

## A STUDY FOR A CLASS OF ENTIRE DIRICHLET SERIES IN n - VARIABLES

LAKSHIKA CHUTANI AND NIRAJ KUMAR

ABSTRACT. Let  $L$  represents a class of entire functions represented by Dirichlet series in  $n$  - variables of the form  $f(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m e^{\lambda_{n_{m_n}} s}$  whose coefficients belong to the set of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $L$  which becomes a complete Banach space is thereby proved to be a complex FK-space and a Frechet space.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let

$$f(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) = \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{m_n=1}^{\infty} a_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n} e^{(\lambda_{1_{m_1}} s_1 + \lambda_{2_{m_2}} s_2 + \dots + \lambda_{n_{m_n}} s_n)} \quad (1)$$

be a  $n$ -tuple Dirichlet series where  $s_j = \sigma_j + it_j$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $a_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Also

$$0 < \lambda_{p_1} < \lambda_{p_2} < \dots < \lambda_{p_k} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ for } p = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

To simplify the form of  $n$ -tuple Dirichlet series, we have the following notations

$$\begin{aligned} s &= (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n, \\ m &= (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \text{ and} \\ \lambda_{n_{m_n}} &= (\lambda_{1_{m_1}}, \lambda_{2_{m_2}}, \dots, \lambda_{n_{m_n}}) \in \mathbb{R}^n. \\ \lambda_{n_{m_n}} s &= \lambda_{1_{m_1}} s_1 + \lambda_{2_{m_2}} s_2 + \dots + \lambda_{n_{m_n}} s_n \\ |\lambda_{n_{m_n}}| &= \lambda_{1_{m_1}} + \lambda_{2_{m_2}} + \dots + \lambda_{n_{m_n}} \\ |m| &= m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the series (1) can be written as

$$f(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m e^{\lambda_{n_{m_n}} s}. \quad (2)$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30B50.  
*Key words and phrases.* Dirichlet series, FK-space, Frechet space.  
 Submitted Feb. 24, 2021.

Janusauskas in [4] showed that if there exists a tuple  $p > \bar{0} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$  such that

$$\limsup_{|m| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \log m_k}{p \lambda_{n_{m_n}}} = 0, \tag{3}$$

then the domain of absolute convergence of (2) coincides with its domain of convergence. Sarkar in [1] proved that the necessary and sufficient condition for series (2) satisfying (3) to be entire is that

$$\lim_{|m| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |a_m|}{|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|} = -\infty. \tag{4}$$

Consider  $L$  as the set of series (2) satisfying (3) and (4) for which

$$(|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)^{c_1 |\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|} e^{c_2 |m| (|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)} |a_m|$$

is bounded. Then every element of  $L$  represents an entire function. Define the binary operations in  $L$  as

$$\begin{aligned} f(s) + h(s) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (a_m + b_m) e^{\lambda_{n_{m_n}} s}, \\ \xi f(s) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\xi a_m) e^{\lambda_{n_{m_n}} s}, \\ f(s).h(s) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)^{c_1 |\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|} e^{c_2 |m| (|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)} a_m b_m e^{\lambda_{n_{m_n}} s}. \end{aligned}$$

The norm in  $L$  is defined as

$$\|f\| = \sup_{|m| \geq 1} (|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)^{c_1 |\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|} e^{c_2 |m| (|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)} |a_m|. \tag{5}$$

**Definition 1** A space  $L$  is called an FK-space if the following conditions are satisfied

- (1.a)  $L$  is a linear space over the field of complex numbers (or real numbers) and elements of  $L$  are sequences of complex numbers (or real numbers).
- (1.b)  $L$  is a locally convex topological linear space in which the topology is given by a countable family of semi-norms.
- (1.c)  $L$  is metrizable and is a complete metric space.
- (1.d) If  $\{\alpha_m\}$  is a base for  $L$  such that for  $l \in L$ ,

$$l = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \theta_m(l) \alpha_m$$

then  $\theta_m(l) (|m| \geq 1)$  are continuous linear functionals. If the field for  $L$  is complex numbers then  $L$  is called a complex FK-space.

