## Effect of Potassium and Nitrogen Fertilization on Some Macronutrients Utilization Efficiency by Wheat

## I. S. Mosaad<sup>1</sup> and K. F. Fouda<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Soils, Water and Environment Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza and <sup>2</sup>Soil Dept., Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ., Egypt.

> TO STUDY the effect of potassium and nitrogen fertilization treatments on wheat yield, P & K uptake and P & K utilization efficiency for wheat (Triticum aestivum, L.), variety Sakha 93, two field experiments were conducted on a clay saline soil at El-Serw Agricultural Research Station, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 winter seasons. The experiments were carried out in a split plot design with four replicates. Main plots were assigned to potassium applications (0, 47.4 & 94.8 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>). The sub plots were divided by mineral nitrogen treatments as follows: 44.5, 89, 133.5, 178 and 214 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. Wheat yield (grain & straw) and P & K-uptake by (wheat grain & straw) increased with increasing potassium rate up to 94.8 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>, but P & K utilization efficiency by wheat crop was decreased. Also, these results showed that 214, 178, 133.5, 89 and 44.5 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively gave the highest values of the previous parameters except the PUtE and KUtE, where the order of values was upward with the order of the previous treatments. The interaction between 94.8 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> and 214 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> gave the highest wheat yield (grain & straw) and P & K uptake by grain and straw and gave the lowest PUtE and KUtE in both seasons. So, it is preferred to add 94.8 kg K and 214 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> to economic production of wheat grain and straw especially in saline soil. Also, the highest results of PUtE and KUtE in both seasons were obtained with interaction between 0 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> and 44.5 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, it indicates shortages in uptake of phosphorus & potassium with nitrogen & potassium deficiency.

> Keywords: Wheat, Nitrogen, Potassium, Fertilization, Phosphorus, Efficiency.

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*,L.) is one of the most important cereal crops not only in Egypt but all over the world. Because wheat production in Egypt is not enough for domestic consumption, this requires much attention to increase production to meet the high demand and reduce the gap between production and consumption of wheat. Therefore, great efforts have been made to achieve the best transactions and methods of agriculture to get maximum productivity of different wheat varieties with optimal quality properties.

Agricultural intensification is through the use of varieties of high production crops and the development of pesticides and chemical fertilizers, irrigation,

mechanization has led to a significant increase in cereal production in developing countries over the past three decades (Matson *et al.*, 1998). However, there is a growing global challenge of getting food with protecting the environment especially with the production of strategy crops such as maize, rice and wheat (Cassman et *al.*, 2002).

Salinity becomes one of the most important and serious agricultural problems. Moreover, it is an ever-present threat to crop productivity (Termaat *et al.*, 1985). Fertilizer management can strongly affect crop productivity under conditions of drought or salinity. Thus, the addition of nutrients can either enhance or decrease plants resistance to salinity (Gibson, 1988).

It is necessary to add fertilizer and / or amendments to supply the appropriate nutrients and get the maximum yield. Many agricultural experiments also demonstrated that the efficiency of applied fertilizers is no more than 50% of the nitrogen, 10% of the phosphorus and 40% of potassium. Plants that have high efficiency of nutrient absorption and utilization greatly enhance the efficiency of the application of fertilizers and reduce the high cost of agriculture and prevent loss of nutrients and thereby reduce environmental pollution (Baligar *et al.*, 2001).

Potassium is one of the essential nutrients for the plants, which helps directly in the production of the crop and determines its quality. Also, potassium involved in many physiological processes such as photosynthesis, enzyme activation, water relations and assimilates transport can have direct consequences on crop productivity. Therefore, potassium deficiency can lead to a reduction in both the number of leaves produced and the size of individual leaves (Pettigrew, 2008).

Baque *et al.* (2006) found that the significantly improved yields, yield contributing characters and N, P and K uptake of wheat were with higher level of potassium (312 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). El-Abady *et al.* (2009) reported that foliar application of potassium at the rate of 2.49 % K gave the highest values of growth, yield and its components and grain quality characters followed by 1.25 % K as compared with control treatment (without potassium application). Rahimi (2012) indicated that effect of potassium on grain yield was highly significant. Tababtabaei and Ranjbar (2012) showed that the highest grain and straw yields were obtained by application of 74.7 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Plants respond strongly to addition of nitrogen, which is regarded main in the construction of amino acids, proteins and enters in many physiological processes in the plant. Nitrogen fertilization is an important and essential factor affecting wheat production all over the world especially in Egypt, because most of Egyptian soils contain insufficient nitrogen. Several research conducted in Egypt proved that there is a significant effect of nitrogen levels on most of the characteristics growth, yield and yield components. The optimum nitrogen fertilizer levels for wheat in Egypt vary widely in amounts, They ranged between 97.2 and 285.7 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> according to environmental conditions such as type and properties of soil.

Abou-Salama *et al.* (2000), Antoun *et al.* (2010) and Rahimi (2012) found that nitrogen fertilizer at the rates of 119.1, 178.6 and 238.1 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> significantly increased yields and its components. Also, Ahmed (2002) found that the grain yield of wheat increased by increasing nitrogen fertilizer levels up to 190.5 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, while Seleem and Abd El–Dayem (2013) reported that the best significant values of grain and straw yields were obtained by adding 142.9 or 214.3 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>.

