Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications Vol. 5(1) Jan. 2017, pp. 208-218. ISSN: 2090-729(online) http://fcag-egypt.com/Journals/EJMAA/

GLOBAL ACTIONS OF THE LIE SYMMETRIES OF NONLINEAR FILTRATION EQUATIONS

JOSE A. FRANCO

ABSTRACT. The classification of the Lie point symmetries for nonlinear filtration equations gives four cases. By restricting to a special class of functions, we show that the Lie symmetries of the nonlinear filtration equation exponentiate to a global action of a solvable Lie group in three cases. We show that the action of the Lie point symmetries cannot be globalized for the fourth case.

1. INTRODUCTION

The use of Lie groups in the analysis of differential equations is a powerful tool that has been vastly studied in mathematics (c.f. [2, 3, 9, 10] and the references therein to mention just a few). Algorithms like the prolongation algorithm provide infinitesimal generators whose actions exponentiate to one-parameter local Lie groups (c.f. [10]). However, it is well-known that these actions generally do not exponentiate to a global action of a Lie group. Therefore the tools from representation theory are not readily available to use as these apply mostly to global Lie groups. Nonetheless, M. Craddock discovered that by restricting to a special subset of the solution space of a partial differential equation, the local actions generated by its symmetry Lie algebra could be globalized (c.f. [4]). In [5, 7, 8, 13] the globalization problem is solved by using the representation theoretic method of parabolic induction. Using this method spaces of solutions to the different partial differential equations are constructed. These spaces consist of smooth functions on which the actions of the Lie symmetries globalize. In all these cases the PDE's were linear. However, these constructions can be used in some nonlinear equations as well. Some examples can be found in [6, 11, 12].

In this article we use induced representations of solvable groups to study the globalization problem for the the nonlinear filtration equation

$$v_t = k(v_x)v_{xx} \tag{1}$$

with $k'(v_x) \neq 0$. The solution to its classification problem is well-known and readily available in [2, 1]. For the general case, the symmetry Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is spanned by

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 22E25, 22E27, 22E70.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Globalizations, solvable Lie groups, nonlinear filtration equation, parabolic induction.

Submitted Feb. 6, 2016.

the following infinitesimal generators:

$$X_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \qquad X_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \qquad X_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial v}, \qquad X_4 = 2t\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial}{\partial v}.$$
 (2)

The algebra extends for $k(v_x) = e^{v_x}$, $k(v_x) = (v_x)^n$, and $k(v_x) = \frac{e^{n \arctan(v_x)}}{1+v_x^2}$. The main goal of this article is to study the globalization problem for the local actions of the one-parameter groups generated by \mathfrak{g} and its extensions. For the generic case and for $k(v_x) = e^{v_x}$ and $k(v_x) = (v_x)^n$ we successfully construct a space of functions on which the action of the symmetry group corresponding to each equation globalizes. When $k(v_x) = \frac{e^{n \arctan(v_x)}}{1+v_x^2}$, however, we show that this is not possible to globalize the action of the one parameter subgroups on a non-trivial set of functions.

2. Generic Case

To construct a space on which the action of the symmetry group globalizes, we start considering a Lie group whose Lie algebra corresponds to the one generated by the symmetry generators. We consider a particular parabolic subgroup and a one-dimensional representation of this subgroup, that is, a character. The choice of the appropriate character is crucial to obtaining the desired globalization. In this article, the action of the group does not match the action of the one-parameter groups due to the non-linearity of the equation. Hence, in this particular case a non-linear action is defined based on the linear action of the Lie group.

