

## FIXED POINTS FOR AN ORDERED F-CONTRACTION MAPPING IN ORDERED PARTIAL METRIC SPACES

SHOLASTICA LUAMBANO AND SANTOSH KUMAR

**ABSTRACT.** The concept of ordered F-contraction in an ordered metric space was introduced by Durmaz et. al. [4] and was proven to be very useful in the existing metric fixed point theory. In this paper, the notion of ordered F-contraction in an ordered metric space will be generalized in an ordered partial metric spaces. In particular, the main results generalize a fixed point theorem due to Durmaz et. al. [4]. Also an illustrative example is provided to validate our results.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The study of fixed points for partially ordered sets on complete metric spaces was introduced by Ran and Reurings [9] who proved the analogue of Banach Contraction Principle in partially ordered sets. Nieto and Rodriguez [7] further extended the work of Ran and Reurings [9].

Following Ran and Reurings [9], Durmaz et. al. [4] introduced the concept of ordered F-contraction in an ordered metric space and proved the following fixed point theorem.

Let  $(X, d, \preceq)$  be an ordered complete metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be an ordered F-contraction. Let  $T$  be a non-decreasing map and there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $x_0 \preceq Tx_0$ . If  $T$  is continuous or  $X$  is regular then  $T$  has a fixed point.

In partial metric spaces, Matthews [6] proved the analogue of Banach Contraction Principle and established a fixed point theorem as a generalization of metric spaces in partial metric spaces. Matthews [6] provided the following definition:

**Definition 1** [6] Let  $X$  be non-empty set. A partial metric space is a pair  $(X, p)$ , where  $p$  is a function  $p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , called the partial metric, such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$  the following axioms hold:

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H10, 54H25.

*Key words and phrases.* Ordered partial metric spaces, Ordered F-contraction mappings, Ordered metric spaces.

Submitted Nov. 27, 2018.

- (P1)  $x = y \Leftrightarrow p(x, y) = p(x, x) = p(y, y)$ ;  
 (P2)  $p(x, x) \leq p(x, y)$ ;  
 (P3)  $p(x, y) = p(y, x)$ ; and  
 (P4)  $p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y) - p(z, z)$ .

Clearly, by (P1)-(P3), if  $p(x, y) = 0$ , then  $x = y$ . But, the converse is in general not true.

The most common example of partial metric spaces is a pair  $([0, \infty), p)$  where  $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$  for all  $x, y \in [0, \infty)$ . More examples of partial metric spaces may be found in [2].

Each partial metric  $p$  on  $X$  generates a  $T_0$  topology  $\tau_p$  on  $X$  whose basis is the collection of all open  $p$ -balls  $\{B_p(x, \epsilon) : x \in X, \epsilon > 0\}$  where  $B_p(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X : p(x, y) < p(x, x) + \epsilon\}$  for all  $x \in X$ , and  $\epsilon$  is a positive real number.

The following definitions and facts will be used to establish our main results:

**Definition 2** [6] Let  $(X, p)$  be a partial metric space. Then:

- (i) a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $(X, p)$  is said to be convergent to  $x \in X$  if and only if  $p(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x, x_n)$ .
- (ii) a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $(X, p)$  is a Cauchy sequence if and only if  $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m)$  exists and is finite.
- (iii) a partial metric space  $(X, p)$  is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  converges with respect to the topology  $\tau_p$  to a point  $x \in X$  such that  $p(x, x) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m)$ .

The following lemma was proved by Bukatin et.al. [2]

**Lemma 1**[2] Let  $(X, p)$  be a partial metric space. Then the mapping  $p^s : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  given by

$$p^s(x, y) = 2p(x, y) - p(x, x) - p(y, y),$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  defines a metric on  $X$ .

Bukatin et. al. [2] also proved the following lemma:

**Lemma 2**[2] Let  $(X, p)$  be a partial metric space. Then:

- (i) a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, p)$  if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence in the metric space  $(X, p^s)$ .
- (ii) a partial metric space  $(X, p)$  is complete if and only if the metric space  $(X, p^s)$  is complete.

