Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications Vol. 6(2) July 2018, pp. 203-210. ISSN: 2090-729X(online) http://fcag-egypt.com/Journals/EJMAA/

SOLVABILITY OF A COUPLED SYSTEM OF URYSOHN-STIELTJES INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

M. M. A. AL-FADEL

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the existence of continuous solutions $x, y \in C(I)$ of the coupled system of Urysohn-Stieltjes integral equations

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= p_1(t) + \lambda_1 \int_0^1 f_1(t, s, x(s), y(s)) \ d_s g_1(t, s), \ t \in I \\ y(t) &= p_2(t) + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 f_2(t, s, x(s), y(s)) \ d_s g_2(t, s), \ t \in I. \end{aligned}$$

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The Volterra-Stieltjes integral equations and Urysohn-Stieltjes integral equations have been studied by J. Banaś and some other authors (see [1]-[9] and [14]- [16]). Consider the Urysohn-Stieltjes integral equation

$$x(t) = p(t) + \int_0^1 f(t, s, x(s)) \ d_s g(t, s), \ t \in I = [0, 1].$$
(1)

J. Banaś (see [3]) proved the existence of at least one solution $x \in C(I)$ to the equation (1), where $g: I \times I \to R$ is nondecreasing in the second argument on I and the symbol d_s indicates the integration with respect to s.

For the definition, background and properties of the Stieltjes integral we refer to Banas [1]. However, the coupled system of integral equations have been studied, recently, by some authors (see [11]-[12],[13]).

In this paper, we generalize this result for the coupled system of Urysohn-Stieltjes

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 74H10, 45G10, 47H30.

Key words and phrases. Coupled system, continuous solution, Stieltjes integral, Schauder fixed point theorem.

Submitted Sep. 9, 2017. Revised Nov. 8, 2017.

integral equations

$$x(t) = p_1(t) + \lambda_1 \int_0^1 f_1(t, s, x(s), y(s)) \, d_s g_1(t, s), \ t \in I$$

$$y(t) = p_2(t) + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 f_2(t, s, x(s), y(s)) \, d_s g_2(t, s), \ t \in I$$
(2)

in the Banach space C(I).

2. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS

In this section we study the existence of continuous solutions $x, y \in C(I)$ for the coupled system of nonlinear integral equations of Urysohn-Stieltjes type (2). Now we formulate assumptions under which coupled system (2) and will be considered. Namely, we shall assume that:

- (i) $p_i \in C(I), \ \lambda_i \in R, \ i = 1, 2.$
- (ii) $f_i: I \times I \times R^2 \to R$, (i = 1, 2) is continuous on I, $\forall x, y \in R^2$, $t \in I$ such that there exist continuous functions $k_i: I \times I \to I$ and two positive constants b_i such that:

$$|f_i(t, s, x, y)| \le k_i(t, s) + b_i(\max\{|x|, |y|\})$$

for $t, s \in I$ and $x, y \in R$.

- (iii) $g_i: I \times I \to R, i = 1, 2$ and for all $t_1, t_2 \in I$ with $t_1 < t_2$, the functions $s \to g_i(t_2, s) g_i(t_1, s)$ is nondecreasing on I.
- (iv) $g_i(0,s) = 0$ for any $s \in I$, i = 1, 2.
- (v) The functions $t \to g_i(t,t)$ and $t \to g_i(t,0)$ are continuous on I, i = 1, 2. Put $\mu = \sup |g_i(t,1)| + \sup |g_i(t,0)|$ on I.

Now, let X be the Banach space of all ordered pairs $(x, y), x, y \in C(I)$ with the norm

$$||(x,y)||_X = \max\{||x||_{C(I)}, ||y||_{C(I)}\}\$$

where

$$||x|| = \sup_{t \in I} |x(t)|, ||y|| = \sup_{t \in I} |y(t)|.$$

It is clear that $(X, ||(x, y)||_X)$ is a Banach space.

Theorem 1. Let the assumptions (i)-(v) be satisfied, then the coupled system (2) has at least one classical solution in X.

Proof: Define the operator T by

$$T(x,y)(t) = (T_1x(t), T_2y(t))$$

where

$$T_1 x(t) = p_1(t) + \lambda_1 \int_0^1 f_1(t, s, u(s)) \, d_s g_1(t, s)$$
$$T_2 y(t) = p_2(t) + \lambda_2 \int_0^1 f_2(t, s, u(s)) \, d_s g_2(t, s)$$

and u = (x, y).