So, consider the solvable group

$$G_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} q^2 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & q & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & q & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid s, t, x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } q \in \mathbb{R}^+ \right\},\$$

where \mathbb{R}^+ denotes the set of positive real numbers, and the subgroup

$$P_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} q^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid s \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } q \in \mathbb{R}^+ \right\}.$$

Define a character $\chi_1: P_1 \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ by

$$\chi_1 \begin{pmatrix} q^2 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & q & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & q & s\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = q$$

The induced representation associated to this character would be

$$I_1 := \operatorname{Ind}_{P_1}^{G_1}(\chi_1) := \left\{ \varphi \in C^{\infty}(G_1) \mid \varphi(gp) = \chi_1(p)^{-1}\varphi(g) \text{ for all } g \in G_1 \text{ and } p \in P_1 \right\}.$$

This space carries the structure of a G_1 -representation, with the action of the group given by:

$$g_1 \cdot \varphi(g_2) = \varphi(g_1^{-1}g_2).$$

For the rest of this section let

$$g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} q_1^2 & 0 & 0 & t_1 \\ 0 & q_1 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & q_1 & s_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} q_2^2 & 0 & 0 & t_2 \\ 0 & q_2 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & q_2 & s_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3)

J. FRANCO

Notice that the subgroup

$$N_1 := \left\{ n_{t,x} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid t, x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

is isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 . Using this isomorphism, we can realize $\varphi \in I_1$ as a smooth map on \mathbb{R}^2 by restriction of domain. That is, for $\varphi \in I_1$ define $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by $f(t,x) = \varphi(n_{t,x})$.

Theorem 1. Let

$$I_1' := \left\{ f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid f(t, x) = \varphi(n_{t, x}) \text{ for some } \varphi \in I_1 \text{ and for all } t, x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Then, $I_1 \cong I'_1$ as G_1 -representations.

Proof. Notice that we can factor

$$\begin{pmatrix} q^2 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & q & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & q & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, by the definition of I_1 and χ_1 ,

$$\varphi \begin{pmatrix} q^2 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & q & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & q & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = q^{-1}\varphi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = q^{-1}f(t,x).$$

So, the restriction map is a bijection and clearly linear, hence an isomorphism of vector spaces. Then, we define the action of G_1 on I'_1 by

$$g_1 \cdot f(t,x) = q_1 f\left(\frac{t-t_1}{q_1^2}, \frac{x-x_1}{q_1}\right).$$
(4)

It is easy to check that this action makes the restriction map an intertwining map completing the proof. $\hfill \Box$

An extra piece of information that we can obtain from the proof of this theorem is that given an arbitrary smooth function f(t, x) we can construct a corresponding $\varphi \in I_1$. Therefore, $I_1 \cong C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Notice the assignment

gives a Lie algebra isomorphism between \mathfrak{g} and $\operatorname{Lie}(G_1)$. Moreover, differentiating the action of the one-parameter subgroups generated by these elements of the Lie algebra according to (4), gives the actions of X_1, X_2 , and X_4 on I'_1 . However, the one parameter group generated by ξ_3 is the trivial group containing only the identity, but X_3 generates a one parameter subgroup isomorphic to \mathbb{R} . Therefore, we need to modify the action of G_1 in order to obtain a globalization of the local action of the one parameter groups generated by X_1, X_2, X_3 , and X_4 . To do this, we will let $\theta_1 : G_1 \times I'_1 \to I'_1$ be defined by

$$\theta_1(g_1) \cdot f(t, x) = f(t, x) + s_1,$$

with g_1 defined by (3). It is straightforward to see that

$$\theta_1(g_1) \cdot (\theta_1(g_2) \cdot f)(t, x) = f(t, x) + s_1 + s_2$$
$$(\theta_1(g_1g_2) \cdot f)(t, x) = f(t, x) + s_1 + q_1s_2.$$

So, θ_1 does not define an action of G_1 on I'_1 . However, we can still use θ_1 to define an action of G_1 on I'_1 that globalizes the action of \mathfrak{g} on I'_1 . To do this, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1(g_1) \cdot (g_1 \cdot (\theta_1(g_2) \cdot (g_1^{-1} \cdot f)))(t, x) &= g_1 \cdot (\theta_1(g_2) \cdot (g_1^{-1} \cdot f))(t, x) + s_1 \\ &= q_1(\theta_1(g_2) \cdot (g_1^{-1} \cdot f)) \left(\frac{t - t_1}{q_1^2}, \frac{x - x_1}{q_1}\right) + s_1 \\ &= q_1(g_1^{-1} \cdot f) \left(\frac{t - t_1}{q_1^2}, \frac{x - x_1}{q_1}\right) + q_1 s_2 + s_1 \\ &= f(t, x) + q_1 s_2 + s_1 \\ &= (\theta_1(g_1g_2) \cdot f)(t, x). \end{aligned}$$
(6)