During the last two decades a lot of research has been carried out in the field of Dirichlet series and many important results have been proved where few of them may be found in [2] - [3]. Kumar and Manocha in [5] considered the condition  $(\lambda_n)^{c_1 (\lambda_n)} e^{\{c_2 n - c_1\} (\lambda_n)} \|a_n\|$  of weighted norm for a Dirichlet series in one variable and established some results on it. Recently in [6] results were established on Dirichlet series with complex frequencies. Until now a lot work has been done for the Dirichlet series in one variable. The purpose of this paper is to give a wider view to the study of Dirichlet series in  $n$ -variables. In this section main results have been proved. For the definitions of terms used refer [7, 8]. **Theorem 1** With

respect to the usual addition and multiplication, a topology is defined such that  $L$  becomes a complex FK-space.

**Proof.** Let for  $f(s), h(s) \in L$  define addition of  $f(s)$  and  $g(s)$  as  $(f + h)(s) = f(s) + h(s)$  and scalar multiplication of  $f(s)$  as  $(\tau f)(s) = \tau f(s)$ .

Let us now define the zero element of  $L$  defined by  $0^*$  as the entire function which is zero that is  $f = 0^*$  implies  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m e^{\lambda_{n_{m_n}} s} = 0$  which further implies  $a_m = 0$

for all  $|m| \geq 1$  and conversely.

Clearly  $L$  forms an infinite dimensional linear space over the field of complex numbers and hence one gets basis for  $L$  namely Schauder basis as

$$\delta_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n} = e^{(\lambda_{1_{m_1}} s_1 + \lambda_{2_{m_2}} s_2 + \dots + \lambda_{n_{m_n}} s_n)}$$

or

$$\delta_m = e^{\lambda_{n_{m_n}} s}.$$

Also

$$L_{m_1} = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$$

$$L_{m_2} = (0, 1, 0, \dots)$$

.

.

.

$$L_{m_n} = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots)$$

where 1 in  $L_{m_n}$  is at the  $m_n$ -th place. It therefore implies that if  $x(s) \in L$  then

$$x(s) = (a_1(x), a_2(x), \dots, a_m(x), \dots)$$

where

$$\lim_{|m| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |a_m|}{|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|} = -\infty$$

and this shows that  $L$  satisfies (1.a).

Define  $H = \{L_{m_1}, L_{m_2}, \dots, L_{m_n}, \dots\}$ . For each  $L_{m_n} \in H$  define the norm as

$$\|f, L_{m_n}\| = \sup_{|m| \geq 1} (|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)^{c_1 |\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|} e^{c_2 |m| (|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)} |a_m|$$

where

$$f(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m e^{\lambda_{n_{m_n}} s} \in L$$

is an entire function. Then as

$$\frac{\log |a_m|^{-1}}{|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|} > v$$

for  $v > c_2 |m|$  implies

$$|a_m| < e^{-v |\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|} \text{ for } |m| \geq |m'|,$$

where  $m' = (m'_1, m'_2, \dots, m'_n)$ . Therefore

$$\|f, L_{m_n}\| < \sup_{|m| < |m'|} (|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)^{c_1 |\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|} e^{c_2 |m| (|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)} |a_m| + \sup_{|m| \geq |m'|} (|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)^{c_1 |\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|} e^{(c_2 |m| - v) (|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)} |a_m|$$

Thus

$$\|f, L_{m_n}\| < \infty$$

for any fixed  $L_{m_n} \in H$ . Hence  $\|f, L_{m_n}\|$  is defined for each  $L_{m_n} \in H$ . Let  $L_{m_n}$  be fixed, then

$$\begin{aligned}\|f, L_{m_n}\| &= f(s) \\ \Leftrightarrow |a_m| &= 0 \text{ for } |m| \geq 1 \\ \Leftrightarrow f(s) &= 0 \text{ for all } |s| \\ \Leftrightarrow f &= 0^*.\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$h(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m e^{\lambda_{m_n} s}$$

Then

$$|a_m + b_m| \leq |a_m| + |b_m|$$

implies

$$\|f + h, L_{m_n}\| \leq \|f, L_{m_n}\| + \|h, L_{m_n}\|.$$

Again if  $v$  is any complex number then

$$\|vf, L_{m_n}\| = |v|\|f, L_{m_n}\|.$$

Thus  $\|\dots, L_{m_n}\|$  defines a norm for each  $L_{m_n} \in H$ .