Paesano and Vetro [8] provided the following definition:

**Definition 3**[8] If  $(X, p)$  is a partial metric space and  $(X, \preceq)$  is partially ordered set, then  $(X, p, \preceq)$  is called an ordered partial metric. We say that  $x, y \in X$  are comparable if  $x \preceq y$  or  $y \preceq x$  holds. Further a self map  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is called non-decreasing if  $Tx \preceq Ty$  whenever  $x \preceq y$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and an ordered partial metric space  $(X, p, \preceq)$  is regular if the following holds:

For every non decreasing sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  convergent to some  $x \in X$ , we have  $x_n \preceq x$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

Paesano and Vetro [8] provided the following definition:

**Definition 4**[8] Let  $(X, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two non-empty subset of  $X$ . Two relation between  $A$  and  $B$  are denoted and defined as follows;

(r1)  $A \prec_1 B$  if for each  $a \in A$  there exists  $b \in B$  such that  $a \preceq b$ .

(r2)  $A \prec_2 B$  if for each  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , we have  $a \preceq b$ .

In 2012, Wardowski introduced an F-contraction mapping and defined it as follows:

**Definition 5**[10] Let  $(M, d)$  be a metric space, a mapping  $T : M \rightarrow M$  is said to be an F-contraction on  $M$  if there exists  $\tau > 0$  such that, for all  $x, y \in M$ ,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \geq 0 \Rightarrow \tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y)). \quad (1)$$

and  $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

F1:  $F$  is strictly increasing, that is for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $x \leq y \Rightarrow F(x) \leq F(y)$ .

F2: For each sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of positive numbers  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\alpha_n) = -\infty$ .

F3: There exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha^k F(\alpha) = 0$ .

We denote by  $\Delta_F$  the set of all functions satisfying the conditions (F1) – (F3)

**Remark 1:** From (F1) and contractive condition (1), we observe that every F-contraction is necessarily continuous.

Durmaz et. al. [4] introduced the concept of Ordered F-contraction in an ordered complete metric space:

**Definition 6**[4] Let  $(X, \preceq, d)$  be an ordered metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping. Let  $Y = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \preceq y, d(Tx, Ty) > 0\}$  we say that  $T$  is an ordered F-contraction if  $F \in \Delta_F$  and there exists  $\tau > 0$  such that;

$$\text{for all } (x, y) \in Y \Rightarrow \tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y)). \quad (2)$$

Durmaz et. al. [4] proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 1**[4] Let  $(X, d, \preceq)$  be an ordered complete metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be an ordered F-contraction. Let  $T$  be a non-decreasing map and there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $x_0 \preceq Tx_0$ . If  $T$  is continuous or  $X$  is regular then  $T$  has a fixed point.

The purpose of this work is to extend Theorem 1 to an ordered partial metric space in order to obtain a fixed point theorem for an ordered F-contraction in partial metric spaces.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

First we will provide the extension of Definition 6 in an ordered partial metric space which is as follows:

**Definition 7** Let  $(X, \preceq, p)$  be an ordered partial metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping. Also let  $Y = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \preceq y, p(Tx, Ty) > 0\}$ , we say that  $T$  is an ordered F-contraction if  $F \in \Delta_F$  and there exists  $\tau > 0$  such that,

$$\text{for all } (x, y) \in Y \Rightarrow \tau + F(p(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(p(x, y)). \quad (3)$$

Now, we will extend Theorem 1 in partial metric space:

**Theorem 2** Let  $(X, p, \preceq)$  be an ordered complete partial metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be an ordered F-contraction. If the following holds:

- (i)  $T$  is a non-decreasing map,
  - (ii) there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $x_0 \preceq Tx_0$  and
  - (iii)  $X$  is regular,
- then  $T$  has a fixed point.

**Proof:** By assumption (ii) let  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $x_0 \preceq Tx_0$ . We can construct a monotone increasing sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$  for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  or  $x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  for which  $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}$  then  $x_{n_0}$  is a fixed point of  $T$  and so this ends the proof. Now suppose that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_{n+1} \neq x_n$ . Since  $x_0 \preceq Tx_0$  and  $T$  is non-decreasing then,

$$x_0 \preceq x_1 \preceq x_2 \preceq x_3 \preceq \dots \preceq x_n \preceq \dots$$

Also since  $x_n \preceq x_{n+1}$  and  $p(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1}) > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , thus  $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in Y$  of Definition 7.