NPK uptake by grain and straw were significantly increased by nitrogen fertilizers when raised from 59.5 to 119.1, 178.6 and 238.1 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (Antoun *et al.*, 2010).

Internal utilization efficiency (IE) of a nutrient (nutrition utilization efficiency) (kg yield per kg nutrient uptake), this measurement can be interpreted by Dobermann (2007) as follows:

1- The plant's ability to transform nutrients was obtained from all sources (soil and fertilizer) to the economic return (grain).

2- Depends on genotype, environment and management.

3- A very high IE suggests deficiency of that nutrient.

4- Low IE suggests poor internal nutrient conversion due to other stresses (nutrient deficiencies, heat stress, drought stress, mineral toxicities, and pests).

Usually potassium efficiency describes the ratio of the potential yield that can be achieved under a potassium deficiency (Damon and Rengel, 2007). Varieties and genotypes which have high efficiency of potassium usually exhibit a higher capacity to take up relatively more K in K deficient soil (K uptake efficiency,  $KU_pE$ ) (Trehan & Sharma, 2002 and Zhang *et al.*, 2007) and/or a higher dry matter production per unit of potassium taken up (K utilization efficiency,  $KU_tE$ ) (El Bassam, 1998). However, a high  $KU_pE$  or  $KU_tE$  may not mean that a high yield or biomass, and biomass production or yield remains are the most important goals. Siddiqi and Glass (1981) proposed another index for nutrient-use efficiency as follows: the ratio of biomass and tissue nutrient concentration, simultaneously taking into account the biological production and KUE (biomass/tissue nutrient concentration).

Therefore, this investigation was established to determine the effect of mineral potassium and nitrogen applications on yield, P & K-uptake by grain and straw and P & K utilization efficiency for wheat under the environmental conditions of El-Serw District, Damietta Governorate.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### Experimental design and preparing

Two field experiments were conducted at El-Serw Agricultural Research Station, Agricultural Research Center (ARC) in Egypt during the two successive winter seasons of 2011/2012 and 2012/2013. The objective of these experiments was to improve productivity of wheat (variety Sakha 93) under mineral potassium and nitrogen fertilization and increasing efficiency use of applying these fertilizers for wheat.

The experiments were carried out in a split plot design with four replicates. The main plots were allocated to two potassium fertilizer levels as the following:  $K_0(0 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1})$ ,  $K_1$  (47.4 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>) and  $K_2$  (94.8 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>) in the form of potassium sulphate (39.8% K). Potassium fertilizer was applied broadcasting in one dose before the first irrigation. The sub plots were devoted to five nitrogen treatments as follows: A (214 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), B (178 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), C (133.5 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), D (89 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and E (44.5 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), the nitrogen fertilizer in the form of ammonium nitrate (33.5 % N) was applied at the aforementioned rates in two equal doses prior the first (25 days from sowing) and the second (46 days from sowing) irrigations. Each experimental unit was 3 × 3.5 m occupying an area of 10.5 m<sup>2</sup>.

Calcium superphosphate fertilizer (6.76 %P) was added at the rate of 238 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as basal for each plot before ploughing.

Wheat seeds, CV. Sakha 93, were sown on November 18<sup>th</sup> and 25<sup>th</sup> in the first and second seasons, respectively. Wheat harvesting was done on 20<sup>th</sup> April 2012 and 1 May 2013 in both seasons, respectively.

#### Soil analysis

Soil samples were taken from the experimental field before conducting from soil layer (0-30cm depth), then air-dried and ground to pass through 2 mm sieve. Soil physical and chemical properties were carried out according to Klute (1986) and Page *et al.* (1982).

TABLE 1. Physical and chemical soil characteristics at the experimental sites during the two seasons

	]	Particl	e size di	stribut	ion%				CEC			
Growing season	Coarse sand	Fine sanc	Sili	t Cla	av	xture lass	OM %	CaCO <sub>3</sub> %	C.E.C (meq /100g soil soil)	рН	EC, dSm <sup>-1</sup>	
1 <sup>st</sup>	1.40	10.60	) 20.9	5 67.	05 C	layey	0.75	1.39	44.95	8.0	5.20	
$2^{nd}$	1.68	10.70	) 21.1	1 66.	51 C	layey	0.82	1.31	43.32	7.8	4.40	
Growing	Cat	ions an			e soil wa 0 g soil	ater exti	ract (1	:5),	NPK ava	ilable	(ppm)	
season		Cati	ons			Ani	ons		N	Р	к	
	Ca++	$Mg^{++}$	$Na^+$	$\mathbf{K}^{+}$	CO3 <sup></sup>	HCO <sub>3</sub>	CI.	SO4	- 1	r	ĸ	
$1^{st}$	3.68	3.56	16.05	0.22		1.34	16.4	3 5.74	30	8.02	472	
$2^{nd}$	3.91	3.49	16.51	0.24		1.51	16.8	1 5.83	31	7.96	461	

#### Plant analysis

Nutritional analysis

Oven-dried samples of wheat (grain & straw) were ground in a mill using a 50-mesh screen. These samples were digested in  $H_2SO_4$  concentrated and  $H_2O_2$  30% according to Yash (1998).

