Virulence of Entomopathogenic Nematodes and their Symbiotic Bacteria against Insect Pests, with Special Reference to *Agrotis ipsilon* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae): A Comprehensive Review.

Karem Ghoneim[1*] and Heba A. Hassan[2]

[1] Department of Zoology and Entomology, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt.

[2] Institute of Plant Protection Research, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt.

[*]**E. Mail**:karemghoneim@gmail.com

ABSTRACT
 The use of entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) is a safe and eco-friendly alternative tosynthetic pesticides for the control ofsoil and above-ground insect pests in the world. The major objective of this review was to summarize the available publications concerning the virulence of different EPNs and their bacterial symbionts on several insect pests leading to death. In this context, different criteria had been discussed, such as the correlation between insect mortality and EPN concentration and time of exposure, the sensitive stage of insects to EPN, variation of the pathogenicity of various EPNs, and significance of the insect mortality. The impact of EPNs on some biological and physiological processes of the insect host hasbeen reviewed. The present review, also, highlighted the key factors and environmental conditions interfering with the EPNs' virulence against insect pests, in addition to the application techniques of EPNs and important precautions. In view of this discussion, many insect pests, in the soil or above ground, may be successfully controlled by using effective EPNs under suitable biotic and abiotic environmental conditions, as well as with appropriate EPN concentration and formulation.

Contents:

INTRODUCTION

In several countries, pest control operations still rely exclusively onsynthetic insecticides (Jeschke *et al*., 2011; Meslin *et al*., 2021) although the intensive use of many currently marketed insecticides usually causes serious environmental problems (Ibarra *et al*., 2006; Tiryaki and Temur, 2010; Chowański*et al*., 2015) and adversely affect the important natural enemies, allowing an exponential increase of pest populations (Calvo-Agudo *et al*., 2019; Demok*et al*., 2019). In addition, the widespread use ofsynthetic chemical insecticides exhibits negative effects on thephysiology and behavior of non-target beneficial insects, like pollinators, and has dangerous effects on human health (Blacquière*et al*., 2012; Vattikonda and Sangam, 2017) and domestic animals (Shahzad *et al*., 2020)

The black cutworm *Agrotis ipsilon* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is widely distributed in the world due to its long-distance migratory ability (Capinera, 2018; Zeng *et al*., 2020). This moth can fly thousands of kilometers under suitable conditions expanding its distribution range (Liu *et al*., 2015, 2016;Liu, 2015; Hayat *et al*., 2021; Lee *et al*., 2023), besides its considerable reproductive capacity (Santos and Shield, 1998, Mishra, 2020). It is a polyphagous insect known to feed on several vegetables and many economically important grains worldwide (Navarro *et al*., 2010;Fernandes *et al*., 2013;Picimbon, 2020; Rodingpuia and Lalthanzara, 2021; Ahmed *et al*., 2022).

Some control practices are usually applied for controlling *A. ipsilon*, such as cultural control (Bajwa and Kogan, 2004; Guedes and Picanço, 2012), mechanical control (Manishkumar*et al*., 2020), physical control (Abd El-Hamid, 2004; Ali, 2011; Mohamed, 2012), host-plant resistance (Richmond and Shetlar, 2001; Hussaini *et al*., 2003a, b) and biological control (Viji and Bhagat, 2001; Salehi et al., 2005). However, the control strategy of *A. ipsilon* depends mainly on the application of synthetic insecticides (Shakur *et al*., 2007; Abd El-Aziz *et al*., 2019; Veres *et al*., 2020; Ismail, 2021), to which this pest quickly develops resistance and cross-resistance (Fahmy, 2014; Mahmoud *et al*., 2016; Ahmed *et al*., 2022). Also, chemical insecticides are often not effective and remain inadequate for the control of *A. ipsilon* because of its larval hiding behavior during the daylight hours causing hidden damage in the fields (Capinera, 2001; Takeda, 2008).

Therefore, alternative approaches have been encouraged recently to avoid or minimize insecticidal hazards and introduce new effective and safer alternatives (Laznik and Trdan, 2012; Glare *et al*., 2016; Derbalah*et al*., 2014). One of the eco-friendly control strategies is biological control by natural enemies (parasitoids, predators and pathogens). It is highly promising because these agents are safe for humans and the environment including the non-targeted organisms (Jagodič *et al*., 2019; Amutha *et al*., 2021; Devi *et al*., 2021).

Among the promising biological control agentsthe entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) which have a potential rolein killing the cutworms in soil (Laznik and Trdan, 2012; Glare *et al*., 2016;Kumar *et al*., 2022) and above-ground insect pests (Lacey *et al*., 2015; Peçen and Kepenekci, 2022). The potential of EPNs as biocontrol agents depends on their adaptability to the environment (Campos-Herrera *et al*., 2012). It is important to mention that the infective juveniles (IJs) of EPNs have suppressed the immune responses of the insect hosts leading to death (Arthurs *et al*., 2004; Lewis and Clarke, 2012; Shapiro-Ilan and Brown, 2013; Kaliaskar*et al*., 2022). They may achieve this role alone and/or with their symbiotic bacteria(Lewis and Clarke, 2012; Shapiro-Ilan and Brown, 2013; Chaston *et al*., 2013; Kumar et al., 2015; Leonar *et al*., 2022; Kaliaskar*et al*., 2022). Also, EPNs can be used individually or in combination with other biocontrol agents, such as entomopathogenic bacteria and fungi, to improve their efficacy incontrolling insect pests (Laznik *et al*., 2012).

For these reasons, researchers and different pest control institutions in the world are interested in the use of EPNs as a bio-control agent against different insect pests due to their wide host range, eco-friendly nature, active host finding strategy, easy mass culturing and compatibility with standard irrigation equipment (Laznik and Trdan, 2011, Belien, 2018;Tomar *et al*., 2022a). At least, EPNs can serve as an effective supplementary control as part of an integrated pest management (IPM) strategy (Odendaal *et al*., 2016; Odendaal *et al*., 2015; Belien, 2018; Gulcu*et al*., 2017). For some reviews, see Sujatha and Jeyasankar, 2018; Jagodič *et al*., 2019; Trdan*et al*., 2020; Askary and Abd-Elgawad, 2021; Kumar *et al*., 2022; Tomar *et al*.,2022 a; Shaurub, 2023). In this context, the major objective of this review was to summarize the available publications concerning the virulence of EPNs and their bacterial symbionts on several insect pests leading to death. The purpose of thepresent review, also, was to highlight the key factors and environmental conditions interfering with the EPNs' virulence against insect pests. In addition, the potential of EPNs as effective biocontrol agents against insect pests was discussed.

I. Global Attention for EPNs as Effective Biocontrol Agents Against the Insect Pests:
I.1. Pathogenic efficacy of the EPNs against insects:

Depending on the currently available literature, many studies investigated the EPN pathogenicity against various lepidopterous pests. With regard to the black cutworm *Agrotisipsilon* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), many studies have been conducted to evaluate the virulence and control potential of different EPN species, or their local isolates, against larvae in different parts of the world (Mathasoliya*et al*., 2004; Fetoh*et al*., 2009; Seal *et al*., 2010; Ebssa and Koppenhöfer, 2011; Lemma and Albrecht, 2012; Khattab and Azazy, 2013; Yuksel and Canhilal, 2018; Sobhy *et al*., 2020; Devi *et al*., 2021; Nouh, 2022). Most of these studies only investigated one larval instar: 3rd instar (Shapiro-Ilan et al., 2005, 2009), 4th instar (Koppenhofer and Fuzy, 2003), 5th instar (Morris *et al*., 1990) or 7th instar (Capinera*et al*., 1988). Moreover, some studies used mixtures of consecutive instars: 2nd and 3rd instars (Kunkel and Grewal, 2003) or 4th and 5th instars (Kaya *et al*., 1993; Kunkel and Grewal, 2003) or 6th and 7th instars (Epsky and Capinera, 1994), even though instars may differ significantly in susceptibility to different EPN species may differ among instars (Koppenhofer and Fuzy, 2003). Also, many studies investigated the infectivity of only one EPN species (Baur *et al*., 1997). Comparisons between studies, even where the same instar or EPN species were used, are more complicated by the use of different techniques, substrates, nematode concentrations, and exposure time intervals (Koppenhofer and Fuzy, 2003). In addition, several of the previously mentioned studies used onlyPetri dishes lined with filter paper as experimental arenas (Kunkel and Grewal, 2003) although the EPN virulence against *A. ipsilon* can remarkably vary with substrate and the overall effect can vary with the nematode species (depending on foraging strategy) (Shapiro *et al*., 1999; Ebssa and Koppenhöfer, 2012; Hassan *et al*., 2016).

On the other hand, few studies investigated the EPN infectivity against *A. ipsilon* in field or semi-field conditions. In 3 L pots with grass, the EPN *Steinernema carpocapsae* (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae) provided 93-100% control of mixed 4th and 5th instars, and *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora* (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae) gave only 11–18% (Kaya *et al*., 1993). In field experiments in corn, the application of *S. carpocapsae* reduced the damage of *A. ipsilon* to corn seedlings (Shapiro *et al*., 1999). A study conducted in turfgrass against *A. ipsilon* reported average control rates of 95% for *S. carpocapsae* and 62% for *H. bacteriophora* at a concentration of $2.5×10^9$ IJsha^{-1} (Georgis and Poinar, 1994). Therefore, a more in-depth evaluation of EPNs for their potential for *A. ipsilon* management appeared to be very timely. Ebssa and Koppenhöfer (2012) evaluated the virulence of seven EPN species formulated in commercial products against 3rd - 6th-instar larvae and pupae of *A. ipsilon*, and concluded that several *in vitro*-produced commercial EPN strains were highly virulent to *A. ipsilon* and warrant further testing under field conditions, along with some *in vivo*-produced strains.   Within the same order Lepidoptera, apart from*A. ipsilon*, the efficacies of *S. monticolum* and *H. bacteriophora* against larvae of the Egyptian cotton leafworm *Spodoptera littoralis* (Noctuidae) had been studied under laboratory conditions. The mortality was 100% at 200 IJs/dish (Sobhy *et al*., 2020).Also, Abd El Azim (2022) assessed the virulence of *Heterorhabditistaysearae* isolate against *S. littoralis*. At 150 IJs/larvae, a 100% mortality rate was recorded, followed by 90% at 120 IJs/larvae and 60% at 60 IJs/larvae, 72 hr post-treatment. Regarding the tobacco cutworm *Spodoptera litura* (Noctuidae), the 4th instar larvae were infected with *S. carpocapsae*, the maximal mortality (100%) of larvae was recorded at 400 IJs/Petri dish and the minimal mortality (55%) was observed at 100 IJs, 96 hr post-infection (Yadav *et al*.,2017). Yan *et al*. (2020) also reported similar insecticidal effects of some EPNs against *S. litura* larvae. Similar observations were recorded, also, by Burana *et al*. (2022) and Javed *et al*. (2022) found 95, 78, 74, 90 and 87% mortality of *S. litura* larvae, respectively. According to a study by Supriya *et al*. (2022), using EPN *H. indica*, 100% mortality was obtained at 40 IJs/100µl in 3rd instar larvae, whereas, in the case of 4th and 5th instar larvae 100% mortality was recorded at 60 IJs/100µl and 80 IJs/100µl, respectively. Recently, Thakur *et al*. (2023) carried out a trial to eco-friendly manage *S.litura* in tomatoes under polyhouse and field conditions, using *H.bacteriophora*, its symbiotic bacteria (*Photorhabdusluminescens*), and *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki*. In all cases, they obtained lower tomato damage percentage and high larval mortality

Considering the fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda*(Noctuidae), Acharya *et al*. (2020) reported *S. carpocapsae* as highly potential EPN against younger larvae (1st–3rd instars) only, while other EPN species, such as *S. arenarium* and *S. longicaudum*, were highly potential against older instars (4th–6th instars). Wattanachaiyingcharoen*et al*. (2021) investigated the efficiencies of two Thai indigenous EPNs isolates against 2nd and 5th larval instars. The greatest mortality rate of 2nd instar larvae was 83% when *H. indica* isolate was applied at 250 IJs ml^{-1} and 68% when *S. siamkayai*isolate was used at 300 IJs ml^{-1}. Fallet *et al*. (2022) reported that Rwandan *S. carpocapsae* strain (RW14-G-R3a-2) caused 100% mortality in 2nd and 3rd instars of *S. frugiperda*, but the rate decreased somewhat to 75% in 6th instar. Sayed *et al*. (2022) recorded the highest mortality rate (100%) in both 3rd and 5th instar larvae after 3–4 days of irradiated *S. carpocapsae* infection but the mortality rate was decreased after infection with un-irradiated *S. carpocapsae*. Recently, Mohamed and Shairra (2023) found that *S. carpocapsae* was more virulent against all larval instars, causing 100% mortality after 48–72 h post-exposure, while *H. indica* caused 100% mortality in the early larval instars only after 96 h, but later larval instars required a longer time extending to 120–188 h. With regard to the cotton bollworm*Helicoverpaarmigera* (Noctuidae), Srivastava *et al*. (2022) found *S. abbasi* isolate CS-39 with high virulence to larvae since it caused 100%

larval mortality at concentration 200 IJs/larva, 36 h post-infection, whereas 100 IJs / larva caused 100% mortality, at 60 h.

