Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications Vol. 5(1) Jan. 2017, pp. 88-96. ISSN: 2090-729(online) http://fcag-egypt.com/Journals/EJMAA/

VALUE-SHARING AND UNIQUENESS OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

HARINA P. WAGHAMORE AND RAJESHWARI S.

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the uniqueness of entire functions sharing a nonzero value and obtain some results improving the results obtained by Harina P. Waghamore and Tanuja A[[5]].

1. INTRODUCTION

In the present paper, meromorphic functions are always regarded as meromorphic in the entire complex plane. We use the standard notation of the Nevanlinna valuedistribution theory, such as $T(r, f), N(r, f), \overline{N}(r, f), m(r, f)$ etc., as explained in Hayman [[6]], Yang [[8]], and Yi and Yang [[9]]. We denote by S(r, f) any function such that S(r, f) = o(T(r, f)) as $r \to \infty$, possibly outside a set r of finite linear measure.

Let *a* be a finite complex number and let *k* be a positive integer. By $E_{k)}(a, f)$, we denote the set of zeros of f - a with multiplicities at most *k*, where each zero is counted according to its multiplicity. Also let $\overline{E}_{k)}(a, f)$ be the set of zeros of f - a whose multiplicities are not greater than *k* and each zero is counted only once. In addition, by $N_{(k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)\left(or\overline{N}_{(k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)\right)$, we denote the counting function with respect to the set $E_{k)}(a, f)(or\overline{E}_{k)}(a, f)$).

We set

$$N_k\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) = \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) + \overline{N}_{(2}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right) + \dots + \overline{N}_{(k}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-a}\right)$$

and define

$$\Theta(a, f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r, f)}$$

and

$$\delta_k(a, f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{N_k\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r, f)}$$

Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions and let a be a finite complex number. We say that f and g share the value a CM(counting multiplicities) if f and g have the same a-points with the same multiplicities. We also say that

88

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 34A12, 34A30, 34D20.

Key words and phrases. Differential equations, stability, existence, uniqueness. Submitted April 29, 2016.

f and g share the value a IM(ignoring multiplicities) if we do not consider the multiplicities. We denote by $\overline{N}_L\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ the counting function for a-points of both f and g at which f has larger multiplicity than g (in the case where the multiplicities are not counted). Similarly, we have the notation $\overline{N}_L(r, \frac{1}{g-a})$. Further, by $N_0(r, \frac{1}{F'})$, we denote the counting function of those zeros of F' that are not zeros of F(F-1). Recently, R. S. Dyavanal [[2]] proved the following theorems.

Theorem A([[2]]). Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast s, where s is a positive integer. Let $n \ge 2$ be an integer satisfying $(n + 1)s \ge 12$. If $f^n f'$ and $g^n g'$ share the value 1 CM, then either f = dg, for some (n + 1)-th root of unity d or $g(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$ and $f(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ where c_1 , c_2 and c are constants satisfying $(c_1c_2)^{n+1}c^2 = -1$.

Theorem B([[2]]). Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast s, where s is a positive integer. Let n be an integer satisfying $(n-2)s \ge 10$. If $f^n(f-1)f'$ and $g^n(g-1)g'$ share the value 1 CM, then

$$g = \frac{(n+2)(1-h^{n+1})}{(n+1)(1-h^{n+2})}, \ f = \frac{(n+2)(1-h^{n+1})h}{(n+1)(1-h^{n+2})}$$

where h is a non-constant meromorphic function.

Theorem C([[2]]). Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions, whose zeros are of multiplicities atleast s, where s is a positive integer. Let n be an integer satisfying $(n-2)s \ge 7$. If $f^n f'$ and $g^n g'$ share the value 1 CM, then either f = dg, for some (n + 1)-th root of unity d or $g(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$ and $f(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1, c_2 and c are constants satisfying $(c_1c_2)^{n+1}c^2 = -1$.

