MENOUFIA JOURNAL OF SOIL SCIENCE

https://mjss.journals.ekb.eg

EFFECT OF NITROGEN SUPPLY BY AMMONIUM HUMATE ON MAIZE PRODUCTION UNDER SANDY SOIL AND NITROGEN-DEFICIENT CONDITIONS

EL-Edfawy, Y. M.*; Mohamed, S. and Shehata, A.S.

Soil, Water and Environment Research Institute, Agric., Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.Received: Nov. 9, 2023Accepted: Dec. 5, 2023

ABSTRACT: A field experiment was conducted during the two successive summer seasons of 2022 and 2023 under sandy soil conditions at Ismailia Agricultural Research Station of Ismailia Governorate, Egypt. This study aims to investigate the effect of mineral N fertilizer application at three rates i.e. 50, 75 and 100 % from recommended dose and application of ammonium humat at four rates of N without humat, 10, 15 and 20 g N L⁻¹ (H₀, H₁₀, H₁₅ and H₂₀) along with two application methods (soil and foliar application) on the yield, yield components, chemical composition of maize plants (Zea maize L.) grown and available NPK in sandy soil. The obtained results indicated that grain and straw yields as well as content of available nutrients were affected significantly by increased levels of mineral N, rates of ammonium humat and application methods. In most cases, the highest mean values in both grain and straw yields were recorded at the treatment of 75 % mineral N * H₂₀ with the foliar application, which gave results equal to or better than those received 100 % mineral N solo. A similar trend was detected with respect to NPK uptake by both grain and straw yields. Also, the highest of available NPK content in the soil due to the soil application of humat. In view of the above-mentioned results, it has been concluded that the efficiency of mineral N fertilizer increased with the application of ammonium humat as which with 20 g N L⁻¹ (foliar or soil addition) and reflected on the yield and chemical composition of maize plants and also, can be used as a partial substitute to 25 % of mineral - N fertilizer under sandy soil conditions.

Key words: Humic substances, Nitrogen level, Maize, Sandy soil

INTRODUCTION

Maize (Zea mays *L*.) belongs to the family Poaceae and is commonly grown all over the world (Abdo *et al.*, 2022). Maize is called the "king of cereals" because of its productivity potential compared to any other cereal crop, it is an important cereal crop (Kandil *et al.*, 2020) having numerous applications, including food, industrial materials, and bioenergy, it is ranked third after wheat and rice (Wulandari *et al.*, 2019).

Under greenhouse conditions foliar and soil application of 3% ammonium humate due to the highest tomato fruits, the highest shoot, increased root length in sandy soil, increased phenolic compounds, increased carotenoids, increased total amino acids, reducing sugar content, increased chlorophyll, and increased total protein (Sahar *et al.*, 2020).

Ammonium humate due to reacts between with humic acid ammonium hydroxide. ammonium humate foliar at 3% foliar and spraying on the soil added for wheat, faba beans and lupine increased yield and yield components, macronutrient increased uptak, increased macronutrient availability, increased protein content, and increased soil organic matter were applied ammonium humate to the soil compared with foliar application (Shehata et al., 2023).

Ammonium humate due to the combines of humic acid and nitrogen (According to Pehlivan and Arslan 2006), ammonium humate including many functional groups as carboxyl groups, , aldehyde, phenolic hydroxyl, amino acid groups and sulfur. Replacing N in ammonium humate give positive effect on soil fertility (Gupta *et al.*, 2010).

*Corresponding author: <u>yassereledfawy@gmail.com</u>

Mineral nutrition is one of the most important factors of plant growth and yield. Mineral fertilizers, particularly mineral–nitrogen, are important means of plant nutrition; however they are also a potential source of environmental pollution. Nowadays there is renewed interest in organic substances for nutrient supply and improving soil fertility (Magdi *et al.* 2011).

Humic substances are commercial products that contain elements that improve soil fertility, increase the availability of nutrient elements and consequently have positive effects of plant growth and yield (Rady, 2011). In addition, the mechanism of humic acid activity in promoting plant growth has been proposed to be increased cell membrane permeability, respiration and nutrient uptake (Obsuwan *et al.* 2011 and Kandil *et al.*, 2020).

In maize seedlings, humic acid compounds improved root growth, carbohydrates, nitrogenous responses and photosynthesis. Using humic (soil or spraying application) can by stimulate shoot and root growth and improve resistance to environmental stress in plants. (Yang *et al.*, 2000).

Mineral N fertilizer is a necessary component for plant growth to be successful. The use of mineral fertilizers alone may be cause problems for human health and the environment so the need to integrate the organic and inorganic fertilizers is necessary to achieve better crop yields. Also, N fertilizer is essential for synthesis for chlorophyll, enzymes and protein (Souza *et al.* 2008 and Lan *et al.* 2010).

Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the possibility of reducing mineral N fertilizer by using of humic substances and select the best combined rate of minerals and organic fertilizers. This study serves the efforts of achieving the dual goals of sustainable agriculture by maintaining optimal yields accompanied by minimize the environmental pollution.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were carried out at Ismailia Agricultural Research Station, ARC, Egypt (Lat. $30^{\circ} 35^{\circ} - 41^{\circ} 9^{\circ}$ N, Long. $32^{\circ} 10^{\circ} - 45^{\circ} 83^{\circ}$ E), during two successive growing summer seasons of 2022 and 2023 to study the effect of ammonium humat with nitrogen supply on maize plant production in sandy soil under nitrogen fertilizer deficient. Main properties of the experimental soil were carried out according to Jackson (1973) and Klute (1986). The obtained data are recorded in Table 1.

