# GLOBAL DYNAMICS OF THE SYSTEM OF TWO EXPONENTIAL DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS 

MAI NAM PHONG


#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the boundedness and persistence of the solutions, the global stability of the unique positive equilibrium point and the rate of convergence of solutions of the system of two difference equations which contains exponential terms: $$
x_{n+1}=\frac{a+e^{-\left(b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)}}{d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}}, y_{n+1}=\frac{\alpha+e^{-\left(\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right)}}{\delta+\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}}
$$ where the parameters $a, b, c, d, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ and the initial values $x_{0}, y_{0}$ are positive real numbers. Furthermore, we give some numerical examples to illustrate our theoretical results.


## 1. Introduction

Difference equations arise in the situations in which the discrete values of the independent variable involve. Many practical phenomena are modeled with the help of difference equations [1, 3, 8, In engineering, difference equations arise in control engineering, digital signal processing, electrical networks, etc. In social sciences, difference equations arise to study the national income of a country and then its variation with time, Cobweb phenomenon in economics, etc. Recently, there has been a great interest in studying the qualitative properties of difference equations and systems of difference equations of exponential form [4, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19 .

In [4], the authors examined the boundedness, the asymptotic behavior, the periodic character of the solutions and the stability character of the positive equilibrium of the difference equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=a+b x_{n-1} e^{-x_{n}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b$ are positive constants and the initial values $x_{-1}, x_{0}$ are positive numbers. Furthermore, in (1) the authors used $a$ as the immigration rate and $b$ as the growth rate in the population model. In fact, this was a model suggested by the people from the Harvard School of Public Health; studying the population dynamics of one species $x_{n}$.
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In [11], the authors studied the boundedness, the asymptotic behavior, the periodicity and the stability of the positive solutions of the difference equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n+1}=\frac{\alpha+\beta e^{-y_{n}}}{\gamma+y_{n-1}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are positive constants and the initial values $y_{-1}, y_{0}$ are positive numbers.

In [7, the authors explored the boundedness, the asymptotic behavior and the rate of convergence of the positive solutions of the system of two difference equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\frac{\alpha+\beta e^{-x_{n}}}{\gamma+y_{n}}, y_{n+1}=\frac{\delta+\zeta e^{-y_{n}}}{\eta+x_{n}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \zeta, \eta$ are positive constants and the initial values $x_{0}, y_{0}$ are positive real values.

Motivated by these above papers, in this paper, we will investigate the boundedness, the persistence and the asymptotic behavior of the positive solutions of the following system of exponential form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\frac{a+e^{-\left(b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)}}{d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}}, y_{n+1}=\frac{\alpha+e^{-\left(\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right)}}{\delta+\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, c, d, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are positive constants and the initial values $x_{0}, y_{0}$ are positive real values. Moreover, we establish the rate of convergence of a solution that converges to the equilibrium $E=(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ of (4).

## 2. Global behavior of solutions of system (4)

The following lemma shows that every positive solution $\left\{\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of (4) is bounded and persists.

Lemma 2.1. Every positive solution of system (4) is bounded and persists.
Proof. Let $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ be an arbitrary solution of (4). From (4) we can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n} \leq \frac{a+1}{d}, y_{n} \leq \frac{\alpha+1}{\delta}, n=1,2, \ldots \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, from (4) and (5) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n} \geq \frac{a+e^{-\frac{b(a+1)}{d}-\frac{c(\alpha+1)}{\delta}}}{d+\frac{b(a+1)}{d}+\frac{c(\alpha+1)}{\delta}}, y_{n} \geq \frac{\alpha+e^{-\frac{\beta(a+1)}{d}-\frac{\gamma(\alpha+1)}{\delta}}}{\delta+\frac{\beta(a+1)}{d}+\frac{\gamma(\alpha+1)}{\delta}}, n=2,3, \ldots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from (5) and (6) the proof of lemma is complete.
The next lemma establishes an invariant set for the system (4)
Lemma 2.2. Let $\left\{\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a positive solution of the system 4. Then

