FUNCTIONAL, RHEOLOGICAL AND SENSORY CHARACTERISTICS OF DEFATTED-HYDROLYZED RICE BRAN AS FAT REPLACERS IN PREPARED BISCUIT

[112]

Madkour A.H., Magda H. Allam, Abdel Fattah A.A. and Kishk* Y.F.M.
Food Sci. Dept., Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., P.O. Box 68 Hadayek Shoubra, 11241, Cairo, Egypt

*Corresponding author: Yasser F.M. Kishk, Dept. of Food Sci., Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, Egypt. E-mail: yasser_keshk@agr.asu.edu.eg
yasserkishk@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Defatted rice bran (DRB) and hydrolyzed defatted rice bran (DRBT) were prepared from rice bran meal (RBM). Proximate composition, oil and water binding capacities, emulsifying and foaming characteristics were determined. Effect of different substitution of DRB and DRBT as Fat replacers in biscuit on the farenograph parameters and sensory characteristics were also evaluated. The total protein, ash and crude fiber were significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) increased in each of DRB and DRBT compared to RBM. Defatted rice bran protein hydrolyzed improved the DRBT water, oil absorption index being 1.7. Used each of DRB or DRBT gave high emulsifying activity and stability indices in casein model system. The same trend was observed with foam activity and stability indices in egg albumin system. farenogram parameters significant ($P \leq 0.05$) affected by using DRB and DRBT. The prepared biscuits samples had high sensory scores with 10 to 50 % DRB and DRBT attributes and recorded non-significant ($P \leq 0.05$) differences compared to control sample.

INTRODUCTION

Rice is the second largest cereal crop produced worldwide, with a global production of 696 million metric tons of paddy rice in 2010 **Dnosajj, (2010)**. Rice bran (RB) is one of the most important by-products of the rice milling process and is produced on a large scale yield. RB is regarded as a rich source of nutrition and bioactive compounds with antioxidant activities, including proteins, phenolic compounds. Due to hypoallergenic properties, RB protein is an excellent cereal protein and is as effective as soy protein for reduction of plasma cholesterol levels **Morita, et al (1997).** During 2014 Egypt produced six million tons of rice, ultimately yielding about 528,000 tons of bran **FAO, (2017).** Currently, rice bran is utilized mainly as stock feed, with a small amount also used for other purposes such as nutritional supplements, ingredients of microbiological media and extraction for cooking oil **Yadav, et al (2011).** Like other cereal grains, rice bran is rich in protein, with 12 to 16% of bran dry matter being protein **Cheruvanky, et al (2004).** However, despite the abundance of rice bran, more research has been carried out to develop rice bran protein-based products and, consequently, this rich protein source remains underutilized **Uraipong and Zhao, (2015)**.

Rice bran contains an insignificant amount of protein (12 to 20%), with high nutritional quality **Jiamyangyuen, et al (2005), Fabian and Ju, (2011)**. The protein efficiency ratio of rice bran protein concentrate has been measured at 2.0 - 2.5, it is also very digestible more than (90%) **Wang, et al (1999)**. Enzymatic hydrolysis does not affect the nutritional value of the proteins. Additionally, enzymatic hydrolysis can improve the physicochemical, functional, and sensory properties of native proteins **Kristinsson and Rasco, (2000)**.

Supplementation of heat stabilized rice bran up to 10% is more suitable for production of cookies **Younas, et al** (**2011**). After extraction of oil from rice bran, residue is known as de-oiled rice bran, also keep humans healthy due to low fat content. Defatted rice bran also possesses unique functional and nutritional properties. Keeping in view, the nutritional importance of defatted rice bran, made an attempt to prepare ready-to-eat breakfast cereals enhanced with defatted rice bran **Charunuch, et al** (**2014**). **Al-Okbi, et al (2014)** studied the production of corn flakes and tortillas chips, by supplementation of gelatinized corn flour with rice bran from 10 to 30% and conclude that the maximum breakdown viscosity and color quality was affected and sensory parameters decreased while protein percentage was increased depending on the level of rice bran.

This study aimed to prepare either defatted rice bran and rice bran treated by trypsin and their incorporation in biscuit as fat replacers. The oil and water binding capacity, emulsifying and foaming behavior were determined. In addition, function of DRB & DRBT as a fat replacer, the rheology of dough and prepared biscuits characteristics were evaluated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

MATERIALS

Wheat flour (*Triticum aestivum*), 72% extraction was obtained from Five Stars Milling Company, Suisse governorate, Egypt. RBM was obtained from Agriculture Research Center, Giza, Cairo, Egypt. Purified Trypsin (EC 67/548 or EC 1999/45) was obtained from LOBA CHEMIE PVT.LTD.107 Wode House Road, Jehangir Villa, Colaba 400005 Mumbai. Crystal and fine white sugar, table salt, whole egg, palm oil, vanilla, milk powder and corn oil were purchased from local market, Cairo, Egypt.