The experimental treatments were arranged in a split–split plot design including 24 treatments with three replicates. The experiment included 3 factors as follows:

- (1) Three rates of mineral nitrogen fertilizer (ammonium sulphate 200 g N kg⁻¹) were assigned to main plots i.e. 50 % (N₅₀), 75 % (N₇₅) and 100% (N₁₀₀) from recommended dose of N fertilizer (279.5 k gm N ha⁻¹).
- (2) Two application methods (soil and foliar) for ammonium humat addition were represented by subplot.
- (3) Four levels of ammonium humat with nitrogen supply were arranged in sub subplot i.e. control (H₀) without ammonium humat application, 10 g N L⁻¹ (H₁₀), 15 g N L⁻¹ (H₁₅) and 20 g N L⁻¹ (H₂₀). The main characteristics of the used ammonium humat are shown in Table 2.

Coarse sand	Fine sand	Silt	Clay	Texture class	Calcium carbonate (g kg ⁻¹)	Organic matter (g kg ⁻¹)	рН	EC (dSm ⁻¹)	mac	vailabl ronutri g kg ⁻¹ s	ents
41.02	16.25	9.69	2.04	Sandy	651	1.00	8.01	1.12	N	Р	K
41.02	46.35	9.09	2.94	Sandy	6.54	1.90	0.01	1.12	9.81	3.90	49.6

Table 1. Main characteristic of the studied soil.

*pH soil: water suspension at 1: 2.5, EC in soil saturation extract.

Organic matter (g L ⁻¹)	рН	EC (dSm ⁻¹)	CEC (cmol _c kg ⁻¹)	Total Ca (g L ⁻¹)	Total Mg (g L ⁻¹)	ma	(g L ⁻¹)	ent
549.70	7.91	7.80	405.00	5.90	9.80	Ν	Р	K
549.70	7.91	7.80	403.00	5.90	9.80	30.00	4.00	43.00

Table 2. Main characteristics of the used humic substances.

pH (1: 5 humic : water suspension), EC (solution 1:5) and nutrients (total in solution)

Ammonium humat was extracted from compost prepared at the Ismailia Agricultural Research Station (IAR), Agricultural Research Center (ARC) farm. Ammonium humat was extracted from compost according to the standard method described by Sanchez-Monedero et al., (2002). The compost was treated with NH₄OH solution 2 N, a mixture of 80 g of animal compost and 800 ml of NH₄OH solution 2 N was soaked overnight and shaken for 12 hours at 120 rpm, then centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 15 minutes and the supernatant was removed then pH was adjusted to 7.0 with H₂SO₄ solution 4 N. Humic substances extracted (at pH 7) and purified solution by passage through charcoal and transferee via membrane filter (Essawy et al., 2017). ammonium humat extracted (at pH 7) contained 49.4% total organic carbon, 2.5% N, 0.43% K and 0.40% P. final solutions for 2.5 % N (25 g L^{-1} N) and dilution with water for (10, 15, 20 g N L⁻¹) by ammonium humat solutions prepared at 1:1.5, 1:0.66, 1:0.25 (solution: water) vlv. Soluble N, P, K, and other nutrients were determined according to the methods of Page et al., (1982). The ammonium humat included aqueous solutions by mixing with water (from the Ismailia canal) in a v/v at a ratio of 0.3% (3.2 L ha⁻¹ ammonium humat in 948.8 L ha⁻¹ water, solutions EC: $481 - 495 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) then left for two hours to equilibrium. Seven times for foliar spraying and soil application of ammonium humat at 20, 30, 40,50, 60, 70, and 80 days from sowing.

Maize (Zea mays L. hybrids third cross 321) grains recommended for sandy soil were obtained from Field Crops Institute Research, ARC - Egypt. Grains were sown on the first day of May 2022 and 2023 at a seeding rate of 83.3 k gm ha⁻¹ under a sprinkler irrigation system. One grain/hill was manually sowed 25 cm apart between hills. Phosphorus was applied in the form of superphosphate (0.068 kg P kg⁻¹) at a rate of 16.09 P ha⁻¹ during the final stage of land preparation for planting and potassium was added in the form of potassium sulfate (0.398 kg K kg⁻¹) at a rate of 95 kg K ha⁻¹ in two equal doses after sowing and flowering, nitrogen fertilizer (ammonium sulphate 200 g N kg⁻¹) at rates of 297.5, 209.63 and 148.75 Kg N ha⁻¹ were 100, 75 and 50% from recommended dose of N fertilizer 279.5 k gm N ha⁻¹ at six times (20, 32, 45, 55, 65 and 75 days from sowing).

The experimental unit area was 10.5 m^2 with dimensions 3 x 3.5 m. After harvesting soil samples were collected from the surface layer (0-30 cm) to determine soil properties (field capacity, EC, bulk density, CEC and organic matter content) according to Page et al. 1982 and Klute 1986. Available N was extracted in KCl (1: 10 w/v), available P was extracted in 0.5 N NaHCO3 as well as soluble, while available K was extracted by 1 N NH₄ OAc at (pH 7.0). Concentration of the total and available N was estimated by distillation using Kjeldahl apparatus, total and available P was determined by Olsen et al. (1954), P calorimetrically by UV-Vis. Spectrophotometer using stannous chloride $(SnCl_2)$ indicator according to Page *et al.* (1982) and K by the flame photometer, (Black, 1982).

Harvesting was carried out after 140 days of planting. The plants were air-dried. Grain and straw samples of the harvested plants were oven dried at 72^{0} C for 48 h and a portion (0.25 g) of each sample was digested by using sulfuric (H₂SO₄) and perchloric acid (HClO₄) - mixed (3:1) (Chapman and Pratt 1961.)