$$
\left[\frac{a+e^{-\frac{b(a+1)}{d}-\frac{c(\alpha+1)}{\delta}}}{d+\frac{b(a+1)}{d}+\frac{c(\alpha+1)}{\delta}}, \frac{a+1}{d}\right] \times\left[\frac{\alpha+e^{-\frac{\beta(a+1)}{d}-\frac{\gamma(\alpha+1)}{\delta}}}{\delta+\frac{\beta(a+1)}{d}+\frac{\gamma(\alpha+1)}{\delta}}, \frac{\alpha+1}{\delta}\right]
$$

is an invariant set for the system (4).
Proof. It follows from induction.
The following result will be useful in establishing the global attractivity character of the equilibrium of system (4).

Theorem 2.3. [2] Let $\mathcal{R}=\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \times\left[c_{1}, d_{1}\right]$ and

$$
f: \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right], g: \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow\left[c_{1}, d_{1}\right]
$$

be a continuous functions such that:
(a) $f(x, y)$ is decreasing in both variables and $g(x, y)$ is decreasing in both variables for each $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$;
(b) If $\left(m_{1}, M_{1}, m_{2}, M_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{R}^{2}$ is a solution of

$$
\begin{cases}M_{1}=f\left(m_{1},\right. & \left.m_{2}\right),  \tag{7}\\ m_{1}=f\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right) \\ M_{2}=g\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right), & m_{2}=g\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)\end{cases}
$$

then $m_{1}=M_{1}$ and $m_{2}=M_{2}$. Then the following system of difference equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right), y_{n+1}=g\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a unique equilibrium $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ and every solution $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ of the system (8) with $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{R}$ converges to the unique equilibrium $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$. In addition, the equilibrium $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ is globally asymptotically stable.

Now we state the main theorem of this section.
Theorem 2.4. Consider system (4). Suppose that the following relations hold true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d>b+c, \delta>\beta+\gamma \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then system (4) has a unique positive equilibrium ( $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$ ) and every positive solution of system (4) tends to the unique positive equilibrium $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In addition, the equilibrium $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ is globally asymptotically stable.

Proof. We consider the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u, v)=\frac{a+e^{-(b u+c v)}}{d+b u+c v}, \quad g(u, v)=\frac{\alpha+e^{-(\beta u+\gamma v)}}{\delta+\beta u+\gamma v} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u, v \in I \times J=\left[\frac{a+e^{-\frac{b(a+1)}{d}-\frac{c(\alpha+1)}{\delta}}}{d+\frac{b(a+1)}{d}+\frac{c(\alpha+1)}{\delta}}, \frac{a+1}{d}\right] \times\left[\frac{\alpha+e^{-\frac{\beta(a+1)}{d}-\frac{\gamma(\alpha+1)}{\delta}}}{\delta+\frac{\beta(a+1)}{d}+\frac{\gamma(\alpha+1)}{\delta}}, \frac{\alpha+1}{\delta}\right] . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $f(u, v), g(u, v)$ are decreasing in both variables for each $(u, v) \in I \times J$. In addition, from (10) and 11) we have $f(u, v) \in I, g(u, v) \in J$ as $(u, v) \in I \times J$ and so $f: I \times J \longrightarrow I, g: I \times J \longrightarrow J$.

