

Effect of Different Soil Media and Some Growth Regulators on French Marigold Plants

A. Vegetative, Root Growth and Pigments

Abdou, M.A.H., Mahmoud, A-H.M., Badran, F.S. and Ragab, Rokaia.M.

Horticulture department, Faculty of Agriculture., Minia University., Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Citation: Abdou, M.A.H., Mahmoud, A-H.M., Badran, F.S. and Ragab, Rokaia.M. (2024). Effect of Different Soil Media and Some Growth Regulators on French Marigold Plants

A. Vegetative, Root Growth and Pigments. Scientific Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 6 (1): 32-42.

<https://doi.org/10.21608/sjas.2024.270725.1393>.

Publisher :
Beni-Suef University, Faculty of Agriculture

Received: 17 / 2 / 2024

Accepted: 7 / 3 / 2024

Corresponding author:
Mahmoud A.H. Abdou

Email:
mahmoud.abdo@mu.edu.eg

This is an open access article licensed under



During the two experimental seasons of 2016 and 2017, a pot experiment was carried out in the Nursery of Ornamental Plants, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ. to investigate the influence of soil media and specific growth regulators on the vegetative and root growth characteristics and pigments of *Tagetes patula* L. plants. Results indicated that all examined traits of vegetative development (plant height, branches number, stem diameter, areal parts fresh and dry weights), root length, root fresh and dry weights and pigments content were increased with plants grown in clay/sand comparing with clay or sandy media alone during both seasons.

All used concentrations of growth regulators significantly decreased plant height and fresh and dry weights with high concentrations only during both seasons. On contrast, other abovementioned criteria were significantly increased with all used concentration of growth regulators in both seasons. 4-CPA at 300 ppm was more effective in this concern.

The results proved that cultivating *Tagetes patula*, in clay/sandy media and spraying with 4-CPA at 300 ppm recorded the best compact potted plant.

KEYWORDS: *Tagetes patula* – alar – PGA – 4 CPA

1. INTRODUCTION

French Marigold (*Tagetes patula* L.) is Asteraceae's member (Lim, 2014). It is native to the Americas and has spread to other regions including Egypt. It used as pot plant, cut flower

and other uses in home gardens. Moreover, leaves and flower are edible (Facciola, 1990). Many researchers proved that growth and production in sandy media can be improved through adding several conditioners such as clay (Nair *et al.*, 2023 on *Tagetes patuala*; Aziz *et al.*,

2008 on *Thymus vulgaris*; Abd-Elfattah *et al.*, 2009 on *Dracena*; Kreditsu, 2012 on *Gerbera jamesonii*; Gohar, 2017 on *Gardenia jasminoides*; and Kumar *et al.*, 2022 on *Calendula officinalis*).

For house owners and garden beautification, ornamental plants can be cultivated in the ground or in containers. According to Megersa *et al.* (2018), the primary benefits of growing these plants in pots are their mobility, adaptability, and compactness. Plant growth regulators play vital role in this regard (Murugan *et al.*, 2021 on *Tagetes erecta*; Pinto *et al.*, 2005 on *Zinnia elegans*; Ahmad *et al.*, 2007 on carnation and Pobudkiewicz, 2014 on poinsettia).

Thus, the purpose of this study was to examine how various soil medium and growth regulators affect *Tagetes patula* L. plants growth.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the Nursery of Ornamental Plants, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ. a pot experiment was

conducted during two experimental seasons of 2016 and 2017 to examine the effects of soil media and certain growth regulators on the vegetative and root growth criteria of *Tagetes patula* L. plants.

Uniform French Marigold seedlings were obtained from the Nursery of Floriculture plants, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ. averaged 7 cm in height and have 3 leaves, and were transplanted during 1st week of March in the two seasons of 2016 and 2017 in pot (30 cm) filled with 15.250 kg of sandy, clay soil or mixture of them (1:1), two transplants/pot. After 15 days, the transplants were thinned to one plant/pot. Clay soil was taken from The Ornamental Plants Nursery and sandy soil was released from the Agricultural research & Experimental Centr (new reclaimed soil). The physical and chemical analyses of the two used soils were performed according to the methods described by Jackson (1973) as listed in Tables (a and b).

Table a. The physical and chemical analysis of the used sandy soil.

| Soil character | Values | | Soil character | Values | |
|-----------------------------|--------|-------|------------------------------------|--------|-------|
| | 2016 | 2017 | | 2016 | 2017 |
| Physical properties: | | | Soluble nutrients: | | |
| Sand (%) | 89.11 | 86.39 | Ca ⁺⁺ (ppm) | 132.0 | 151.0 |
| Silt (%) | 3.41 | 4.21 | Mg ⁺⁺ (ppm) | 59.1 | 65.6 |
| Clay (%) | 7.48 | 9.40 | Na ⁺ (ppm) | 81.6 | 75.2 |
| Soil type | Sandy | sandy | K ⁺ (ppm) | 14.8 | 22.4 |
| Chemical properties: | | | DTPA-Extractable nutrients: | | |
| pH (1:2.5) | 8.13 | 8.21 | Fe (ppm) | 0.85 | 0.97 |
| E.C. (dS/m) | 1.26 | 1.34 | Cu (ppm) | 0.42 | 0.46 |
| O.M. (%) | 0.21 | 0.23 | Zn (ppm) | 0.34 | 0.37 |
| CaCO ₃ (%) | 13.89 | 13.68 | Mn (ppm) | 0.53 | 0.59 |