Effect of N fertilizer, ammonium humat solution treatments (HS) and mothed application of ammonium humat were statistically analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) test, using the Co-State software to calculate the LSD at a significance level $P \le 0.05$ (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yield of maize plant

Data presented in Table 3 showed the effect of mineral N levels and different levels of ammonium humat in soil or foliar applications on grain and straw yields of maize plants. These results clear that, grain and straw yield increased significantly with the increase of mineral N fertilizer from 50 up to 100 % of the recommended dose. The higher increase effective dose of mineral N was recorded at a treatment of 100 % while, the lowest values in grain, straw yields were observed with treatment of N₅₀, where the percentages of these increases were 62.33, and 70.80 % for grain, and straw yields, respectively. These results may be due to the application of mineral N increasing physiological activities which enhance growth and lead to better yield. These results are in similar with hose observed by Hafez (2003), El-Edfawy (2017), Zakaria (2018), Sahar et al., (2020) and Shehata et al., (2023).

In terms of the effect of ammonium humat levels contain N (10, 15 and 20 g N L⁻¹) on maize yield, the results in the same table reveal that, using any level of ammonium humat recorded a positive increase in grain, and straw yield when compared with untreated plots (H₀). The increases resulted from the treatment of H₂₀ were 9.66, and 9.11 % for grain, and straw yield, respectively as compared with H₀.

In addition, it looks to be true in all treatments, the use of foliar application of ammonium humat at any level recorded values higher than using soil application. These results due to that humic substances had been claimed to promote plant growth and yield by increasing the permeability of cell membrane, oxygen uptake, photosynthesis, nutrient uptake, and root cell elongation. These findings are in similar with this obtained before that by Magdy *et al.* (2011), Sahar *et al.*, (2020) and Ibrahim *et al.* (2013).

With respect to the interaction effect between the different levels of mineral N application and the levels of ammonium humat at the two application methods, results in Table 3 indicate that increasing application rates of mineral N from 50 up to 100 % of the recommended dose as combined with ammonium humat at treatment H_{10} by using foliar or soil application recorded a significant increase in grain, and straw yield of maize plants.

The highest mean values of maize yield were recorded at the application of mineral N at a rate of 279.5 kg ha⁻¹ (N₁₀₀) flowed by 209.63 kg N ha^{-1} (N₇₅) combined with treatments of H₁₅ and of H₂₀ at the two application methods (foliar and soil). Moreover, the obtained results clearly that, the treatment of N75 as combined with the treatments of H₁₅ and H₂₀ at the foliar application of ammonium humat in all cases produced mean values similar to the individual application of N₁₀₀ treatment with non-significant differences between them. This stimulatory effect may be related to increased nutrient uptake by plants and consequently improve the growth and yield of maize plants. These results are with those obtained by Yldirim (2007), El-Gohary et al. (2010), El-Hassanin et al. (2016), Zakaria (2018), Khan et al. (2019) and Shehata et al., (2023).

Nutrient uptake

The main effect of mineral N application on total NPK uptake (kg N ha⁻¹) in both grain and straw by maize plants is given in Tables 4 and 5. Generally, the obtained results show a significant increase in NPK uptake by maize plants at any level of N mineral application. The highest NPK uptake was recorded by using the high level of N mineral (N₁₀₀) which gave values over using treatment of N₅₀ by 27.0, 25.0 and 22.6 for N, P and K uptake by grain respectively and 15.6, 38.4 and 22.3 for straw, respectively similar results were observed by El-Edfawy (2017), Zakaria (2018), Sahar *et al.*, (2020) and Shehata *et al.*, (2023).

						N Humate level	ate level				
N levels	App. method	Η	H ₁₀	H ₁₅	H_{20}	Mean	H,	H ₁₀	H ₁₅	H_{20}	Mean
			Gra	Grain yield (Mg ha ⁻¹)	ha ⁻¹)			Stra	Straw yield (Mg ha ⁻¹)	ha ⁻¹)	
K	Soil	2.59	2.66	2.86	3.33	2.86	3.52	3.81	4.19	5.19	4.18
IN50	Foliar	3.40	3.82	3.88	3.97	3.77	5.16	5.39	5.73	5.81	5.52
M	Mean	3.00	3.24	3.37	3.65	3.31	4.34	4.60	4.96	5.50	4.85
t.	Soil	4.19	4.40	4.62	4.84	4.51	4.35	4.56	4.65	4.70	4.57
IN75	Foliar	5.14	5.22	5.31	5.33	5.25	6.07	6.18	6.21	6.29	6.19
M	Mean	4.67	4.81	4.97	5.09	4.88	5.21	5.37	5.43	5.50	5.38
4	Soil	5.18	5.24	5.28	5.39	5.27	6.15	6.22	6.28	6.33	6.25
100 INI	Foliar	5.36	5.35	5.35	5.37	5.36	6.23	6.59	6.91	6.90	6.66
M	Mean	5.27	5.30	5.31	5.38	5.31	6.19	6.41	6.59	6.61	6.45
Gran	Grand mean	4.31	4.45	4.55	4.71	4.50	5.25	5.46	5.66	5.87	5.56
				M	eans of appl	Means of application method	pc				
S	Soil	3.99	4.10	4.25	4.52	4.22	4.67	4.86	5.04	5.40	5.00
Fc	Foliar	4.63	4.80	4.85	4.89	4.79	5.82	6.05	6.29	6.33	6.12
LS	LSD _{0.05}	N=0.072	N=0.072 A=0.063 H=0.0 AxH=0.045	H=0.028 NxA=0.034 NxH=0.052 0.045 NxAxH=0.77	A=0.034 NxJ H=0.77	H=0.052	70.04N	N=0.049 A=0.041 H=0.030 NxA=0.034 NxH=0.051 AxH=0.042 NxAxH=0.072	0.041 H=0.030 NxA=0.034 AxH=0.042 NxAxH=0.072	A=0.034 NxH H=0.072	I=0.051

Effect of nitrogen supply by ammonium humate on maize production under sandy soil and

recommended dose of N.

