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#### Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the uniqueness problem for differential polynomials $\left(P^{n}(f)\right)^{(k)},\left(Q^{n}(g)\right)^{(k)}$ sharing the same values, where $P=$ $f^{d}+a_{1} f^{d-m}+b_{1} f^{d-m+1}+c_{1}$ and $Q=g^{d}+a_{2} g^{d-m}+b_{2} g^{d-m+1}+c_{2}$ are polynomials of Fermat-Waring type. On non-Archimedian field, $f$ and $g$ are meromorphic functions.


## 1. Introduction, Notation and Main results

Let $\mathbb{H}$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, complete for a nonArchimedean absolute value. We denote by $A(\mathbb{H})$ the ring of entire functions in $\mathbb{H}$, by $M(\mathbb{H})$ the field of meromorphic functions, i.e., the field of fractions of $A(\mathbb{H})$, and $\widehat{\mathbb{H}}=\mathbb{H} \cup\{\infty\}$. We assume that the reader is familiar with the notations in the nonArchimedean Nevanlinna theory (see [10]]). Let $f$ be a non-constant meromorphic function on $\mathbb{H}$. For every $a \in \mathbb{H}$, define the function $d_{f}^{a}: \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by

$$
d_{f}^{a}(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { if } f(z) \neq a \\
m & \text { if } f(z)=a
\end{array} \text { with multiplicity } m\right.
$$

and set $d_{f}^{\infty}=d_{\frac{1}{f}}^{0}$. For $f \in M(\mathbb{H})$ and $S \subset \mathbb{H} \cup\{\infty\}$, we define

$$
E_{f}(S)=\cup_{a \in S}\left\{\left(z, d_{f}^{a}(z)\right): z \in \mathbb{H}\right\}
$$

In this paper, we consider the differential operator $\left(P^{n}(f)\right)^{(k)}$ and $\left(Q^{n}(g)\right)^{(k)}$ sharing the same value where $P$ and $Q$ are Fermat-Waring type polynomials. Then we establish an uniqueness theorem for non-archimedian meromorphic functions and their differential polynomials.

Now let us describe main results of the paper. Let $d, m, n, k \in N^{*}$ and $a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{1}, a_{2}$, $b_{2}, c_{2}, k \in \mathbb{H}$; where $\mathbb{H}$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, complete for a non-Archimedean absolute value. $a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2}, c_{2} \neq 0$. We will let
$P(z)=z^{d}+a_{1} z^{d-m}+b_{1} z^{d-m+1}+c_{1}$ and $Q(z)=z^{d}+a_{2} z^{d-m}+b_{2} z^{d-m+1}+c_{2}$,
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be a polynomials of degree $d$ of Fermat-Waring type in $\mathbb{H}[z]$ without multiple zeros. We shall prove the following theorems.

Theorem I. Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{H}$ and let $P(z), Q(z)$ be defined in (1.1). Assume that $n \geq 3 k+5, d \geq 2 m+10$ and either $m \geq 2$ or $(d, m+1)=1$ and $m \geq 1$. If $\left(P^{n}(f)\right)^{(k)}$ and $\left(Q^{n}(g)\right)^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then $g=h f$ and for a constant $h$ such that $h^{d}=\frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}}, h^{n d}=1, h^{m}=\frac{b_{2}}{b_{1}}, h^{m+1}=\frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}}$.
Theorem II. Let $f$ and $g$ be two non-constant meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{H}$ and let $P(z), Q(z)$ be defined in (1.1). Assume that $d \geq 2 m+10$ and either $m \geq 2$ or $(d, m+1)=1$ and $m \geq 1$. If $(P(f)$ and $Q(f)$ share $0 C M$, then $g=h f$ and for $a$ constant $h$ such that $h^{d}=\frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}}, h^{m}=\frac{b_{2}}{b_{1}}, h^{m+1}=\frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

