# SPECIES COMPOSITION AND SIZE STRUCTURE OF EXPERIMENTAL BEACH SEIN BY-CATCH IN EION MOUSSA, NORTH GULF OF SUEZ, EGYPT 

Ahmed S. Abd EL-Naby ${ }^{1}$; Azza El-Ganainy ${ }^{2}$;Maaly A. Mohamed ${ }^{1}$ and Mohammad El-Mor ${ }^{3}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Fish Biology and Ecology Department, Central Lab for Aquaculture Research, Abassa, Abu Hammad, Sharkia, Egypt.<br>${ }^{2}$ National Institute of Oceanography \& Fisheries, NIOF, Suez Branch, Suez, Egypt.<br>${ }^{3}$ Marine science department, University of Suez Canal, Ismailia, Egypt.<br>Mail: dr ahmedsamir83@yahoo.com Mail: melmor88@yahoo.com


#### Abstract

The by-catch of the experimental beach seine operating in Eion Moussa coast, north Gulf of Suez during the period from autumn 2017 to summer 2018 was analyzed. The by-catch amount 3-5 Kg per haul composed of fin fish species $(84.3 \%)$ and shrimp $(15.7 \%)$. About 17 fin fish species belonged to 14 families composed of two categories 13 juvenile of the commercially important species (30.6 \%) and 4 low valued species ( $69.4 \%$ ). Leiognathus berbis, Leiognathus elongates, Stolephorus pacificus and Istigobius ornatus dominated the assemblage of 17 species and contributed $69.37 \%$ of all sampled fishes. About $41.19 \%$ of juvenile of the commercially important species were recruitment in summer 2018, $48.26 \%$ in autumn and spring and $10.55 \%$ in winter.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The importance of shallow coastal marine habitats as nursery grounds for juvenile fishes is well established in the literature and it has been shown that a large number of fish species are dependent on these habitats during these juvenile phases (Bennett, 1989).

Typically, the adults spawn elsewhere in the sea and after metamorphosis the juvenile fishes enter the nursery grounds to complete their life cycle. They remain in their nursery grounds for some time, often no more than a year before vacating it for their adult habitat (El-Mor, 2002).Shallow coastal habitats offer advantages over the marine environment in the term of protection from predators and as an abundant food supply (Clark, 1974; Cushing, 1975; Lenanton, 1982; Boesch and Turner, 1984).

The aim of the present work is to determine the structure of juvenile fish community inhabiting coastal shallow water of Eion Moussa, North Gulf of Suez to describe species composition, size structure of juvenile fishes and time of recruitment in the target area.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The by-catch of about $3-5 \mathrm{Kg}$ per haul were collected seasonally by Experimental beach
seine operating in Eion Moussa coast during the period from autumn 2017 to summer 2018 was analyzed. The net is 6 meters long, 7 m width and 1.6 m height. It consists of a small bag with mesh size of 1-1.5 cm. The net was seasonally dragged on the bottom for a distance of about $100-300 \mathrm{~m}$. The data were collected from 24 hauls from the four seasons. The fishes sampled were preserved immediately on capture by immersion in 5-10 \% sea water formalin. On the return to the laboratory fish samples were sorted and species identification for each fish was carried out based on criteria given by (Randall, 1983; Whitehead et al., 1984; Smith and Heemstra, 1986; Humann and Deloach, 2002; Allen and Steene, 2005 and Golani et al., 2006). The total length (cm) and total weight (gm) of each fish species were measured.

The study area Eion Moussa Coast $29^{\circ} 52^{\prime}$ $37^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} \cdot 32^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ (Fig. 1) is located 35 Km from the city of Suez, between Suez City and Ras Sider. The sandy beach of the region extends 15 Km long including the study area and consists of 12 oases, it is one of the tourist attractions of the distinctive nature that tourists visit on their way to Sharm El-Sheikh, the study area attracts amateur bird watches, especially the quail.


Figure 1. Map showing Eion Moussa sites, North Gulf of Suez, Egypt.

