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#### Abstract

The extended finite Fourier transformation is an effective mathematical method for analyzing time series data with vector values. In this study, the transformation is applied to $(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m})$ time series data, and the approximations obtained are used to create usable features for further analysis. This technique could be useful in the field of climate science, where missing data can be a significant challenge. Researchers may more accurately analyses climate data using the extended finite Fourier transformation, even when some observations are missing at random. This can lead to a better understanding of climate patterns and trends over time, which is necessary for forecasting future changes and developing effective mitigation policies. Overall, the extended finite Fourier transformation is an interesting development in the field of time series analysis, with several possible applications in a variety of fields. We should expect even more spectacular developments in the coming years as academics continue to explore its powers and perfect its methodologies.
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## 1. Introduction

Bivariate discrete time series with missing data are commonly encountered in various fields such as economics, finance, and engineering. The analysis of such data is essential for understanding the underlying patterns and relationships between the variables. However, missing data can pose a significant challenge in accurately estimating the characteristics of these time series. To address this issue, researchers have developed various methods for approximating the characteristics of discrete bi-variate time series with missing Values. The importance of this research lies in its potential to improve our understanding of complex systems and inform decision-making processes in various domains. This research aims to provide
an overview of the most recent approaches for approximating the characteristics of bivariate discrete time series with missing data and their applications in different fields. The importance of Bivariate Discrete Time Series with Missing Data lies in its ability to provide a framework for analyzing and understanding complex data sets that contain missing values. In many real-world scenarios, data is often incomplete due to various reasons such as measurement errors, equipment failures, or human errors. This can lead to biased or inaccurate results if not handled properly. The paper proposes a method for approximating the characteristics of bivariate discrete time series with missing data using a combination of imputation and estimation techniques. This approach allows researchers to make more accurate predictions and draw meaningful conclusions from incomplete data sets. Furthermore, several different sectors can benefit from the proposed approach like finance, economics, social sciences, and engineering. It can help researchers identify patterns and relationships between variables that would otherwise be obscured by missing data. Overall, the importance of this research lies in its potential to improve the accuracy and reliability of data analysis in various fields by providing a robust framework for handling missing data.Several authors, including D. R. Brillinger [1], R. Dahlhaus [3], M. Ghazal and E. Farag [4], and A. El-Desokey [9] who investigated "a few characteristics of discontinuous extended Fourier transformation of missing data," have looked into the problem of predicting the power spectrum, the auto-covariance function, and continual -time processes' spectrum measure, "The spectrum Analysis of firmly fixed continual time process" and "Approximated Characteristics for spectrum Predictions of Second-Order with Missing data" were researched by Ghazal, Mokaddis, and El-Desokey [10,11].

The following is a brief overview of the manuscript: the first section is "Introduction," to our investigation; we create approximated characteristics of estimations for $\underline{\Gamma}$ and $\alpha(\lambda)$, In Section 2. In Section 3, we explored the Approximated characteristics of the Extending Fourier transform with missed data. Our theoretical investigation into the climate is applied in Section 4.

## 2. Approximated Characteristics Of Estimates required parameters $\underline{\Gamma}, \alpha(\lambda)$

Throughout this section, we focus on the difficulty in identifying an $m$ vector $\underline{\Gamma}$ and a $m \times n$ filter $\{\alpha(\lambda)\}$ in such a way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\Gamma}+\sum_{u=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-\lambda) \delta(\lambda) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which is quite actually closer to $\hbar(t)$. Assume that we quantify proximity using the $m \times m$, Hermitian matrix.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left\{\left[\hbar(t)-\Gamma-\sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-\lambda) \delta(\lambda)\right]\left[\hbar(t)-\underline{\Gamma}-\sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-\lambda) \delta(\lambda)\right]^{T}\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theorem 2.1

Assume $(n+m)$ a steady second-order process with vector values formatted as

$$
\varpi(t)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\delta(t) & \hbar(t) \tag{2.3}
\end{array}\right]^{T}, t=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots
$$

With $\delta(t), \mathrm{n}$ values and $\hbar(t), \mathrm{m}$ values.
Using mean

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \delta(t)=\vartheta_{\delta}, E \hbar(t)=\vartheta_{\hbar}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and auto-covariance functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.E\left[\delta(t+\lambda)-\vartheta_{x}\right]\left[\delta(t)-\vartheta_{x}\right]^{T}\right\}=\vartheta_{\delta \delta}(\lambda), \\
& \left.E\left\{\delta(t+\lambda)-\vartheta_{\delta}\right]\left[\hbar(t)-\vartheta_{\hbar}\right]^{T}\right\}=\vartheta_{\delta \hbar}(\lambda),  \tag{2.5}\\
& \left.E\left\{\hbar(t+\lambda)-\vartheta_{\hbar}\right]\left[\hbar(t)-\vartheta_{\hbar}\right]^{T}\right\}=\vartheta_{\hbar \hbar}(\lambda),
\end{align*}
$$

Consider that $\vartheta_{\delta \delta}(\lambda), \vartheta_{\hbar \hbar}(\lambda)$ are completely summable, that $f_{\delta \delta}(g), f_{\partial i}(g)$ and $f_{h \delta}(g)$ are provided by

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{\delta \delta}(g)=(2 \pi)^{-1} \sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} g_{\delta \delta}(\lambda) \operatorname{Exp}(-i g \lambda), \\
f_{\delta \hbar}(g)=(2 \pi)^{-1} \sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} g_{\delta \hbar}(\lambda) \operatorname{Exp}(-i g \lambda)  \tag{2.6}\\
(2.6) \\
f_{\hbar \hbar}(g)=(2 \pi)^{-1} \sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \vartheta_{\hbar \hbar}(\lambda) \operatorname{Exp}(-i g \lambda),
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\text { for }-\infty<g<\infty \text {. }
$$

