

DIALLEL ANALYSIS OF GRAIN YIELD AND SOME AGRONOMIC TRAITS IN NEW SEVEN YELLOW MAIZE INBRED LINES

M. B. A. El-Koomy

Maize Res. Sec. Field Crops Res. Institute, ARC, Egypt

(Received: Nov. 24, 2014)

ABSTRACT: *Diallel crosses, without reciprocals, among seven new yellow maize inbred lines derived from different maize populations were made in 2012 season at Giza Agric. Res. Station. The resultant 21 crosses along with two commercial check hybrids, i.e. SC 162 and SC 168 were evaluated in a randomized complete block design with four replications conducted at three locations, i.e. Sakha, Sids and Mallawy Agric. Res. Stations in 2013 season. The results indicated that mean squares due to GCA and SCA were highly significant for all traits studied, i.e. days to 50 % silking, plant and ear heights, ear length, ear diameter, No. of rows per ear, No. of kernel per row and grain yield per feddan indicating the importance of both additive and non-additive gene effects in the inheritance of the traits studied. The ratio of general to specific combining ability mean squares (δ^2 GCA/ δ^2 SCA) exceeded unity for all traits studied, indicating that the additive gene action was more important than non-additive gene action in the inheritance of traits studied. The parental inbred lines P_1 , P_2 , and P_6 had significant positive GCA effects for grain yield. For other traits the best general combiner were P_6 for earliness, and P_3 and P_4 for both shorter plants and lower ear placement. The best cross combinations for earliness were $P_1 \times P_4$ and $P_4 \times P_6$. Concerning plant and ear heights, the cross $P_3 \times P_5$ had the shortest plants with the lowest ear placement. The cross $P_4 \times P_6$ had the best SCA effects for grain yield. The crosses $P_1 \times P_2$, $P_2 \times P_6$ and $P_5 \times P_6$ significantly outyielded the check hybrid SC 168. These promising crosses may be released as commercial hybrids by maize research program after further evaluation.*

Key words: *Diallel crosses, yellow maize, (GCA and SCA), Promising crosses, combining ability.*

INTRODUCTION

Maize is a major crop for both livestock feed and human nutrition. It contributes substantially to the total cereal grain production of the world and also occupies a relevant place in the world economy and trade as a food, feed, and an industrial grain crop. Maize products are used in the manufacture of diverse commodities including glue, soap, paint, insecticides, toothpaste, shaving cream, rubber tires, rayon, molded plastics, fuels, and others (White and Johnson, 2003). In Egypt, 2013 growing season, it was grown in about 1,724,000 million feddan (one feddan = 4200 m²) which produce about 5,788,000 million tons of grain with an average yield of 23.98 ardab per feddan (one ardab = 140 kg) (Economic Affairs Sector, Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation).

Much efforts are devoted to increase maize productivity through genetical

improvement. To carry out a successful breeding program, enough knowledge about the type of gene action and relative amounts of genetic variance components and their interaction by environments for the traits in question. One of the most informative methodology in this concern is the diallel analysis system which is widely and extensively used for estimating types of gene action. The two main genetic parameters of diallel analysis are GCA and SCA which are essential in developing breeding strategies. Furthermore, the magnitude of genetic components for a certain trait would depend mainly upon the environmental fluctuations under which the breeding populations will be tested. Therefore, much effort has been devoted by corn breeders to estimate the interactions between genetic components and environments.

In this concern, Griffing (1956) gave a complete analysis of diallel crosses for fixed and random set of parents. El-Shamarka (1995), Mostafa *et al.* (1996), Abd El-Aty and Katta (2002) and Ibrahim *et al.* (2010) reported that specific combining ability effects were much more important in the inheritance of grain yield and its components. Meanwhile, Beck *et al.* (1991), El-Hosary *et al.* (1999), Abd El-Moula (2005), Derera *et al.* (2008), Vivek *et al.* (2010), Sibiya *et al.* (2011) and Abd El-Mottalib *et al.* (2013) reported that general combining ability was more important in determining yield and other characters. El Hosary and sedhom (1990), Mohamed (1993) and sedhom (1994) concluded that the additive genetic variance was more affected by genotype x environment interaction than the non-additive variance for grain yield per plant. On the contrary, Nawar *et al.* (2002), El-Hosary *et al.* (2006) and Sedhom *et al.* (2007) reported that the non-additive effects were more affected by the environments than the additive effects for grain yield.