Hence  $L$  becomes a locally convex linear topological space as there exists a sequence  $\{\|\dots, L_{m_n}\| : |n| = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  of enumerable number of norms on  $L$ . Let

$$\|f\| = \sup_{|m| \geq 1} \frac{\|f, L_{m_n}\|}{1 + \|f, L_{m_n}\|}$$

and

$$e(f, h) = \|f - h\|$$

Then  $e$  is a metric on  $L$ . It can be easily verified that the topology induced by  $e$  on  $L$  is the same as induced by the sequence  $\{\|\dots, L_{m_n}\|\}$ . In fact if  $Y$  is open in the topology induced by the family of norms then  $Y$  is also open in the  $e$ -metric topology of  $L$ . Now let  $Y$  be open in the  $e$ -metric topology of  $L$ . Then for each  $g(s) \in Y$  we have  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$K = \{g(s) : g \in B(f; \epsilon)\} \subset Y \text{ for } 0 < \epsilon < 1$$

where  $B(f; \epsilon)$  is an open ball centered at  $f(s)$  and is of radius  $\epsilon$ . We find  $M$  such that

$$\sup_{|m| \geq |M|+1} \frac{1}{2^m} \frac{\|k - g, L_{m_n}\|}{1 + \|k - g, L_{m_n}\|} < \frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

where  $k - g$  is a vector in the neighbourhood of 0. Let

$$F = \{x(s) : \|x, L_1\| < \epsilon_1\} \cap \dots \cap \{x(s) : \|x, L_M\| < \epsilon_M\}$$

where

$$\epsilon_m < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad (|m| = 1, 2, \dots, |M|).$$

Let  $k(s) \in g(s) + F$ . Then  $k(s) = g(s) + x(s)$  where  $x(s) \in F$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} e(k, g) &= \sup_{1 \leq |m| \leq |M|} \frac{1}{2^m} \frac{\|k - g, L_{m_n}\|}{1 + \|k - g, L_{m_n}\|} + \sup_{|m| \geq |M|+1} \frac{1}{2^m} \frac{\|k - g, L_{m_n}\|}{1 + \|k - g, L_{m_n}\|} \\ &< \sup_{1 \leq |m| \leq |M|} \frac{1}{2^m} \frac{\epsilon_m}{1 + \epsilon_m} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $e(k, g) < \epsilon$  implies that  $k(s) \in B(g; \epsilon)$  that is  $k(s) \in K$  which further implies  $k(s) \in Y$ . Thus  $g(s) + F \subset Y$  which establishes that  $Y$  is open in the topology induced by the family of norms. Hence  $L$  is metrizable.

Now we show that  $L$  is complete with respect to the metric  $e$ . It is known that a space is complete if and only if every nested sequence of closed balls whose radii tend to zero has non empty intersection.

Let  $\{f_m : m \in M\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $L$ . For each  $m \in M$ , let  $W_m = \{x_k : k \geq m\}$  be  $m$ -th tail of sequence and  $s_m$  be twice the diameter of  $W_m$ . Also let  $B_m$  be a closed ball centered at  $f_m$  of radius  $r_m = 2s_m$ . Then

$$W_m \subseteq B_m.$$

Since the sequence is Cauchy therefore  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} s_m = 0$ . Now let  $m \in M$  be arbitrary. Therefore there exists  $k > m$  such that

$$s_k < \frac{1}{2} s_m.$$

Suppose  $g(s) \in B_k$  then

$$\begin{aligned} e(g, f_m) &\leq e(g, f_k) + e(f_k, f_m) \\ &\leq r_k + s_m \\ &= 2s_k + s_m \\ &< 2s_m = r_m. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $g(s) \in B_m$  and hence  $B_k \subseteq B_m$ . In the like manner we construct a nested sequence of the closed balls  $\{B_m : m \in M\}$ . Then from hypothesis nested sequence of closed balls has a non empty intersection say  $f$ . Let  $\{f_{r_1}\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $L$  where

$$f_{r_1}(s) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} a_t^{(r_1)} e^{\lambda_{n_{t_n}} s}.$$

Now

$$e(f_{r_1}, f_{r_2}) < \epsilon \text{ for all } r_1, r_2 \geq |M|$$

implies

$$\sup_{|m| \geq 1} \frac{1}{2^m} \frac{\|f_{r_1} - f_{r_2}, L_{m_n}\|}{1 + \|f_{r_1} - f_{r_2}, L_{m_n}\|} < \epsilon \text{ for } r_1, r_2 \geq |M|.$$