In Egypt, Khashaba *et al*. (2020) isolated *H. indica* in soil samples collected from cultivated orchards of olives and mangos and assessed its virulence against the greater wax moth *Galleria mellonella* (Pyralidae). Using 50 IJs/larva from *H. indica*Aborawash andtheisolates ERSAG2 showed 100 and 86% mortality rate after 48 h, respectively. According to Ali et al. (2022), 100% mortality of the last instar larvae of *G. mellonella* was achieved by 40 IJs/L of M.22 and M.313 isolates of *S. affine* from the Syrian coastal region, at 72 h of exposure. In addition, Steyn *et al*. (2019) determined the ability of EPNs *Heterorhabditisbaujardi*, *H. indica* and *H. noenieputensis* to penetrate the leaf-mining galleries, and infection of the Cape grapevine leafminer*Holocacista capensis* (Heliozelidae) larvae, in South Africa. High mortality of leaf-mining larvae was obtained with *H. baujardi* (92%), *H. noenieputensis* (85%) and *H. indica* (83%), respectively. Pervez and Rao (2020) isolated and identified six EPNs from western Uttar Pradesh (India) and assessed their infectivity against larvae of some lepidopterous insects. They found *Steinernema* sp., *Oscheius* sp. and *Heterorhabditis* sp. as promising biocontrol agents against the bean pod borer *Maruca vitrata* (Crambidae) causing 100% mortality within 48 h.The beet armyworm *Spodoptera exigua* (Noctuidae) larvae were sensitive to *S. ceratophorum*, with mortality rates of 100% for the 2nd and 3rd instar after treatment for 48 h. For the 4th and 5th instars, although mortality did not reach 100% after treatment for 48 h when the EPN was applied at lower concentrations of 25 and 50 IJ/larva, mortality reached 100% after treated for 72 h (Yan *et al*., 2021).Kumar *et al*. (2022) evaluated the infectivity of EPN *S. asiaticum* against the diamondback moth *Plutellaxylostella*(Plutellidae) as a foliar spray on cabbage plants in screen house with single, two or three applications. All the treatments resulted in high larval mortality. Split concentration application (15,000 + 15,000 IJs per plant) caused higher larval mortality (48.33%) compared to a single application (36.00%, at 30,000 IJs per plant). For more examples, see Table (1).

In addition to the order Lepidoptera, several studies revealed the infectivity of different EPNs against various insect pests of different orders, as concisely reviewed herein. In Diptera, the EPN *S. ceratophorum* caused high mortality of larvae of the chive gnat *Bradysiaodoriphaga* (Sciaridae)(Ma *et al*., 2013 b). In a study conducted by Mokrini*et al*. (2020), the efficacy of five Moroccan EPN strains was evaluated against 3rd instar larvae of the Mediterranean fruit fly *Ceratitiscapitata* (Tephritidae). Their results revealed the highest larval mortality rates for *S. feltiae*- strain (MOR9)(96%), followed by *H. bacteriophora*-MOR7 and *S. feltiae*-MOR10 strains, with 90 and 83% mortality rates, respectively. Yağcı*et al*. (2021b) recorded a high mortality rate oflast instar larvae of *C. capitata* (94%) by *H. bacteriophora* (11 KG), followed by *H. bacteriophora* (TOK-20)(91%), *S. carpocapsae* (85%) and *S. feltiae* (Tokat-Emir)(71%), at 200 IJ/larvae. Thanwisai*et al*. (2022) isolated some EPNs and their bacterial flora from soil samples in Thailand and evaluated their efficacies for controlling mosquitolarvae. Their bioassays demonstrated that isolates of the EPN-symbiotic bacteria *Photorhabdus* were effective on and caused high larval mortality of both yellow fever mosquito *Aedes aegypti* (Culicidae) and the southern house mosquito *Culex quinquefasciatus*(Culicidae).

In order Hemiptera, Peçen and Kepenekci (2022) used some of the native isolates of *S. carpocapsae*, *S. feltiae* and *H. bacteriophora* against adults of the wheat stink bug *Aelia rostrata* (Pentatomidae) in Turkey. They found the Black sea isolate as the strongest pathogenic isolate that caused the highest mortality of the bug adults. In Morocco, El Aalaoui*et al*. (2022) evaluated the efficacy of two native EPN isolates of *S. feltiae* and *H. bacteriophora* against the prickly pear cochineal *Dactylopiusopuntiae* (Dactylopiidae) nymphs and young adult females. Depending on their results, *S. feltiae* appeared more

effective, causing higher mortality of nymphs and adult females (98.8% and 97.5%, respectively) after 8 days of exposure. In Dermaptera, the EPN *S. carpocapsae*exhibited a high virulence against the nymphs and adults of the earwig *Labidura riparia* (Labiduridae)(Reyad, 2012).

Table 1: Pathogenic effects of some EPNs on various lepidopterous pests, other than *A. ipsilon*.

Insect	Nematode species	Results	Reference
Spodoptera littoralis (Noctuidae)	40 IJs/ml of *Steinernema carpocapsae* and *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora*	100% larval mortality	Reyad, **2001**
The 5th instar larvae of *S. littoralis*	*S. carpocapsae* and *H. bacteriophora*	*H. bacteriophora* was more effective than *S. carpocapsae* on larvae causing 100% mortality at 48 h post-treatment	Taha, **2021**
Last (6th) instar larvae of *S. littoralis*	*S.carpocapsae*and *S.feltiae*	larval mortality 95.24% and 90.47%, respectively	Yağcı et al., **2022**
The 5th instar larvae of *Spodoptera litura* (Noctuidae)	*Heterorhabditis* spp. and *Steinernema* spp.	90% larval mortality after 72 h	Sun et al., **2021**
The *S. litura* larvae	At the concentration 350 IJs/ml of *S. pakistanense*; *S. siamkayai*, *S. ceratophorum*, *S. bifurcatum* and *H. indica*	high larval mortality	Tomar et al., **2022c**
The 3rd and 4th instar larvae of *S. litura*	indigenous *H. bacteriophora*	higher mortality rates after 120 h of exposure	Thakur et al., **2022**
Last instar larvae of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Noctuidae)	concentrations 50-100 IJs of *S. diaprepesi*	mortality rates 93-100% after 144 h	Caccia et al., **2014**
The *S. frugiperda* larvae	*S. riobrave*	poorly effective even at high concentrations	Andaló et al., **2010**
The 3rd instar larvae of *Helicoverpaarmigera* (Noctuidae)	*H.bacteriophora* (HRJ) and *H. indica*	73.3% and 80.0% mortality, respectively, at 96 h exposure time	Vashisth et al., **2019**
The 3rd and 4th instar larvae of *H. armigera*	*H. bacteriophora*	The highest mortality rate after 120 hs of exposure	Thakur et al., **2022**
The *Phthorimaea operculella* (Gelechiidae) larvae	*S. feltiae, S. biobionis* and *S. carpocapsae*	95.5, 93.4 and 93.1% mortality, respectively	Ivanova et al., **1994**
The*Agrotis segetum* (Noctuidae) larvae	*H. bacteriophora* and *S. carpocapsae*	the highest larval mortality at concentration 100 IJs/insect	Goudarzi et al., **2015**
The 3rd and 4th instar larvae of *A. segetum*	*H. bacteriophora*	the highest mortality rate after 120 h of exposure	Thakur et al., **2022**
The *Tuta absoluta* (Gelechiidae) 4th instar larvae	*S. yirgalemense* and *S. jeffreyense*	mortality rates of 93% and 58.8%, respectively	Dlamini et al., **2020**
The *Pieris brassicae* (Pieridae) larvae	*H. bacteriophora* (2000 IJs/ml)	the maximal larval mortality	Tomar et al., **2022b**
The *Lobesiabotrana* (Tortricidae) larval instars (L1, L3, and L5)	*S. carpocapsae*	mortalities of ~50% for L1 and >75% for 3rd and 5th instars in only two days	Vicente-Díez et al., **2021**

Regarding the order Orthoptera, EPN *S. glaseri* killed almost 65% of 5th instar nymphs of the desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria* (Acrididae) within 72 hs post-infection in Egypt (Shairra, 2009). In Egypt, also, Abd-El Wahed and Elhadidy (2018) alsotested*S. carpocapsae* against the 5th instar nymphs of the migratory locust *Locusta migratoria*

(Acrididae). According to their results, 100% mortality of nymphs was observed after 3rd day of infection. In Turkey, Şahin *et al*. (2018) used *H. bacteriophora* HBH hybrid strain against *L. migratoria*. They recorded the highest mortality of the locust on day 3 of the experiment with 50000 IJs per trap. Virulence of *H. amazonensis* RSC05, *H. amazonensis* MC01, *S. carpocapsae* All (Weiser) and *H. amazonensis*GL was assessed by Andaló*et al*. (2018) against the field crickets *Gryllus* spp.(Gryllidae) in Brazil. Depending on their results, all EPN isolates were found to cause mortality in these crickets, and an increasein the EPN concentration resulted in an increased insect mortality rate. In South Africa, Du Preez *et al*. (2022) evaluated the virulence of 12 EPN species against nymphs of the katydid *Plangiagraminea*(Tettigoniidae). EPNs *H. zealandica*, *H. indica*, *S. jeffreyense* and *S. yirgalemense* caused > 90% mortality of the katydid. For some examples of the pathogenic effects of EPNs on different insect species belonging to the order Coleoptera, see Table (2).

Table 2: Pathogenic effects of some EPNs on various insects belonging to order Coleoptera.

