(z)} \left( \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \right)^\alpha \right]^\lambda - 1,$$

where  $h(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $h(0) = 0$  and  $|h(z)| < \sigma$ . By using the Schwarz lemma, we get

$$h(z) = \sigma z \phi(z),$$

where  $\phi(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $|\phi(z)| < 1$ . Differentiating logarithmically, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda(z(zf'(z)))'}{\alpha(zf'(z))'} + \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha}(1 - \lambda) + \lambda \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \right] \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (1 - \lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \\ &= \left[ (1 - \lambda) \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + \lambda \frac{(zg'(z))'}{g'(z)} \right] + \frac{\sigma z(z\phi'(z) + \phi(z))}{\alpha(1 + \sigma z\phi(z))}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left\{ \frac{\lambda(z(zf'(z)))'}{\alpha(zf'(z))'} + \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha}(1 - \lambda) + \lambda \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \right] \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (1 - \lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} \\ & \geq \Re \left\{ (1 - \lambda) \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + \lambda \frac{(zg'(z))'}{g'(z)} \right\} - \frac{\sigma}{\alpha} \left| \frac{z(z\phi'(z) + \phi(z))}{1 + \sigma z\phi(z)} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the well-known results for the class

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \frac{1}{p} \left\{ \frac{\lambda(z(zf'(z)))'}{\alpha(zf'(z))'} + \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha}(1 - \lambda) + \lambda \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \right] \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) (1 - \lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} \\ & \geq \frac{1 - (1 - 2\rho)r}{1 + r} - \frac{\sigma r}{\alpha p(1 - r)} \\ & = \frac{[\alpha p(1 - 2\rho) - \sigma]r^2 - [2\alpha p(1 - \rho) + \sigma]r + \alpha p}{\alpha p(1 - r^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that

$$m(r) = (\alpha p(1 - 2\rho) - \sigma)r^2 - (2\alpha p(1 - \rho) + \sigma)r + \alpha p.$$

Since  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 < \sigma \leq 1$ , so we have

$$m(0) = \alpha p > 0 \text{ and } m(1) = -2\sigma < 0.$$

It follows that the root lies in  $(0, 1)$ . This implies that

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{\lambda(zf'(z))' + \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha}(1 - \lambda) + \lambda(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}) \right] \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} + (1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})(1 - \lambda) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0$$

if  $r < r_3$ , where  $r_3$  is given by (19).

Putting  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\rho = 0$  in Theorem 5, we get the following result proved in [30].

**Corollary 7** If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left( \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right)^\alpha - p \right| < \sigma p \quad (\alpha > 0, 0 < \sigma \leq 1)$$

for  $g \in S_p^*$ . Then  $f$  is  $p$ -valent  $\alpha^{-1}$ -convex in  $|z| < r_4$ , where

$$r_4 = \frac{(2\alpha p + \sigma) - \sqrt{(2\alpha p + \sigma)^2 - 4\alpha p(\alpha p - \sigma)}}{2(\alpha p - \sigma)}.$$

Setting  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\rho = 0$  in Theorem 5, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 8** If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies

$$\left| \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \left( \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \right)^\alpha - p \right| < \sigma p \quad (\alpha > 0, 0 < \sigma \leq 1)$$

for  $g \in S_p^*$ . Then

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{(z(zf'(z)))'}{\alpha(zf'(z))'} + (1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}) \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \right\} > 0$$

in  $|z| < r_5$ , where

$$r_5 = \frac{(2\alpha p + \sigma) - \sqrt{(2\alpha p + \sigma)^2 - 4\alpha p(\alpha p - \sigma)}}{2(\alpha p - \sigma)}.$$

**Theorem 6** If  $f \in N_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \xi)$ , then  $f(z) \in L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \mu, \xi)$  ( $\mu > 0$ ) in  $|z| < r_6$ , where  $r_6$  is the only root of the equation

$$p(1 - 2\xi)r^2 - 2(p(1 - \xi) + \mu)r + p = 0. \quad (20)$$

In the interval  $(0, 1)$ , the value of  $r_6$  is the best possible.

