

Heterosis and combining ability for yield and its contributing traits in yellow maize

El-sayed A. M. *, El-hennawy M. A., El-Beially I. E., Zaazaa E. I.

Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt

Abstract

Eight parents (5 lines and 3 testers) and their fifteen F₁ hybrids were evaluated by analyzing their ability to genetic behavior (combining abilities and heterosis) for yield and its contributing traits of maize. The results of analysis of variance indicated considerable genetic variation among the parental lines and testers as well as their hybrids, highlighting the importance of both additive and non-additive gene effects in the inheritance of yield and its components traits under study. The ratio of $\sigma^2_{GCA}/\sigma^2_{SCA}$ was less than unity for all traits, indicating the predominant role of non-additive gene effects (dominance and epistasis) in the inheritance of all studied traits. The results showed that the majority of parental lines and testers by general combining ability effects and most hybrids by specific combining ability and heterosis effects had favorable significance for all studied traits. Based on mean performance and GCA effects, the testers; T₁ and T₂ and lines; L₂ and L₃ were the best general combiners for grain yield/plant and most evaluated traits. Desirable and significant SCA effects and heterosis estimates were observed by most crosses for all studied traits. The crosses: L₃×T₃ for earliness and L₂×T₁, L₃×T₂, L₄×T₂ and L₄×T₃ for yield and most studied traits showed the best mean performance and desirable estimates of SCA effects and heterosis. In order to improve the earliness and grain yield traits, the crosses mentioned above can generally be used in maize hybrid breeding programs in Egypt.

Keywords: yellow maize, heterosis, general and specific combining ability.

*Corresponding author: El-sayed A. M.,
E-mail address: breeder555@gmail.com

1. Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the most important cereal crops in the world following wheat and rice. It is widely used for food, feed, fuel and fiber in many parts of the world (Erenstein *et al.*, 2022). Maize has broad morphological variability and geographical adaptability due to its cross-pollinated nature. According to USDA in February 2023, 201.12 and 0.93 million hectares of land were covered by maize and produced 1,151.36 and 7.44 million metric tons with yield of 5.72 and 8.00 metric tons per hectare of maize grain in the world and Egypt, respectively (USDA, 2023). There is a critical need to increase the production of maize to face the gap between production and consumption. To establish a sound basis for any breeding program, aimed at achieving high yield, breeders must have information about the nature of the combining ability of parents, their behavior and hybrid combination performance (Chawla and Gupta, 1984). Line \times Tester analysis was suggested by Kempthorne (1957) to analyze polygenic system. Line \times Tester analysis is useful technique for screening a large number of lines for identifying the best combiner. Similarly, knowledge about nature of gene action governing the expression of various traits could help in predicting the effectiveness of selection. A line \times tester analysis has been a popular mating system to assess the combining ability of parents and crosses in maize (Elmyhun *et al.*, 2020). In Egypt, the policy of the

government is to increase maize production through the development of new genotypes with high productivity. The genetic parameter general combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) were defined by Sprague and Tatum (1942). Both GCA and SCA effects should be taken into consideration when planning maize breeding programs to produce and release new inbred lines and crosses. Knowledge about general (GCA) and specific (SCA) combining ability effects of genetic materials is of practical value in breeding programs. Both components play an important role in selecting superior parents for hybrid combinations (Duvick, 1999) and represent a powerful method to measure the nature of gene action involved in quantitative traits (Baker, 1978). GCA effects represent the fixable component of genetic variance and are important to develop superior genotypes. SCA represents the non-fixable component of genetic variation, it is important to provide information on hybrid performance (Begna, 2021). The concept of heterosis occurs when a hybrid outperforms its two parents (Lippman and Zamir, 2007). Because single-cross varieties of corn have significantly improved maize productivity over the past few decades, maize is the most effective example of using heterosis in crops to increase agricultural production, according to Hochholdinger and Baldauf (2018). The present investigation was conducted to identify desirable parents and cross combinations as well as to

gather information on the genetic behaviour combining ability and heterosis involved in the improvement of yield and its contributing traits in yellow maize crosses.

2. Materials and methods

In this investigation, two trials were conducted at the Experimental Farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Nassr city, Cairo, Egypt in the 2016 growing season and Fackous, Sharkia Governorate, Egypt in the 2017 growing season. The experimental material consisted of 8 parental inbred lines including five female lines (Inb-155, Inb-162, Inb-171, Inb-203 and Inb-176) and three testers (Inb-210, Inb-215 and Inb-234) parents representing a wide range of diversity for several agronomic traits. All these inbred lines were obtained from Maize Research Department, Field Crop Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt. In the 2016 season, the female parental lines and male parental lines (testers) were planted and crossed according to line × tester mating design to produce 15 F₁ hybrids, according to Kempthorne (1957). As for the 2017 season, the genetic materials (five lines, three testers and their fifteen F₁ crosses) were evaluated in a randomized complete blocks design with four replications. Each plot area was 6.3 m², which consisted of 3 ridges, each of 3 m long and 70 cm apart, for each genotype under study. The distance between hills

was 25 cm. The agricultural practices of irrigation, fertilization, weeds and pest control were used as normally recommended for maize production. Samples of ten guarded plants were taken at random from the middle ridge of each plot to determine the following traits i.e. days to 50% tasseling (days), days to 50% silking (days), plant height (cm), ear height (cm), ear length (cm), ear diameter in (cm), 100-kernel weight (g) and grain yield/plant (g), adjusted to 15.5% moisture content. The recorded data were subjected to the ordinary analysis of variance (Steel and Torrie 1980) to determine the significant differences among hybrids and parents. Differences between means were tested using the least significant difference (L.S.D.) test at the 5% and 1% levels of probability. Combining ability analysis was done using line x tester method (Kempthorne, 1957). The variances for general and specific combining abilities were tested against their respective error variance. Significance test for GCA and SCA effects were performed using t-test. Mid- and better parents heterosis estimates were determined as outlined by Falconar and Mackay (1996) and t-test was performed.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Analysis of variance

