

COMMON FIXED POINTS IN COMPLEX VALUED A_b -METRIC SPACE

K. ANTHONY SINGH AND M.R. SINGH

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove two common fixed point theorems for two self mappings in complex valued A_b -metric space. Our results generalize the common fixed point results in complex valued b -metric space by Aiman A. Mukheimer [16] which are already the generalizations of the results of Azam et al. [1] and S. Bhatt et al. [15].

1. INTRODUCTION

Azam et al. [1] introduced the concept of complex valued metric space and proved some fixed point results for a pair of mappings for a contraction condition satisfying a rational expression. In 2013, K. Rao et al.[14] introduced complex valued b -metric space as a generalization of complex valued metric space. Azam et al. [1] and S. Bhatt et al. [15] established common fixed point results in complex valued metric space and as generalizations of these results, Aiman A. Mukheimer [16] obtained common fixed point results in complex valued b -metric space. Recently K. Anthony Singh and M. R. Singh [17] introduced complex valued A_b -metric space as further generalization of complex valued metric space. Complex valued A_b -metric space can also be looked upon as an extension of A_b -metric space introduced by Manoj Ughade et al. [3].

The aim of this paper is to present two common fixed point results in complex valued A_b -metric space. Our results generalize the results of Aiman A. Mukheimer [16].

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some properties of A -metric space, A_b -metric space, complex valued metric space, complex valued b -metric space and complex valued A_b -metric space.

Definition 2.1.[13] Let X be a nonempty set. A function $A : X^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called an A - metric on X if for any $x_i, a \in X, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$, the following conditions hold:

(A1) $A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \geq 0$,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H10, 54H25.

Key words and phrases. A_b -metric space, complex valued metric space, complex valued b -metric space, complex valued A_b -metric space, common fixed point.

Submitted Jan. 16, 2019.

(A2) $A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) = 0$ if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = \dots = x_{n-1} = x_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(A3)} \quad A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) & \\ & \leq A(x_1, x_1, x_1, \dots, (x_1)_{n-1}, a) \\ & + A(x_2, x_2, x_2, \dots, (x_2)_{n-1}, a) \\ & + A(x_3, x_3, x_3, \dots, (x_3)_{n-1}, a) + \dots \\ & + A(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, \dots, (x_{n-1})_{n-1}, a) \\ & + A(x_n, x_n, x_n, \dots, (x_n)_{n-1}, a). \end{aligned}$$

The pair (X, A) is called an A -metric space.

Definition 2.2.[3] Let X be a nonempty set and $b \geq 1$ be a given number. A function $A : X^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called an A_b -metric on X if for any $x_i, a \in X, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$, the following conditions hold:

(A_b1) $A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \geq 0$,

(A_b2) $A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) = 0$ if and only if $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = \dots = x_{n-1} = x_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(A}_b\text{3)} \quad A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) & \\ & \leq b[A(x_1, x_1, x_1, \dots, (x_1)_{n-1}, a) \\ & + A(x_2, x_2, x_2, \dots, (x_2)_{n-1}, a) \\ & + A(x_3, x_3, x_3, \dots, (x_3)_{n-1}, a) + \dots \\ & + A(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, \dots, (x_{n-1})_{n-1}, a) \\ & + A(x_n, x_n, x_n, \dots, (x_n)_{n-1}, a)]. \end{aligned}$$

The pair (X, A) is called an A_b -metric space.

Remark 2.3. A_b -metric space is more general than A -metric space. Moreover, A -metric space is a special case of A_b -metric space with $b = 1$.

Example 2.4.[3] Let $X = [1, +\infty)$. Define $A_b : X^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$A_b(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i < j} |x_i - x_j|^2 \quad (1)$$

for all $x_i \in X, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$.

Then (X, A_b) is an A_b -metric space with $b = 2 > 1$.

The concept of complex valued metric space was initiated by Azam et al. [1]. Let \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Define a partial order \lesssim on \mathbb{C} as follows:

$z_1 \lesssim z_2$ if and only if $Re(z_1) \leq Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) \leq Im(z_2)$.