**Proof.** Let the function  $h(z)$  be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p} G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f, g)(z) \\ &= \left[ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left( \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{i\beta} \right]^{1-\lambda} \left[ \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \left( \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{f'(z)}{pz^{p-1}} \right)^{i\beta} \right]^\lambda \\ &= (1 - \xi)h(z) + \xi \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Then  $h(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $h(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$  and  $\Re h(z) > 0$ .

A logarithmic differentiation of (21) and application of Lemma 8, yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \left\{ \frac{\frac{1}{p} \left[ G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) + \mu H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) \right] - \xi}{1 - \xi}} \right\} \\ &= \Re \left\{ h(z) + \frac{\mu z h'(z)}{p(1 - \xi)h(z) + p\xi} \right\} \\ &\geq \Re \left\{ h(z) - \frac{\mu |z h'(z)|}{p(1 - \xi)|h(z)| + p\xi} \right\} \\ &\geq \Re h(z) \left\{ 1 - \frac{2\mu r}{p(1 - \xi)(1 - r)^2 + p\xi(1 - r^2)} \right\} \\ &= \Re h(z) \frac{p(1 - 2\xi)r^2 - 2(\mu + p(1 - \xi))r + p}{p(1 - \xi)(1 - r)^2 + p\xi(1 - r^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

If  $|z| < r_6$ , where  $r_6$  is the only root in the interval  $0 < r < 1$  of the equation given by (20), then we find from (22) that  $f(z) \in L_{p,\alpha,\beta,\rho}(\lambda, \mu, \xi)$  ( $\mu > 0$ ) for  $|z| < r_6$ .

To show that the bound  $r_6$  is sharp, we consider the function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  defined by

$$\frac{1}{p} G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) = (1 - \xi) \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} + \xi \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

or, equivalently

$$\frac{\frac{1}{p} \left[ G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) + \mu H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) \right] - \xi}{1 - \xi} = \frac{p(1 - 2\xi)z^2 - 2(\mu + p(1 - \xi))z + p}{p(1 + z)(1 - (1 - 2\xi)z)} = 0$$

for  $z = r_6$ , which completes the proof of Theorem 6.

For  $\rho = 0$ , if we set  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\lambda = 1$  in Theorem 6, respectively, we have the following corollaries.

**Corollary 9** If  $f \in N_{p,\alpha,\beta,0}(0, \xi)$ , then  $f(z) \in L_{p,\alpha,\beta,0}(0, \mu, \xi)$  ( $\mu > 0$ ) in  $|z| < r_6$ , where  $r_6$  is given by (20).

**Corollary 10** If  $f \in N_{p,\alpha,\beta,0}(1, \xi)$ , then  $f(z) \in L_{p,\alpha,\beta,0}(1, \mu, \xi)$  ( $\mu > 0$ ) in  $|z| < r_6$ , where  $r_6$  is given by (20).

**Theorem 7** Let  $\mu > 0, l > 0$ . If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies

$$\left| \arg \left\{ \frac{1}{p} G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) \left[ 1 + \frac{\mu}{p} H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) \right] \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \left[ l + \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \left( \frac{\mu l}{p} \right) \right], \tag{23}$$

then

$$\left| \arg \left( \frac{1}{p} G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} l, \tag{24}$$

where  $G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z)$  and  $H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z)$  are given by (5) and (6), respectively.

**Proof.** Let

$$\begin{aligned} h(z) &= \frac{1}{p} G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) \\ &= \left[ \frac{z f'(z)}{p f(z)} \left( \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{i\beta} \right]^{1-\lambda} \left[ \frac{(z f'(z))'}{p f'(z)} \left( \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{f'(z)}{p z^{p-1}} \right)^{i\beta} \right]^\lambda, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

then  $h(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $h(0) = 1$  and  $h'(0) = 1 \neq 0$ .