Table b. The physical and chemical analysis of the used clay soil.

| Soil character | Values | | Soil character | Values | |
|-----------------------------|--------|-------|------------------------------------|--------|-------|
| | 2016 | 2017 | | 2016 | 2017 |
| Physical properties: | | | Soluble nutrients: | | |
| Sand (%) | 48.22 | 46.91 | Ca ⁺⁺ (ppm) | 31.75 | 32.61 |
| Silt (%) | 10.65 | 11.88 | Mg ⁺⁺ (ppm) | 1.91 | 1.86 |
| Clay (%) | 41.13 | 41.18 | Na ⁺ (ppm) | 2.52 | 2.66 |
| Soil type | Clay | Clay | K ⁺ (ppm) | 2.11 | 2.92 |
| Chemical properties: | | | DTPA-Extractable nutrients: | | |
| pH (1:2.5) | 7.79 | 7.77 | Fe (ppm) | 8.63 | 8.74 |
| E.C. (dS/m) | 1.22 | 1.25 | Cu (ppm) | 2.07 | 2.12 |
| O.M. (%) | 1.61 | 1.63 | Zn (ppm) | 2.69 | 2.78 |
| CaCO ₃ (%) | 2.07 | 2.04 | Mn (ppm) | 8.27 | 8.32 |

The experiment was laid out at split plot design, with three replates. The soil medias i.e., clay, sand and clay/sand (1:1 v/v) treatments were occupied the main plots, while, the sub-plots contained seven treatments [control, alar at 1500 and 3000 ppm, phloroglucinol anhydrous (PGA) at 150 and 300 ppm, and 4-chlorophenoxy acetic acid (4-CPA) at 150 and 300 ppm].

The required quantities of alar were first dissolved in a tiny amount of alcohol (C₂H₅OH), and the volume was then raised to 1000 ml of distilled water to achieve the proper concentrations of alar. Phloroglucinol anhydrous and 4-chlorophenoxy acetic acid were both dissolved in the required volume of distilled water to create the stock solution, which was then diluted prior to spraying. Using a hand sprayer, all growth regulator treatments were sprayed to full wetness three times at intervals of two weeks, starting on April 1st and continuing through May 1st. For all growing seasons, the control treatment was applied with tap water.

At the flowering stage, the different growth criteria were recorded as follows: plant height (cm), stem diameter (mm) at 5 cm above the soil surface, main of branches/plant, aerial parts fresh and dry weights (g), main root length (cm), and root system fresh and dry weights (g). Also, one month after the last spray (1st June), photosynthetic pigments (mg/g fresh weight) were determined according to Fadl and Sari El-Deen (1978).

The data for each parameter included in the study were exposed to an Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using the computer program MSTAT-C (1986). Using the Least Significant Difference approach was used to compare factors mean at 5% probability level.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Vegetative growth indicators:

The effect of soil media, growth regulators and their combinations on vegetation and root development of French marigold was listed in Tables (1 to 3). It is clear that soil media considerably enhanced vegetation and root development i.e., marigold height, stem diameter, main of branches number/plant, aerial parts fresh and dry weights, main root length, and root system fresh and dry weights. The

clay/sandy media worked better than both of clay or sandy media alone throughout the experimental period, as gave 54.4 and 57.7 cm height, 0.87 and 0.92 mm diameter, 10.7 and 11 branches, 70.25 and 72.24 g plant fresh weight, 24.59 and 25.50 g plant dry weight, 11.86 and 12.26 cm main root length, 36.82 and 37.16 g root system fresh weight, and 18.78 and 19.33 g root system dry weight, during both seasons, respectively. Moreover, the clay media take the second order, while the sandy media came in last one, in both seasons.

It could be enhancing plant and root development in sandy soil by adding various conditioners as reported by Mann *et al.* (2023) and Shalaby *et al.* (2023) on *Tagetes erecta* L.; Kumar *et al.* (2022) on *Calendula officinalis*; Singh *et al.* (2022) on *Chrysanthemum morifolium*; Gohar (2017) on *Gardenia jasminoides*; and Abdou *et al.* (2023 b) on *Cineraria hybrida*.

Except plant height and areal parts weights with high concentrations, all abovementioned criteria were improved by using the three growth regulators throughout both experimental seasons. It is observed that the high concentrations of 4-CPA at 300 ppm, followed by PGA at 300 ppm, then alar at 3000 ppm considerably enhanced development characteristics relative to untreated plants in both seasons.