#### P and K uptake and utilization efficiency

After wheat harvest, grain and straw yields and P and K-uptake, by grains and straw were estimated as kg  $ha^{-1}$ :

Nutrient element uptake = (Nutrient element%  $\times$  Yield) / 100

Internal utilization efficiency of a nutrient (Nutrition "Nut." utilization efficiency such as  $PU_tE$  and  $KU_tE$ ) (kg yield.kg<sup>-1</sup> nutrient uptake) (Dobermann, 2007) was calculated as follows:

## Nut.- $U_tE$ = Grain Yield / Nut. uptake by (grain and straw)

where grain yield as kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and Nut. uptake by (grain and straw) as kg (nutrient element) ha<sup>-1</sup>.

## The statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was carried out according to Steel and Torrie (1980) to compare the treatments values.

#### Results

## *Grain and straw yield* (*Mg ha*<sup>-1</sup>)

Data presented in Table 2 show that adding potassium and nitrogen fertilizer significantly affected wheat grain and straw yields (Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by increasing potassium fertilizers up to 94.8 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> and nitrogen rate up to 214 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in both 1<sup>st</sup> and the 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons. Baque *et al.* (2006) and Rahimi (2012) obtained similar result when they treated wheat plant with potassium levels. On the other hand, Abou-Salama *et al.* (2000), Antoun *et al.* (2010) and Rahimi (2012) stated that nitrogen fertilizer at the rates of 119.1, 178.6 and 238.1kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> significantly increased yields and its components of wheat.

 TABLE 2. Wheat grain and straw yield (Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>) as affected by the interaction between potassium and nitrogen treatments during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons

 2011/2012

Treatments         Grain yiel         Straw yiel         Grain yiel         Straw yiel           K0         K1         K2         N Mean         K0         K1					2011/	2012			2012/2013									
A         5.360         6.117         6.743         6.073         7.481         8.245         9.269         8.332         5.467         6.271         6.860         6.199         7.988         8.388         9.507         8.628           B         4.836         5.133         6.340         5.437         6.790         7.060         9.040         7.630         5.055         5.252         6.531         5.613         7.167         7.460         9.086         7.904           C         4.267         4.362         5.799         4.799         5.674         6.274         7.550         6.499         4.336         4.438         5.855         4.876         6.119         6.426         7.757         6.767           D         3.410         3.724         4.862         3.998         4.340         5.562         6.548         5.483         3.893         3.838         5.055         4.262         4.431         5.669         6.724         5.641           E         2.569         2.805         3.705         3.026         3.690         4.514         5.124         4.443         2.655         2.814         3.883         3.117         3.807         4.617         5.417         4.613	Treatments		Grai	n yiel	d		Stray	w yiel	d		Grai	n yiel	d	Straw yield				
B       4.836       5.133       6.340       5.437       6.790       7.060       9.040       7.630       5.055       5.252       6.531       5.611       7.167       7.460       9.086       7.904         C       4.267       4.362       5.769       4.799       5.674       6.274       7.550       6.499       4.336       4.438       5.855       4.876       6.119       6.426       7.757       6.767         D       3.410       3.724       4.862       3.998       4.340       5.622       6.548       5.483       3.893       3.838       5.055       4.262       4.431       5.769       6.724       5.611         E       2.569       2.805       3.705       3.026       3.690       4.514       5.142       4.443       2.655       2.814       3.883       3.117       3.807       4.617       5.417       4.613         K       Mean       4.088       4.428       5.484       5.595       6.31       7.507       5.675       5.611       5.675       5.912       5.902       6.532       7.698       7.69       7.69       7.69       7.69       7.69       7.69       7.69       7.69       7.617       7.619       7.617		$K_0$	$\mathbf{K}_1$	$K_2$	N Mean	K <sub>0</sub>	$\mathbf{K}_1$	$K_2$	N Mean	K <sub>0</sub>	$K_1$	$K_2$	N Mean	$K_0$	$K_1$	$K_2$	N Mean	
C       4.267       4.362       5.769       4.799       5.674       6.274       7.550       6.499       4.336       4.438       5.855       4.876       6.119       6.426       7.757       6.767         D       3.410       3.724       4.862       3.998       4.340       5.562       6.548       5.483       3.893       3.838       5.055       4.262       4.431       5.769       6.724       5.611         E       2.569       2.805       3.020       3.600       4.514       5.142       4.443       2.655       2.814       3.883       3.117       3.807       4.617       5.417       4.613         K Mean       4.088       4.428       5.484       5.595       6.311       7.506       4.241       5.692       6.532       7.698       4.517       4.613         F. test       **	А	5.360	6.117	6.743	6.073	7.481	8.245	9.269	8.332	5.467	6.271	6.860	6.199	7.988	8.388	9.507	8.628	
D       3.410       3.724       4.862       3.998       4.340       5.562       6.548       5.483       3.893       3.838       5.055       4.262       4.431       5.769       6.724       5.641         E       2.569       2.805       3.705       3.026       3.690       4.514       5.124       4.443       2.655       2.814       3.883       3.117       3.807       4.617       5.417       4.613         K Mean       4.088       4.428       5.484       5.595       6.331       7.506       4.281       4.253       5.692       6.532       7.698         F. test       **       **       **       **       **       **       **       **         LSD 5%       0.162       0.286       0.136       0.136       0.633         F. test       **       **       **       **       **         LSD 5%       0.162       0.386       0.186       0.633         F. test       **       **       **       **	В	4.836	5.133	6.340	5.437	6.790	7.060	9.040	7.630	5.055	5.252	6.531	5.613	7.167	7.460	9.086	7.904	
E         2.569         2.805         3.705         3.026         3.690         4.514         5.124         4.443         2.655         2.814         3.883         3.117         3.807         4.617         5.417         4.613           K Mean         4.088         4.428         5.494         5.595         6.331         7.506         4.281         4.283         5.637         5.902         6.532         7.698           F. test         **         **         **         **         **         **         **           LSD 5%         0.162         0.286         0.136         0.314         0.633           E test         **         **         **         **         **	С	4.267	4.362	5.769	4.799	5.674	6.274	7.550	6.499	4.336	4.438	5.855	4.876	6.119	6.426	7.757	6.767	
K Mean         4.088         4.428         5.484         5.595         6.331         7.506         4.281         4.523         5.637         5.902         6.532         7.698           F. test         **         **         **         **         **         **         **           LSD 5%         0.162         0.286         0.136         0.314         0.633           LSD 1%         0.219         0.386         0.186         0.633           F. test         **         **         **         **	D	3.410	3.724	4.862	3.998	4.340	5.562	6.548	5.483	3.893	3.838	5.055	4.262	4.431	5.769	6.724	5.641	
F. test     **     **     **       LSD 5%     0.162     0.286     0.136     0.314       LSD 1%     0.219     0.386     0.186     0.633       E test     K     **     **     **	Е	2.569	2.805	3.705	3.026	3.690	4.514	5.124	4.443	2.655	2.814	3.883	3.117	3.807	4.617	5.417	4.613	
LSD 5% 0.162 0.286 0.136 0.314 LSD 1% 0.219 0.386 0.186 0.633 F text K ** ** ** **	K Mean	4.088	4.428	5.484		5.595	6.331	7.506		4.281	4.523	5.637		5.902	6.532	7.698		
LSD 1% 0.219 0.386 0.186 0.633 E test K ** ** ** **	F. test			**				**				**		**				
E tost K ** ** ** **	LSD 5%		0.	162			0.	286			0.	136		0.314				
F teet	LSD 1%		0.	219			0.	386			0.	186		0.633				
P. test N ** ** ** **	E taat K			**				**				**		**				
	r. test N	**						**				**		**				