In order to prove our results, we need the following Lemmas.
Lemma 2.1. ([10]) Let $f$ be a non-constant meromorphic function on $\mathbb{H}$ and let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{q}$, be distinct points of $\mathbb{H} \cup\{\infty\}$. Then

$$
(q-2) T(r, f) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{q} N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a_{i}}\right)-\log r+O(1)
$$

Lemma 2.2. ([10]) Let $f$ be a non-constant meromorphic function on $\mathbb{H}$ and let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{q}$, be distinct points of $\mathbb{H} \bigcup\{\infty\}$. Suppose either $f-a_{i}$ has no zeros, or $f-a_{i}$ has zeros, in which case all the zeros of the functions $f-a_{i}$ have multiplicity at least $m_{i}, i=1, \ldots, q$. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{q}\left(1-\frac{1}{m_{i}}\right)<2
$$

Lemma 2.3. ([8]) Let $f$ and $g$ be non-constant meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{H}$. If $E_{f}(1)=E_{g}(1)$, then one of the following three cases holds:
$1 T(r, f) \leq N_{2}(r, f)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N_{2}(r, f)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)-\log r+O(1)$, and the same inequality holds for $T(r, g)$;
$2 f g=1$;
$3 f=g$.
Lemma 2.4. ([1]) Let $f$ be a non-constant meromorphic function on $\mathbb{H}$ and $n, k$ be positive integers, $n>k$ and $a$ be a pole of $f$. Then
$1\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}=\frac{\varphi_{k}}{(z-a)^{n p+k}}$, where $p=d_{f}^{\infty}, \varphi_{k}(a) \neq 0$.
$2 \frac{\left(f^{n}\right)^{(k)}}{f^{n-k}}=\frac{h_{k}}{(z-a)^{p k+k}}$, where $p=d_{f}^{\infty}, h_{k}(a) \neq 0$.
Lemma 2.5. ([1]) Let $f$ be a non-constant meromorphic function on $\mathbb{H}$ and $n, k$ be positive integers, $n>2 k$, and let $P(z)$ be a polynomial of degree $d>0$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
1 & (n-2 k) d T(r, f)+k N(r, P(f))+N\left(r, \frac{1}{\frac{\left((P(f))^{n}\right)^{(k)}}{(P(f))^{n-k}}}\right) \leq T\left(r,\left((P(f))^{n}\right)^{(k)}\right)+O(1) \\
& \leq(k+1) n d T(r, f)+O(1) \\
2 & N\left(r, \frac{1}{\frac{\left((P(f))^{n}\right)^{(k)}}{(P(f))^{n-k}}}\right) \leq k d T(r, f)+N_{1}(r, P(f))+O(1) \\
& =k d T(r, f)+k N_{1}(r, f)+O(1) \leq k(d+1) T(r, f)+O(1)
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 2.6. Let $d \geq 2 m+5$ and either $m \geq 2$ or $(d, m+1)=1$ and $m \geq 1, k \neq 0$, and let $P(z), Q(z)$ be defined by (1.1). Assume that the equation $P(f)=k Q(g)$ has a non-constant meromorphic solution $(f, g)$. Then $g=h f$ for a constant $h$ such that $h^{d}=\frac{1}{k}=\frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}}, h^{m}=\frac{b_{2}}{b_{1}}, h^{m+1}=\frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}}$.

Proof. Consider $P(f)=Q(g)$ we get $f^{d}+a_{1} f^{d-m}+b_{1} f^{d-m+1}+c_{1}=k\left(g^{d}+\right.$ $\left.a_{2} g^{d-m}+b_{2} g^{d-m+1}+c_{2}\right)$ $d T(r, f)+O(1)=d T(r, g)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r, f)+O(1)=T(r, g) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.1) can be rewritten as $f_{1}+f_{2}=k c_{2}-c_{1}$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{1}=f^{d-m}\left(a_{1}+b_{1} f+f^{m}\right) \\
f_{2}=-k g^{d-m}\left(a_{2}+b_{2} g+g^{m}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