Theorem D([[2]]). Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions, whose zeros are of multiplicities atleast s, where s is a positive integer. Let n be an integer satisfying $(n-2)s \ge 5$. If $f^n(f-1)f'$ and $g^n(g-1)g'$ share the value 1 CM, then $f \equiv g$.

In 2014, Harina P. Waghamore and Tanuja A.[[5]] ask whether there exists a corresponding unicity theorem for $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ where P(f) is a polynomial. In this paper, they gave a positive answer to above question by proving the following Theorems.

Theorem E. Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast s, where s is a positive integer. Let $P(f) = a_m f^m + a_{m-1} f^{m-1} + \ldots + a_1 f + a_0, (a_m \neq 0)$, and $a_i (i = 0, 1, \ldots, m)$ is the first nonzero coefficient from the right, and let n, k, m be three positive integers with s(n+m) > 4k+12. If $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n P(g)]^{(k)}$ share the value 1 CM, then either $f \equiv tg$ for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where $d = (n+m, \ldots n+m-i, \ldots n)$, $a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some i = 0, 1...m, or f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f,g) \equiv 0$, where $R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1^n P(\omega_1) - \omega_2^n P(\omega_2)$.

Corollary 1. Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast s, where s is a positive integer. Let $P(f) = a_m f^m + a_{m-1} f^{m-1} + ... + a_1 f + a_0$, $(a_m \neq 0)$, and $a_i (i = 0, 1, ..., m)$ is the first nonzero coefficient from the right, and let n, k, m be three positive integers with s(n+m) > 2k + 6. If $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n P(g)]^{(k)}$ share the value 1 CM, then the conclusions of Theorem E hold.

Theorem F. Let f and g be two non-constant meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast s, where s is a positive integer. Let

 $P(f) = a_m f^m + a_{m-1} f^{m-1} + ... + a_1 f + a_0, (a_m \neq 0)$, and $a_i (i = 0, 1, ..., m)$ is the first nonzero coefficient from the right, and let n, k, m be three positive integers with s(n+m) > 9k + 16. If $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n P(g)]^{(k)}$ share the value 1 IM, then either $f \equiv tg$ for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$, where d = (n+m, ...n+m-i, ...n), $a_{m-i} \neq 0$ for some i = 0, 1...m, or f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f, g) \equiv 0$, where $R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1^n P(\omega_1) - \omega_2^n P(\omega_2)$.

Corollary 2. Let f and g be two non-constant entire functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities atleast s, where s is a positive integer. Let $P(f) = a_m f^m + a_{m-1} f^{m-1} + ... + a_1 f + a_0$, $(a_m \neq 0)$, and $a_i (i = 0, 1, ..., m)$ is the first nonzero coefficient from the right, and let n, k, m be three positive integers with s(n+m) > 5k + 9. If $[f^n P(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[g^n P(g)]^{(k)}$ share the value 1 IM, then the conclusions of Theorem F hold.

In the present paper, we always use L(z) to denote an arbitrary polynomial of degree n, i.e.,

$$L(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = a_n (z - c_1)^{l_1} (z - c_2)^{l_2} \dots (z - c_s)^{l_s}$$
(1)

where $a_i, i = 0, 1, ..., n, a_n \neq 0$, and $c_j, j = 1, 2, ..., s$, are finite complex number constants; $c_1, c_2, ..., c_s$ are all distinct zeros of $L(z), l_1, l_2, ..., l_s$. s, n are all positive integers satisfying the equality

$$l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_s = n \text{ and } l = max\{l_1, l_2 \dots l_s\}$$
(2)

In this paper, we study the existence of solutions for $[L(f)]^{(k)}$ and the corresponding uniqueness theorems. Thus, we obtain the following results as a generalization of the theorems presented above:

Theorem 1.1. Let f(z) and g(z) be two non constant entire functions and let n, kand l be three positive integers such that 4l > 3n + 2k + 8. If $[L(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[L(g)]^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then either $f = b_1 e^{bz} + c$ and $g = b_2 e^{-bz} + c$ or f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f,g) \equiv 0$, where b_1, b_2 and b are three constants such that $(-1)^k (b_1 b_2)^n (nb)^{2k} = 1$ and $R(w_1, w_2) = L(w_1) - L(w_2)$.

