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#### Abstract

In this paper we present several new classes of logarithmically completely monotonic functions. Our functions have in common that they are defined in terms of the $q$-gamma and $q$-digamma functions. As an application of these results, some inequalities for the $q$-gamma and the $q$-digamma functions are established. Some of the given results generalize theorems due to Alzer and Berg and C.-P. Chen and F. Qi.


## 1. Introduction

It is well-known that the classical Euler gamma function may be defined by

$$
\Gamma(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} d t
$$

for $x>0$. The logarithmic derivative of $\Gamma(x)$, denoted $\psi(x)=\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(x)}{\Gamma(x)}$, is called the psi or digamma function, and $\psi^{(k)}(x)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are called the polygamma functions. The functions $\Gamma(x)$ and $\psi^{(k)}(x)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are of fundamental importance in mathematics and have been extensively studied by many authors; see for example ( $[1,2,3,5,8]$ ) and the references within.

The $q$-analogue of $\Gamma$ is defined [[4], pp. 493-496] for $x>0$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{q}(x)=(1-q)^{1-x} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1-q^{j+1}}{1-q^{j+x}}, 0<q<1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{q}(x)=(q-1)^{1-x} q^{\frac{x(x-1)}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1-q^{-(j+1)}}{1-q^{-(j+x)}}, q>1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $q$-gamma function $\Gamma_{q}(z)$ has the following basic properties:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{q \longrightarrow 1^{-}} \Gamma_{q}(z)=\lim _{q \longrightarrow 1^{+}} \Gamma_{q}(z)=\Gamma(z) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{q}(z)=q^{\frac{(x-1)(x-2)}{2}} \Gamma_{\frac{1}{q}}(z) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $q$-digamma function $\psi_{q}$, the $q$-analogue of the psi or digamma function $\psi$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{q}(x) & =\frac{\Gamma_{q}^{\prime}(x)}{\Gamma_{q}(z)} \\
& =-\ln (1-q)+\ln q \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k+x}}{1-q^{k+x}}  \tag{5}\\
& =-\ln (1-q)+\ln q \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k x}}{1-q^{k}} \\
& =-\ln (1-q)-\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x t}}{1-e^{-t}} d \gamma_{q}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

for $0<q<1$, where $d \gamma_{q}(t)$ is a discrete measure with positive masses $-\ln q$ at the positive points $-k \ln q$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, more accurately, (see [9])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{q}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta(t+k \ln q), 0<q<1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $q>1$ and $x>0$, the $q$-digamma function $\psi_{q}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{q}(x) & =-\ln (q-1)+\ln q\left[x-\frac{1}{2}-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{-(k+x)}}{1-q^{-(k+x)}}\right] \\
& =-\ln (q-1)+\ln q\left[x-\frac{1}{2}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{-k x}}{1-q^{-k}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Krattenthaler and Srivastava [10] proved that $\psi_{q}(x)$ tends to $\psi(x)$ on letting $q \longrightarrow 1$ where $\psi(x)$ is the the ordinary psi (digamma) function. Before we present the main results of this paper we recall some definitions, which will be used in the sequel.

A function $f$ is said to be completely monotonic on an interval $I$ if $f$ has derivatives of all orders on $I$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n} f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, where $\mathbb{N}$ the set of all positive integers.
If the inequality (7) is strict, then $f$ is said to be strictly completely monotonic function.