For every $u \in X$, $t \in I$, $f_i(t, ., u(.))$ (i = 1, 2) is continuous on I. Observe that

EJMAA-2018/6(2)

Assumptions (iii) and (iv) imply that the function $s \to g(t, s)$ is nondecreasing on the interval I, for any fixed $t \in I$. Indeed, putting $t_2 = t$, $t_1 = 0$ in (iii) and keeping in mind (iv), we obtain the desired conclusion. From this observation, it follows immediately that, for every $t \in I$, the function $s \to g(t, s)$ is of bounded variation on I. It follows, $f_i(t, s, x(s), y(s))$ are Riemann-Stieltjes integrable on I with respect to $s \to g_i(t, s)$. Thus T_i make sense.

We will prove a few results concerning the continuity and compactness of these operators in the space of continuous functions.

We denoted $K := \max\{k_i(t,s) : t, s \in I, i = 1, 2\}$, and we define the set U by

$$U := \{ u = (x, y) \mid (x, y) \in R^2 : \|(x, y)\|_X \le r, \ r = \frac{\|p_i\| + \lambda K\mu}{1 - \lambda b_i \mu} \}$$

Also, let us denote

$$\begin{split} \theta(\epsilon) &= \sup\{\mid f_1(t_2,s,u) - f_1(t_1,s,u) \mid \quad, \quad \mid f_2(t_2,s,u) - f_2(t_1,s,u) \mid : t_1,t_2 \in I \\ &, \quad \mid t_2 - t_1 \mid \leq \epsilon, \ u \in R^2\}. \end{split}$$

The remainder of the proof will be given in four steps.

Step 1: The operator T transforms from X into X. For $u = (x, y) \in U$, for all $\epsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$ and for each $t_1, t_2 \in I$, $t_1 < t_2$ such that $|t_2 - t_1| < \delta$, then

$$\begin{split} T_1x(t_2) &- T_1x(t_1) \mid \leq \mid p_1(t_2) - p_1(t_1) \mid \\ &+ \mid \lambda_1 \int_0^1 f_1(t_2, s, x(s), y(s)) \ d_sg_1(t_2, s) \\ &- \lambda_1 \int_0^1 f_1(t_1, s, x(s), y(s)) \ d_sg_1(t_1, s) \mid \leq \mid p_1(t_2) - p_1(t_1) \mid \\ &+ \mid \lambda_1 \int_0^1 f_1(t_2, s, x(s), y(s)) \ d_sg_1(t_2, s) - \lambda_1 \int_0^1 f_1(t_1, s, x(s), y(s)) \ d_sg_1(t_2, s) \\ &+ \mid \lambda_1 \int_0^1 f_1(t_1, s, x(s), y(s)) \ d_sg_1(t_2, s) - \lambda_1 \int_0^1 f_1(t_1, s, x(s), y(s)) \ d_sg_1(t_1, s) \\ &\leq \mid p_1(t_2) - p_1(t_1) \mid \\ &+ \mid \lambda_1 \int_0^1 [f_1(t_2, s, x(s), y(s)) - f_1(t_1, s, x(s), y(s))] \ d_sg_1(t_2, s) \mid \\ &+ \mid \lambda_1 \int_0^1 f_1(t_1, s, x(s), y(s)) \ d_s(g_1(t_2, s) - g_1(t_1, s)) \mid \\ &\leq \mid p_1(t_2) - p_1(t_1) \mid \\ &+ \mid \lambda_1 \mid \int_0^1 \mid f_1(t_2, s, x(s), y(s)) - f_1(t_1, s, x(s), y(s)) \mid \ d_s(\bigvee_{z=0}^s g_1(t_2, z)) \\ &+ \mid \lambda_1 \mid \int_0^1 \mid f_1(t_1, s, x(s), y(s)) \mid \ d_s(\bigvee_{z=0}^s [g_1(t_2, z) - g_1(t_1, z)]) \end{split}$$