Now let $m_{1}, M_{1}, m_{2}, M_{2}$ be positive real numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}=\frac{a+e^{-\left(b m_{1}+c m_{2}\right)}}{d+b m_{1}+c m_{2}}, m_{1}=\frac{a+e^{-\left(b M_{1}+c M_{2}\right)}}{d+b M_{1}+c M_{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{2}=\frac{\alpha+e^{-\left(\beta m_{1}+\gamma m_{2}\right)}}{\delta+\beta m_{1}+\gamma m_{2}}, m_{2}=\frac{\alpha+e^{-\left(\beta M_{1}+\gamma M_{2}\right)}}{\delta+\beta M_{1}+\gamma M_{2}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover arguing as in the proof of Theorem,2.3. it suffices to assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1} \leq M_{1}, m_{2} \leq M_{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (12) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{1} d+b m_{1} M_{1}+c m_{2} M_{1}=a+e^{-\left(b m_{1}+c m_{2}\right)} \\
& m_{1} d+b m_{1} M_{1}+c m_{1} M_{2}=a+e^{-\left(b M_{1}+c M_{2}\right)} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(M_{1}-m_{1}\right)+c M_{1}\left(m_{2}-M_{2}\right)+c M_{2}\left(M_{1}-m_{1}\right)=e^{-\left(b m_{1}+c m_{2}\right)}-e^{-\left(b M_{1}+c M_{2}\right)} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (16) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& d\left(M_{1}-m_{1}\right)+c M_{1}\left(m_{2}-M_{2}\right)+c M_{2}\left(M_{1}-m_{1}\right) \\
& =e^{-\left(b m_{1}+c m_{2}+b M_{1}+c M_{2}\right)+\theta_{1}}\left[b\left(M_{1}-m_{1}\right)+c\left(M_{2}-m_{2}\right)\right] \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $b m_{1}+c m_{2} \leq \theta_{1} \leq b M_{1}+c M_{2}$.
From (17) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(M_{1}-m_{1}\right)\left[d+c M_{2}-b e^{-\left(b m_{1}+c m_{2}+b M_{1}+c M_{2}\right)+\theta_{1}}\right] \\
& =\left(M_{2}-m_{2}\right)\left[c M_{1}+c e^{-\left(b m_{1}+c m_{2}+b M_{1}+c M_{2}\right)+\theta_{1}}\right] \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

from which we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M_{2}-m_{2}\right)=\frac{d+c M_{2}-b e^{-\left(b m_{1}+c m_{2}+b M_{1}+c M_{2}\right)+\theta_{1}}}{c M_{1}+c e^{-\left(b m_{1}+c m_{2}+b M_{1}+c M_{2}\right)+\theta_{1}}}\left(M_{1}-m_{1}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (13) we imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{2} \delta+\beta m_{1} M_{2}+\gamma m_{2} M_{2}=\alpha+e^{-\left(\beta m_{1}+\gamma m_{2}\right)} \\
& m_{2} \delta+\beta m_{2} M_{1}+\gamma m_{2} M_{2}=\alpha+e^{-\left(\beta M_{1}+\gamma M_{2}\right)} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

From (20) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(M_{2}-m_{2}\right)+\beta M_{2}\left(m_{1}-M_{1}\right)+\beta M_{1}\left(M_{2}-m_{2}\right)=e^{-\left(\beta m_{1}+\gamma m_{2}\right)}-e^{-\left(\beta M_{1}+\gamma M_{2}\right)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (21) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta\left(M_{2}-m_{2}\right)+\beta M_{2}\left(m_{1}-M_{1}\right)+\beta M_{1}\left(M_{2}-m_{2}\right) \\
& =e^{-\left(\beta m_{1}+\gamma m_{2}+\beta M_{1}+\gamma M_{2}\right)+\theta_{2}}\left[\beta\left(M_{1}-m_{1}\right)+\gamma\left(M_{2}-m_{2}\right)\right] \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta m_{1}+\gamma m_{2} \leq \theta_{2} \leq \beta M_{1}+\gamma M_{2}$.
From (22) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(M_{1}-m_{1}\right)\left[\beta M_{2}+\beta e^{-\left(\beta m_{1}+\gamma m_{2}+\beta M_{1}+\gamma M_{2}\right)+\theta_{2}}\right] \\
& \quad-\left(M_{2}-m_{2}\right)\left[\delta+\beta M_{1}-\gamma e^{-\left(\beta m_{1}+\gamma m_{2}+\beta M_{1}+\gamma M_{2}\right)+\theta_{2}}\right]=0 . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

From two relations 19 and 23 we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(M_{1}-m_{1}\right) \times \\
& {\left[\left(d+c M_{2}-b e^{-\left(b m_{1}+c m_{2}+b M_{1}+c M_{2}\right)+\theta_{1}}\right)\left(\delta+\beta M_{1}-\gamma e^{-\left(\beta m_{1}+\gamma m_{2}+\beta M_{1}+\gamma M_{2}\right)+\theta_{2}}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.-c \beta\left(M_{1}+e^{-\left(b m_{1}+c m_{2}+b M_{1}+c M_{2}\right)+\theta_{1}}\right)\left(M_{2}+\beta e^{-\left(\beta m_{1}+\gamma m_{2}+\beta M_{1}+\gamma M_{2}\right)+\theta_{2}}\right)\right]=0 . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