Thereby $f_{\delta \delta}(g)$ is a matrix that is nonsingular, $-\infty<g<\infty$. Hence, the, $\underline{\Gamma}$, and $\alpha(\lambda)$ minimizing (2.2) as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\Gamma}=\vartheta_{\hbar}-\left(\sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(\lambda)\right) \vartheta_{\delta}=\vartheta_{\hbar}-J(0) \vartheta_{\delta}, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(\lambda)=(2 \pi)^{-1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} J(\tau) \operatorname{Exp}\{i \lambda \tau\} d \tau, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(g)=f_{\hbar \delta}(g) f_{\delta \delta}(g)^{-1}, \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summability of the $\{\alpha(\lambda)\}$-filter. The Minimal accomplishment is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\int_{0}^{2 \pi} f_{\hbar \hbar}(\tau)-f_{\hbar \delta}(\tau) f_{\delta \delta}(\tau)^{-1} f_{\delta \hbar}(\tau)\right] d \tau . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the transferring function of $m \times n$ filtering that provides a required minimal is denoted by $J(g)$, which is the regression coefficient of a complex case of $\hbar(t)$ on $\delta(t)$ at frequency $g$.

## Proof.

Assume $J(g)$ to be the transfer function of $\alpha(\lambda)$ and define it as (2.8). So we can show,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left\{\left[\hbar(t)-\underline{\Gamma}-\sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-\lambda) \delta(\lambda)\right]\left[\hbar(t)-\underline{\Gamma}-\sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-\lambda) \delta(\lambda)\right]^{T}\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Cov}\left[\hbar(t)-\underline{\Gamma}-\sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-\lambda) \delta(\lambda)\right]+E\left[\hbar(t)-\underline{\Gamma}-\sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-\lambda) \delta(\lambda)\right] \times \\
& \times E\left[\hbar(t)-\underline{\Gamma}-\sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-\lambda) \delta(\lambda)\right]^{T} \\
& =E\left\{\left[\left[\hbar(t)-\underline{\Gamma}-\sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-\lambda) \delta(\lambda)\right]-E\left[\hbar(t)-\underline{\Gamma}-\sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-\lambda) \delta(\lambda)\right]\right) \times\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(\left[\hbar(t)-\underline{\Gamma}-\sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-\lambda) \delta(\lambda)\right]^{T}-E\left[\hbar(t)-\underline{\Gamma}-\sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-\lambda) \delta(\lambda)\right]\right)^{T}\right\}+ \\
& +E\left[\hbar(t)-\underline{\Gamma}-\sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-\lambda) \delta(\lambda)\right] E\left[\hbar(t)-\underline{\Gamma}-\sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-\lambda) \delta(\lambda)\right]^{T} \\
& =\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left[f_{\hbar \hbar}(\tau)-f_{\hbar \delta}(\tau) f_{\delta \delta}^{-1}(\tau) f_{\delta \hbar}(\tau)\right] d \tau+\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left[J(\tau) f_{\delta \delta}(\tau)-f_{\hbar \delta}(\tau)\right] f_{\delta \delta}^{-1}(\tau) \times \\
& \times\left[J(\tau) f_{\delta \delta}(\tau)-f_{\hbar \delta}(\tau)\right]^{T} d \tau+\left[\vartheta_{\hbar}-\underline{\Gamma}-\sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-\lambda) \vartheta_{\delta}\right]\left[\vartheta_{\hbar}-\underline{\Gamma}-\sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-\lambda) \vartheta_{\delta}\right]^{T} \\
& E\left\{\left[\hbar(t)-\underline{\Gamma}-\sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-\lambda) \delta(\lambda)\right]\left[\hbar(t)-\underline{\Gamma}-\sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-\lambda) \delta(\lambda)\right]^{T}\right\} \geq \\
& \geq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left[f_{\hbar \hbar}(\tau)-f_{\hbar \delta}(\tau) f_{\delta \delta}^{-1}(\tau) f_{\delta \hbar}(\tau)\right] d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta_{\hbar}-\underline{\Gamma}-\sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-\lambda) \vartheta_{\delta}=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

So

$$
\underline{\Gamma}=\vartheta_{h}-\sum_{\lambda=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t-\lambda) \vartheta_{\delta}=\vartheta_{h}-J(0) \vartheta_{\delta},
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
J(\tau) f_{\delta \delta}(\tau)-f_{\hbar \delta}(\tau)=0 \\
\Rightarrow \quad J(\tau)=f_{\hbar \delta}(\tau) f_{\delta \delta}(\tau)^{-1},
\end{gathered}
$$

With (2.7) and (2.8), the Minimal accomplishment score is defined by

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[f_{\hbar \hbar}(\tau)-f_{\hbar \delta}(\tau) f_{\delta \delta}^{-1}(\tau) f_{\delta \hbar}(\tau)\right] d \tau .
$$

## 3- The Approximated Characteristics Of The Extending Fourier Transform With Missed Data.

Let $\partial_{a}^{(T)}(g)$ represents the definition of the discontinuous extended finite
Fourier transformation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{a}^{(T)}(g)=\left[2 \pi \sum_{t=0}^{T-1}\left(\Re_{a}^{(T)}(t)\right)^{2}\right]^{-1 / 2} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathfrak{R}_{a}^{(T)}(t) z_{a}(t) \exp \{-i g t\},-\infty<g<\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{a}(t)=\eta_{a}(t) \varpi_{a}(t), \quad a=1,2, . ., \min (n, m), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Probabilistic stable observations are denoted by $\delta_{a}(t), \hbar_{a}(t)$, and a sequence of random variables that fit Bernoulli distribution, $\eta_{a}(t)$, is a stochastically independent of $\delta_{a}(t), \hbar_{a}(t)$, and meets the condition that,

$$
\eta_{a}(t)= \begin{cases}1 & , \text { if } \delta_{a}(t), \hbar_{a}(t) \text { are existed } ;  \tag{3.3}\\ 0 & \text {, otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Let $\eta_{a}(t)$ be a randomly distributed variable that is independent and identical.