$$\leq |p_{1}(t_{2}) - p_{1}(t_{1})| + \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \theta(\epsilon) d_{s}(\bigvee_{z=0}^{s} g_{1}(t_{2}, z)) \\ + \lambda \int_{0}^{1} (k_{1}(t_{1}, s) + b_{1}(\max\{|x(s)|, |y(s)|\})) d_{s}(\bigvee_{z=0}^{s} [g_{1}(t_{2}, z) - g_{1}(t_{1}, z)]) \\ \leq |p_{1}(t_{2}) - p_{1}(t_{1})| + \lambda \theta(\epsilon) \int_{0}^{1} d_{s}(g_{1}(t_{2}, s)) \\ + \lambda(K + rb_{1}) \int_{0}^{1} d_{s}g_{1}(t_{2}, s) - g_{1}(t_{1}, s) \\ \leq |p_{1}(t_{2}) - p_{1}(t_{1})| + \lambda \theta(\epsilon) [g(t_{2}, 1) - g(t_{2}, 0)] \\ + \lambda(K + rb_{1}) \{ [g_{1}(t_{2}, 1) - g_{1}(t_{1}, 1)] - [g_{1}(t_{2}, 0) - g_{1}(t_{1}, 0)] \} \\ \leq |p_{1}(t_{2}) - p_{1}(t_{1})| + \lambda \theta(\epsilon) [g(t_{2}, 1) - g(t_{2}, 0)] \\ + \lambda(K + rb_{1}) \{ [g_{1}(t_{2}, 1) - g_{1}(t_{1}, 1)] - [g_{1}(t_{2}, 0) - g_{1}(t_{1}, 0)] \} \\ \leq |p_{1}(t_{2}) - p_{1}(t_{1})| + \lambda \theta(\epsilon) [g_{1}(t_{2}, 1) - g_{1}(t_{2}, 0)] \\ + \lambda(K + rb_{1}) \{ [g_{1}(t_{2}, 1) - g_{1}(t_{1}, 1)] - [g_{1}(t_{2}, 0) - g_{1}(t_{1}, 0)] \} \\ \leq |p_{1}(t_{2}) - p_{1}(t_{1})| + \lambda \theta(\epsilon) [g_{1}(t_{2}, 1) - g_{1}(t_{2}, 0)] \\ + \lambda(K + rb_{1}) [[g_{1}(t_{2}, 1) - g_{1}(t_{1}, 1)] - [g_{1}(t_{2}, 0) - g_{1}(t_{1}, 0)]]$$

Hence

$$|T_1x(t_2) - T_1x(t_1)| \leq |p_1(t_2) - p_1(t_1)| + \lambda\theta(\epsilon)[g_1(t_2, 1) - g_1(t_2, 0)] + \lambda(K + rb_1)[|g_1(t_2, 1) - g_1(t_1, 1)| + |g_1(t_2, 0) - g_1(t_1, 0)|]$$

Hence, from the continuity of the functions g_1 assumption (v), we deduce that T_1 maps C(I) into C(I).

As done above we can obtain

$$| T_2 y(t_2) - T_2 y(t_1) | \leq | p_2(t_2) - p_2(t_1) | + \lambda \theta(\epsilon) [g_2(t_2, 1) - g_2(t_2, 0)] + \lambda (K + rb_2) [| g_2(t_2, 1) - g_2(t_1, 1) | + | g_2(t_2, 0) - g_2(t_1, 0) |]$$

Also, by our assumption (v), we see that T_2 maps C(I) into C(I).

Now, from the definition of the operator T we get

$$\begin{aligned} Tu(t_2) - Tu(t_1) &= T(x, y)(t_2) - T(x, y)(t_1) \\ &= (T_1x(t_2), T_2y(t_2)) - (T_1x(t_1), T_2y(t_1)) \\ &= (T_1x(t_2) - T_1x(t_1), T_2y(t_2) - T_2y(t_1)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, T maps X into X.

Note that the set of values of Tu(t) for all $u \in X$ is an equi-continuous subset of X.

Step 2: The operator T map U into U.

for $(x, y) \in U$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{1}x(t)| &\leq |p_{1}(t)| + |\lambda_{1} \int_{0}^{1} f_{1}(t, s, x(s), y(s)) d_{s}g_{1}(t, s)| \\ &\leq |p_{1}(t)| + |\lambda_{1}| \int_{0}^{1} |f_{1}(t, s, x(s), y(s))| d_{s}(\bigvee_{z=0}^{s} g_{1}(t, z)) \\ &\leq ||p_{1}|| + \lambda \int_{0}^{1} (k_{1}(t, s) + b_{1}(\max\{|x(s)|, |y(s)|\})) d_{s}(\bigvee_{z=0}^{s} g_{1}(t, z)) \\ &\leq ||p_{1}|| + \lambda \int_{0}^{1} (k_{1}(t, s) + rb_{1}) d_{s}g_{1}(t, s)) \\ &\leq ||p_{1}|| + \lambda (K + rb_{1}) \int_{0}^{1} d_{s}g_{1}(t, s) \\ &\leq ||p_{1}|| + \lambda (K + rb_{1}) (g_{1}(t, 1) - g_{1}(t, 0)) \\ &\leq ||p_{1}|| + \lambda (K + rb_{1}) [\sup_{t} |g_{1}(t, 1)| + \sup_{t} |g_{1}(t, 0)|] \\ &\leq ||p_{1}|| + \lambda (K + rb_{1}) \mu \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$||T_1x|| \leq ||p_1|| + \lambda(K + rb_1)\mu.$$