A positive function $f$ is said to be logarithmically completely monotonic on an interval $I$ if its logarithm $\ln f$ satisfies

$$
(-1)^{n}(\ln f(x))^{(n)}(x) \geq 0
$$

for all $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
A positive function $f$ is said to be logarithmically convex on an interval $I$, or simply $\log$-convex, if its natural $\operatorname{logarithm} \ln f$ is convex, that is, for all $x, y \in I$ and $\lambda \in[0,1]$ we have

$$
f(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y) \leq[f(x)]^{\lambda}[f(y)]^{1-\lambda}
$$

We note that every logarithmically completely monotonic function is log-convex. From the definition of $\psi_{q}(x)$, direct differentiation, and the induction we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n} \psi_{q}^{(n+1)}(x)=(-1)^{n}(\ln q)^{n+2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{k^{n+1} q^{k x}}{1-q^{k}}>0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that the function $\psi_{q}^{\prime}$ is strictly completely monotonic function on $(0, \infty)$, for $q \in(0,1)$. The relation (4) and the definition of the $q$-digamma function (5) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{q}(x)=\psi_{\frac{1}{q}}(x)+\frac{2 x-3}{2} \ln q \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $q>1$. Thus, implies that the function $\psi_{q}^{\prime}(x)$ is strictly completely monotonic in $(0, \infty)$ for $q>1$, and consequently the function $\psi_{q}(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$.

It is the aim of this paper to provide several new classes of logarithmically completely monotonic functions. The functions we study have in common that they are defined in terms of $q$-gamma and $q$-digamma functions. In the next section we collect some lemmas. Our monotonicity theorems are stated and proved in sections 3 .

## 2. Useful lemmas

We begin this section with the following useful lemmas which are needed to complete the proof of the main theorems.

The following monotonicity theorem is proved in [1].
Lemma 1. Let $n$ be a natural number and $c$ be a real number. The function $x^{c}\left|\psi^{n}(x)\right|$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $c \leq n$.

Lemma 2. [12] Let $f, g:[a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two continuous functions which are differentiable on $(a, b)$. Further, let $g^{\prime} \neq 0$ on $(a, b)$. If $\frac{f^{\prime}}{g^{\prime}}$ is increasing (or decreasing) on $(a, b)$, then the functions $\frac{f(x)-f(a)}{g(x)-g(a)}$ and $\frac{f(x)-f(b)}{g(x)-g(b)}$ are also increasing (or decreasing) on $(a, b)$.

The next lemma is given in $[2,15]$.
Lemma 3. The function $\psi_{q}, q>0$ has a uniquely determined positive zero, which we denoted by $x_{0}=x_{0}(q) \in(1,2)$.

A proof for the following lemma can be found in [7].
Lemma 4. Let $f$ be a positive function. If $f^{\prime}$ is completely monotonic function, then $\frac{1}{f}$ is logarithmically completely monotonic function.

## 3. The main results

In 1997, Merkle [13] proved that the function $\frac{(\Gamma(x))^{2}}{\Gamma(2 x)}$ is log-convex on $(0, \infty)$. Recently, Alzer and Berg [3], presented a substantial generalization. They established that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(\Gamma(a x))^{\alpha}}{(\Gamma(b x))^{\beta}}, 0<b<a \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, if and only if, $\alpha \leq 0$ and $\alpha a=\beta b$. The main objective of the next Theorem extend and generalize this results. An application
of their result leads to sharp upper and lower bounds for $\frac{\Gamma_{q}^{2}(x)}{\Gamma_{q}(2 x)}$ in terms of the $\psi_{q}$-function.

Theorem 1. Let $0<q<1$ and $0<b<a$. Then the function $\frac{\left(\Gamma_{q}(a x)\right)^{\alpha}}{\left(\Gamma_{q}(b x)\right)^{\beta}}$ is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, if and only if $\alpha a=\beta b$ and $\alpha \leq 0$.