We then define $\gamma_1: G_1 \times I'_1 \to I'_1$ by

$$\gamma_1(g) \cdot f(t, x) = \theta_1(g) \cdot (g \cdot f)(t, x).$$

Using (6) we obtain

$$\gamma_{1}(g_{1}g_{2}) \cdot f(t,x) = \theta_{1}(g_{1}g_{2}) \cdot (g_{1}g_{2} \cdot f)(t,x)$$

$$= \theta_{1}(g_{1}) \cdot (g_{1} \cdot (\theta_{1}(g_{2}) \cdot (g_{1}^{-1} \cdot (g_{1}g_{2} \cdot f))))(t,x)$$

$$= \theta_{1}(g_{1}) \cdot (g_{1} \cdot (\theta_{1}(g_{2}) \cdot (g_{2} \cdot f)))(t,x)$$

$$= \theta_{1}(g_{1}) \cdot (g_{1} \cdot (\gamma_{1}(g_{2}) \cdot f))(t,x)$$

$$= \gamma_{1}(g_{1}) \cdot (\gamma_{1}(g_{2}) \cdot f))(t,x).$$
(7)

Therefore γ_1 defines an action of G_1 on I'_1 . Before showing that this action globalizes the local action of the one-parameter groups generated by \mathfrak{g} we will write out the action explicitly. If $g_1 \in G_1$ is given as in (3), then

$$\gamma_1(g_1) \cdot f(t, x) = \theta_1(g_1) \cdot (g_1 \cdot f)(t, x)$$

= $(g_1 \cdot f)(t, x) + s_1$
= $q_1 f\left(\frac{t - t_1}{q_1^2}, \frac{x - x_1}{q_1}\right) + s_1$ (8)

Theorem 2. The action of G_1 on I'_1 defined by γ_1 globalizes the local action of the one parameter group actions generated by the basis elements of \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. With ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 , and ξ_4 as in (5) and using (8) we calculate:

$$(\gamma_1(\exp(\epsilon\xi_1)) \cdot f)(t, x) = f(t - \epsilon, x)$$

$$(\gamma_1(\exp(\epsilon\xi_2)) \cdot f)(t, x) = f(t, x - \epsilon)$$

$$(\gamma_1(\exp(\epsilon\xi_3)) \cdot f)(t, x) = f(t, x) + \epsilon$$

$$(\gamma_1(\exp(\epsilon\xi_4)) \cdot f)(t, x) = e^{\epsilon} f(e^{-2\epsilon}t, e^{-\epsilon}x)$$

By taking the derivative at $\epsilon = 0$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} (\gamma_1(\exp(\epsilon\xi_1)) \cdot f)(t,x) &= -f_t(t,x) \\ \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} (\gamma_1(\exp(\epsilon\xi_2)) \cdot f)(t,x) &= -f_x(t,x) \\ \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} (\gamma_1(\exp(\epsilon\xi_3)) \cdot f)(t,x) &= 1 \\ \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} (\gamma_1(\exp(\epsilon\xi_4)) \cdot f)(t,x) &= -2tf_t(t,x) - xf_x(t,x) + f(t,x). \end{aligned}$$

Now, it suffices to note that a vector field

$$V = \tau(t, x)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \xi(t, x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \phi(t, x, v(t, x))\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$$

generates a one-parameter group G_s such that its action on a function v(t, x) differentiated at s = 0 gives

$$-\tau(t,x)\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \xi(t,x)\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \phi(t,x,v(t,x))$$

(c.f. [10]). This shows that the action of ξ_i corresponds to the action of X_i on I'_1 for $1 \le i \le 4$ and concludes the proof.