Also, data in Tables 4 and 5 indicate that the application of the ammonium humat shows a significant increase effect in NPK uptake by both grain and straw of maize plants. The stimulation of NPK uptake may be due to the effect of humic substances on the membrane permeability and the better-developed root system (Fahmy *et al.*, 2016 and Kandil *et al.*, 2020 and Sahar *et al.*, 2020).

In addition, it can be seen in Tables 4 and 5 that, using foliar spraying of ammonium humat gave higher mean values in NPK uptake in all cases as compared with the soil application method.

As regards to the interaction effect of both mineral N and ammonium humat data in Tables 4 and 5 illustrated that the combined application of mineral N and ammonium humat at the different studied levels had significant increases in total NPK uptake by grain and straw of maize plants at the two application methods (foliar and soil application). The highest increases in all determined nutrients were observed by plants fertilized with the treatment of N₁₀₀ and foliar application of treatment H₂₀. The beneficial effects of using humic substances along with mineral N on increasing NPK uptake could be due to their effect on providing plants with their requirements from different nutrients. (Yldirim, 2007; EL Bassiony et al. 2010; EL- Gohary et al. 2010 and Fahmy et al. 2016 and Shehata et al., 2023).

It is worth noting that in most cases, the second rate of mineral N_{75} along with foliar spraying by treatment of H_{20} received values in NPK uptake by grain and straw of maize plants similar to or better than the treatment of N_{100} when added individually and there were non-significant differences between them. It is clear that foliar application of the treatment of N_{75} * H_{20} can be the best treatment among all treatments.

Soil content of available NPK

Results in Table 6 illustrate the effect of mineral N levels, application methods and the rates of ammonium humat on soil content of available N, P and K after harvesting of maize plants. The results indicate that soil content of available NPK were affected significantly by the levels of mineral N and application of the ammonium humat methods. The high level of

N-application fertilizer dose (N_{100}) recorded the highest values of available NPK in the soil while the lowest level of N-application fertilizer dose (N_{50}) treatment resulted in the lowest values for soil content of available NPK.

Also, data in Table 6 indicate that the different levels of ammonium humat application significantly increase the soil content of available NPK compared with control. Generally, soil application of ammonium humat gave values higher than foliar application. The increments between the two application methods were 4.0, 7.8 and 7.7 for N, P and K respectively. These results are in agreement with EL Gohary et al., (2010); EL Masry et al., (2014); Shehata et al., (2023) and Abdo et al., (2022) who reported that ammonium humat caused an increase in the availability of nutrients in the soil. ammonium humat influence of increase the permeability of plant membranes, activity enzyme systems positive effect on cell division, root development, decrease plant stress, growth stronger, reduce sandy soil erosion, increase the soil cohesive, development the sandy soil structure, improve soil physical characteristics, increase the CEC and soil buffering, promoting the soil elements chelation, development the nutrients available to plants, soil water contents improve nutrients uptake and the plant growth (Grapevines et al., 2013, Calvo et al., 2014, Rady and Mohamed, 2015, Sahar et al., 2020and Shehata et al., (2023).

The increase of the soil content of available NPK due to soil application of ammonium humat may be humat containing many elements which improve the soil fertility and increase the availability of NPK nutrients but increased the plant growth, yield and plant nutrients uptake due to the ammonium humat foliar application methods. These results are in harmony with those obtained by (Padem *et al.* 1997, Doran *et al.* 2003, Hafez 2003, Sahar *et al.*, 2020 and Shehata *et al.*, 2023.

Concerning the result in Table 6 show that the combined of mineral N and ammonium humat treatments under two application methods positively effect on the available NPK in soil. Soil application of ammonium humat method gave the higher value than foliar application for all combined treatments particularly at rate N_{100} *H₂₀* soil application to nitrogen and humate application. But it is worth noting that,

								NE	N Humate level	svel						
N levels	App. method	H ₀	H_{10}	H_{15}	${ m H}_{20}$	Mean	H ₀	$\rm H_{10}$	$\mathrm{H_{15}}$	H_{20}	Mean	H_{0}	${ m H_{10}}$	H ₁₅	H_{20}	Mean
				Z		- All Late (14			Ч					K		
Į.	Soil	60.19	60.25	62.33	62.97	61.44	22.17	22.39	23.20	23.27	22.76	43.25	43.29	45.16	45.26	44.24
IN50	Foliar	63.79	64.06	64.47	64.93	64.31	23.55	23.61	23.93	24.11	23.80	46.39	46.47	46.89	47.05	46.70
Ŋ	Mean	61.99	62.16	63.40	63.95	62.88	22.86	23.00	23.56	23.69	23.28	44.82	44.88	46.03	46.15	45.47
۲.	Soil	73.13	73.26	73.86	73.67	73.48	25.96	26.14	26.18	26.24	26.13	52.17	52.30	52.33	52.98	52.44
N75	Foliar	78.86	78.95	78.71	78.99	78.88	27.95	28.93	28.91	28.97	28.69	55.22	55.87	55.30	55.91	55.58
1 À	Mean	75.99	76.11	76.29	76.33	76.18	26.95	27.54	27.55	27.61	27.41	53.70	54.09	53.82	54.44	54.01
L L	Soil	79.05	79.16	79.21	79.34	79.19	29.00	29.08	28.93	29.01	29.01	55.86	55.89	55.26	55.34	55.59
N100	Foliar	79.80	80.15	80.82	80.96	80.44	29.14	29.16	29.17	29.22	29.17	55.59	55.70	56.05	56.29	55.91
Å	Mean	79.42	79.66	80.02	80.15	79.81	29.07	29.12	29.05	29.11	29.09	55.72	55.79	55.66	55.81	55.75
Gran	Grand mean	72.47	72.64	73.24	73.48	72.96	26.29	26.55	26.72	26.80	26.59	51.41	51.59	51.83	52.14	51.74
						Ŋ	leans of a	Means of application method	1 method							
~1	Soil	70.79	70.89	71.80	72.00	71.37	25.71	25.87	26.10	26.17	25.96	50.43	50.49	50.92	51.19	50.76
F	Foliar	74.15	74.39	74.67	74.96	74.54	26.88	27.23	27.34	27.43	27.22	52.40	52.68	52.75	53.08	52.73
L C	LSD _{0.05}	I NxA	N=0.117 v=0.115 1 N3	7 A=0.056 NxH=0.16 NxAxH=0.3	N=0.117 A=0.056 H=0.094 NxA=0.115 NxH=0.163 AxH=0.133 NxAxH=0.331	14 0.133	N=0.044 NxH=0.0	N=0.044 A=0.028 H=0.033 NxA=0.04 NxH=0.058 AxH=0.047 NxAxH=0.081	A=0.028 H=0.033 NxA=0.041 58 AxH=0.047 NxAxH=0.081	033 NxA NxAxH	.=0.041 =0.081	N=0.05 NxH=(5 A=0.0	44 H=0. H=0.044	N=0.055 A=0.044 H=0.031 NxA=0.038 NxH=0.054 AxH=0.044 NxAxH=0.077	(=0.038 =0.077