The results of ANOVA by line × tester mating design for all studied traits are

illustrated in Table (1). The variances due to genotypes, parents (P), crosses (C), P vs. C, GCA (Lines; L), GCA (Testers; T) and SCA (L × T) showed highly significant differences ($p < 0.01$) for all studied traits. These findings suggested that the analyzed genotypes were genetically varied and responded to the study conditions. These results show that there is sufficient genetic variation among lines, testers, and their hybrids and emphasize the importance of both additive and non-additive gene actions in regulating the traits under study. Therefore, the selection is possible to identify the most desirable maize hybrids in this study. According to ANOVA of the line × tester mating design, multiple researchers found the occurrence of significant differences among the maize genotypes for all traits under examination,

which is consistent with this study (Belay, 2022; Habiba *et al.*, 2022; Ramadan and Husain, 2022; Sedhom, 2022). The existence of genetic variations in earliness, grain yield and its components traits provide an additional pathway for maize breeders mainly those who are interested to heterosis breeding (Mideska *et al.*, 2022). The mean squares of lines, testers, and their interactions made up the crosses variance. The highest mean squares of testers were observed for days to 50% tasseling and silking as well as ear diameter traits. While the mean squares of lines were the highest for grain yield/plant and other investigated traits. Belay (2022) reported that the variability among the set of lines suggests a great potential for identifying superior parental inbreds with high general combining abilities for hybrid variety development.

Table (1): Analysis of variance for the studied traits of 15 yellow maize hybrids and their parents.

| S.O.V. | df | Days to 50% tasseling | Days to 50% silking | Plant height (cm) | Ear height (cm) | Ear length (cm) | Ear diameter (cm) | 100- kernel weight (g) | Grain yield/plant (g) |
|-----------------------------------|----|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Replications | 3 | 7.23* | 5.68 | 180.65* | 87.03** | 3.13** | 0.32* | 158.82** | 80.62** |
| Genotypes | 22 | 92.01** | 87.45** | 2823.02** | 1180.98** | 28.42** | 1.28** | 111.71** | 5569.78** |
| Parents (P) | 7 | 97.43** | 87.00** | 1841.50** | 283.64** | 24.87** | 0.81** | 140.68** | 1157.00** |
| Crosses (C) | 14 | 432.05** | 446.01** | 12603.77** | 12612.32** | 329.56** | 10.81** | 1071.14** | 80487.36** |
| P vs. C | 1 | 65.01** | 62.07** | 2615.15** | 813.13** | 8.69** | 0.84** | 28.69** | 2424.91** |
| GCA (Female) | 4 | 25.78** | 43.94** | 8338.48** | 2423.71** | 19.29** | 0.36** | 39.99** | 4848.51** |
| GCA (Tester) | 2 | 144.35** | 89.62** | 306.95** | 70.42** | 14.56** | 1.85** | 7.68** | 1241.53** |
| SCA | 8 | 64.79** | 64.24** | 330.53** | 193.52** | 1.92** | 0.83** | 28.29** | 1508.96** |
| Error | 66 | 1.93 | 2.50 | 48.00 | 15.91 | 0.55 | 0.09 | 1.07 | 15.91 |
| σ^2 GCA/ σ^2 SCA | | 0.00038 | 0.00037 | 0.85761 | 0.36999 | 0.52339 | 0.00 | 0.0016 | 0.0650 |

* and ** denote significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

The ratio of σ^2 GCA / σ^2 SCA was lower than unity for all evaluated traits, which indicated the predominant effect of non-additive gene actions (dominance and epistasis) for the control of these traits

under study. Similar results were reported by El-Badawy (2013), Attia *et al.* (2013) and Anees *et al.* (2019). On the other hand, Akbar *et al.* (2008) and Hefny (2010) reported that both additive and

non-additive were important in the genetic expression of yield and its components in maize.

3.2 Mean performance of yellow maize genotypes

The mean values of lines, testers and their F₁ hybrids for all the studied traits are summarized in Table (2). The mean performance of lines, testers, and their hybrids differed significantly for all studied traits. Significantly, the parental line L₃ and tester T₁ recorded the lowest values (desirable) for days to 50% tasseling (54.25 and 63.00, respectively) and silking (57.00 and 65.25, respectively) traits. While the highest values of these traits were observed by line L₄ and tester T₃ (undesirable). The

line L₄ and tester T₃ were significantly higher than other parental genotypes in plant height (215.00 and 187.50 cm) and ear height (101.50 and 92.50 cm), respectively. While the shortest plant was noticed by the line L₅ and tester T₂ with values of 158.50 and 153.75cm, respectively. Also, the lowest ear height registered by line L₃ and tester T₂ with values of 79.25 and 75.25 cm, respectively. The highest values for ear length (21.67 cm), ear diameter (4.78 cm) and grain yield/plant (192.00 g) were detected by line L₂, and the highest 100- kernel weight (23.43 g) were obtained by line L₁ were noticed. While the lowest ear length (15.07 cm), ear diameter (3.45 cm), 100- kernel weight (15.90 g) and grain yield/plant (151.87 g) were observed by lines L₅, L₄, L₃ and L₁, respectively.