It follows that $z_1 \lesssim z_2$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied :

(C₁) $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$,

(C₂) $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$,

(C₃) $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$,

(C₄) $Re(z_1) < Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) < Im(z_2)$.

Particularly, we write $z_1 \lesssim z_2$ if $z_1 \neq z_2$ and one of (C₂), (C₃) and (C₄) is satisfied and we write $z_1 < z_2$ if only (C₄) is satisfied. The following statements hold:

(1) If $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a \leq b$, then $az \lesssim bz$ for all $0 \lesssim z \in \mathbb{C}$.

(2) If $z_1 \lesssim z_2$, then $az_1 \lesssim az_2$ for all $0 \leq a \in \mathbb{R}$.

(3) If $0 \lesssim z_1 \lesssim z_2$, then $|z_1| \leq |z_2|$.

(4) If $0 \lesssim z_1 \lesssim z_2$, then $|z_1| < |z_2|$.

(5) If $z_1 \lesssim z_2$ and $z_2 < z_3$, then $z_1 < z_3$.

Definition 2.5.[1] Let X be a nonempty set. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a complex valued metric on X if for all $x, y, z \in X$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $0 \lesssim d(x, y)$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$,
- (iii) $d(x, y) \lesssim d(x, z) + d(z, y)$.

The pair (X, d) is called a complex valued metric space.

Definition 2.6.[14] Let X be a nonempty set and let $s \geq 1$. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a complex valued b -metric on X if for all $x, y, z \in X$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $0 \lesssim d(x, y)$ and $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$,
- (iii) $d(x, y) \lesssim s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$.

The pair (X, d) is called a complex valued b -metric space.

Definition 2.7.[17] Let X be a nonempty set and $b \geq 1$ be a given real number. Suppose that a mapping $A : X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies for all $x_i, a \in X, i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$:

$$(CA_b1) \ 0 \lesssim A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n),$$

$$(CA_b2) \ A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = \dots = x_n,$$

$$(CA_b3) \ A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\lesssim b[A(x_1, x_1, x_1, \dots, (x_1)_{n-1}, a) \\ &+ A(x_2, x_2, x_2, \dots, (x_2)_{n-1}, a) + \dots \\ &+ A(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, \dots, (x_{n-1})_{n-1}, a) \\ &+ A(x_n, x_n, x_n, \dots, (x_n)_{n-1}, a)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then A is called a complex valued A_b -metric on X and the pair (X, A) is called a complex valued A_b -metric space.

Example 2.8.[17] Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $A : X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be such that

$$A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = (\alpha + i\beta)A_*(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n), \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ are constants and A_* is an A_b -metric on X . Then A is a complex valued A_b -metric on X . As a particular case, we have the following example of complex valued A_b -metric on X . The mapping $A : X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $A(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) = (1 + i) \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i < j} |x_i - x_j|^2$ is a complex valued A_b -metric on $X = \mathbb{R}$ with $b = 2$.

Definition 2.9.[17] A complex valued A_b -metric space (X, A) is said to be symmetric if

$$A(x_1, x_1, x_1, \dots, (x_1)_{n-1}, x_2) = A(x_2, x_2, x_2, \dots, (x_2)_{n-1}, x_1) \quad (3)$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$.

Definition 2.10.[17] Let (X, A) be a complex valued A_b -metric space.

(i) A sequence $\{x_p\}$ in X is said to be complex valued A_b -convergent to x if for every $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 < a$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A(x_p, x_p, \dots, x_p, x) < a$ or $A(x, x, \dots, x, x_p) < a$ for all $p \geq k$ and is denoted by $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} x_p = x$ or $x_p \rightarrow x$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

(ii) A sequence $\{x_p\}$ in X is called complex valued A_b -Cauchy if for every $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 < a$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A(x_p, x_p, \dots, x_p, x_q) < a$ for each $p, q \geq k$.