Generally, growth hormones can cause changes in the physical characteristics of plants as well as in their physiological and developmental responses. In our research, the plant height was decreased as mentioned by Murugan *et al.* (2021) on *Tagetes erecta* L.; Pinto *et al.* (2005) and Abbas *et al.* (2007) on *Zinnia elegans*; Ahmad *et al.* (2007) on carnation; El-Sheibany *et al.* (2007) and Asrar *et al.* (2014) on chrysanthemum; Hashemabadi *et al.* (2012) and Kazemi *et al.* (2014) on *Calendula officinalis*; Taha (2012) on iris plants; and Manasa and Joshi (2022) on China aster. On contrarily, plant growth regulators are intended to enhance other characters as clarified by Murugan *et al.* (2021) on *Tagetes erecta*; Asrar *et al.* (2014) on chrysanthemum; Abbas *et al.* (2007) on *Rosa damascene*; Ahmad *et al.* (2007) on carnation; Renu and Srivastava (2013) and Pobudkiewicz (2014) on poinsettia; Amin *et al.* (2017) on tuberose; Al-Bayati and

Table 1. Response of plant height, diameter and branches number/plant of French marigold to soil media, growth regulators and their interaction treatments during both seasons.

| Growth regulators treatments (ppm) (B) | Soil media treatments (A) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|------|-----------|----------|----------------------------------|------|-----------|----------|---------|--|----------|--|
| | Clay | Sand | Clay/sand | Mean (B) | Clay | Sand | Clay/sand | Mean (B) | | | | |
| | The first growing season (2016) | | | | The second growing season (2017) | | | | | | | |
| Plant height (cm) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control (Without) | 55.4 | 53.6 | 64.9 | 58.0 | 58.7 | 56.8 | 68.8 | 61.4 | | | | |
| Alar at 1500 ppm | 48.8 | 47.4 | 55.7 | 50.6 | 51.8 | 50.3 | 59.1 | 53.8 | | | | |
| Alar at 3000 ppm | 47.7 | 47 | 49.1 | 47.9 | 50.6 | 49.8 | 52.0 | 50.8 | | | | |
| PGA at 150 ppm | 50.9 | 40.8 | 56.1 | 49.3 | 54.0 | 43.2 | 59.5 | 52.2 | | | | |
| PGA at 300 ppm | 45.7 | 37.1 | 51.3 | 44.7 | 48.4 | 39.3 | 54.4 | 47.4 | | | | |
| 4-CPA at 150 ppm | 51.6 | 47.6 | 56.8 | 52.0 | 54.8 | 50.6 | 60.3 | 55.1 | | | | |
| 4-CPA at 300 ppm | 40.1 | 36.1 | 47 | 41.1 | 42.5 | 38.3 | 49.8 | 43.5 | | | | |
| Mean (A) | 48.6 | 44.2 | 54.4 | 49.1 | 51.5 | 46.9 | 57.7 | 52.0 | | | | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 4.1 | | B: 2.3 | | AB: 4.0 | | A: 4.8 | | B: 2.5 | | AB: 4.3 | |
| Stem diameter (mm) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control (Without) | 0.79 | 0.75 | 0.83 | 0.79 | 0.84 | 0.8 | 0.88 | 0.84 | | | | |
| Alar at 1500 ppm | 0.81 | 0.77 | 0.85 | 0.81 | 0.86 | 0.82 | 0.9 | 0.86 | | | | |
| Alar at 3000 ppm | 0.83 | 0.79 | 0.87 | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.84 | 0.92 | 0.88 | | | | |
| PGA at 150 ppm | 0.79 | 0.75 | 0.83 | 0.79 | 0.85 | 0.81 | 0.89 | 0.85 | | | | |
| PGA at 300 ppm | 0.86 | 0.82 | 0.90 | 0.86 | 0.91 | 0.87 | 0.95 | 0.91 | | | | |
| 4-CPA at 150 ppm | 0.80 | 0.76 | 0.84 | 0.80 | 0.85 | 0.81 | 0.89 | 0.85 | | | | |
| 4-CPA at 300 ppm | 0.90 | 0.86 | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.91 | 1.01 | 0.96 | | | | |
| Mean (A) | 0.83 | 0.79 | 0.87 | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.84 | 0.92 | 0.88 | | | | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 0.03 | | B: 0.02 | | AB: 0.04 | | A: 0.04 | | A: 0.03 | | AB: 0.05 | |
| Number of branches/plant | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control (Without) | 7.4 | 5.4 | 8.8 | 7.2 | 7.5 | 5.5 | 8.9 | 7.3 | | | | |
| Alar at 1500 ppm | 7.6 | 7.7 | 8.5 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 8.7 | 8.1 | | | | |
| Alar at 3000 ppm | 8.5 | 7.1 | 9.0 | 8.2 | 8.7 | 7.2 | 9.2 | 8.4 | | | | |
| PGA at 150 ppm | 8.7 | 7.4 | 11.5 | 9.2 | 9.0 | 7.6 | 11.8 | 9.5 | | | | |
| PGA at 300 ppm | 12.5 | 9.5 | 13.1 | 11.7 | 13.0 | 9.9 | 13.6 | 12.2 | | | | |
| 4-CPA at 150 ppm | 9.3 | 6.8 | 10.9 | 9.0 | 9.6 | 7.0 | 11.2 | 9.3 | | | | |
| 4-CPA at 300 ppm | 10.0 | 6.1 | 13.1 | 9.7 | 10.4 | 6.3 | 13.6 | 10.1 | | | | |
| Mean (A) | 9.1 | 7.1 | 10.7 | 9.0 | 9.4 | 7.3 | 11.0 | 9.3 | | | | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 1.1 | | B: 0.4 | | 0.7 | | A: 1.2 | | B: 0.5 | | AB: 0.9 | |

Table 2. Response of aerial parts fresh and dry weights per plant of French marigold to soil media, growth regulators and their interaction treatments during both seasons.