METHODS

Preparation of defatted rice bran

The obtained (RBM) was used to prepare (DRB) as described by **Wang et al (1999)**. RBM was stabilized using thermal treatment at 105 °C for 20 min. The oil was extracted five times for 12 hr. each time by n-hexane using ratio was 1: 3 (W/V) at room temperature 25°C±1. Solvent was removed by drying in oven at 45 °C ± 5 for 6 hr. and the resultant milled was sieved to obtain the fine fraction (40 mesh). The DRB kept in polyethylene bags and stored at 4 °C ±2 until used.

Treatment of defatted rice bran treated by trypsin enzyme

The DRB treated by trypsin enzyme according to the method investigated by **Ashraf et al (2012)**. Treat 300 g DRB in one litter 0.1 % trypsin of phosphate buffer solution at pH 7.8. Agitate the slurry for 6 hr. at 37 °C. Thereafter, the slurry dried in vacuum ovine at 50°Cover night. The dried material milled and sieved through 40 mish. The final product DRBT saved in a polyethylene page at 4 °C till use.

Proximate composition

Protein (Kjeldahl nitrogen estimation, N x 5.7), fat (soxhlet extraction), moisture, ash and crude fiber content of RBM, DRB and DRBT were determined according to AOAC**, (2008)**. Nitrogen free extract (NFE) was calculated by difference.

Water and oil binding capacity

The water and oil binding capacities were determined according to the method reported by **Sosulski et al (1976)**. One gram of sample was mixed with 10 ml distilled water or refined corn oil in centrifuge tube. The tubes were kept at ambient temperature for 30 min. then, centrifuged for 10 min at 2,000 xg. Water or oil binding capacity was expressed as the amount of water or oil absorbed by gram per gram sample or protein.

Emulsifying activity index and emulsion stability

Emulsifying activity index EAI (m^2g^{-1}) was determined at pH 7 using casein (5mg/ml aqueous solution) as a model system according to the method described by **Pearce and Kinsella, (1978)** and its modification by **Cameron et al (1991)**. Ten ml corn oil and 30 ml casein aqueous solutions contained 0.17 % (w/v) of DRB and DRBT were used. The mixtures were homogenized in Virtis homogenizer (Model 6-105 AF) at 10,000 rpm for 60 sec., then the emulsion transferred to the100 ml glass beaker. Emulsion (0.1 ml) was immediately taken from the bottom of the beaker and diluted to 50 ml with 0.1 % sodium dodecyl sulfate. The absorbance of the diluted emulsion was measured at 500nm in Jenway spectrophotometer. The initial A500nm measurement was taken to be the emulsifying activity, while emulsion stability was measured at A500nm every 20 min. Emulsifying activity

index (EAI) and emulsion stability (ESI) were calculated according the following equation:

$$EAI \ or \ ESI = \frac{2 \times 2.303 \times A_{500nm} \times dilution}{L \times C \times (1 - \emptyset)}$$

Where: L= length of cuvette, C= sample mass g/m^3 in original aqueous phase, ø= volume of fraction of the dispersed phase

Foaming capacity (FC) and foam stability (FS)

The ability of DRB and DRBT to enhance the foam capacity of 1 % egg albumin in water and its stability was evaluated according to the method of **Narayana and Narasinga Rao, (1982)**. The foam model system contains 0.05 % of DRB or DRBT at pH 7. The volume of foam in cm^3 measured at 30 sec. after whipping for 1 min. was reported as foam capacity. The volume of foam after holding for 20, 40 and 60 min. was expressed as foam stability.

Farenograph test

Farenograph properties were evaluated using Brabender Farenograph (Brabender GmbH, Duisburg, Germany) according to ICC (2012). Farenograph test was carried out to determine water absorption, arrival time, stability time, dough development time, departure time, mixing tolerance index, dough softening, time to breakdown, farinograph Quality Number, valorimeter number and dough weakening of wheat flour dough prepared from the various treatments under investigation.

Preparation of vanilla biscuit

The recipe of vanilla biscuit 100 g wheat flour, 57 g fat, 56.7 g fine sugar, 0.5 g sodium bicarbonate, 5.33 g fresh whole egg, 0.05 g vanilla, 0.1 g salt and 2 g starch. Mix the cooled palm oil with the sugar, and then add liquid and ammonium ingredients at the lowest speed in the planet mixer or dough-kneading machine to a uniform mass. Then add the flour with the baking powders and dry ingredients. Mix till a supple dough has been formed and everything is well mixed (scrape regularly). Dough was sheeted to 3 mm thickness. Circle cut the pieces were down by using of templates with outer diameter 5 mm. The biscuit was baked at 180 °C for 20 min. The biscuits were allowed to cool for 30 min at room temperature (20 °C) before sealed in metalized oriented polypropylene bags.

The fat replaced by DRB and DRBT at concentrations were 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 %.