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left[\eta_{a}(t)=1\right]=p_{a}, \\
& P\left[\eta_{a}(t)=0\right]=q_{a}, \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $p_{a}+q_{a}=1$. For any $t$ outside the interval $[0, T]$, the data window functional $\mathfrak{R}_{a}^{(T)}(t)=\mathfrak{R}_{a}^{(T)}(t / T), t \in(0, T)$ has limited variation and disappears.

## Assumption

If $\mathfrak{\Re}_{a}^{(T)}(t), t \in R, a=\overline{1, r}$ has no non-vanishing values and its variation is limited, then,

$$
A_{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}(g)}=\sum_{t=0}^{T-1}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{l} \mathfrak{R}_{a_{j}}^{(T)}(t)\right] \exp \{-i g t\},
$$

for $-\infty<g<\infty$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}=1,2, \ldots, n$. According to the following theorem, we may obtain an approximation to the characteristics of $z_{a}(t)$, which are expressed as (3.2).

## Theorem3.1

We assume that $z_{a}(t)=\eta_{a}(t) \omega_{a}(t), a=1,2, \ldots, m \min (n, m)$ is a missing data set for a stable stochastic process $\delta_{a}(t), \hbar_{a}(t), a=1,2, \ldots, \min (n, m)$, and that $\eta_{a}(t)$ is a series of stochastic process following the Bernoulli distribution, variables satisfying (3.1) and (3.4). Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left\{z_{a}(t)\right\}=0, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left\{z_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right), z_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\}=p_{a_{1} a_{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\vartheta_{\delta \delta}(\lambda) & \vartheta_{\delta \hbar}(\lambda)  \tag{3.6}\\
\vartheta_{\hbar \delta}(\lambda) & J(\tau) \vartheta_{\delta \delta}(\lambda) J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right],
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Cov}\left\{z_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right), z_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\}= \\
& =p_{a_{1} a_{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) \exp \{i v \lambda\} d v & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) \exp \{i \nu \lambda\} d \vartheta J(\tau)^{T} \\
J(\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) \exp \{i v \lambda\} d v & J(\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) \exp \{i v \lambda\} d \nu J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right], \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof
Considering that $\eta_{a}(t)$ is independent and $X(t)$ is a strongly stable series, we obtain (3.5).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Cov}\left\{z_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right), z_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\}= \\
& =\operatorname{Cov}\left\{\eta_{a_{1}}(t) \varpi_{a_{1}}(t), \eta_{a_{2}}(t) \varpi_{a_{2}}(t)\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{Cov}\left\{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\eta_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \delta_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \\
\eta_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \hbar_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{l}
\eta_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right) \delta_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right) \\
\eta_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right) \hbar_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]^{T}\right\} \\
& =E\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\eta_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \delta_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \eta_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right) \delta_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right) & \eta_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \delta_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \eta_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right) \hbar_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right) \\
\eta_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \hbar_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \eta_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right) \delta_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right) & \eta_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \hbar_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \eta_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right) \hbar_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right] . \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
E\left[\eta_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \eta_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right] \operatorname{Cov}\left[\delta_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right), \delta_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right] & E\left[\eta_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \eta_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right] \operatorname{Cov}\left[\delta_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right), \hbar_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right] \\
E\left[\eta_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \eta_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right] \operatorname{Cov}\left[\hbar_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right), \delta_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right] & E\left[\eta_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right) \eta_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right] \operatorname{Cov}\left[\hbar_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right), \hbar_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right]
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
p_{a_{1} a_{2}} \operatorname{Cov}\left[\delta_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right), \delta_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right] & p_{a_{1} a_{2}} \operatorname{Cov}\left[\delta_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right), \Gamma+J(\tau) \delta_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right] \\
p_{a_{1} a_{2}} \operatorname{Cov}\left[\Gamma+J(\tau) \delta_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right), \delta_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right] & p_{a_{1} a_{2}} \operatorname{Cov}\left[\Gamma+J(\tau) \delta_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right), \Gamma+J(\tau) \delta_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right]
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
p_{a_{1} a_{2}} \vartheta_{\delta_{a_{1}} \delta_{a_{2}}}\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) & p_{a_{1} a_{2}} \vartheta_{\delta_{\alpha_{1}} \delta_{a_{2}}}\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) J(\tau)^{T} \\
p_{a_{1} a_{2}} J(\tau) \vartheta_{\delta_{a_{1}} \delta_{\alpha_{2}}}\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) & p_{a_{1} a_{2}} J(\tau) \vartheta_{\delta_{a_{1}} \delta_{a_{2}}}\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =p_{a_{1} a_{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\vartheta_{a_{1} a_{2}}\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) & \vartheta_{a_{1,} a_{2}}\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) J(\tau)^{T} \\
J(\tau) \vartheta_{a_{1} a_{2}}\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) & J(\tau) \vartheta_{a_{1} a_{2}}\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Resulting from that stability and independence,

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left\{z_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right), z_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\}=p_{a_{1} a_{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\vartheta_{a_{1} a_{2}}(\lambda) & \vartheta_{a_{1} a_{2}}(\lambda) J(\tau)^{T} \\
J(\tau) \vartheta_{a_{1} a_{2}}(\lambda) & J(\tau) \vartheta_{a_{1} a_{2}}(\lambda) J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right],
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left\{z_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right), z_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\}=p_{a_{1} a_{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) \exp \{i v \lambda\} d v & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) \exp \{i v \lambda\} d u J(\tau)^{T} \\
\left.J(\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) \exp \{i v\}\right\} d v & J(\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) \exp \{i v \lambda\} d v J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Definition: Whishart Distribution: Let's pretend $\delta$ and $\hbar$ are vectors that statistically independent in $R^{k}$, and $\operatorname{Vec}[\delta \hbar]$ is a normal vector in $2 k$ dimension. When this occurs, we say that $\sigma=\delta+i \hbar$, the complex random vector, follows the complex normal distribution. Three parameters, $\Gamma, \ell$, and $V$, characterize this distribution.