| Growth regulators treatments (ppm) (B) | Soil media treatments (A) | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------|-----------|----------|----------------------------------|-------|-----------|----------|
| | Clay | Sand | Clay/sand | Mean (B) | Clay | Sand | Clay/sand | Mean (B) |
| | The first growing season (2016) | | | | The second growing season (2017) | | | |
| Aerial parts fresh weight per plant (g) | | | | | | | | |
| Control (Without) | 48.44 | 34.06 | 59.15 | 47.22 | 50.38 | 35.42 | 61.52 | 49.11 |
| Alar at 1500 ppm | 63.08 | 34.60 | 63.47 | 53.72 | 65.60 | 35.98 | 66.01 | 55.87 |
| Alar at 3000 ppm | 53.05 | 52.11 | 56.87 | 54.01 | 55.17 | 54.19 | 59.14 | 56.17 |
| PGA at 150 ppm | 67.39 | 39.77 | 85.32 | 64.16 | 68.74 | 40.57 | 87.03 | 65.44 |
| PGA at 300 ppm | 74.79 | 57.45 | 86.43 | 72.89 | 75.54 | 58.02 | 87.29 | 73.62 |
| 4-CPA at 150 ppm | 72.32 | 44.75 | 64.66 | 60.58 | 74.49 | 46.09 | 66.60 | 62.39 |
| 4-CPA at 300 ppm | 69.89 | 53.03 | 75.83 | 66.25 | 71.99 | 54.62 | 78.10 | 68.24 |
| Mean (A) | 64.14 | 45.11 | 70.25 | 61.26 | 65.99 | 46.41 | 72.24 | 61.55 |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 4.13 | | B: 3.63 | AB: 6.28 | A: 4.51 | | B: 3.85 | AB: 6.67 |
| Aerial parts dry weight per plant (g) | | | | | | | | |
| Control (Without) | 17.92 | 10.56 | 20.70 | 16.39 | 18.14 | 11.16 | 21.72 | 17.00 |
| Alar at 1500 ppm | 19.63 | 16.15 | 19.91 | 18.56 | 19.86 | 17.07 | 20.88 | 19.27 |
| Alar at 3000 ppm | 23.34 | 10.73 | 22.22 | 18.76 | 23.62 | 11.33 | 23.30 | 19.42 |
| PGA at 150 ppm | 24.93 | 12.33 | 29.86 | 22.38 | 24.75 | 12.78 | 30.72 | 22.75 |
| PGA at 300 ppm | 27.67 | 17.81 | 30.25 | 25.24 | 27.19 | 18.28 | 30.81 | 25.43 |
| 4-CPA at 150 ppm | 26.76 | 13.87 | 22.63 | 21.09 | 26.82 | 14.52 | 23.51 | 21.61 |
| 4-CPA at 300 ppm | 25.86 | 16.44 | 26.54 | 22.95 | 25.92 | 17.21 | 27.57 | 23.56 |
| Mean (A) | 23.73 | 13.98 | 24.59 | 20.77 | 23.76 | 14.62 | 25.50 | 21.29 |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 0.8 | | B: 0.7 | AB: 1.21 | A: 0.95 | | B: 0.86 | AB: 2.58 |

Table 3. Response of main root length and root fresh and dry weights of French marigold to soil media, growth regulators and their interaction treatments during both seasons.