Insect	Nematode species	Results	Reference
Dendroctonusvalens (Curculionidae) larvae	*S. ceratophorum*	high larval mortality	Jian et al., **2002**
Leptinotarsa decemlineata (Chrysomelidae) larvae	*S. feltiae*, *S. carpocapsae* and *H. bacteriophora*	96% 75%, 36% larval mortality, respectively	Kepenekci et al., **2015**
Rhynchophorus ferrugineus (Curculionidae) larvae	*S. carpocapsae*, *H. bacteriophora*, and *S. feltiae*	Maximal larval mortality 96.5%, 85.75% and 38.68%, respectively	Manzoor et al., **2017**
Temnorhynchus baal (Glaphyridae) larvae	*S. glaseri* and *H. bacteriophora*(4000 IJs/larva)	96 and 88% larval mortality, respectively	Adly et al., **2022**
Larvae of *Triboliumconfusum* (Tenebrionidae) andlesser grain borer *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Bostrichidae)	*S. pakistanense* (150 IJs/beetle)	Maximal mortality (100%), at 30°C.	Javed et al., **2020**
Last instar larvae of *Zophobasmorio*(Tenebrionidae)	*H. indica* isolates	various larval mortalities	Navarez et al., **2021**
Dendroctonusvalens (Curculionidae) larvae	*S. ceratophorum*	high larval mortality	Jian et al., **2002**
Pentodonbispinosus (Scarabaeidae) larvae	*S. glaseri*, *H. bacteriophora* and *S. carpocapsae*	100, 92 and 92 % larval mortalities, respectively	El-Ashry and Ramadan, **2021**
Some tenebrionid beetles	local compared to commercial *S. feltiae* and *S. carpocapsae*	a high mortality rate (67%) among larvae at dose 100 IJ/cm^2 of local EPN AF29	Kaliaskar et al., **2022**

I.2. Correlation of the Insect Mortality with the EPN Concentration:

In this context, it is important to point out that insect mortality usually depends on the EPN concentration. Several studies on *A. ipsilon* revealed a predominant trend of positive correlation between the mortality rate and the concentration of different EPN species (Shoeb *et al*., 2006; Fetoh *et al*., 2009; Bélair*et al*., 2013; Mahmoud *et al*., 2016; Yuksel and Canhilal, 2018;Ghoneim *et al*., 2023a). The mortality rates of termites, also, tended to increase with an increase in the rate of EPN inoculation (El-Bassiouny and El-Rahman, 2011). The available literature contains several studies on different insects reporting the same trend. For instance, the mortality percentages of some lepidopterous larvae increased with the increasing infective juveniles (**IJs**) dose of *H. indicus* and *H. bacteriophora* (Shairra, 2000). The pathogenicity of *Heterorhabditis* spp. against larvae of the rice moth *Corcyra cephalonica* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) was dose-dependent (El-Bishry *et al*., 2002).

Also, the pathogenicity of *H. bacteriophora* and *S. glaseri* against *S. gregaria* nymphs was found depending upon the IJs concentration (Shairra, 2007, 2009). Depending on the results of Shairra*et al*. (2016), the mortalitypercentage of the pink bollworm *Pectinophoragossypiella*(Lepidoptera:Gelechiidae) larvaeincreased with the increasing concentration of*S. riobrave* and *H. bacteriophora*. In their study on *S. littoralis*, Ahmed,*et al*. (2014) found an increasing mortality percentage of larvae with the increase in concentrations of *S. feltiae*, *S. riobrave* and *H. bacteriophora*. Some years later, Shaurub*et al*. (2021) assessed the effects of some EPN strains on*C. capitata* and found that the mortality of 3rd instar larvae proportionally increased with the nematode density (infective juveniles per cm^2). In a study to assess the virulence of *H. bacteriophora* and *S. feltiae*, as biological control agents against the full-grown larvae of peach fruit fly *Bactrocerazonata* (Diptera: Tephritidae). Also, Abd El-Motaal*et al*. (2021) found that the mortality rate increased as the concentration of IJs increased. In Turkey, a recent study by Barış *et al*. (2023) indicated that the last instar larvae of *Ph. operculella*were highly susceptible to native EPN *H. bacteriophora* (isolate Z-1), as well as larvae and pupae mortalities increased with the increasing concentration of EPN.

Although different factors interfering with insect mortality will be discussed in some detail in a later section of the present review, the insect mortality rate in insects may be due to the effect of EPN-associated symbiotic bacteria. Thus, it can be suggested that the higher concentrations of EPNs may elaborate much more symbiotic bacteria which in turn multiply rapidly producing ahuge number of bacteria with their toxins and finally killing the insect larvae more rapidly (Salem *et al*., 2007; Shaurub*et al*., 2021;Ghoneim *et al*.,2023a).

I.3. Increasing Insect Mortality Rate with Prolonged Time of Exposure to EPNs:

As reported in the current literature, mortality rate of *A. ipsilon* larvae increased with the increasing time interval of exposure to different EPN species, such as *S. carpocapsae* and *H. bacteriophora* (Mahmoud *et al*., 2016), *S. glaseri* and *H. bacteriophora* (Hassan *et al*., 2016) as well as to the local isolates of *S. carpocapsae* and *H. bacteriophora* (Yuksel and Canhilal, 2018). Recently, Ghoneim *et al*. (2023a) infected the 4th and 5th instar larvae of *A. ipsilon* with five concentrations of *S. carpocapsae* or *H. bacteriophora*. The mortality rate of infected larvae did not increase with the increasing time interval of exposure to *S. carpocapsae*. Whereas, the infection of 4th or 5th instar larvae with *H. bacteriophora* resulted in an increasing mortality rate with the increasing time interval of exposure.

To a great extent, the results of *A. ipsilon* were in corroboration with several reported results of increasing mortality rates in other insects with increasing time interval of exposure to various EPN species, such as *Heterorhabditis* spp. against *S. litura* and*P. xylostella* (Salem *et al*., 2007); *H. indica* against larvae of the fungus gnat *Bradysiamabiusi* (Diptera: Sciaridae) (Leite *et al*., 2007); *H. indica* against the rice leaf folder *Cnaphalocrosis medinalis* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) (Sankar, 2009); *S. carpocapsae* against 3rd and 5th instar nymphs and adults of *S. gregaria* (Youssef, 2014); *S. riobrave* and *H. bacteriophora* against *P. gossypiella* (Shairra*et al*., 2016); *H. bacteriophora* and *S. glaseri* against *S. litura* (Safdar *et al*., 2018); *H. bacteriophora*, individually or in combination with *Beauveria bassiana*, against *Rh. ferrugineus* (Mehdi *et al*., 2018); EPN *Oscheiusrugaoensis* against *G. mellonella* (George *et al*., 2019); Egyptian local isolates of *H. indica* against *G. mellonella* (Khashaba *et al*., 2020), *S. glaseri* against the 6th instar of *S. frugiperda* (Meka *et al*., 2020) and *S. feltiae* HR1 and *H. bacteriophora* HR2 against *P. brassicae* (Kasi *et al*., 2021).

Similar results had been reported for *S. littoralis* larvae after infection with *S. feltiae*, *S.carpocapsae*and *H. bacteriophora* (Yağcı*et al*., 2022), *H. armigera*larvae infected with *S. abbasi* isolate CS-39 (Srivastava *et al*., 2022), the coconut rhinoceros beetle *Oryctes rhinoceros* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) larvae infected with *S.carpocapsae* (CPCRI-Sc1) and *S. abbasi* (CPCRI-Sa1)(Sujithra *et al*., 2022), M.22 and M.313 isolates of *S. affine* from the

Syrian coastal region against the last instar larvae of *G. mellonella* (Ali *et al*., 2022), some isolatesof *S. feltiae*, *S.carpocapsae*and *H. bacteriophora* against *S. littoralis* larvae (Yağcı*et al*., 2022) and *S. abbasi* isolate CS-39 against *H. armigera* larvae (Srivastava *et al*., 2022).

Increasing mortality rate with increasing exposure time to EPNs is suggestive of higher penetration ofIJs (Ebssa and Koppenhöfer, 2012). Also, it can be attributed to the smaller size of IJs, which facilitates penetration of IJs through natural openings of larvae, i.e. spiracles, mouth, and anus or membranous areas of the cuticle (Adams and Nguyen, 2002). For example, IJs of *H. bacteriophora* can use their dorsal teeth to penetrate through the host cuticle (Bedding and Molyneux, 1982). A similar suggestion has been provided by some authors (Lalramliana and Yadav, 2009; Gorgadze *et al*., 2018). In addition, other authors (Shairra and Nouh, 2014; Sobhy *et al*.,2020) reported that the higher concentrations of EPNs exhibit acute toxicity, while the latent or chronic toxic effect has been observed in the case of lower ones. Also, the time passed for the larval mortality was prolonged with the increase in the infection dose of IJs, but when the size of the larva increased, the time passed for the larval mortality also was prolonged (Mantoo and Zaki, 2014). On the other hand, the mortality rate of EPN-infected larvae of some insects did not increase with the increasing time interval of exposure. This may indicate a strong acute pathogenicity of this EPN species or its stronger initial killing power against larvae than other EPN species (Ghoneim *et al*, 2023a).

I.4. Variation of the Median Lethal Concentrations (LC$_{50}$) of Different EPNs against Different Insects:

In insects, the EPN concentration-insect mortality relationship was typically expressed as LC$_{50}$ (concentration required to kill 50% of the insect population). It is important to shed some light on the variation of LC$_{50}$values in insects after infection with different EPN species through the following examples. LC$_{50}$values of four local Turkish EPNs isolates against the 4th instar *A. ipsilon* larvae ranged from 52 to 142 IJs, in the Petri dish experiment, and from 17 IJs to 24 IJs in the plastic container experiment (Yuksel and Canhilal, 2018). LC$_{50}$ values of two strains of *Heterorhabditis* spp., TAN5 and PGN6, against *A. ipsilon* larvae, were 1285.527 and 1560.747 IJs/cup, respectively (Nouh, 2021). Ghoneim *et al*. (2023a) determined LC$_{50}$ values of *A. ipsilon* larvae as 16 IJs/ml and 48 IJs/ml, for *S. carpocapsae* and *H. bacteriophora*, respectively, after infection of 4th instar larvae. On the other hand, LC$_{50}$ values were21 IJs/ml and 62 IJs/ml, after infection of 5th instar larvae, for the same EPN species, respectively.

Apart from *A. ipsilon*, LC$_{50}$ values for *H. bacteriophora*, *S. riobrave* and *S. feltiae* against *S. littoralis* larvae were 5.01, 8.57 and 16 IJs/larva, respectively (Ahmed *et al*., 2014). Also, Gomaa *et al*. (2020) investigated the pathogenicity of *H. bacteriophora* and *S. carpocapsae* against 3rd instar larvae of *S. littoralis*. Their data showed LC$_{50}$ values as 53.3 and 81.41 IJs/ml, respectively, at 72 h post-treatment. As recorded by Nouh (2022), LC$_{50}$ values of *H. bacteriophora* and *S. carpocapsae* against the 3rd instar larvae of *S. littoralis* were 38.489 and 40.791 IJs/larva, respectively, as well as against 5th instar larvae were 42.149 and 51.932 IJs/larva, respectively.

In addition, LC$_{50}$ of local *H. indica* (PIGCD1), isolated from peanuts in the Philippines, against *S. litura* under laboratory conditions was 7.13±1 IJs/larva (Dichusa*et al*., 2021). Results of Supriya *et al*. (2022) revealed that LC$_{50}$ of *H. indica* (CICR-Guava) against 3rd, 4th and 5th instar larvae of *S. litura* were 1.47, 2.04 and 2.21 IJs/100µl, respectively. These results were in line with the findings of Radhakrishnan and Shanmugam (2017) for the same insect. LC$_{50}$= 83.21 IJs for the *S. abbasi* isolate CS-39 against *H. armigera* larvae after 24 h of exposure (Srivastava *et al*., 2022). As recorded by Thakur *et al*. (2022) for indigenous EPNs *H. bacteriophora*, LC$_{50}$ values for *H. armigera* 3rd and 4th instar larvae were 60.14 and 57.90 IJs/larvae, respectively.

In Indonesia, Hade *et al.* (2020) evaluated the virulence of some isolates of *Steinernema* sp. against *S. frugiperda*. LC_{50} was found 163.5 lJs/ml for Kepahiang isolates and 186.5 lJs/ml for the Bengkulu isolates. Against *S. frugiperda*, also, LC_{50} values of *H. indica*, at 72 h post-incubation, were 20.26 and 62.07 IJs/larva for the 3rd and 5th larval instars, respectively, and 913.34 IJs/pupa for the pupae (Lalramnghaki*et al.*, 2021). Moreover,LC_{50} values of M.22 and M.313 isolates of *S. affine* against the last instar larvae of *G. mellonella* were 11.7 IJs/L, at 33.47 min., and 11.5 IJs/L at 32.43 min. (Ali *et al.*, 2022). After infection of *H. indica* into larvae (of 3rd, 4th and 5th instars) and pupae of citrus fruit piercing moth *Eudocima materna* (Lepidoptera: Erebidae), LC_{50} for 3rd instar was 14.43 IJs larva^{-1}, for 4th instar was 17.08 IJs larva^{-1}, for 5th instar was 23.63 IJs larva^{-1}, and LC_{50} of pupae was 85.91 IJs pupa^{-1}, after 48 hr post-exposure, respectively (Kumar *et al.*, 2022).