By using inequality (9), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(d+c M_{2}-b e^{-\left(b m_{1}+c m_{2}+b M_{1}+c M_{2}\right)+\theta_{1}}\right)\left(\delta+\beta M_{1}-\gamma e^{-\left(\beta m_{1}+\gamma m_{2}+\beta M_{1}+\gamma M_{2}\right)+\theta_{2}}\right) \\
& >\left(d+c M_{2}-b\right)\left(\delta+\beta M_{1}-\gamma\right)>c \beta\left(1+M_{1}\right)\left(1+M_{2}\right) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& c \beta\left(M_{1}+e^{-\left(b m_{1}+c m_{2}+b M_{1}+c M_{2}\right)+\theta_{1}}\right)\left(M_{2}+\beta e^{-\left(\beta m_{1}+\gamma m_{2}+\beta M_{1}+\gamma M_{2}\right)+\theta_{2}}\right) \\
& \quad<c \beta\left(M_{1}+1\right)\left(M_{2}+1\right) . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Then from (24), 25) and (26) imply $m_{1}=M_{1}$, so from 19 we have $m_{2}=M_{2}$. Hence from Theorem 2.3 system (4) has a unique positive equilibrium ( $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$ ) and every positive solution of system (4) tends to the unique positive equilibrium $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In addition, the equilibrium $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ is globally asymptotically stable. This completes the proof of the theorem.

## 3. Rate of convergence

In this section we give the rate of convergence of a solution that converges to the equilibrium $E=(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ of the systems (4) for all values of parameters. The rate of convergence of solutions that converge to an equilibrium has been obtained for some two-dimensional systems in [9] and [10.

The following results give the rate of convergence of solutions of a system of difference equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}_{n+1}=[A+B(n)] \mathbf{x}_{n} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{n}$ is a $k$-dimensional vector, $A \in \mathbf{C}^{k \times k}$ is a constant matrix, and $B: \mathbb{Z}^{+} \longrightarrow$ $\mathbf{C}^{k \times k}$ is a matrix function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|B(n)\| \rightarrow 0 \text { when } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|\|$ denotes any matrix norm which is associated with the vector norm; $\| \|$ also denotes the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathbf{x}\|=\|(x, y)\|=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1. (18]) Assume that condition (28) holds. If $\mathbf{x}_{n}$ is a solution of system (27), then either $\mathbf{x}_{n}=0$ for all large $n$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\left\|\mathbf{x}_{n}\right\|} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists and is equal to the modulus of one of the eigenvalues of matrix $A$.
Theorem 3.2. (18]) Assume that condition (28) holds. If $\mathbf{x}_{n}$ is a solution of system (27), then either $\mathbf{x}_{n}=0$ for all large $n$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|\mathbf{x}_{n+1}\right\|}{\left\|\mathbf{x}_{n}\right\|} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists and is equal to the modulus of one of the eigenvalues of matrix $A$.
The equilibrium point of the system (4) satisfies the following system of equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{x}=\frac{a+e^{-(b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}}{d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y}}  \tag{32}\\
\bar{y}=\frac{\alpha+e^{-(\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})}}{\delta+\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The map $T$ associated to the system (4) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x, y)=\binom{f(x, y)}{g(x, y)}=\binom{\frac{a+e^{-(b x+c y)}}{d+b x+c y}}{\frac{\alpha+e^{-(\beta x+\gamma y)}}{\delta+\beta x+\gamma y}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Jacobian matrix of $T$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{T}(x, y)= \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{-b\left[a+(d+b x+c y+1) e^{-(b x+c y)}\right]}{(d+b x+c y)^{2}} & \frac{-c\left[a+(d+b x+c y+1) e^{-(b x+c y)}\right]}{(d+b x+c y)^{2}} \\
\frac{-\beta\left[\alpha+(\alpha+\beta x+\gamma y+1) e^{-(\beta x+\gamma y)}\right]}{(\alpha+\beta x+\gamma y)^{2}} & \frac{-\gamma\left[\alpha+(\alpha+\beta x+\gamma y+1) e^{-(\beta x+\gamma y)}\right]}{(\alpha+\beta x+\gamma y)^{2}}
\end{array}\right) \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

