Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications Vol. 6(1) Jan. 2018, pp. 144-155. ISSN: 2090-729X(online) http://fcag-egypt.com/Journals/EJMAA/

# MODIFIED BANACH FIXED POINT RESULTS FOR LOCALLY CONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS IN COMPLETE $G_d$ -METRIC LIKE SPACE

## ABDULLAH SHOAIB, ZUBAIR NISAR, AFTAB HUSSAIN, ÖZEN ÖZER AND MUHAMMAD ARSHAD

ABSTRACT. In this paper we discuss unique fixed point of mappings satisfying a locally contractive condition on a closed ball in a complete  $G_d$ -metric like space. Examples have been given to show the novelty of our work. Our results improve/generalize several well known recent and classical results.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of metric spaces is one of the useful topic in Analysis. The study of metric spaces expressed the most important role to many fields both in pure and applied science such as biology, physics and computer science. Some generalizations of the notion of a metric space have been proposed by some authors, such as rectangular metric spaces, metric Like Space, quasi metric spaces. Mustafa et. al. [27] introduced the notion of a G-metric space as generalization of the metric space.

A point  $a \in X$  is said to be a fixed point of mapping  $\Gamma : X \to X$ , if  $a = \Gamma a$ . Karanpmar et. al. [17] proved fixed point theorems for globally contractive mappings in *G*-metric spaces. Recently, many results appeared related to fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying different contractive conditions in complete *G*-metric spaces and metric like spaces/dislocated metric spaces (see [1]-[38]). Arshad et. al. [5] proved a result concerning the existence of fixed points of a mapping satisfying a contractive conditions on closed ball in a complete dislocated metric space. For further results on closed ball (see [6, 9, 10, 11, 31, 32, 33]).

In this paper we have obtained fixed point theorems for a locally contractive self mapping in a complete G-metric like space on a closed ball to generalize, extend and improve some classical fixed point results. We have used weaker contractive condition and weaker restrictions to obtain unique fixed point. We give the following definitions which will be needed in the sequel.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 46S40; 47H10; 54H25.

Key words and phrases. Fixed point, locally contractive mappings, closed ball, complete  $G_d$ -metric like space.

Submitted November 29, 2016.

**Definition 1** [27] Let X be a nonempty set, and let  $G: X \times X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ , be a function satisfying the following properties:

 $(G_1)$  G(a, b, c) = 0 if and only if a = b = c;

 $(G_2) \ 0 < G(a, a, b)$ , for all  $a, b \in X$ , with  $a \neq b$ ;

 $(G_3)$   $G(a, a, b) \leq G(a, b, c)$ , for all  $a, b, c \in X$  with  $b \neq c$ ;

 $(G_4)$  G(a,b,c) = G(a,c,b) = G(b,a,c) = G(b,c,a) = G(c,a,b) = G(c,b,a),(symmetry in all three variables);

 $(G_5)$   $G(a, b, c) \leq G(a, d, d) + G(d, b, c)$ , for all  $a, b, c, d \in X$ , (rectangle inequality).

Then the function G is called a Generalized Metric, more specifically a G-metric on X and the pair (X, G) is called a G-metric space. It is known that the function  $G_d(x, y, z)$  on G-metric space X is jointly continuous in all three of its variables. **Definition 2** [27] Let X be a nonempty set and let  $G_d : X \times X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  be a function satisfying the following axioms:

(i) If  $G_d(a, b, c) = G_d(a, c, b) = G_d(b, a, c) = G_d(b, c, a, ) = G_d(c, a, b) = G_d(c, b, a) = 0$ , then a = b = c;

(ii)  $G_d(a, b, c) \leq G_d(a, a, d) + G_d(d, b, c)$ , for all  $a, b, c, d \in X$ , (rectangle inequality).

Then the pair  $(X, G_d)$  is called the quasi  $G_d$ -metric like space. It is clear that if  $G_d(a, b, c) = G_d(b, c, a) = G_d(c, a, b) = \cdots = 0$  then from (i) a = b = c. But

if a = b = c then  $G_d(a, b, c)$  may not be 0. It is observed that if  $G_d(a, b, c) = G_d(a, c, b) = G_d(b, a, c) = G_d(b, c, a) = G_d(c, a, b) = G_d(c, b, a)$  for all  $a, b, c \in X$ , then  $(X, G_d)$  becomes a  $G_d$ -metric like space.

**Example 1** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  be a non empty set and  $G_d : X \times X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  be a function defined by

$$G_d(a, b, c) = a + b + c$$
, for all  $a, b, c \in X$ .

Then clearly  $G_d: X \times X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  is  $G_d$ -metric like space.

**Proposition 1** Let  $(X, G_d)$  be a  $G_d$ -metric like space. Then the function  $G_d(a, b, c)$  is jointly continuous in all three variables.

**Definition 3** Let  $(X, G_d)$  be a  $G_d$ -metric like space, and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence of points in X, a point x in X is said to be the limit of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  if  $\lim_{m,n\to\infty} G_d(x, x_n, x_m) = 0$ , and one says that sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G_d$ -convergent to x. Thus, if  $x_n \to x$  in a  $G_d$ -metric like space  $(X, G_d)$ , then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n, m \in N$  such that  $G_d(x, x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ , for all  $n, m \ge N$ .