$$
\Gamma=E(\bar{*}), \ell=E\left[(\omega-\Gamma)(\omega-\bar{\Gamma})^{T}\right], V=E\left[(\omega-\Gamma)(\omega-\Gamma)^{T}\right],
$$

Where matrix transposition denoted by $\varpi^{T}$, complex conjugate denoted by $\bar{\sigma}$ . In this case, $\Gamma$ can be a vector that follows complex distribution, $\ell$ can be a definite positive Hermitian in a complex matrix of $k$ dimension, and for $V$, the symmetric relations matrix. The matrix $\bar{\ell}-\bar{V}^{T} \ell^{-1} V$ is also non-negative finite since $\ell$ and $V$ satisfy this condition. Covariance matrices of $\delta$ and $\hbar$ may be calculated from $\ell$ and $V$ using the corresponding formulas.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{\delta \delta} \equiv E\left[\left(\delta-\Gamma_{\delta}\right)\left(\delta-\Gamma_{\delta}\right)^{T}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}[\ell+V], \\
& G_{\delta \hbar} \equiv E\left[\left(\delta-\Gamma_{\delta}\right)\left(\hbar-\Gamma_{\hbar}\right)^{T}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}[-\ell+V], \\
& G_{\hbar \delta} \equiv E\left[\left(\hbar-\Gamma_{\hbar}\right)\left(\delta-\Gamma_{\delta}\right)^{T}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}[\ell+V], \\
& G_{\hbar \hbar} \equiv E\left[\left(\hbar-\Gamma_{\hbar}\right)\left(\hbar-\Gamma_{\hbar}\right)^{T}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}[\ell-V],
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, inversely

$$
\ell=G_{\delta \delta}+G_{\hbar \hbar}+i\left(G_{\hbar \delta}-G_{\delta \hbar}\right), \ell=G_{\delta \delta}-G_{\hbar \hbar}+i\left(G_{\hbar \delta}-G_{\partial \hbar}\right) .
$$

## Theorem3.2

Consider $z_{a}(t)$ denote missing values about a stable probabilistic process $\left[\delta_{a}(t) \quad \hbar_{a}(t)\right]^{T}, a=1, \ldots, \min (n, m)$, let $\eta_{a}(t)$ denotes a randomly Bernoulli sequence satisfying (3.3) and (3.4). If we define $\partial_{a}^{(T)}(g)$ to be (3.1) and assume that $\mathfrak{R}_{a}^{(T)}(g)$ holds true, then the distribution of $\partial_{a}^{(T)}(g)$ is approximated by
$\partial_{a}^{(T)}(g) \cong$
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$$
N_{n+m}^{c}\left(\underline{0}, p_{a_{1} a_{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\int_{R} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) \Psi_{a_{1} a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(g_{1}-v, g_{2}-v\right) d v & \int_{R} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) J(\tau)^{T} \psi_{a_{1} a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(g_{1}-v, g_{2}-v\right) d v  \tag{3.8}\\
\int_{R} J(\tau) f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) \psi_{a_{1} a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(g_{1}-v, g_{2}-v\right) d v & \int_{R} J(\tau) f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) \psi_{a_{1} a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(g_{1}-v, g_{2}-v\right) d v
\end{array}\right]\right),
$$

Such that,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi_{a_{1} a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(g_{1}-v, g_{2}-v\right)=(2 \pi)^{-1}\left[A_{a_{1} a_{2}}^{(T)}(0)\right]^{-1} \sum_{t_{1}=0}^{T-1} \mathfrak{R}_{a_{1}}^{(T)}\left(t_{1}\right) \sum_{t_{2}=0}^{T-1} \mathfrak{R}_{a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(t_{2}\right) \times \\
\times \exp \left\{-i\left[\left(g_{1}-v\right) t_{1}-i\left(g_{2}-v\right) t_{2}\right]\right\} \tag{3.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Proof

Given (3.1) and (3.5), It may be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left\{\partial_{a}(t)\right\}=0, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\operatorname{Cov}\left\{\partial_{a_{1}}^{(T)}\left(g_{1}\right), \partial_{a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(g_{2}\right)\right\}=$