By using the system (32), value of the Jacobian matrix of $T$ at the equilibrium point $E=(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{T}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})= \\
& \left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{-b\left[a+(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y}+1) e^{-(b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}\right]}{(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})^{2}} & \frac{-c\left[a+(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y}+1) e^{-(b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}\right]}{(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})^{2}} \\
\frac{-\beta\left[\alpha+(\alpha+\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y}+1) e^{-(\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})}\right]}{(\alpha+\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})^{2}} & \frac{-\gamma\left[\alpha+(\alpha+\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y}+1) e^{-(\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})}\right]}{(\alpha+\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})^{2}}
\end{array}\right) \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Our goal in this section is to determine the rate of convergence of every solution of the system (4) in the regions where the parameters $a, b, c, d, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in(0, \infty),(d>$ $b+c, \delta>\beta+\gamma)$ and initial conditions $x_{0}$ and $y_{0}$ are arbitrary, nonnegative numbers.

Theorem 3.3. The error vector $\mathbf{e}_{n}=\binom{e_{n}^{1}}{e_{n}^{2}}=\binom{x_{n}-\bar{x}}{y_{n}-\bar{y}}$ of every solution $\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \neq$ $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ of (4) satisfies both of the following asymptotic relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\left\|\mathbf{e}_{n}\right\|}=\left|\lambda_{i}\left(J_{T}(E)\right)\right| \text { for some } i=1,2 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|\mathbf{e}_{n+1}\right\|}{\left\|\mathbf{e}_{n}\right\|}=\left|\lambda_{i}\left(J_{T}(E)\right)\right| \text { for some } i=1,2 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|\lambda_{i}\left(J_{T}(E)\right)\right|$ is equal to the modulus of one of the eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix evaluated at the equilibrium $J_{T}(E)$.

Proof. First, we will find a system satisfied by the error terms. The error terms are given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1}-\bar{x} & =\frac{a+e^{-\left(b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)}}{d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}}-\frac{a+e^{-(b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}}{d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y}} \\
& =\frac{a(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})-a\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)}{\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})} \\
& +\frac{(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y}) e^{-\left(b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)}-\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right) e^{-(b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}}{\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})} \\
& =\frac{b\left(\bar{x}-x_{n}\right)+c\left(\bar{y}-y_{n}\right)+\left[b\left(\bar{x}-x_{n}\right)+c\left(\bar{y}-y_{n}\right)\right] e^{-\left(b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)}}{\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})} \\
& +\frac{\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)\left[e^{-\left(b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)}-e^{-(b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}\right]}{\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})} \\
& =\frac{-b\left(x_{n}-\bar{x}\right)-c\left(y_{n}-\bar{y}\right)+\left[b\left(\bar{x}-x_{n}\right)+c\left(\bar{y}-y_{n}\right)\right] e^{-\left(b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)}}{\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right) e^{-(b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}\left[e^{-\left(b x_{n}-b \bar{x}+c y_{n}-c \bar{y}\right)}-1\right]}{\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})} \\
& =\frac{-b\left(x_{n}-\bar{x}\right)-c\left(y_{n}-\bar{y}\right)+\left[b\left(\bar{x}-x_{n}\right)+c\left(\bar{y}-y_{n}\right)\right] e^{-\left(b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)}}{\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})} \\
& +\frac{\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right) e^{-(b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}\left[-b\left(x_{n}-\bar{x}\right)-c\left(y_{n}-\bar{y}\right)\right]}{\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})} \\
& +\frac{\mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\left(x_{n}-\bar{x}\right)\right)+\mathcal{O}_{2}\left(\left(y_{n}-\bar{y}\right)\right)}{\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}  \tag{38}\\
& =\frac{-b\left[a+e^{-\left(b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)}+\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right) e^{-(b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}\right]}{\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}\left(x_{n}-\bar{x}\right) \\
& +\frac{-c\left[a+e^{-\left(b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)}+\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right) e^{-(b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}\right]}{\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}\left(y_{n}-\bar{y}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})} \mathcal{O}_{1}\left(\left(x_{n}-\bar{x}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})} \mathcal{O}_{2}\left(\left(y_{n}-\bar{y}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