**Definition 4** Let  $(X, G_d)$  be a  $G_d$ -metric like space. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is called  $G_d$ -Cauchy sequence if, for  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $n^* \in N$  such that  $G_d(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \epsilon$  for all  $n, l, m \ge n^*$ ; i.e. if  $G_d(x_n, x_m, x_l) \to 0$  as  $n, m, l \to \infty$ .

**Definition 5** A  $G_d$ -metric like space  $(X, G_d)$  is said to be  $G_d$ -complete if every  $G_d$ -Cauchy sequence in  $(X, G_d)$  is  $G_d$ -convergent in X.

**Proposition 2** Let  $(X, G_d)$  be a  $G_d$ -metric like space, then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G_d$  convergent to x.
- (2)  $G_d(x_n, x_n, x) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .
- (3)  $G_d(x_n, x, x) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .
- (4)  $G_d(x_n, x_m, x) \to 0$  as  $m \ n \to \infty$ .

**Definition 6** Let  $(X, G_d)$  be a  $G_d$ -metric like space then for  $x_0 \in X$ , r > 0, the

 $G_d$ -closed ball with centre  $x_0$  and radius r is,

$$\overline{B_{G_d}(x_0,r)} = \{ y \in X : G_d(x_0,y,y) \le r \}.$$

### 2. Main Result

**Theorem 1** Suppose for a complete  $G_d$ -metric like space  $(X, G_d)$ , if a mapping  $\Gamma: X \longrightarrow X$  satisfies,

$$G_d(\Gamma a, \Gamma b, \Gamma c) \le \xi W(a, b, c) \tag{1}$$

for all  $a, a_0, b, c \in \overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)} \subseteq X$  and r > 0, where  $\xi \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$  and

$$W(a, b, c) = \max\{G_d(b, \Gamma^2 a, \Gamma b), G_d(\Gamma a, \Gamma^2 a, \Gamma b), G_d(a, \Gamma a, b), G_d(a, \Gamma a, c), G_d(c, \Gamma^2 a, \Gamma c), G_d(b, \Gamma a, \Gamma b), G_d(\Gamma a, \Gamma^2 a, \Gamma c), G_d(c, \Gamma a, \Gamma b), G_d(a, b, c), G_d(a, \Gamma a, \Gamma a), G_d(b, \Gamma b, \Gamma b), G_d(c, \Gamma c, \Gamma c), G_d(a, \Gamma b, \Gamma b), G_d(b, \Gamma c, \Gamma c)\}.$$

$$(2)$$

Also

$$G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) \le (1 - \rho)r,$$
 (3)

where  $\rho \in \{\xi, \Upsilon = \frac{\xi}{1-\xi}\}$  and  $\rho \in [0, 1)$ . Then, there exists a unique  $a \in \overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)}$  such that  $\Gamma a = a$ .

**Proof.** Consider a picard sequence  $\{a_n\}$  with initial guess  $a_0 \in X$  such that

$$a_{n+1} = \Gamma a_n$$
, for all  $n \in N$ .

Suppose  $a_{n+1} \neq a_n$ , for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ , for otherwise, if such n exists, then  $a_n$  is the fixed point of  $\Gamma$ . From (3), it is clear that

$$G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) \le (1 - \rho)r \le r.$$

Then,  $a_1 \in \overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)}$ . Now, consider the relation

$$G_d(a_1, a_2, a_2) = G_d(\Gamma a_0, \Gamma a_1, \Gamma a_1)$$
  

$$\leq \xi W(a_0, a_1, a_1).$$
(4)

From (2),

$$W(a_0, a_1, a_1) = \max\{G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1), G_d(a_1, a_2, a_2), G_d(a_1, a_1, a_2), G_d(a_0, a_2, a_2)\}.$$

In first case, if  $W(a_0, a_1, a_1) = G_d(a_1, a_2, a_2)$ , then, inequality (4) implies

$$G_d(a_1, a_2, a_2) \leq \xi G_d(a_1, a_2, a_2)$$
  
(1 - \xi)G\_d(a\_1, a\_2, a\_2) \le 0

It is contradiction because  $a_1 \neq a_2$ . In second case, if  $W(a_0, a_1, a_1) = G_d(a_1, a_1, a_2)$ , then, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} G_d(a_1, a_2, a_2) &\leq & \xi G_d(a_1, a_1, a_2) \\ &\leq & 2\xi G_d(a_1, a_2, a_2) \\ 1 - 2\xi) G_d(a_1, a_2, a_2) &\leq & 0. \end{array}$$

It is again a contradiction because  $a_1 \neq a_2$ . In third case, if  $W(a_0, a_1, a_1) = G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1)$ , then, we have

$$G_d(a_1, a_2, a_2) \le \xi G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1).$$
 (5)