Sensory evaluation

Sensory evaluation was carried out by a trained panel of ten judges having experience in the field of sensory characteristics of biscuits. Sensory analysis of prepared biscuits with the blends was conducted for various sensory parameters by assigning scores for crust color: 1 = dull brown / whitish, 10 = golden brown; surface characteristics: 1 = rough surface, 10 = smooth surface; crumb color: 1 = brown, 10 = creamish white; texture: 1 = hard/brittle, 10 = crisp; taste: 1 = off flavor, off taste, 10 = normal and mouth feel: 1 = doughy/gritty, 10 = clean mouth feel/no residue were analyzed. The overall quality score (60) is the combined score of all these parameters **Nandeesh, et al (2011).**

Statistical analysis

The comparison between means was exposed by **Duncan, (1955)** multiple range at significance ($P≤0.05$). Results followed by different alphabetical letters were significantly differed. ANOVA analysis (using PROC ANOVA procedure) was carrying out by Statistical Analysis System **SAS program, (1996)**.

RESULTS AND DISCUSION

Proximate composition

The moisture, protein, total lipid, ash, crude fiber and nitrogen free extract contents of RBM, DRB and DRBT are shown in **Table 1**. The results revealed that DRB significantly ($P≤0.05$) contain a high amount of moisture which was 10.4%. The concentration process carried out on the RBM (15.1% protein) lead to produce DRB and DRBT with high protein content being 19.8 and 20.4%, respectively. Also, the protein concentration process positively affect in each of the ash and crude fiber content. Ash and crude fiber increased from 6.5 and 6.7% in the RBM to 7.9 and 8.3%; 11.3 and 14.3 % in the DRB and DRBT, respectively with significant differences ($P≤0.05$). The total lipid was decreased to the lowest level in the DRB and DRBT being 0.006 and 0.008 %, respectively with non-significant differences ($P≥0.05$) between each other. The calculated nitrogen free extract ranged from 44.3 % in DRBT to 53.6 % in DRB. The obtained data closed with **Yeom, et al (2010) and Godoy et al (2015)**.

Table 1. Proximate analysis of rice bran meal (RBM), defatted rice bran (DRB) and defatted rice bran treated by trypsin (DRBT)

Sample	Proximate chemical composition					
	Moisture	Protein (5.7)	Crud lipid	Ash	Fiber	NFE
Rice bran meal (RBM)	8.9[c]	15.1[c]	17.3[a]	6.5[c]	6.7[c]	45.5[b]
Defatted rice bran (DRB)	10.4[a]	19.8[b]	0.006[b]	7.9[b]	8.3[b]	53.6[a]
Defatted rice bran treated by trypsin (DRBT)	9.7[b]	20.4[a]	0.008[b]	11.3[a]	14.3[a]	44.3[b]

Means in the same column with different letters are significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$).
NFE= nitrogen free extract

Water and oil binding capacities

Water and oil binding capacities (g water or oil /g sample or g water or oil / g protein) were evaluated in DRB and DRBT. The obtained results are shown in **Table 2**. Significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) were observed between the mean values of DRB and DRBT. Defatted rice bran treated by trypsin had the highest water binding capacity value being 4.0 g water/g sample and 19.7 g water/g protein compared to DRB. **Patsanguan, et al (2014)** reported that the rice bran had water absorption capacity 3.25g water /g sample. Dietary fiber in defatted rice bran contains a water binding capacity and higher fat binding **Ardali, et al (2013)**. The higher water absorption capacity could be attributed to the presence of greater amount of hydrophilic constituents **Akubor and Badifu, (2004)**. High water absorption of proteins helps to reduce moisture

loss in backed bakery goods **Prakash and Ramaswamy, (1996).**

At the same time, the DRB appeared non-significantly ($P \geq 0.05$) oil binding capacity (2.0 g oil/g sample and 10.1 g oil/ g protein) compared to the DRBT (2.4 g oil/g sample and 11.8 g oil/ g protein). Water oil binding index actually expressed about the performance of the tested samples, DRBT significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) had the highest water oil binding index compared to the DRB. The obtained oil binding capacity in DRBT (1.7 wobi / g sample or wobi /g protein). Fat absorption is a physical entrapment of oil by a protein matrix. However, Lipophilicity of protein can affect in the fat absorption character **(Kinsella, 1976)**. The high oil absorption capacity could suggest the presence of a large proportion of hydrophobic groups as compared with the hydrophilic groups on the surface of protein molecules **Subagio, (2006)**.

Table 2. Water binding capacity (g w / g s, g w / g p), oil binding capacity at original pH (g o / g s, g o / g p) and water oil binding index of defatted rice bran and defatted rice bran treated by trypsin

Materials	Functional properties					
	Water binding		Oil binding		Water oil binding index	
	g w / g s	g w / g p	g o / g s	g o / g p	Wobi /g s	Wobi /g p
Defatted rice bran (DRB)	1.6[b]	7.6[b]	2.0[a]	10.1[a]	0.8[b]	0.8[b]
Defatted rice bran treated by trypsin (DRBT)	4.0[a]	19.7[a]	2.4[a]	11.8[a]	1.7[a]	1.7[a]

Means in the same column with different letters are significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$).
W= water, P= protein, S= sample, O= oil B= binding, I= index

Emulsifying activity (EA) and emulsion stability (ES)