## RESULTS

1- Species composition and overall abundance

The by-catch amounted 3-5 Kg per haul composed of fin fish species $(84.3 \%)$ and shrimp ( $15.7 \%$ ). In the present study, the shrimp is represented by Metapenaeopsis stridulans. A total of 3283 fish individuals have been collected in one year by the experimental beach seine from autumn 2017 to summer 2018. The fin fish species can be classified into categories:

Juvenile of the commercial important species and low valued species. Juvenile of the commercially important species constituted $30.6 \%$ of the total fin fish by-catch which were represented by 13 species belonging to 11 families such as: Synodontidae ( $8.98 \%$ of the total fin fish by-catch), Nemipteridae (4.41\%), Mullidae (4.23\%), Sparidae (3.68\%) and Heamullidae $(2.04 \%)$. They were the main components of juvenile of the commercial important species. Low valued species constituted $69.4 \%$ of the total by-catch which were represented by 4 abundant species belonging to 3 families; Leiognathidae (38.04\%), Engraulidae (18.82\%) and Gobiidae (12.51\%) (Table 1).

2- Seasonally relative abundance and size composition

A total of 3283 fish juvenile individuals have been collected in one year by the experimental beach seine from autumn 2017 to summer 2018.

Juveniles of commercially important fish species were recoded all the year round with the abundance was higher in the seasons (autumn -summer). A total of 414 Juvenile fish were collected in summer followed by 336 Juvenile fish in autumn. Juveniles of commercially important fish species were rare both in spring and winter with 149 and 106 juvenile respectively.

Juveniles of Low valued fish species were recoded all the year round with the abundance was higher in the seasons (autumn -winter). A total of 978 Juvenile fish were collected in autumn followed by 682 Juvenile fish in winter. Juveniles of Low valued fish species were rare both in summer and spring with 413 and 205 juvenile respectively.

The juvenile of Saurida undosquamis recruitment were in autumn (7.6\%) and summer ( $8.6 \%$ ) varying between 2.3 and 12.2 cm total length (Table 2). The juveniles of Synodus variegates were recruited in autumn ( $8.1 \%$ ) and winter ( $6.3 \%$ ) varying between 3.4 and 13.13 cm T.L.

The juveniles of Nemipterus japonicus were present in the samples by-catch from spring ( $8.8 \%$ ) to summer (13.8\%) varying between 3.1 and 12.6 cm T.L. Upeneus japonicas was present in autumn (7.7\%) and summer ( $4.6 \%$ ), varying between 2.2 and 6.9 cm T.L. While 121 fish specimens collect from Diplodus noct in summer (41.6\%), varying between 2.4 and 7.3 cm T.L. Pomadasys stridens ( $2.0-5.9 \mathrm{~cm}$ T.L.) was recorded during the period from winter ( $2.0 \%$ ) to spring (14.4\%). The juveniles of Trachurus indicus were present in samples from spring ( $5.9 \%$ ) to summer ( $3.6 \%$ ) varying between 3.3 and 7.5 cm T.L.

The juvenile of Alepes djedaba were recruitment in spring (3.7\%) and summer ( $3.1 \%$ ), varying between 4.3 and 9.4 cm T.L. About 36 fish specimens collected fromLiza carinata in winter ( $1.3 \%$ ) and spring ( $7.3 \%$ ), varying between 2.1 and 5.8 cm T.L. The recruitment of Terapon jarbua took place in autumn ( $0.7 \%$ ) and winter ( $2.5 \%$ ), varying between 3.2 and 9.3 cm T.L.

The juveniles of Siganus rivulatus were present in fish samples in autumn (1.2\%) and summer ( $0.5 \%$ ) varying between 3.1 and 6.8 cm T.L. About 17 fish collected from Conger cinereus in spring (1.9\%) and summer (1.2\%), varying between $8.1-17.4 \mathrm{~cm}$ T.L.

The juveniles of Fistularia commersonii were recruitment in autumn ( $0.2 \%$ ) and winter (1.3\%), varying between 9.2 and 24.3 cm T.L. On the other hand The low valued fish species such as leignathus berbis were dominant in autumn (31.4\%) and winter (50.8\%), varying between $2.2-4.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ T.L. Also, leignathuselongateus were present in the samples during autumn (11.8\%) and winter ( $35.8 \%$ ), varying between $2.3-5.7 \mathrm{~cm}$ T.L. About 618 fish collected from Stolephorus punetifer in spring ( $75.9 \%$ ) and in summer ( $49.9 \%$ ), varying between ( $2.0-5.1 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), T. 2Seasonally relative abundance and size composition

A total of 3283 fish juvenileindividuals have been collected in one year by the experimental beach seinefrom autumn 2017 to summer 2018.