In fourth case, if  $W(a_0, a_1, a_1) = G_d(a_0, a_2, a_2)$  then,

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
G_d(a_1, a_2, a_2) &\leq & \xi G_d(a_0, a_2, a_2) \\
&\leq & \xi G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) + \xi G_d(a_1, a_2, a_2) \\
(1 - \xi) G_d(a_1, a_2, a_2) &\leq & \xi G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) \\
G_d(a_1, a_2, a_2) &\leq & \Upsilon G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1).
\end{array}$$
(6)

Hence, by combining (5) and (6), for  $\rho \in \{\xi, \Upsilon\}$ , we have

$$G_d(a_1, a_2, a_2) \le \rho G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1).$$

Now, by rectangle property, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} G_d(a_0, a_2, a_2) &\leq & G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) + G_d(a_1, a_2, a_2) \\ &\leq & (1+\rho)G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) \\ &\leq & (1+\rho)(1-\rho)r = (1-\rho^2)r \leq r \end{array}$$

Hence,  $a_2 \in \overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)}$ . Now, let  $a_3, a_{4,\dots,a_i} \in \overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)}$ , for some  $i \in N$ . Now, from (1), we have

$$G_d(a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}) = G_d(\Gamma a_{i-1}, \Gamma a_i, \Gamma a_i) \le \xi W(a_{i-1}, a_i, a_i)$$

From (2),

$$W(a_{i-1}, a_{i}, a_{i}) = \max\{G_d(a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}), G_d(a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}), G_d(a_{i-1}, a_{i}, a_{i}), G_d(a_i, a_i, a_{i+1})\}.$$

In first case, if  $W(a_{i-1}, a_i, a_i) = G_d(a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1})$  then, we have

$$G_d(a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}) \leq \xi G_d(a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1})$$
  
(1 -  $\xi$ ) $G_d(a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}) \leq 0.$ 

It is contradiction because  $a_i \neq a_{i+1}$ . In second case if  $W(a_{i-1}, a_i, a_i) = G_d(a_i, a_i, a_{i+1})$  then,

$$\begin{aligned} G_d(a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}) &\leq & \xi G_d(a_i, a_{i,} a_{i+1}) \\ &\leq & \xi G_d(a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}) + \xi G_d(a_{i+1}, a_i, a_{i+1}). \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry property of  $G_d$ -metric like space, we have  $G_d(a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}) = G_d(a_{i+1}, a_i, a_{i+1})$ . Therefore

$$G_d(a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}) \leq 2\xi G_d(a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1})$$
  
(1 - 2\xi)G\_d(a\_i, a\_{i+1}, a\_{i+1}) \le 0.

It is again a contradiction because  $a_i \neq a_{i+1}$ . In third case, if  $W(a_{i-1}, a_i, a_i) = G_d(a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1})$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
G_d(a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}) &\leq \xi G_d(a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}) \\
&\leq \xi G_d(a_{i-1}, a_{i,a_i}) + \xi G_d(a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}) \\
(1-\xi)G_d(a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}) &\leq \xi G_d(a_{i-1}, a_i, a_i) \\
G_d(a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}) &\leq \Upsilon G_d(a_{i-1}, a_i, a_i).
\end{aligned}$$
(7)

In fourth case if  $W(a_{i-1}, a_i, a_i) = G_d(a_{i-1}, a_i, a_i)$ , then

$$G_d(a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}) \le \xi G_d(a_{i-1}, a_i, a_i) \tag{8}$$

147

Hence, from relations (7) and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G_d(a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}) &\leq \rho G_d(a_{i-1}, a_i, a_i) \\
&\leq \rho^2 G_d(a_{i-2}, a_{i-1}, a_{i-1}) \\
&\vdots \\
G_d(a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}) &\leq \rho^i G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1),
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where  $\rho \in \{\xi, \Upsilon = \frac{\xi}{1-\xi}\}$ . Now from rectangle property, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_d(a_0, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}) &\leq & G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) + G_d(a_1, a_2, a_2) + \dots + G_d(a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}) \\ G_d(a_0, a_{i+1}, a_{i+1}) &\leq & G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) + \rho G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) + \rho^2 G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) \\ &\quad + \dots + \rho^i G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) \\ &\leq & (1 + \rho + \rho^2 + \dots + \rho^i) G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) \\ &\leq & (\frac{1 - \rho^{i+1}}{1 - \rho})(1 - \rho)r \leq r. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $a_{i+1} \in \overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)}$ . Therefore, picard sequence  $\{a_n\} \in \overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)}$ , for all  $n \in N \cup \{0\}$ . Now, to show that picard sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is Cauchy sequence, consider for  $m, n \in N$ , such that for n < m,

$$\begin{aligned} G_d(a_n, a_m, a_m) &\leq G_d(a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) + G_d(a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, a_{n+2}) + \dots \\ &+ G_d(a_{m-2}, a_{m-1}, a_{m-1}) + G_d(a_{m-1}, a_m, a_m) \\ &\leq \rho^n G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) + \rho^{n+1} G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) \\ &+ \rho^{n+2} G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) + \dots + \rho^{m-1} G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) \\ &\leq (1 + \rho + \rho^2 + \dots + \rho^{m-n-1}) \rho^n G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) \\ &\leq (\frac{1 - \rho^{m-n}}{1 - \rho}) \rho^n G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) \\ &\leq (1 - \rho^{m-n}) \rho^n r \leq \rho^n r \end{aligned}$$

As  $\rho \in [0,1)$ , then  $\rho^n \to 0$ , if  $n \to \infty$ . Hence  $\rho^n r \to 0$ , if  $n \to \infty$ . So, we have

$$G_d(a_n, a_m, a_m) \to 0$$
, as  $n \to \infty$ .