This investigation was aimed at: 1) estimating the magnitude of both general (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) effects and their interaction with different locations for the traits studied, i.e. days to 50 % silking, plant and ear heights, ear length, ear diameter, No. of rows per ear, No. of kernel per row and grain yield per feddan and 2) identifying the best promising crosses for possible release as commercial hybrids.

MATREIALS AND METHODS

The field work of this research was carried out on two successive summer seasons of 2012 and 2013 at Sakha, Sids and Malloway Agric. Res. Stn., Field Crops Res. Inst. (FCRI), Agricultural Research Center (ARC), Egypt.

The materials consisted of seven yellow maize inbred lines developed at Giza Res., Stn. namely P₁ to P₇ were chosen based on genetic diversity and flowering information. In 2012 season, the seven inbred lines were crossed in a half- diallel mating design to produce 21 F₁ crosses. In 2013 season, the

resultant 21 F₁ crosses along with two commercial single crosses as checks hybrids, namely SC 162 and SC 168, were evaluated in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with four replications conducted at three locations, i.e. Sakha, Sids and Malloway Res. Stns. Plot was one row, 6 m long and 0.8 m apart. Planting was made in hills spaced at 25 cm along the row. All recommended agronomic practices for maize production were applied at the proper time. Data were recorded for days to 50% silking (DTS), calculated as the number of days from planting date to date when 50% of plants in the plot produced visible silks; Plant height (PH), measured in cm on 10 competitive plants plot⁻¹ as the distance from soil surface to the point of flag leaf insertion; Ear height (EH), measured in cm on 10 competitive plants plot⁻¹ as the distance from soil surface to the ear leaf; Ear length (EL) (cm), Ear diameter (ED) (cm), No. of rows per ear⁻¹ (RE), and No. of kernels per row⁻¹ (KR) were measured as an average of 10 randomly selected ears plot⁻¹. Grain yield per feddan (GYPF) was estimated on a per plot basis then adjusted to 15.5% grain moisture and expressed in ardab per feddan (ard/fed), one ardab = 140 kg of maize grains and one feddan =4200 m². The statistical analysis of RCBD was performed based on plot means according to Steel and Torrie (1980). Bartlett test was used to test the homogeneity of error variances for all studied traits. The combined statistical analysis across locations was conducted according to Meintosh (1983) with genotypes considered as fixed and locations as fixed variables. The combining ability analysis was done following Griffings (1956) Method 4 Model 1 to estimate general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability variances and effects.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance

The combined analysis of variance for all traits studied, i.e. days to 50 % silking, plant and ear heights, ear length, ear diameter, No. of rows per ear, No. of kernel per row and grain yield per feddan is presented in Table. 1. Highly significant differences were

Diallel analysis of grain yield and some agronomic traits in new seven.....

obtained among the three locations for all traits studied except for No. of rows ear⁻¹ and No. of kernels/row⁻¹, indicating that the three locations differed in their environmental conditions. Crosses mean squares were found to be highly significant for all traits, indicating that wide diversity exist between the parental materials used in this investigation. Mean squares due to crosses × locations interactions were also highly significant for all traits studied except for ear diameter, no. of rows/ear and no. of kernels/row, indicating that these crosses behaved differently from location to another. The absence of interaction (not significant) indicate that the crosses performed similarly at the test locations. Mean squares due to GCA and SCA were highly significant for all traits studied, indicating that both additive and non-additive gene effects were important in the inheritance of the studied traits. Variances due to the interaction between location and GCA were either significant or highly significant for all traits studied, except ear diameter, no. of rows/ear and no. of kernels/row, indicating that the additive type of gene action varied from location to another. So, it would not be effective to make selection on the basis of evaluation in a single environment and more environments are needed. Similar results were obtained by El-Hosary (1989), Barakat *et al.* (2003) and Osman *et al.* (2012), They found that the interactions between both types of combining abilities and the environment were highly significant. Variances due to SCA × location were not significant for all studied traits, except for plant height and grain yield per feddan where variances were significant. The absence of interactions between locations and each of GCA and SCA indicated that GCA and SCA variances did not differ from one location to another.