Remark 1. Put l = n in theorem 1.1, we get n > 2k + 4.

Theorem 1.2. Let f(z) and g(z) be two non constant entire functions and let n, k and l be three positive integers such that 7l > 6n + 5k + 7. If $[L(f)]^{(k)}$ and $[L(g)]^{(k)}$ share 1 IM, then either $f = b_1 e^{bz} + c$, and $g = b_2 e^{-bz} + c$ or f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f,g) \equiv 0$. where b_1, b_2 and b are three constants such that $(-1)^k (b_1 b_2)^n (nb)^{2k} = 1$ and $R(w_1, w_2) = L(w_1) - L(w_2)$.

Remark 2. Put l = n in theorem 1.2, we get n > 5k + 7. **Remark 3.** If $L(f) \equiv L(g)$, then we get

$$a_n f^n + a_{n-1} f^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 f \equiv a_n g^n + a_{n-1} g^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 g.$$

Let $h = \frac{f}{g}$. If h is a constant, then we substitute f = gh in this equation and obtain $a_n g^n(h^n - 1) + a_{n-1}g^{n-1}(h^{n-1} - 1) + \ldots + a_1g(h-1) \equiv 0$. This yields $h^d = 1, d = (n, \ldots, n-i, \ldots, 1)$, and $a_{n-i} \neq 0$ for some $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. Thus $f \equiv tg$ for a constant t such that $t^d = 1$. If h is not a constant, then by virtue of the equation presented above, we know that f and g satisfy the algebraic equation $R(f,g) \equiv 0$, where $R(w_1, w_2) = L(w_1) - L(w_2)$.

2. Some Lemmas

Lemma 2.1([[6]]) Let f be a non-constant meromorphic function, let k be a positive integer, and let c be a non-zero finite complex number. Then

$$T(r,f) \leq \overline{N}(r,f) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}-c}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}(r,f) + N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}-c}\right) - N_0\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) + S(r,f).$$

where $N_0\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right)$ is the counting function which only counts those points such that $f^{(k+1)} = 0$ but $f(f^{(k)} - c) \neq 0$.

Lemma 2.2([[6]]) Let f(z) be a nonconstant meromorphic function and let $a_1(z)$ and $a_2(z)$ be two meromorphic functions such that $T(r, a_i) = S(r, f), i = 1, 2$. Then

$$T(r,f) \le \overline{N}(r,f) + \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{f-a_1}) + \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{f-a_2}) + S(r,f).$$

Lemma 2.3([[9]]) Let $a_n \neq 0$, $a_{n-1} \dots a_0$ be constants and let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function. Then

$$T(r, a_n f^n + a_{n-1} f^{n-1} + \dots + a_0) = nT(r, f)$$

Lemma 2.4([[4]]) Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions, and let k be a positive integer. If $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share the value 1 CM and

$$\Delta = [\Theta(0, f) + \Theta(0, g) + \delta_{k+1}(0, f) + \delta_{k+1}(0, g)] > 3$$

then either $f^{(k)}g^{(k)} \equiv 1$ or $f \equiv g$.

Lemma 2.5([[7]]) Let f and g be two transcendental entire functions, and let k be a positive integer. If $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share the value 1 IM and

$$\Delta = [\Theta(0, f) + \Theta(0, g) + 2\delta_{k+1}(0, f) + 3\delta_{k+1}(0, g)] > 6$$

then either $f^{(k)}g^{(k)} \equiv 1$ or $f \equiv g$.