Therefore picard sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in closed ball  $\overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)}$ . As closed ball  $\overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)}$  is closed subset of set X, then the sequence  $\{a_n\}$  is convergent in closed ball  $\overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)}$  and the point of convergence is  $a \in \overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)}$ . Hence  $a_n \to a$  as  $n \to \infty$ . In general it is clear that,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} G_d(a_n, a, a) = \lim_{n \to \infty} G_d(a, a_n, a_n) = 0$$
(10)

To check either  $a \in \overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)}$  is a fixed point of  $\Gamma: X \to X$  or not, consider

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
G_d(a, \Gamma a, \Gamma a) &\leq & G_d(a, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) + G_d(a_{n+1}, \Gamma a, \Gamma a) \\
&\leq & G_d(a, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}) + \xi W(a_n, a, a) 
\end{array} \tag{11}$$

From (2),

$$W(a_n, a, a) = \max\{G_d(a, \Gamma^2 a_n, \Gamma a), G_d(\Gamma a_n, \Gamma^2 a_n, \Gamma a), G_d(a_n, \Gamma a_n, a), G_d(a_n, \Gamma a_n, a), G_d(a, \Gamma^2 a_n, \Gamma a), G_d(a, \Gamma a_n, \Gamma a), G_d(\Gamma a_n, \Gamma^2 a_n, \Gamma a), G_d(a, \Gamma a_n, \Gamma a), G_d(a_n, a, a), G_d(a_n, \Gamma a_n, \Gamma a_n), G_d(a, \Gamma a, \Gamma a), G_d(a, \Gamma a, \Gamma a)\}$$

$$W(a_{n}, a, a) = \max\{G_{d}(a, a_{n+2}, \Gamma a), G_{d}(a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \Gamma a), G_{d}(a_{n}, a_{n+1}, a), G_{d}(a_{n}, a_{n+1}, a), G_{d}(a, a_{n+2}, \Gamma a), G_{d}(a, a_{n+1}, \Gamma a), G_{d}(a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \Gamma a), G_{d}(a, a_{n+1}, \Gamma a), G_{d}(a_{n}, a, a), G_{d}(a_{n}, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}), G_{d}(a, \Gamma a, \Gamma a), G_{d}(a, \Gamma a, \Gamma a), G_{d}(a_{n}, \Gamma a, \Gamma a), G_{d}(a, \Gamma a, \Gamma a)\}$$

$$W(a_{n}, a, a) = \max\{G_{d}(a, a_{n+2}, \Gamma a), G_{d}(a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \Gamma a), G_{d}(a_{n}, a_{n+1}, a), G_{d}(a, a_{n+1}, \Gamma a), G_{d}(a_{n}, a, a), G_{d}(a_{n}, a_{n+1}, a_{n+1}), G_{d}(a, \Gamma a, \Gamma a), G_{d}(a_{n}, \Gamma a, \Gamma a)\}.$$
(12)

After applying limit  $n \to \infty$  on (11), for every selection of  $W(a_n, a, a)$  from (12), we get

$$G_d(a, \Gamma a, \Gamma a) \leq 0.$$

Hence,  $\Gamma a = a$  or  $a \in \overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)}$  is a fixed point of  $\Gamma$ . For uniqueness of fixed point, consider  $a, b \in \overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)}$  are two distinct fixed points of  $\Gamma : X \to X$ . So consider the relation,

$$G_d(a, b, b) = G_d(\Gamma a, \Gamma b, \Gamma b) \le \xi W(a, b, b).$$
(13)

Where

$$W(a, b, b) = \max\{G_d(a, b, b), G_d(a, a, b), G_d(a, a, a), G_d(b, b, b)\}.$$
 (14)

Now,

$$G_d(a, a, a) = G_d(\Gamma a, \Gamma a, \Gamma a) \le \xi W(a, a, a) = \xi G_d(a, a, a).$$

So  $G_d(a, a, a) = 0$ . Similarly  $G_d(b, b, b)$ . If  $W(a, b, b) = G_d(a, b, b)$  in (14), relation (13) gives,  $G_d(a, b, b) = 0$ . If  $W(a, b, b) = G_d(a, b, b)$  in (14), relation (13) gives,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} G_d(a,b,b) &\leq & \xi G_d(a,a,b) \\ &\leq & \xi G_d(a,b,b) + \xi G_d(b,a,b) \\ (1-2\xi)G_d(a,b,b) &\leq & 0. \end{array}$$