Juveniles of commercially important fish species were recoded all the year round with the abundance was higher in the seasons (autumn -summer). A total of 414 Juvenile fish were collected in summer followed by 336 Juvenile fish in autumn. Juveniles of commercially important fish species were rare both in spring and winter with 149 and 106 juvenile respectively.

Juveniles of Low valued fish species were recoded all the year round with the abundance was higher in the seasons (autumn -winter). A total of 978 Juvenile fish were collected in autumn followed by 682 Juvenile fish in winter. Juveniles of Low valued fish species were rare both in summer and spring with 413 and 205 juvenile respectively.

The juvenile of Saurida undosquamis recruitment were in autumn (7.6\%) and summer ( $8.6 \%$ ) varying between 2.3 and 12.2 cm total length (Table 2). The juveniles of Synodus variegates were recruited in autumn ( $8.1 \%$ ) and winter ( $6.3 \%$ ) varying between 3.4 and 13.13 cm T.L.

The juveniles of Nemipterus japonicus were present in the samples by-catch from spring ( $8.8 \%$ ) to summer ( $13.8 \%$ ) varying
between 3.1 and 12.6 cm T.L. Upeneus japonicas was present in autumn (7.7\%) and summer ( $4.6 \%$ ), varying between 2.2 and 6.9 cm T.L. While 121 fish specimens collect from Diplodus noct in summer (41.6\%), varying between 2.4 and 7.3 cm T.L. Pomadasys stridens ( $2.0-5.9 \mathrm{~cm}$ T.L.) was recorded during the period from winter ( $2.0 \%$ ) to spring (14.4\%). The juveniles of Trachurus indicus were present in samples from spring (5.9\%) to summer ( $3.6 \%$ ) varying between 3.3 and 7.5 cm T.L.

The juvenile of Alepes djedaba were recruitment in spring (3.7\%) and summer (3.1\%), varying between 4.3 and 9.4 cm T.L. About 36 fish specimens collected fromLiza carinata in winter ( $1.3 \%$ ) and spring ( $7.3 \%$ ), varying between 2.1 and 5.8 cm T.L. The recruitment of Terapon jarbua took place in autumn ( $0.7 \%$ ) and winter ( $2.5 \%$ ), varying between 3.2 and 9.3 cm T.L.

The juveniles of Siganus rivulatus were present in fish samples in autumn ( $1.2 \%$ ) and summer ( $0.5 \%$ ) varying between 3.1 and 6.8 cm T.L. About 17 fish collected from Conger cinereus in spring ( $1.9 \%$ ) and summer ( $1.2 \%$ ), varying between $8.1-17.4 \mathrm{~cm}$ T.L.

The juveniles of Fistularia commersonii were recruitment in autumn ( $0.2 \%$ ) and winter ( $1.3 \%$ ), varying between 9.2 and 24.3 cm T.L. On the other hand The low valued fish species such as leignathus berbis were dominant in autumn ( $31.4 \%$ ) and winter ( $50.8 \%$ ), varying between 2.2-4.0 cm T.L. Also, leignathuselongateus were present in the samples during autumn (11.8\%) and winter ( $35.8 \%$ ), varying between $2.3-5.7 \mathrm{~cm}$ T.L. About 618 fish collected from Stolephorus punetifer in spring ( $75.9 \%$ ) and in summer ( $49.9 \%$ ), varying between ( $2.0-5.1 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), T.L.

While, Istigobius ornatus ( $2.0-4.3 \mathrm{~cm}$ ), appeared in autumn (31.3\%) (Table 2).

Table (1). Fin fish species composition and overall abundance (\%) in the by-catch taken by experimental beach seine operating in Eion Moussa coast during the period from autumn 2017 to summer 2018.