3. CASE
$$k(v_x) = e^{v_x}$$

In this case the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is extended by the operator

$$X_5 = t\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - x\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$$

(c.f. [2]). We will denote this extended Lie algebra by \mathfrak{g}_2 . For this case, we consider the solvable group

$$G_2 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^r q^2 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & q & 0 & x \\ 0 & -rq & q & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid q, r, s, t, x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } q > 0 \right\}$$

and the subgroups

$$P_2 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^r q^2 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & q & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -rq & q & s\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid r, s \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } q \in \mathbb{R}^+ \right\}$$

and $N_2 := N_1$. We define the character $\chi_2 : P_2 \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ by

$$\chi_2 \begin{pmatrix} e^r q^2 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & q & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -rq & q & s\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = q$$

and the induced representation associated to this character would be

 $I_2 := \operatorname{Ind}_{P_2}^{G_2}(\chi_2) := \left\{ \varphi \in C^{\infty}(G_2) \mid \varphi(gp) = \chi_2(p)^{-1}\varphi(g) \text{ for all } g \in G_2 \text{ and } p \in P_2 \right\}$ with the G_2 action of left translation. Restriction of domain allows us to define

 $I'_{2} := \left\{ f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2}) \mid f(t, x) = \varphi(n_{t, x}) \text{ for some } \varphi \in I_{2} \text{ and for all } t, x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$ For the rest of this section let

$$g_1 := \begin{pmatrix} e^{r_1} q_1^2 & 0 & 0 & t_1 \\ 0 & q_1 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & -r_1 q_1 & q_1 & s_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad g_2 := \begin{pmatrix} e^{r_2} q_2^2 & 0 & 0 & t_2 \\ 0 & q_2 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & -r_2 q_2 & q_2 & s_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^r q^2 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & q & 0 & x \\ 0 & -rq & q & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^r q^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -rq & q & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then for $\varphi \in I_2$ we have,

$$\varphi \begin{pmatrix} e^r q^2 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & q & 0 & x \\ 0 & -rq & q & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = q^{-1} f(t, x).$$

As before, we can use this to show that $I_2 \cong I'_2 \cong C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as vector spaces. In order for the restriction map to become intertwining, we define the action of G_2 on I'_2 by

$$g_1 \cdot f(t, x) = q_1 f\left(\frac{t - t_1}{e^{r_1}q_1^2}, \frac{x - x_1}{q_1}\right)$$

To globalize the action of the one parameter groups generated by \mathfrak{g} we define the map $\theta_2: G_2 \times I'_2 \to I'_2$ by

$$(\theta_2(g_1) \cdot f)(t, x) = f(t, x) + s_1 - r_1(x - x_1).$$

As before, it is easy to see that θ_2 does not define an action of G_2 on I'_2 . However, we can define $\gamma_2: G_2 \times I'_2 \to I'_2$ by

$$(\gamma_2(g) \cdot f)(t, x) = (\theta_2(g) \cdot (g \cdot f))(t, x).$$
(9)

Theorem 3. $\gamma_2: G_2 \times I'_2 \to I'_2$ defined as in (9) defines an action of G_2 on I'_2 .

Proof. Notice that

$$g_1g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} e^{r_1+r_2}(q_1q_2)^2 & 0 & 0 & t_1 + e^{r_1}q_1t_2 \\ 0 & q_1q_2 & 0 & x_1 + q_1x_2 \\ 0 & -(r_1+r_2)q_1q_2 & q_1q_2 & s_1 + q_1(s_2 - r_1x_2) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$(\theta_2(g_1g_2) \cdot f)(t,x) = f(t,x) + s_1 + q_1(s_2 - r_1x_2) - (r_1 + r_2)(x - (x_1 + q_1x_2))$$

= $f(t,x) + s_1 + q_1s_2 - r_1(x - x_1) + r_2(x - x_1 - q_1x_2).$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_2(g_1) \cdot (g_1 \cdot (\theta_2(g_2) \cdot (g_1^{-1} \cdot f)))(t, x) &= g_1 \cdot (\theta_2(g_2) \cdot (g_1^{-1} \cdot f))(t, x) + s_1 - r_1(x - x_1) \\ &= q_1(\theta_2(g_2) \cdot (g_1^{-1} \cdot f)) \left(\frac{t - t_1}{q_1^2}, \frac{x - x_1}{q_1}\right) + s_1 - r_1(x - x_1) \\ &= f(t, x) + s_1 - r_1(x - x_1) + q_1(s_2 - r_2(x - (x - x_1)/q_1)) \\ &= (\theta_2(g_1g_2) \cdot f)(t, x). \end{aligned}$$