High GCA/SCA ratio (Table.1), which exceed the unity for all traits, indicating the importance of additive and additive by additive gene effects for these traits. Similar results were reported by Abd El-Aty and Katta (2002) and Bujak *et al.* (2006), they found that ear length was mostly determined

by additive gene action. Abd El-Moula (2005), Derera *et al.* (2008), Vivek *et al.* (2010), Sibiya *et al.* (2011) and Ibrahim (2012) found that the additive gene action was more important than the non-additive for grain yield.

The ratio of SCAXL/ SCA was higher than the ratio of GCAXL/ GCA for all the traits studied, except for days to 50% silking and no. of rows/ear indicating that non-additive genetic effects were more influenced by the environmental conditions than additive genetic effects for these traits. These results are in agreement with those reported by Gilbert (1958). While the additive genetic effects were more influenced by the environmental condition than non-additive genetic effects for the exceptional traits i.e. days to 50% silking and no. of rows/ear. Similar findings were reported by Motawei (2006), Ibrahim *et al.* (2010) and Ibrahim (2012) for grain yield.

Mean performance:

Mean performance of the 21 crosses along with the two check hybrids for all traits studied are presented in Table. 2. For no. of days to 50% silking, seven crosses were significantly earlier than the latest check hybrid SC 162 (63.25 day). While, only three crosses were significantly earlier than the earlier check hybrid SC 168 (62.58 day). These crosses are P₁×P₇, P₃×P₇ and P₆×P₇. While, the cross P₄×P₇ was the latest one. With respect to plant and ear heights, twenty crosses were significantly shorter than the check hybrid SC 162. While, only three crosses; P₃×P₄, P₃×P₅ and P₄×P₅ gave the least values than the shorter check hybrid, i.e. SC 168, for plant height. However, thirteen crosses gave lower values than the shortest check hybrid, i.e. SC 168, for ear height. The highest values for both traits were recorded by the cross P₁×P₂ (252.83/ 141.50 cm). Short plants would allow for increasing plant population density, consequently increasing grain and green yield potentialities, As well as minimizing the hazard of stalk lodging.

Table. (1). Combined analysis of variance for the traits studied over three locations (Sakha, Sids and Mallawy) in 2013 season.

S.O.V	d.f	Mean squares									
		DTS	PH	EH	EL	ED	RE	KR	GYPF		
Locations(Loc.)	2	633.41**	59969.78**	59723.87**	118.94**	7.18**	1.04	0.50	1553.02**		
Rep./ (Loc.)	9	5.47	819.56	311.06	2.14	0.13	2.49	19.29	25.26		
Crosses	20	63.71**	8169.97**	3004.81**	89.85**	0.74**	2.38**	250.29**	1301.32**		
Crosses X Loc.	40	2.87*	424.31**	183.51**	4.82*	0.06	0.47	12.07	51.81**		
GCA	6	76.90**	17379.12**	6705.97**	149.03**	1.00**	2.51**	357.93**	3206.83**		
SCA	14	58.04**	4223.18**	1418.60**	64.49**	0.63**	2.32**	204.16**	484.68**		
GCA X Loc.	12	4.24**	827.46**	394.31**	6.50*	0.05	0.77	17.08	66.88**		
SCA X Loc.	28	2.28	251.53*	93.16	4.11	0.06	0.34	9.92	45.35**		
Pooled error	180	1.87	157.54	74.12	3.15	0.05	0.45	11.94	15.35		
GCA/SCA		1.32	4.12	4.73	2.31	1.59	1.08	1.75	6.62		
GCA X Loc./GCA		0.06	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.31	0.05	0.02		
SCA X Loc./SCA		0.04	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.10	0.15	0.05	0.09		

* ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

Diallel analysis of grain yield and some agronomic traits in new seven.....

Table (2). Means of traits studied for 21 single crosses and two checks based on combined data across three locations (Sakha, Sids and Mallawy) in 2013 season.