| Families |  |  | Species | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Abundance } \\ \text { (No. \%) } \end{array}$ | Range of total length (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1- Juveniles of commercially important fish species |  |  |  |  |  |
| Synodontidae | Saurida undosquamis (Richardson, 1848) <br> Brushtooth lizar fish <br> Synodus variegatus (Lacepede, 1803) <br> Variegated lizard fish |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} 171 \\ 5.20 \% \\ 157 \\ 4.78 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3-12.2 \\ & 3.4-13.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.7 \pm 1.07 \\ & 14.1 \pm 1.79 \end{aligned}$ |
| Nemipteridae | Nemipterus japonicus (Bloch, 1791) Japanese thread fin bream |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 145 \\ 4.41 \% \end{array}$ | 3.1-12.6 | $16.8 \pm 1.49$ |
| Mullidae | Upeneus japonicus (Houttuyn, 1782) <br> Japanese goat fish |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 139 \\ 4.23 \% \end{array}$ | 2.2-6.9 | $10.4 \pm 0.87$ |
| Sparidae | Diplodus noct (Valenciennes, 1830) <br> Red seabream |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 121 \\ 3.68 \% \end{array}$ | 2.4-7.3 | $11.8 \pm 1.16$ |
| Haemulidae | Pomadasys stridens (Forsskal, 1775) Striped piggy |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 67 \\ 2.04 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2.0-5.9 | $8.3 \pm 0.43$ |
| Carangidae | Trachurus indicus (Nekrasov, 1966) <br> Arabian scad <br> Alepes djedaba (Forsskal, 1775) <br> Shrimp scad |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 51 \\ & 1.55 \% \\ & 39 \\ & 1.18 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3-7.5 \\ & 4.3-9.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.8 \pm 1.19 \\ & 12.5 \pm 1.23 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mugilidae | Liza carinata (Valenciennes, 1836) Keeled mullet |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 36 \\ & 1.09 \% \end{aligned}$ | 2.1-5.8 | $8.1 \pm 0.30$ |
| Terapontidae | Terapon jarbua (Forsskal, 1775) |  | 29 | 3.2-9.3 | $10.6 \pm 1.28$ |
|  | Jarbua terapon |  | 0.88\% |  |  |
| Siganidae | Siganus rivulatus (Forsskal, 1775) |  | 20 | 3.1-6.8 | $8.7 \pm 0.58$ |
|  | Marbled spinefoot |  | 0.60\% |  |  |
| Congridae | Conger cinereus (Ruppell, 1830) |  | 17 | 8.1-17.4 | $15.8 \pm 2.33$ |
|  | Long african conger |  | 0.51\% |  |  |
| Fistulariidae | Fistulariacommersonii (Ruppelll, 1838) |  | 13 | 9.2-24.3 | $18.4 \pm 1.89$ |
|  | Bluespotted cornet fish |  | 0.39\% |  |  |
| Total juveniles |  |  | 1005 | 30.61 |  |
| 2- Low valued fish species |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leiognathidae | Leiognathus berbis (Valenciennes, 1835) |  | 812 | 2.2-4.0 | $3.3 \pm 0.29$ |
|  | Berber pony fish |  | 24.73\% |  |  |
|  | Leiognathus elongatus (Gunther, 1874) |  | 437 | 2.3-5.7 | $4.1 \pm 0.47$ |
|  | Slender pony fish |  | 13.31\% |  |  |
| Engraulidae | Stolephorus punetifer (Fowler, 1938) |  | 618 | 2.0-5.1 | $4.7 \pm 0.18$ |
|  | Buccaneer anchovy |  | 18.82\% |  |  |
| Gobiidae | Istigobiusornatus (Ruppelli, 1830) |  | 411 | 2.0-4.3 | $4.3 \pm 0.09$ |
|  | Ornate goby |  | 12.51\% |  |  |
| Total of low valued fishes |  | 2278 | 69.39 \% |  |  |
| Totals |  | 3283 |  |  |  |

Table (2). Seasonally relative abundance and size composition in the by-catch taken by experimental beach seine Operating in Eion Moussa coast during the period from autumn 2017 to summer 2018.