By a similar way can deduce that

$$||T_2y|| \leq ||p_2|| + \lambda(K + rb_2)\mu.$$

Therefore,

$$||Tu|| = ||T(x,y)|| = ||T_1x, T_2y|| = \max\{||T_1x||, ||T_2y||\} \le r$$

Thus for every $u = (x, y) \in U$, we have $Tu \in U$ and hence $TU \subset U$, (i.e. $T : U \to U$). This means that the functions of TU are uniformly bounded on I.

Step 3: The operator T is compact.

It is clear that the set U is nonempty, bounded, closed and convex, then according to Tychonoff's theorem in topological products and Arzela-Ascolli theorem the compactness criteria T is compact.

Step 4: The operator T is continuous.

Firstly, we prove that T_1 is continuous. Let $\epsilon^* > 0$, the continuity of f_i yields $\exists \ \delta = \delta(\epsilon^*)$ such that $|f_i(t, s, x, y) - f_i(t, s, u, y)| < \epsilon^*$ whenever $||x - u|| \le \delta$, thus if $||x - u|| \le \delta$, we arrive at:

$$|T_1x(t) - T_1u(t)| \leq |\lambda_1 \int_0^1 f_1(t, s, x(s), y(s)) d_s g_1(t, s) - \lambda_1 \int_0^1 f_1(t, s, u(s), y(s)) d_s g_1(t, s)|$$

M. M. A. AL-FADEL

$$\begin{split} &\leq \quad |\lambda_1| \int_0^1 \mid f_1(t,s,x(s),y(s)) - f_1(t,s,u(s),y(s)) \mid \ d_s(\bigvee_{z=0}^s g_1(t,z)) \\ &\leq \quad \epsilon^* \lambda \int_0^1 \ d_s (\bigvee_{z=0}^s g_1(t,z)) \\ &\leq \quad \epsilon^* \lambda \int_0^1 \ d_s g_1(t,s) \\ &\leq \quad \epsilon^* \lambda \left[g_1(t,1) - g_1(t,0) \right] \\ &\leq \quad \epsilon^* \lambda \left[| \ g_1(t,1) \ | + | \ g_1(t,0) \ | \right] \\ &\leq \quad \epsilon^* \lambda \left[\sup_{t \in I} | \ g_1(t,1) \ | + \sup_{t \in I} | \ g_1(t,0) \ | \right] \leq \epsilon \end{split}$$

where $\epsilon := \epsilon^* \lambda \mu$. Therefore,

$$\mid T_1 x(t) - T_1 u(t) \mid \leq \epsilon.$$

This means that the operator T_1 is continuous. By a similar way as done above we can prove that for any $y, v \in C[0,T]$ and $||y-v|| < \delta$, we have

$$\mid T_2 y(t) - T_2 v(t) \mid \leq \epsilon.$$

Hence T_2 is continuous operator.

The operators T_i (i = 1, 2) is continuous operator it imply that T is continuous operator.

Since all conditions of Schauder fixed point theorem are satisfied, then T has at least one fixed point $u = (x, y) \in U$, which completes the proof.

In what follows, we provide some examples illustrating the above obtained results.

Example : Consider the functions $g_i : I \times I \to R$ defined by the formula

$$g_1(t,s) = \begin{cases} t \ln \frac{t+s}{t}, & \text{for } t \in (0,1], s \in I, \\ 0, & \text{for } t = 0, s \in I. \end{cases}$$

$$g_2(t,s) = t(t+s-1), t \in I.$$

It can be easily seen that the functions $g_1(t,s)$ and $g_2(t,s)$ satisfies assumptions (iii)-(v) given in Theorem 1, and $g_1(t,s)$ is function of bounded variation but it is not continuous on I. In this case, the coupled system of Urysohn-Stieltjes integral equations (2) has the form

$$x(t) = p_1(t) + \lambda_1 \int_0^1 \frac{t}{t+s} f_1(t, s, x(s), y(s)) \, ds, \ t \in I$$

$$(3)$$

$$y(t) = p_2(t) + \lambda_2 \int_0^t t f_1(t, s, x(s), y(s)) \, ds, \ t \in I.$$

Also, consider the functions $f_i: I \times I \times R^2 \to R$ defined by the formula

$$f_1(t, s, x, y) = t + s + x + y,$$

$$f_2(t, s, x, y) = t + s + x^2 - y^2.$$

EJMAA-2018/6(2)