Crosses	DTS	PH	EH	EL	ED	RE	KR	GYPF
$P_1 \times P_2$	65.00	252.83	141.50	19.32	4.65	13.75	39.60	35.69
$P_1 \times P_3$	61.83	243.17	135.58	22.17	4.90	14.35	43.45	31.35
$P_1 \times P_4$	61.75	239.50	130.67	21.77	4.93	14.88	43.58	28.45
$P_1 \times P_5$	62.83	252.83	141.42	22.70	5.02	14.60	43.48	32.57
$P_1 \times P_6$	64.08	242.75	141.75	21.33	4.88	14.45	41.78	34.58
$P_1 \times P_7$	61.00	231.83	126.42	22.37	4.90	14.40	42.50	27.39
$P_2 \times P_3$	62.83	251.25	141.42	20.42	4.83	13.90	42.48	32.08
$P_2 \times P_4$	63.33	252.33	141.75	20.18	4.88	14.00	42.30	31.47
$P_2 \times P_5$	64.58	259.42	151.42	21.52	4.98	14.15	42.03	34.31
$P_2 \times P_6$	64.25	246.17	140.42	20.10	4.85	14.30	40.03	35.94
$P_2 \times P_7$	62.67	233.50	131.83	21.53	4.92	13.79	42.43	33.27
$P_3 \times P_4$	67.50	174.00	97.83	14.38	4.35	14.10	32.00	7.03
$P_3 \times P_5$	67.75	181.58	94.00	15.93	4.55	13.45	33.85	7.84
$P_3 \times P_6$	62.08	237.67	132.17	22.28	5.02	14.50	42.85	27.22
$P_3 \times P_7$	61.08	220.17	121.92	20.25	4.82	14.65	40.58	21.21
$P_4 \times P_5$	67.42	168.92	97.00	15.10	4.32	13.50	31.45	7.30
$P_4 \times P_6$	61.83	233.50	128.67	22.35	5.03	15.05	44.49	34.84
$P_4 \times P_7$	68.25	207.42	116.33	14.45	4.13	13.45	28.75	7.10
$P_5 \times P_6$	62.92	237.08	133.92	21.77	4.95	14.30	41.30	36.56
$P_5 \times P_7$	62.67	219.17	120.75	19.83	4.75	14.35	39.63	21.99
$P_6 \times P_7$	61.25	226.08	128.58	22.30	4.75	14.00	44.23	29.83
Checks:								
SC. 162	63.25	267.33	150.08	23.65	4.90	13.70	44.58	34.26
SC. 168	62.58	226.92	141.25	22.34	5.00	14.75	44.60	32.23
LSD. 0.05	1.09	10.04	6.89	1.42	0.18	0.54	2.76	3.13

For ear length, none of the crosses significantly surpassed any of check hybrids. While, five crosses; i.e. P₁xP₅, P₁xP₇, P₃xP₆, P₄xP₆ and P₆xP₇ did not differ significantly from the better check hybrid (SC 162).

Regarding ear diameter, none of the crosses significantly surpassed the values of the check hybrids SC 162 and SC 168. While, the fourteen crosses; i.e., P₁xP₃, P₁xP₄, P₁xP₅, P₁xP₆, P₁xP₇, P₂xP₃, P₂xP₄, P₂xP₅, P₂xP₆, P₂xP₇, P₃xP₆, P₃xP₇, P₄xP₆ and P₅xP₆ did not differ significantly from the better check hybrids; i.e., SC 168. For No. of rows/ear, none of the crosses significantly surpassed the check hybrid SC 168. However, eleven crosses significantly surpassed the check hybrid SC 162 for this trait. For the trait of number of kernels per row, the mean values ranged from 28.75 kernels for P₄ X P₇ to 44.49 kernels for P₄ X P₆. None of the crosses significantly exceeded either of check hybrids. However, eleven crosses possessed similar performance to that of the check hybrids, while the remaining ten crosses possessed significantly inferior performance.

Concerning grain yield, eleven crosses gave similar yields to that of the highest yielding check hybrid SC 162, since differences were not significant. The crosses P₁xP₂, P₁xP₃, P₁xP₅, P₁xP₆, P₂xP₃, P₂xP₄, P₂xP₅, P₂xP₆, P₂xP₇, P₄xP₆ and P₅xP₆ exhibited significant increase for one or more traits contributing to grain yield Table.2. Meanwhile, three of these crosses significantly outyielded the check hybrid SC 168. These are P₁xP₂, P₂xP₆ and P₅xP₆. They gave the highest yield values; i.e., 35.69, 35.94 and 36.56 ard/fed., respectively. These three crosses may be released as commercial hybrids after further testing and evaluation.