Also, data showed that the interaction between potassium levels and nitrogen treatments had a significant effect on grain and straw yield in both the 1<sup>st</sup> and the

 $2^{nd}$  seasons. Also, Table 3 shows that, the highest values of wheat grain yield (6.743 &6.860 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and straw yield (9.269 &9.507 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were obtained when 94.8 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> overlapped with 214 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 1st and 2nd seasons, respectively, the following highest values of wheat grain yield were obtained with (K<sub>2</sub> × B), (K<sub>1</sub> × A), (K<sub>2</sub> × C) and (K<sub>0</sub> × A), respectively. The conclusion from this is the important role of potassium for wheat grain production, where 94.8 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> is preferably added for economic crop of wheat, also for producing the best wheat yield in saline soil (Ec > 4 dS m<sup>-1</sup>), it is preferable to add 214 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 94.8 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>.

## *Phosphorus uptake by wheat grain and straw (kg P ha*<sup>-1</sup>)

Data in Table 3 show that there was significant increase in phosphor uptake by both wheat grain and straw (total phosphorus removed by the crop from the soil in grain and straw) in both the  $1^{st}$  and the  $2^{nd}$  seasons due to appling potassium and nitrogen fertilizers levels.

Also, as in previous variables, the highest values of P uptake were obtained with applying 214 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as nitrogen factor and 94.8 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> as potassium treatments, where there was a positive relationship between each factor separately (potassium or nitrogen) and P uptake. Baque *et al.* (2006) reported that uptake of P was enhanced with the increasing potassium levels. But Antoun *et al.* (2010) obtained similar results when they used nitrogen treatments.

Also, data in Table 3 show that interaction effect between potassium and nitrogen treatments was significant on P uptake by wheat grain and straw in both 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons. The highest values of P uptake for wheat grains in both 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons were obtained with 214 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 178 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively when applied with 94.8 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>. But the highest values of P uptake for wheat straw in both the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons were with (K<sub>2</sub> × A), (K<sub>1</sub> × A) and (K<sub>2</sub> × B), respectively.