DRB and DRBT in model systems are presented in **Table 3**. The model system containing casein (5 mg / ml aqueous solution) mixed with 0.17 % from the DRB or DRBT at pH 7. DRB and DRBT in the model system were characterized by significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) higher emulsion activity index (18.10 and 18.75 m^2/g^{-1}, respectively) than casein, 13.3 m^2/g^1. Defatted rice bran treated by trypsin significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) had the highest emulsifying activity compared to other systems. Hydrolyze of DRB protein by trypsin lead to improve the emulsifying activity. **Chobert, et al (1988) and Villaneuva et al (1999)** reported that the emulsifying properties can be improved with a limited degree of hydrolyses. **Bandyopadhyay, et al (2008)** found that the rice bran protein peptide produced by papain modification have high protein solubility and emulsifying property.

The emulsion stability indices in different model systems were gradually decreased during 60 min. of holding time with significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$). The emulsion stability index after 60 min. of emulsion that prepared using DRBT was significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) better than the emulsion stability index of emulsion prepared using DRB with values were 9.25 and 8.05 m^2/g^{-1}, respectively. The solubility of the protein could be attributed to the net charge of peptides and surface hydrophobicity **Sorgentini and Wagner, (2002)**. The emulsion property results of rice bran protein were closely relate with protein solubility **Jongjareonrak, et al (2015).** At the same time, casein appeared significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) low stability of emulsion during holding time compared to other examined systems. **Petruccelli and Anon, (1994)** reported that emulsifying properties are closely associated with protein surface hydrophobicity.

Table 3. Emulsifying activity and emulsion stability indices ($m^2\ g^{-1}$) of defatted rice bran and defatted rice bran treated by trypsin DRBT in model system (5 mg Casein/ ml aqueous solution + 0.17 % rice preparations) at original pH

Sample	Emulsion activity index (zero time)	Emulsion stability index		
		20 min.	40 min.	60 min.
Casein (%)	13.35[Ca]	9.35[Cb]	7.20[Cc]	6.70[Cd]
Defatted rice bran (DRB)	18.10[Ba]	11.75[Bb]	8.75[Bc]	8.05[Bd]
Defatted rice bran treated by trypsin (DRBT)	18.75[Aa]	14.3[Ab]	10.00[Ac]	9.25[Ad]

Means in the same column with different capital letters are significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$).
Means in the same row with different small letters are significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$).

Foaming capacity (FC) and foam stability (FS)

Foaming is another functional property of protein. Foam is a colloid in which many gas bubbles are trapped inside a liquid or solid. Small air bubbles are covered by thin liquid films. Foam can be formed by whipping air into liquid as much and fast as possible **Jongjareonrak, et al (2015)**.

Foam capacity and stability (cm^3) of DRB and DRBT in model systems presented in **Table 4**. The model systems containing 10 mg egg albumin/ml aqueous solution mixed with 0.05 % DRB or DRBT at pH 7. The model system contained DRBT had significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) the highest foam capacity value 687 cm^3 compared to egg albumin that had 640 cm^3. According to the obtained results the

hydrolyzed proteins enhanced the DRBT mode of action as a foam stabilizer in the egg albumin foaming system. **Tang, et al (2003)** reported that the formation of foam requires that proteins should solubilize in the aqueous phase and rapidly unfold to form a cohesive layer of protein around gas/air droplets. The DRB came in the second order with mean value was 657 cm^3. On contrary, egg albumin system had the lowest foam capacity value (640 cm^3) with significant differences compared to either DRB or DRBT. On the other hand, with increasing the holding time, the foam volumes in all model systems were broken down. The foam stability values were significantly reduced after 60 min.

The foam stability of system prepared using DRBT was significantly ($P{\le}0.05$) stable than that of system prepared using DRB or egg albumin alone. In foams, the pressure in the dispersed phase is always higher than the pressure in the continuous phase. These pressure difference is given by the Laplace equation ($\Delta P = 2\gamma/r$) where γ is the interfacial tension and r is the radius of the gas bubbles. This capillary pressure causes drainage and thinning of the liquid film and eventual collapse of the film **Bergeron, (1999), Stubenrauch and Klitzing, (2003)**. According to the Laplace equation the DRBT or DRB had positive effects on the interfacial tension and the gas bubbles radius to increase the thickening of the liquid film and prevents its thinning by decrease the pressure that lead to enhance the foam capacity and stability. The rapid protein adsorption at the air-water interface during bubbling or whipping, the ability to undergo rapid conformational change and rearrangement at the air-water interface, and the resultant rapid reduction in the surface tension are required for high foaming capacity **Were, et al (1997), Chittapalo and Noomhorm, (2009)**.