Table (2): Mean performance of parents and F₁'s for all the studied traits in maize.

| Genotype | Days to 50% tasseling | Days to 50% silking | Plant height (cm) | Ear height (cm) | Ear length (cm) | Ear diameter (cm) | 100- kernel weight (g) | Grain yield/plant (g) |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Inb-155 L1 | 64.75 | 67.50 | 194.25 | 90.50 | 17.47 | 4.10 | 23.43 | 151.87 |
| Inb-162 L2 | 60.50 | 63.25 | 192.00 | 87.00 | 21.67 | 4.78 | 20.03 | 192.00 |
| Inb-171 L3 | 54.25 | 57.00 | 166.25 | 79.25 | 17.23 | 4.08 | 15.90 | 153.75 |
| Inb-176 L4 | 69.25 | 70.75 | 215.00 | 101.50 | 17.17 | 3.45 | 21.03 | 155.75 |
| Inb-203 L5 | 68.00 | 69.75 | 158.50 | 82.00 | 15.07 | 4.55 | 23.00 | 162.80 |
| Inb-210 T1 | 63.00 | 65.25 | 163.75 | 82.00 | 20.80 | 4.07 | 32.90 | 182.80 |
| Inb-215 T2 | 64.00 | 66.75 | 153.75 | 75.25 | 16.71 | 4.18 | 29.67 | 192.87 |
| Inb-234 T3 | 68.25 | 71.00 | 187.50 | 92.50 | 14.57 | 3.53 | 30.80 | 173.03 |
| Inb-155 × Inb-210 | 56.75 | 60.00 | 236.75 | 122.00 | 22.27 | 4.90 | 35.10 | 203.57 |
| Inb-155 × Inb-215 | 63.00 | 66.00 | 231.00 | 126.50 | 21.10 | 5.12 | 33.00 | 204.00 |
| Inb-155 × Inb-234 | 63.25 | 68.00 | 240.75 | 131.25 | 20.27 | 4.51 | 28.83 | 192.90 |
| Inb-162 × Inb-210 | 60.25 | 62.50 | 228.00 | 133.00 | 24.47 | 5.34 | 35.87 | 263.25 |
| Inb-162 × Inb-215 | 57.75 | 60.00 | 226.50 | 114.00 | 23.10 | 4.93 | 29.10 | 222.90 |
| Inb-162 × Inb-234 | 56.25 | 58.00 | 221.25 | 119.00 | 23.77 | 3.58 | 32.93 | 212.80 |
| Inb-171 × Inb-210 | 64.25 | 66.75 | 198.00 | 108.25 | 22.57 | 5.25 | 32.07 | 253.50 |
| Inb-171 × Inb-215 | 63.25 | 65.25 | 186.75 | 115.25 | 21.27 | 4.39 | 34.83 | 261.90 |
| Inb-171 × Inb-234 | 51.25 | 53.75 | 173.75 | 99.50 | 19.84 | 4.95 | 35.00 | 249.25 |
| Inb-176 × Inb-210 | 62.50 | 63.25 | 180.75 | 95.75 | 21.13 | 5.19 | 31.20 | 203.60 |
| Inb-176 × Inb-215 | 58.75 | 59.75 | 162.50 | 87.75 | 22.03 | 4.87 | 32.03 | 258.93 |
| Inb-176 × Inb-234 | 52.25 | 56.75 | 178.25 | 88.00 | 19.83 | 5.10 | 28.50 | 242.97 |
| Inb-203 × Inb-210 | 60.75 | 63.50 | 190.00 | 106.00 | 21.73 | 4.75 | 28.03 | 244.10 |
| Inb-203 × Inb-215 | 62.75 | 63.00 | 188.75 | 105.25 | 20.07 | 4.99 | 28.87 | 252.80 |
| Inb-203 × Inb-234 | 58.75 | 60.25 | 208.75 | 111.00 | 19.93 | 4.29 | 31.03 | 224.20 |
| LSD 0.05 | 1.96 | 2.23 | 9.78 | 5.63 | 1.05 | 0.42 | 1.45 | 5.63 |
| LSD 0.01 | 2.60 | 2.96 | 12.99 | 7.48 | 1.39 | 0.56 | 1.93 | 7.48 |

The parental tester T₁ for Ear length (20.80 cm) and 100- kernel weight (32.90 g) as well as the parental tester T₂ for ear diameter (4.18 cm) and grain yield/plant (192.87 g) displayed the highest performance than other parental testers under study. The tester T₂ gave highest values for ear length, ear diameter and grain yield/plant, while the tester T₃ exhibited the lowest performance for grain yield/plant (16.71 cm, 4.18 cm, 192.87 g and 173.03 g, respectively). Based on mean performances, line L₂ and tester T₂ are often the best parental genotypes for grain yield and most studied traits, making them potentially useful in a maize breeding program for enhancing and improving grain productivity. The means of crosses were higher than those of parents, suggesting the presence heterotic effects in the F₁ generation for all evaluated traits, as shown in Table (2). Out of 15 F₁ hybrids studied, the three crosses; L₃×T₃, L₄×T₃ and L₂×T₃ were the earliest for days to 50% tasseling (51.25, 52.25, 56.25 days, respectively) and silking (53.75, 56.75 and 58.00 days, respectively), where their parents Inb-162, Inb-176 and Inb-234 showed good performance for days to 50% tasseling and silking traits. The three crosses L₄×T₂, L₃×T₃, and L₄×T₃ showed the lowest mean values for plant height with values of 162.50, 173.75, and 178.25 cm, respectively. As for the ear height, the highest values were recorded by the cross combination L₂ × T₁ with the value of 133.00 cm, followed by L₁ × T₃ (131.25 cm) and L₁ × T₂ (126.50 cm). The highest

values of ear length were observed in the crosses; L₂×T₁, L₂×T₃ and L₂×T₂ with the values of 24.47, 23.77 and 23.10 cm, respectively. The maximum values of ear diameter were observed by the crosses; L₂×T₁ (5.34 cm), L₃×T₁ (5.25 cm) and L₄×T₁ (5.19 cm), while the crosses; L₂×T₁, L₁×T₁ and L₃×T₃ gave the highest values for 100- kernel weight (35.87, 35.10 and 35.00 g, respectively). Regarding grain yield/plant, the three crosses; L₂×T₁ (263.25 g), L₃×T₂ (261.90 g), and L₄×T₂ (258.93 g) gave the highest mean performances, which included intermediate yielding parental genotypes with grain yield/plant. The higher-yielding capability of these crosses obtained may be primarily due to their higher yield potential and maximized production of their main yield components. Compared with other crosses, the cross L₂×T₃ was an earliness genotype, while the cross L₂×T₁ showed significantly higher values for grain yield and most yield components under study.