From the contractive condition (3) of Definition 7, we can now write,

$$F(p(x_{n+1}, x_n)) = F(p(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1})) \leq F(p(x_n, x_{n-1})) - \tau. \quad (4)$$

We denote  $c_n = p(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then, from (4) we now have,

$$F(c_n) \leq F(c_{n-1}) - \tau \leq F(c_{n-2}) - 2\tau \leq \dots \leq F(c_0) - n\tau. \quad (5)$$

From (5) we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(c_n) = -\infty$  and by (F2) of Definition 5, we obtain that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (c_n) = 0.$$

By (F3) of Definition 5, there exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n^k F(c_n) = 0$ .

From (5) the following holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$c_n^k (F(c_n) - F(c_0)) \leq -c_n^k n\tau \leq 0. \quad (6)$$

letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (6) we obtain that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n c_n^k = 0. \quad (7)$$

From (7) there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n c_n^k \leq 1$  for all  $n \geq n_1$ . Hence we have,

$$c_n \leq \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{k}}}, \text{ for all } n \geq n_1. \quad (8)$$

We now show that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Consider  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m > n \geq n_1$ . Using (P3) of Definition 1 and (8) we now have,

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_n, x_m) &\leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + p(x_{m-1}, x_m) - \sum_{j=n+1}^{m-1} p(x_j, x_j) \\ &\leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + p(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &= c_n + c_{n+1} + \dots + c_{m-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} c_i \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} c_i \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^k}. \end{aligned}$$

The convergence of the series  $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^k}$  implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) = 0$ .

By Lemma 1 we get that, for any  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p^s(x_n, x_m) \leq 2p(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , this implies that,  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence with respect to  $p^s$  and hence converges by Lemma 1. Thus there exists  $z \in X$  such that,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p^s(x_n, z) = 0$ .

Moreover by Lemma 1 we have,

$$p(z, z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, z) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m). \quad (9)$$

By the continuity of  $T$  we now have,

$$z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = T z.$$

Hence  $z$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

Also from the assumption that  $X$  is regular then,  $x_n \preceq z$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We now consider the following:

(i) If there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_{n_0} = z$  then  $T z = T x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1} \preceq z$ . Also since  $x_{n_0} \preceq x_{n_0+1}$  then  $z \preceq T z$ , hence  $z = T z$ .

(ii) We now suppose that  $x_n \neq z$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p(z, T z) > 0$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z$  then, there exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p(x_{n+1}, T z) > 0$  and  $p(x_n, z) < \frac{1}{2} p(z, T z)$ , for all  $n \geq n_1$  where  $(x_n, z) \in Y$ .

Therefore from (F1) of Definition 1 we obtain that, for all  $n \geq n_1$

$$\tau + F(p(T x_n, T z)) \leq F(p(x_n, z)) \leq \frac{1}{2} F(p(z, T z)). \quad (10)$$

From (10) which we obtain,

$$p(x_{n+1}, T z) \leq \frac{1}{2} p(z, T z). \quad (11)$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (11) we obtain that,  $p(z, T z) \leq \frac{1}{2} p(z, T z)$  which is a contradiction. Thus we conclude  $p(z, T z) = 0$ . Hence  $z = T z$ .

Now we show that  $z$  is a unique fixed point of  $T$ .

We now show that  $T$  has a unique fixed point and this can be proved by showing that for every  $x \in X$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = z$  where  $z$  is a fixed point of  $T$  such that

$$z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x_0.$$

Let  $x \in X$  and  $x_0 \preceq T x_0$  by hypothesis (ii), consider the following cases:

(iii) if  $x \preceq x_0$  or  $x_0 \preceq x$  then  $T^n x \preceq T^n x_0$  or  $T^n x_0 \preceq T^n x$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $T^{n_0} x = T^{n_0} x_0$  for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $T^n x \rightarrow z$ .

We now let  $T^n x_0 \neq T^n x$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $p(T^n x_0, T^n x) > 0$  and so,  $(T^n x_0, T^n x) \in Y$  of Definition 7 for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus from 3 we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} F(p(T^n x_0, T^n x)) &\leq F(p(T^{n-1} x_0, T^{n-1} x)) - \tau \\ &\leq F(p(T^{n-2} x_0, T^{n-2} x)) \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &\leq F(p(x_0, x)) - n\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By  $(F_2)$  of Definition 5 we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(T^n x_0, T^n x) = 0$  and so,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = z.$$

(iv) If  $x \not\preceq x_0$  or  $x_0 \not\preceq x$  then by using the assumption that every pair of elements has a lower bound and upper bound, there exists  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that  $x_2 \preceq x \preceq x_1$  and  $x_2 \preceq x_0 \preceq x_1$  therefore as in case (iii) we now show that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x_0 = z.$$

Hence the fixed point of  $T$  is unique.