den la surfi								IN	N Humate level	evel						
N levels	App. method	H,	H_{10}	H_{15}	H_{20}	Mean	${\rm H_0}$	$\rm H_{10}$	$\mathrm{H_{15}}$	H_{20}	Mean	H,	H_{10}	H ₁₅	H_{20}	Mean
				N					Ρ					K		
H	Soil	33.26	33.78	34.49	34.67	34.05	8.38	8.51	8.43	8.95	8.57	19.61	19.66	19.92	20.21	19.85
N50	Foliar	36.14	36.27	36.62	36.87	36.48	9.10	9.26	10.04	10.46	9.71	21.61	21.71	22.09	22.17	21.90
	Mean	34.70	35.03	35.56	35.77	35.26	8.74	8.89	9.23	9.71	9.14	20.61	20.69	21.00	21.19	20.87
F	Soil	38.03	38.20	38.43	38.61	38.32	10.96	11.10	11.28	11.35	11.17	23.18	23.50	23.58	25.91	24.04
1N75	Foliar	38.96	39.23	39.72	39.97	39.47	11.55	11.84	11.84	12.07	11.83	26.11	26.30	26.08	26.46	26.24
	Mean	38.50	38.71	39.07	39.29	38.89	11.26	11.47	11.56	11.71	11.50	24.65	24.90	24.83	26.18	25.14
F	Soil	40.17	40.23	40.43	40.55	40.35	11.81	12.05	12.25	12.43	12.14	25.97	26.03	26.23	26.39	26.16
N100	Foliar	40.89	41.09	41.31	41.48	41.19	12.01	12.50	13.68	13.88	13.02	26.20	26.84	27.90	28.00	27.23
	Mean	40.53	40.66	40.87	41.02	40.77	11.91	12.28	12.97	13.16	12.58	26.08	26.44	27.06	27.20	26.69
Gran	Grand mean	37.91	38.13	38.50	38.69	38.31	10.63	10.88	11.25	11.53	11.07	23.78	24.01	24.30	24.86	24.24
						V	deans of a	Means of application method	n method							
V 1	Soil	37.16	37.40	37.78	37.94	37.57	10.38	10.55	10.65	10.91	10.63	22.92	23.06	23.24	24.17	23.35
ц	Foliar	38.66	38.86	39.22	39.44	39.05	10.89	11.20	11.85	12.14	11.52	24.64	24.95	25.36	25.54	25.12
LS	LSD _{0.05}	=N NxA=	N=0.0834 A=0.03 NxA=0.048 NxH=0 NxAxH=1	4 A=0.0374 I NxH=0.068 NxAxH=0.097	N=0.0834 A=0.0374 H=0.0394 <a=0.048 axh="0.056<br" nxh="0.068">NxAxH=0.097</a=0.048>	394 0.056	N=0.05 NxH=(N=0.055 A=0.049 H=0. NxH=0.069 AxH=0.055	49 H=0. H=0.055	N=0.055 A=0.049 H=0.037 NxA=0.047 NxH=0.069 AxH=0.055 NxAxH=0.057	<pre><=0.047 =0.057</pre>	N=0.0(NxH=(5 A=0.0. 0.056 Ax	N=0.06 A=0.040 H=0.033 NxA=0.040 NxH=0.056 AxH=0.046 NxAxH=0.080	033 NxA NxAxH	=0.040 =0.080

4 4:1: . 4 TPPF. u Table

'n -20 2 -01 2 IN50, IN75 and IN100: OU, IN recommended dose of N.