In Egypt, Abdelmonem*et al.* (2018) studied the virulence of *S. carpocapsae* and *H. bacteriophora* against the 4th instar larvae of *Ph. operculella*. They found LC_{50} values for *S. carpocapsae* and *H. bacteriophora* as 105.96 and 121.78 IJs/larva, respectively.Also, LC_{50} values of two strains of *Heterorhabditis* spp., TAN5 and PGN6, against 4th instar larvae of the same insect were 127.15 and 148.5994 IJs/larva, respectively, while $LC_{50}$of *S. glaseri* was 247.27 IJs/larva (Nouh, 2022). According to the recent study of Mohamed and Shairra (2023), the application of *S. carpocapsae* against all larval instars of the same insect resulted in LC_{50} values as 170.415 IJs/larva for 3rd instar larvae, 318.252 IJs/larva for 4th instar, and 567.108 IJs/larva for 5th instar. In the same study, LC_{50} values after infection with *H. indica*, were 506.78, 713.776 and 2442.3 IJs/larva for the 2nd, 3rd and 4th instars, respectively, as well as 184.282 IJs/larva for 5th instar larvae and 164 IJs/larva for 6th instar larvae.

Apart from order Lepidoptera, LD_{50} of *H. bacteriophora* against the plum sawfly *Hoplocampa flava* (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae) was 6.51 IJs while $LD_{50}$of isolate *S. carpocapsae* Tur-S4 was 16.617 IJs (Ulu *et al.*, 2015). LC_{50} against larvae of the Elm leaf beetle *Xanthogaleruca luteola* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) was 167.59 for*S. feltiae*, while LC_{50} values were 218.23 IJ·mL^{-1} for *S. carpocapsae*, and 338.66 IJ·mL^{-1} of *H. bacteriophora* (Fard *et al.*, 2020). LD_{50} values for *S. glaseri* against *S. gregaria* 5th instar nymphs were recorded as 1505.13, 393.16 and 812.63 IJs/ml over the exposure periods of 48, 72 and 96 h, respectively (Shairra, 2009). Later on, Archana *et al.* (2017) evaluated the efficacy of *S. feltiae*, *S. glaseri*, *S. abbasi* and *H. indica* against larvae of the house fly *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae) in Petri dishes using 7 concentrations (50–3000 IJs/larva). According to their results, LC_{50} values for 2nd instar larvae 3 days post-treatment were 203 IJs/larva of *S. feltiae*, 63 IJs/larva of *S. glaseri*, 309 of *S. abbasi* and 29 IJs/larva of *H. indica*.

Similarly, recent studies have shown that LC_{50} value varies with both the insect and EPN species. LC_{50} value of the South American fruit fly *Anastrepha fraterculus* (Diptera: Tephritidae) larvae was found 427.2 IJs/ml of the isolated *S. carpocapsae* IBCB 02 (Chaneiko*et al.*, 2021). In India, Bhairavi *et al.* (2021) isolated some local isolates of two EPN species and assessed their virulence against the subterranean termite *Odontotermes obesus* (Hymenoptera: Termitidae). Based on their data, LD_{50} of *H. bacteriophora* was 13.054 IJs/termite, and LD_{50} of *S. aciari* was 42.040 IJs/termite. Then, Adly *et al.* (2022) treated the larvae of *T. baal* with *S. glaseri* and *H. bacteriophora* and estimated LC_{50} values as 937.44 and 1026.58 IJs/larvae, respectively. According to Sujithra *et al.* (2022), LC_{50}values of *S. carpocapsae* Sc1, *S. abbasi* Sa1 and *H. indica* Hi1 strains were 1078, 1663 and 9780 IJs larva^{-1}, respectively, at 72 h of incubation of *O. rhinoceros* larvae. Against 2nd and 3rd instar larvae of *P. bispinosus*, Nouh, (2022) calculated the LC_{50} values of *S. glaseri* in 1073.640 and 1330.312IJs/larva, respectively. Finally, LC_{50} values usually depend on the susceptibility of the infected insect and its stage or size, virulence of the tested EPN and its concentration, time of exposure and different experimental factors affecting the penetration of EPN species. Recently, Sallam et al. (2024) evaluated the efficacy of *Steinernema*

carpocapsae (AII), *S. carpocapsae* (EGAZ10), *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora* (HP88) and *H. indica* (EGAZ2) against the full-grownlarvae of *Bactrocerazonata* (Diptera: Tephritidae) under laboratory, semi-field and field conditions. The LC_{50} values were 794.3, 1063.2, 1249.8 and 1446.8 IJs/ml, for *S. carpocapsae* (AII), *S. carpocapsae* (EGAZ10), *H. bacteriophora* (HP88) and *H. indica* (EGAZ2), respectively, at 3 days post treatments.

I.5. Variable Pathogenicity of Different EPNs against the Same Insect:

Based on many reported results in the current literature, the EPN *S. carpocapsae* was more virulent than other EPNs against certain insects. For instance, *S. carpocapsae* was found more pathogenic than *H. bacteriophora* against *A. ipsilon* with a high speed of kill after 4 days under golf course conditions (Ebssa and Koppenhofer, 2011). Using the Petri dish technique, *S. carpocapsae* was more virulent than *H. bacteriophora* against the *A. ipsilon* larvae (Bélair*et al*., 2013; Mahmoud *et al*., 2016).In a recentstudy,Ghoneim *et al*. (2023a) recorded that *S. carpocapsae* was more pathogenic than *H. bacteriophora* against both the 4th and 5thinstar larvae of *A. ipsilon*.

Apart from *A. ipsilon*, Shapiro-Ilan and Cottrell (2006) found both *S. feltiae* and *S. carpocapsae* more effective than *H. bacteriophora* against the lesser peach tree borer *Synanthedonpictipes* (Lepidoptera: Sesiidae). Also, *S. feltiae* was higher pathogenic than *H. bacteriophora* against the *B. oleae* larvae (Sirjani*et al*., 2009). The EPN *S. carpocapsae*exhibited higher virulence against the nymphs of *L. riparia*. Also, *S. scarptasci* caused a higher mortality rate in the adults of this insect (Reyad, 2012). The EPN *S. glaseri* was more pathogenic than *H. bacteriophora* against the 3rd larval instar of *T. baal* (Atwa and Hassan, 2014). In another study, *S. carpocapsae* (Black Sea isolate) exhibited the highest mortality rate of the alfalfa pest *Holotrichapionpullum* (Coleoptera: Apionidae), followed by *S. feltiae* (Aydin isolate) and then *H. bacteriophora* (Aydin isolate)(Atay and Kepenekci, 2015). Later on, full-grown larvae of *P. gossypiella* were more susceptible to *S. riobrave* than to *H. bacteriophora* (Shairra et al., 2016). The EPN *S. glaseri* was more pathogenic than *H. indica* against 3rd instar larvae of the brinjal ash weevil *Myllocerus subfasciatus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) (Nithiskarani *et al*., 2019).

In the present decade, considerable progress has been achieved in this area of research. For instance, Rohde *et al*. (2020) recorded a killing power of both EPNs *S. carpocapsae* ALL and *H. amazonensis* JPM4 against *C. capitata* larvae and pupae, but *S. carpocapsae* ALL was the most potent EPN. According to the results of Mazurkiewicz *et al*. (2020), the *Steinernema* strains were more pathogenic than *H. megidis* against the cabbage moth *Mamestra brassicae* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae. In another study, Gözel *et al*. (2020) evaluated the pathogenicity of three EPN species from Turkey against adults of the sunn pest *Eurygaster integriceps* (Hemiptera: Scutelleridae). They observed that the efficiency of isolates belonging to the genus *Steinernema* was higher than the isolates belonging to the genus *Heterorhabditis*. Later on, Pratissoli*et al*. (2021) evaluated the pathogenicity of *H. indica* and *S. carpocapsae* on pre-pupae of the fruit borer moth *Neoleucinodes elegantalis* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). They found that *S. carpocapsae* was morepathogenic than *H. indica*. Also, Yağci *et al*. (2021a) recorded *S. carpocapsae* as the most pathogenic against the codling moth *Cydia pomonella* (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) with the highest concentration (100 IJs/larva), killing 82.63% of larvae, followed by other tested EPNs. In the same year, Kary *et al*. (2021) investigated the effectiveness of *H. bacteriophora* and *S. feltiae* against larvae, pre-pupa, and pupa of *Ph. operculella*. Their results showed that *S. feltiae* was more effective than *H. bacteriophora* against larvae. Also, Vicente-Díez*et al*. (2021) determined the infectivity of four EPN species against *L. botrana* larval instars and pupae. All EPN species showed the capability of killing larval and pupal stages, but *S. carpocapsae* was found as the most pathogenic EPN.

In addition, *S. glaseri* (Sgib strain), among seven EPN strains, had good potential in the management of the walnut pest *Atrijuglans hetaohei* (Lepidoptera: Gelechioidea) (NanGong *et al*., 2022). In a study conducted by Peçen and Kepenekci (2022) on adults of wheat stink bug *Aelia rostrata* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae), *S. carpocapsae* (Black sea isolate) was the most pathogenic among three EPNs tested including *S. feltiae* and *H. bacteriophora* isolates. Also, larvae of *T. baal* were more susceptible to the infection with *S. glaseri* than *H. bacteriophora*, at the highest concentration (4000 IJs/larva) (Adly *et al*., 2022). In the study of Nouh (2022), *S. glaseri* showed the highest efficacy against the 2nd and 3rd instar larvae of *P. bispinosus* compared to *H. bacteriophora* and *S. carpocapsae*. In another study, Peçen and Kepenekci (2022) found that *S.carpocapsae* (Black sea isolate) was the most pathogenic among the three EPNs tested including *S. feltiae* and *H. bacteriophora* isolates against the wheat stink bug *Aelia rostrata* adults. Also, El Aalaoui*et al*. (2022) evaluated the virulence of two Moroccan EPN isolates; *S. feltiae* and *H. bacteriophora* against the prickly pear cochineal *Dactylopiusopuntiae* nymphs and young adult females. *S. feltiae* was more virulent, causing higher mortality of nymphs and adult females (98.8% and 97.5%, respectively) after 8 days of exposure.Peçen and Kepenekci (2022) used native EPNs [*S. carpocapsae* (Black sea isolate), *S. feltiae* (isolate 09-31) (Aydin isolate) and *H.bacteriophora* (isolate 09-43) (Aydin isolate)] in Turkey against adults of the wheat stink bug *Aelia rostrata*. Depending on their results, *S. carpocapsae* (Black sea isolate) was the most pathogenic among the three nematodes tested.Recently, Mohamed and Shairra (2023) found that *S. carpocapsae* was more virulent against all larval instars of *S .frugiperda*, causing 100% mortality at different concentrations, 48–72 h post-exposure. According to Fathy and Abd El-Rahman (2023), *Steinernema* species was more pathogenic than *H. bacteriophora* to both 5th instar nymphs and adults of the African migratory locust *Locusta migratoria migratorioides*.

On the other hand, several studies revealed that some *Heterorhabditis* spp. were more virulent than *Steinernema* spp. against various insects. For example, Hussaini *et al*. (2005) found that the isolates of *H. indica* were more virulent than the isolate of *S. carpocapsae* against the *A. ipsilon* larvae. Some years later, *H. bacteriophora* was more pathogenic than *S. riobrave* and *S. feltiae* to *S. littoralis* larvae (Ahmed *et al*., 2014). Some strains of *H. bacteriophora* were found more virulent than *S. carpocapsae* strains against late 3rd instar larvae of *C. capitata* (Shaurub *et al*., 2015). In the same year, Ulu *et al*. (2015) recorded *H. bacteriophora* as more virulent than *S. carpocapsae* against larvae of the yellow sawfly *Hoplocampa flava*. Al-Ghnam and Heikal (2017) studied the infectivity of the EPNs, *S. carpocapsae* and *H. bcteriophora* against *Ph. operculella* larvae and pupae. Their results revealed that *H. bacteriophora* was more pathogenic than *S. carpocapsae*, at all concentrations.