By calculating similarly, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n+1}-\bar{y}=\frac{-\gamma\left[\alpha+e^{-\left(\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right)}+\left(\delta+\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right) e^{-(\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})}\right]}{\left(\delta+\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right)(\delta+\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})}\left(x_{n}-\bar{x}\right) \\
& +\frac{-\beta\left[\alpha+e^{-\left(\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right)}+\left(\delta+\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right) e^{-(\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})}\right]}{\left(\delta+\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right)(\delta+\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})}\left(y_{n}-\bar{y}\right)  \tag{39}\\
& +\frac{1}{\left(\delta+\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right)(\delta+\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})} \mathcal{O}_{3}\left(\left(x_{n}-\bar{x}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\left(\delta+\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right)(\delta+\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})} \mathcal{O}_{4}\left(\left(y_{n}-\bar{y}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From (38) and (39) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{n+1}-\bar{x} & \approx \frac{-b\left[a+e^{-\left(b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)}+\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right) e^{-(b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}\right]}{\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}\left(x_{n}-\bar{x}\right) \\
& +\frac{-c\left[a+e^{-\left(b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)}+\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right) e^{-(b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}\right]}{\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}\left(y_{n}-\bar{y}\right) \\
y_{n+1}-\bar{y} & \approx \frac{-\gamma\left[\alpha+e^{-\left(\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right)}+\left(\delta+\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right) e^{-(\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})}\right]}{\left(\delta+\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right)(\delta+\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})}\left(x_{n}-\bar{x}\right)  \tag{40}\\
& +\frac{-\beta\left[\alpha+e^{-\left(\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right)}+\left(\delta+\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right) e^{-(\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})}\right]}{\left(\delta+\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right)(\delta+\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})}\left(y_{n}-\bar{y}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Set

$$
e_{n}^{1}=x_{n}-\bar{x} \text { and } e_{n}^{2}=y_{n}-\bar{y}
$$

Then system (40) can be represented as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{n+1}^{1} \approx a_{n} e_{n}^{1}+b_{n} e_{n}^{2} \\
& e_{n+1}^{2} \approx c_{n} e_{n}^{1}+d_{n} e_{n}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{n}=\frac{-b\left[a+e^{-\left(b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)}+\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right) e^{-(b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}\right]}{\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}, \\
& b_{n}=\frac{-c\left[a+e^{-\left(b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)}+\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right) e^{-(b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}\right]}{\left(d+b x_{n}+c y_{n}\right)(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}, \\
& c_{n}=\frac{-\gamma\left[\alpha+e^{-\left(\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right)}+\left(\delta+\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right) e^{-(\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})}\right]}{\left(\delta+\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right)(\delta+\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})}, \\
& d_{n}=\frac{-\beta\left[\alpha+e^{-\left(\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right)}+\left(\delta+\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right) e^{-(\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})}\right]}{\left(\delta+\beta x_{n}+\gamma y_{n}\right)(\delta+\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the limits of $a_{n}, b_{n}, c_{n}$ and $d_{n}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=\frac{-b\left[a+(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y}+1) e^{-(b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}\right]}{(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})^{2}}:=A_{1} \\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}=\frac{-c\left[a+(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y}+1) e^{-(b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})}\right]}{(d+b \bar{x}+c \bar{y})^{2}}:=B_{1} \\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n}=\frac{-\gamma\left[\alpha+(\delta+\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y}+1) e^{-(\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})}\right]}{(\delta+\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})^{2}}:=C_{1} \\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d_{n}=\frac{-\beta\left[\alpha+(\delta+\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y}+1) e^{-(\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})}\right]}{(\delta+\beta \bar{x}+\gamma \bar{y})^{2}}:=D_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{n}=A_{1}+\alpha_{n}, b_{n}=B_{1}+\beta_{n} \\
& c_{n}=C_{1}+\gamma_{n}, d_{n}=D_{1}+\delta_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha_{n} \rightarrow 0, \beta_{n} \rightarrow 0, \gamma_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Now, we have system of the form (27):