| Growth regulators treatments (ppm) (B) | Soil media treatments (A) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------|-----------|----------|----------------------------------|-------|-----------|----------|---------|--|----------|--|
| | Clay | Sand | Clay/sand | Mean (B) | Clay | Sand | Clay/sand | Mean (B) | | | | |
| | The first growing season (2016) | | | | The second growing season (2017) | | | | | | | |
| Main root length (cm) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control (Without) | 7.63 | 10.68 | 11.30 | 9.87 | 7.78 | 10.89 | 11.53 | 10.07 | | | | |
| Alar at 1500 ppm | 9.31 | 10.97 | 12.18 | 10.82 | 9.59 | 11.30 | 12.55 | 11.14 | | | | |
| Alar at 3000 ppm | 10.21 | 9.70 | 12.29 | 10.73 | 10.41 | 9.89 | 12.54 | 10.95 | | | | |
| PGA at 150 ppm | 11.71 | 11.16 | 12.00 | 11.62 | 12.18 | 11.61 | 12.48 | 12.09 | | | | |
| PGA at 300 ppm | 9.04 | 10.46 | 11.21 | 10.24 | 9.40 | 10.88 | 11.66 | 10.65 | | | | |
| 4-CPA at 150 ppm | 12.26 | 14.36 | 14.72 | 13.78 | 12.87 | 15.08 | 15.46 | 14.47 | | | | |
| 4-CPA at 300 ppm | 11.30 | 12.83 | 11.76 | 11.96 | 11.75 | 13.34 | 12.23 | 12.44 | | | | |
| Mean (A) | 10.56 | 11.45 | 11.86 | 11.29 | 10.94 | 11.86 | 12.26 | 11.69 | | | | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 0.50 | | B: 0.40 | | AB: 0.69 | | A: 0.70 | | B: 0.50 | | AB: 0.87 | |
| Root system fresh weight (g) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control (Without) | 16.27 | 12.71 | 31.94 | 20.31 | 31.94 | 12.71 | 35.00 | 26.55 | | | | |
| Alar at 1500 ppm | 17.44 | 13.98 | 44.71 | 25.38 | 44.71 | 18.58 | 45.08 | 36.12 | | | | |
| Alar at 3000 ppm | 10.42 | 8.85 | 43.96 | 21.08 | 43.96 | 14.24 | 32.78 | 30.33 | | | | |
| PGA at 150 ppm | 22.48 | 8.50 | 46.10 | 25.69 | 46.10 | 9.81 | 47.63 | 34.51 | | | | |
| PGA at 300 ppm | 17.04 | 5.41 | 30.18 | 17.54 | 30.18 | 8.69 | 33.82 | 24.23 | | | | |
| 4-CPA at 150 ppm | 20.14 | 10.15 | 36.15 | 22.15 | 36.15 | 5.61 | 37.78 | 26.51 | | | | |
| 4-CPA at 300 ppm | 14.74 | 7.87 | 24.69 | 15.77 | 24.69 | 10.30 | 28.06 | 21.02 | | | | |
| Mean (A) | 16.93 | 9.64 | 36.82 | 21.13 | 36.82 | 8.32 | 37.16 | 28.47 | | | | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 3.5 | | B: 0.98 | | AB: 1.70 | | A: 3.85 | | B: 1.02 | | AB: 1.77 | |
| Root system dry weight (g) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control (Without) | 8.30 | 6.48 | 16.29 | 10.36 | 16.61 | 6.61 | 18.20 | 13.81 | | | | |
| Alar at 1500 ppm | 8.89 | 7.13 | 22.80 | 12.94 | 23.25 | 9.66 | 23.44 | 18.78 | | | | |
| Alar at 3000 ppm | 5.31 | 4.51 | 22.42 | 10.75 | 22.86 | 7.40 | 17.05 | 15.77 | | | | |
| PGA at 150 ppm | 11.46 | 4.34 | 23.51 | 13.10 | 23.97 | 5.10 | 24.77 | 17.95 | | | | |
| PGA at 300 ppm | 8.69 | 2.76 | 15.39 | 8.95 | 15.69 | 4.52 | 17.59 | 12.60 | | | | |
| 4-CPA at 150 ppm | 10.27 | 5.18 | 18.44 | 11.29 | 18.80 | 2.92 | 19.65 | 13.79 | | | | |
| 4-CPA at 300 ppm | 7.52 | 4.01 | 12.59 | 8.04 | 12.84 | 5.36 | 14.59 | 10.93 | | | | |
| Mean (A) | 8.64 | 4.92 | 18.78 | 10.78 | 19.15 | 5.94 | 19.33 | 14.80 | | | | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 0.85 | | B: 0.45 | | AB: 0.78 | | A: 0.87 | | B: 0.51 | | AB: 0.88 | |

Salih (2021) on *Dianthus caryophyllus* and Manasa and Joshi (2022) on *Callistephus chinensis*.

For both seasons, there was a considerable interaction effect between the growth media and growth regulators for abovementioned criteria. The plants cultivated on clay/sand media and sprayed with 4-CPA at 300 ppm, followed by PGA at 300 ppm had the greatest values.

3.2. Pigments content:

According to Table (4), significant augment in chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and carotenoids were found with soil media throughout the experimental period. Clay/sandy media was favorable for improving chlorophyll content than either clay or sandy alone in both seasons. The beneficial effects adding of conditioners to sandy soil on pigments were emphasized by Nair *et al.* (2023) on potted marigold (*Tagetes patula* L.); El-Serafy (2015) on carnation; Gohar (2017) on *Gardenia jasminoides*; Abdou *et al.* (2023a) on *Calendula officinalis*; and Abdou *et al.* (2023 b) on *Cineraria hybrida*.

Data in the same Table demonstrated that, in relation to the control, all three growth regulator treatments were considered to have enhanced the three characteristics of pigments i.e., chlorophyll a, b, and carotenoids. In all seasons, PGA (300 ppm) produced the greatest results for carotenoids, chlorophyll a, and b, followed by 4-CPA (300 ppm).

Growth regulators led to increase photosynthetic pigments as mentioned by El-Sheibany *et al.* (2007) and Asrar *et al.* (2014) on *Chrysanthemum morifolium*; Taha (2012), on iris plants; and Al Khalifa (2015) on geranium plants.

For pigment content in both seasons, there was a substantial interaction impact between the growth media and growth regulators. The plants cultivated in clay/sandy medium and sprayed with PGA at 300 ppm in both seasons had the highest content of carotenoids, chlorophyll a, and chlorophyll b. The plants grown in clay media and treated with PGA at 300 ppm for carotenoids alone in both seasons.

4. DISCUSSION

The purpose of adding the amendments (including clay) to the sand media is to increase the media's porosity, while also to provide sufficient nutrients for plants (Malik *et al.*,

2023). Combining various soil types improved drainage, porosity, soil aeration, and water and nutrient retention, which resulted in healthy growth and vigor growth (Arora, 2004; Bergi, 2011).