Proof. Let $q \in(0,1)$. Assume that the function $\frac{\left(\Gamma_{q}(a x)\right)^{\alpha}}{\left(\Gamma_{q}(b x)\right)^{\beta}}$ is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. By definition, we have for all $x>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x)=\left(\ln \frac{\left(\Gamma_{q}(a x)\right)^{\alpha}}{\left(\Gamma_{q}(b x)\right)^{\beta}}\right)^{\prime} & =\alpha a \psi_{q}(a x)-\beta b \psi_{q}(b x) \\
& =\alpha a\left(\psi_{q}(a x)-\ln \left(\frac{1-q^{a x}}{1-q}\right)\right)-\beta b\left(\psi_{q}(b x)-\ln \left(\frac{1-q^{b x}}{1-q}\right)\right) \\
& +\alpha a \ln \left(\frac{1-q^{x a}}{1-q}\right)-\beta b \ln \left(\frac{1-q^{x b}}{1-q}\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

It is worth mentioning that, Moak [14] proved the following approximation for the $q$-digamma function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{q}(x)=\ln \left(\frac{1-q^{x}}{1-q}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\ln q q^{x}}{1-q^{x}}+O\left(\frac{\ln ^{2} q q^{2 x}}{\left(1-q^{x}\right)^{2}}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $q>0$ and $x>0$. So, if $\alpha a-\beta b>0$, then $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)=(\beta b-\alpha a) \ln (1-$ $q) \geq 0$ for $0<q<1$ and if $\alpha a-\beta b<0$, then $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x)=(\beta b-\alpha a) \ln (1-q) \leq 0$ for $0<q<1$. Thus, $\alpha a=\beta b$, and consequently

$$
f(x)=\alpha a\left(\psi_{q}(a x)-\psi_{q}(b x)\right)
$$

Since $\psi_{q}$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$ and $f(x) \leq 0$ by definition, we conclude that that $\alpha \leq 0$.

Conversely, We show that the function $\frac{\left(\Gamma_{q}(a x)\right)^{\alpha}}{\left(\Gamma_{q}(b x)\right)^{\beta}}$ is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ for $\alpha \leq 0,0<b<a$ such that $\alpha a=\beta b$. Let $n=1$, since the function $\psi_{q}(x)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)\left(\ln \frac{\left(\Gamma_{q}(a x)\right)^{\alpha}}{\left(\Gamma_{q}(b x)\right)^{\beta}}\right)^{\prime}=\alpha a\left(\psi_{q}(b x)-\psi_{q}(a x)\right) \geq 0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n \geq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n+1}\left(\ln \frac{\left(\Gamma_{q}(a x)\right)^{\alpha}}{\left(\Gamma_{q}(b x)\right)^{\beta}}\right)^{(n+1)}=(-1)^{n} \alpha a\left(b^{n} \psi_{q}^{(n)}(b x)-a^{n} \psi_{q}^{(n)}(a x)\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the function $\psi_{q}^{\prime}(x)$ is strictly completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, we have for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi_{q}^{(n)}(x)\right|=(-1)^{n+1} \psi_{q}^{(n)}(x) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{n+1} x^{n}\left(\ln \frac{\left(\Gamma_{q}(a x)\right)^{\alpha}}{\left(\Gamma_{q}(b x)\right)^{\beta}}\right)^{(n+1)} & =(-1)^{n} \alpha a x^{n}\left(b^{n} \psi_{q}^{(n)}(b x)-a^{n} \psi_{q}^{(n)}(a x)\right) \\
& =(-1)^{n} \alpha a\left((x b)^{n}(-1)^{n}\left|\psi_{q}^{(n)}(b x)\right|-(a x)^{n}(-1)^{n}\left|\psi_{q}^{(n)}(a x)\right|\right) \\
& =\alpha a\left((a x)^{n}\left|\psi_{q}^{(n)}(a x)\right|-(b x)^{n}\left|\psi_{q}^{(n)}(b x)\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the last expression is nonnegative by Lemma 1 . Hence, for $q \in(0,1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
(-1)^{n}\left(\ln \frac{\left(\Gamma_{q}(a x)\right)^{\alpha}}{\left(\Gamma_{q}(b x)\right)^{\beta}}\right)^{(n)} \geq 0
$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.
Remark 1. We note that if interchanging the roles of $a$ and $b$ leads to changing the sign on $\alpha$.
Corollary 1. Let $q>1$ and $0<a<b$. If, $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\alpha a=\beta b$., Then the function $\frac{\left(\Gamma_{q}(a x)\right)^{\alpha}}{\left(\Gamma_{q}(b x)\right)^{\beta}}$ is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.
Proof. Follows immediately by Theorem 1 and equality (9).
Corollary 2. Let $q>0$ and $0<a<b$, the following inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left[\alpha a\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(\psi_{q}\left(a x_{1}\right)-\psi_{q}\left(b x_{1}\right)\right)\right] \leq \frac{\left(\Gamma_{q}\left(b x_{1}\right)\right)^{\beta}}{\left(\Gamma_{q}\left(a x_{1}\right)\right)^{\alpha}} \frac{\left(\Gamma_{q}(a x)\right)^{\alpha}}{\left(\Gamma_{q}(b x)\right)^{\beta}} \leq 1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ such that $\alpha a=\beta b$. and $x>x_{1}>0$. In particular, the following inequalities holds true for every integer $n \geq 1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left[2 q(n-1) \frac{\ln q}{1-q}\right] \leq \frac{\Gamma_{q}^{2}(n)}{\Gamma_{q}(2 n)} \leq 1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $q>0$ and $0<a<b$. We suppose that $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ such that $\alpha a=\beta b$ and define the function $h_{\alpha, \beta}(q ; x)$ by