$$
\mathbf{e}_{n+1}=(A+B(n)) \mathbf{e}_{n},
$$

where $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}A_{1} & B_{1} \\ C_{1} & D_{1}\end{array}\right), \quad B(n)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha_{n} & \beta_{n} \\ \delta_{n} & \gamma_{n}\end{array}\right)$ and

$$
\|B(n)\| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Thus, the limiting system of error terms can be written as:

$$
\binom{e_{n+1}^{1}}{e_{n+1}^{2}}=A\binom{e_{n}^{1}}{e_{n}^{2}} .
$$

The system is exactly linearized system of (4) evaluated at the equilibrium $E=$ $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$. Then Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 imply the result.

## 4. Examples

In order to verify our theoretical results and to support our theoretical discussion, we consider several interesting numerical examples. These examples represent different types of qualitative behavior of solutions of the systems (4). All plots in this section are drawn with Matlab.

Example 4.1. Let $a=30, b=0.0007, c=0.8, d=0.95,(d>b+c) ; \alpha=35, \beta=$ $0.85, \gamma=0.0006, \delta=0.9,(\delta>\beta+\gamma)$. Then system (4) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\frac{30+e^{-\left(0.0007 x_{n}+0.8 y_{n}\right)}}{0.95+0.0007 x_{n}+0.8 y_{n}}, y_{n+1}=\frac{35+e^{-\left(0.85 x_{n}+0.0006 y_{n}\right)}}{0.9+0.85 x_{n}+0.0006 y_{n}}, \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial conditions $x_{0}=8$ and $y_{0}=7$.


(c) An attractor of the system 41]

Figure 1. Plots for the system 41

In Figure 1, the plot of $x_{n}$ is shown in Figure 1 (a), the plot of $y_{n}$ is shown in Figure 1 (b), and an attractor of the system (41) is shown in Figure 1 (c).

Example 4.2. Let $a=25, b=0.00009, c=0.7, d=0.89,(d>b+c) ; \alpha=15, \beta=$ $0.8, \gamma=0.00003, \delta=0.85,(\delta>\beta+\gamma)$. Then system (4) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\frac{25+e^{-\left(0.00009 x_{n}+0.7 y_{n}\right)}}{0.89+0.00009 x_{n}+0.7 y_{n}}, y_{n+1}=\frac{15+e^{-\left(0.8 x_{n}+0.00003 y_{n}\right)}}{0.95+0.8 x_{n}+0.00003 y_{n}}, \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial conditions $x_{0}=17$ and $y_{0}=4$.
In Figure 2 the plot of $x_{n}$ is shown in Figure 2 (a), the plot of $y_{n}$ is shown in Figure 2 (b), and an attractor of the system 42) is shown in Figure 2 (c).

Example 4.3. Let $a=20, b=0.01, c=0.6, d=0.005,(d<b+c) ; \alpha=25, \beta=$ $0.8, \gamma=0.02, \delta=0.09,(\delta<\beta+\gamma)$. Then system (4) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\frac{20+e^{-\left(0.01 x_{n}+0.6 y_{n}\right)}}{0.005+0.01 x_{n}+0.6 y_{n}}, y_{n+1}=\frac{25+e^{-\left(0.8 x_{n}+0.02 y_{n}\right)}}{0.09+0.8 x_{n}+0.02 y_{n}}, \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial conditions $x_{0}=15$ and $y_{0}=2$.


Figure 2. Plots for the system 42


(c) Phase portrait of the system 43)

Figure 3. Plots for the system (43)

In this case, the unique positive equilibrium point of the system (43) is unstable. Moreover, in Figure 3, the plot of $x_{n}$ is shown in Figure 3 (a), the plot of $y_{n}$ is shown in Figure 3 (b), and a phase portrait of the system (43) is shown in Figure 3 (c).
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