$=(2 \pi)^{-1}\left[A_{a_{1} a_{2}}^{(T)}(0)\right]^{-1} \sum_{t_{1}=0}^{T-1} \mathfrak{R}_{a_{1}}^{(T)}\left(t_{1}\right) \exp \left\{-i g_{1} t_{1}\right\} \sum_{t_{2}=0}^{T-1} \mathfrak{R}_{a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(t_{2}\right) \exp \left\{\left\{g_{2} t_{2}\right\}\right) \operatorname{Cov}\left\{z_{a_{1}}\left(t_{1}\right), z_{a_{2}}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\}$
$=p_{a_{1} a_{2}}(2 \pi)^{-1}\left[A_{a_{1} a_{2}}^{(T)}(0)\right]^{-1} \sum_{t_{1}=0}^{T-1} \mathfrak{R}_{a_{1}}^{(T)}\left(t_{1}\right) \exp \left\{-i g_{1} t_{1}\right\} \sum_{t_{2}=0}^{T-1} \mathfrak{R}_{a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(t_{2}\right) \exp \left\{i g_{2} t_{2}\right\} \times$
$\times\left[\begin{array}{cc}\vartheta_{x x}\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) & \vartheta_{x y}\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) \\ \vartheta_{y x}\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) & J(\tau) \vartheta_{x x}\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) J(\tau)^{T}\end{array}\right]$,
and
$\operatorname{Cov}\left\{\left\{_{a_{1}}^{(T)}\left(g_{1}\right), \partial_{a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(g_{2}\right)\right\}=(2 \pi)^{-1}\left[A_{a_{1} a_{2}}^{(T)}(0)\right]^{-1} \sum_{t_{1}=0}^{T-1} \mathfrak{a}_{a_{1}}^{(T)}\left(t_{1}\right) \exp \left\{-i g_{1} t_{1}\right\} \sum_{t_{2}=0}^{T-1} \mathfrak{R}_{a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(t_{2}\right) \exp \left\{g_{2} t_{2}\right\} \times\right.$
$\times p_{a_{1} a_{2}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1}, a_{2}}(v) \exp \left\{i v\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)\right\} d v & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1}, a_{2}}(v) \exp \left\{i v\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)\right\} d v J(\tau)^{T} \\ J(\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) \exp \left\{i v\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)\right\} d v & J(\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) \exp \left\{i v\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)\right\} d v J(\tau)^{T}\end{array}\right]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =(2 \pi)^{-1}\left[A_{a_{1} a_{2}}^{(T)}(0)\right]^{-1} \sum_{t_{1}=0}^{T-1} \mathfrak{R}_{a_{1}}^{(T)}\left(t_{1}\right) \sum_{t_{2}=0}^{T-1} \mathfrak{R}_{a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(t_{2}\right) \times \\
& \times p_{a_{1} a_{2}} \exp \left\{-i g_{1} t_{1}+i g_{2} t_{2}+i v t_{1}-i v t_{2}\right\}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) d v & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) J(\tau)^{T} d v \\
J(\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) d v & J(\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) J(\tau)^{T} d v
\end{array}\right] \\
& =(2 \pi)^{-1}\left[A_{a_{1} a_{2}}^{(T)}(0)\right]^{-1} \sum_{t_{1}=0}^{T-1} \mathfrak{R}_{a_{1}}^{(T)}\left(t_{1}\right) \sum_{t_{2}=0}^{T-1} \mathfrak{R}_{a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(t_{2}\right) \times \\
& \times p_{a_{1} a_{2}} \exp \left\{-i\left[\left(g_{1}-v\right) t_{1}-i\left(g_{2}-v\right) t_{2}\right]\right\}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) d \vartheta & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) J(\tau)^{T} d v \\
J(\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) d \vartheta & J(\tau) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) J(\tau)^{T} d v
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\Omega_{1} & \Omega_{2} \\
\Omega_{3} & \Omega_{4}
\end{array}\right] \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{1}=p_{a_{1} a_{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v)\left\{(2 \pi)^{-1}\left[A_{a_{1} a_{2}}^{(T)}(0)\right]^{-1} \sum_{t_{1}=0}^{T-1} \mathfrak{R}_{a_{1}}^{(T)}\left(t_{1}\right) \sum_{t_{2}=0}^{T-1} \mathfrak{R}_{a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(t_{2}\right) \times\right. \\
&\left.\times \exp \left\{-i\left[\left(g_{1}-v\right) t_{1}-i\left(g_{2}-v\right) t_{2}\right]\right\}\right\} d v \\
&=p_{a_{1} a_{2}} \int_{R} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) \psi_{a_{1} a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(g_{1}-v, g_{2}-v\right) d v
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{2}=p_{a_{1} a_{2}} \int_{R} f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) J(\tau)^{T} \psi_{a_{1} a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(g_{1}-v, g_{2}-v\right) d v, \\
& \Omega_{3}=p_{a_{1} a_{2}} \int_{R} J(\tau) f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) \psi_{a_{1} a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(g_{1}-v, g_{2}-v\right) d v,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\Omega_{4}=p_{a_{1} a_{2}} \int_{R} J(\tau) f_{a_{1} a_{2}}(v) J(\tau)^{T} \psi_{a_{1} a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(g_{1}-v, g_{2}-v\right) d v
$$

Equation (3.8) is derived from (3.10) and (3.11), thus completing the proof.
By fitting $g_{1}=g_{2}=g \quad, g_{1}, g_{2}, g \in R$. into Eq. (3.11), we get the following corollary,

## Corollary 3.1

The following property is hold for the dispersion of $\partial_{a}^{(T)}(g)$ if we define $\partial_{a}^{(T)}(g), a=1,2, \ldots, \min (n, m), g \in R$ to be as (3.1):

$$
D \partial_{a}^{(T)}(g)=p_{a a}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\int_{R}^{R} f_{a a}(g-h) \psi_{a a}^{(T)}(h) d h & \int_{R}^{R} f_{a a}(g-h) J(\tau)^{T} \psi_{a a}^{(T)}(h) d h  \tag{3.12}\\
\int_{R}^{J(\tau) f_{a a}(g-h) \psi_{a a}^{(T)}(h) d h} \int_{R}^{J(\tau) f_{a a}(g-h) J(\tau)^{T} \psi_{a a}^{(T)}(h) d h}
\end{array}\right],
$$

so

$$
\psi_{a a}^{(T)}(g)=(2 \pi)^{-1}\left[A_{a a}^{(T)}(0)\right]^{-1}\left|A_{a}^{(T)}(g)\right|^{2},
$$

Where $A_{a}^{(T)}(g), a=1,2, \ldots, \min (n, m), g \in R$ is the assumption variable.

## Proof

According to (3.11), we obtain

This is when $g_{1}=g_{2}=g, g \in R$ and $a_{1}=a_{2}=a, a=1, \ldots, \min (n, m)$.
Letting ,, we get (3.12)thus, $g-v=h$

## Theorem 3.3

Let $\psi_{a a}^{(T)}(g), a=1, \ldots, \min (n, m)$ is the base for any $g \in R$ if and only if it meets the following conditions.

1. $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{a a}^{(T)}(g) d g=1, a=1, \ldots, \min (n, m), \quad g \in R$
2. $\quad \operatorname{Lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{-r} \psi_{a a}^{(T)}(g) d g=\operatorname{Lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{r}^{\infty} \psi_{a a}^{(T)}(g) d g=0, \forall r>0, a=1, \ldots, \min (n, m), g \in R$.
3. $\operatorname{Lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-r}^{r} \psi_{a a}^{(T)}(g) d g=1, \quad \forall a=1, \ldots, \min (n, m), r>0, \quad g \in R$.