If $k c_{2}-c_{1} \neq 0$, then by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(r, f_{1}\right) & \leq N_{1}\left(r, f_{1}\right)+N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f_{1}}\right)+N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f_{1}-\left(k c_{2}-c_{1}\right)}\right)-\log r+O(1) \\
d T(r, f) & \leq N_{1}(r, f)+N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{m}+b_{1} f+a_{1}}\right)+N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \\
& +N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{m}+b_{1} g+a_{1}}\right)-\log r+O(1) \\
d T(r, f) & \leq(2 m+5) T(r, f)-\log r+O(1) \\
(d-2 m-5) T(r, f) & \leq-\log r+O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts to $d \geq 2 m+5$. Hence $k c_{2}-c_{1}=0$. Thus, (2.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{d}+a_{1} f^{d-m}+b_{1} f^{d-m+1}=k g^{d}+k a_{1} g^{d-m}+k b_{1} g^{d-m+1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity, set $h=g / f$, and $\alpha=1 / k \neq 0, \beta_{1}=\frac{b_{1}}{k b_{2}} \neq 0, \beta_{2}=\frac{a_{1}}{k a_{2}} \neq 0$. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
f^{m+1}\left(k h^{d}-1\right)=-\left(k a_{2} h^{d-m}-a_{1}\right)-\left(k b_{2} h^{d-m+1}-b_{1}\right) \\
f^{m+1}=\frac{-a_{2}\left(h^{d-m}-\beta_{1}\right)-b_{2}\left(h^{d-m+1}-\beta_{2}\right)}{h^{d}-\alpha} \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Assume that $h$ is not a constant. Consider the following possible cases:
CASE 1. $m \geq 1,(m+1, d)=1$. If $h^{d}-\alpha, h^{d-m}-\beta_{1}$ and $h^{d-m+1}-\beta_{2}$ have no common zeros, then all zeros of $h^{d}-\alpha$ have multiplicity $\geq m+1$. Then

$$
N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{h^{d}-\alpha}\right) \leq \frac{1}{m+1} N\left(r, \frac{1}{h^{d}-\alpha}\right)
$$

By Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(r, h^{d}\right) & \leq N_{1}\left(r, h^{d}\right)+N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{h^{d}}\right)+N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{h^{d}-\alpha}\right)-\log r+O(1) \\
d T(r, h) & \leq 2 T(r, h)+\frac{1}{m+1} N\left(r, \frac{1}{h^{d}-\alpha}\right)-\log r+O(1) \\
& \leq\left(2+\frac{d}{m+1}\right) T(r, h)-\log r+O(1) \\
\left(d-2-\frac{d}{m+1}\right) T(r, h) & \leq-\log r+O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

which leads to $d m<2(m+1)$, a contradiction to the condition $d \geq 2 m+5$.

If $h^{d}-\alpha$ and $h^{d-m}-\beta_{1}, h^{d-m-1}-\beta_{2}$ have common zeros, then there exists $z_{0}$ such that $h^{d}\left(z_{0}\right)=\alpha, h^{d-m}\left(z_{0}\right)=\beta_{1}$ and $h^{d-m-1}-\beta_{2}$.
From (2.3) we get

$$
\alpha f^{m+1}\left(\left(\frac{h}{h\left(z_{0}\right)}\right)^{d}-1\right)=-\beta_{1} a_{2}\left(\left(\frac{h}{h\left(z_{0}\right)}\right)^{d-m}-1\right)-\beta_{2} b_{2}\left(\left(\frac{h}{h\left(z_{0}\right)}\right)^{d-m+1}-1\right)
$$