3.3 Combining ability effects

Estimates of GCA effects of the individual parental lines and testers for all studied traits are given in Table (3). The results revealed that the parental lines and testers exhibited highly significant positive or negative GCA values for most studied traits. These findings suggest that the measured traits varied widely across all parental lines and testers under study. With comparing parental lines, L₂ showed negative and significant GCA effects (desirable) for days to 50% tasseling

($p < 0.05$) and silking ($p < 0.01$), while it had positive and significant GCA effects (desirable) for ear length and 100- kernel weight ($p < 0.01$). Line L₄ had negatively significant GCA effects (desirable) for days to 50% tasseling, days to 50% silking, plant height and ear height ($p < 0.01$), and it had positively significant GCA effects (desirable) for ear diameter ($p < 0.01$) and grain yield/plant ($p < 0.05$). Highly significant and desirable GCA effects were observed for grain yield/plant, plant height and ear height by two lines L₃ and L₅, and for 100- kernel weight by line L₃. With respect to the parental testers, tester T₃ gave highly significant and negative GCA effects for number of days to 50% tasseling and silking traits (desirable). While the tester T₂ displayed highly significant GCA

effects in the desirable direction for plant height and grain yield/plant. Also, ear length, ear diameter and 100- kernel weight ($p < 0.01$) showed positively significant GCA effects by the tester T₁. Desirable GCA effects were observed for grain yield and other traits in parental lines (Habiba *et al.*, 2022; Keno *et al.*, 2017), indicating that they are desirable parents for maize hybrids development and involvement in maize program (Hussain *et al.*, 2021; Ramadan and Husain, 2022). In this study, the lines L₃ and L₄ and the testers T₃ and T₂ were generally effective general combiners for earliness and grain yield. Therefore, they may be useful in the development of early and dwarf genotypes with their capacity to raise maize grain yield in the hybrids under study.

Table (3): Estimates of general combining ability (GCA) effects of the 8 Maize parents (5 lines and 3 testers) evaluated for the studied traits.

| Parents | Days to 50% tasseling | Days to 50% silking | Plant height (cm) | Ear height (cm) | Ear length (cm) | Ear diameter (cm) | 100- kernel weight (g) | Grain yield/plant (g) |
|------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Lines | | | | | | | | |
| Inb-155 L1 | 1.550* | 2.88** | 32.72** | 15.75** | -0.35* | 0.03 | 0.55 | -32.56** |
| Inb-162 L2 | -1.367* | -1.62** | 21.80** | 11.17** | 2.22** | -0.20* | 0.87** | 0.27 |
| Inb-171 L3 | 0.133 | 0.13 | -17.28** | -3.17** | -0.33 | 0.05 | 2.21** | 22.17** |
| Inb-176 L4 | -1.617** | -1.87** | -29.62** | -20.33** | -0.56** | 0.24** | -1.18** | 2.45* |
| Inb-203 L5 | 1.300* | 0.47 | -7.62** | -3.42** | -0.98** | -0.13 | -2.45** | 7.66** |
| SE (gi) | 0.40 | 0.46 | 2.00 | 1.15 | 0.21 | 0.09 | 0.30 | 1.15 |
| Testers | | | | | | | | |
| Inb-210 T1 | 1.450** | 1.42** | 3.25* | 2.17* | 0.87** | 0.28** | 0.69** | 0.89 |
| Inb-215 T2 | 1.650** | 1.02** | -4.35** | -1.08 | -0.05 | 0.05 | -0.19 | 7.39** |
| Inb-234 T3 | -3.100** | -2.43** | 1.10 | -1.08 | -0.83** | -0.33** | -0.50* | -8.29** |
| SE(gi) | 0.31 | 0.35 | 1.54 | 0.89 | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.23 | 0.89 |

* and ** denote significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

Promising lines with good line performance and the best GCA effects on targeted traits are preferred (Wasuwatthanakool *et al.*, 2022). The inbred lines with high GCA effects for

maize traits displayed the same effects for one or more of the traits influencing grain yield, indicating that these lines could be regarded as effective combiners for enhancing grain yield and may contribute

favorable alleles in the creation of new crosses (Habiba *et al.*, 2022). According to GCA, the inbred lines with advantageous allele sources for the establishment of heterotic populations as well as high-yielding synthetic varieties and hybrids as well as other agronomic qualities could result in superior segregants in the F₂ and subsequent generations. Completely agreed with the points of view which were reported by Anees *et al.* (2019) and Saeid *et al.* (2019). According to statistics, the SCA effects for F₁ hybrids were positively and negatively significant for all investigated traits, as shown in Table (4). Two crosses for days to 50% silking and ear length traits, three crosses for plant height, ear height and ear diameter traits, four crosses for days to 50% tasseling,

five crosses for grain yield/plant and six crosses for 100-kernel weight were showed significant and desirable SCA effects ($p < 0.05$ or 0.01). In this study, none of the combinations consistently outperformed the others for all traits taken into consideration, according to estimate of SCA effects. According to Griffing (1956), highly significant SCA effects of the crosses indicate that significant deviation from what would have been predicted based on the GCA effects of the respective parents. Significant and desirable SCA effects for grain yield and other traits using line x tester analysis were found, indicating the tendency of the hybrids to improve grain yield and early maturity (Habiba *et al.* 2022; Mogesse and Zeleke, 2022; Mousa *et al.* 2021).