(iii) If every complex valued A_b -Cauchy sequence is complex valued A_b -convergent in X , then (X, A) is said to be complex valued A_b -complete.

Lemma 2.11.[17] Let (X, A) be a complex valued A_b -metric space and let $\{x_p\}$ be

a sequence in X . Then $\{x_p\}$ is complex valued A_b -convergent to x if and only if $|A(x_p, x_p, \dots, x_p, x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ or $|A(x, x, \dots, x, x_p)| \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.12.[17] Let (X, A) be a complex valued A_b -metric space and let $\{x_p\}$ be a sequence in X . Then $\{x_p\}$ is complex valued A_b -Cauchy sequence if and only if $|A(x_p, x_p, \dots, x_p, x_q)| \rightarrow 0$ as $p, q \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.13.[17] Let (X, A) be a complex valued A_b -metric space. Then

$$A(x, x, \dots, x, y) \lesssim bA(y, y, \dots, y, x) \quad (4)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Theorem 2.14.[16] Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued b -metric space with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and let $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying

$$d(Sx, Ty) \lesssim \lambda d(x, y) + \frac{\mu d(x, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)} \quad (5)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ where λ, μ are nonnegative reals with $s\lambda + \mu < 1$. Then S, T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Theorem 2.15.[16] Let (X, d) be a complete complex valued b -metric space with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and let $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying

$$d(Sx, Ty) \lesssim \frac{a[d(x, Sx)d(x, Ty) + d(y, Ty)d(y, Sx)]}{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Sx)} \quad (6)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ where $sa \in [0, 1)$. Then S, T have a unique common fixed point in X .

3. MAIN RESULTS

We now state and prove our main results. Our next Theorem is a generalization of Theorem 2.14. in complex valued A_b -metric space. But in order to compensate for the condition of symmetry in complex valued b -metric space which is required in the proof of the Theorem, we make our space symmetric.

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, A) be a complete complex valued A_b -metric space which is symmetric and let $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying

$$A(fx, fx, \dots, fx, gy) \lesssim \lambda A(x, x, \dots, x, y) + \frac{\mu A(x, x, \dots, x, fx)A(y, y, \dots, y, gy)}{1 + A(x, x, \dots, x, y)} \quad (7)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where λ, μ are nonnegative reals with $b\lambda + \mu < 1$. Then f and g have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point. And let a sequence $\{x_p\}$ in X be defined as $x_{2p+1} = fx_{2p}$ and $x_{2p+2} = gx_{2p+1}$ for $p = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then we show that the sequence $\{x_p\}$ is complex valued A_b -Cauchy.

From (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+2}) \\
 = & A(fx_{2p}, fx_{2p}, \dots, fx_{2p}, gx_{2p+1}) \\
 \lesssim & \lambda A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, x_{2p+1}) \\
 & + \frac{\mu A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, fx_{2p}) A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, gx_{2p+1})}{1 + A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, x_{2p+1})} \\
 = & \lambda A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, x_{2p+1}) \\
 & + \frac{\mu A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, x_{2p+1}) A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+2})}{1 + A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, x_{2p+1})} \\
 \Rightarrow & |A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+2})| \\
 \leq & \lambda |A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, x_{2p+1})| \\
 & + \frac{\mu |A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, x_{2p+1})| |A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+2})|}{|1 + A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, x_{2p+1})|} \\
 \leq & \lambda |A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, x_{2p+1})| + \mu |A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+2})|
 \end{aligned}$$

(since $|1 + A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, x_{2p+1})| > |A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, x_{2p+1})|$)

$$\Rightarrow |A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+2})| \leq \frac{\lambda}{1 - \mu} |A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, x_{2p+1})| \quad (8)$$

Similarly, using the symmetry of X , we obtain

$$|A(x_{2p+2}, x_{2p+2}, \dots, x_{2p+2}, x_{2p+3})| \leq \frac{\lambda}{1 - \mu} |A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+2})| \quad (9)$$

From (8) and (9), we have

$$|A(x_p, x_p, \dots, x_p, x_{p+1})| \leq \alpha |A(x_{p-1}, x_{p-1}, \dots, x_{p-1}, x_p)|, \forall p \in \mathbb{N} \quad (10)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{\lambda}{1 - \mu} < 1$.