Hence in each case  $G_d(a, b, b) = 0$ . It is contradiction to our assumption, that is  $a \neq b$ . So our supposition is wrong. Hence fixed point of  $\Gamma : X \to X$  is unique. **Example 2** If for a set X = [0, 2], a mapping  $G_d : X \times X \times X \longrightarrow X$ , for all  $a, b, c \in X$  defined by,

$$G_d(a,b,c) = a + b + c$$

then  $(X, G_d)$  is complete  $G_d$ -metric like space. Let mapping  $\Gamma : X \to X$  are defined by,

$$\Gamma a = \begin{cases} \frac{a}{8} & \text{if } a \in [0, 1] \\ a + \frac{1}{8} & \text{if } a \in (1, 2]. \end{cases}$$

Let  $a_0 = \frac{2}{3}$  and  $r = \frac{8}{3}$  such that  $\overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)} = [0, 1]$ . Also let  $\rho \in \{\xi = \frac{1}{3}, \Upsilon = \frac{\xi}{1-\xi} = \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ }  $\subseteq [0,1)$  such that,

for 
$$\rho = \frac{1}{3}$$
,  $(1 - \rho)r = \frac{16}{9}$ ,

and

for 
$$\rho = \frac{1}{2}$$
,  $(1 - \rho)r = \frac{4}{3}$ .

Also as

$$G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) = \frac{2}{3} + 2\Gamma(\frac{2}{3}) = \frac{5}{6}.$$

Clearly

$$G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) \le (1 - \rho)r$$
, for every  $\rho \in \{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\}.$ 

To show contractive condition is locally contractive, for first case let  $a, b, c \in [0, 1]$ then,

$$G_d(\Gamma a, \Gamma b, \Gamma c) = G_d(\frac{a}{8}, \frac{b}{8}, \frac{c}{8})$$
$$G_d(\Gamma a, \Gamma b, \Gamma c) = \frac{1}{8}(a+b+c)$$

.....

Also let

$$W(a, b, c) = \max\{\frac{9a + 8b}{8}, \frac{a + 72b}{64}, \frac{9a + 8b}{64}, \frac{a + 9b}{8}, \\ \frac{9a + 8c}{8}, \frac{a + 72c}{64}, \frac{9a + 8c}{64}, \frac{8c + a + b}{8}, a + b + c, \\ \frac{5a}{4}, \frac{5b}{4}, \frac{5c}{4}, \frac{4a + b}{4}, \frac{4b + c}{4}\}$$

If  $a, b, c \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 0 & \leq & \frac{9a+8b}{64}, \frac{9a+8c}{64} \leq \frac{17}{64}, 0 \leq \frac{a+72b}{64}, \frac{a+72c}{64} \leq \frac{73}{64} \\ 0 & \leq & \frac{a+9b}{8}, \frac{8c+a+b}{8}, \frac{5a}{4}, \frac{5b}{4}, \frac{5c}{4}, \frac{4a+b}{4}, \frac{4b+c}{4} \leq \frac{5}{4} \\ 0 & \leq & \frac{9a+8b}{8}, \frac{9a+8c}{8} \leq \frac{17}{8}, 0 \leq a+b+c \leq 3 \end{array}$$

Clearly above inequalities shows that maximum value for W(a, b, c) is

$$W(a, b, c) = a + b + c$$

As,

$$\frac{1}{8}(a+b+c) \le \frac{1}{3}(a+b+c)$$

So,

$$G_d(\Gamma a, \Gamma b, \Gamma c) \le \xi W(a, b, c)$$

Hence contractive condition is locally satisfied on  $\overline{B_{G_d}(a_0,r)} = [0,1]$ . For the second case if  $a, b, c \in (1, 2]$  then,

$$G_d(\Gamma a, \Gamma b, \Gamma c) = G_d(a + \frac{1}{8}, b + \frac{1}{8}, c + \frac{1}{8})$$
$$G_d(\Gamma a, \Gamma b, \Gamma c) = (a + b + c) + \frac{3}{8}$$

Also, let

$$W(a, b, c) = \max\{a + 2b + \frac{3}{8}, 2a + b + \frac{1}{8}, a + 2b + \frac{1}{4}, 2a + b + \frac{1}{2} \\ 2a + c + \frac{1}{8}, a + 2c + \frac{3}{8}, 2a + c + \frac{1}{2}, a + b + c + \frac{1}{4}, \\ a + b + c, 3a + \frac{1}{4}, 3b + \frac{1}{4}, 3c + \frac{1}{4}, a + 2b + \frac{1}{4}, \\ b + 2c + \frac{1}{4}\}$$

If for all  $a, b, c \in (1, 2]$  then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{25}{8} &<& 2a+b+\frac{1}{8}, 2a+c+\frac{1}{8} \leq \frac{49}{8}, \ 3 < a+b+c \leq 6, \ \frac{13}{4} < a+b+c+\frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{25}{4} \\ \frac{13}{4} &<& a+2b+\frac{1}{4}, 3a+\frac{1}{4}, 3b+\frac{1}{4}, 3c+\frac{1}{4}, a+2b+\frac{1}{4}, b+2c+\frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{25}{4} \\ \frac{27}{8} &<& a+2b+\frac{3}{8}, a+2c+\frac{3}{8} \leq \frac{51}{8}, \ \frac{7}{2} < 2a+b+\frac{1}{2}, 2a+c+\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{13}{2} \end{array}$$