TABLE 3. Phosphorus uptake (kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>) by grain and straw of wheat plant as affected by the interaction between potassium and nitrogen treatments during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons

	201	4/201	5 seas	sons													
				2011/	2012			2012/2013									
T		Gr	ain			St	raw			Gi	ain		Straw				
Treatments	K <sub>0</sub>	K1	K <sub>2</sub>	N Mean	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>	N Mean	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>	N Mean	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	$\mathbf{K}_2$	N Mean	
А	13.671	15.769	18.843	16.094	8.307	9.817	10.031	9.385	13.998	15.943	19.307	16.416	8.710	10.236	10.286	9.744	
В	11.126	11.902	15.988	13.006	8.145	8.693	9.502	8.780	11.579	12.195	16.590	13.455	8.221	8.871	9.681	8.925	
С	8.733	9.283	13.831	10.616	5 7.260 7.543 8.652 7.818 9					9.424	14.174	10.948	7.514	7.752	8.457	7.908	
D	5.900	6.743	10.040	7.561	5.764	6.160	6.955	6.293	6.855	7.038	10.388	8.094	5.762	6.007	7.038	6.269	
Е	2.783	2.936	5.298	3.672	4.879	5.479	5.862	5.406	2.917	2.976	5.567	3.820	4.905	5.379	6.024	5.436	
K Mean	8.443	9.327	12.800		6.871	7.538	8.200		8.919	9.515	13.205		7.022	7.649	8.297		
F. test		*	*			1	**		•	** **							
LSD 5%		0.6	514			0.4	400			0.	636		0.407				
LSD 1%		0.8					543				860		0.550				
F. test K		** **							** *:						*		
F. test N		*	*			3	**			3	**			*	*		
*Significa	nt at 5	% lev	el.	**	Signif	licant	at 1%	level									
$K_0 = 0 \text{ kg}$	K ha <sup>-1</sup>				$K_1 =$	47.4	kg K l	ha <sup>-1</sup> .		$K_2 = 94.8 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$ .							
A = 214  k							g N ha			$C = 133.5 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ .							
D = 89  kg	N ha	<sup>1</sup> .			E = 4	4.5 kg	g N ha	ι <sup>-1</sup> .					-				
K = Potas	sium t	reatm	ents		N = N	Jitrog	en tre	atmen	its								

K = Potassium treatments. N = Nitrogen treatments.

## *Potassium uptake by wheat grain and straw (kg K ha*<sup>-1</sup>)

Data presented in Table 4 show that potassium uptake significantly increased by wheat grain and straw (total potassium removed by the crop from the soil in grain and straw) in both the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons due to potassium treatments. Also, the highest mean value of K uptake was obtained with 94.8 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>. Baque *et al.* (2006) obtained similar results.

Also, there was significant increment in K uptake by wheat grain and straw in both the  $1^{st}$  and the  $2^{nd}$  season, by nitrogen treatments, the highest mean values of K uptake by grains and straw in both the  $1^{st}$  and the  $2^{nd}$  seasons were recorded with A, B, C, D and E, respectively. Similar results were obtained by Antoun *et al.* (2010). Such as P uptake, also, there was a positive relationship between each factor separately (potassium or nitrogen) and K uptake.

Also, data in Table 4 show that interaction effect between potassium and nitrogen treatments was significant on K uptake by wheat straw in both 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons and wheat grain in the 1<sup>st</sup> season, but, this effect was significant at 5% in wheat grain in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season. The highest values of K uptake for wheat grains and straw in both the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons, were obtained with (K<sub>2</sub> × A), (K<sub>2</sub> × B) and (K<sub>1</sub> × A), respectively.

TABLE 4. Potassium uptake (kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>) by grain and straw of wheat plant as affected by the interaction between potassium and nitrogen treatments during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons

F				20	11/2012				2012/2013									
Freatment		Gr	ain			Str	aw			Gr	ain		Straw					
	$\mathbf{K}_{0}$	K <sub>1</sub>	$\mathbf{K}_2$	N Mean	K <sub>0</sub>	$\mathbf{K}_{0}$	K <sub>1</sub> K <sub>2</sub> N Mea			K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	<b>K</b> <sub>2</sub>	N Mean					
А	1.071	1.286	2.093	1.483	65.924	79.109	88.171	77.735	1.086	1.303	2.090	1.493	70.071	80.769	88.660	79.833		
В	0.821	1.027	1.714	1.188	57.464	63.412	81.055	67.310	0.855	1.068	1.752	1.225	60.362	63.864	81.733	68.653		
С	0.555	0.721	1.095	0.790	45.938	47.674	64.652	52.755	0.633	0.743	1.169	0.848	49.421	50.893	67.367	55.894		
D	0.340	0.460	0.781	0.527	36.029	39.990	53.693	43.237	0.467	0.630	0.798	0.631	39.112	40.481	57.029	45.540		
Е	0.148	0.207	0.483	0.279	24.943	29.419	40.602	31.655	0.212	0.297	0.505	0.338	25.921	29.574	43.357	32.951		
K Mean	0.587	0.740	1.233		46.060	51.921	65.635		0.650	0.808	1.263		48.978	53.116	67.629			
F		** **								* **								
LSD 5%		0.0	50			3.0	60			0.1	36		3.231					
LSD 1%		0.0	67			4.1	48							4.3	76			
<sub>F</sub> К	** **								*:	×		**						
F N		** **								*:	×		**					
*Signifi	cant a	at 5%	level		** Sig	gnifica	nt at 1	% leve	el.									
$K_0 = 0 k$	ĸ	1 = 47	.4 kg F	K ha <sup>-1</sup> .		$K_2 = 94.8 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$ .												
$A = 214 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ .						= 178	kg N	ha⁻¹.			C = 1	33.51	cg N h	$g N ha^{-1}$ .				
D = 89	kg N	ha <sup>-1</sup> .			E	= 44.5	5 kg N	ha <sup>-1</sup> .			C							
K = Pot	assiu	n trea	tmen	ts.	Ν	= Niti	rogen	treatm	ents.									