Table (4): Estimates of specific combining ability (SCA) effects of 15 crosses of maize evaluated for the studied traits.

| Crosses | Days to 50% tasseling | Days to 50% silking | Plant height (cm) | Ear height (cm) | Ear length (cm) | Ear diameter (cm) | 100- kernel weight (g) | Grain yield/plant (g) |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Inb-155 × Inb-210 | -5.70** | -6.083** | -2.67 | -6.75** | 0.18 | -0.22 | 2.10** | 2.52 |
| Inb-155 × Inb-215 | 0.35 | 0.317 | -0.82 | 1.00 | -0.07 | 0.22 | 0.88 | -3.55 |
| Inb-155 × Inb-234 | 5.35** | 5.767** | 3.48 | 5.75** | -0.11 | 0.00 | -2.98** | 1.03 |
| Inb-162 × Inb-210 | 0.72 | 0.917 | -0.50 | 8.83** | -0.19 | 0.45** | 2.54** | 29.37** |
| Inb-162 × Inb-215 | -1.98** | -1.183 | 5.60 | -6.92** | -0.63 | 0.26 | -3.34** | -17.48** |
| Inb-162 × Inb-234 | 1.27 | 0.267 | -5.10 | -1.92 | 0.82* | -0.71** | 0.80 | -11.90** |
| Inb-171 × Inb-210 | 3.22** | 3.417** | 8.58* | -1.58 | 0.47 | 0.11 | -2.59** | -2.28 |
| Inb-171 × Inb-215 | 2.02** | 2.317** | 4.93 | 8.67** | 0.09 | -0.52** | 1.06* | -0.38 |
| Inb-171 × Inb-234 | -5.23** | -5.733** | -13.52** | -7.08** | -0.55 | 0.41** | 1.53** | 4.65* |
| Inb-176 × Inb-210 | 3.22** | 1.917* | 3.67 | 3.08 | -0.74 | -0.14 | -0.07 | -32.46** |
| Inb-176 × Inb-215 | -0.73 | -1.183 | -6.98* | -1.67 | 1.08** | -0.23 | 1.65** | 16.37** |
| Inb-176 × Inb-234 | -2.48** | -0.733 | 3.32 | -1.42 | -0.34 | 0.37* | -1.58** | 16.09** |
| Inb-203 × Inb-210 | -1.45* | -0.167 | -9.08* | -3.58 | 0.28 | -0.20 | -1.97** | 2.84 |
| Inb-203 × Inb-215 | 0.35 | -0.267 | -2.73 | -1.08 | -0.47 | 0.27 | -0.25 | 5.04* |
| Inb-203 × Inb-234 | 1.10 | 0.433 | 11.82** | 4.67* | 0.19 | -0.06 | 2.22** | -7.88** |
| SE(sij) | 0.69 | 0.79 | 3.46 | 1.99 | 0.37 | 0.15 | 0.52 | 1.99 |

* and ** denote significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

The highest significant and negative SCA effects ($p < 0.01$) were observed by the cross combination L₁ × T₁ for days to 50% tasseling (-5.70) and silking (-6.08), with

significant and positive SCA effects ($p < 0.01$) for 100- kernel weight (2.10). Therefore, this cross is the best combination for improving the early

maturity of maize. The cross $L_3 \times T_3$ recorded negative and highly significant desirable SCA effects for plant height (-13.52) and ear height (-7.08), indicating that this cross is the best combination for improving the shortness of stature trait in maize. Highly Significant and positive SCA effects ($p < 0.01$) were observed by the cross combination $L_2 \times T_1$ for ear diameter, 100- kernel weight and grain yield/plant, with values of 0.45, 2.54 and 29.37, respectively. As for ear length, highly significant and positive SCA effect ($p < 0.01$) was noticed by the cross combination $L_4 \times T_2$ (1.08), with significant and desirable SCA effects for plant height (-6.98), 100- kernel weight (1.65), and grain yield/plant (16.37). Generally, the cross combinations $L_2 \times T_1$, $L_3 \times T_3$, $L_4 \times T_2$ and $L_4 \times T_3$ had the most favorable SCA effects for earliness and grain productivity of maize. These results are in confidence with those of Wani *et al.* (2017), Hassan *et al.* (2019) and Raihan *et al.* (2019).

3.4 Heterosis estimates

Crop seed breeding has made extensive use of heterosis (or hybrid vigor) to enhance a number of crucial economic traits (Liu *et al.*, 2022), especially the earliness and grain yield of maize. The amount of heterosis over the mid-parents (MP) and better parent (BP) for evaluated traits are presented in Table (5). The results showed that most F_1 hybrids under study were significant and negative/positive MP and BP for all studied traits. One cross for ear height, ten crosses for

days to 50% silking, eleven crosses for days to 50% tasseling, thirteen crosses for ear diameter, fourteen crosses for 100-kernel weight and all crosses for ear length and grain yield/plant were showed significant MP in desirable direction ($p < 0.05$ or 0.01). While significant BP in a desirable direction ($p < 0.05$ or 0.01) was observed by seven crosses for 100- kernel weight, eight crosses for days to 50% tasseling and silking, thirteen crosses for ear length and diameter and all crosses for grain yield/plant. These results underline the dominance effect's crucial role in the inheritance of earliness and grain yield traits under study. Our findings are generally comparable to the results of Mogesse *et al.* (2020), Mogesse and Zeleke (2022), Sang *et al.* (2022) and Mideksa *et al.* (2022), who reported the presence of significant and desirable heterosis for early maturity and high yield of maize. The lowest heterosis compared with MP and BP (desirable) were obtained by the cross combination $L_4 \times T_3$ for days to 50% tasseling (-24.00 and -23.44) and silking (-19.93 and -19.79), plant height (-11.43 and -4.93) and ear height (-9.28 and -4.86), respectively. This finding implies that the development of the early and dwarf genotype of maize may benefit from this cross. While the cross $L_5 \times T_3$ for ear length (34.53 and 32.30, respectively), the cross $L_4 \times T_3$ for ear diameter (46.24 and 47.83, respectively), the cross $L_3 \times T_2$ for 100- kernel weight (52.89 and 17.42, respectively) and the cross $L_3 \times T_3$ for grain yield/plant (52.55 and 44.05) showed highly significant heterosis of MP and BP

heterotic effects, respectively. According to MP and BP heterosis estimates, certain cross combinations, such as L₂×T₁, L₂×T₃, L₃×T₂, L₃×T₃, L₄×T₂ and L₅×T₃, were preferred for one or more earliness and yield traits as well as positive estimations for grain yield/plant in this study. These results suggest that there is a significant level of heterosis for enhancing earliness,

grain yield, and characteristics associated to yield. Positive and significant heterosis is desirable for the grain yield as it suggests more grain yield potential than the current parental genotypes, therefore we can improvement of maize grain yield by exploiting maximum heterosis (Mogesse and Zeleke, 2022). Similar results were reported by Sedhom et al. (2016) and Turkey et al. (2018).