In the current decade, some surveys in the Egyptian soils revealed that species of the familyHeterorhabditidae were more prevalent than species of the familySteinernematidae for biological control of some insect pests (Aashaq*et al*., 2020; Nouh,2021). Depending on the results of Gomaa *et al*. (2020), *H. bacteriophora* was more virulent than*S. carpocapsae* against the 3rd instar larvae of *S. littoralis*. In a study to assess the virulence of *H. bacteriophora* and *S. feltiae* as biological control agents against the full-grown larvae of peach fruit fly *Bactrocerazonata*, Abd El-Motaal *et al*. (2021) found that *H. bacteriophora* was more pathogenic than *S. feltiae*. In their study, Bhairavi *et al*. (2021) concluded that *H. bacteriophora* exhibited more virulence than *S. aciari* toward *A. ipsilon* and *O. obesus*. Taha (2021) studied the susceptibility of 5th instar larvae of *S. littoralis* to *S. carpocapsae*, and *H. bacteriophora*. Their data revealed that *H. bacteriophora* was the higher effective than *S. carpocapsae* on larvae causing 100% mortality after 48 hr post-treatment. Among different EPNs, Yağcı*et al*. (2021a) indicated that *H. bacteriophora* (TOK-20) isolates in Turkey were

the most effective at 1000 IJs/ml concentration against last instar larvae of *C. pomonella*. Wattanachaiyingcharoen *et al*. (2021) investigated the efficiencies of two Thai indigenous EPNs isolates (*H. indica* isolate AUT 13.2 and *S. siamkayai* isolate APL 12.3) against *S. frugiperda* and recorded the *H. indica* isolate as more infective than *S. siamkayai* isolate. Kary *et al*. (2021) studied the effectiveness of *H. bacteriophora* and *S. feltiae* against larvae, pre-pupa, and pupa of *Ph. operculella*. Their results showed that *H. bacteriophora* was more effective than *S. feltiae* on pupae. Based on LC$_{50}$, *H. indica* was the most pathogenic species, followed by *S. sangi*, *H. baujardi* and *S. surkhetense* against larvae and pupae of *S. frugiperda* (Lalramnghaki *et al*., 2021). Also, Gümüssoy*et al*. (2022) found *H. bacteriophora* more pathogenic than *S. carpocapsae* on larvae of *C. pomonella*.

Pathogenicity of EPNs against insect hosts depends on different factors, hence some EPNs have been found to be more pathogenic than others due to the EPN traits (such as EPN species/stain, application methods, behavior, and type of the associated symbiotic bacteria), the host characters (such as species, development and immune system), the abiotic environmental factors (such as temperature, humidity, UV radiation, soil characteristics, and chemicals) and the biotic environmental factors (such as natural enemies)(Mráček*et al*., 2005; Labaude and Griffin, 2018). For example, *Steinernema* spp. may be more pathogenic than other EPNs because the symbiotic bacterium, *Xenorhabdusnematophila*, exists in a large number of nematode IJs. The toxins it produced after being released into the host insect's haemocoel traveled to the connective tissues around the mid-gut, muscle fibers, and tracheae, where they caused harm and served as a source of nutrients for bacterial and nematode development (Fathy and Abd El-Rahman, 2023).

In addition, mortality rates of the insect hosts may be affected by the foraging strategies of EPNs. Therefore, *Steinernema* spp. is considered to be more effective than other species in controlling moving insects due to its foraging behavior, and the Petri dish may have created an environment that is more favorable to *Steinernema* spp. (Shapiro et al., 1999; Ebssa and Koppenhöfer, 2012; Hassan *et al*., 2016). Also, smaller EPNs were more infective and induced mortality more quickly (Shannag*et al*., 1994). These results can be, also, explained by variations in strategies to penetrate the interior of the insects through the mouth, anus, blow holes and track cuticle and by the entrance of IJs in the insect body (Lewis *et al*., 2006; Chaneiko*et al*., 2021). On the other hand, Jones *et al*. (2022) reported that *Steinernema* spp. can rapidly infect and kill a wide range of insect hosts owing to the host immunosuppression during the initial stages of infection. The lethal nature of *S. carpocapsae* infections has previously been credited to its symbiotic bacteria; however, it has become evident that the nematodes are able to effectively kill their hosts independently through their excretion/secretion products. For more detail, see Hinchlife *et al*. (2010), da Silva *et al*. (2020), and Santhoshkumar *et al*. (2021).

It may be informative to say that the major difference between the two families is in the reproductive strategies. Heterorhabditid adults, as opposed to steinernematid adults, have IJs that are hermaphrodites; therefore, only one juvenile is needed to enter the host for progeny production (Tanada and Kaya, 1993). The IJs of *Steinernema* develop into amphimictic adults. Their offspring either develop into dauer (infective) juveniles or to an F1 adult generation (Ehlers, 1996). For more detail, see the section "Key factors and environmental conditions interfering with the EPNs' virulence against insect pests" in the present review.

1.6. Susceptibility of the Insect Developmental Stages, Other Than Larvae, to EPNs:

Not only the insect larvae susceptible to EPNs, but also some studies reported the susceptibility of egg stage, pupal stage and adult stage to these EPNs. However, the available results of this point of interest could be reviewed in the following paragraphs.

Susceptibility of Egg Stage:

Limited knowledge exists in the current literature on egg susceptibility to EPNs.Although EPNs, especially *S. carpocapsae*, did not exhibit any pathogenicity against eggs of *B. agrestis* (Kim *et al*., 2004), Amutha *et al*. (2021) isolated different strains of EPNs from the warm region in India and tested for pathogenicity against *S. litura*. They found AC strain had considerable egg pathogenicity, at a dose of 75 IJs/20 eggs.

Susceptibility of Pupal Stage:

There is a great body of research concerning the assessment of susceptibility of thepupal stage of different insects to various EPNs.Although the pupae, as the dormant developmental stage of lepidopterous insects, are not normally targeted for pestcontrol by EPNs, the pupal stage was reported to be less conductive for EPNs (Malan *et al*., 2011; Odendaal *et al*., 2016; du Preez *et al*., 2021; Steyn *et al*., 2021). Depending on the results of Coleman (2020), four native EPN species were assessed against *T. absoluta* in South Africa. All EPNs were found to be highly effective against larvae (100% larval morality) but pupae were less susceptible. In Egypt, the3[rd] instar larvaeof *A. ipsilon* and *S. littoralis* were more sensitive than the pupae to*Heterorhabditis* sp. strain (TAN5)(Nouh, 2021). Also, some authors (Azazy, 2001; El-Kholy, 2004) reported that the pupae of *A. ipsilon*were less susceptible to EPN infections than larvae because the number of portal entries is small. Pupae of *L. vanillana* were less susceptible than larvae to the infection with *S. yirgalemense* and *S. jeffreyense* (Du Preez *et al*., 2021). Recently, Barış *et al*. (2023) indicated that the last instar larvae of *Ph. operculella*in Turkey were highly susceptible to native *H. bacteriophora* (isolate Z-1). The highest EPN concentration (1000 IJs/ml) caused 92.5% mortality of last instar larvae and 25.6% mortality of pupae, at 25 °C., i.e., pupae were less susceptible than larvae. Moreover, Jacob and Mathew (2016) evaluated the pathogenicity of 3 EPN species against the serpentine leaf miner*Liriomyzatrifolii*(Lepidoptera: Agromyzidae) pupae in infested leaves, in Petri dishes, using 5 concentrations, 10–30 IJs/mine, and found no mortality of pupae.

With regard to the fruit flies (Diptera), many EPNs were found to be unable to cause infection during the pupal stage of different species (Karagoz *et al*., 2009; Yee and Lacey, 2003) because pupae of fruit flies in the soil were found to be totally resistant to the EPN infection (James *et al*., 2018). As to the fruit flies, also, many studies have found that larvaewere more susceptible than pupae to EPNs infection (Kepenekci*etal*., 2015; Minas *et al*., 2016; Heve *et al*., 2017; Godjo*et al*., 2018; Aatif *et al*., 2019; Torrini*et al*., 2020; Rohde *et al*., 2020). Higher susceptibility of larvae than that of pupae to EPNs may be due to their locomotor activity and higher release of CO_2 which attracts EPNs (Shapiro-Ilan *et al*., 2017). Moreover, larvae have less sclerotized integument and wide somatic openings, like spiracles, that facilitate the insect's infection with EPNs (Minas *et al*., 2016; Rohde *et al*., 2020). These factors could separately or collectively increase the susceptibility of larvae to EPNs. For some detail of EPNs infection of larvae and pupae of different species of fruit flies, see studies of Grewal *et al*. (2001), Dias *et al*. (2008), Godjo*et al*. (2018), Steyn *et al*. (2019), Chergui *et al*. (2019), Mokrini *et al*. (2020), Torrini*et al*. (2020), Jean-Baptiste *et al*. (2021), Shaurub*et al*., (2021), Chaneiko*et al*. (2021), and Yağcı*et al*. (2021b).

On the contrary, many studies reported the susceptibility of pupae of different lepidopterous insects to infection with EPNs. For instance, Fetoh*et al*. (2009) reported that both larvae and pupae of *A. ipsilon* were highly susceptible to *S. carpocapsae* and *H. bacteriophora*, under laboratory conditions. According to Andaló*et al*. (2010), the use of EPNs for the control of prepupae and pupae of *S. frugiperda* andthe corn earworm *Helicoverpazea* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), in maize, resulted in high mortality ratesof these stages. The efficacy of various EPN species against pupae of *C. pomonella*, at 50 IJs per host, resulted in 20–75% pupal mortality (Malan *et al*., 2011).

In the current decade, Yan *et al.* (2020) recorded three EPN species, *viz.*, *H. indica*, *S. carpocapsae*, and *S. longicaudum*, as highly pathogenic to *S. frugiperda* pupae, whereas *S. kushidai* was not effective. Both EPNs *H. amazonensis* JPM4 and *H. amazonensis* MC01 were virulent to pupae of *H. armigera* (Andaló*et al*., 2021).Two local EPN species; *S. feltiae* and *H. bacteriophora*, were collected from soil samples in India and assessed against *P. brassicae*. In the case of pupae, mortality rates were 62.12 & 58.58% for *H. bacteriophora* and *S. feltiae*, respectively, at 160 IJs/cm^2; as well as 74 & 12% for both the tested EPNs, respectively, at 80 IJs/cm^2 (Kasi et al., 2021). Also, Amutha *et al*. (2021) isolated different strains of EPNs from certain warm regions in India for pathogenicity against *S. litura*. The IJs-treated pupae were observed after 96 h exposure which showed the highest mortality in AC culture with anLC$_{50}$valueof9.199 IJs/pupae and LC$_{90}$valueof16.824 IJs/pupae after 96 h. After infection of *H. indica* to *E. maternal* pupae, LC$_{50}$ was 85.91 IJs pupa^{-1}, at 48 h post-exposure (Kumar *et al*., 2022). Results of Lalramnghaki *et al*. (2021) clearly indicated that all isolated EPN species showed a high rate of pupicidal activity against *S. frugiperda*, and pupal mortality ranged between 37.50–68.75% (at concentrations 200–1600 IJs /pupa).