By repeatedly applying (10), we get

$$|A(x_p, x_p, \dots, x_p, x_{p+1})| \leq \alpha^p |A(x_0, x_0, \dots, x_0, x_1)| \quad (11)$$

Using (CA_b3) and (11), we have for all $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p < q$

$$\begin{aligned}
& |A(x_p, x_p, \dots, x_p, x_q)| \\
\leq & (n-1)b |A(x_p, x_p, \dots, x_p, x_{p+1})| + b |A(x_{p+1}, x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{p+1}, x_q)| \\
\leq & (n-1)b |A(x_p, x_p, \dots, x_p, x_{p+1})| + (n-1)b^2 |A(x_{p+1}, x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{p+1}, x_{p+2})| \\
& + b^2 |A(x_{p+2}, x_{p+2}, \dots, x_{p+2}, x_q)| \\
\leq & (n-1)b |A(x_p, x_p, \dots, x_p, x_{p+1})| + (n-1)b^2 |A(x_{p+1}, x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{p+1}, x_{p+2})| \\
& + (n-1)b^3 |A(x_{p+2}, x_{p+2}, \dots, x_{p+2}, x_{p+3})| + \dots \\
& + (n-1)b^{q-p-1} |A(x_{q-2}, x_{q-2}, \dots, x_{q-2}, x_{q-1})| + b^{q-p-1} |A(x_{q-1}, x_{q-1}, \dots, x_{q-1}, x_q)| \\
\leq & [(n-1)b\alpha^p + (n-1)b^2\alpha^{p+1} + \dots \\
& + (n-1)b^{q-p-1}\alpha^{q-2} + b^{q-p-1}\alpha^{q-1}] |A(x_0, x_0, \dots, x_0, x_1)| \\
\leq & [(n-1)b\alpha^p + (n-1)b^2\alpha^{p+1} + \dots + (n-1)b^{q-p-1}\alpha^{q-2} + (n-1)b^{q-p}\alpha^{q-1}] \\
& |A(x_0, x_0, \dots, x_0, x_1)| \\
\leq & (n-1)[(b\alpha)^p + (b\alpha)^{p+1} + \dots + (b\alpha)^{q-2} + (b\alpha)^{q-1}] |A(x_0, x_0, \dots, x_0, x_1)| \\
\leq & (n-1)[(b\alpha)^p + (b\alpha)^{p+1} + (b\alpha)^{p+2} + \dots \infty] |A(x_0, x_0, \dots, x_0, x_1)| \\
= & \frac{(n-1)(b\alpha)^p}{1-(b\alpha)} |A(x_0, x_0, \dots, x_0, x_1)| \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $p, q \rightarrow \infty$ since $b\alpha = \frac{b\lambda}{1-\mu} < 1$ which follows from $b\lambda + \mu < 1$.

Therefore, $|A(x_p, x_p, \dots, x_p, x_q)| \rightarrow 0$ as $p, q \rightarrow \infty$ and hence the sequence $\{x_p\}$ is complex valued A_b -Cauchy.

Since X is complete, there exists $u \in X$ such that the sequence $\{x_p\}$ is complex valued A_b -convergent to u . We show that u is a common fixed point of f and g .