Table (5): Heterosis as percentage of mid-parent (M.P) and better parent (B.P) for the studied traits.

| Crosses | Days to 50% tasseling | | Days to 50% silking | | Plant height (cm) | | Ear height (cm) | |
|-------------------|-----------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|------------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|
| | MP | BP | MP | BP | MP | BP | MP | BP |
| Inb-155 × Inb-210 | -11.15** | -9.92** | -9.60** | -8.05** | 32.26** | 44.58** | 41.45** | 48.78** |
| Inb-155 × Inb-215 | -2.14 | -1.56 | -1.68 | -1.12 | 32.76** | 50.24** | 52.64** | 68.11** |
| Inb-155 × Inb-234 | -4.89** | -2.32 | -1.81 | 0.74 | 26.13** | 28.40** | 43.44** | 45.03** |
| Inb-162 × Inb-210 | -2.43 | -0.41 | -2.72 | -1.19 | 28.18** | 39.24** | 57.40** | 62.20** |
| Inb-162 × Inb-215 | -7.23** | -4.55** | -7.69** | -5.14** | 31.02** | 47.32** | 40.52** | 51.50** |
| Inb-162 × Inb-234 | -12.62** | -7.02** | -13.59** | -8.30** | 16.60** | 18.00** | 32.59** | 36.78** |
| Inb-171 × Inb-210 | 9.59** | 18.43** | 9.20** | 17.11** | 20.00** | 20.92** | 34.26** | 36.59** |
| Inb-171 × Inb-215 | 6.98** | 16.59** | 5.45** | 14.47** | 16.72** | 21.46** | 49.19** | 53.16** |
| Inb-171 × Inb-234 | -16.33** | -5.53** | -16.02** | -5.70** | -1.77 | 4.51 | 15.87** | 25.55** |
| Inb-176 × Inb-210 | -5.48** | -0.79 | -6.99** | -3.07 | -4.55 | 10.38** | 4.36 | 16.77** |
| Inb-176 × Inb-215 | -11.82** | -8.20** | -13.09** | -10.49** | -11.86 | 5.69 | -0.71 | 16.61** |
| Inb-176 × Inb-234 | -24.00** | -23.44** | -19.93** | -19.79** | -11.43 | -4.93 | -9.28** | -4.86 |
| Inb-203 × Inb-210 | -7.25** | -3.57* | -5.93** | -2.68 | 17.92** | 19.87** | 29.27** | 29.27** |
| Inb-203 × Inb-215 | -4.92** | -1.95 | -7.69** | -5.62** | 20.90** | 22.76** | 33.86** | 39.87** |
| Inb-203 × Inb-234 | -13.76** | -13.60** | -14.39** | -13.62** | 20.66** | 31.70** | 27.22** | 35.37** |
| Crosses | Ear length (cm) | | Ear diameter (cm) | | 100- kernel weight (g) | | Grain yield/plant (g) | |
| | MP | BP | MP | BP | MP | BP | MP | BP |
| Inb-155 × Inb-210 | 16.38** | 7.05** | 19.99** | 20.47** | 24.62** | 6.69** | 21.65** | 11.36** |
| Inb-155 × Inb-215 | 23.48** | 20.80** | 23.63** | 24.76** | 24.29** | 11.24** | 18.35** | 5.77** |
| Inb-155 × Inb-234 | 26.53** | 16.03** | 18.36** | 28.01** | 6.33** | 6.39 | 18.74** | 11.48** |
| Inb-162 × Inb-210 | 15.23** | 12.92** | 20.78** | 31.28** | 35.52** | 9.02** | 40.47** | 37.11** |
| Inb-162 × Inb-215 | 20.39** | 6.62** | 10.06* | 17.96** | 17.10** | 1.91 | 15.83** | 15.57** |
| Inb-162 × Inb-234 | 31.19** | 9.69** | 13.86** | 1.42 | 29.57** | 6.93** | 16.59** | 10.83** |
| Inb-171 × Inb-210 | 18.67** | 8.49** | 28.95** | 29.07** | 31.42** | 2.53 | 50.65** | 38.68** |
| Inb-171 × Inb-215 | 25.31** | 23.40** | 6.48 | 7.79 | 52.89** | 17.42** | 51.12** | 35.79** |
| Inb-171 × Inb-234 | 24.80** | 15.15** | 30.13** | 40.28** | 49.89** | 13.64** | 52.55** | 44.05** |
| Inb-176 × Inb-210 | 11.33* | 1.60 | 38.01** | 50.36** | 15.70** | 5.17 | 20.28** | 11.38** |
| Inb-176 × Inb-215 | 30.08** | 28.35** | 27.80** | 41.23** | 26.36** | 7.98** | 48.54** | 34.25** |
| Inb-176 × Inb-234 | 25.00** | 15.53** | 46.24** | 47.83** | 9.97** | 7.47 | 47.80** | 40.42** |
| Inb-203 × Inb-210 | 21.19** | 4.49 | 10.24* | 16.78** | 0.30 | 14.79 | 41.26** | 33.53** |
| Inb-203 × Inb-215 | 26.30** | 20.09** | 14.38** | 19.52** | 9.62** | 2.70 | 42.16** | 31.08** |
| Inb-203 × Inb-234 | 34.53** | 32.30** | 6.13 | 21.56** | 15.37** | 0.76 | 33.52** | 29.57** |