Thus

$$(1 - 2^m \epsilon) \|f_{r_1} - f_{r_2}, L_{m_n}\| < 2^m \epsilon \text{ for } r_1, r_2 \geq |M|, |m| = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$(1 - 2^m \epsilon) \sup_{|t| \geq 1} (|\lambda_{n_{t_n}}|)^{c_1 |\lambda_{n_{t_n}}|} e^{c_2 |t| (|\lambda_{n_{t_n}}|)} |a_t^{(r_1)} - a_t^{(r_2)}| < 2^m \epsilon \text{ for } r_1, r_2 \geq |M|, |m| = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$(1 - 2^m \epsilon) |a_t^{(r_1)} - a_t^{(r_2)}| < 2^m \epsilon \{ (|\lambda_{n_{t_n}}|)^{c_1 |\lambda_{n_{t_n}}|} e^{c_2 |t| (|\lambda_{n_{t_n}}|)} \}^{-1} \text{ for } r_1, r_2 \geq |M|, |t| \geq 1, |m| = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$\lim_{r_2 \rightarrow \infty} a_t^{(r_2)} = a_t, |t| = 1, 2, \dots$$

implies

$$(1-2^m\epsilon) |a_t^{(r_1)} - a_t| < 2^m\epsilon \{(|\lambda_{n_{t_n}}|)^{c_1|\lambda_{n_{t_n}}|} e^{c_2|t|(|\lambda_{n_{t_n}}|)}\}^{-1} \text{ for } r_1 \geq |M|, |t|, |m| = 1, 2, \dots$$

If  $2^m\epsilon < \theta < 1$  then

$$|a_t^{(r_1)} - a_t| < \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} \{(|\lambda_{n_{t_n}}|)^{c_1|\lambda_{n_{t_n}}|} e^{c_2|t|(|\lambda_{n_{t_n}}|)}\}^{-1}$$

that is

$$|a_t| < |a_t^{(r_1)}| + \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} \{(|\lambda_{n_{t_n}}|)^{c_1|\lambda_{n_{t_n}}|} e^{c_2|t|(|\lambda_{n_{t_n}}|)}\}^{-1}$$

and since

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |a_t^{(r_1)}|}{|\lambda_{n_{t_n}}|} = -\infty.$$

Hence it follows

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |a_t|}{|\lambda_{n_{t_n}}|} = -\infty.$$

Thus

$$f(s) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} a_t e^{\lambda_{n_{t_n}} s}$$

represents an entire function such that

$$\|f_{r_1} - f, L_{m_n}\| < \epsilon \text{ where } r_1 \geq |M|, |m| = 1, 2, \dots$$

Therefore

$$\|f_{r_1} - f, L_{m_n}\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r_1 \rightarrow \infty$$

or

$$e(f_{r_1}, f) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r_1 \rightarrow \infty$$

This proves (1.c) of Definition (??).

Next we need to prove the condition (1.d). Let therefore

$$\beta = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \theta_m(\beta) \beta_m; \beta \in L$$

$$\beta_m \equiv \gamma_m \text{ and } \gamma_m = e^{\lambda_{n_{m_n}} s}.$$

Then we show  $\theta_m(\beta)$  is a continuous linear functional of  $\beta$  in  $L$  for each  $|m| \geq 1$ . Clearly  $\theta_m$  is linear and since  $L$  is endowed with the topology given by the metric  $e$  and is a topological vector space. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that  $(\theta_m(\beta))$  is continuous.