## Theorem 3.4

It follows from theorem 3.3 that if the function of spectrum density $f_{a a}(\delta), a=1, \ldots, \min (n, m), \delta \in R$ is finite continually at point $\delta=g, g \in R$ and $\psi_{a a}^{(T)}(\delta)$, $a=1, \ldots, \min (n, m), \delta \in R$ is a function meets its characteristics, then

$$
\operatorname{Lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} D \partial_{a}^{(T)}(g)=p_{a a}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f_{a a}(g) & f_{a a}(g) J(\tau)^{T}  \tag{3.16}\\
J(\tau) f_{a a}(g) & J(\tau) f_{a a}(g) J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right], \quad a=1, \ldots, \min (n, m)
$$

## Proof

In order to demonstrate the validity of formula (3.16), we must prove the following.

$$
\underset{T \rightarrow \infty}{\operatorname{Lim}}\left|D \partial_{a}^{(T)}(g)-p_{a a}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f_{a a}(g) & f_{a a}(g) J(\tau)^{T} \\
J(\tau) f_{a a}(g) & J(\tau) f_{a a}(g) J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right]\right|=0,
$$

We have from corollary 3.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|D \partial_{a}^{(T)}(g)-p_{a a}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f_{a a}(g) & f_{a a}(g) J(\tau)^{T} \\
J(\tau) f_{a a}(g) & J(\tau) f_{a a}(g) J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right]\right| \leq \\
& \leq p_{a a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f_{a a}(g-h) & f_{a a}(g-h) J(\tau)^{T} \\
J(\tau) f_{a a}(g-h) & J(\tau) f_{a a}(g-h) J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f_{a a}(g) & f_{a a}(g) J(\tau)^{T} \\
J(\tau) f_{a a}(g) & J(\tau) f_{a a}(g) J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right]\right| \psi_{a a}^{(T)}(h) d h \leq \\
& \leq p_{a a} \int_{-\infty}^{-r}\left|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f_{a a}(g-h) & f_{a a}(g-h) J(\tau)^{T} \\
J(\tau) f_{a a}(g-h) & J(\tau) f_{a a}(g-h) J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f_{a a}(g) & f_{a a}(g) J(\tau)^{T} \\
J(\tau) f_{a a}(g) & J(\tau) f_{a a}(g) J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right]\right| \psi_{a a}^{(T)}(h) d h+ \\
& +P_{a a} \int_{-r}^{r} \int_{[ }^{r}\left|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f_{a a}(g-h) & f_{a a}(g-h) J(\tau)^{T} \\
J(\tau) f_{a a}(g-h) & J(\tau) f_{a a}(g-h) J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f_{a a}(g) & f_{a a}(g) J(\tau)^{T} \\
J(\tau) f_{a a}(g) & J(\tau) f_{a a}(g) J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right]\right| \psi_{a a}^{(T)}(h) d h+ \\
& +P_{a a} \int_{r}^{\infty}\left|\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f_{a a}(g-h) & f_{a a}(g-h) J(\tau)^{T} \\
J(\tau) f_{a a}(g-h) & J(\tau) f_{a a}(g-h) J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f_{a a}(g) & f_{a a}(g) J(\tau)^{T} \\
J(\tau) f_{a a}(g) & J(\tau) f_{a a}(g) J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right]\right| \psi_{a a}^{(T)}(h) d h
\end{aligned}
$$

$=B_{1}+B_{2}+B_{3}$.
Now that $f_{a_{a, a_{2}}}(h)$ is a continuous function at point $h=g, a_{1}, a_{2}=1, \ldots, \min (n, m), g \in R$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.B_{2}=P_{a a} \int_{-r}^{r} \int^{[ }\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f_{a a}(g-h) & f_{a a}(g-h) J(\tau)^{T} \\
J(\tau) f_{a a}(g-h) & J(\tau) f_{a a}(g-h) J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f_{a a}(g) & f_{a a}(g) J(\tau)^{T} \\
J(\tau) f_{a a}(g) & J(\tau) f_{a a}(g) J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, \psi_{a a}^{(T)}(h) d h \\
& =p_{a a}^{r} \int_{-\delta}^{r}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f_{a a}(g-h)-f_{a a}(g) & f_{a a}(g-h) J(\tau)^{T}-f_{a a}(g) J(\tau)^{T} \\
J(\tau) f_{a a}(g-h)-J(\tau) f_{a a}(g) & J(\tau) f_{a a}(g-h) J(\tau)^{T}-J(\tau) f_{a a}(g) J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right] \psi_{a a}^{(T)}(h) d h \leq \\
& \leq \zeta \int_{-r}^{r} \psi_{a a}^{(T)}(h) d h \leq \zeta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{a a}^{(T)}(h) d h,
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $B_{2} \leq \zeta$. Therefore, $B_{2}$ is extremely small if any $\zeta$ is very small; hence, $B_{2}=0$. If $f_{a a}(g) a=1, \ldots, \min (n, m), g \in R$ is limited by a constant value M , then

$$
B_{1} \leq 2 L \int_{-\infty}^{-r} \psi_{a a}^{(T)}(h) d h \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{ } 0
$$

based on the property (3.14). similarly $B_{3} \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{ } 0$, then,

$$
\left|D \partial_{a}^{(T)}(g)-p_{a a}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f_{a a}(g) & f_{a a}(g) J(\tau)^{T} \\
J(\tau) f_{a a}(g) & J(\tau) f_{a a}(g) J(\tau)^{T}
\end{array}\right]\right| \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{ } 0 .
$$

It completes up the proof for the theorem.