In addition to Lepidoptera, pathogenicity ofthe mustard beetle *Phaedon cochlearia* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) pupae was observed for EPNs (Mahar *et al*., 2012). Also, Safari *et al*. (2013) recorded a high mortality of prepupae and pupae of theonion thrips *Trips tabaci* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) compared to 2nd instar larvae when applying *S. feltiae*, *H. bacteriophora*, and *S. carpocapsae*. According to Gulzar *et al*. (2021), pupae of the tobacco thrips *Frankliniellafusca* were found to be susceptible to eight EPN species. Treatment of pupae (8-day old) of the flesh fly *Parasarcophaga aegyptiaca* (Diptera: Sarcophagidae) with *S. riobrave* and *H. bacteriophora*, at the concentrations of 500–4000 IJs/dish/5 pupae, resulted in 42–86 and 30–70% mortality, respectively (El-Sadawy*et al*., 2006). Mahmoud *et al*. (2007) treated pupae of the blow fly *Calliphora vicina* (Diptera: Calliphoridae) and the common green bottle fly *Lucilia sericata* (Diptera: Calliphoridae) (1-2-day old) with *S. feltiae*. Their results showed that mortality rates in treated pupae of both fly species were 0–17 and 7–70%, respectively. Some years later, Noujeim, *et al*. (2015) treated pupae of the serpentine leafminer fly *Liriomyza huidobrensis* (Diptera: Agromyzidae) with a concentration of 1000 IJs/5 pupae of *H. indica*, in Petri dishes. An average of 53% of pupal mortality had been recorded. The highest susceptibility of pupae might be due to their lack of mobility (Safari *et al*., 2013).

Susceptibility of adult stage:

As reported in the current literature, adults of many insect species have exhibited susceptibility to different EPNs. For example, *S. carpocapsae* displayed a high virulence against the nymphs and adults of the earwig *Labidura riparia* (Dermaptera: Labiduridae) (Reyad, 2012). Different EPN species were inoculated to different larval instars and adults of the red palm weevil *Rhynchophorus ferrugineus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) at the concentration of 100 IJs/larva+adult, and various mortalities of larvae and adults were recorded by Manzoor *et al*. (2017). Gaber *et al*. (2018) evaluated the virulence of four EPN species against adult males and females of the desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria* (Orthoptera: Acrididae). They found thatadultfemales aremore susceptible than adult males.According to research by Saleh *et al*. (2018), applying two concentrations (5 and 15 IJs/cm^2 of soil surface) of *H. marelatus* D1 on sandy soil containing old pupae of *B. zonata*, resulted in different mortality percentages of adults, according to the rate of EPN application rather than the EPN species. In the same year, Arviga and Cortez-Madrigal (2018) evaluated the pathogenicity of *H. indica* against larvae and adults of the house fly *Musca domestica* (Diptera: Muscidae) at 1200 and 1600 IJs/ml. As to adults, the females were more susceptible to EPN infection than males, with an average mortality of79.2% for females and 35.5% for males (at 1600 IJs/ml).

In the present decade, Gözel *et al*. (2020) assessed the pathogenicity of some isolates of three EPN species from Turkey on adults of the Sunn pest *E. integriceps*. According to their results, the susceptibility of adults to *Steinernema* isolates was more than that to *Heterorhabditis* isolates. Among four species of EPNs assessed by Javed*et al*. (2020), *S. pakistanense* and *S. bifurcatum* were the most virulent at 30°C, while *S. affinae* and *S. cholashanense*, at 20°C, giving the maximal mortality rate of adults of the beetles *T. confusum* and *Rh. dominica*. In addition, LC_{50} value against adults of *X. luteola* was 6.73 $IJ \cdot mL^{-1}$ for *S. feltiae*, while the LC_{50} value was 204.09 $IJ \cdot mL^{-1}$ for *S. carpocapsae*, and 70.29 $IJ \cdot mL{-1}$ for *H. bacteriophora* (Fard *et al*., 2020). For more examples, see studies of Chaneiko *et al*. (2021), Yağcı *et al*. (2021b), Peçen and Kepenekci (2022), El Aalaoui *et al*. (2022), and Nawab and Javed (2023).

1.7. Significance of the Insect Mortality:

To explicate the mortalities of EPN-infected insects, it is important to point out that EPNs are lethal endoparasites of insects, due to the production and secretion of some active insecticidal substances including toxins and proteases. There toxic materials contribute to the lethal effect on the infected host insects (Brown *et al*., 2006; Ffrench-Constant *et al*., 2007a, b; Toubarro *et al*., 2009). Therefore, it is evident that the EPNs are able to effectively kill their hosts independently through their excretion/secretion products, linked to the host immunosuppression during the initial stages of the infection process (Jones *et al*., 2022).

For some detail, the infective juveniles (IJs) of EPNs enter into the body of thehost insect through some openings (like mouth, anus and spiracles). These IJs constitute the only non-feeding and free-living stage of EPNs. They penetrate through the gut wall of the insect and regurgitate their symbiotic bacteria into the insect haemocoel (Mastore*et al*., 2015). Both EPNs and their symbiotic bacteria contribute to host mortality (Dowds and Peters, 2003) usually within 24-72 hr resulting from toxemia or septicemia in the late phase of infection (Adams and Nguyen, 2002; Ffrench-Constant et al., 2007a,b; Shapiro-Ilan *et al*., 2018).

To understand this mechanism of insect death by EPN infection, it is important to clarify the relationship between the EPNs and their symbiotic bacteria. This relationship is truly mutualistic for the following reasons: (1) bacteria break down the host tissues, and provide food sources for the EPN, which feeds and multiplies on bacterial cells and degrades host tissues. In other words, EPNs act by feeding on the bacteria and the damaging host tissue, they multiply, develop and reproduce (Mahmoud, 2016; Kumar *et al*., 2022). (2) bacteria need the nematodes for protection from the external environment, penetration into the host's haemocoel, and inhibition of the host's antibacterial proteins (Hazir *et al*., 2003; Griffin, 2012; Shaurub, 2023). In this context, *S. carpocapsae* excretion/secretion products (ESPs) have been linked to host immunosuppression during the initial stages of invasion to promote a suitable growing environment for their symbiotic bacteria (Chang *et al*., 2019; Parks *et al*., 2022).

Recalling the first scenario, physiological interactions between the EPN and its insect host must be considered, since the life cycle of an endoparasite is strongly related to its ability to evade and/or suppress the host immune responses in the early phase of infection (Castillo *et al*., 2011; Toubarro*et al*., 2013). Both EPN and bacteria overcome the insect immune system causing the death of the insect (Adams and Nguyen, 2002). This is a distinctive criterion of the relation of EPN/bacteria complex with the host insect.

Although the EPN pathogenicity is typically attributed to toxins and virulence factors that are released in conjunction with their symbiotic bacteria, some investigations have shown that EPNs play an active role in the pathogenicity of the nematode-bacteria complex (Lu *et al*., 2017). EPNs themselves should be considered, because shortly after infection, and before the bacteria is released from the gut of the invading nematode, there is a significant reduction in the total count of insect hemocytes, suggesting that the nematode

itself is capable of suppressing the hostimmune system, to the benefit of its endosymbiotic bacteria. Some evidence of the action potential of EPN apart from its symbiotic bacteria can be provided by the following examples. The EPN *S. carpocapsae*was shown to be able to kill insects and partly degrade insect tissues in the absence of any bacteria; however, the pathogenicity of such axenic EPN (without its symbiotic bacteria) was weaker than that of monoxenic EPNs (Darsouei*et al*., 2017). While, axenic *H. bacteriophora* were unable to kill *G. mellonella* larvae, axenic *S. carpocapsae* could kill insect hosts but produce a very small number of offspring (Han and Ehlers, 2000). This together suggests an almost obligatory relationship between EPNs and their symbionts. Indeed, symbiotic bacteria are also able to suppress the immune system of the hosts (Darsouei*et al*., 2017), thus favouring nematode colonization of their host and successful propagation. For some details, see Bobardt*et al*. (2020), Dowds and Peters (2003) and Shapiro-Ilan *et al*. (2018).

In this context, another interesting point is the variation in mortalityrates of the host insects, as recorded by Ghoneim *et al*. (2023a) for *A. ipsilon* larvae after infection with *S. carpocapsae* and *H. bacteriophora*, and many studies on the same insect or other insect species. This variation may be attributed to the number of larvae used for each study, since some researchers (Shoeb *et al*., 2006; Fetoh*et al*., 2009; Mahmoud *et al*., 2016) used 15, 25, or 20 larvae in their studies, while Yuksel and Canhilal (2018) used 40 larvae for each concentration.

However, variation in the mortality rates of infected insects can be explained by the different toxin complexes produced by the symbiotic bacteria *Xenorhabdus* and *Photorhabdus* species/strains because the toxin complexes and secondary metabolites play a major role in the suppression of the host immune system as well as toxicity to the host intestine (Shawer *et al*., 2018; Vicente-Díez *et al*., 2021).

2. Some EPN-infected Larvae or Pupae Can Successfully develop into The Next Developmental Stage:

On various agricultural insect pests, a number of studies reported the capability of some EPN-infected pupae to metamorphose to adults indicating some resistance of the pupal stage to EPNs (Malan *et al*., 2011; Odendaal *et al*., 2016; Du Preez *et al*., 2021; Steyn *et al*., 2021). The infection of *F. fusca* pupae (the soil-dwelling stage) with *S. feltiae*, *S. riobrave,*or*S. rarum* resulted in a reduction of adult emergence (Gulzar *et al*., 2021). When employing the high IJ concentrations of four EPN species (*S. feltiae, S. carpocapsae, S. riojaense* and *H. bacteriophora*) against pupae of *L. botrana*, adult emergence was significantly blocked (Vicente-Díez *et al*., 2021). In a study by Filgueiras and Willett (2021), the presence of EPNs can affect the developmental durations and changes in the risk of death of the non-susceptible pupal stage of the onion fly *Delia antique* (Diptera: Anthomyiidae) indicating an insect resistance to infection during the pupal period. This resistance may be due to its immune system, which synthesizes genes encoding antimicrobial peptides that encapsulate and inactivate the invading EPN (Castillo *et al*., 2011; Strand, 2008). Inactivation of EPN during the pupal stage of an insect and reestablishment of its activity during the adult stage indicate the ability of these EPNs to overcome the insect's immune system. They secrete antibiotic molecules that inhibit the action of the encapsulation enzyme produced by the host, conferring resistance to the process of phagocytosis (Vallet-Geley *et al*., 2008).

As far as our literature survey could ascertain, no information was available on the successful metamorphosis of EPN-infected larvae into pupae, except the recent study of Ghoneim *et al*. (2023a)because a few EPN-infected 4th instar larvae of *A. ipsilon* could pupate at the lower two concentrations of *S. carpocapsae* and *H. bacteriophora*. In this study, also, a similar result was recorded after infection of 5th instar larvae with *S. carpocapsae*. Moreover, the infected larvae with *H. bacteriophora* successfully pupated at

all concentrations except the highest one. Unfortunately, there is no scientific explanation for this criterion right now!!

3. Impact of EPNs on Some Biological and Physiological Processes of The Insect Host:

As easily shown in the current literature, many authors (Shapiro-Ilan and Brown, 2013; Shaurub *et al*., 2014; Lacey *et al*., 2015; Leonar *et al*., 2022; Kaliaskar*et al*., 2022) reported that insect death within 24-72 h post-infection with EPNs, regardless the insect species and the EPN species. On the other hand, several authors (Peschiutta *et al*., 2014; Cardoso *et al*., 2015; Liu *et al*., 2020; Ansari and Hussain, 2020; Shah *et al*., 2021) described the success of some infected individuals inavoiding the lethal effects of both EPN and its symbiotic bacteria using some innate immune defences. These host individuals developmentally transform into the next stage, but usually unhealthy because certain biological and physiological processes have been adversely affected. In this context, there has been increasing evidence that some EPNs influenced certain metabolic processes in the insect host (Shaurub *et al*., 2020; Ghoneim *et al*., 2022) and some important enzymatic activities (Shaurub*et al*., 2015; Ibrahim *et al*., 2015; Shairra*et al*., 2016; Vidhya *et al*., 2016; Ghoneim *et al*., 2023b).