Let  $\{\mu_s\} \subset L$  and suppose  $e(\mu_s, 0) < \epsilon$  for  $|s| \geq |s_0|$  where  $|s| \geq 1$ , then

$$\mu_s = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \theta_m(\mu_s) \beta_m.$$

Again if

$$\mu_s^{(M)} = \sum_{m=1}^M \theta_m(\mu_s) \beta_m$$

then  $e(\mu_s^{(M)}, \mu_s) < \epsilon$  for  $|M| \geq |M_o|$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} e(\mu_s^{(M)}, 0) &\leq e(\mu_s^{(M)}, \mu_s) + e(\mu_s, 0) \\ &< 2\epsilon \text{ for all } |M| \geq |M_o|, |s| \geq |s_o|. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\|\mu_s^{(M)}, L_{m_n}\| - \|\mu_s^{(M-1)}, L_{m_n}\| = (|\lambda_{n_{M_n}}|)^{c_1|\lambda_{n_{M_n}}|} e^{c_2|M|(|\lambda_{n_{M_n}}|)} |\theta_M(\mu_s)|$$

where

$$\|\mu_s^{(M)}, L_{m_n}\| = \sup_{|m| > 1} (|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)^{c_1|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|} e^{c_2|m|(|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)} |\theta_m(\mu_s)|.$$

But

$$\|\mu_s^{(M)}, L_{m_n}\| < \epsilon \text{ for } |M| \geq |M_o|, |s| \geq |s_o|, |m| \geq 1$$

Therefore

$$|\theta_m(\mu_s)| < \epsilon \text{ for } |s| \geq |s_o|, |m| \geq 1.$$

Hence the theorem.

**Linear Functionals:** In this section continuous linear functionals on the space  $L$  have been characterized when  $L$  is endowed with the topology given by the norms  $\{\|\dots, L_{m_n}\| : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ . **Theorem 2** Every continuous linear functional  $\theta$  on the normed linear space

$(L, \|\dots, L_{m_n}\|; |n| = 1, 2, \dots)$  is of the form

$$\theta(f) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \mu_m; f(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m e^{\lambda_{n_{m_n}} s}$$

where

$$\{|\mu_m| / (|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)^{c_1|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|} e^{c_2|m|(|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)}\}$$

is bounded.

**Proof.** Let  $\theta$  be a continuous linear functional on the normed linear space  $(L, \|\dots, L_{m_n}\|; |n| = 1, 2, \dots)$  and so there exists a positive constant  $G$  such that

$$|\theta(f)| \leq G \|f, L_{m_n}\| \text{ for all } f(s) \in L.$$

Let

$$f_M(s) = \sum_{m=1}^M a_m e^{\lambda_{n_{m_n}} s}$$

then

$$\|f - f_M, L_{m_n}\| = \sup_{|m| \geq |M|+1} (|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)^{c_1|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|} e^{c_2|m|(|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)} |a_m|.$$

The above expression can be made as small as we want by making  $M$  large enough, one gets

$$\|f - f_M, L_{m_n}\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |M| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus

$$\theta(f) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \theta(f_M) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{m=1}^M a_m \mu_m \right)$$

where  $\mu_m = \theta(e^{\lambda_{n_{m_n}} s})$ . Now

$$|\mu_m| = |\theta(e^{\lambda_{n_{m_n}} s})| \leq G \|e^{\lambda_{n_{m_n}} s}, L_{m_n}\|$$

that is

$$|\mu_m| \leq G (|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)^{c_1|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|} e^{c_2|m|(|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{|\mu_m|}{(|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)^{c_1|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|} e^{c_2|m|} (|\lambda_{n_{m_n}}|)} \leq G. \quad (6)$$

Hence

$$\theta(f) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \mu_m \quad (7)$$

is convergent where  $\mu_m$  is given by (6). This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 3** If  $\{\gamma_m\}$  forms a base for  $L$  that is for  $\gamma \in L$ ,

$$\gamma = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \theta_m(\gamma) \gamma_m.$$

Let us define a metric  $\zeta(\gamma, \gamma')$  as follows

$$\zeta(\gamma, \gamma') = \sup \|(\theta_1(\gamma) - \theta_1(\gamma'))\gamma_1 + \dots + (\theta_m(\gamma) - \theta_m(\gamma'))\gamma_m\|.$$

Then  $L$  is complete with respect to the metric  $\zeta$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{\lambda_r\}$  be a sequence of entire functions in  $L$  such that  $\zeta(\lambda_r, \lambda_s) < \epsilon$  for  $|r|, |s| \geq |r_o|$ . That is  $\{\lambda_r\}$  is a  $\zeta$ -cauchy sequence in  $L$ . Hence for each given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $|r_o| = |r_o(\epsilon)|$  such that

$$\sup \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{|m|} (\phi_i(\lambda_r) - \phi_i(\lambda_s)) \gamma_i \right\| < \epsilon \text{ for } |r|, |s| \geq |r_o|.$$

This implies  $\|(\phi_i(\lambda_r) - \phi_i(\lambda_s))\gamma_i\| < \epsilon$  for  $|r|, |s| \geq |r_o|, |i| \geq 1$ .