Differentiating (25) logarithmically with respect to  $z$  and multiplying by  $z$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{p}G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) \left\{ 1 + \frac{\mu}{p}H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) \right\} = h(z) + \frac{\mu}{p}zh'(z).$$

By using Lemma 9, the proof of Theorem 7 is completed.

Putting  $\lambda = 0$  in Theorem 7, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 11** Let  $\mu > 0$ ,  $l > 0$ . If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies

$$\left| \arg \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \left( \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{i\beta} \left[ 1 + \mu \left( \frac{(zf'(z))'}{pf'(z)} + (\alpha + i\beta - 1) \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} - \alpha \frac{zg'(z)}{pg(z)} - i\beta \right) \right] \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \left[ l + \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \left( \frac{\mu l}{p} \right) \right],$$

then

$$\left| \arg \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \left( \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{i\beta} \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}l.$$

Further, for  $\beta = 0$ , if we set  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\alpha = 0$  in Corollary 11, respectively, we get the following corollaries.

**Corollary 12** Let  $\mu > 0$ ,  $l > 0$ . If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies

$$\left| \arg \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{pg(z)} \left[ 1 + \mu \left( \frac{(zf'(z))'}{pf'(z)} - \frac{zg'(z)}{pg(z)} \right) \right] \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \left[ l + \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \left( \frac{\mu l}{p} \right) \right],$$

then

$$\left| \arg \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{pg(z)} \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}l.$$

**Corollary 13** Let  $\mu > 0$ ,  $l > 0$ . If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies

$$\left| \arg \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \left[ 1 + \mu \left( \frac{(zf'(z))'}{pf'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \right) \right] \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \left[ l + \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan \left( \frac{\mu l}{p} \right) \right],$$

then

$$\left| \arg \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}l.$$

**Theorem 8** If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies

$$\frac{1}{p}G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) \left\{ 1 + \frac{\mu}{p}H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) \right\} \prec \frac{1 + [(1-\eta)A + \eta B]z}{1 + Bz} \quad (\mu > 0), \quad (26)$$

then

$$\frac{1}{p}G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z) \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1 + ((1-\eta)A + \eta B)z}{1 + Bz}, \quad (27)$$

where

$$q(z) = (1+Bz)^{-1} \left[ {}_2F_1 \left( 1, 1; 1 + \frac{p}{\mu}; \frac{Bz}{1+Bz} \right) + \frac{p[(1-\eta)A + \eta B]z}{p + \mu} {}_2F_1 \left( 1, 1; 2 + \frac{p}{\mu}; \frac{Bz}{1+Bz} \right) \right] \quad (28)$$

and  $q(z)$  is the best dominant,  $G_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z)$  and  $H_{\alpha,\beta,\lambda,\rho}^p(f,g)(z)$  are given by (5) and (6), respectively.

**Proof.** Let the function  $h(z)$  be given by (25), then  $h(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $h(0) = 1$  and  $h'(0) = c_1 \neq 0$ .

Differentiating (25) logarithmically with respect to  $z$  and multiplying by  $z$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{p} G_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \rho}^p(f, g)(z) \left\{ 1 + \frac{\mu}{p} H_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \rho}^p(f, g)(z) \right\} = h(z) + \frac{\mu}{p} z h'(z).$$

Thus, by using Lemma 10, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{p} G_{\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \rho}^p(f, g)(z) \prec \frac{p}{\mu} z^{-\frac{p}{\mu}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{p}{\mu}-1} (1 + ((1-\eta)A + \eta B)t) dt}{1 + Bt} = q(z).$$

Now, using the conditions (i) and (iii) of Lemma 2, we can rewritten the function  $q(z)$  as (28). This completes the proof of Theorem 8.