**Corollary 1** Let  $(X, \preceq, p)$  be an ordered partial metric space and a map  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is an ordered F-contraction, if every pair of elements  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  has lower and upper bounds then,  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

**Example 2** Let  $X = \{\frac{1}{n^4}; n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$  and  $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Define an order relation  $\preceq$  on  $X$  as  $x \preceq y \Leftrightarrow [x = y \text{ or } x, y \in X \text{ with } x \leq y]$  where  $\leq$  is usual order. It is clear that  $(X, \preceq, p)$  is an ordered complete partial metric space. Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be given by,

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(n+1)^4} & , \quad x = \frac{1}{n^4} \\ x & , \quad x \in \{0\} \end{cases}.$$

It is clear that  $T$  is non decreasing and for  $x_0 = 0$  we have  $x_0 \preceq Tx_0$ . Also taking  $F(\alpha) = \ln(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ , obviously  $F$  satisfies the conditions  $(F1 - F3)$  and  $T$  is an ordered F-contraction with  $\tau = \ln(2)$ . We now consider that,

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \preceq y, p(Tx, Ty) > 0\} \\ &= \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x, y \in X \text{ and } x < y\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, to observe the contractive condition (3) it is enough to show that,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for all } (x, y) \in Y &\Rightarrow \ln(2) + F(p(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(p(x, y)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow x, y \in X \text{ and } x < y \Rightarrow \frac{p(Tx, Ty)}{p(x, y)} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x, y \in X \text{ and } x < y \Rightarrow \frac{\max\{Tx, Ty\}}{\max\{x, y\}} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{12}$$

Thus, we see that (12) is true. Also  $T$  is continuous (and  $X$  is regular). Therefore, all conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied and so  $T$  has a fixed point in  $X$ .

**Remark 2** As we observe in Example 2, if the assumption that every pair of elements has a lower bound and upper bound is not satisfied then, a fixed point of  $T$  may not be unique.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Ahmad, A. Al-Rawashdeh and A. Azam, New fixed point theorems for generalized F-contractions in complete metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 2015:80, 18 pages 2015, doi.org/10.1186/s13663-015-0333-2.
- [2] M. Bukatin, R. Kopperman and S. Matthews, Partial metric spaces, *American Mathematical Monthly*, 116,708–718, 2009.
- [3] S. Chang, J. Kim, L. Wang and J. Tang, Existence of fixed points for generalized F-contractions and generalized F-suzuki contractions in metric spaces, *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 12(6), 4867–4882, 2016.
- [4] G. Durmaz, G. Minak and I. Altun, Fixed points of ordered F-contractions, *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 45(1), 15–21, 2016.
- [5] M. Khamsi and W. Kirk, *An introduction to metric spaces and fixed point theory*, John Wiley and Sons, 53, 41–48, 2011.
- [6] S. Matthews, Partial metric topology in *Papers on General Topology and Applications*, Eighth Summer Conference at Queens College. Eds. S. Andima *et. al.*, *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, Vol. 728, 183–197, 1992.
- [7] J. Nieto and R. Rodrguez-Lpez, Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, *A journal on the Theory of ordered sets and its application*, 22(3), 223–239, 2005.
- [8] D. Paesano and C. Vetro, Multi-valued F-contractions in 0-complete partial metric spaces with application to Volterra type integral equation, *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas Fisicas Naturales*, 108(2), 1005–1020, 2014.
- [9] A. Ran and M. Reurings, A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 132, 1435–1443, 2004.
- [10] D. Wardowski, Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 94, 2012.

SHOLASTICA LUAMBANO

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF NATURAL AND MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF DODOMA, TANZANIA

*E-mail address:* sholawilgis@gmail.com

SANTOSH KUMAR

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF NATURAL AND APPLIED SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF DAR ES SALAAM, TANZANIA

*E-mail address:* drsengar2002@gmail.com