Combining ability effects:

A. General combining ability :

Estimates of GCA effects (\hat{g}_i) of the parental inbred lines for each trait are presented in Table. 3. From breeder's point

of view, significant positive values would be required for all traits studied, except for days to 50% silking and plant and ear heights where significant negative values are most required. Accordingly, the parental inbred line P₆ would be the best combiner for earliness, since it was the only inbred to possess significant negative estimates of GCA effects (\hat{g}_i). With respect to plant and ear heights, significant negative (\hat{g}_i) effects were obtained for the inbred lines P₃, P₄ and P₅ (-13.36, -19.79 and -11.12 for plant height, and -9.44, -11.57 and -6.32, for ear height, respectively) So, these three parents are characterized by additive genes for shorter plants and lower ear placement. On the other hand, the parental inbred lines P₂ and P₁ exhibited significant positive (\hat{g}_i) effects toward tall plants and high ear placement. Also, the inbred line P₆ exhibited significant positive (\hat{g}_i) effects for ear height. The parental inbred lines P₁ and P₆ showed significant positive (\hat{g}_i) effects for ear length. While, none of the parental inbred lines exhibited significant positive estimates for ear diameter, No. of rows/ ear and no. of kernels/ row.

With respect to grain yield (ard /fed), highly significant positive (\hat{g}_i) effects were obtained by P₁, P₂ and P₆ (6.12, 8.66 and 7.91, respectively), indicating that these inbred lines possess favorable additive genes for yield which can be utilized in the hybrid breeding program.

B. Specific combining ability :

Specific combining ability effects were only estimated whenever significant SCA variances were obtained. Specific combining ability effects of 21 crosses for all traits studied are presented in Table. 4.

With regard to days to 50% silking, plant height and ear height, negative SCA effects are desired, while for other traits positive effects are desired.

Diallel analysis of grain yield and some agronomic traits in new seven.....

Table 3. Estimates of general combining ability (GCA) effects of each parental line for the characters studied across three locations (Sakha, Sids and Mallawy) in 2013 season.

Inbred	DTS	PH	EH	EL	ED	RE	KR	GYPF
P1	-1.09	17.66**	9.45**	1.81*	0.12	0.26	2.72	6.12**
P2	0.14	24.17**	15.65**	0.50	0.09	-0.25	1.61	8.66**
P3	0.22	-13.36**	-9.44**	-1.03	-0.04	-0.03	-1.12	-6.54**
P4	1.62**	-19.79**	-11.57**	-2.47**	-0.21*	-0.03	-3.64*	-8.65**
P5	1.23*	-11.12*	-6.32	-0.75	-0.02	-0.15	-1.81	-3.78*
P6	-1.11*	9.73	7.08*	1.91**	0.14	0.30	2.78	7.91**
P7	-1.01	-7.29	-4.85	0.03	-0.08	-0.10	-0.54	-3.72*
S.E. \hat{g}_i	0.57	5.20	3.56	0.73	0.09	0.28	1.43	1.62
S.E. $\hat{g}_i - \hat{g}_j$	0.87	7.94	5.44	1.12	0.14	0.42	2.19	2.48

* ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

Diallel analysis of grain yield and some agronomic traits in new seven.....

Table. (4). Specific combining ability (SCA) effects for all traits studied across three locations (Sakha, Sids and Mallowy) in 2013 season.