Lemma 2.6([[5]]) Let f(z) be a nonconstant entire function and let $k \geq 2$ be a positive integer. If $ff^{(k)} \neq 0$, then $f = e^{az+b}$, where a and b are constants.

3. Proofs of the Theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let L(z) and l be given by (1.1) and (1.2), respectively. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $a_n = 1$, $l = l_1$ and $c = c_1$. This yields

$$\Theta(0, L(f)) = 1 - \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{L(f)})}{T(r, L(f))}$$

$$\geq 1 - \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{s} \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{f-c_j})}{nT(r, f)} \geq 1 - \frac{s}{n} \geq \frac{l-1}{n}$$
(3)

similarly, we get

$$\Theta(0, L(g)) \ge \frac{l-1}{n} \tag{4}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\delta_{k+1}(0, L(f)) = 1 - \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{N_{k+1}(r, \frac{1}{L(f)})}{T(r, L(f))} \\ \ge 1 - \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{s} N_{k+1}(r, \frac{1}{(f-c_j)^{l_1}}) + N_{k+1}(r, \frac{1}{(f-c)^l})}{nT(r, f)} \\ \ge 1 - \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{(s-1)T(r, f) + (k+1)T(r, f) + S(r, f)}{nT(r, f)} \\ \ge 1 - \frac{s+k}{n} \ge \frac{l-k-1}{n}$$
(5)

and similarly

$$\delta_{k+1}(0, L(g)) \ge \frac{l-k-1}{n} \tag{6}$$

Since 4l > 3n + 3k + 8, from (3.1) to (3.4), we get $\Delta = [\Theta(0, f) + \Theta(0, g) + \delta_{k+1}(0, f) + \delta_{k+1}(0, g)] > 3$ we conclude that $h(z) \equiv 0$, i.e.,

$$\frac{f^{(k+2)}(z)}{f^{(k+1)}(z)} - 2\frac{f^{(k+1)}(z)}{f^{(k)}(z) - 1} = \frac{g^{(k+2)}(z)}{g^{(k+1)}(z)} - 2\frac{g^{(k+1)}(z)}{g^{(k)}(z) - 1}$$

Solving this equation, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{f^{(k)} - 1} = \frac{bg^{(k)} + a - b}{g^{(k)} - 1}$$

we can write the above equation as

$$\frac{1}{L(f)^{(k)} - 1} = \frac{bL(g)^{(k)} + a - b}{L(g)^{(k)} - 1}.$$
(7)

Further, we consider the following three cases:

Case I. If $b \neq 0$ and a = b, then it follows from (3.9) that

$$\frac{1}{L(f)^{(k)} - 1} = \frac{bL(g)^{(k)}}{L(g)^{(k)} - 1}.$$
(8)

1.1. If $b \neq -1$, then it follows from (3.9) that $[L(f)^{(k)}][L(g)^{(k)}] \equiv 1$, i.e.,

$$(f-c)^{l}(f-c)^{l_{2}}...(f-c_{s})^{l_{s}}]^{(k)}[(g-c)^{l}(g-c)^{l_{2}}...(g-c_{s})^{l_{s}}]^{(k)} = 1$$
(9)

1.1.1. If s = 1, then we can rewrite (3.11) as follows:

$$[(f-c)^n]^{(k)}[(g-c)^n]^{(k)} = 1.$$

and 4l > 3n + 2k + 4, l = n, we conclude that n > 2k + 4. Hence, $f - c \neq 0$ and $g - c \neq 0$. Thus, according to Lemma 2.4 we find

$$f = b_1 e^{bz} + c, \ g = b_2 e^{-bz} + c,$$

where b_1, b_2 and b are three constants such that $(-1)^k (b_1 b_2)^n (nb)^{2k} = 1$. **1.1.2.** For $s \ge 2$, we note that 4l > 3n + 2k + 4. Hence, l > 2k + 4. Suppose that z_0 is an *l*-fold zero of f - c. We know that z_0 must be an (l - k)-fold zero of $[(f - c)^l (f - c)^{l_2} ... (f - c_s)^{l_s}]^{(k)}$. Note that it follows from (3.9) that g is an entire function. This is a contradiction. Hence, $f - c \ne 0$ and $g - c \ne 0$. Thus, we get $f = e^{\alpha(z)} + c$, where $\alpha(z)$ is a non constant entire function. Therefore,

$$[f^{i}]^{(k)} = [(e^{\alpha} + c)^{i}]^{(k)} = p_{i}(\alpha', \alpha'', ..., \alpha^{(k)})e^{i\alpha}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n,$$
(10)