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& A(fu, fu, \dots, fu, u) \\
\lesssim & (n-1)bA(fu, fu, \dots, fu, x_{2p+2}) + bA(u, u, \dots, u, x_{2p+2}) \\
= & (n-1)bA(fu, fu, \dots, fu, gx_{2p+1}) + bA(u, u, \dots, u, x_{2p+2}) \\
\lesssim & (n-1)b\lambda A(u, u, \dots, u, x_{2p+1}) \\
& + \frac{(n-1)b\mu A(u, u, \dots, u, fu)A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, gx_{2p+1})}{1 + A(u, u, \dots, u, x_{2p+1})} \\
& + bA(u, u, \dots, u, x_{2p+2}) \\
\Rightarrow & |A(fu, fu, \dots, fu, u)| \\
\leq & (n-1)b\lambda |A(u, u, \dots, u, x_{2p+1})| \\
& + \frac{(n-1)b\mu |A(u, u, \dots, u, fu)| |A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+2})|}{|1 + A(u, u, \dots, u, x_{2p+1})|} \\
& + b |A(u, u, \dots, u, x_{2p+2})| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } p \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow A(fu, fu, \dots, fu, u) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow fu = u.$$

Similarly, we can show that $gu = u$. Therefore, f and g have a common fixed point $u \in X$. Finally, to show the uniqueness of the common fixed point of f and g , let us

assume that $v \in X$ is another common fixed point of f and g . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(u, u, \dots, u, v) &= A(fu, fu, \dots, fu, gv) \\ &\lesssim \lambda A(u, u, \dots, u, v) + \frac{\mu A(u, u, \dots, u, fu)A(v, v, \dots, v, gv)}{1 + A(u, u, \dots, u, v)} \\ &= \lambda A(u, u, \dots, u, v) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow |A(u, u, \dots, u, v)| \leq \lambda |A(u, u, \dots, u, v)|$$

Since $\lambda < 1$, we have

$$A(u, u, \dots, u, v) = 0 \Rightarrow u = v$$

which proves the uniqueness of the common fixed point of f and g .

Corollary 3.2. Let (X, A) be a complete complex valued A_b -metric space which is symmetric and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying

$$A(fx, fx, \dots, fx, fy) \lesssim \lambda A(x, x, \dots, x, y) + \frac{\mu A(x, x, \dots, x, fx)A(y, y, \dots, y, fy)}{1 + A(x, x, \dots, x, y)} \quad (12)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where λ, μ are nonnegative reals with $b\lambda + \mu < 1$. Then f has a unique fixed point in X .

Proof. Follows from the proof of Theorem 3.1. by taking $g = f$.

Corollary 3.3. Let (X, A) be a complete complex valued A_b -metric space which is symmetric and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying for some positive integer m

$$A(f^m x, f^m x, \dots, f^m x, f^m y) \lesssim \lambda A(x, x, \dots, x, y) + \frac{\mu A(x, x, \dots, x, f^m x)A(y, y, \dots, y, f^m y)}{1 + A(x, x, \dots, x, y)} \quad (13)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where λ, μ are nonnegative reals with $b\lambda + \mu < 1$. Then f has a unique fixed point in X .

Proof. From Corollary 3.2., we have f^m has a unique fixed point $u \in X$. And we have $f(f^m u) = fu \Rightarrow f^m(fu) = fu$.

This implies that fu is a fixed point of f^m .

Since u is the unique fixed point of f^m , we must have $f(u) = u$. Therefore, u is a fixed point of f . Further to show the uniqueness of the fixed point of f we see that a fixed point of f is also a fixed point of f^m since $fv = v \Rightarrow f^2v = fv = v$ and so on, thus giving $f^m v = v$. And the uniqueness of the fixed point of f^m implies the fixed point of f is also unique.

Our next Theorem is a generalization of Theorem 2.15. in complex valued A_b -metric space.

Theorem 3.4. Let (X, A) be a complete complex valued A_b -metric space which is symmetric and let $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be mappings satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &A(fx, fx, \dots, fx, gy) \\ &\lesssim \frac{\alpha [A(x, x, \dots, x, fx)A(x, x, \dots, x, gy) + A(y, y, \dots, y, gy)A(y, y, \dots, y, fx)]}{A(x, x, \dots, x, gy) + A(y, y, \dots, y, fx)} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in \left[0, \frac{1}{b}\right)$. Then f and g have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point and let us define a sequence $\{x_p\}$ in X as

$$x_{2p+1} = fx_{2p} \text{ and } x_{2p+2} = gx_{2p+1}, \text{ for } p = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Then we show that the sequence $\{x_p\}$ is complex valued A_b -Cauchy.