| Family | Species | Autumn 2017 |  |  | Winter |  |  | Spring |  |  | Summer 2018 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1- Juveniles of commercially important fish species | No. | \% | Size(cm) | No. | \% | Size(cm) | No. | \% | Size(cm) | No. | \% | Size(cm) |
| Synodontidae | Saurida undosquamis (Richardson, 1848) <br> Brushtooth lizar fish <br> Synodus variegatus (Lacepede, 1803) <br> Variegated lizard fish | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 100 \\ 107 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 7.6 \\ 8.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 2.0-12.2 \\ 3.4-6.7 \end{array}$ | 50 | 6.3 | 5.0-13.3 |  |  |  | 7.1 | 8.6 | 2.3-7.0 |
| Nemipteridae | Nemipterus japonicus (Bloch, 1791) Japanese thread fin bream |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 8.8 | 3.1-8.1 | 114 | 13.8 | 4.0-12.6 |
| Mullidae | Upeneus japonicus (Houttuyn, 1782) Japanese goat fish | 101 | 7.7 | 2.2-6.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38 | 4.6 | 2.2-5.0 |
| Sparidae | Diplodus noct (Valenciennes, 1830) <br> Red seabream |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 121 | 14.6 | 2.4-7.3 |
| Haemulidae | Pomadasys stridens (Forsskal, 1775) Striped piggy |  |  |  | 16 | 2.0 | 2.0-4.0 | 51 | 14.4 | 2.0-5.9 |  |  |  |
| Carangidae | Trachurus indicus (Nekrasov, 1966) <br> Arabian scad <br> Alepes djedaba (Forsskal, 1775) <br> Shrimp scad |  |  |  |  |  |  | $21$ $13$ | $5.9$ $3.7$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.3-5.0 \\ 4.3-7.0 \end{gathered}$ | $30$ $26$ | $3.6$ $3.1$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.3-7.5 \\ 4.0-9.4 \end{gathered}$ |
| Mugilidae | Liza carinata (Valenciennes, 1836) Keeled mullet |  |  |  | 10 | 1.3 | 2.1-4.0 | 26 | 7.3 | 2.1-5.8 |  |  |  |
| Terapontidae | Terapon jarbua (Forsskal, 1775) Jarbua terapon | 9 | 0.7 | 3.2-6.0 | 20 | 2.5 | 4.0-9.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Siganidae | Siganus rivulatus (Forsskal, 1775) <br> Marbled spinefoot | 16 | 1.2 | 3.1-6.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0.5 | 3.1-5.0 |
| Carangidae | Conger cinereus (Ruppell, 1830) <br> Long african conger |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 1.9 | 8.1-15.0 | 10 | 1.2 | 8.1-17.4 |
| Firstykaruude | Fistulariacommersonii (Ruppelll, 1838) Bluespotted cornet fish | 3 | 0.2 | 9.2-20.0 | 10 | 1.3 | 9.2-24.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total juveniles |  | 336 |  |  | 106 |  |  | 149 |  |  | 414 |  |  |
| \% |  | $\begin{gathered} 33.43 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\underset{\%}{10.55}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 14.83 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 41.19 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | 2- Low valued fish species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leiognathidae | Leiognathus berbis (Valenciennes, 1835) <br> Berber pony fish <br> Leiognathus elongatus (Gunther, 1874) Slender pony fish | $\begin{aligned} & 412 \\ & 155 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31.4 \\ & 11.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2.2-4.0 \\ 2.3-5.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 400 \\ 282 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 50.8 \\ 35.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2.2-4.0 \\ 2.3-5.7 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engraulidae | Stolephorus punetifer (Fowler, 1938) Buccaneer anchovy |  |  |  |  |  |  | 205 | 57.9 | 2.0-5.1 | 413 | 49.9 | 2.0-5.0 |
| Gobiidae | Istigobiusornatus (Ruppell, 1830) Ornate goby | 411 | 31.3 | 2.0-4.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total of low valued fish |  | 978 |  |  | 682 |  |  | 205 |  |  | 413 |  | 2278 |
| \% |  | $\underset{\%}{42.93}$ |  |  | $\underset{\%}{29.94}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 9.0 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 18.13 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Totals |  | 1314 |  |  | 788 |  |  | 354 |  |  | 827 |  | 3283 |
| \% |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 40.0 \\ 3 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 24.0 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10.7 \\ & 8 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 25.19 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Total of low valued fish |  | 978 |  |  | 682 |  |  | 205 |  |  | 413 |  | 2278 |