92

93

where $p_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n$, are differential polynomials in $\alpha', \alpha'', ..., \alpha^{(k)}$. Clearly, if $p_i \neq 0$ and $T(r, p_i) = S(r, f), i = 1, 2, ..., n$, then it follows from (3.11) and (3.12) that

$$N(r, \frac{1}{p_n e^{(n-1)\alpha} + \ldots + p_1}) = S(r, f).$$

In view of Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 and the fact that $f = e^{\alpha} + c$, we get

$$\begin{split} (n-1)T(r,f-c) &= T(r,p_n e^{(n-1)\alpha} + \ldots + p_1) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{p_n e^{(n-1)\alpha} + \ldots + p_1}) + \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{p_n e^{(n-1)\alpha} + \ldots + p_2 e^{\alpha}}) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{p_n e^{(n-2)\alpha} + \ldots + p_2}) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq (n-2)T(r,f-c) + S(r,f), \end{split}$$

which is a contradiction.

1.2. If $a = b \neq -1$, then relation (3.10) can be rewritten as

$$L(g)^{(k)} = \frac{-1}{b} \cdot \frac{1}{L(f)^{(k)} - (1+b)/b}.$$
(11)

From (3.13), we get

$$\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{L(f)^{(k)} - (1+b)/b}) = \overline{N}(r, g) = S(r, f).$$

$$(12)$$

By relation (3.14) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{split} nT(r,f) &= T(r,L(f)) + O(1) \\ &\leq N_{k+1}(r,\frac{1}{L(f)}) + N(r,\frac{1}{L(f)^{(k)} - (1+b)/b}) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq N_{k+1}(r,\frac{1}{(f-c)^l}) + N_{k+1}(r,\frac{1}{(f-c_2)^{l_2}...(f-c_s)^{l_s}}) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq (k+s)T(r,f) \leq (k+n-l+1)T(r,f) + S(r,f), \end{split}$$

which is a contradiction because 4l > 3n + 2k + 4. **Case II.** $b \neq 0$ and $a \neq b$. We discuss the following sub cases: **2.1.** Suppose that b = -1. Then $a \neq 0$ and relation (3.9) can be rewritten as

$$L(f)^{(k)} = \frac{a}{a+1 - L(g)^{(k)}}.$$
(13)

It follows from (3.15) that

$$\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{a+1-L(g)^{(k)}}) = \overline{N}(r, f) = S(r, g).$$

$$(14)$$

In view of (3.16) and Lemma 2.1 and 2.4, we find

$$nT(r,g) = T(r,L(g)) + O(1) \le N_{k+1}(r,\frac{1}{L(g)}) + S(r,g).$$

Further, by using the argument as in Case 1.2, we arrive at a contradiction. **2.2.** suppose that $b \neq -1$. then relation (3.9) be rewritten as

$$L(f)^{(k)} - \frac{b+1}{b} = \frac{-a}{b^2} \cdot \frac{1}{L(g)^{(k)} + (a-b)/b}.$$
(15)

It follows from (3.17) that

$$\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{L(g)^{(k)} - (b+1)/b}) = \overline{N}(r, g).$$
(16)

By using (3.18) and Lemmas 2.1 and 2.4, we arrive at a contradiction in exactly the same way as in Case 1.2.