Certain growth regulators can improve the color of the leaf, and prevent or reduce stem elongation or cell division (Dole and Wilkins, 1999). Growth regulators have the ability to impede cell proliferation and elongation in shoot tissue, which can have an impact on the quality and manipulation of pot plants' size, shape, and form (compact plant) (Renu and Srivastava, 2013).

5. REFERENCES

- Abbas MM, Ahmad S and Anwar R (2007).** Effect of growth retardants to break apical dominance in *Rosa damascena*. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 44: 524-528.
- Abd-Elfattah H, Rezk-Alla BB and El-Fouly AS (2009).** Effect of some organic additives to sand on growth and chemical composition of *Bougainvillea glabra*, Endl, plants. Annual Agric. Sci. Moshtohor, 46 (4): 477-482.
- Abdou MAH, Fouad AHA and Hassan AA (2023b).** Influence of compost fertilization and pinching number on growth and flowering of cineraria plant. Scientific Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 5 (2): 17-30. <https://doi.org/10.21608/SJAS.2023.212815.1309>
- Abdou MAH, Taha RA, Shima A and Gahory AMO (2023a).** Response of *Calendula officinalis* to compost, chitosan and thiamine treatments. Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop., 43 (3): 345-360.
- Ahmad I, Ziaf K, Qasim M and Tariq M (2007).** Comparative evaluation of different pinching approaches on vegetative and reproductive growth of carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus*). Pak. J. Agri. Sci., 44 (4): 563-570.

Table 4. Response of fresh leaves pigments content of French marigold to soil media, growth regulators and their interaction treatments during both seasons.

| Growth regulators treatments (ppm) (B) | Soil media treatments (A) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------|-----------|----------|----------------------------------|-------|-----------|----------|----------|--|-----------|--|
| | Clay | Sand | Clay/sand | Mean (B) | Clay | Sand | Clay/sand | Mean (B) | | | | |
| | The first growing season (2016) | | | | The second growing season (2017) | | | | | | | |
| | Chlorophyll a (mg/g) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control (Without) | 3.010 | 2.950 | 3.090 | 3.017 | 3.070 | 3.009 | 3.152 | 3.077 | | | | |
| Alar at 1500 ppm | 3.120 | 3.030 | 3.210 | 3.120 | 3.182 | 3.091 | 3.274 | 3.182 | | | | |
| Alar at 3000 ppm | 3.160 | 3.070 | 3.230 | 3.153 | 3.223 | 3.131 | 3.295 | 3.216 | | | | |
| PGA at 150 ppm | 3.220 | 3.110 | 3.296 | 3.209 | 3.284 | 3.172 | 3.362 | 3.273 | | | | |
| PGA at 300 ppm | 3.426 | 3.346 | 3.507 | 3.426 | 3.495 | 3.413 | 3.577 | 3.495 | | | | |
| 4-CPA at 150 ppm | 3.275 | 3.180 | 3.386 | 3.280 | 3.341 | 3.244 | 3.454 | 3.346 | | | | |
| 4-CPA at 300 ppm | 3.389 | 3.276 | 3.469 | 3.378 | 3.457 | 3.342 | 3.538 | 3.446 | | | | |
| Mean (A) | 3.229 | 3.137 | 3.313 | 3.226 | 3.293 | 3.200 | 3.379 | 3.291 | | | | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 0.091 | | B: 0.035 | | AB: 0.061 | | A: 0.055 | | B: 0.022 | | A: 0.055 | |
| | Chlorophyll b (mg/g) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control (Without) | 0.983 | 0.963 | 1.010 | 0.986 | 0.993 | 0.973 | 1.020 | 0.995 | | | | |
| Alar at 1500 ppm | 1.015 | 0.985 | 1.045 | 1.015 | 1.025 | 0.995 | 1.055 | 1.025 | | | | |
| Alar at 3000 ppm | 1.023 | 0.993 | 1.047 | 1.021 | 1.033 | 1.003 | 1.057 | 1.031 | | | | |
| PGA at 150 ppm | 1.038 | 1.002 | 1.064 | 1.035 | 1.048 | 1.012 | 1.075 | 1.045 | | | | |
| PGA at 300 ppm | 1.102 | 1.075 | 1.129 | 1.102 | 1.113 | 1.086 | 1.140 | 1.113 | | | | |
| 4-CPA at 150 ppm | 1.080 | 1.042 | 1.106 | 1.076 | 1.057 | 1.025 | 1.095 | 1.059 | | | | |
| 4-CPA at 300 ppm | 1.047 | 1.015 | 1.084 | 1.048 | 1.091 | 1.052 | 1.117 | 1.087 | | | | |
| Mean (A) | 1.041 | 1.011 | 1.069 | 1.040 | 1.052 | 1.021 | 1.080 | 1.051 | | | | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 0.012 | | B: 0.009 | | AB: 0.016 | | A: 0.010 | | B: 0.008 | | AB: 0.014 | |
| | Carotenoids (mg/g) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control (Without) | 1.303 | 1.283 | 1.330 | 1.306 | 1.342 | 1.321 | 1.370 | 1.344 | | | | |
| Alar at 1500 ppm | 1.440 | 1.410 | 1.470 | 1.440 | 1.483 | 1.452 | 1.514 | 1.483 | | | | |
| Alar at 3000 ppm | 1.553 | 1.523 | 1.577 | 1.551 | 1.600 | 1.569 | 1.624 | 1.598 | | | | |
| PGA at 150 ppm | 1.673 | 1.637 | 1.699 | 1.670 | 1.723 | 1.686 | 1.750 | 1.720 | | | | |
| PGA at 300 ppm | 1.942 | 1.915 | 1.969 | 1.942 | 2.000 | 1.972 | 2.028 | 2.000 | | | | |
| 4-CPA at 150 ppm | 1.792 | 1.760 | 1.829 | 1.793 | 1.846 | 1.813 | 1.884 | 1.847 | | | | |
| 4-CPA at 300 ppm | 1.830 | 1.792 | 1.856 | 1.826 | 1.885 | 1.846 | 1.912 | 1.881 | | | | |
| Mean (A) | 1.648 | 1.617 | 1.676 | 1.647 | 1.697 | 1.666 | 1.726 | 1.696 | | | | |
| L.S.D. at 5 % | A: 0.020 | | B: 0.016 | | AB: 0.028 | | A: 0.022 | | B: 0.018 | | AB: 0.031 | |