## Lemma 3.1

If $\Re_{a}^{(T)}(t), t \in R, a=\overline{1, n}$ is a limited function of data window, has limited fluctuations, and has a value of zero outside of the interval $[0, T-1]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathfrak{R}_{a}^{(T)}(t) \sim \mathrm{T} \int_{0}^{1} \mathfrak{R}_{a}^{(T)}(\lambda) d \lambda, \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathfrak{R}_{a}^{(T)}(t) \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{ } \int_{0}^{1} \mathfrak{R}_{a}^{(T)}(\lambda) d \lambda, a=\overline{1, n}, T=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Lemma 3.2

If $\mathfrak{R}_{a}^{(T)}(t), t \in R, a=\overline{1, r}$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition and is limited within a constant M,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda=0}^{T-1}\left|\Re_{a}^{(T)}(t+\lambda)-\Re_{a}^{(T)}(t)\right| \leq \zeta|\lambda|, \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathfrak{R}_{a_{1}}^{(T)}(\lambda+t) \mathfrak{R}_{a_{2}}^{(T)}(t) \exp \{-i g t\}-\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathfrak{R}_{a_{1}}^{(T)}(t) \mathfrak{R}_{a_{2}}^{(T)}(t) \exp \{-i g t\}\right| \leq M \zeta|\lambda|, \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every constant value $\zeta, \lambda=\overline{[-(T-1),(T-1)]}$ and $g \in[-\pi, \pi]$.

## Lemma 3.3

If every $g_{1}, g_{2} \in[-\pi, \pi],\left(g_{1}-g_{2}\right) \neq(\bmod 2 \pi)$ and $\mathfrak{R}_{a}^{(T)}(t), t \in R$,
$a=1, \ldots, \min (n, m)$ are limited by a constant value M where the Lipschitz condition is satisfied (3.19), then:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{Cov}\left\{\partial_{a_{1}}^{(T)}\left(g_{1}\right), \partial_{a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(g_{2}\right)\right\} \leq \frac{M \zeta}{2 \pi \sqrt{\sum_{t_{1}, t_{2}=0}^{T-1}\left(\Re_{a_{1}}^{(T)}\left(g_{1}\right)\right)^{2}\left(\Re_{a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(g_{2}\right)\right)^{2}}} \times \\
\times\left\{\frac{1}{M \zeta\left|\left(g_{1}-g_{2}\right) / 2\right|} \sum_{\varepsilon=-T+1)}^{T-1}\left|\vartheta_{a_{1} a_{2}}(\lambda)\right|+\sum_{\varepsilon=-T+1}^{T-1}\left|\vartheta_{a_{1} a_{2}}(\lambda)\right|[|\lambda|+1]\right\}, \tag{3.21}
\end{array}
$$

For every $a_{1}, a_{2}=1, \ldots, \min (n, m)$.

## Theorem 3.5

All $g_{1}, g_{2} \in[-\pi, \pi],\left(g_{1}-g_{2}\right) \neq(\bmod 2 \pi)$ and $\mathfrak{R}_{a}^{(T)}(t), t \in R, a=1, \ldots, \min (n, m)$ is limited, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\tau=-\infty}^{\infty}[|\lambda|+1]\left|\vartheta_{a_{1} a_{2}}(\lambda)\right|<\infty, \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

So,

# $$
\begin{equation*} \lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Cov}\left\{\partial_{a_{1}}^{(T)}\left(g_{1}\right), \partial_{a_{2}}^{(T)}\left(g_{2}\right)\right\}=0, \tag{3.23} \end{equation*}
$$ <br> for all $a_{1}, a_{2}=1, \ldots, \min (n, m)$. <br> Proof 

Both Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.1 are used directly in the proof.

## 4. Applications

Our theoretical investigation will be applied to a climatological Example as detailed in the part that follows.

### 4.1 Investigating Temperature Level with Solar Irradiance

This research interprets a monthly series of data that illustrates the monthly average temperature records and solar irradiance in Libya. The records were obtained from the Libyan meteorological office from January 2012 until December 2021.

### 4.1.1 Temperature-Level Investigations

In this section, we shall compare the outcomes of our study of the survey model of a firmly stable time process (temperature Level) including a few missed data-against the outcomes from the classical setting, in which all data are available.

Let $H_{a}(t)=\eta_{a}(t) \delta_{a}(t), a=1,2, \ldots, n$ be the quantity of interest, such $\delta_{a}(t),(t=0, \pm 1, \ldots)$ is an firmly stability n - time vector process, and $\eta_{a}(t)$ is a Stochastic variables in Bernoulli sequence that are not dependent on $\delta_{a}(t)$ satisfying formulas (3.3) and (3.4). If we assume that $\delta_{a}(t),(t=(1,2, \ldots, T]$ is monthly mean of temperature values and that all of the values are obtainable, then $\eta=1, H_{a}(t)=\delta_{a}(t)$, represents the classical case and assumes that some observations are missing at random (i.e., $\eta=0$, ).

Now, we comparison these research results with and without the missing data in table (4.1.1).
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Table (4.1.1) Comparing Of Research Outcomes With and Without the Missing Values