Table 4. Foam activity (FA) and stability (FS) of defatted rice bran and defatted rice bran treated by trypsin in model system (10 mg Egg albumin/ml aqueous solution + 0.05 % rice preparations) at original pH

Sample	Foam activity (zero time)	Foam stability		
		20 min.	40 min.	60 min.
Egg albumin	640^{Ca}	540^{Ab}	480^{Ac}	407^{Bd}
Defatted rice bran (DRB)	657^{Ba}	515^{Ab}	465^{Ac}	427^{Ad}
Defatted rice bran treated by trypsin (DRBT)	687^{Aa}	537^{Ab}	526^{Ab}	450^{Ac}

Means in the same column with different capital letters are significantly different ($P{\le}0.05$).
Means in the same row with different small letters are significantly different ($P{\le}0.05$).

Farinograph parameters of dough

Effect of replacement the vanilla biscuit fat (57 %) by DRB or DRBT as fat replacers on farinograph characteristics studied. The replacement levels were 0, 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50%. The obtained farinograph characteristics presented in **Table 5**. Water absorption was significant ($P{\le}0.05$) increased with increasing DRB from 57.2% to 63.1% at replacement 10 and 50 %, respectively. Used the trypsin to hydrolyzed the DRB proteins lead to significant ($P{\le}0.05$) increased the water absorption compared to untreated DRB. These results harmonized with the obtained data in **Table (2)** whereas, the water binding capacity was positive affected in the DRB enzymatic treated. Maximum water absorption value (65%) was observed at replacement 50% DRBT compared to wheat flour that had 56.2 %. The increase in water absorption is due to the high fiber content of rice bran **Salehi and Bibalan, (2012)**. Fiber is characterized by its high water holding capacity as reported by **Holloway and Grieg, (1984)**. Also, **Sudha, et al (2007)** pointed out that water absorption and arri-val time increased as stabilized rice bran level increased in dough. Increase the hydroxyl group's number, which exist in the fiber structure lead to more water interaction through hydrogen bonding **Rosell, et al (2001)**. Arrival time and Peak time not significant ($P{\ge}0.05$) affected at low replacement concentrations from zero to 20%, but at replacement concentrations from 30 to 50 % the mean values were significant ($P{\le}0.05$) increased. Maximum arrival time and peak time values (4.2 and 10.7 min) was observed at replacement 50% by DRBT. The stability time significantly ($P{\le}0.05$) increased with increasing the replacement percent form either DRB or DRBT concentrations. The maximum mean value was 17 min. observed at 30 and 40% DRBT. In contrarily the minimum mean value was 3 min. observed with wheat flour without prepared fat replacers. At the same time the departure time had the same trend that observed with stability time. Mixing tolerance index significant ($P{\le}0.05$) negative affected by replacement using DRB or DRBT. The men values decreased with increasing the DRB or DRBT concentrations. **Zhang and Moore, (1997)** revealed that the dough

Table 5. Farenograph parameters of wheat flour or prepared mixtures (for vanilla biscuit 57% fat) using defatted rice bran and enzymatic treated defatted rice bran as fat replacers at different replacement concentrations

Sample	Level of fat replacement (%)	Water absorption (%)	Arrival time (min)	Peak time (min)	Stability time (min)	Departure time (min)	MTI (BU)	Dough weakening (BU)	Softening (BU)	Time to breakdown (min)	FQN	Valorimeter No.
Control (wheat)	0	56.2^h	0.75^c	1.7^d	3.0^c	3.7^c	65^{ba}	70^{bdc}	55^{bc}	3.5^b	35^b	49.0^{ef}
Defatted rice bran (DRB)	10	57.2^g	1.0^c	1.5^d	3.2^c	3.7^c	75^a	100^a	75^a	3.2^b	32.5^b	45.5^g
	20	59.2^f	1.0c	1.7^d	2.5^c	3.5^c	65^{ba}	95^a	70^{ba}	3.2^b	32.5^b	45.0^g
	30	61.1^e	1.0^c	1.7^d	7.0^b	8.0^b	40^c	95^a	65^{ba}	3.5^b	35.0^b	48.5^f
	40	61.9^d	1.0^c	1.7^d	6.7^b	7.7^b	35^{dc}	90^{ba}	65^{ba}	3.2^b	32.5^b	46.5^g
	50	63.1^c	1.5^b	2.0^d	7.2^b	8.5^b	30^{dc}	80^{bac}	65^{ba}	3.7^b	37.5^b	49.5^{edf}
Treated defatted rice bran	10	59.7^f	1.0^c	1.5^d	3.5^c	4.5^c	55^b	70^{bdc}	55^{bc}	3.7^b	37.5^b	51.0^d
	20	60.8^e	1.0^c	1.5^d	8.0^b	9.0^b	30^{dc}	55^d	45^{dc}	3.5^b	35.0^b	50.5^{ed}
	30	62.3^d	1.0^c	8.7^c	17.0^a	18.0^a	20^d	50^d	20^e	19.0^a	19.0^a	74.5^c
	40	63.7^b	1.0^c	9.7^b	17.0^a	18.0^a	30^{dc}	60^{dc}	35de	20.2^a	20.5^a	77.5^b
	50	65.0^a	4.2^a	10.7^a	15.2^a	18.2^a	30^{dc}	60^{dc}	40^{dc}	20.0^a	20.0^a	80.5^a

Means in the same column with different letters are significantly different (P≤0.05).