Now, an identical calculation as in (7) replacing the subindex 1 for 2 gives the desired result. $\hfill \Box$

The global action γ_2 of G_2 on I'_2 is given by

$$(\gamma_2(g_1) \cdot f)(t, x) = q_1 f\left(\frac{t - t_1}{e^{r_1}q_1^2}, \frac{x - x_1}{q_1}\right) + s_1 - r_1(x - x_1)$$
(10)

Theorem 4. The action of G_2 on I'_2 defined by γ_2 globalizes the local action of the one parameter group actions generated by the basis elements of \mathfrak{g}_2 .

Proof. Let ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 , and ξ_4 be defined as in (5) and let

$$\xi_5 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, using Equation (10) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_2(\exp(\epsilon\xi_1)) \cdot f)(t,x) &= f(t-\epsilon,x) \\ (\gamma_2(\exp(\epsilon\xi_2)) \cdot f)(t,x) &= f(t,x-\epsilon) \\ (\gamma_2(\exp(\epsilon\xi_3)) \cdot f)(t,x) &= f(t,x) + \epsilon \\ (\gamma_2(\exp(\epsilon\xi_4)) \cdot f)(t,x) &= e^\epsilon f(e^{-2\epsilon}t, e^{-\epsilon}x) \\ (\gamma_2(\exp(\epsilon\xi_5)) \cdot f)(t,x) &= f(e^{-\epsilon}t,x) - \epsilon x. \end{aligned}$$

214

By taking the derivative at $\epsilon = 0$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} (\gamma_2(\exp(\epsilon\xi_1)) \cdot f)(t, x) &= -f_t(t, x) \\ \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} (\gamma_2(\exp(\epsilon\xi_2)) \cdot f)(t, x) &= -f_x(t, x) \\ \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} (\gamma_2(\exp(\epsilon\xi_3)) \cdot f)(t, x) &= 1 \\ \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} (\gamma_2(\exp(\epsilon\xi_4)) \cdot f)(t, x) &= -2tf_t(t, x) - xf_x(t, x) + f(t, x) \\ \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} (\gamma_2(\exp(\epsilon\xi_5)) \cdot f)(t, x) &= -f_t(t, x) - x. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof, as it shows that the one-parameter group generated by X_i is the same as the one generated by ξ_i for each $1 \le i \le 5$.

4. CASE
$$k(v_x) = (v_x)^n, n \ge -1, n \ne 0$$

In this case the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is extended by the operator

$$X_6 = nt\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - v\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$$

(c.f. [2]). Denote this Lie algebra by \mathfrak{g}_3 . Now we will consider the solvable group

$$G_3 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r^n q^2 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & q & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & qr^{-1} & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid q, r, s, t, x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } q, r > 0 \right\}$$

with subgroups

$$P_3 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r^n q^2 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & q & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & qr^{-1} & s\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid q, r, s \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } q, r > 0 \right\}$$

and $N_3 := N_1$. As in the previous cases, we define the character $\chi_3 : P_3 \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ by

$$\chi_3 \begin{pmatrix} r^n q^2 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & q & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & qr^{-1} & s\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = qr^{-1}$$

and use it to induce a representation of G_3 in the standard way

 $I_3 := \operatorname{Ind}_{P_3}^{G_3}(\chi_3) := \left\{ \varphi \in C^{\infty}(G_3) \mid \varphi(gp) = \chi_3(p)^{-1}\varphi(g) \text{ for all } g \in G_3 \text{ and } p \in P_3 \right\}.$ Using the fact that

$$\begin{pmatrix} r^n q^2 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & q & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & qr^{-1} & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r^n q^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & qr^{-1} & s \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

it is easy to show that ${\cal I}_3$ is isomorphic as a vector space to

$$I'_3 := \left\{ f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid f(t, x) = \varphi(n_{t, x}) \text{ for some } \varphi \in I_3 \text{ and for all } t, x \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

and that both are isomorphic to $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. For the rest of the section let