*Phosphorus Utilization Efficiency (PU<sub>t</sub>E) (kg grain kg P uptake<sup>-1</sup>) by wheat crop* Data in Table 5 showed that there was no significant decrease in PU<sub>t</sub>E in both 1<sup>st</sup> and the 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons by increasing potassium fertilizer rates up to 94.8 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>. But, there was significant increment in PU<sub>t</sub>E due to applying nitrogen

fertilizer in both seasons. The highest value of  $PU_tE$  was obtained with E followed by D, C, B and A treatments.

Also, Data showed the effect of the interactions among potassium application and nitrogen treatments on phosphorus utilization efficiency for wheat crop in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons. This interaction was not significantly decreased in PU<sub>t</sub>E for wheat crop in both seasons. The highest values of PU<sub>t</sub>E were obtained by this interaction were with (K<sub>0</sub> × E), (K<sub>1</sub> × E) and (K<sub>2</sub> × E), respectively.

# Potassium Utilization Efficiency $(KU_tE)$ (kg grain kg K uptake<sup>-1</sup>) by wheat crop

Data in Table 5 showed that there was significant decrease in  $KU_tE$  in both the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons by increasing potassium fertilizer rates up to 94.8 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup>. Also, there was significant increment in  $KU_tE$  by applying nitrogen fertilizer in both seasons. The highest value of  $KU_tE$  was obtained with E, D, C, B and A, respectively.

Also, Data showed that the effect of the interactions between potassium application and nitrogen treatments on potassium utilization efficiency by wheat crop was not significantly decreased in both the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 2<sup>nd</sup> seasons. The highest values of KU<sub>t</sub>E were obtained by this interaction were with (K<sub>0</sub> × E), (K<sub>1</sub> × E) and (K<sub>0</sub> × D), respectively in the 1<sup>st</sup> season and (K<sub>0</sub> × E), (K<sub>0</sub> × D) and (K<sub>1</sub> × E), respectively in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season.

TABLE 5. PU<sub>t</sub>E and KU<sub>t</sub>E by wheat crop as affected by the interaction between potassium and nitrogen treatments during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 seasons

	seasons.																			
Treatments	8	2011/2012									2012/2013									
		PU	J <b>tE</b>			KU	J <b>tE</b>			PU	J <b>tE</b>		KUtE							
	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>	N Mean	K <sub>0</sub>	<b>K</b> 1	$\mathbf{K}_2$	N Mean	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>	N Mean	K <sub>0</sub>	<b>K</b> 1	K <sub>2</sub>	N Mean				
А	243.88	239.08	233.53	238.83	80.01	76.09	74.70	76.93	240.75	239.54	231.81	237.37	76.83	76.41	75.59	76.28				
В	250.95	249.24	248.72	249.64	82.97 79.66 76.60 79.74				255.30	249.31	248.60	251.07	82.58	80.88	78.23	80.56				
С	266.80	259.24	256.59	260.88	91.78 90.13 87.75 89.89				258.73	258.38	258.72	258.61	86.63	85.95	85.43	86.00				
D	292.35	288.62	286.08	289.02	93.76 92.06 89.25 91.69				308.55	294.21	290.08	297.62	98.36	93.36	87.42	93.04				
Е	335.29	333.33	331.99	333.54	102.39 94.68 90.18 95.75				339.43	336.80	335.00	337.08	101.60	94.21	88.53	94.78				
K Mean	277.86	273.90	271.38		90.18	86.52	83.70		280.55	275.65	272.84		89.20	86.16	83.04					
F.test		ns ns							ns ns											
LSD 5%																				
LSD 1%																				
K F. test		r	ıs			**				1	15		**							
r. test		*	*			*	*	** **												

## Discussion

## Grain and straw yield

Wheat grain and straw yields (Mg ha-1) increased by increasing potassium fertilizers up to 94.8 kg K ha-1, this is due to the limited K supply which slows plant growth and decreases biomass production, thus increasing potassium fertilization consequently causes to increase production of grain and straw. These interpretations matching to came out (Hermans et al. 2006 and Rengel & Damon, 2008). Pettigrew (2008) pointed to the importance of potassium due to its involvment in many physiological processes, potassium impact on water relations, photosynthesis, assimilate transport and enzyme activation can have direct consequences on crop productivity. Also, increased nitrogen fertilization led to increased production of wheat yield due to strong response to additions of nitrogen, which is regarded as the main element in the construction of amino acids, proteins and enters in many physiological processes in the plant. Also, potassium and nitrogen fertilization can strongly affect crop productivity under conditions of salinity, due to that the addition of nutrients can either enhance or decrease plants' resistance to salinity by helping plant to strong growth, thus increasing the wheat yield with increased potassium and nitrogen fertilization as the most important elements for plant growth under saline soil.