								N	N Humate level	evel						
N levels	App. method	H	H_{10}	H ₁₅	H_{20}	Mean	H ₀	H_{10}	H_{15}	H_{20}	Mean	H,	$\rm H_{10}$	${\rm H_{IS}}$	H_{20}	Mean
				N					Р					ĸ		
L.	Soil	21.03	21.37	22.12	22.47	21.75	5.16	5.42	5.38	5.61	5.40	31.66	31.87	33.80	33.96	32.82
N50	Foliar	20.26	20.45	20.93	21.23	20.72	4.27	4.96	5.10	5.29	5.06	30.89	31.03	31.26	31.52	31.17
N	Mean	20.65	20.91	21.52	21.85	21.23	5.13	5.19	5.24	5.45	5.23	31.27	31.45	32.53	32.74	32.00
Η. K	Soil	26.95	27.24	27.61	27.90	27.43	7.45	7.55	7.77	7.96	7.68	37.86	38.00	39.97	38.16	38.00
N75	Foliar	20.65	24.35	24.59	24.85	24.47	5.88	6.15	6.34	6.51	6.23	34.94	35.02	36.35	36.70	35.75
N	Mean	25.53	25.80	26.10	26.38	25.95	6.65	6.87	7.06	7.23	6.96	36.41	36.51	37.16	37.34	36.88
11	Soil	28.74	28.70	28.93	29.10	28.87	8.05	8.75	86.8	9.13	8.72	38.12	38.74	38.59	39.04	38.62
N100	Foliar	27.54	28.04	27.85	28.20	27.91	7.97	8.12	8.15	8.25	8.12	38.05	38.21	38.21	38.33	38.20
N	Mean	28.14	28.37	28.39	28.65	28.39	8.01	8.43	8.57	8.69	8.43	38.09	38.47	38.40	38.69	38.41
Gran	Grand mean	24.77	25.03	25.34	25.62	25.19	6.57	6.83	6.95	7.12	6.87	35.25	35.48	36.03	36.29	35.76
						4	Aeans of .	applicatic	Means of application method							
	Soil	25.58	25.77	26.22	26.49	26.01	6.90	7.24	7.38	7.57	7.27	35.88	36.20	36.78	37.06	36.48
Γ.	Foliar	23.97	24.28	24.46	24.76	24.37	6.24	6.42	6.53	6.68	6.47	34.63	34.75	35.27	35.52	35.04
TS	L.SD _{0.05}	N=0.05 NxH=	N=0.052 A=0.039 NxH=0.065 AxH=	$ \neg \circ$	H=0.037 NxA=0.046 .053 NxAxH=0.91	A=0.046 [=0.91	N=0.021 NxH=0.	1 A=0.028 0.055 AxH=0	N=0.021 A=0.028 H=0.032 NxA=0.035 NxH=0.078 NxH=0.078	H=0.032 NxA=0.039).045 NxAxH=0.078	1 = 0.039 = 0.078	N=0.06. NxH=0	N=0.063 A=0.080 H=0.056 NxA=0.040 NxH=0.055 AxH=0.050 NxAxH=0.088	80 H=0. H=0.050	056 Nx/ NxAxH	A=0.040 =0.088

179

Effect of nitrogen supply by ammonium humate on maize production under sandy soil and

the plants that received the treatment of $N_{75}*H_{20}*$ soil application in some cases produced values for soil available NPK equal to or higher than those that received 100 % of mineral N from a recommended dose as a solo. These results are in accordance with those obtained by Magdi *et al.* (2011); Fahmy *et al.* (2016), El-Edfawy (2017), Sahar *et al.*, (2020) and Shehata *et al.*, (2023).

Conclusion

Based on the obtained results, it could be concluded that using ammonium humat contain 20 g N L⁻¹ N by foliar application along with 75% of mineral N fertilizer from recommended dose could substitute 25 % of mineral N fertilizer and its consider the best application method which contributes to saving production costs and reducing the potential risk of environmental pollution and also minimize the harmful effect of nitrogen on human life. The highest plant character show that with should be determined in foliar compared with humic addition to soil. And as a results nitrogen in ammonium humat its positive effect on maize yield, yield components, plant nutrients uptake and soil available NPK.

Recomondation

Ammonium humate can be used for source of nitrogen it can significantly increase of plant growth character in sandy soils. Furthermore, increase the yield, yield components, plant nutrients uptake and soil available NPK. We found that the addition ammonium humat supplement 10, 15 and 20 g N L⁻¹ doses are benefit from humic and positive effect under sandy soil. benefit level addition and the highest production should be determined at ammonium humate contain 20 g N L⁻¹ in foliar with both nitrogen chemical fertilizer added to soil 100 or 75%.

REFERENCES

Abdel-Baset, Sahar H.; Abdelrazik, Eman and Shehata, A. S. (2020). Potentials of potassium humate, ammonium humate, and vermicompost tea in controlling root-knot nematode, Meloidogyne arenaria and improving biochemical components in eggplant. African J. Biol. Sci., 16 (1): 119-134.

- Abdo, A.I.; El-Sobky, E.E. and Zhang, J. (2022). Optimizing maize yields using growth stimulants under the strategy of replacing chemicals with biological fertilizers. Front. Plant Sci. 10.3389 \ fpls.1069624.
- Calvo P.; Nelson, L. and Kloepper, J.W. (2014). Agricultural uses of plant biostimulants. Plant Soil, 383: 3–41.
- Chapman, H. D. and Pratt, P. F. (1961). Methods of Analysis for Soil, Plant and Water. DIV. Agric. Sci., Univ. of Calif.
- Doran, I.; Akinci, C. and Yildirim, M. (2003). Effects of delta humate applied with different doses and methods on yield and yield components of Diyarbakir-81 wheat cultivar. 5th Field Crops Congress. Diyarbakir. Turkey 2: 530 – 534.
- El-Bassiony, A.M.; Fawzy, Z.F.; Abd El-Baky, M.M.H. and Mahmoud, A.R. (2010).
 Response of snap bean plants to mineral fertilizers and humic acid application. Res. J. of Agric. And Bio. Sci. 6 (2): 169 – 175.
- El-Edfawy, Y.M. (2017). Effect of NPK fertilizers and humic acid applications on yield and quality of canola plant (Brassica napus L.) grown in sandy soil. Nat. and Sci. J. 15 (12): 205 – 2011.
- El-Gohary, A. A.; Osman, E.A.M. and Khatab, K. A. (2010). Effect of nitrogen fertilization, humic acid and compost extract on yield and quality of rice plants. J. of Soil Sci. and Agric. Eng. 1 (1): 77 – 91.
- El-Hassanin, A.S.; Samak, M.R.; Shafika, M.N.; Khalifa, A.M. and Inas, M.I. (2016). Effect of foliar application with humic acid substances under nitrogen fertilization levels on quality and yields of sugar beet plant. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 5 (11): 668 – 680.
- El-Masry, T.A.; Osman, A. S.; Tolba, M.S. and Abd El-Mohsen, Y.H. (2014). Increasing nitrogen efficiency by humic acid soil application to squash plants (Cucurbita pepo