Since $(m+1, d)=0$, the equations $z^{d}-1=0, z^{d-m}-1=0$ and $z^{d-m+1}=0$ have different roots, except for $z=1$. Let $r_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 3 d-2 m-3$, be all the roots of them. Then all zeros of $\frac{h}{h\left(z_{0}\right)}-r_{i}$ have multiplicities $\geq m+1$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$
\left(1-\frac{1}{m+1}\right)(3 d-2 m-3)<2,3 d m<2 m^{2}+6 m+3
$$

which contradicts $d \geq 2 m+5, m \geq 1$. Thus, $h$ is a constant.
CASE 2. $m \geq 2$. Note that equation $z^{d}-\alpha=0$ has $d$ simple zeros, equation $z^{d-m}-\beta_{1}=0$ has $d-m$ simple zeros, and equation $z^{d-m+1}-\beta_{2}=0$ has $d-m+1$ common simple zeros. Therefore, the equation $z^{d}-\alpha$ has atleast $m$ distinct roots, which are not roots of $z^{d-m}-\beta_{1}$ and $z^{d-m+1}-\beta_{2}=0$. Let $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{m}$ be all these roots. Then all zeros of $h-r_{j}, j=1, \ldots, m$, have multiplicities $\geq m+1$. By Lemma 2.2, we have $(m+1)\left(1-\frac{1}{m+1}\right)<2$. Therefore, $m<2$. From $m \geq 2$, we obtain a contradiction. Thus $h$ is a constant.

## 3. Proof of Theorem I

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(f) & =\left(f-e_{1}\right) \ldots\left(f-e_{d}\right), e_{j} \neq 0 \in \mathbb{H} \\
(P(f))^{n} & =\left(f-e_{1}\right)^{n} \ldots\left(f-e_{d}\right)^{n}, \\
Q(g) & =\left(g-k_{1}\right) \ldots\left(g-k_{d}\right), k_{i} \neq 0 \in \mathbb{H} \\
(Q(g))^{n} & =\left(g-k_{1}\right)^{n} \ldots\left(g-k_{d}\right)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{1}=\left(P^{n}(f)\right)^{(k)}, \quad X_{2}=\left(Q^{n}(g)\right)^{(k)}, \quad Y_{1}=P(f), \\
Y_{2}=Q(g), \quad F=\frac{X_{1}}{Y_{1}^{n-k}}, \quad G=\frac{X_{2}}{Y_{2}^{n-k}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{1} & =\left(f-e_{1}\right) \ldots\left(f-e_{d}\right), \quad Y_{2}=\left(g-k_{1}\right) \ldots\left(g-k_{d}\right) \\
X_{1} & =\left(Y_{1}^{n}\right)^{(k)}=F Y_{1}^{n-k}, \quad X_{2}=\left(Y_{2}^{n}\right)^{(k)}=G Y_{2}^{n-k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 to $\left(Y_{1}^{n}\right)^{(k)},\left(Y_{2}^{n}\right)^{(k)}$ we have one of the following possibilities:
CASE 1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T\left(r, X_{1}\right) \leq N_{2}\left(r, X_{1}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{X_{1}}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{X_{2}}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, X_{2}\right)-\log r+O(1) \\
& T\left(r, X_{2}\right) \leq N_{2}\left(r, X_{1}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{X_{1}}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{X_{2}}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, X_{2}\right)-\log r+O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that, if $a$ is a pole of $X_{1}$, then $Y_{1}(a)=\infty$ with $\nu_{X_{1}}^{\infty}(a) \geq n+k \geq 2$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{1}\left(r, Y_{1}\right) & =N_{1}\left(r,\left(f-e_{1}\right) \ldots\left(f-e_{d}\right)\right)=N_{1}(r, f) \leq T(r, f)+O(1) \\
N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{Y_{1}}\right) & =\Sigma_{i=1}^{d} N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-e_{i}}\right) \leq d T(r, f)+O(1) \\
N_{2}\left(r, X_{1}\right) & =2 N_{1}\left(r, Y_{1}\right) \leq 2 T(r, f)+O(1) \\
N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{X_{1}}\right) & \leq N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{Y_{1}^{n-k}}\right)+N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)=2 N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{Y_{1}}\right)+N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \\
& \leq 2 d T(r, f)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \leq 2 d T(r, f)+k N_{1}\left(r, Y_{1}\right) \\
& +k d T(r, f)+O(1)=d(k+2) T(r, f)+k N_{1}\left(r, Y_{1}\right)+O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{2}\left(r, X_{2}\right) & \leq 2 T(r, g)+O(1) \\
N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{X_{2}}\right) & \leq 2 d T(r, g)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \\
& =d(k+2) T(r, g)+k N_{1}\left(r, Y_{2}\right)+O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the above two inequalities, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(r, X_{1}\right) & \leq(2+2 d+k d) T(r, f)+(2+2 d) T(r, g)+k N_{1}\left(r, Y_{1}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)-\operatorname{logr}+O(1), \\
T\left(r, X_{2}\right) & \leq(2+2 d+k d) T(r, g)+(2+2 d) T(r, f)+k N_{1}\left(r, Y_{2}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)-\log r+O(1), \\
T\left(r, X_{1}\right)+T\left(r, X_{2}\right) & \leq(4+4 d+k d)(T(r, f)+T(r, g))+K N_{1}\left(r, Y_{1}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \\
& +k N_{1}\left(r, Y_{2}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)-2 \log r+O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (n-2 k) d T(r, f)+k N\left(r, Y_{1}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \leq T\left(r, X_{1}\right)+O(1) \\
& (n-2 k) d T(r, g)+k N\left(r, Y_{2}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \leq T\left(r, X_{2}\right)+O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n-2 k) d[T(r, f)+T(r, g)] & +k N\left(r, Y_{1}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+k N\left(r, Y_{2}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \\
& \leq T\left(r, X_{1}\right)+T\left(r, X_{2}\right)+O(1) \\
(n-2 k) d[T(r, f)+T(r, g)] & +k N\left(r, Y_{1}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+k N\left(r, Y_{2}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \\
& \leq(4+4 d+k d)[T(r, f)+T(r, g)]+k N_{1}\left(r, Y_{1}\right) \\
& +N\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right)+k N_{1}\left(r, Y_{2}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)-2 \log r+O(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
(n-2 k) d[T(r, f)+T(r, g)] \leq(4+4 d+k d)(T(r, f)+T(r, g))-2 l o g r+O(1)
$$