## Phosphorus and potassium uptake by wheat grain and straw

Increasing phosphorus and potassium uptake by increasing potassium and nitrogen fertilization, due to the potassium and nitrogen are the most important nutrients for plants, where many physiological processes rely on them, especially those responsible for absorbing elements by enhancing roots and thus increasing the concentration of nutrients in plant such as phosphorus and potassium. Baque *et al.* (2006) reported that uptake of P was enhanced with the increasing potassium levels. But Antoun *et al.* (2010) obtained similar results when they used nitrogen treatments.

## $PU_tE$ and $KU_tE$ by wheat

From the foregoing it is clear that nitrogen deficiency leads to high of PUtE and KUtE. This increase in PUtE and KUtE indicates that there is a decrease in phosphorus and potassium uptake. Therefore, nitrogen deficiency leads to phosphorus and potassium deficiency even with the availability in the soil absorption plant. This interpretation is consistent with Dobermann (2007) who indicated that very high nutrients utilization efficiency suggests deficiency of that nutrient.

#### Conclusion

It could be concluded the important role of potassium and nitrogen in wheat production, preferably added at 94.8 kg K ha.<sup>-1</sup> and 214 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> for economic crop of wheat especially in saline soil. Nitrogen deficiency leads to high symptoms of phosphorus and potassium deficiency even with the availability in the soil absorption plant.

Acknowledgements: The research was financially supported by Soils, Water and Environment Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt.

#### References

- Abou-Salama, A.M., Ismai, A.A., Teama, E. A. and Kheiralla, K. A. (2000) Yield response of some wheat lines to nitrogen fertilization under two soils types. *Assiut J. Agric. Sci.*, 31 (2), 175-187.
- Ahmed, S. M. M. (2002) Response of some wheat cultivars to nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization under sandy soil condition. *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.*, **29** (4), 264-278.
- Antoun, L. W., Zakaria, S. M. and Rafla, H. H. (2010) Influence of compost, Nmineral and humic acid on yield and chemical composition of wheat plants. J. Soil Sci. and Agric. Engi., Mansoura Univ., 1(11), 1131-1143.
- Baligar, V. C., Fageria, N. K. and He, Z. L. (2001) NUTRIENT USE EFFICIENCY IN PLANTS, *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, **32**, 7-8, 921-950.
- Baque, M. A., Karim, M.A., Hamid, A. and Tetsushi, H. (2006) Effects of fertilizer potassium on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*) under water stress conditions. *South Pacific Studies*, 27 (1), 25-35.
- Cassman, K. G., Dobermann, A. and Walters, D. (2002) Agroecosystems, nitrogenuse efficiency, and nitrogen management. *Ambio.* 31, 132–140.
- Damon, P. and Rengel, Z. (2007) Wheat genotypes differ in potassium efficiency under glasshouse and field conditions. *Aust. J. Agr. Res.* 58, 816–825.
- **Dobermann, A. (2007)** Nutrient use efficiency measurement and management. *The IFA International Workshop on Fertilizer Best Management Practices*, 7-9 March 2007, Brussels, Belgium, 1-32.
- El Bassam, N. (1998) A concept of selection for 'low input' wheat varieties. *Euphytica* 100,153–158.
- El-Abady M. I., Seadh, S. E. Abeer El-Ward, Ibrahim, A. and El-Emam, A. A. M. (2009) Irrigation withholding and potassium foliar application effects on wheat yield and quality. *Int. J. Sustain. Crop Prod.*, **4** (4), 33-39.
- Gibson, T. S. (1988) Carbohydrate metabolism and phosphorus-salinity interactions in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Plant and Soil*, 111, 25-35.
- Hermans, C., Hammond, J., White, P. and Verbruggen, N. (2006) How do plants respond to nutrient shortage by biomass allocation? *Trends Plant Sci.*, **11**, 610–617.
- Klute, A. (1986) Methods of Soil Analysis part 1, Physical and Mineralogical Methods. Am. soc. of Agrom.and Am.soc.soil sci.Methods Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Matson, P. A., Naylor, R. and Ortiz-Monasterio, I. (1998) Integration of environmental, agronomic, and economic aspects of fertilizer management. *Science*, 280, 112–115.
- Page, A.L, Miller, R.H. and Keeny, D.R., (1982) Methods of Soil Analysis part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Am. Soc.of Agrom., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Pettigrew, W. T. (2008) Potassium influences on yield and quality production for maize, wheat, soybean and cotton. *Physiologia Plantarum*, **133**, 670–681.
- **Rahimi, A. (2012)** Effect of potassium and nitrogen on yield and yield components of dry land wheat in Boyerahmad Region of Iran. *Annals of Biol. Res.*, **3** (7), 3274-3277.
- Rengel, Z. and Damon, P. (2008) Crops and genotypes differ in efficiency of potassium uptake and use. *Physiol Plant*, 133, 624–636.
- Seleem, S. A. and Abd El-Dayem, S. M. (2013) Response of some wheat cultivars to nitrogen fertilizer levels. *J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ.*, 4(5), 721 731.
- Siddiqi, M. and Glass, A. (1981) Utilization index: a modified approach to the estimation and comparison of nutrient utilization efficiency in plants. J. Plant Nutr. 4, 289–302.
- Steel, R. G. D. and Torrie, J. H. (1980) *Principles and Procedures of Statics*,2nd ed. Mc Graw Hill International Book Company, New York, USA.
- Tababtabaei, S. A. and Ranjbar, G. H. (2012) Effect of different levels of nitrogen and potassium on grain yield and protein of wheat. *Intern. Res. J. Appl. Basic. Sci.*, **3**(2), 390-393.
- Trehan, S. and Sharma, R. (2002) Potassium uptake efficiency of young plants of three potato cultivars as related to root and shoot parameters. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plan*, 33, 1813–1823.
- Yash, P. K. (1998) Handbook Reference Methods for Plant Analysis. Taylor & Francis Group, London.
- Zhang, H., Rong, H. and Pilbeam, D. (2007) Signalling mechanisms underlying the morphological responses of the root system to nitrogen in Arabidopsis thaliana. J. Exp Bot. 58, 2329–2338.