$$g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} r_1^n q_1^2 & 0 & 0 & t_1 \\ 0 & q_1 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & r_1^{-1} q_1 & s_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We give I'_3 the structure of a G_3 -representation by defining the action of G_3 by

$$g_1 \cdot f(t,x) = r_1^{-1} q_1 f\left(\frac{t_2 - t_1}{r_1^n q_1^2}, \frac{x_2 - x_1}{q_1}\right)$$

so that $I_3 \cong I'_3$ as G_3 -representations. The maps to obtain the globalization for this particular case are very similar to the ones in Section 2, thus most of the details will be omitted. Suffices to say that $\theta_3 : G_3 \times I'_3 \to I'_3$ is given by $\theta_3(g_1) \cdot f(t, x) = f(t, x) + s_1$ and that the map $\gamma_3 : G_3 \times I'_3 \to I'_3$ given by $\gamma_3(g) \cdot f(t, x) = (\theta_3(g) \cdot (g \cdot f))(t, x)$ defines an action of G_3 on I'_3 . In particular, this action is defined by:

$$\gamma_3(g_1) \cdot f(t,x) = r_1^{-1} q_1 f\left(\frac{t_2 - t_1}{r_1^n q_1^2}, \frac{x_2 - x_1}{q_1}\right) + s_1.$$
(11)

Theorem 5. The action $\gamma_3 : G_3 \times I'_3 \to I'_3$ globalizes the local action of the one parameter group actions generated by the basis elements of \mathfrak{g}_3 .

Proof. With ξ_1, ξ_2, ξ_3 , and ξ_4 defined as in (5) and ξ_6 given by

$$\xi_6 := \begin{pmatrix} n & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

we can use Equation (11) to obtain:

$$(\gamma_3(\exp(\epsilon\xi_1)) \cdot f)(t, x) = f(t - \epsilon, x)$$

$$(\gamma_3(\exp(\epsilon\xi_2)) \cdot f)(t, x) = f(t, x - \epsilon)$$

$$(\gamma_3(\exp(\epsilon\xi_3)) \cdot f)(t, x) = f(t, x) + \epsilon$$

$$(\gamma_3(\exp(\epsilon\xi_4)) \cdot f)(t, x) = e^\epsilon f(e^{-2\epsilon}t, e^{-\epsilon}x)$$

$$(\gamma_3(\exp(\epsilon\xi_6)) \cdot f)(t, x) = e^{-\epsilon} f(e^{-n\epsilon}t, x)$$

and taking the derivative at $\epsilon = 0$ we obtain:

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} &(\gamma_3(\exp(\epsilon\xi_1)) \cdot f)(t,x) = -f_t(t,x) \\ \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} &(\gamma_3(\exp(\epsilon\xi_2)) \cdot f)(t,x) = -f_x(t,x) \\ \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} &(\gamma_3(\exp(\epsilon\xi_3)) \cdot f)(t,x) = 1 \\ \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} &(\gamma_3(\exp(\epsilon\xi_4)) \cdot f)(t,x) = -2tf_t(t,x) - xf_x(t,x) + f(t,x) \\ \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} &(\gamma_3(\exp(\epsilon\xi_6)) \cdot f)(t,x) = -f(t,x) - nf_t(t,x). \end{split}$$

Now, the proof is completed as in the previous cases.

5. CASE
$$k(v_x) = \frac{e^{n \arctan(v_x)}}{1+v_x^2}$$

In this case the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is extended by the operator

$$X_7 = nt\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - x\frac{\partial}{\partial v} + v\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

(c.f. [2]). In this particular case a globalization for the action of X_7 on a non-trivial space of functions cannot be constructed. To see this, suppose that G is a Lie group that globalizes the local action generated by X_7 and let $g \in G$. Consider a function f defined on a subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ that contains an open set and consider its graph $\Gamma_f := \{(t, x, f(t, x)) \mid (t, x) \in \Omega\}$. If $\Gamma_f \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ is invariant under the action of g, then

$$g \cdot \Gamma_f = \{ (\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{v}) = g \cdot (t, x, v) \mid v = f(t, x) \}.$$

In particular, by exponentiating the standard action of X_7 on a $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$\exp(\epsilon X_7) \cdot \Gamma_f = \{ (e^{n\epsilon}t, x\cos\epsilon + v\sin\epsilon, v\cos\epsilon - x\sin\epsilon) \mid v = f(t, x) \}.$$
(12)

Since the action includes a rotation by an angle ϵ on the x, v-coordinates and a dilation in the *t*-coordinate, unless the function f does not depend on x we cannot guarantee that $\exp(\epsilon X_7) \cdot \Gamma_f$ is the graph of a single-valued function for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ for a general function f.