Cross	DTS	PH	EH	EL	ED	RE	KR	GYPF
P1 X P2	2.29*	-18.11	-11.94	-3.09*	-0.34	-0.45	-4.86	-5.67
P1 X P3	-0.96	9.76	7.22	1.29	0.04	-0.06	1.72	5.20
P1 X P4	-2.44*	12.53	4.44	2.33	0.24	0.46	4.37	4.41
P1 X P5	-0.97	17.19	9.94	1.54	0.14	0.31	2.44	3.65
P1 X P6	2.63*	-13.74	-3.13	-2.49	-0.17	-0.29	-3.85	-6.02
P1 X P7	-0.56	-7.64	-6.53	0.43	0.08	0.05	0.19	-1.58
P2 X P3	-1.19	11.33	6.86	0.85	0.01	-0.01	1.85	3.38
P2 X P4	-2.09	18.84	9.32	2.06	0.23	0.09	4.20	4.88
P2 X P5	-0.46	17.26	13.74	1.67	0.14	0.36	2.09	2.84
P2X P6	1.56	-16.84	-10.66	-2.40	-0.17	0.06	-4.50	-7.21*
P2 X P7	-0.12	-12.49	-7.31	0.91	0.13	-0.05	1.22	1.77
P3 X P4	1.99	-21.96*	-9.51	-2.21	-0.18	-0.02	-3.37	-4.35
P3 X P5	2.63*	-23.04*	-18.59**	-2.39	-0.16	-0.55	-3.35	-8.42**
P3 X P6	-0.69	12.19	6.17	1.31	0.12	0.05	1.06	-0.72
P3 X P7	-1.79	11.71	7.86	1.15	0.16	0.59	2.10	4.91
P4 X P5	0.89	-29.27**	-13.46	-1.78	-0.23	-0.50	-3.23	-6.85*
P4 X P6	-2.34*	14.46	4.81	2.81	0.30	0.60	5.23	9.01**
P4 X P7	3.98**	5.39	4.41	-3.21*	-0.35*	-0.61	-7.20*	-7.09*
P5 X P6	-0.87	9.38	4.81	0.51	0.04	-0.03	0.20	5.85
P5 X P7	-1.22	8.48	3.57	0.45	0.08	0.41	1.84	2.92
P6 X P7	-0.29	-5.46	-1.99	0.26	-0.10	-0.39	1.85	-0.92
S.E. \hat{S}_{ij}	1.12	10.25	7.03	1.45	0.18	0.55	2.82	3.20
S.E. $\hat{S}_{ij} - \hat{S}_{ik}$	1.73	15.88	10.89	2.24	0.28	0.85	4.37	4.96
S.E. $\hat{S}_{ij} - \hat{S}_{kl}$	1.50	13.75	9.43	1.94	0.24	0.73	3.78	4.29

As for days to 50% silking, the two crosses $P_1 \times P_4$ and $P_4 \times P_6$ expressed significant negative (\hat{S}_{ij}) effects. So, it could be useful in areas which require early maturity hybrids. The other crosses had either significant positive or insignificant (\hat{S}_{ij}) effects.

Regarding plant height, three crosses; namely $P_3 \times P_4$ (-21.96*), $P_3 \times P_5$ (-23.04*) and $P_4 \times P_5$ (-29.27**); gave significant and negative (\hat{S}_{ij}) effects.

For ear height, only one cross, i.e. $P_3 \times P_5$, expressed highly significant negative (\hat{S}_{ij}) effects. Therefore, this cross was considered the best among the studied crosses for ear height. This may suggest the immediate use to decrease lodging, and in turn, increase the yield potentiality.

None of the crosses expressed significant positive (\hat{S}_{ij}) effects for ear length, ear diameter, No. of rows/ear and No. of kernels/row.

With regard to grain yield, only one cross, i.e. $P_4 \times P_6$, expressed significant positive (\hat{S}_{ij}) effects estimated at a value of (9.01**). This cross was among the 5 best performing crosses with a mean performance for grain yield of 34.84 ard/fed. It represented a parental combination of (high x low) GCA effects. Which suggests that additive x dominance genetic interaction was involved in this cross. Hence, this cross may be considered for release as a commercial hybrid after further testing and evaluation. Similar findings were reported earlier by Nawar and El-Hosary (1985), Soliman *et al.* (2001), Sadek *et al.* (2002), Gaber *et al.* (2008) and Abdallah *et al.* (2009).