L.) grown in newly reclaimed saline soil. Egypt. J. Hort. 41 (2): 17 – 38.

- Essawy, H.A.; Mohamed, A.A. Ammar, N.S. and Ibrahim, H.S. (2017). Potassium fulvatefunctionalized graft copolymer of poly acrylic acid from cellulose as a promising selective chelating sorbent. RSC Adv. 7: 20178 – 20185.
- Fahmy, M.F.; Thalooth, A.T. ; Amal, G.; Mohamed, H. and Elewa, T.A. (2016). Evaluation of the effect of chemical fertilizer and humic acid on yield and yield components of wheat plants (tritium astivum) grown under reclaimed sandy soil. Inter. J. of Chemetic Res. 9 (8): 154 – 161.
- Gomez, K.A. and Gomez, A.A. (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agriculture research. A Wiley – Inter Science Publication, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York, USA.
- Grapevines El-Boray, M. S. S.; M. F. M. Mostafa and Doaa M. Hamza, (2013) Effect of humic acid, bio-fertilizers and microelements on leaf mineral contents of king ruby. J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., 4 (6): 871 – 883.
- Gupta, V. K.; Rastogi, A. and Nayak, A. (2010). Adsorption studies on the removal of hexavalent chromium from aqueous solution using a low-cost fertilizer industry waste material. J Colloid Interf Sci 342: 135-141.
- Hafez, M.M. (2003). Effect of some sources of nitrogen fertilizer and concentration of humic acid on the productivity of squashplant. Egypt J. Appl. Sci. 19 (10): 293–309.
- Ibrahim, A.; Mohammed, K.M.; Mortal, M. and Hamid, R.B. (2013). Effect of bio mineral nitrogen fertilizer management, under humic acid foliar spray on fruid yield and several traits of eggplant (Solanummelongena L.) African J. of Agric. Res. 7 (7): 1104–1109.
- Jackson, M. L. (1973). Soil Chemical Analysis Prentic – Hall India Private Limated New Delhi 183- 203. Klute, A. 1982. Methods of analysis. Part 1 physical and mineralogical methods (2nded.) Amer. Soc. Of Agron. Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Kandil, E.E.; Abdelsalam, N.R.; Mansour, M.A.;
 Ali, H.M. and Siddiqui, M.H. (2020).
 Potentials of organic manure and potassium forms on maize (Zea mays *L*.) growth and production Scientific Report. 10 (1): 1–11.
- Khan, S.A.; Khan, S.U.; Qayyum, A.; Gurmani, A.R.; Khan, A.; Khan, S.M.; Ahmed, W.; Mehmood, A. and Amin, B.A.Z. (2019). Integration of humic acid with nitrogen wields an auxiliary impact on physiological traits, growth and yield of maize (Zea mays L.) varieties. App. Ecology and Environ. Res. 17 (3): 6783–6799.
- Klute, A. (1986). "Methods of Soil Analysis PartI. Physical and mineralogical Methods". 2nded., Agron. Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A.
- Lan, W.; Shao hai, Y.; Zhong, Z.X.; Jin Long, W. and Jian – Feng, N. (2010). Effect of different modifiers on the improvement of acid soils. J. of Human Agric. Univ. (Natural Sciences). 36 (1): 77–81.
- Magdy, T.A.; Selim, E.M.; and El-Ghamry, A.M. (2011). Interaction effect of bio and mineral fertilizers and humic substances on growth yield and nutrients contents fertigated cowpea (vignunguiculata L.) J. of Agro. 10 (1): 34–39.
- Obsuwan, K.; Namchote, S.; Sanmanee, N.; Panishkan, K. and Dharmvanij, S. (2011). Effect of various concentrations of humic acid on growth and development of eggplant seedling in tissue cultures at low nutrient level. World Academy of Sci., Engineering. and Tech. 80: 276 – 278.
- Olsen, S. R.; Cole, C.V.; Watanabe, F.S. and Dean, L. A. (1954). Estimation of available phosphorous in soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. U.S. dept. Agric. Cir. 939.
- Padem, H.; Ocal, A. and Alan, R. (1997). Effect of humic acid added foliar fertilizer on seedling quality and nutrient content of eggplant and pepper. ISHS Symposium on Greenhouse Management for Better Yields and Quality in Mild Winter Climates, 3-5 November 1997. Acta Horticulture, 491: 241–246.