$$
h_{\alpha, \beta}(q ; x)=\frac{\left(\Gamma_{q}\left(b x_{1}\right)\right)^{\beta}}{\left(\Gamma_{q}\left(a x_{1}\right)\right)^{\alpha}} \frac{\left(\Gamma_{q}(a x)\right)^{\alpha}}{\left(\Gamma_{q}(b x)\right)^{\beta}}
$$

where $0<x_{1}<x$, and $H_{\alpha, \beta, q}(x)=\ln h_{\alpha, \beta}(q ; x)$. Since the function $h_{\alpha, \beta}(q ; x)$ is logarithmically completely monoyonic on $(0, \infty)$ for $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\alpha a=\beta b$, we conclude that the logarithmic derivative $\frac{\left(h_{\alpha, \beta}(q ; x)\right)^{\prime}}{h_{\alpha, \beta}(q ; x)}$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$. By Lemma 2 we deduce that the function $\frac{H_{\alpha, \beta}(q ; x)}{x-x_{1}}$ is increasing for all $0<x_{1}<x$. By l'Hospital's rule and (11) it is easy to deduce that

$$
\lim _{x \longrightarrow x_{1}} \frac{H_{\alpha, \beta}(q ; x)}{x-x_{1}}=\alpha a\left(\psi_{q}\left(a x_{1}\right)-\psi_{q}\left(b x_{1}\right)\right),
$$

from which follows the right side inequality of (16).
As $h_{\alpha, \beta}(q ; x)$ is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, we deduce that $h_{\alpha, \beta}(q ; x)$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$. The following inequality hold true for all $0<$ $x_{1}<x$ :

$$
h_{\alpha, \beta}(q ; x) \leq h_{\alpha, \beta}\left(q ; x_{1}\right)=1,
$$

we conclude the left side inequality of (16).
Taking $\alpha=b=2, \beta=a=1$ and $x_{1}=1$ in (16) and using the recurrence formula of $\psi_{q}$ [[8], p. 1245, Theorem 4.4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{q}^{(n-1)}(x+1)-\psi_{q}^{(n-1)}(x)=-\frac{d^{n-1}}{d x^{n-1}}\left(\frac{q^{x}}{1-q^{x}}\right) \ln q \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the inequalities (17).
The main purpose of the next Theorem is to present monotonicity properties of the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\beta}(q ; x)=\frac{1}{1+q}\left[\frac{\Gamma_{q^{2}}\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma_{q^{2}}(x+1)}\right]^{2} \exp \left[\frac{\beta\left(1-q^{2}\right) q^{2 x}}{2\left(1-q^{2 x}\right)}+\psi_{q}(2 x)\right] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q \in(0,1)$ and $x>0$.
It is worth mentioning that $\mathrm{Ai}-\mathrm{Jun} \mathrm{Li}$ and Chao-Ping Chen [11] considered the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\beta}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\Gamma\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(x+1)}\right]^{2} \exp \left[\frac{\beta}{2 x}+\psi(2 x)\right] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a special case of the function $g_{\beta}(q ; x)$ on letting $q \longrightarrow 1$ and proved that $g_{\beta}(x)$ is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if $\beta \geq \frac{13}{12}$. The objective of this Theorem is to generalize this result.