As previously reviewed, some studies indicated the capability of some EPN-infected pupae to metamorphose into adults owing to some resistance of the pupal stage to EPNs (Malan *et al*., 2011; Odendaal *et al*., 2016; du Preez *et al*., 2021; Steyn *et al*., 2021). Ghoneim *et al*. (2023a) observed a few EPN-infected 4th instar larvae of *A. ipsilon* could pupate at the lower two concentrations of *S. carpocapsae* and *H. bacteriophora*. In the same study, also, a similar result was recorded after infection of 5th instar larvae with *S. carpocapsae*. In another study, Filgueiras and Willett (2021) recorded the effect of EPNs to alter the developmental durations and changes in the risk of death of the non-susceptible pupal stage of the *D. antique*, indicating an insect resistance to infection during the pupal stage. The inactivation of EPN during the pupal stage of an insect and thereestablishment of its activity during the adult stage indicate the ability of this EPN to overcome the insect's immune system (Vallet-Geley *et al*., 2008). With regard to the adult performance of EPN-infected insects, infection of *F. fusca* pupae (the soil-dwelling stage) with *H. bacteriophora* (Fl1-1), *S. feltiae, S. riobrave* or *S. rarum* resulted in a reduction of the adult emergence (Gulzar *et al*., 2021). After treatment of *L. botrana* pupae with high IJ concentrations of four EPN species (*S. feltiae, S. carpocapsae, S. riojaense* and *H. bacteriophora*), adult emergence was significantly blocked (Vicente-Díez*et al*., 2021). For more detail, see the section "Susceptibility of insect developmental stages, other than larvae, to EPNs" in the present review.

4. Key Factors and Environmental Conditions Interfering with the EPNs' Virulence Against Insect Pests:

4.1. Fundamental knowledge:

Firstly, EPNs, which exist naturally in soils throughout the world, are able to control soil-borne, cryptic, and subterranean pests in diverse habitats (Georgis *et al*., 2006; Lacey and Georgis, 2012) with no secondary effects on non-target organisms (Wright *et al*., 2005). Over the few last decades, several studies indicated that EPNs also have the potential to suppress above-ground insect pests, but only under certain conditions (Hazir *et al*., 2003; Head *et al*., 2004; Laznik *et al*., 2010). However, the virulence of EPNs, as biological control agents against insects, varies greatly depending on their traits and characteristics, such as reproductive capacity, host-seeking behaviors, host specificity and adaptation capabilities (Yan *et al*., 2020; Acharya *et al*., 2020).

Besides the application technique and agrochemicals (Lacey and Georgis, 2012), several studies have indicated that the environmental conditions strongly affect both survival and efficacy of EPNs (Liu and Glazer, 2000; Piggott *et al*., 2002; Glazer, 2015; Levy *et al*.,

2020). In some detail, the environmental parameters (biotic and abiotic factors), application technique, and others affect the EPN virulence against insect pests (Lacey and Georgis, 2012). The Biotic factors, such as natural enemies, species of EPNs, age of targeted insects, nematophagous fungi, Collembola, mites, predatory nematodes and competition for resources may also be important factors (Hazir *et al*., 2003; Shapiro-Ilan *et al*., 2012). The abiotic factors, such as extreme temperatures, soil moisture, osmotic pressure, pH, UV radiation and aeration are important limiting factors of the EPN virulence (Gaugler *et al*., 1992; Kaya and Gaugler, 1993). For these reasons, EPNs may beless efficient against insect pests outdoors, although many laboratories and even greenhouse assays revealed a much higher efficiency (Laznik and Trdan, 2011; Majić *et al*., 2019).

Grewal *et al*. (2005) reported the four most important variables for the high virulence of EPN in pest control: moisture, temperature, susceptibility of the targeted insect, and foraging behavior of EPN. Some years later, Lacey and Georgis (2012) described fouressentialfactors affecting the ability of an EPN and its associated symbiotic bacteria to succeed as a control agent: moisture requirements (or desiccation tolerance, with ±85% relative humidity (RH) being required for optimum control); optimal temperature range; and foraging strategy. The biology and behavior of EPN and the target host and the environment in which the EPNs are to be applied should also be considered carefully when designing a control strategy (Georgis *et al*., 2006). For instance, Ma *et al*., (2013a) investigated the environmental conditions imposing adverse effects on the virulence, survival, and reproduction of *Heterorhabditis* and *Steinernema* spp. in North China. Also, Ben Husin and Porta (2021) discussed some factors affecting the survival and efficacy of EPNs against the tomato leafminer*Tuta absoluta* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae), such as the EPN species; RH, temperature range, time required by EPNs to enter a tomato leaf and number of applications in whole leaf bioassays. Therefore, it is important to study the environmental conditions of the areas where EPN exists to ensure its success as a biological control agent (Ulvedal *et al*., 2017; Toksoz and Saruhan, 2018).

Another point of interest is the accordance of EPN virulence to the susceptibility of thetarget insect (Ramos-Rodríguez *et al*., 2006) and its developmental stage (Acharya *et al*., 2019, 2020; Yan *et al*., 2020). Also, it should be kept in consideration that IJs of EPNs rely on their host behaviors, body size of different host developmental stages, and insect host immunity to maximize the chances of EPN successful infection (Peschiutta *et al*., 2014).

Additionally, other critical factors should be taken into consideration, such as the method of cover and placement of EPNs on open surfaces (like foliar habitats), in contrast to those that are experienced in soil environments (Wright *et al*., 2005; Dito *et al*., 2016). Also, the EPN concentration used and the exposure time to EPN are all important for improving the EPN performance for pest control (NanGong *et al*., 2022). However, many investigators have paid great attention to various characteristics of EPNs and their symbiotic bacteria as well as different environmental conditions influencing the biocontrol potential of EPNs. Selected aspects should be highlighted below.

4.2. Characteristics of EPNs as a Key Factor of Their Virulence:

It is well known that the EPNs with higher infectivity and reproductive potential within a specific target insect may be more effective in controlling this insect under field conditions (Rahoo *et al*., 2018; Kapranas *et al*., 2020). The virulence of EPNs varies greatly depending on their innate characteristics, such as host-seeking behavior, adaptation capability, host specificity and reproductive capacity (Kapranas *et al*., 2020; Yan *et al*., 2020; Acharya *et al*., 2020).

The reproduction potential of an EPN may also be related to its species and isolates, and the host susceptibility, number of bacteria per IJ, invasion rate, temperature and RH (Rahoo *et al*., 2016, 2017; Nabeel *et al*., 2018). One of the main differences between the two

major EPN families, Heterorhabditidae and Steinernematidae is in the reproductive strategies. Heterorhabditid adults, as opposed to steinernematid adults, have IJs that are hermaphrodites; therefore, only one IJ is needed to enter the host body for progeny production (Tanada and Kaya, 1993). The IJs of *Steinernema* develop into amphimictic adults. Their offspring either develop into dauers (IJs) or to an F1 adult generation (Ehlers, 1996). More than two decades later, several studies indicated that *Heterorhabditis* species produced more IJs, hence they can be widely used for insect pest control (Fateh *et al*., 2017; Kayani *et al*., 2017; Khan *et al*., 2017; Tariq-Khan *et al*., 2017; Kassi *et al*., 2018). For example, Rahoo *et al*. (2018) recorded a significant effect of EPN species on the production of IJs in *G. mellonella* larvae. Significantly higher numbers of IJs were produced by Heterorhabditid species than Steinernematid species in the larval cadaver of this insect. Moreover, the production of IJ was the maximum in the case of *H. bacteriophora* but the minimum in the case of *S. feltiae*.

4.3. Mutualistic Association of EPNs with Specialized Symbiotic Bacteria as A Key Factor of EPN Virulence:

It is important to highlight the mutualistic association of symbiotic bacteria and EPNs because the EPN/bacterium complex works together in many cases against insect pests (Lacey *et al*., 2001). This relationship is a type of symbiosis, where both benefit from this association. For example, IJs of EPNs provide protected shelter for the symbiotic bacteria and carry them into the host body. After entering the insect body, the IJ penetrates through the gut wall and regurgitates its symbiotic bacteria into the insect haemocoel cavity (Mastore *et al*., 2015). On the other side, the bacteria break down the host tissues and provide food sources for the EPN, which feeds and multiplies on bacterial cells and degrades host tissues. In other words, EPNs act by feeding on the bacteria and the damaging host tissue, they multiply, develop and reproduce (Griffin, 2012). However, the bacteria provide the EPN, and themselves a protected niche by producing antibiotics, that suppress the competition from other microorganisms (for review, see Mahmoud, 2016).

From the pest control point of view, the EPN/bacteria association is crucial, since Steinernematids are less pathogenic without their symbiotic bacteria (Ehlers *et al*., 1990) and Heterorhabditids are not able to kill an insect without their symbiotic bacteria (Adams and Nguyen, 2002). For instance,EPN genera *Steinernema/ Neosteinernema*, *Heterorhabditis* and *Rhabditis* have a symbiotic association with the insect pathogenic Entomopathogenic bacteria belonging to genera *Photorhabdus, Xenorhabdus* and *Serratia*, respectively (Sooraj *et al*., 2019) and form a mutually beneficial symbiotic complex which are able to kill and reproduce in many insect species (Mahar *et al*., 2005).

Depending on several authors (Mrácek and Becvár, 2000; Campbell and Lewis, 2002; Stock and Blair, 2008), the symbiotic association of IJs with the bacterial endosymbionts is one among factors influencing the EPN virulence, *i.e*., symbiotic bacteria of EPNs play a crucial role in the EPN pathogenicity by releasing a wide range of secondary metabolites into host haemolymph (Bode, 2009). For some detail, some authors (Martens *et al*., 2003; Trdan *et al*., 2009; Laznik *et al*., 2010) suggested that the primary cause of the host death has been usually due to the associating symbiotic bacteria carried in the intestines of IJs. For example, bacteria *Photorhabdus* spp. are associated with EPNs *Heterorhabditis* spp. and bacteria *Xenorhabdus* spp. are associated with EPNs *Steinernema* spp. (Lacey *et al*., 2001; Lewis and Clarke, 2012; Chaston *et al*., 2013).

On the contrary, some studies with axenic EPNs have demonstrated that excretion/secretion products (ESPs) retain their toxic effects causing insect death within the first two hours after infection, which suggests that the success of EPNs is independent of the action of their mutualistic bacterial partners (Kenney *et al*., 2021). These ESPs include

proteases and protease inhibitors involved in tissue degradation as well as other small molecules and toxins that promote immune suppression in the host (Lu *et al*., 2017).

4.4. Foraging Strategies of EPNs as a Key Factor of The Virulence:

In this regard, some special attention should be paid tothe foraging strategy since it has been reported to interfere with EPN virulence (Erdou, 2021). For instance, *S. carpocapsae* is considered to be more effective than other species for controlling moving insects due to its foraging behavior, and the Petri dishes in laboratory bioassays may have provided an environment that is more favorable to *S. carpocapsae* (Campbell and Gaugler, 1993). The heterorhabditid EPNs display a cruiser strategy (active search strategy) and are suited to infect insects living under the soil, while steinernematid EPNs are more effective to insects near the soil surface because of their ambusher strategy (sit and wait) (Mahmoud, 2017). Moreover, Shapiro-Ilan *et al*. (2018) reported that EPN foraging strategies consist of a continuum from ambusher to cruiser behaviors, yet a number of species display intermediate foraging strategies between the two extremes. For instance, *S. feltiae* exhibits an intermediate forage strategy (Griffin *et al*., 2005), whereas *H. bacteriophora* displays a cruise strategy (Bal and Grewal, 2015).

In addition, EPNforages for finding a host and infection. It is influenced by various factors inside and outside the host cadaver (Lewis *et al*., 2003; Kaplan *et al*., 2012; Shapiro-Ilan *et al*., 2019). For example, EPN dispersal to find hosts depends on sinusoidal locomotion on wet surfaces (e.g., soil), which is governed by certain physical properties under a gravitational field (Hunt*et al*., 2001; Shen *et al*., 2012). In addition, EPNs are thought to navigate in part based on electromagnetic fields (Ilan *et al*., 2013). These physical factors that impact the locomotion and navigation would be absent or altered under microgravity conditions. Thus, studying EPN movement and infectivity under microgravity conditions can shed some light on the relative importance of such factors as they contribute to EPN foraging success on Earth (Kaplan *et al*., 2020).