(*Received* 31/5/2015; *Accepted* 1/10/2015)

# تأثير التسميد البوتاسى والنيتروجينى على كفاءة إستخدام بعض العناصر الغذائية للقمح

#### إبراهيم سعيد محد مسعد و كريم فكرى فودة\*

معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبيئة - مركز البحوث الزراعية- الجيزة و مصر \*قسم الأراضي - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة - مصر

لدراسة تأثير معاملات من التسميد البوتاسي والنيتروجين على محصول القمح وامتصاص وكفاءة إستخدام كل من الفوسفور والبوتاسيوم للقمح صنف سخا 93. أجريت تجربتين حقليتين فى محطة البحوث الزراعية بالسرو مركز البحوث الزراعية، مصر وهي ذات تربة طينية ملحية خلال موسمين شتويين 2012/2011 و 2013/2012. التجارب أقيمت في تصميم القطع المنشقة مع أربع مكررات. القطع الرئيسية كانت لمعاملات التسميد البوتاسيوم (0، 47.4 و 94.8 كجم بوتاسيوم هكتار 1). القطع المنشقة كانت لمعاملات التسميد النيتروجيني كالآتي 44.5، 89، 133,5، 178 و 214 كجم نيتروجين هكتار<sup>-1</sup>. أوضحت النتائج ان محصول القمح من الحبوب والقش و امتصاص الفوسفور والبوتاسيوم في حبوب وقش القمح زآدت مع زيادة معدلات البوتاسيوم الى 94.8 كجم بوتاسيوم هكتار<sup>-1</sup>، ولكن كان هناك نقص في كفاءة الاستفادة من الفوسفور والبوتاسيوم. أيضا أوضحت النتائج معدلات 214، 178، 133.5 ، 89 و 44.5 كجم نيتروجين هكتار<sup>-1</sup> على التوالّي أعطت أعلى القيم من المدلولات السابقة ما عدا كفائة الاستفادة من الفوسفور والبوتاسيوم حيث كانت ترتيب القيم تنازليا بعكس ترتيب المعاملات السابقة. أيضا التفاعل بين معاملات 94.8 كجم بوتاسيوم هكتار <sup>1</sup> و 214 كجم نيتروجين هكتار <sup>1</sup> أعطت أعلى القيم من محصول القمح من الحبوب والقش وكذلك امتصاص كلا من الفوسفور والبوتاسيوم في حبوب وقش القمح كما أعطت أقل القيم من كفاءة الاستفادة من الفوسفور والبوتاسيوم في كلا الموسمين لذلك، يفضل اضافة 94.8 كجم بوتاسيوم هكتار<sup>1</sup> و 214 كجم نيتروجين هكتار<sup>1</sup> للحصول على محصول اقتصادي من حبوب وقش القمح خاصة في الأراضي المتأثرة بالأملاح. أيضا أعلى نتائج كفاءة الاستفادة من الفوسفور والبوتاسيوم في كلا الموسمين كانت مع التفاعل بين معاملتي () كجم بوتاسيوم هكتار و 44.5 كجم نيتروجين هكتار<sup>-1</sup>، يشير هذا ان العجز في امتصاص الفوسفور والبوتاسيوم يكون مع نقص البوتاسيوم والنيتروجين المتاحيين.