From (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+2}) \\ &= A(fx_{2p}, fx_{2p}, \dots, fx_{2p}, gx_{2p+1}) \\ &\lesssim \frac{\alpha[A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, fx_{2p})A(x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, gx_{2p+1}) + A(x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, gx_{2p+1})A(x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, fx_{2p})]}{A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, gx_{2p+1}) + A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, fx_{2p})} \\ &= \frac{\alpha[A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, x_{2p+1})A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, x_{2p+2}) + A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+2})A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1})]}{A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, x_{2p+2}) + A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1})} \\ &= \frac{\alpha[A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, x_{2p+1})A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, x_{2p+2})]}{A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, x_{2p+2})} \\ &= \alpha A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, x_{2p+1}). \end{aligned}$$

And this implies

$$|A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+2})| \leq \alpha |A(x_{2p}, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{2p}, x_{2p+1})|. \quad (15)$$

Similarly, using the symmetry of X , we obtain

$$|A(x_{2p+2}, x_{2p+2}, \dots, x_{2p+2}, x_{2p+3})| \leq \alpha |A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+2})| \quad (16)$$

Combining (15) and (16), we get

$$|A(x_p, x_p, \dots, x_p, x_{p+1})| \leq \alpha |A(x_{p-1}, x_{p-1}, \dots, x_{p-1}, x_p)|, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (17)$$

By repeatedly applying (17), we get

$$|A(x_p, x_p, \dots, x_p, x_{p+1})| \leq \alpha^p |A(x_0, x_0, \dots, x_0, x_1)|. \quad (18)$$

Using (CA_b3) and (18), we have, for all $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p < q$

$$\begin{aligned} & |A(x_p, x_p, \dots, x_p, x_q)| \\ &\leq (n-1)b|A(x_p, x_p, \dots, x_p, x_{p+1})| + b|A(x_{p+1}, x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{p+1}, x_q)| \\ &\leq (n-1)b|A(x_p, x_p, \dots, x_p, x_{p+1})| + (n-1)b^2|A(x_{p+1}, x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{p+1}, x_{p+2})| \\ &\quad + b^2|A(x_{p+2}, x_{p+2}, \dots, x_{p+2}, x_q)| \\ &\leq (n-1)b|A(x_p, x_p, \dots, x_p, x_{p+1})| + (n-1)b^2|A(x_{p+1}, x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{p+1}, x_{p+2})| \\ &\quad + (n-1)b^3|A(x_{p+2}, x_{p+2}, \dots, x_{p+2}, x_{p+3})| + \dots \\ &\quad + (n-1)b^{q-p-1}|A(x_{q-2}, x_{q-2}, \dots, x_{q-2}, x_{q-1})| \\ &\quad + b^{q-p-1}|A(x_{q-1}, x_{q-1}, \dots, x_{q-1}, x_q)| \\ &\leq [(n-1)b\alpha^p + (n-1)b^2\alpha^{p+1} + \dots + (n-1)b^{q-p-1}\alpha^{q-2} \\ &\quad + b^{q-p-1}\alpha^{q-1}]|A(x_0, x_0, \dots, x_0, x_1)| \\ &\leq [(n-1)b\alpha^p + (n-1)b^2\alpha^{p+1} + \dots + (n-1)b^{q-p-1}\alpha^{q-2} \\ &\quad + (n-1)b^{q-p}\alpha^{q-1}]|A(x_0, x_0, \dots, x_0, x_1)| \\ &\leq (n-1)[(b\alpha)^p + (b\alpha)^{p+1} + \dots + (b\alpha)^{q-2} + (b\alpha)^{q-1}]|A(x_0, x_0, \dots, x_0, x_1)| \\ &\leq (n-1)[(b\alpha)^p + (b\alpha)^{p+1} + \dots + \infty]|A(x_0, x_0, \dots, x_0, x_1)| \\ &= \frac{(n-1)(b\alpha)^p}{1-b\alpha}|A(x_0, x_0, \dots, x_0, x_1)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } p, q \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $|A(x_p, x_p, \dots, x_p, x_q)| \rightarrow 0$ as $p, q \rightarrow \infty$ and hence the sequence $\{x_p\}$ is complex valued A_b -Cauchy. Since X is complete, there exists $u \in X$ such that the sequence $\{x_p\}$ is complex valued A_b -convergent to u . We show that u is a common