Theorem 2. Let $q \in(0,1)$. The function $g_{\beta}(q ; x)$ defined by (19) is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if $\beta \geq \frac{-13 \ln q}{6\left(1-q^{2}\right)}$.
Proof. It is clear that
$\ln g_{\beta}(q ; x)=2 \ln \Gamma_{q^{2}}\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)-2 \ln \Gamma_{q^{2}}(x+1)+\psi_{q}(2 x)+\frac{\beta\left(1-q^{2}\right) q^{2 x}}{2\left(1-q^{2 x}\right)}-\ln (q+1)$.
Using the $q$-analogue of Legendre's duplication formula [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{q}(2 x) \Gamma_{q^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=(1+q)^{2 x+1} \Gamma_{q^{2}}(x) \Gamma_{q^{2}}\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get
$\ln g_{\beta}(q ; x)=2 \ln \Gamma_{q^{2}}\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)-2 \ln \Gamma_{q^{2}}(x+1)+\frac{1}{2} \psi_{q^{2}}(x)+\frac{1}{2} \psi_{q^{2}}\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{\beta\left(1-q^{2}\right) q^{2 x}}{2\left(1-q^{2 x}\right)}$.
In view of (18) and (5) we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(-1)^{n}\left(\ln g_{\beta}(q ; x)\right)^{(n)} & =(-1)^{n}\left[2 \psi_{q^{2}}^{(n-1)}\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)-2 \psi_{q^{2}}^{(n-1)}(x+1)+\frac{1}{2} \psi_{q^{2}}^{(n)}(x)+\frac{1}{2} \psi_{q^{2}}^{(n)}\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{\beta\left(1-q^{2}\right)}{4 \ln q}\left(\psi_{q^{2}}^{(n)}(x+1)-\psi_{q^{2}}^{(n)}(x)\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x t}}{e^{t}-1} \Phi_{\beta, q}(t) d \gamma_{q^{2}}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\beta, q}(t) & =\left(\frac{-\beta\left(1-q^{2}\right)}{2 \ln q}-1\right) t e^{t}+(4-t) e^{\frac{t}{2}}+\frac{\beta\left(1-q^{2}\right)}{2 \ln q} t-4 \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n+1}}{n!}\left[\frac{-\beta\left(1-q^{2}\right)}{2 \ln q}-1-\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right]+4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n}}{2^{n} n!}+\frac{\beta\left(1-q^{2}\right)}{2 \ln q} t \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n+1}}{n!}\left[\frac{-\beta\left(1-q^{2}\right)}{2 \ln q}-1-\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right]+4 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n+1}}{2^{n+1}(n+1)!}-2 t \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n+1}}{n!}\left[\frac{-\beta\left(1-q^{2}\right)}{2 \ln q}-1-\frac{1}{2^{n}}+\frac{1}{(n+1) 2^{n-1}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the $\max \left(\frac{1}{2^{n}}+\frac{1}{(n+1) 2^{n-1}}\right)=\frac{1}{12}$, we conclude that $\beta \geq \frac{-13 \ln q}{6\left(1-q^{2}\right)}$. The proof is completed.

Theorem 3. Let $q>0$, the function $\frac{1}{\psi_{q}(x)}$ is Logarithmically completely monotonic on $\left(x_{0}, \infty\right)$.