$((n-2 k) d-4-4 d-k d)(T(r, f)+T(r, g)) \leq-2 l o g r+O(1)$.
Since $n \geq 3 k+5>2 k+\frac{4+4 d+k d}{d}$, we obtain a contradiction.
CASE 2. $\left(P(f)^{n}\right)^{(k)}\left(Q(g)^{n}\right)^{(k)}=1$. Then we have $Y_{1}=P(f)=\left(f-e_{1}\right) \ldots\left(f-e_{d}\right)$.
$Y_{1}=Y_{1}^{n-k} F, Y_{2}=G(g)$. Therefore

$$
\left(f-e_{1}\right)^{n-k} \ldots\left(f-e_{d}\right)^{n-k} \cdot X_{1}\left(Y_{2}^{n}\right)^{(k)}=\left(Y_{1}^{n}\right)^{(k)}\left(Y_{2}^{n}\right)^{(k)}=1
$$

Because $n \geq 3 k+5$ we see that, if $z_{0}$ is a zero of $f-e_{i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq d$, then $z_{0}$ is a zero of $Y_{1}$, and therefore, $z_{0}$ is a zero of $\left(Y_{p}^{n}\right)^{(k)}$ and then $z_{0}$ is a pole of $\left(Y_{2}^{n}\right)^{(k)}$ and $v_{\left(Y_{2}^{n}\right)^{(k)}}^{\infty}\left(z_{0}\right)=(n-k) v_{f}^{e_{i}}\left(z_{0}\right)$. Thus, $z_{0}$ is a pole of $g$ and by Lemma 2.4 we get

$$
v_{\left(Y_{2}^{n}\right)^{(k)}}^{\infty}\left(z_{0}\right)=n d v_{g}^{\infty}\left(z_{0}\right)+k \geq n d+k
$$