BU= Brabender unit

containing fine bran was less tolerant to mixing. Moreover, decreased the mixing stability may be caused by the disruption of the gluten networks by wheat bran particles. Degree of softening results appeared none or negligible significant (*P*≤0.05) effect with the increasing of DRB concentrations. But it showed significant (*P*≤0.05) decreased with the addition of DRBT. The maximum value (75 BU) was observed at 10% DRB. On the other hand, the minimum value was 20 BU at 30% DRBT. Dough weakening not significant affected at all replacement concentrations compared to the control sample. Valorimeter number character had the same trend that observed with degree of softening. Replaced fat by DRB significantly (*P*≤0.05) not effected in the valorimeter number. With addition, increased the DRBT lead to increase the valorimeter number at all used concentrations. The maximum value 80.5 was observed at 50% DRBT and the minimum value was 45 at 20% DRB. The rheological obtained data closed with **Nandeesh, et al (2009)**.

Sensory characteristics of vanilla Biscuits

The data of sensory characteristics of vanilla biscuits prepared by DRB and DRBT at different concentrations presented in **Table 6.** The prepared biscuits samples using DRB appeared non-significant (*P*≥0.05) differences in external color, surface characteristics, taste and mouth feel at all fat replacing levels compared to the control sample. With increasing the replacement level of biscuit fat some sensory characteristics were significant (*P*≤0.05) increased. Replaced the fat by DRB improved the internal color with more creamish, texture with more crispiness and overall acceptability. It could be use DRB with replacement the 50%

from the fat by DRB. Rice bran is associated with volatile compounds and its odor is composed of alcohols and carbonyls, which could be a hindering factor in its use as an ingredient in human foods **Bor,** (**2003**).

At the same time all the sensory characteristics were not much affected by replacement the biscuit fat by DRBT compared to the control sample with non-significant differences ($P{\geq}0.05$). The overall acceptability of DRBT sample at replacement was 50 % had score 7.8 compared to the control sample was 7.7. From the obtained data it could be said that, replaced the vanilla biscuit fat by DRB or DRBT at levels from 10 to 50 % not effected in the sensory characteristics. With addition of DRB some attributes were improved. Protein-enriched biscuits can be prepared by incorporating rice bran protein concentrate in the formulation without affecting sensory quality adversely. Addition of rice bran protein concentrate improved the protein content of biscuits at all levels of substitution **Yadav, et al** (**2011**). The obtained result agreed with the literature report that baked goods provide one of the most attractive possibilities of using rice bran as ingredient in that, it increased dough yield and contributed to attractive crumb and crust **Saunders, (1990) and Bunde, et al (2010).**

Table 6. Sensory evaluation of biscuits prepared using defatted rice bran or defatted rice bran treated by trypsin as fat replacers at different concentrations.

Replace (%)	Defatted rice bran							Defatted rice bran treated by trypsin						
	External color	Surface characteristics	crumb color	Texture	Taste	Mouth feel	Overall acceptability	External color	Surface characteristics	Internal color	Texture	Taste	Mouth feel	Overall acceptability
Control 100% Fat	6.9a	6.9a	7.1bc	6.6c	6.8a	7.5ab	7.0c	8.0a	7.8a	7.1b	7.5a	8.1a	7.7a	7.7ab
10	6.6a	7.4a	6.8c	7.0c	7.3a	7.3b	7.1c	7.9a	8.0a	7.2b	7.3a	7.9a	7.7a	7.7b
20	7.2a	7.6a	7.5abc	7.3cb	7.6a	7.8ab	7.5abc	8.1a	8.0a	8.5a	8.2a	8.2a	8.0a	8.2ab
30	7.6a	7.7a	8.1a	8.2ab	7.4a	7.5ab	7.8ab	8.1a	8.4a	8.4a	8.1a	8.2a	8.3a	8.2a
40	7.4a	6.7a	7.8ab	7.1c	7.3a	7.2b	7.3bc	7.1a	7.6a	7.8ab	8.1a	7.8a	8.1a	7.7ab
50	7.3a	7.6a	8.1a	8.3a	7.5a	8.4a	7.9a	7.4a	7.7a	7.8ab	7.9a	7.6a	8.5a	7.8ab

Means in the same column with different letters are significantly different ($P{\leq}0.05$).

CONCLUSION

Based on this study, it could be concluded that DRB and DRBT could be used as fat replacers in preparation of biscuit at different replacement levels from 10 to 50 % without drastic effect on technological quality and sensory properties of biscuit. Moreover, higher nutritive values of these biscuits are achieved.

REFERENCES

Akubor, P.I. and Badifu, G.I.O. 2004. Chemical composition, functional properties and baking potential of African bread fruit kernel and wheat flour blends. **International J. of Food Sci. and Technology, 39, 223-229.**

Al-Okbi, S.Y., Husseın, A.M.S., Hamed, I.M., Mohamed, D.A. and Helal, A.M. 2014. Chemical, rheological, sensorial and functional properties of gelatinized corn- rice bran flour composite corn flakes and Tortilla chips. **J. of Food Processing and Preservation, 38, 83-89.**

AOAC 2008. Official Methods of Analysis Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 18th ed., Maryland, USA.