Proof. Since the function $\psi_{q}^{\prime}$ is completely monotonic function on $(0, \infty)$, and the function $\psi_{q}$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$ and a uniquely determined zero on $(0, \infty)$ by Lemma 3. We conclude that the function $\psi_{q}(x)>0$ for all $x>x_{0}$, and consequently the function $\frac{1}{\psi_{q}(x)}$ is Logarithmically completely monotonic on $\left(x_{0}, \infty\right)$, by Lemma 4.

Corollary 3. Let $q>0$ and $a>1$. The following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\psi_{q}(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{a}}\left[\psi_{q}(y)\right]^{1-\frac{1}{a}} \leq \psi_{q}\left[\frac{x}{a}+\left(1-\frac{1}{a}\right) y\right] \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $x>x_{0}$ and $y>x_{0}$. In particular, the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\psi_{q}(2)\right]^{a-1} \leq \frac{\left[\psi_{q}(u+1)\right]^{a}}{\psi_{q}(a(x-1)+2)} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a>1$ and $u>\frac{-2}{a}+1$.
Proof. Let $q \in(0,1), a>1, x>x_{0}$ and $y>x_{0}$. By theorem 3 we obtain that the function $\frac{1}{\psi_{q}(x)}$ is log-convex on $\left(x_{0}, \infty\right)$. Thus,

$$
\left[\psi_{q}(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}\left[\psi_{q}(y)\right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \psi_{q}\left[\frac{x}{p}+\frac{y}{q}\right]
$$

where $p>1, q>1, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$. If $p=a$ and $q=\frac{a}{a-1}$. Then we get the inequality (22). Now, let $y=2$ and $x=a(u-1)+2$ we obtain the inequality (23).

Remark 2. Replacing $u$ by $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a by 2 in inequality (23) and using the identity

$$
\psi_{q}(n+1)=\frac{\ln q}{1-q} \gamma_{q}-\ln q H_{n, q}
$$

where $\gamma_{q}=\frac{1-q}{\ln q} \psi_{q}(1)$ is the $q-$ analogue of the Euler-Mascheroni constant [16] and $H_{n, q}$ is the $q$-analogue of Harmonic number is defined by [17] as

$$
H_{n, q}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{q^{k}}{1-q^{k}}, n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{q}^{2}(2) \psi_{q}(2 n) \leq\left[\frac{\ln q}{1-q} \gamma_{q}-\ln q H_{n, q}\right]^{2}, n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4. Let $q>0$ The function $\Gamma_{q}(x)$ is logarithmically completely monotonic on $\left(x_{0}, \infty\right)$. So, the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{q}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \Gamma_{q}(x) \Gamma_{q}(y) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $x, y>x_{0}$.
Proof. Proving by induction that

$$
(-1)^{n}\left(\ln \Gamma_{q}(x)\right)^{(n)} \geq 0, \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

For $n=1$, we get

$$
(-1)\left(\ln \Gamma_{q}(x)\right)^{\prime}=\psi_{q}(x) \geq 0, \text { for all } x>x_{0}
$$

Suppose that

$$
(-1)^{k}\left(\ln \Gamma_{q}(x)\right)^{(k)} \geq 0, \text { for all } 1 \leq k \leq n \text { and } x \in\left(x_{0}, \infty\right)
$$

Since the function $\psi_{q}^{\prime}$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, for $q>0$ we get

$$
(-1)^{n+1}\left(\ln \Gamma_{q}(x)\right)^{(n+1)}=(-1)^{n} \psi_{q}^{(n+1)}(x) \geq 0
$$

We note that every logarithmically completely monotonic function is log-convex on $(0, \infty)$, that is, for all $x, y>x_{0}$ and $t \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
\Gamma_{q}(t x+(1-t) y) \leq \Gamma_{q}(x)^{t} \Gamma_{q}(y)^{1-t}
$$

Choosing $\mathrm{t}=1 / 2$ in the above inequality we obtain the desired result. The proof of Thoerem 4 is completes.
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