* and ** denote significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

A high level of heterosis in maize is a prerequisite for the development of commercial hybrids maize. It is worthy to note that the most of crosses exhibited the highest useful heterosis for grain yield and

most yield components under study. Therefore, these crosses offer good possibility for improving yield and its contributing traits. The level of heterosis expressed in this study reflects a high

degree of genetic diversity among parents. Raut (2010) reported that genetic diversity is important for heterotic expression. $L_2 \times T_1$, $L_3 \times T_3$, $L_4 \times T_2$ and $L_4 \times T_3$ are promising hybrids for varietal improvement purpose, as they showed high useful heterosis, high specific combining ability effects and involved at least one parent as good general combiner. In such crosses, desirable transgressive segregates would be expected in the subsequent segregating generations, if the additive genetic system present in the good combiner and the complementary epistatic effects in the F_1 acted in the same direction to maximize the yielding ability. Thus, the interaction of crosses should be evaluated with locations to identify the most single cross hybrids for increasing productivity in yellow maize. Grain yield suggests that the parents' genes interacted positively in a major way; as a result, such gene interactions result in the manifestation of heterosis, which can be used to generate hybrid varieties (Belay, 2022). In hybrid breeding, the value of any inbred line ultimately hinges on its capacity to successfully unite with another line to yield superior hybrids (Bayoumi *et al.*, 2018; Ramadan and Husain, 2022).

4. Conclusion

Statistically, ANOVA of line \times tester indicates the existence of a clear variability between the evaluated genotypes for all traits under study. The line L_4 and the tester T_3 were discovered to be the best general combiners for earliness and grain yield of maize, according to GCA impacts. Based on heterosis compared with MP, BP, and SCA effects, the cross

combinations; $L_2 \times T_1$, $L_3 \times T_3$, $L_4 \times T_2$ and $L_4 \times T_3$ were preferred for one or more earliness and yield traits as well as positive estimations for grain yield/plant. Finally, this result is confirmed by favorable highly significant SCA effects of these hybrids. These crosses could be considered the best hybrids, and they can be used in breeding program for improving these traits using hybrid $L_2 \times T_1$, $L_3 \times T_3$, $L_4 \times T_2$ and $L_4 \times T_3$ of maize to produce more early maturity and high-yielding varieties of maize.

References

- Akbar, M., Saleem, M., Muhammad, F., Ashraf, M. K. and Ahmad, R. A. (2008), "Combining ability analysis in maize under normal and high temperature conditions", *Journal of Agricultural Research*, Vol. 46 No. 1, pp. 27–38.
- Anees, A., Al-Zubaidy, K., Al-Rawi, W. and Al-Dawody, S. (2019), "Study of genetic behavior for some productivity and morphology traits in half diallel cross of maize", *Syrian Journal of Agricultural Research*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 134–150.
- Attia, A. N. E., Badawi, M. A., Salama, A. M., Abdel-Moneam, M. A. and Leilah, A. A. A. (2013), "Combining ability and heterosis for maize grain yield and some agronomic characters", *Journal of Plant Production*, Vol. 4 No. 4, pp. 551–560.
- Baker, R. J. (1978), Issues in diallel analysis, *Crop science*, Vol. 18 No. 4, pp. 533–536.

- Bayoumi, R. A., Shoker, S. M., Hamam, G. Y. and El-Hosary, A. A. A. (2018), "Determination of combining ability for some new yellow maize inbred lines using line \times tester model", *Annals of Agricultural Science, Moshtohor*, Vol. 56 No. 2, pp. 305–316.
- Begna, T. (2021), "Combining ability and heterosis in plant improvement", *Open Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 108–117.
- Belay, N. (2022), "Combining ability studies from line \times tester mating design for grain yield and its related traits of mid-altitude maize inbred lines", *International Journal of Food Science and Agriculture*, Vol. 6 No. 1, pp. 64–75.
- Chawla, H. S. and Gupta, V. P. (1984), "Index India-Agric", *Calcutta Agric. Society Indian*, Vol. 28 No. 4, pp. 261–265.
- Duvick, D. N. (1999), "Heterosis: feeding people and protecting natural resources", In *Genetics and Exploitation of Heterosis in Crops* (eds Coors J. G. and Pandey S.), ASA/Crop Science Society of American, pp. 19–29.
- El-Badawy, M. E. M. (2013), "Heterosis and combining ability in maize using diallel crosses among seven new inbred lines", *Asian Journal of Crop Science*, Vol. 5, pp. 1–13.
- Elmyhun, M., Liyew, C., Shita, A. and Andualem, M. (2020), "Combining ability performance and heterotic grouping of maize (*Zea mays*) inbred lines in testcross formation in Western Amhara, North West Ethiopia", *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, Vol. 6 No. 1, Article ID 1727625.
- Erenstein, O., Jaleta, M., Sonder, K., Mottaleb, K. and Prasanna, B. M. (2022), "Global maize production, consumption and trade: trends and R&D implications", *Food Security*, Vol. 14 No. 5, pp. 1295–1319.
- Falconar, D. S. and Mackay, T. F. C. (1996), *Introduction to quantitative genetics*, Chapman and Hall, London, U.K.
- Griffing, B. R. U. C. E. (1956), "Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems", *Australian Journal of Biological Sciences*, Vol. 9 No. 4, pp. 463–493.
- Habiba, R. M. M., El-Diasty, M. Z. and Aly, R. S. H. (2022), "Combining abilities and genetic parameters for grain yield and some agronomic traits in maize (*Zea mays* L.)", *Beni-Suef University Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, Vol. 11 No. 1, Article ID 108.
- Hassan, A. A., Jama, A. A., Mohamed, O. H. and Biswas, B. K. (2019), "Study on combining ability and heterosis in maize (*Zea mays* L.) using partial diallel analysis", *Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science*, Vol. 6 No. 2, pp. 520–526.