Now, it can be easily seen that the functions f_1 and f_2 satisfies assumptions (ii) given in Theorem 1:

$$| f_1(t, s, x, y) | \leq | t + s + x + y |$$

$$\leq | t + s | + | x | + | y |$$

$$\leq 2T + 2 \max\{| x |, | y |\}$$

And

$$| f_{2}(t, s, x, y) | \leq | t + s + x^{2} - y^{2} |$$

$$\leq | t + s | + | x^{2} - y^{2} |$$

$$\leq 2T + | (x - y)(x + y) |$$

$$\leq 2T + 2 \max\{| x |, | y |\}$$

Hence, $k_i(t,s) = 2T$, and $b_i = 2$

Therefore, the functions f_i satisfies the assumption

 $|f_i(t, s, x, y)| \le k_i(t, s) + b_i(\max\{|x|, |y|\}).$

Therefore, the coupled system (3) has at least one solution $x, y \in C[0, 1]$.

Acknowledgment

The author is grateful to the referee for a number of helpful suggestions to improve the paper.

Also grateful to Prof. H. A. H. Salem for his helps and comments.

References

- J. Banaś, Some properties of Urysohn-Stieltjes integral operators, Intern. J. Math. and Math. Sci. 21(1998) 78-88.
- [2] J. Banaś and J. Dronka, Integral operators of Volterra-Stieltjes type, their properties and applications, *Math. Comput. Modelling.* 32(2000) 1321-1331.
- [3] J. Banaś, J.C. Mena, Some properties of nonlinear Volterra-Stieltjes integral operators, Comput Math. Appl. 49(2005) 1565-1573.
- [4] J. Banaś, D. O'Regan, Volterra-Stieltjes integral operators, Math. Comput. Modelling. 41(2005) 335-344.
- [5] J. Banaś, J.R. Rodriguez and K. Sadarangani, On a class of Urysohn-Stieltjes quadratic integral equations and their applications, J. Comput. Appl. Math. I13(2000) 35-50.
- [6] J. Banaś, K. Sadarangani, Solvability of Volterra-Stieltjes operator-integral equations and their applications, *Comput Math. Appl.* 41(12)(2001) 1535-1544.
- [7] J. Banaś, T. Zając, ?A new approach to the theory of functional integral equations of fractional order, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 375, no. 2, pp. 375?387, 2011.
- [8] C.W. Bitzer, Stieltjes-Volterra integral equations, Illinois J. Math. 14(1970) 434-451.
- [9] S. Chen, Q. Huang, L.H. Erbe, Bounded and zero-convergent solutions of a class of Stieltjes integro-differential equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 113(1991) 999-1008.
- [10] R.F. Curtain, A.J. Pritchard,: Functional analysis in modern applied mathematics. Academic press, London (1977).
- [11] A.M.A. El-Sayed, H.H.G. Hashem, Existence results for coupled systems of quadratic integral equations of fractional orders, *Optimization Letters*, 7(2013) 1251-1260.
- [12] A.M.A. El-Sayed, H.H.G. Hashem, Solvability of coupled systems of fractional order integrodifferential equations, J. Indones. Math. Soc. 19(2)(2013) 111-121.
- [13] H.H.G. Hashem, On successive approximation method for coupled systems of Chandrasekhar quadratic integral equations, *Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society*. 23(2015) 108-112.
- [14] J.S. Macnerney, Integral equations and semigroups, Illinois J. Math. 7(1963) 148-173.

- [15] A.B. Mingarelli, Volterra-Stieltjes integral equations and generalized ordinary differential expressions, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 989, Springer (1983).
- [16] I.P. Natanson, Theory of functions of a real variable, Ungar, New York. (1960).

M. M. A. Al-Fadel

FACULTY OF SCIENCE, OMAR AL-MUKHTAR UNIVERSITY, LIBYA *E-mail address:* najemeoe12340gmail.com