- Page, A. L.; Miller, R. H. and Keeny, D. R. (1982). "Methods of Soil Analysis Part II: chemical and microbiological properties. 2nd Ed. Am. Soc. Agro. Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A.
- Pehlivan, E. and Arslan, G. (2006). Comparison of adsorption capacity of young brown coals and humic acids prepared from different coal mines in Anatolia. J Hazard Mater B 138: 401-408.
- Rady, M. M. (2011b). Effects on growth, yield, and fruit quality in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) using a mixture of potassium humate and farmyard manure as an alternative to mineral-N fertilizer. Journal of Horticultural Science & Biotechnology, 86: 249-254.
- Rady M.M. and Gamal F.M. (2015). Modulation of salt stress effects on the growth, physiochemical attributes and yields of Phaseolus vulgaris L. plants by the combined application of salicylic acid and Moringa oleifera leaf extract. Scientia Horticulturae 193: 105–113.
- Sanchez Monedrro, M. A.; Roig, A.; Cergarra, J.; Bemal, M.P. and Paredes, C. (2002). Effect of HCl-HF purification treatment on chemical composition and structure of humic acids. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 53: 375–381.
- Shehata, A. S.; Morsy, Heba Y. A.; Shady, Marwa A. H. and El-Etr, Wafaa M. T.

(2023). Ammonium Humate Application Techniques and their Influence on Crop Productivity and Sandy Soil Properties. J. of Soil Sciences and Agricultural Engineering, Mansoura Univ., 14 (9): 297 – 308.

- Souza, A.B.; Andrade, M.G.B.; Vierra, N.M.B. and Albuquerque, B. (2008). Sowing densities and NPK and liming levels on common bean yield in conventional cropping system in Ponta Gross State, Brazil Pesquisa Agropecuaria Tropical. 35 (1): 39–43.
- Wulandari, P.; Sulistyaningsih, E.; Handayani, S. and Purwanto, B.H. (2019). Growth and yield response of maize (Zea mays *L*.) on acid soil to different rates of humic acid and NPK fertilizer. IImu Pertanian Agric. Sci., 4 (2): 76–84.
- Yaldirim, E. (2007). Foliar and soil fertilization of humic acid affect productivity and quality of tomota. Acct. Agriculturae. Scandinavica Section B Plant Soil Sci. 75: 182 – 186.
- Yang, J.; Zhang, J.; Huang, Z.; Zhu Q., and Wang, L. (2000). Remobilization of carbon reserves is improved by controlled soildrying during grain filling of wheat, Crop Sci. 40: 1645–1655.
- Zakaria, S. M. (2018). Response of maize plants to different N- sources and foliar application of potassium humate. Menoufia J. Soil Sci. (3): 101–119.

تأثير المحتوى النيتروجينى فى هيومات الامونيوم على زيادة إنتاج محصول الذرة تحت ظروف الأراضى الرملية و انخفاض التسميد النتروجينى

> **ياسر محمد الإدفاوي، محمد سعد محمد علي، أشرف صابر شحاتة** مركز البحوث الزراعية – معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبيئة – الجيزة

الملخص العربى

أجريت تجربتين حقليتين أثناء موسمي صيف ٢٠٢٢ / ٢٠٣٢ في محطة البحوث الزراعية بالإسماعيلية – مصر. وذلك لدراسة تأثير إضافة النتروجين المعدني بمعدل ٥٠ ، ٥٧ و ١٠٠% من المعدل الموصى به مع إضافة المواد الهيومية فى صورة هيومات الامونيوم المتأثرة بالنتروجين بمعدل ١٠ ، ١٠ و ٢٠ جرام نيتروجين لكل لتر بطريقتين للإضافة وهما إضافة أرضية وإضافة بالرش الورقي على إنتاج الذرة الشامية والتركيب الكيماوي للنبات وتيسر العناصر بالتربة تحت طروف الارض الرملية بتصميم قطع منشقة مرتين وأوضحت النتائج ما يلي:- تأثر محصول الحبوب والقش تاثيرًا معنويًا بإضافة المعدلات المختلفة للنيتروجين المعدني منفردًا أو مختلطًا مع إضافة المواد الهيومية سواء كانت إضافة أرضية أو رش ورقي. كانت أعلى قيم سجلت في محصول الحبوب والقش في المعاملة ٥٧ % نتروجين معدني + هيومات الامونيوم ٠٠ جرام نيتروجين لكل لتر والمضاف رشًا على الأوراق وهذه المعاملة ٢٥ % نتروجين معدني + هيومات الامونيوم ٠٠ كل من الحبوب والقش تائيرًا معنويًا ورقي. كانت أعلى قيم سجلت في محصول الحبوب والقش في المعاملة مع إضافة المواد الهيومية سواء كانت إضافة أرضية أو رش حرام نيتروجين لكل لتر والمضاف رشًا على الأوراق وهذه المعاملة أعطت نتائج مساوية أو أعلى من المعاملة ١٠٠ % من كل من الحبوب والقش لنبات الذرة و بالنسبة للماسة للمنص من النتروجين مالموفر والبوتاسيوم بواسطة كل من الحبوب والقش لنبات الذرة و بالنسبة النتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم الميسر في التربة فقد اوضحت النتائج زيادة تنبس هذة العناصر بزيادة تركيز النيتروجين المضاف و ان اعلى القيم كانت مع الاضافة الارضى لهيومات الامونيوم. ويمكن كل من الحبوب والقش لنبات الذرة و بالنسبة النتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم الميسر في التربة فقد اوضحت النتائج زيادة رئيا على من المعدني بالترفية الارضى ليومات الامونيوم. ويمكن كل من الحبوب والقوسفور الفريقيم كانت مع الاضافة الارضى لهيومات الامونيوم. ويمكن كل من الحبوب والقش لنبات الذرة و بالنسبة النتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم الميسر في التربق ولين المونيوم. ويمكن كل من الحبوب والقر لنبتروجين المعدني باسلة المونيو واليومات الامونيو واليونانيو ويين الموني والوسفور والبونيوم. ويمكن كل من الحبوبي والنوانيوم والي المونيوم واليومات الامونيوم ويمان والومنوم والونايوم وويينانة والمونيوم والومنان والوسفور و الوليو وا