REFERENCES

- Abdallah, T. A. E., Afaf A. I. Gabr and A.A. El Khishen (2009). Combining ability in line x tester crosses of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Annals of Agric. Sci. Moshtohor*, 47(1): 11-20.
- Abd El-Aty, M.S. and Y.S. Katta (2002). Estimation of heterosis and combining ability for yield and other agronomic traits in maize hybrids (*Zea mays* L.). *J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ.*, 27(8): 5137-5146.
- Abd El-Moula, M.A. (2005). Combining ability for grain yield and other traits in some newly developed inbred lines of yellow maize. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed.*, 9(2): 53-70.
- Abd El-Mottalb, A.A., M. A. Mostafa and H. A. A. Gamea (2013). Combining ability estimates in some white maize inbred lines for yield and other traits. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed.* 17 (3): 13-22.
- Barakat, A.A., M.A. Abd El-Moula and A.A. Ahmed. (2003). Combining ability for maize grain yield and its attributes under different environments. *Assiut J. Agric. Sci.*, 34(3): 15-25.
- Beck, D.L., S.K. Vassal and J. Crossa (1991). Heterosis and combining ability among subtropical and temperate intermediate maturity maize germplasm. *Crop Sci.*, 31: 68-73.
- Bujak, H., S. Jedynski, J. Karczmarek, C. Karwowska, Z. Kurczyk and J. Adamczyk (2006). Evaluation of breeding value of inbred lines of maize on the basis of multitrait analysis. *Biuletyn Instytutu Hodowli Aklimatyzacji Roslin, Poland*. 240/241, 211-216. [Computer search]
- Derera, J., P. Tongoona, K.V. Pixly, B. Vivek, M.D. Laing and N.C. van Rij (2008). Gene action controlling gray leaf spot resistance in southern African maize germplasm. *Crop Sci.*, 48: 93-98.
- El-Hosary, A.A. (1989). Heterosis and combining ability of six inbred lines of maize in diallel crosses over two years. *Egypt. J. Agron.*, 14(1-2): 47-58.
- El-Hosary, A.A. and S.A. Sedhom (1990). Diallel analysis of yield and other agronomic characters in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Annals Agric. Sci. Moshtohor*, 28(4): 1985- 1998.
- El-Hosary, A.A., A.A. Abdel-Sattar and M.H. Motawea (1999). Heterosis and combining ability of seven inbred lines of maize in diallel crosses over two years. *Minufiya, J. Agric. Res.*, 24(1): 65-84.
- El-Hosary, A.A. M.EL.M. El-Badawy and Y.M. Abdel-Tawab (2006). Genetic distance of inbred lines and prediction of

Diallel analysis of grain yield and some agronomic traits in new seven.....

- maize single-cross performance using RAPD and SSR markers. Egypt. J. Genet. Cytol., 35: 209-224.
- El-Shamarka, Sh.A. (1995). Estimation of heterotic and combining ability effects for some quantitative characters in maize under two nitrogen levels. Minufiya, J. Agric. Res., 20(21): 441-462.
- Gabr, Afaf A. I., M. E. M. Abd E-Azeem and T. A. E. Abdallah (2008). Combining ability analysis of grain yield and some agronomic traits of nine maize inbred lines. Egypt. J. Ap. Sci., 23(12B): 520-529.
- Gilbert, N.E.G. (1958). Diallel cross in plant breeding. Heredity. 12 : 477-492.
- Griffing, B. (1956). Concept of general and specific combining ability relation to diallel crossing system. Aust. J. Biol. Sci., 9: 463-493.
- Ibrahim, Kh.A.M. (2012). Combining analysis of some yellow (*Zea mays* L.) inbreds for grain yield and other traits. Egypt J. Agric. Res. 90 (4): 33-46.
- Ibrahim, Kh.A.M., M.A. Abd El-Moula and M.E.M. Abd El-Azeem. (2010). Combining ability analysis of some yellow maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 88(1): 33-50.
- Meintosh, M. S. (1983). Analysis of combined experiments. Agron. J. 75:153-155.
- Mohamed, A. A. (1993). Effect of nitrogen fertilization levels on the performance and combining ability of maize hybrids (*Zea mays* L.). Annals of Agric. Sci. (Cairo). 38(2): 531-549.
- Mostafa, M.A., A.A. Abd El-Aziz, G.M.A. Mahgoub and H.Y.S. El-Sherbieny (1996). Diallel analysis of grain yield and natural resistance to late wilt disease in newly developed inbred lines of maize. Bull. Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., 47: 393-404.
- Motawei, A.A. (2006). Additive and non-additive genetic variances of important quantitative traits in new maize inbred lines via line x tester analysis. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 31(11): 6855-6865.
- Nawar, A. A. and A. A. El-Hosary (1985). A comparison between two experimental diallel cross designs. Minoufiya J. Agric. Res. 10: 2029-2039.
- Nawar, A.A., S.A. El-shamarka and E.A. El-Absawy (2002). Diallel analysis of some agronomic traits of maize. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 27 (11): 7203-7213.
- Osman, M.M.A., Kh.A.M. Ibrahim and M.A.M. El-Ghonemy (2012). Diallel analysis of grain yield and some other traits in yellow maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 43: 16-26
- Sadek, S. E., M.S.M. Soliman, A. A. Barakat and K. I Khalifa (2002). Top cross analysis for selecting maize lines in the early self generations. Minoufiya J. Agric. Res., 27:197-213.
- Sedhom, S.A. (1994). Genetic study on some top crosses in maize under two environments. Annals Agric. Sc. Moshtohor, 32(1): 131- 141.
- Sedhom, A.S., M.EL.M. EL-Badawy, A.M. Morsy and A.A.A. EL-Hosary (2007). Diallel analysis and relationship between molecular polymorphisms and yellow maize hybrid performance. Annals of Agric. Sc., Moshtohor, 45 (1): 1-20.
- Sibiya, J., P. Tongoona, J. Derera and N. van Rij (2011). Genetic analysis and genotype x environment (GxE) for grey leaf spot disease resistance in elite African maize (*Zea mays* L.) germplasm. Euphytica, 179(1):312-325.
- Soliman, M. S. M., A. A. Mahmoud, Afaf A. I. Gabr and F. H. S Soliman (2001). Utilization of narrow base testers for evaluating combining ability of newly developed yellow maize inbred lines (*Zea mays* L.). Egypt. J. Plant Breed. 5:61-76.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie (1980). Principles and Procedures of Statistics. Mc. Graw-Hill Book Company, New York, USA.
- Vivek, B.S., O. Odongo, J. Njuguna, J. Imanywoha, G. Bigirwa, A. Diallo and K. Pixley (2010). Diallel analysis of grain yield and resistance to seven diseases of 12 African maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines. Euphytica, 172: 329-340.
- White P.J. and L.A. Johnson (2003). Corn: Chemistry and technology. Ann. Ass. Cereal Chem. Inc. St. Paul, Mn, USA. pp. 892.