fixed point of f and g .

Let us assume that $fu \neq u$ so that

$$|A(fu, fu, \dots, fu, u)| > 0. \quad (19)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & A(fu, fu, \dots, fu, u) \\ & \lesssim (n-1)bA(fu, fu, \dots, fu, x_{2p+2}) + bA(u, u, \dots, u, x_{2p+2}) \\ & = (n-1)bA(fu, fu, \dots, fu, gx_{2p+1}) + bA(u, u, \dots, u, x_{2p+2}) \\ & \gtrsim \frac{(n-1)b\alpha A(u, u, \dots, u, fu)A(u, u, \dots, u, gx_{2p+1}) + (n-1)b\alpha A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, gx_{2p+1})A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, fu)}{A(u, u, \dots, u, gx_{2p+1}) + A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, fu)} \\ & + bA(u, u, \dots, u, x_{2p+2}). \end{aligned}$$

And this implies

$$\begin{aligned} & |A(fu, fu, \dots, fu, u)| \\ & \leq \frac{(n-1)b\alpha |A(u, u, \dots, u, fu)| |A(u, u, \dots, u, x_{2p+2})| + (n-1)b\alpha |A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+2})| |A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, fu)|}{|A(u, u, \dots, u, x_{2p+2})| + |A(x_{2p+1}, x_{2p+1}, \dots, x_{2p+1}, fu)|} \\ & + b|A(u, u, \dots, u, x_{2p+2})| \\ & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } p \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$|A(fu, fu, \dots, fu, u)| = 0, \text{ which contradicts (19).}$$

Therefore, we must have $fu = u$.

Similarly, we can show that $gu = u$.

Therefore, f and g have a common fixed point $u \in X$.

Finally to show the uniqueness of the common fixed point of f and g , let $v \in X$ be another common fixed point of f and g . And let us assume that $u \neq v$ so that $A(u, u, \dots, u, v) \neq 0$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & A(u, u, \dots, u, v) \\ & = A(fu, fu, \dots, fu, gv) \\ & \lesssim \frac{\alpha [A(u, u, \dots, u, fu)A(u, u, \dots, u, gv) + A(v, v, \dots, v, gv)A(v, v, \dots, v, fu)]}{A(u, u, \dots, u, gv) + A(v, v, \dots, v, fu)} \\ & = \frac{\alpha [A(u, u, \dots, u, u)A(u, u, \dots, u, v) + A(v, v, \dots, v, v)A(v, v, \dots, v, u)]}{A(u, u, \dots, u, v) + A(v, v, \dots, v, u)} \\ & = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow A(u, u, \dots, u, v) = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, we must have $u = v$, which proves the uniqueness of the common fixed point of f and g .

Corollary 3.5. Let (X, A) be a complete complex valued A_b -metric space which is symmetric and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & A(fx, fx, \dots, fx, fy) \\ & \lesssim \frac{\alpha [A(x, x, \dots, x, fx)A(x, x, \dots, x, fy) + A(y, y, \dots, y, fy)A(y, y, \dots, y, fx)]}{A(x, x, \dots, x, fy) + A(y, y, \dots, y, fx)} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in \left[0, \frac{1}{b}\right)$. Then f has a unique fixed point in X .