So, $v_{f}^{e_{i}}\left(z_{0}\right)=\frac{n d v_{g}^{\infty}\left(z_{0}\right)+k}{n-k} \geq \frac{n d+k}{n-k}, i=1,2, \ldots d$. Applying Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(1-\frac{n-k}{n d+k}\right)<2
$$

From this we have $n\left(d^{2}-3 d\right)<2 k(1-d)$, and so we obtain a contradiction to $d \geq 12$.
CASE 3. $\left(P(f)^{n}\right)^{(k)}=\left(Q(g)^{n}\right)^{(k)}$. Then $(P(f))^{n}-s=(Q(g))^{n}$, where s is a polynomial of degree $<k$. We prove $s \equiv 0$. If it is not the case, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\left(P(f)^{n}\right)}{s}-1=\frac{\left(g-k_{1}\right)^{n} \ldots\left(g-k_{d}\right)^{n}}{s} \\
\frac{\left(g-k_{1}\right)^{n} \ldots\left(g-k_{d}\right)^{n}}{s}+1=\frac{\left(f-k_{1}\right)^{n} \ldots\left(f-k_{2}\right)^{n}}{s}
\end{gathered}
$$

Set $I=\frac{Y_{1}^{n}}{s}, J=\frac{Y_{2}^{n}}{s}$. Since $f, g$ are not constants, and so are $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{1}^{n}, Y_{2}^{n}, I, J$. Applying Lemma 2.1 to $I$ with values $\infty, 0,1$, we get

$$
T(r, I) \leq N_{1}(r, I)+N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{I}\right)+N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{I-1}\right)-\log r+O(1)
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left(r, Y_{1}^{n}\right) & =n T\left(r, Y_{1}\right)+O(1) \leq T(r, I)+T(r, s) \leq T(r, I)+(k-1) \log r+O(1) \\
n T\left(r, Y_{1}\right)-(k-1) \log r & \leq T(r, I)+O(1), n d T(r, f)-(k-1) \log r \leq T(r, I)+O(1) \\
N_{1}(r, I) & \leq N_{1}\left(r, Y_{1}^{n}\right)+N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{s}\right) \leq N_{1}(r, f)+(k-1) \log r \leq T(r, f)+(k-1) \log r \\
N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{I}\right) & \leq N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{Y_{1}^{n}}\right)=N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{Y_{1}}\right) \leq T\left(r, Y_{1}\right)+O(1)=d T(r, f)+O(1), \\
N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{I-1}\right) & =N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{J}\right) \leq N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{Y_{2}^{n}}\right)=N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{Y_{2}}\right) \leq T\left(r, Y_{2}\right)+O(1)=d T(r, g)+O(1), \\
n d T(r, f)-(k-1) \log r & \leq T(r, f)+(k-1) \log r+d(T(r, f)+T(r, g))+O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

From this, and noting that logr $\leq T(r, f)$, we get

$$
(n d-2(k-1)) T(r, f) \leq T(r, f)+d(T(r, f)+T(r, g))+O(1)
$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 to J with values $\infty, 0,-1$, and noting that $\operatorname{logr} \leq T(r, g)$, we obtain

$$
T(r, J) \leq N_{1}(r, J)+N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{J}\right)+N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{J+1}\right)-\log r+O(1)
$$

we get

$$
(n d-2(k-1)) T(r, g) \leq T(r, g)+d(T(r, f)+T(r, g))-\log r+O(1)
$$

So

$$
(n d-2(k-1))(T(r, f)+T(r, g)) \leq T(r, f)+T(r, g)+2 d(T(r, f)+T(r, g))-2 \log r+O(1)
$$

$$
(n d-2 d-2 k+1)(T(r, f)+T(r, g))+2 l o g r \leq O(1)
$$

We obtain a contradiction to $n \geq 3 k+5>\frac{2 d+2 k-1}{d}$. So $s=0$. Then $(P(f))^{n}=$ $(Q(g))^{n}$. Therefore $P(f)=k Q(g), k^{n}=1$. From this and by Lemma 2.6, we obtain the conclusion of Theorem I.
Proof of Theorem II. Set