| Series without missed data | Series with missed data |
| :---: | :---: |
|  <br> The monthly average temperatures level |  <br> The monthly average temperatures level |
| Autocorrelation Function for Def12 $\operatorname{Ln}($ Zt) (with 5\% significance limits for the autacorrelations) <br> ACF of the seasonal variation | Autocorrelation Function for def122**t (with $5 \%$ significance limits for the autocorrelations) <br> ACF of the seasonal variation |
| Partial Autocorrelation Function for Def12Ln(Zt) (with $5 \%$ significance limits for the partial autacorrelations) <br> PACF of the seasonal variation | Partial Autocorrelation Function for def12Z**t (with $5 \%$ significance limits for the partial autacorrelations) <br> PACF of the seasonal variation |
| ARIMA Model: Temperature level ARIMA $(2,0,0) \times(0,1,1) 12$ <br> Final Estimates | ARIMA Model: Temperature level ARIMA $(2,0,0) \times(0,1,2) 12$ <br> Final Estimates |
| Type Co-ef. SE Co-ef. T P | Type Co-ef. SE Co-ef. T P |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { AR } & 1 & 0.3447 & 0.1035 & 3.33 & 0.001\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { AR } & 1 & 0.5701 & 0.1059 & 5.38 & 0.000\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { AR } 2 & 0.1521 & 0.1030 & 1.49 & 0.142\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { AR } 2 & -0.0154 & 0.1058 & -0.16 & 0.883\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { SMA } & 12 & 0.8449 & 0.0838 & 10.08 & 0.000\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { SMA } 12 & 1.5640 & 0.1034 & 15.11 & 0.000\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Constant } & 0.002437 & 0.002640 & 0.93 & 0.356\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { SMA } 24 & -0.6503 & 0.1015 & -6.40 & 0.000\end{array}$ |
| Differencing: 0 regular, 1 seasonal of order 12 <br> Number of values: Original series 108 , after differencing 96 <br> Residuals: $\mathrm{SS}=1.03223$ (back forecasts excluded) $\mathrm{MS}=0.01120 \quad \mathrm{DF}=92$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Constant } & 0.0011288 & 0.0009347 & 1.21 & 0.231\end{array}$ <br> Differencing: 0 regular, 1 seasonal of order 12 <br> Number of values: Original series 108, after differencing 96 <br> Residuals: $\mathrm{SS}=0.775841$ (back forecasts excluded) |
| Modified Box-Pierce (Ljung-Box) Chi-Square statistic $\begin{array}{lllll} \text { Lag } & 12 & 24 & 36 & 48 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{MS}=0.008525 \quad \mathrm{DF}=91$ <br> Modified Box-Pierce (Ljung-Box) Chi-Square statistic |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Chi-Square } & 15.1 & 26.0 & 36.5 & 41.5\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Lag } & 12 & 24 & 36 & 48\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { DF } & 8 & 20 & 32 & 44\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Chi-Square } & 10.6 & 20.9 & 44.2 & 53.5\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { P-Value } & 0.057 & 0.203 & 0.269 & 0.585\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { DF } & 7 & 19 & 31 & 43\end{array}$ |

### 4.1.2 Studying The Solar Irradiance

Using a firmly stable model of time process (Solar irradiance), we will compare our results-where some data are missed-to the classical results, where all values are recorded. We assume that the data $\hbar_{a}(t), t=(1,2, \ldots, T]$ is the value of the average monthly records solar irradiance, thus all records are unmissed, $\eta=1, \quad H_{a}(t)=\hbar_{a}(t)$, which would be the standard model, now we further assume that there are some missed data in a randomly, i.e. $\eta=0$, so that $\eta_{a}(t)$ is a Bernoulli series of stochastic variable that does not depend on $\hbar_{a}(t)$ in any predictable way, satisfying equations (3.3) and (3.4). The results of this comparison, with and without missing values, are shown in table (4.1.2).
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Table (4.1.2) Observing the Differences between the Outcomes with and Without the Missing Solar Irradiance.


### 4.1.3 Examining the Linear Regression of Solar Irradiance and Average Temperature

This section adjusted the model of linear regression that representing the significant relationship between the rate monthly of solar radiation (watt $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) and the monthly average records of temperature level from year 2012 to year 2021. Our results, which represented for some missed data, will be compared to the classical results, which assume that all values are existent.
$\operatorname{Let}_{\sigma(t)}=[\delta(t) \quad \hbar(t)]^{T}$, where $\delta(t)$ is a mean records of temperature time process and $\hbar(t)$ is an average solar radiation time process; we initially assume that all records are obtainable $(\eta=1$,$) , and then we consider that some$ observations are missing $(\eta=0$, ) at random. Table 4.1.3 displays our study results.

Table (4.1.3) the Results Are Compared Of Regression Analysis
Outcomes With and Without Missing Data


### 4.1.4. Conclusion

1. The investigation the standard time process and time process with missed values produced the same outcomes. (see Tables 4.1.1 and 4.1.2).
2. As can be seen in Table 4.1.3, the results of the study of the model of linear regression between the averages monthly of solar irradiation and the monthly average temperature level with a few missed values were identical to those of the study of the traditional linear regression model.
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## الفمائص التقريبية للسلاسل الزومنية المنفصلة ثنائية المتغير هع

## البيانات المثقودة

د. أميرة إبراهيم الاسوقي، د. محمد على شعبان الأرقط، د. محمد أبو الفتوح غزال
الملخص:
يعد تحويل فور ييه المحدود الممتد طريقة رياضية فعالة لتحليل بيانات السلاسل الزمنية بقيم المتجهات. في هذه الار اسة، يتم تطبيق التحويل على بيانات السلاسل الزمنية (n + m)، ويتم استخدام التقريبات التي تم الحصول عليها لإنشاء ميزات قابلة للاستخدام لمزيد من التحليل. قد تكون هذه التقنتية مفيدة في مجال علم المناخ، حيث يمكن أن تتُكل البيانات المفقودة تحديًا كبيرًا. قد يحلل الباحثون بيانات المناخ بشكل أكثر دقة باستخذام تحويل فورييه المحدود المتتا، ختى عندما تكون بعض الملاحظات مفقودة بشكل عشو ائي. يمكن أن يؤدي ذلك إلى فهم أفضل لأنماط واتجاهات المناخ بمرور الوقت، وهو أمر ضروري للتنبؤ بالتغيرات المستقبلية وتطوير سياسات التخفيف الفعالة. بشكل عام، يعد تحويل فورييه المحدود الممتد تطورًا مثيرًا للاهتمام في مجال تحليل السلاسل الزمنية، مع العديد من التطبيقات الممكنة في مجمو عة متتو عة من المجالات. يجب أن نتوقع تطور ات أكثر إثارة في السنوات القادمة حيث يو اصل الأكاديميون استكثاف قوتها وإتقان منهجياتها.

الكلمات المفتّاحية: السلاسل الزمنية المستقرة غير المستمرة؛ نو افذ البيانات، تحوبل فوريير، البيانات المفقودة، توزيع ويشارت.