Corollary 3.6. Let (X, A) be a complete complex valued A_b -metric space which is

symmetric and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying for some positive integer m

$$\begin{aligned} & A(f^m x, f^m x, \dots, f^m x, f^m y) \\ \lesssim & \frac{\alpha[A(x, x, \dots, x, f^m x)A(x, x, \dots, x, f^m y) + A(y, y, \dots, y, f^m y)A(y, y, \dots, y, f^m x)]}{A(x, x, \dots, x, f^m y) + A(y, y, \dots, y, f^m x)} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in \left[0, \frac{1}{b}\right)$. Then f has a unique fixed point in X .

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Azam, B. Fisher, M. Khan, Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 32, 3, 243-253, 2011.
- [2] Ozgur EGE, Complex Valued G_b -Metric Space, J. Computational Analysis and Applications, Vol. 21, no. 2, (2016) 363-368.
- [3] Manoj Ughade, Duran Turkoglu, Sukh Raj Singh and R.D. Daheriya, Some fixed point theorems in A_b -metric space, British Journal of mathematics and Computer Science, 19(6), (2016), 1-24.
- [4] P. K. Jhade and Md. S. Khan, Some coupled coincidence and common coupled fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, SER. MATH. INFORM. Vol. 29, No. 4(2014), 385-395.
- [5] A. Jamshaid, K. Chakkrid, A. Azam, Common fixed points for multivalued mappings in complex valued metric spaces with applications, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2013 (2013) 12, (Article ID 854965).
- [6] S. Bhatt, S. Chaukiyal, R.C. Dimri, A common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible maps in complex valued metric spaces, Int. J. Math. Sci. Appl. 1 (3) (2011) 1385-1389.
- [7] S.M. Kang, M. Kumar, P. Kumar, S. Kumar, Coupled fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, Int. J. Math. Anal. 7(46) (2013) 2269-2277.
- [8] S. Manro, Some common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric space using implicit function, Int. J. Anal. Appl. 2(1) (2013) 62-70.
- [9] M.A. Kutbi, A. Azam, A. Jamshaid, C. Bari, Some coupled fixed point results for generalized contraction in complex valued metric spaces, J. Appl. Math. 2013 (2013)(Article ID 352927), 10 pages.
- [10] Nabil M. Mlaiki, Common fixed points in complex S -metric space, Adv. Fixed Points Theory, 4(2014), No. 4, 509-524.
- [11] N. Priyobarta, Y. Rohen and N. Mlaiki, Complex valued S_b -metric spaces, Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 8, 3(2017), 13-24.
- [12] N. Mlaiki and Y. Rohen, Some Coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered A_b -metric space, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 10(2017), 1731-1743.
- [13] Mujahid Abbas, Bashir Ali and Yusuf I Suleiman, Generalized coupled common fixed point results in partially ordered A -metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications (2015) 2015:64.
- [14] K. Rao, P. Swamy, and J. Prasad, A common fixed point theorem in complex valued b -metric spaces, Bulletin of Mathematics and Statistics Research, vol. 1, no. 1, 2013.
- [15] S. Bhatt, S. Chaukiyal, and R. C. Dimri, Common fixed point of mappings satisfying rational inequality in complex valued metric space, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 73, no. 2, pp. 159-164, 2011.
- [16] Aiman A. Mukheimer, Some Common Fixed Point Theorems in Complex Valued b -Metric Spaces, The Scientific World Journal, Volume 2014, Article ID 587825, 6 pages.
- [17] K. Anthony Singh, M. R. Singh, Fixed points of complex valued A_b -metric space,(Communicated).
- [18] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, Generalized common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces and applications, Journal of inequalities and Applications, vol. 2012, article 84, 2012.

K. ANTHONY SINGH

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, D.M. COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, IMPHAL(MANIPUR), INDIA
E-mail address: anthonykumam@manipuruniv.ac.in

M.R. SINGH

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MANIPUR UNIVERSITY, CANCHIPUR(MANIPUR), INDIA
E-mail address: mranjitmu@rediffmail.com