Clearly above inequalities shows that maximum values for W(a, b, c) are,

$$W(a, b, c) = 2a + b + \frac{1}{2}$$
 and  $W(a, b, c) = 2a + c + \frac{1}{2}$ 

Now as

$$\begin{aligned} (a+b+c) + \frac{3}{8} &\geq \frac{1}{3}(2a+b+\frac{1}{2}) \\ G_d(\Gamma a, \Gamma b, \Gamma c) &\geq \xi W(a, b, c) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (a+b+c)+\frac{3}{8} & \geq & \frac{1}{3}(2a+c+\frac{1}{2}) \\ G_d(\Gamma a,\Gamma b,\Gamma c) & \geq & \xi W(a,b,c) \end{array}$$

Hence, contractive condition is failed outside of  $\overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)} = [0, 1]$ . Therefore fixed point of  $\Gamma: X \to X$  exists and is  $0 \in \overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)}$  such that  $\Gamma 0 = 0$ 

In above theorem, interval for contractive condition can be extended to [0,1) as shown by following corollary.

**Corollary 1** Suppose for a  $G_d$ -metric like space  $(X, G_d)$  if a defined mapping  $\Gamma: X \longrightarrow X$  satisfies,

$$G_d(\Gamma a, \Gamma b, \Gamma c) \le \xi W(a, b, c),$$

for all  $a_0, a, b, c \in \overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)} \subseteq X$  and r > 0, where  $\xi \in [0, 1)$  and

$$W(a, b, c) = \max\{G_d(b, \Gamma^2 a, \Gamma b), G_d(\Gamma a, \Gamma^2 a, \Gamma b), G_d(a, \Gamma a, b), G_d(c, \Gamma^2 a, \Gamma c), G_d(\Gamma a, \Gamma^2 a, \Gamma c), G_d(a, \Gamma a, c), G_d(a, b, c), G_d(a, \Gamma a, \Gamma a), G_d(b, \Gamma b, \Gamma b), G_d(c, \Gamma c, \Gamma c), G_d(b, \Gamma c, \Gamma c)\}.$$

And

$$G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) \le (1 - \xi)r.$$

Then, there exists a unique  $a \in \overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)}$  such that  $\Gamma a = a$ .

151

The prior bound can be used at the beginning of the calculation for estimating the required number of iterations to obtain the assumed accuracy. While posterior estimate can be used at intermediate stegosaur at the end of the calculation. Posterior estimate is at least as accurate as prior estimate. Now, we discuss error approximations and their related example.

**Corollary 2 (Iteration, Error Bounds)** From Theorem 1, picard iterative sequence, with arbitrary initial guess  $a_0 \in \overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)} \subseteq X$ , converges to unique fixed point  $a \in \overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)}$  of mapping  $\Gamma : X \to X$ . Then, the error estimates are the prior estimate

$$G_d(a_n, a, a) \le \frac{\rho^n}{1 - \rho} G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1),$$
 (15)

and the posterior estimate

$$G_d(a_n, a, a) \le \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} G_d(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n).$$
 (16)

**Proof.** As from Theorem 1,

$$G_d(a_n, a_m, a_m) \le \rho^n(\frac{1-\rho^{m-n}}{1-\rho})G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1)$$

As sequence  $\{a_m\}$  is convergent to  $a \in \overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)} \subseteq X$ , then by taking  $m \to \infty$  gives  $a_m \to \infty$  and  $\rho^{m-n} \to 0$ . Therefore, above relation leads to the *prior estimate* i.e.,

$$G_d(a_n, a, a) \le \frac{\rho^n}{1-\rho} G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1).$$

Setting n = 1 and write  $b_o$  for  $a_0$  and  $b_1$  for  $a_1$  in (15) gives,

$$G_d(b_1, a, a) \le \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} G_d(b_0, b_1, b_1).$$

Letting  $b_o = a_{n-1}$  then  $b_1 = \Gamma b_o = \Gamma a_{n-1} = a_n$  in above relation leads to the *posterior estimate* i.e.,

$$G_d(a_n, a, a) \le \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} G_d(a_{n-1}, a_n, a_n).$$

**Example 3** If for a set X = [0, 2], a mapping  $G_d : X \times X \times X \longrightarrow X$ , for all  $a, b, c \in X$  defined by,

$$G_d(a,b,c) = a + b + c$$

then  $(X, G_d)$  is complete  $G_d$ -metric like space. Let mapping  $\Gamma : X \to X$  are defined by,

$$\Gamma a = \begin{cases} \frac{a}{8} & \text{if } a \in [0,1]\\ a + \frac{1}{8} & \text{if } a \in (1,2) \end{cases}$$