Ardali, F.R., Hojjatoleslamy, M. and Shariaty, M.A. 2013. Production of a new functional rice bran beverage. **African J. of Sci. and Research, 2, 20-23.**

Ashraf S., Muhammad S., Saeed G., Sayeed S. A., Ali R., Saeed H. and Ahmed M. 2012. Effect of fat-replacement through rice milling by products on the rheological and baking behaviour of dough. **African J. of Agric. Research, 7(44), 5898-5904.**

Bandyopadhyay, K., Misra, G. and Ghosh, S. 2008. Preparation and characterisation of protein hydrolysates from indian defatted rice bran meal. **J. of Oleo Sci., 57, 47-52.**

Bergeron, V. 1999. Forces and structure in thin liquid soap films. **J. Phys.Condens Matter. 11, 215-238.**

Bor, S.L. 2003. Rice: Production and Utilization. Pub. Avi. Publishing Company, Inc. Westport C.T.

Bunde, M.C., Osundahunsi, F.O. and Akinoso. R. 2010. Supplementation of biscuit using rice bran and soybean flour. **African J. of Food Agric. Nutrition and Development, 10, 4048-4059.**

Cameron, D.R., Weber M.E., Idziak E.S., Neufeld R.J. and Cooper D.G. 1991. Determination of interfacial areas in emulsions using turbidimetric and droplet size data: correction of the formula for emulsifying activity index. **J. of Agric. and Food Chemistry. 39, 655-659.**

Charunuch, C., Limsangouan, N., Prasert, W. and Wongkrajang, K. 2014. Optimization of extrusion conditions for ready-to-eat breakfast cereal enhanced with defatted rice bran. **International Food Research J., 21, 713-722.**

Cheruvanky, R., McPeak, P., Cherukuri, R.S.V., Lynch, I. and Quresh, A.A. 2004. Method for treating hypercholesterolemia, hyperlipidemia, and atherosclerosis. United States Patent 430787.

Chittapalo, T. and Noomhorm, A. 2009. Ultrasonic assisted alkali extraction of protein from defatted rice bran and properties of the protein concentrates. **International J. of Food Sci. and Technology, 44, 1843-1849.**

Chobert, J.M., Bertrand-Harb, C. and Nicolas, M.G. 1988. Solubility and emulsifying properties of caseins and whey proteins modified enzymatically by trypsin. **J. of Agric. and Food Chemistry, 36, 883-892.**

Dnosajj, M. 2010. Food outlook global market analysis. Food and agriculture organization of the United Nations, Rome, **104 p.**

Duncan, D.B. 1955. Multiple range and multiple F-tests. **Biometrics 11(1), 1-42.**

Fabian, C. and Ju, Y.H. 2011. A review on rice bran protein: its properties and extraction methods. **Critical Reviews in Food Sci. and Nutrition, 51, 816-827.**

FAO, 2017. http://faostat3.fao.org/home/

Godoy, R., Caliari, M., Júnior, M.S.S., da Silva, V.S.N., Benassi, M.T. and Garcia, M.C. 2015. Quinoa and rice co-products gluten free-cereals: physical, chemical, microbiological and sensory qualities. **J. of Food and Nutrition Research, 3, 599-606.**

Holloway, W.D. and Grieg, R. 1984. Water holding capacity of hemicelluloses from fruits, vegetables and wheat bran. **Food Sci., 44, 1632-1633.**

I.C.C. Standards, 2012. Standard methods of the International Association for Cereal Science and Technology, ICC, Marxergasse 2, Vienna, AT, Austria.

Jiamyangyuen, S., Srijesdaruk V.and James Harper W. 2005. Extraction of rice bran protein concentrate and its application in bread. **Songklanakarin J. of Sci. Technology, 27, 56-64.**

Jongjareonrak, A., Srikok, K., Leksawasdi, N. and Andreotti, C. 2015. Extraction and functional properties of protein from de-oiled rice bran. **CMU J. Nat. Sci., 14, 163-174.**

Kinsella, J.E. 1976. Functional properties of proteins in foods: A survey. **Critical Reviews in Food Sci. and Nutrition 7, 219-232.**

Kristinsson, H.G. and Rasco, B.A. 2000. Biochemical and functional properties of atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) muscle proteins hydrolyzed with various alkaline proteases. **J. of Agric. and Food Chemistry, 48, 657-666.**

Morita, T., Oh-hashi, A., Takei, K., Ikai, M., Kasaoka, S. and Kiriyama, S. 1997. Cholesterol lowering effects of soybean, potato and rice proteins depend on their low methionine contents in rats fed a cholesterol-free purified diet. **J.l of Nutrtion, 127, 470-477.**

Nandeesh, K., Jyotsna, R. and Venkateswara Rao, G. 2009. Effect of differently treated wheat bran on rheology, microstructure and quality characteristics of soft dough biscuits. **J. of Food Processing and Preservation, 35, 179-200.**