تحليل الهجن الدائرية لصفة المحصول وبعض الصفات الزراعية لسبعة سلالات جديدة من الذرة الشامية الصفراء

محمود بيومي عبدالجواد الكومى

قسم بحوث الذرة الشامية - معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية - مركز البحوث الزراعية-مصر

الملخص العربى

تم إجراء جميع الهجن الممكنة (فى إتجاه واحد) بين 7 سلالات جديدة من الذرة الشامية الصفراء والتي تم عزلها من عشائر مختلفة من الذرة الشامية وذلك بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بالجيزة فى الموسم الزراعى 2012. تم تقييم هجن الجيل الأول وعددها 21 هجين مع هجينين تجاريين للمقارنة هما هـ.ف . 162 ؛ هـ.ف . 168 فى تجربة ذات تصميم قطاعات كاملة العشوائية من اربع مكررات اقيمت فى ثلاث محطات للبحوث الزراعية بسخا، سدس و ملوى فى الموسم الزراعى 2013 حيث تم دراسة الصفات التالية : عدد الأيام حتى طرد 50% من النورة المؤنثة، إرتفاع النبات والكوز، طول الكوز، قطر الكوز، عدد صفوف الكوز، عدد حبوب الصف، صفة المحصول بالأردب / فدان ويمكن إيجاز أهم النتائج فيما يلى :

1. أظهرت النتائج وجود اختلافات عالية المعنوية بالنسبة لكل من القدرة العامة والخاصة على التالف لكل الصفات موضع الدراسة ، وأظهرت نسبة تباين القدرة العامة على الانتلاف الى تباين القدرة الخاصة على التالف أهمية نسبية اكبر لفعل الجين المضيف فى وراثته جميع الصفات محل الدراسة.
2. تشير النتائج إلى أن السلالات الأبوية P_1 ، P_2 ، P_6 كانت أفضل السلالات من حيث تأثيرات القدرة العامة على التالف لصفة المحصول والسلالة الأبوية P_6 لصفة التكبير ، بينما كانت السلالات الأبوية P_1 ، P_2 ، P_6 لقصر طول النبات وانخفاض موقع الكوز.
3. تشير نتائج القدرة الخاصة على التالف أن الهجين $P_6 \times P_4$ قد أظهر قدرة خاصة عالية المعنوية لصفة محصول الحبوب وكذلك الهجين ($P_6 \times P_5$) لكل من صفتى قصر النبات وانخفاض موقع الكوز ثم الهجينين ($P_6 \times P_4$) ، ($P_4 \times P_1$) لصفة التكبير فى النضج.
4. تفوقت ثلاث هجن ($P_2 \times P_1$) و ($P_6 \times P_2$) و ($P_6 \times P_5$) فى محصول الحبوب للفدان تفوقا معنويا على هجين المقارنة (هـ.ف 168). هذا ويمكن إدخال هذه الهجن المبشرة فى مراحل التقييم المختلفة لإطلاقها كهجن تجارية جديدة مستقبلا.