Let  $a_0 = \frac{2}{3}$  and  $r = \frac{8}{3}$  such that  $\overline{B_{G_d}(a_0, r)} = [0, 1]$ . Also let  $\rho \in \{\xi = \frac{1}{3}, \Upsilon = \frac{\xi}{1-\xi} = \frac{1}{2}\} \subseteq [0, 1)$ . Construct the picard iterative sequence taking  $a_0 = \frac{2}{3} \in [0, 1]$  as initial guess as,

$$a_n = \Gamma a_{n-1} = \frac{a_0}{8^n}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$$

Also as

$$G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1) = \frac{2}{3} + 2\Gamma(\frac{2}{3}) = \frac{5}{6}$$

and

$$G_d(a_n, a, a) = a_n + 2a$$

As picard sequence  $\{a_n\}$  satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1 as in Example 2, then if  $n \to \infty$ , we have  $a_n \to a$  i.e.  $a_n \approx a$ . Then

$$G_d(a_n, a, a) = 3a_n = \frac{3a_0}{8^n} = \frac{2}{8^n}$$

As from prior estimate

$$G_d(a_n, a, a) \le \frac{\rho^n}{1-\rho} G_d(a_0, a_1, a_1)$$

If  $\rho = \frac{1}{3}$  then, we have

$$\frac{2}{8^n} \leq \frac{3}{2.3^n} \cdot \frac{5}{6}$$

$$\frac{\ln(\frac{8}{5})}{\ln(\frac{8}{3})} \leq n \Longrightarrow 0.47919 \leq n$$

$$n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \text{ being integen}$$

If  $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$  then, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \displaystyle \frac{2}{8^n} & \leq & \displaystyle \frac{2}{2^n} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \\ \displaystyle \frac{\ln(\frac{6}{5})}{\ln(\frac{8}{2})} & \leq & n \Longrightarrow 0.1315172 \leq n \\ \displaystyle n & = & 1, 2, 3, \dots \text{ being integer} \end{array}$$

In either case if  $\rho \in \{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\}$ , picard sequence  $\{a_n\}$  converges for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  If n = 2

$$a_2 = \Gamma a_1 = \frac{a_0}{8^2} = 0.0104166667$$

If n = 3, then

$$a_3 = \Gamma a_2 = \frac{a_0}{8^3} = 0.0013020833$$

Therefore,

### $0.0013020833 \approx \Gamma 0.0104166667$

This suggests, when integer  $n \ge 1$  goes on increasing, picard sequence moves towards fixed point of  $\Gamma$  which is  $a = 0 \in [0, 1]$ , i.e.,  $\Gamma 0 = 0$ .

#### References

- M. Abbas and B. E. Rhoades, Common fixed point results for noncommuting mappings without continuity in generalized metric spaces, Appl. Math. and Computation, 215(1), 2009, 262-269.
- [2] M. Abbas, S. H. Khan, and T. Nazir, Common fixed points of R-weakly commuting maps in generalized metric spaces, Fixed point Theory and Appl., 2011:41, 2011, 11 pages.
- [3] M. Abbas, T. Nazir, and P. Vetro, Common fixed point results for three maps in G-metric spaces, Filomat, 25(4), 2011, 1-17.
- [4] R. Agarwal and E. Karapınar, Remarks on some coupled fixed point theorems in G-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Appl., 2013:2, 2013, 33 pages.
- [5] M. Arshad, A. Shoaib, and I. Beg, Fixed Point of pair of contractive dominated mappings on a closed ball in an ordered complete dislocated metric space accepted in Fixed Point Theory and Appl., 2013:115, 2013, 15 pages.
- [6] M. Arshad, A. Azam, M. Abbas and A. Shoaib, Fixed point results of dominated mappings on a closed ball in ordered partial metric spaces without continuity U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A, 76(2), 2014.
- [7] M. Asadi, E. Karapınar, P. Salimi, A new approach to G-metric and related fixed point theorems, j. Inequal. Appl., 2013:454, 2013, 12pages.