Since  $\gamma_i \neq 0$  for  $|i| \geq 1$ ,

$$\|\phi_i(\lambda_r) - \phi_i(\lambda_s)\| < \epsilon \text{ for } |r|, |s| \geq |r_o|.$$

Therefore  $\{\phi_i(\lambda_r)\}$  being a cauchy sequence in the usual topology of the complex plane tends to  $\phi_i$  as  $|r| \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{|m|} (\phi_i(\lambda_r) - \phi_i) \gamma_i \right\| < \epsilon \text{ for } |r| \geq |r_o|.$$

Now for  $|r| = |r_o|$  and  $\gamma = \lambda_{r_o}$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{|m|} \phi_i(\lambda_{r_o}) \gamma_i - \sum_{i=1}^{|n|} \phi_i(\lambda_{r_o}) \gamma_i \right\| < \epsilon \text{ for } |n|, |m| \geq |n_o|.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{|m|} \phi_i \gamma_i - \sum_{i=1}^{|n|} \phi_i \gamma_i \right\| &\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{|m|} (\phi_i - \phi_i(\lambda_{r_o})) \gamma_i \right\| + \\ &\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{|n|} (\phi_i - \phi_i(\lambda_{r_o})) \gamma_i \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{|m|} \phi_i(\lambda_{r_o}) \gamma_i - \sum_{i=1}^{|n|} \phi_i(\lambda_{r_o}) \gamma_i \right\| \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{|m|} \phi_i \gamma_i - \sum_{i=1}^{|n|} \phi_i \gamma_i \right\| < 3\epsilon \text{ for } |n|, |m| \geq |n_o|.$$



Hence  $\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{|m|} \phi_i \gamma_i \right\}$  converges to  $\lambda$  as  $L$  is complete with respect to the metric  $e$ . Thus  $\phi_i = \phi_i(\lambda)$ . Therefore  $\zeta(\lambda_r, \lambda) < \epsilon$ ,  $|r| \geq |r_o|$ . Hence  $\{\lambda_r\}$  converges to  $\lambda$  where  $\lambda \in L$  which proves the theorem. **Theorem 4** The space  $L_e$  is a Frechet space where  $e$  is the metric defined on  $L$ .

**Proof.**  $L_e$  is a normed linear metric space. In above theorem it has been proved that  $L_e$  is complete with respect to the metric  $e$ . Thus  $L_e$  is a Frechet space.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Sarkar P.K., 1982. On the Goldberg order and Goldberg type of an entire function of several complex variables represented by multiple Dirichlet series. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 13(10), 1221-1229.
- [2] Meili L., Zongsheng G., 2010. Convergence and Growth of multiple Dirichlet series. Acta Mathematica Scientia. 30B(5), 1640-1648.
- [3] Vaish S.K., 2003. On the coefficients of entire multiple Dirichlet series of several complex variables. Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Roumanie Tome. 46(94) 3-4, 195-202.
- [4] Janusauskas A.I., 1977. Elementary theorems on convergence of double Dirichlet series. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, 234, 610-614.
- [5] Kumar N., Manocha G., 2013. On a class of entire functions represented by Dirichlet series. J. Egypt. Math. Soc. 21, 21-24.
- [6] Kumar N., Manocha G., 2016. A study of various results for a class of entire Dirichlet series with complex frequencies. Mathematica Bohemica.(Accepted).
- [7] Larsen R., 1973. Banach Algebras - An Introduction. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York.
- [8] Larsen R., 1973. Functional Analysis - An Introduction. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York.

LAKSHIKA CHUTANI

VIVEKANANDA SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, VIVEKANANDA INSTITUTE OF PROFESSIONAL STUDIES,  
PITAM PURA, NEW DELHI - 110034, INDIA

*E-mail address:* lakshika91.chutani@gmail.com

NIRAJ KUMAR

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NETAJI SUBHAS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, SECTOR 3 DWARKA,  
NEW DELHI-110078, INDIA

*E-mail address:* nirajkumar2001@hotmail.com