- Al Khalifa AKA (2015)**. Effect of pinching and spray of growth regulator benzyladenine and alar on growth and flowering of geranium plants (*Pelargonium horotorum* "zonal". Master Thesis, College of Agriculture, University of Kirkuk, Iraq
- Al-Bayati MYI and Salih ZK (2021)**. Production of *Dianthus caryophyllus* L. Cultivar (Nanus) as a potted flowering plant using two growth retardants (alar and paclobutrazol). In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science (923 (1): 012008). IOP Publishing. <https://10.1088/1755-1315/923/1/012008>
- Amin MR, Pervin N, Nusrat A, Mehraj H and Jamal Uddin AFM (2017)**. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth and flowering of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Single. Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research, 12 (01): 1016-1020. <https://doi.org/10.18801/jbar.120117.123>
- Arora JS (2004)**. Introductory Ornamental Horticulture. Kalyani. Pub. B-I/1292, Rajinder Nagar, Ludhiana, India.
- Asrar AW, Elhindi K and Abdel-Salam E (2014)**. Growth and flowering response of chrysanthemum cultivars to alar and slow-release fertilizer in an outdoor environment. Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment, 12 (2): 963-971. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/281895149>
- Aziz EE, Hendawy SF, Ezz El Din A and Omer EA (2008)**. Effect of soil type and irrigation intervals on plant growth, essential oil yield and constituents of *Thymus vulgaris* plant. American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Science, 4 (4): 443-450.
- Bergi NUU (2011)**. Effect of various substrates on growth, flower and tuber production of dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis* Desf.) cv. Pink Attraction. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Kashmir.
- Dole JM and Wilkins HF (1999)**. Hibiscus, p. 368-372. In: Floriculture: Principles and species. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, N.J.
- El-Serafy RS (2015)**. Effect of silicon and calcium on productivity and flower quality of carnation. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Tanta University, Egypt, 180 p.
- El-Sheibany OM, El-Malki NA and Barras-Ali A (2007)**. Effect of application of growth retardant alar on some foliage characters of local cultivar of chrysanthemum. J. Sci. Appl., 1: 15-20.
- Facciola S (1990)**. Cornucopia. A source book of edible plants. Kampong Publication, Vista, 677pp.
- Fadl MS and Sari El-Deen SA (1978)**. Effect of N-benzyladenine on photosynthetic pigments and total soluble sugars of olive seedlings grown under saline conditions. Res. Bull. Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., 843.
- Gohar AAD (2017)**. Response of *Gardenia jasminoides*, Ellis plants to some media and nutrition treatments. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Minia University, Egypt, 188 p.
- Hashemabadi D, Lipaei SR, Shadparvar V, Zarchini M and Kaviani B (2012)**. The effect of cycocel and daminozide on some growth and flowering characteristics of *Calendula officinalis* L., an ornamental and medicinal plant. Journal of Medicinal Plants Research, 6 (9): 1752-1757.
- Jackson ML (1973)**. Methods of chemical analysis. Prentice Hall., Englewood Cliffs, N.T.J.
- Kazemi SS, Hashemabadi D, Torkashvand AM and Kaviani B (2014)**: Effect of cycocel and daminozide on vegetative growth, flowering and the content of essence of pot marigold (*Calendula officinalis*). Journal of Ornamental Plants. 4 (2): 107-114.
- Keditsu R (2012)**. Response of gerbera to inorganic fertilization versus organic manuring. Ann. Pl. Soil Res., 14: 163-166.
- Kumar R, Singh AK, Tomar KS and Gupta AK (2022)**. Effects of different media on growth and flowering traits of *Calendula*