Nandeesh, K., Rajiv, J. and Rao, G.V. 2011. Effect of differently treated wheat bran on rheology, microstructure and quality characteristics of soft dough biscuits. **J. of Food Processing and Preservation, 35, 179-200.**

Narayana, K. and Narasinga Rao M.S. 1982. Functional properties of raw and heat processed winged bean flour. **J. of Food Sci., 47, 1534-1540.**

Patsanguan, S., Hisaranusorn N., Phongthai S. and Rawdkuen S. 2014. Rice Bran Protein isolates: preparation and their physico-chemical and functional properties. **Food and Applied Bioscience J., 3, 169-182.**

Pearce, K.N. and. Kinsella J.E. 1978. Emulsifying properties of proteins: evaluation of a turbidimetric technique. **J. of Agric. and Food Chemistry, 26, 716-723.**

Petruccelli, S. and Anon, M.C. 1994. Soy protein isolate components and their interactions. **J. of Agric. and Food Chemistry, 43, 1762-1767.**

Prakash, J. and Ramaswamy H.S. 1996. Rice bran proteins: properties and food uses. **Critical Reviews in Food Sci. and Nutrition, 36, 537-552.**

Rosell, C.M., Rojas, J.A. and Debarber, B. 2001. Influence of hydrocolloids on dough rheology and bread quality. **Food Hydrocolloid, 15, 75-81.**

Salehi, E.A. and Bibalan, S.G. 2012. The investigation on the effect of rice bran addition on the rheological and sensory properties of muffin cake. The 1th International and The 4th national congress on recycling of organic waste in Agriculture **pp. 26-27** April, in Isfahan, Iran.

SAS Program, 1996. SAS/STAT User's guide Release 6.12 Edition. SAS Inst. Inc., Cary NC. USA.

Saunders, R.M. 1990. The properties of rice bran as a food stuff. **Cereal Foods World, 35, 632-636.**

Sorgentini, D.A. and. Wagner, J.R. 2002. Comparative study of foaming properties of whey and isolate soy bean proteins. **Food Research International, 35, 721-729.**

Sosulski, F.W., Ganat M.D. and Slinkard A.F. 1976. Functional properties of ten legume flours. **Canadian Institute of Food Sci. Technology J. 9, 66-74.**

Stubenrauch, C. and Klitzing, R. 2003. Disjoining pressure in thin liquid foam and emulsion films-new concepts and perspectives. **J. Phys. Condens Matter, 15, 1197-1232.**

Subagio, A. 2006. Characterization of hyacinth bean (*Lablab purpureus* L. sweet) seeds from Indonesia and their protein isolate. **Food Chemistry 95, 65-70.**

Sudha, M.L.R., Vetrimani, K. and Leelavathi, K. 2007. Influence of fibre from different cereals on the rheological characteristics of wheat flour dough and on biscuit quality. **Food Chemistry, 100, 1365-1370.**

Tang, S., Hettiarachchy, N.S., Horax, R. and Eswaranandam, S. 2003. Physicochemical properties and functionality of rice bran protein hydrolyzate prepared from heat-stabilized defatted rice bran with the aid of enzymes. **J. of Food Sci., 68, 152-157.**

Uraipong, C. and Zhao, J. 2015. Rice bran protein hydrolysates exhibit strong in vitro α-amylase, β-glucosidase and ACE-inhibition activities. **J. of the Sci. of Food and Agric., 96, 1101-1110.**

Villaneuva, A., Vioque, J., Sanchez-Vioque, R., Clemente, A., Pedroche, J., Bautista, J. and Millan, F. 1999. Peptide characteristics of sunflower protein hydrolysates. **J. of American Oil Chemists and Society, 76, 1455-1460.**

Wang, M., Hettiarachchy, N.S., Qi, M., Burks, W. and Siebenmorgen, T. 1999. Preparation and functional properties of rice bran protein isolate. **J. of Agric. and Food Chemistry, 47, 411-416.**

Were, L., Hettiarachchy, N.S. and Kalapathy, U. 1997. Modified soy proteins with improved foaming and water hydration properties. **J. of Food Sci., 62, 821-823.**

Yadav, R.B., Yadav, B.S. and Chaudhary, D. 2011. Extraction, characterization and utilization of rice bran protein concentrate for biscuit making. **British Food J., 113, 1173-1182.**

Yeom, H.J., Lee, E.H., Ha, M.S., Ha, S.D. and Bae, D.H. 2010. Production and Physicochemical properties of rice bran protein isolates prepared with autoclaving and enzymatic hydrolysis**. J. of Korean Society for Applied Biological Chemistry, 53, 62-70.**

Younas, A., Bhatti, M.S., Ahmed, A. and Randhawa, M.A. 2011. Effect of rice bran supplementation on cookie baking quality, **Pak J. Agric. Sci., 48, 129-134.**

Zhang, D. and Moore, W.R. 1997. Effect of wheat bran particle size on dough rheological properties. **J. of the Sci. of Food and Agric., 74, 490-496.**