Case III. b = 0 and $a \neq 0$. Then relation (3.9) can be rewritten as

$$L(g)^{(k)} = aL(f)^{(k)} + (1-a),$$
(17)

$$L(g) = aL(f) + (1 - a)p_1(z),$$
(18)

where p_1 is a polynomial with $degp_1 \leq k$. If $a \neq 1$, then $(1-a)p_1 \not\equiv 0$. Together with (3.20) and Lemma 2.2, this yields

$$nT(r,g) = T(r,L(g)) + O(1) \le \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{L(g)}) + \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{L(f)}) + S(r,g)$$

$$\le \sum_{i=1}^{s} \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{g-c_i}) + \sum_{j=1}^{s} \overline{N}(r,\frac{1}{f-c_j}) + S(r,g)$$

$$\le s[T(r,f) + T(r,g)] + S(r,g).$$
 (19)

Since $n = l+l_2+\ldots+l_s$, we get $n-l = l_2+\ldots+l_s \ge s-1$, i.e., $n-l \ge s-1$, $n-s \ge l-1$. In view of the inequality 4l > 3n + 2k + 4, we conclude that

$$l - 1 > 3(n - l) + 2k + 4 > 3(s - 1) + 2k + 3$$

and hence,

$$n - s \ge l - 1 > 3(s - l) + 2k + 3,$$

i.e., n - s > 3(s - 1) + 2k + 3. Therefore,

$$s < \frac{n-2k}{4}$$

and thus,

$$nT(r,g) < \frac{n-2k}{4} [T(r,g) + T(r,f)] + S(r,g).$$
(20)

On the other hand, it follows from (3.20) and Lemma 2.3 that

$$T(r,g) = T(r,f) + S(r,g).$$

Substituting this relation in (3.24), we conclude that

$$\frac{3n+4k}{4}T(r,g) < S(r,g),$$

which is a contradiction.

Thus a = 1 and therefore, it follows from (3.20) that L(f) = L(g). Further, we consider the case where f and g are polynomials. Suppose that f - c and g - c have u and v pairwise distinct zeros, respectively. Then f - c and g - c admit the representations

$$\begin{split} f - c &= k_1 (z - a_1)^{n_1} (z - a_2)^{n_2} \dots (z - a_u)^{n_u}, \\ g - c &= k_2 (z - b_1)^{m_1} (z - b_2)^{m_2} \dots (z - b_v)^{m_v}, \end{split}$$

and hence,

$$[f-c]^{l} = k_{1}^{l} (z-a_{1})^{l_{n_{1}}} (z-a_{2})^{l_{n_{2}}} \dots (z-a_{u})^{l_{n_{u}}},$$
(21)

$$[g-c]^{l} = k_{2}^{l} (z-b_{1})^{l_{m_{1}}} (z-b_{2})^{l_{m_{2}}} \dots (z-b_{v})^{l_{m_{v}}},$$
(22)

where k_1 and k_2 are nonzero constants, $n_i l > 2k + 4$, $m_j l > 2k + 4$, and $n_i, m_j, j = 1, 2, ..., u, j = 1, 2, ..., v$, are positive integers. Differentiating (3.20), we get

$$L(g)^{(k+1)} = aL(f)^{(k+1)}.$$
(23)

It follows from (3.23)(3.24) and (3.25) that

$$(z-a_1)^{l_{n_1}-k-1}(z-a_2)^{l_{n_2}-k-1}\dots(z-a_u)^{l_{n_u}-k-1}\xi_1(z) = (z-b_1)^{l_{m_1}-k-1}(z-b_2)^{l_{m_2}-k-1}\dots(z-b_v)^{l_{m_v}-k-1}\xi_2(z),$$
(24)

where ξ_1 and ξ_2 are polynomials, $deg\xi_1 = (n-l)\sum_{i=1}^u n_i + (u-1)(k+1)$, and $deg\xi_2 = (n-l)\sum_{j=1}^v m_j + (v-1)(k+1)$. Thus, in view of the fact that 4l > 3n+2k+4, we find 3l - 2n > (n-l) + 2k + 4 > 2k + 4. Then $(3l-2n)n_i > 2k+4$, $(3l-2n)m_j > 2k+4$, i = 1, 2, ..., u, j = 1, 2, ..., v. Hence,

$$\Sigma_{i=1}^{u} [n_i l - (k+1)] - \Sigma_{i=1}^{v} n_i (n-l) = \Sigma_{i=1}^{u} [n_i (3l-2n) - (k+1)]$$