However, this does not mean that X_7 does not generate a local action on a function f. To illustrate, let

f(x) = ax + b.

Then, f is a solution of (1), its graph is $\Gamma_f = \{(t, x, ax + b) | t, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$, and from (12) we have

 $\exp(\epsilon X_7) \cdot (t, x, ax + b) = (t, x\cos\epsilon + (ax + b)\sin\epsilon, (ax + b)\cos\epsilon - x\sin\epsilon).$

From this, we can obtain that

$$(\exp(\epsilon X_7) \cdot f)(\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}) = \frac{a\cos\epsilon - \sin\epsilon}{a\sin\epsilon + \cos\epsilon} \tilde{x} + \frac{b}{a\sin\epsilon + \cos\epsilon}$$

which is a linear function, provided $a \neq -\cot \epsilon$. So X_7 generates a local action on f, but it cannot be globalized.

6. Conclusions

For three out of the four general cases given by the classification problem of the nonlinear filtration equation, we have successfully constructed a representation space on which the action of the group globalizes. For the fourth case, we explicitly showed that this cannot be successfully done. This result, then, gives a method to construct families of solutions to the corresponding PDE starting from a known solution within the global representation via the action of the group.

References

- Ibragimov N., Akhatov I., and Gazizov R. Group classification of the equations of nonlinear filtration. Soviet Math. Dokl., 35:384–386, 1987.
- [2] Ames W.F. and Ibragimov N.C. Symmetries, Exact Solutions and Conservation Laws. CRC handbook of Lie group analysis of differential equations / ed. by N.H. Ibragimov. CRC Press, 1994.
- [3] Bluman G. and Anco S.C. Symmetry and Integration Methods for Differential Equations. Number v. 154 in Applied Mathematical Sciences. World Books Publishing Corporation, 2002.

217

- [4] Craddock M. The symmetry groups of linear partial differential equations and representation theory. I. J. Differential Equations, 116(1):202-247, 1995.
- [5] Franco J. Global SL(2, R) representations of the Schrödinger equation with singular potential. Central European Journal of Mathematics, 10(3):927–941, 2012.
- [6] Franco J.A. On the n-Dimensional Porous Medium Diffusion Equation and Global Actions of the Symmetry Group. SIGMA, 9:1-10, 2013.
- [7] Sepanski M.R. and Franco J.A. Global representations of the heat and Schrödinger equation with singular potential. *Electron. J. Diff. Equ.*, 2013(154):1–16, 2013.
- [8] Sepanski M.R., Hunziker M. and Stanke R.J. The minimal representation of the conformal group and classical solutions to the wave equation. J. Lie Theory, 22(2):301–360, 2012.
- [9] Ibragimov N.K. CRC Handbook of Lie Group Analysis of Differential Equations: Applications in engineering and physical sciences. CRC Handbook of Lie Group Analysis of Differential Equations. CRC Press, 1995.
- [10] Olver P.J. Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 2000.
- [11] Sepanski M.R. Nonlinear potential filtration equation and global actions of Lie symmetries. Electron. J. Differential Equations, pages No. 101, 24, 2009.
- [12] Sepanski M.R. and Stanke R.J. On global SL(2, ℝ) symmetries of differential operators. J. Funct. Anal., 224(1):1–21, 2005.
- [13] Sepanski M. and Stanke R.J. Global Lie symmetries of the heat and Schrödinger equation. J. Lie Theory, 20(3):543–580, 2010.

Jose A. Franco

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS UNIVERSITY OF NORTH FLORIDA JACKSONVILLE, FL 32224

E-mail address: jose.franco@unf.edu