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y_{1}=P(f)=f^{d}+a_{1} f^{d-m}+b_{1} f^{d-m+1}+c_{1} \\
Y_{2}=Q(g)=g^{d}+a_{2} g^{d-m}+b_{2} g^{d-m+1}+c_{2} \\
U=-\frac{f^{d-m}\left(f^{m}+b_{1} f+a_{1}\right)}{c_{1}}, V=-\frac{g^{d-m}\left(g^{m}+b_{2} g+a_{1}\right)}{c_{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $P(f)$ and $Q(g)$ share 0 CM . we get $E_{U}(1)=E_{V}(1)$. Applying Lemma 2.3 to $U, V$, we have one of the following possibilities.

## CASE 1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(r, U) \leq N_{2}(r, U)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{U}\right)+N_{2}(r, V)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{V}\right)-\text { log } r+O(1) \\
& T(r, V) \leq N_{2}(r, V)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{V}\right)+N_{2}(r, U)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{U}\right)-\text { logr }+O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

More over

$$
\begin{gathered}
T(r, U)=d T(r, f)+O(1) \\
N_{1}(r, U)=N_{1}(r, f) \leq T(r, f)+O(1), \\
N_{2}(r, U)=2 N_{1}(r, f) \leq 2 T(r, f)+O(1) \\
N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{U}\right) \leq 2 N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{m}+b_{1} f+a_{1}}\right) \leq 2 T(r, f)+(m+1) T(r, f)+O(1) \\
\text { Similarly } N_{2}(r, V) \leq 2 T(r, g)+O(1), N_{2}\left(r, \frac{1}{V}\right) \leq 2 T(r, g)+(m+1) T(r, g)+O(1)
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore
$T(r, V)=d T(r, f)+O(1) \leq 4(T(r, f)+T(r, g))+(m+1)(T(r, f)+T(r, g))-\log r+O(1)$.
Similarly
$T(r, V)=d T(r, g)+O(1) \leq 4(T(r, f)+T(r, g))+(m+1)(T(r, f)+T(r, g))-l o g r+O(1)$
Combining the above inequalities we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d(T(r, f)+T(r, g)) \leq 8(T(r, f)+T(r, g))+(2 m+2)(T(r, f)+T(r, g))-2 \log r+O(1) \\
& \quad(d-2 m-10)(T(r, f)+T(r, g))+2 \log r \leq O(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain a contradiction to $d \geq 2 m+10$.
CASE 2. $U V=1$. i.e., $f^{d-m}\left(f^{m}+b_{1} f+a_{1}\right) g^{d-m}\left(g^{m}+b_{2} g+a_{2}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{c_{2}}$.
Note that equation $z^{m}+b_{1} z+a_{1}=0$ has $(\mathrm{m}+1)$ simple zeros. Let $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots r_{m}$ be all these roots. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{d-m}\left(f^{m}+b_{1} f+a_{1}\right) g^{d-m}\left(g^{m}+b_{2} g+a_{2}\right)=\frac{c_{1}}{c_{2}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.1) it follows that all zeros of $f-r_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots m$, has multiplicities $\geq d$, and all zeros of $f$ have multiplicities $\geq \frac{d}{d-m+1}$. By Lemma 2.2 we have $1-\frac{d-m+1}{d}+$ $(m+1)\left(1-\frac{1}{d}\right)<2$. Then $m<2$. Since $m \geq 1$, we obtain a contradiction.
CASE 3. $U=V$, i.e., $\frac{f^{d-m}\left(f^{m}+b_{1} f+a_{1}\right)}{c_{1}}=\frac{g^{d-m}\left(g^{m}+b_{2} g+a_{1}\right)}{c_{2}}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{d}+a_{1} f^{d-m}+b_{1} f^{d-m+1}+C_{1}=\frac{C_{1}}{C_{2}} g^{d}+a_{1} g^{d-m}+b_{1} g^{d-m+1}+C_{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.6 to (3.2), we obtain the conclusion of Theorem II.
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