- Hefny, M. (2010), "Genetic control of flowering traits, yield and its components in maize (*Zea mays* L.) at different sowing dates", *Asian Journal of Crop Science*, Vol. 2 No. 4, pp. 236–249.
- Hochholdinger, F. and Baldauf, J. A. (2018), "Heterosis in plants", *Current Biology*, Vol. 28 No. 18, pp. 1089–1092.
- Hussain, M. A., Dawod, K. M. and Khether, A. A. (2021), "Gene action, heterosis and combining ability in maize hybrids B-using line \times tester analysis", *Kufa Journal for Agricultural Sciences*, Vol. 13 No. 2, pp. 30–40.
- Kempthorne, O. (1957), *An introduction of genetic statistics*, John Willey & Sons Inc., New York, USA, pp. 468–473.
- Keno, T., Regasa, M. W. and Zeleke, H. (2017), "Combining ability and heterotic orientation of mid-altitude sub-humid tropical maize inbred lines for grain yield and related traits", *African Journal of Plant Science*, Vol. 11 No. 6, pp. 229–239.
- Lippman, Z. B. and Zamir, D. (2007), "Heterosis: revisiting the magic", *Trends in Genetics*, Vol. 23 No. 2, pp. 60–66.
- Liu, W., Zhang, Y., He, H., He, G. and Deng, X. W. (2022), "From hybrid genomes to heterotic trait output: Challenges and opportunities", *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, Vol. 66, Article ID 102193.
- Mideksa, L. Y., Alamerew, S. and Tadesse, B. (2022), "Hybrid performance and heterosis for yield and agronomic traits of quality protein maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines adapted to mid-altitude agroecology of Ethiopia", *Agro Bali: Agricultural Journal*, Vol. 5 No. 2, pp. 219–239.
- Mogesse, W. and Zeleke, H. (2022), "Estimates of combining ability and association among morpho-agronomic traits of single cross maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids", *Journal of Agricultural Production*, Vol. 3 No. 2, pp. 78–87.
- Mogesse, W., Zelleke, H. and Nigussie, M. (2020), "Standard heterosis for grain yield and yield related traits in maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines in Haramaya district, Eastern Ethiopia", *East African Journal of Sciences*, Vol. 14 No. 1, pp. 51–64.
- Mousa, S. T. M., Mohamed, H. A. A., Aly, R. S. H. and Darwish, H. A. (2021), "Combining ability of white maize inbred lines via line \times tester analysis", *Journal of Plant Production*, Vol. 12 No. 2, pp. 109–113.
- Raihan, H. Z., Sultana, S. and Hoque, M. (2019), "Combining ability analysis for yield and yield contributing traits in maize (*Zea mays* L.)", *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research*, Vol. 44 No. 2, pp. 253–259.
- Ramadan, S. H.; & Husian, M. A. (2022),

- "Estimation combining ability and some genetic parameters for maize (*Zea mays* L.) and its components using line x tester", *Journal of Duhok University*, Vol. 25 No. 2, pp. 229–237.
- Raut, M. S. (2010), "Exploitation of heterosis using diverse parental lines in Rabi Sorghum", *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, Vol. 1 No. 4, pp. 680–684.
- Sang, Z. Q., Zhang, Z. Q., Yang, Y. X., Li, Z. W., Liu, X. G., Xu, Y. B. and Li, W. H. (2022), "Heterosis and heterotic patterns of maize germplasm revealed by a multiple-hybrid population under well-watered and drought-stressed conditions", *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, Vol. 21 No. 9, pp. 2477–2491.
- Sedhom, A. S., El-Badawy, M. E. M., Morsy, A. M. and El-Hosary, A. A. A. (2007), "Diallel analysis and relationship between molecular polymorphisms and yellow maize hybrid performance", *Annals of Agricultural Science, Moshtohor*, Vol. 45 No. 1, pp. 1–20.
- Sedhom, S. A. (2022), "Evaluation of combining ability for some new yellow maize inbred lines using line x tester model", *Annals of Agricultural Science, Moshtohor*, Vol. 60 No. 4, pp. 1009–1018.
- Sedhom, Y. S., Ali, M. M. A., Awaad, H. A. and Rabie, H. A. (2016), "Heterosis and factor analysis for some important traits in new maize hybrids", *Zagazig Journal of Agricultural Research*, Vol. 43 No. 3, pp. 711–728.
- Sprague, G. F. and Tatum, L. A. (1942), "General vs. specific combining ability in single crosses of corn", *Agronomy Journal*, Vol. 34 No. 10, pp. 923–932.
- Steel, R. G. and Torrie, J. H. (1980), *Principles and procedures of statistics*, McGraw-Hill Book Co. Inc., New York, USA, pp. 481.
- Turkey, O. H., Sedhom, S. A., EL-Badawy, M. E. L. M. and El-Hosary, A. A. A. (2018), "Combining ability analysis using diallel crosses among seven inbred lines of corn under two sowing dates", *Annals of Agricultural Science, Moshtohor*, Vol. 56 No. 2, pp. 293–304.
- USDA, United States Department of Agriculture (2023), *World Agricultural Production*, Available online: <https://apps.fas.usda.gov/psdonline/circulars/production.pdf>, accessed on 21 February 2023.
- Wani, M. M. A., Wani, S. A., Dar, Z. A., Lone, A. A., Abedi, I. and Gazal, A. (2017), "Combining ability analysis in early maturing maize inbred lines under temperate conditions", *International Journal of Pure & Applied Bioscience*, Vol. 5 No. 2, pp. 456–466.
- Wasuwatthanakool, W., Harakotr, B.,

Jirakiattikul, Y., Lomthaisong, K. and Suriharn, K. (2022), "Combining ability and testcross performance for carotenoid content of S2 super sweet

corn lines derived from temperate germplasm", *Agriculture*, Vol. 12 No. 10, Article ID 1561.