- officinalis* L. Bangladesh Journal of Botany, 51 (3): 417-424.
- Lim TK (2013)**. *Tagetes patula*. In Edible Medicinal and Non-Medicinal Plants: Volume 7, Flowers (pp. 456-468). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Malik A, Beniwal BS, Godara AK and Sharma VK (2023)**. Response of different substrates on germination, growth and flowering of marigold. Journal of Agriculture Research and Technology, 48 (1): 51-58.
- Manasa KP and Joshi NS (2022)**. Effect of growth regulators on vegetative parameters of China Aster (*Callistephus chinensis* L. Nees) cv. Kamini. The Pharma Innovation Journal, 11 (7): 3115-3118.
- Mann GS, Dubey RK, Singh S, Deepika R, Singh D and Kaur N (2023)**. Effect of growing media on growth and flowering of potted marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) irrigated with treated sewage water. Journal of Plant Nutrition, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2023.2220727>
- Megersa HG, Lemma DT and Banjawu DT (2018)**. Effects of plant growth retardants and pot sizes on the height of potting ornamental plants: A short review. J. Hortic, 5 (1): 1000220. <https://10.4172/2376-0354.1000220>
- MSTAT-C (1986)**. A microcomputer program for the design management and analysis of Agronomic Research Experiments (version 4.0), Michigan State Univ., U.S.A.
- Murugan TV, Manivannan K and Nanthakumar S (2021)**. Studies on the effect of plant growth regulators on growth, flowering and xanthophyll content of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 10 (3): 292-294.
- Nair SA, Smitha GR and Kalaivanan D (2023)**. Influence of container, potting media and nutrients on production and post-production consumer acceptance of potted marigold (*Tagetes patula* L.). Journal of Horticultural Sciences, 18 (1): 113-121. <https://doi.org/10.24154/jhs.v18i1.2153>
- Pinto A, Rodrigues T, Leite IC and Barbosa JC (2005)**. Growth retardants on development and ornamental quality of potted 'Lilliput' *Zinnia elegans* Jacq. Scientia Agricola, 62: 337-345. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-90162005000400006>
- Pobudkiewicz A (2014)**. Influence of growth retardant on growth and development of *Euphorbia pulcherrima* Willd. ex Klotzsch. Acta Agrobotanica, 67 (3): 65-74.
- Renu and Srivastava R (2013)**. Effect of cycocel and alar on the growth and flowering of poinsettia cv. Single. Asian Journal of Horticulture, 8 (1): 313-316.
- Shalaby TA, El-Newiry NA, El-Tarawy M, El-Mahrouk ME, Shala AY, El-Beltagi HS, Rezk AA, Abd Ramadan KM, Shehata WF and El-Ramady H (2023)**. Biochemical and physiological response of Marigold (*Tagetes Erecta* L.) to foliar application of salicylic acid and potassium humate in different soil growth media. Gesunde Pflanzen, 75 (2): 223-236.
- Singh AK, Singh R, Kumar R, Gupta AK, Kumar H, Rai A, Kanawjia A, Tomar KS, Pandey G, Singh B, Kumar S, Dwivedi SV, Kumar S, Pathania K, Ojha G and Singh A (2022)**. Evaluating Sustainable and environment friendly growing media composition for pot mum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramat.). Sustainability, 15 (1): 536. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15010536>
- Taha RA (2012)**. effect of some growth regulators on growth, flowering, bulb productivity and chemical composition of iris plants. journal of horticultural science and ornamental plants, 4 (2): 215-220. <https://10.5829/idosi.jhsop.2012.4.2.249>

الملخص العربي

تأثير بيئات النمو المختلفة وبعض منظمات النمو علي القطيفة الفرنساوي أ. النمو الخضري والجذري والصبغات

محمود عبد الهادي حسن عبده، محمود عبد الحكيم محمود، فاروق صلاح الدين بدران و رقية محمد رجب

قسم البساتين، كلية الزراعة، جامعة المنيا.

خلال موسمي نمو متعاقبين ٢٠١٦ و ٢٠١٧، بمشتمل نباتات الزينة، قسم البساتين، كلية الزراعة، جامعة المنيا، أُجريت هذه التجربة لتقييم تأثير بيئات النمو وبعض منظمات النمو، علي صفات النمو الخضري والجذري والصبغات لنبات القطيفة الفرنساوي. أظهرت النتائج أن جميع صفات النمو الخضري المدروسة (ارتفاع النبات، عدد الأفرع، قطر الساق، والأوزان الطازجة والجافة للأجزاء الهوائية) وطول الجذر الرئيسي والوزن الطازج والجاف للمجموع الجذري ومحتوي الأوراق من الصبغات قد زادت في النباتات المنزرعة في التربة الطينية/الرملية مقارنة بتلك المنزرعة في تربة رملية أو تربة رملية فقط، خلال موسمي النمو. أدي الرش بجميع منظمات النمو المستخدمة إلي خفض طول الساق والوزن الطازج والجاف للأجزاء الهوائية تحت التركيزات العالية من منظمات النمو. علي العكس من ذلك، فقد زادت جميع الصفات المدروسة زيادة معنوية نتيجة للرش بجميع التركيزات المستخدمة من منظمات النمو، في كلا موسمي الزراعة. كانت المعاملة بمنظم النمو ٤ حمض الكلوروفينوكسي أسيتيك بتركيز ٣٠٠ جزء في المليون هو الأكثر فاعلية في هذا الشأن.

إن زراعة نباتات القطيفة *Tagetes patula* في تربة طينية/رملية ورشها بمنظم النمو ٤ حمض الكلوروفينوكسي أسيتيك بتركيز ٣٠٠ جزء في المليون أنتجت أفضل نبات أصص متكامل.

الكلمات الافتتاحية: *Tagetes patula* - آلا - فلوروجلويسينول لا مائي - ٤ حمض الكلوروفينوكسي أسيتيك.