## DISCUSSION

The by-catch of experimental beach seine net in Eion Moussa, North Gulf of Suez was analyzed. The north Gulf of Suez is biologically an economically valuable as a breeding and nursery ground for some commercially valuable fish species (ElGanainy, 1992; El-Ganainy et al. 2006; GabAlla et al. 2007). In the Gulf of Suez, many fin fish species are caught by several fishing gears as by-catch which is defined as incidental catch and discarded or released catch (Clucas, 1997 and El-Ganainy, et al. 2006). In the present study the by-catch amounted $3-5 \mathrm{Kg}$ per haul composed of fin fish species (84.3\%) and shrimp ( $15.7 \%$ ). The fin fish species were represented by 17 species, belonging to 14 families. El Mor, (2002) analyze the size and species composition of experimental beach seinein Deversoir, lake Timsah and Great Bitter lakes, Suez canal, He was identified 26 fish species representing 20 families, among these 15 species are known to be Red Sea immigrant species. Ahmed et al., (2004) studied the bycatch of experimental beach seine in great Bitter lakes, Suez Canal, they were identified 12 species belonged to 11 families. El-Ganainy et al., (2006) were studied the bottom trawl discards in the Gulf of Suez, they were collected 51 fish species. It is known that the differences in fish richness are attributed to either unequal collection (different sites, methods and season) or reduction of number owing to specific condition of certain habitat (Bennett, 1989). In the present study, 13 juveniles of the commercially important species were represent $30.6 \%$ of total fin fish by-catch of the gear, belonged to 11 families such as Synodontidae, Nemipteridae, Mullidae, Sparidae etc. Further, the update list of fin fish species in north Gulf of Suez waters given by El-Ganainy et al., (2006) included all fish families recorded in the present work.

In the current study, the juveniles of Saurida undosquamis, Upeneus japonicas and Siganus rivulatus recruitment in summer and autumn months. The juveniles of Synodus variegatus, Terapon jarbua, Fistularia
commersonii,Leiognathus berbis and
Leiognathus elongates recruitment were in autumn and winter months. The juvenile of Nemipterus japonicas, Trachurus indicus,Alepes djedaba, Conger cinereus and Stolephorus punetifer recruitment were in spring and summer months. The juveniles of Liza Carinata and Pomadasys stridens recruitment were in winter and spring.

The juveniles of Diplodus noct recruitment was in summer while the juvenile of Istigobius ornatus recruitment was in autumn. This is in agreement with spawning seasons of the previous species for instance: March till June for Saurida undosquamis (Sanders and Morgan, 1989), may till August for Upeneus japonicas (Wahbeh and Ajiad, 1985), May till July for Siganus rivulatus (Ben Tuvia, 1986). August till October for Synodus variegatus (Allen and Adrim, 2003), August till November for Terapon jarbua (Jeyaseelan, 1998), June till August for Fistularia commersonii (Watson and SandKnop, 1996). May tell August for Leiognathus berbis and Leiognathus elongates (Lee et al. 2005), January till April for Nemipterus japonicas (Russel, 1990), January till May for Trachurus indicus (Sanders and Morgan, 1989), February till June for Alepes djedaba (Shuaib and Ayub, 2011), from November till March for Conger cinereus (Castle, 1984), November till February for Stolephorus punetifer (Tiews et al. 1971), November till February for Liza carinata (Hefnyet al. 2016) and for Pomadasys stridens(Ben tuvia and Mckay, 1986), from march till may for Diplodus noct (Bauchot and Smith, 1984) and from July till September for Istigobius ornatus (Hurdy and Hoese, 1985). The damage caused by the trawling net effected badly on stock resources of North Gulf of Suez coast, which can be considered as nursery ground in which small fish individuals were caught before Sexual maturation (lenanton, 1982). Therefore, it is necessary to consider restrictions to trawling and illegal fishing gears operating in nursery grounds, north Gulf of Suez.
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& \text { الملخص العربي } \\
& \text { التركيب النوعي والحجمي للصيد الجانبي لثبكة } \\
& \text { الجرف التجريبيه في عيون موسي شمـيل خليج } \\
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> ' ق فســم بحـوث البيئـة وبيولوجيــا الأســمـاك ـالمعمــل المركزي لبحوث الثروه السمكية ـالعباسـة أبوحمـاد الان
> الثشرقية- مصر .
> 「معمل بيولو جيا المصـايد_المعهد القومي لعلوم البحـار
> المصـايد ـالسويس ــمصر.
> 「 ${ }^{\text {T }}$
> ــمر.

الصـيد الجـانبي（ الأســماك المصــاده فـي كـيس
الثــبكـه Code end）بو اســطة شــبـاك الجــرف التجريبية تم در استه وتحليله في عيون موسـي شـمـال


 الز عـانف بنسبة ٪，؟

في هذا البحث تـم التعرف علـي V V نـوع سـك الا نتتمـي الــي ؟ ا عائلــة وكانــت الأســمـالك نتكـون مـن


 و هم＂عريانه نو عين و الأنشوجه نو ع و الجوبي نـوع
 الصــيد الجـــانبي للأســـمـاك وكــانـان هنــالك حــو الـي

 في الخريـف والربيع وحـو الي 00，•（\％فـي فصـل الثنتاء．