Putting  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\eta = 0$  in Theorem 8, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 14** If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies

$$\left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \left( \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{i\beta} \left[ 1 + \mu \left( \frac{(zf'(z))'}{pf'(z)} + (\alpha + i\beta - 1) \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} - \alpha \frac{zg'(z)}{pg(z)} - i\beta \right) \right] \right\} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (\mu > 0),$$

then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \left( \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{i\beta} \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

where  $q(z)$  is given by (28) with  $\eta = 0$ .

Further, for  $\beta = 0$ , if we set  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\alpha = 0$  in Corollary 14, respectively, we get the following corollaries.

**Corollary 15** If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies

$$\left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{pg(z)} \left[ 1 + \mu \left( \frac{(zf'(z))'}{pf'(z)} - \frac{zg'(z)}{pg(z)} \right) \right] \right\} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (\mu > 0),$$

then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{pg(z)} \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

where  $q(z)$  is given by (28) with  $\eta = 0$ .

**Corollary 16** If  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies

$$\left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \left[ 1 + \mu \left( \frac{(zf'(z))'}{pf'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \right) \right] \right\} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (\mu > 0),$$

then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{pf(z)} \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},$$

where  $q(z)$  is given by (28) with  $\eta = 0$ .

### Acknowledgements.

The present investigation was partly supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia of China under Grant 2014MS0101 and the Higher School Research Foundation of Inner Mongolia of China under Grant NJZY13298. The authors would like to thank Professor V. Ravichandran for his valuable suggestions and helpful comments to improve our manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. M. Ali, S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Supramaniam, The feketé-szegő coefficient functional for transforms of analytic functions, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soci.*, 35, 2, 119-142, 2009.
- [2] M. K. Aouf, On certain subclasses of  $p$ -valent Bazilevic functions, *Mathematica*, 1, 21-28, 2009.
- [3] M. K. Aouf, Differential properties for of  $p$ -valent functions, *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, 25, 277-286, 2011.
- [4] M. K. Aouf and T. M. Seoudy, Some properties of certain subclasses of  $p$ -valent Bazilevic functions associated with the generalized operator, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 24, 11, 1953-1958, 2011.
- [5] M. Arif, K. I. Noor and M. Raza, On a class of analytic functions related with generalized Bazilevic type functions, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 61, 2456-2462, 2011.
- [6] M. Arif, M. Raza, K. I. Noor and S. N. Malik, On strongly Bazilevic functions associated with generalized Robertson functions, *Math. Comput. Model.*, 54, 1608-1612, 2011.
- [7] M. Arif, K. I. Noor and M. Raza, Hankel determinant problem of a subclass of analytic functions, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2012, 2, 2012, doi: 10.1186/1029-242X-2012-22.
- [8] I. E. Bazilevic, On a class of integrability in quadratures of the Loewner-Kufarev equation, *Mat. Sb. N. S.*, 37, 471-476, 1955.
- [9] M. Çağlar, Y. Polatoğlu, A. Şen, E. Yavuz and S. Owa, On Janowski starlike functions, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 2007, doi: 10.1155/2007/14630.
- [10] W. M. Causey and E. P. Merkes, Radii of starlikeness of certain classes of analytic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 31, 579-586, 1970.
- [11] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 259*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo, 1983.
- [12] A. Y. Lashin, Application of Nunokawa's theorem, *J. Inequal Pure Appl. Math.*, 5, 4, Article 111, 2004.
- [13] S.-H Li, Several inequalities about the  $\alpha+i\beta$  type of  $\lambda$ -Bazilevic functions of order  $\beta$ , *Advances in Mathematics*, 33, 2, 169-173, 2004 (in Chinese).
- [14] L.-Q. Liu, Distortion properties and coefficients of univalent functions, *Acat math. Sinica.*, 26, 179-186, 1983 (in Chinese).
- [15] M.-S. Liu, On a subclass of  $p$ -valent close-to-convex functions of order  $\beta$  and type  $\alpha$ , *J. Math. Study.*, 30, 102-104, 1997 (in Chinese).
- [16] W.-C. Ma, On  $\alpha$ -convex functions of order  $\beta$ , *Acat math. Sinica.*, 29, 207-212, 1986 (in Chinese).
- [17] W.-C Ma and D. Minda, An internal geometric characterization of strongly starlike functions, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska. Sect. A.*, 45, 89-97, 1991.
- [18] T. H. Macgregor, The radius of univalence of certain analytic functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 14, 514-520, 1963.
- [19] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Second-order differential inequalities in the complex plane, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 65, 289-305, 1978.
- [20] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordination and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.*, 28, 2, 157-172, 1981.
- [21] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Univalent solutions of Briot-Bouquet differential subordinations, *J. Differential Equations*, 56, 3, 279-309, 1985.
- [22] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordination: Theory and Applications*, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 225, Marcel Dekker Incorporated, New York and Basel, 2000.
- [23] K. I. Noor, Bazilevic functions of type  $\beta$ , *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 5, 2, 411-415, 1982.
- [24] K. I. Noor, On Bazilevic functions of complex order, *Nihonkai Math. J.*, 3, 115-124, 1992.
- [25] K. I. Noor and A. Muhammad, Some properties of the subclass of  $p$ -valent Bazilevic functions, *Acta Universitatis Apulensis*, 17, 189-197, 2009.
- [26] M. Nunokawa and S. Owa, On certain subclass of analytic functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 29, 1, 51-54, 1988.
- [27] J. Patel, On certain subclass of  $p$ -valently Bazilevic functions, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 6, 1, Article 16, 2005.