- [8] H. Aydi, N. Bilgili, E. Karapınar Common fixed point results from quasi metric space to G-Metric space, journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society 23(2), 2015, 356-361.
- [9] A. Azam, S. Hussain and M. Arshad, Common Fixed Points of Kannan Type Fuzzy Mappings on closed balls, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci. Lett., 1(2), (2013), 7-10.
- [10] A. Azam, S. Hussain and M. Arshad, Common fixed points of Chatterjea type fuzzy mappings on closed balls, Neural Computing & Appl, 21(2012), S313–S317.
- [11] A. Azam, M. Waseem, M. Rashid, Fixed point theorems for fuzzy contractive mappings in quasi-pseudo-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2013:27, 2013, 14 pages.
- [12] A. Azam, N. Mehmood, Fixed point Theorems for multivalued mappings in G-cone metric space, j. Inequal. Appl., 2013:354, 2013, 14 pages.
- [13] Lj. Gajić and M. Stojaković, On Ćirić generalization of mappings with a contractive iterate at a point in G-metric Spaces, Applied Mathematics and Computations, 219(1), 2012, 435-441.
- [14] H. Hydi, W. Shatanawi, C. Vetro, On generalized weak G-contraction mappings in G-metric spaces, Compute. Math. Appl., 62(11), 2011, 4223-4229.
- [15] M. Jleli and B. Samet, Remarks on G-metric spaces and fixed point theorems, Fixed Point Theory appl, 2012:210, 2012.
- [16] A. Kaewcharoen, Common fixed points for four mappings in G-metric spaces, Int. J. Maths. Anal., 6(2012), 2345-2356.
- [17] E. Karapınar and R. P Agarwal, Further fixed point results on G-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Appl., 2013:154, 2013.
- [18] E. Kryeyszig, Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications, John Wiley & Sons, New York, (1978).
- [19] M. A. Kutbi, J. Ahmad, N. Hussain and M. Arshad, Common Fixed Point Results for Mappings with Rational Expressions, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2013, Article ID 549518, 11 pages.
- [20] S. K. Mohanta, S. Mohanta, Some fixed point Results for Mappings in G-Metric spaces, Demonstratio Mathematica, 47(2014), 15 pages.
- [21] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Anal, 7(2006) 289-297.
- [22] Z. Mustafa, Common fixed points of Weakly Compatible Mappings in G-metric spaces, Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 6, 2012 No. 92, 4589-4600.
- [23] Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat, and F. Awawdeh, Some fixed point theorem for mappings on a complete G- metric space, Fixed point theory and appl, 2008(2008),12 pages.
- [24] Z. Mustafa and H. obiedat, Fixed point results on a non-symmetric G-metric spaced, Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statictics, 3(2), pp. 65-79, 2010.
- [25] Z. Mustafa, H. Aydi and E. Karapinar, On common fixed points in G-metric spaces using (E.A) property, Cmputers and Maths with Appl.,64(2012), 1944-1956.
- [26] H.K Nashine, Coupled common fixed point results in ordered G-metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Sc. Appl. 1(2012), 1-13.
- [27] H. Obiedat and Z. Mustafa, Fixed point results on a non symmetric G-metric spaces, Jordan Journal of Maths and Stats, 3(2010), 65-79.
- [28] B. Samet, C. Vetro, F. Vetro, Remarks on G-metric spaces, Int. J. Anal., 2013(2013), 6 pages.
- [29] W. Shatanawi, Fixed point theory for contractive mappings satisfying  $\Phi$ -maps in G-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Appl., 2010(2010), 9 pages.
- [30] R. Shrivastave, Z. K. Ansari and M. Sharma, Some results on Fixed points in dislocated and dislocated quasi metric spaces, Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology, 3(1), 2012, 25-31
- [31] A. Shoaib, M. Arshad and J. Ahmad, Fixed point results of locally cotractive mappings in ordered quasi-partial metric spaces, The Sci World Journal, 2013(2013), 14 pages.
- [32] A. Shoaib, M. Arshad and M. A. Kutbi, Common fixed points of a pair of Hardy Rogers Type Mappings on a Closed Ball in Ordered Partial Metric Spaces, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., 17(2014), 255-264.
- [33] A. Shoaib, α η Dominated Mappings and Related Common Fixed Point Results in Closed Ball, Journal of Concrete and Applicable Mathematics, 13(1-2), 2015, 152-170.
- [34] A. Shoaib, M. Arshad and S. H. Kazmi, Fixed Point Results for Hardy Roger Type Contraction in Ordered Complete Dislocated  $G_d$  Metric Space, Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory, 5(1), 2017, 5-12.
- [35] A. Shoaib, M. Arshad, T. Rasham, and M. Abbas, Unique fixed points results on closed ball for dislocated quasi G-metric spaces, Transaction of A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute, 30(1), 2017.

- [36] S. Dalal and D. Chalishajar, Coupled fixed points results for W-Compatible Maps in symmetric G-Metric spaces, African Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 2 (2), 2013, 38-46.
- [37] R. K. Vats, S. Kumar, V. Sihag, Common Fixed Point Theorem for Expansive Mappings in G-Metric Spaces, Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, 6 (2013), 60-71.
- [38] S. Zhou and F. Gu, Some new fixed points in G- metric spaces, Journal of Hangzhou Normal University, 11(1), 2012, 47-50.

Abdullah Shoaib, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Riphah International University, Islamabad - 44000, Pakistan

*E-mail address*: abdullahshoaib15@yahoo.com

Zubair Nisar, Department of Mathematics, International Islamic University, H-10, Islamabad - 44000, Pakistan

E-mail address: from.fatehjang@gmail.com

AFTAB HUSSAIN, DEPARTMENT OF BASIC SCIENCES, KHWAJA FAREED UNIVERSITY OF ENGI-NEERING & INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, RAHIM YAR KHAN, PAKISTAN

E-mail address: aftabshh@gmail.com

Özen Özer, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Kirklareli University, 39100, Kirklareli, Turkey

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{ozenozer39} \texttt{@gmail.com}$ 

Muhammad Arshad, Department of Mathematics, International Islamic University, H-10, Islamabad - 44000, Pakistan

*E-mail address*: marshadzia@iiu.edu.pk