> $u(k+3) > (u-1)(k+1),$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{u} [n_i l - (k+1)] > (n-l) \sum_{i=1}^{v} n_i + (u-1)(k+1)$$

Similarly,

$$\Sigma_{j=1}^{v}[m_{j}l - (k+1)] > (n-l)\Sigma_{j=1}^{v}m_{j} + (v-1)(k+1)$$

Thus, by using (3.26), we show that there exists z_0 such that $L(f(z_0)) = L(g(z_0)) = 0$, where the multiplicity of z_0 is greater than 2k + 4. Together with (3.20), this yields $p_1(z) = 0$, which also proves the claim.

Therefore, it follows from (3.19) and (3.20) that a = 1 and, therefore, $L(f) \equiv L(g)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

Let f(z) and l be given by (1.1) and (1.2), respectively. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $a_n = 1$, $l = l_1$ and $c = c_1$. This yields

$$\Theta(0, L(f)) = 1 - \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{L(f)})}{T(r, L(f))}$$

$$\geq 1 - \limsup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{s} \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{f-c_j})}{nT(r, f)} \geq 1 - \frac{s}{n} \geq \frac{l-1}{n}$$
(25)

similarly, we get

$$\Theta(0, L(g)) \ge \frac{l-1}{n} \tag{26}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\delta_{k+1}(0, L(f)) \ge \frac{l-k-1}{n}$$
 (27)

$$\delta_{k+1}(0, L(g)) \ge \frac{l-k-1}{n} \tag{28}$$

Since (4k + 14)l > (4k + 13)n + 9k + 12, from (3.23) to (3.36), we get

$$\Delta = [\Theta(0, F) + \Theta(0, G) + \delta_{k+1}(0, F) + \delta_{k+1}(0, G)] > 6$$

Proceeding as in the proof of the theorem 1.1, we get Theorem 1.2.

References

- Harina P. Waghamore and Tanuja A. Uniqueness and value sharing of meromorphic functions, Kyungpook Math. J. 54(2014), 511-519.
- [2] S.S. Bhoosnurmath and R.S. Dyavanal, Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic functions, Comput. Math. Appl., 53(2007), 1191-1205.
- R.S. Dyavanal, Uniqueness and value-sharing of differential polynomials of meromorphic functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 374(2011), 335-345.
- [4] G. Frank, Eine Vermutung Von Hayman uber nullstellen meromorpher funktion, Math. Z. 149, 29-36(1976).
- [5] W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic functions, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [6] P. Sahoo, Uniqueness of meromorphic functions when two differential polynomials share one value IM, Mat. Bech. 62, 2(2010), 169-182.
- [7] L. Yang, Value Distribution Theory, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [8] H.X. Yi, C.C. Yang, Unicity Theory of Meromorphic Functions, Science Press, Beijing, 1995.
- [9] C.C.Yang, On deficiencies of differential polynomials II, Math. Z., 149, 107-112 (1972).

(HARINA P.WAGHAMORE) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JNANABHARATHI CAMPUS, BAN-GALORE UNIVERSITY, BANGALORE-560 001, INDIA *E-mail address:* <hrimapw@gmail.com>

(RAJESHWARI S.) Department of Mathematics, JNANABHARATHI CAMPUS, BANGALORE UNIVERSITY, BANGALORE-560 001, INDIA

E-mail address: <rajeshwaripreetham@gmail.com>