- [28] J. S. Ratti, The radius of univalence of certain analytic functions, *Math. Z.*, 107, 241-248, 1968.
- [29] M. Raza and K. I. Noor, A class of Bazilevic type functions defined by convolution operator, *J. Math. Inequal.*, 5, 2, 253-261, 2011.
- [30] M. Raza, S. N. Malik and K. I. Noor, On some inequalities of a certain class of analytic functions, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, 250, 1-15, 2012.
- [31] T. Rosy, S. Kavitha and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Certain coefficient inequalities for some subclasses of analytic functions, *Hacetetepe Journal of mathematics and Statistics*, 38, 3, 233-242, 2009.
- [32] N. Sarkar, P. Goswami, J. Dziok and J. Sokół, Subordinations for multivalent analytic functions associated with wright generalized hypergeometric function, *Tamkang Journal of mathematics*, 44, 1, 61-71, 2013.
- [33] J. Sokół, On a class of analytic multivalent functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 203, 210-216, 2008.
- [34] J. Sokół, Classes of multivalent functions associated with a convolution operator, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 60, 1343-1350, 2010.
- [35] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Eds), *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hong Kong, 1992.
- [36] Z.-G Wang, C.-Y. Gao and S.-M. Yuan, On certain subclass of Bazilevic functions of type, *Tamsui Oxford Journal of Mathematical sciences*, 23, 2, 141-153, 2007.
- [37] Z.-G Wang and Y.-P. Jiang, Notes on certain subclass of  $p$ -valently Bazilevic functions, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 9, 3, Article 70, 2008.
- [38] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1958.
- [39] D. R. Wilken and J. Feng, A remark on convex and starlike functions, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 21, 287-290, 1980.

SHU-HAI LI

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CHIFENG UNIVERSITY, CHIFENG 024000, INNER MONGOLIA, CHINA

*E-mail address:* lishms66@sina.com

LI-NA MA

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CHIFENG UNIVERSITY, CHIFENG 024000, INNER MONGOLIA, CHINA

*E-mail address:* malina00@163.com

HUO TANG

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CHIFENG UNIVERSITY, CHIFENG 024000, INNER MONGOLIA, CHINA

*E-mail address:* thth2009@163.com