Therefore, differences of the foraging behavior of EPNs may be important determining factors of their virulence against insect pests added to variation of the ambient temperature, EPN species, IJs dose or concentration, developmental stage of the targeted insect (Barbosa-Negrisoli*et al*., 2009; Trdan *et al*., 2009), as well as application method and different environmental conditions (Bode and Müller, 2005; Stock and Blair, 2008).

4.5. Developmental Stage and Physiology of The Insect Host as KeyFactors of EPN virulence:

Developmental Stageof the Insect Host:

Another point of interest in this context is the accordance of EPNvirulence to the insect species (Ramos-Rodríguez *et al*., 2006). Although Patil *et al*. (2020) found no difference between the virulence of *S. carpocapsae* against 2nd and 4th instar larvae of the oriental armyworm *Mythimna separata* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), some authors (Acharya *et al*., 2019; Yan *et al*., 2020) reported the dependence of EPNvirulence on the developmental stage of the targeted insect. The EPN virulence varies significantly among the larval instars since Acharya *et al*. (2020) found that both EPNs *H. indica* and *S. carpocapsae* were highly virulent against the early larval instars (1st, 2nd and 3rd instar larvae) of *S. frugiperda*, whereas both EPNs *S. arenarium* and *S. longicaudum* were highly virulent against later instars (4th, 5th and 6th instar larvae). For some detail, Park *et al*. (2001) reported that larger EPNs are able to penetrate larger host insects (particularly the later larval instars). The virulence of *S. carpocapsae* and *H. indica* was higher against later larval instarsof the dark-winged fungus gnat *Bradysia impatiens* (Diptera: Sciaridae)(Acharya *et al*., 2019). Also, Yan *et al*. (2020) reported that *S. arenarium* was more virulent against 3rd and 4th instar larvae of *S. litura* than against 2nd instar larvae.

As clearly shown in the available literature, the 4th larval instar of different insects was found the most sensitive one to different EPNs, *i.e.*, EPN is more virulent against the 4th larval instar. The 4th larval instar of *A. ipsilon* was the most susceptible instar to some EPNs (Ebssa and Koppenhöfer, 2012). The same larval instar of *A. ipsilon* was found more sensitive than 2nd instar larvae to *S. carpocapsae* GSN1 strain (ScG) (Lee and Potter, 2015). In the recent study of Ghoneim *et al.* (2023a), LC_{50} data of *S. carpocapsae* and *H. bacteriophora* revealed that the 4th instar larvae of *A. ipsilon* were more susceptible than 5th instar larvae to the EPN pathogenicity.Also, the 4th larval instar of *A. segetum* was more susceptible to IJs of all tested Turkish native EPN isolates at the concentration of 100 IJs/cm^2 after 72 hr of exposure (Yüksel *et al.*, 2022). In the lepidopterous insects *H. armigera, S. litura* and *A. segetum*, the 4th instar larvae were more sensitive than 3rd instar larvae to the pathogenicity of *H. bacteriophora* (Thakur *et al.*, 2022). The 4th larval instar of the cigarette beetle *Lasiodermaserricorne*(Coleoptera: Ptinidae) was found more sensitive than 3rd instar to nine isolates of *H. bacteriophora* (Giannasi *et al.*, 2018).

In Colombia, 4th larval instar nymphsof the American palm planthopper *Haplaxius crudus* (Hemiptera: Cixiidae) were the most susceptible to some EPNs (Guerrero and Pardey, 2019). Mhatre *et al.* (2020) reported that the EPN *S. cholashanense* caused the highest mortality in 4th larval instar (100%) of *Ph. operculella*, *i.e.*, this instar was the most susceptible, or the EPN was more virulent against this instar. Also, Yan *et al.* (2020) tested different concentrations of *S. carpocapsae* against 2nd, 3rd and 4th larval instars of *Ph. operculella* under controlled conditions. They found the 4th larval instar larvae the most susceptible. The 4th instar larvae of *P. brassicae* were more susceptible than 2nd instar larvae to the two EPNs local strains; *S. feltiae* HR1 and *H. bacteriophora* HR2EPN strains (Kasi *et al.*, 2021). Moreover, the EPN pathogenicity varies depending on the age of the same larval instar of the same target insect. It has been shown that in the infection process, *Photorhabdus luminescens*, the bacterial symbiont of *H. bacteriophora*, proliferate more rapidly in older 5th instar larvae of the tobacco hornworm *Manduca sexta* (Lepidoptera: Sphingidae), relative to younger 5th instar larvae (Eleftherianos *et al.*, 2006).

Why the later larval instars are more susceptible than the earlier instars to EPN pathogenicity? The question in other words, why EPNs are more virulent against later instar larvae than earlier ones? Some scenarios can be provided to explain this widespread observation. The lower susceptibility of earlier instar larvae may be due to their small mouthparts or feeding behavior that excludes large particles (Bedding *et al.*, 1983). The IJs are difficult to penetrate directly through the cuticle or pores in the 1st and 2nd instar larvae with very small body sizes (Molta and Hominick, 1989; Ulvedal *et al.*, 2017). For some detail, large-sized hosts could more readily ingest IJs without damaging them, while small-sized early instar larvae rarely ingest IJs, more often crushing them with their mandibular teeth because of their smaller oral aperture. Once the cuticle was broken, the IJ perished(Zohdy*et al.*, 2013).

On the contrary, earlier larval instars of certain insects were found more susceptible than the later instars. In this respect, Rosa *et al.* (2000) found that older larvae of the armyworm *Pseudaletia unipuncta* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) are less susceptible to EPN parasitism. Why the earlier instar larvae of mosquitoes are more susceptible than the later instars to invading IJs? Authors who obtained such results (Achinelly *et al.*, 2004; Pérez-Pacheco *et al.*, 2004) have attributed this higher susceptibility to the fact that the thin cuticle of earlier larvae facilitates the invasion of IJs, which have more difficulty penetrating the thicker larval cuticle of later instars. In other words, the thicker cuticle of later larval instars has assisted them in preventing the IJs frominserting their stylets and penetrating the host body. Also, the insect larvae exhibit a special physiological action to combat EPNs that try to invade their haemocoel (Hillyer, 2010). After theinvasion of their haemocoel, insect larvae

have a diverse array of cellular and humoral immune defences. It is reasonable to suggest that the later larval instars have exerted a stronger immune defence than the early instars to combat EPN invasion (Shamseldean *et al*., 2006; Liu *et al*., 2020).

In addition, the sensitivity of certain larval instar (s) to the EPNs can be attributed to the variation in genetics (Gaugler *et al*., 1989), infectivity (Griffin and Downes, 1991), physiology (Fitters *et al*., 1999), climatic adaptation (Solomon *et al*., 1999), and morphology of different EPN species (Stock *et al*., 2000). However, the aforementioned examples of studies indicated that the developmental stage of the insect host may play an important role in the establishment and proliferation of EPN-bacterial symbionts. Miranda *et al*. (2013) studied the effect of insect host physiology on the fitness of both EPNs *S. carpocapsae* and *H. sonorensis*, together with their symbiotic bacteria, *X. nematophila* and *Ph. luminescens*, respectively. They used *M. sexta*, as the insect host. Depending on their results, the insect developmental stage was an effector that impacted EPNvirulence.

Insect Host Physiology:

The insect host physiology should be taken into consideration in the context of EPN virulence because EPN life cycle occurs within the insect body. Some factors, such as nutrition, age, and hormone titers, are known to affect insect host physiology (Eleftherianos *et al*., 2008). Miranda *et al*. (2013) evaluated the effect of insect host physiology on the fitness of both EPNs *S. carpocapsae* and *H. sonorensis*, together with their symbiotic bacteria, *Xenorhabdus nematophila* and *Photorhabdus luminescens*, respectively. They used *M. sexta* as the insect host. Depending on their results, the nutritional status of the host had an effect on the fitness of the two tested EPN species. Also, Reyad (2005) reported that the nutritional requirements of *H. bacteriophora* are larger than those of *S. riobrave*; thus the principal nutrients of larvae infected with *H. bacteriophora* are lower than those infected with *S. riobrave*. This finding may account for the superiority of the reproductive potential of *H. bacteriophora* over that of *S. riobrave*. Host diet, also, had an effect on the production of IJ progeny in the insect cadavers. Barbercheck *et al*. (2003) suggested that insects reared on a high-lipid diet are more susceptible to EPN infection relative to those reared on a low-lipid diet. However, the effect of host diet on the bacterial symbionts was not assessed until now!!

4.6. Temperature As a Principal Limiting Factor of the EPN Virulence:

The ambient temperature is one of the limiting factors of EPN virulence. Some research works investigated the effect of ambient temperature and the heat resistance of EPNs. Generally, the optimal temperature for the development, infection, and reproduction of the genus *Heterorhabditis* is around 25°C (Shaurub *et al*., 2015). The optimum range for *H. bacteriophora* is 22-26°C (Doucet *et al*., 1996) and 25°C for *S. feltiae* (Belair et al., 2003). The virulent EPN species, identified in the study of Shah *et al*. (2021), were known to work better at higher temperatures, i.e. 20–30 °C (Kim and Alston, 2008; Power *et al*., 2009). In the specific case of *Heterorhabditisbaujardi*LPP7, an optimal temperature of 28°C for replication and infection had been reported by Sobrinho *et al*. (2023), with emphasis on the origin of this EPN strain, the Amazon rainforest strain; hence, it is probably already adapted to tropical environments (Dolinski *et al*., 2007). The cold-active EPN species, like *S. kraussei*, provide an interesting potential for further developments into EPN-based pest control strategies in orchard environments (Willmott *et al*., 2002).

On the other hand, González (2006) reported the viability of *H. baujardi* LPP7 at temperatures of 35°C for two hours, with no loss in efficacy against *G. mellonella* larvae. Whereas, a studyby Sobrinho *et al*. (2023) revealed that *H. baujardi* LPP7 lost efficacy against larvae of the stable fly *Stomoxyscalcitrans* (Diptera:Muscidae), after 72 h at 35°C. Moreover, several studies reported the adaptation of different EPN species to temperatures around 35°C (Kusakabe *et al*., 2019). Similarly, the considerable efficacy of EPNs for pest

control has been confirmed even when exposed to high temperatures, and on a wide variety of hosts (Mendonça *et al*., 2019).

For some detail, the efficacies of *H. bacteriophora* (HP88), *H. bacteriophora* (EASD98), *S. riobrave* and *H. indicus* (EAS59) against *S. littoralis* were studied by Shamseldean *et al*. (2008). All the tested EPNs attained almost 100% larval mortality at 4, 10 and 25ºC, but at 35ºC *H. bacteriophora* (HP88) achieved the least mortality (64%). In their study to assess four EPNs for controlling *L. decemlineata*, Trdan *et al*. (2009) recorded the highest adult mortality by *S. feltiae* and *S. carpocapsae* at temperatures ranging between 20ºC and 25ºC. At a lower temperature (15ºC), the highest dose of *S. feltiae* applied to overwintering adults showed high efficacy. Also, *S. carpocapsae* was more effective at higher temperatures and is therefore recommended for control of first-generation adult *L. decemlineata*.

In the current decade, four species of EPNs have been assessed by Javed *et al*. (2020) against certain beetles. The EPNs *S. pakistanense* and *S. bifurcatum* had the most virulence at 30°C, while *S. affinae* and *S. cholashanense*, at 20°C, giving the maximal mortality rate of adults of the beetles *T. confusum* and *Rh. dominica*. In a study by Majić *et al*. (2021), the pathogenicity of two EPNs was assessed against larvae and adults of *T. castaneum*. Different EPN concentrations were applied under two temperatures (15 and 25°C). The EPN *S. feltiae* was pathogenic to *T. castaneum* at 15°C which is optimal for storing grains. In another study, the virulence of *H. bacteriophora* and *S. feltiae* was evaluated by Abd El-Motaal*et al*. (2021) against the full-grown larvae of *B. zonata*. They found that *H. bacteriophora* was more effective at 25 than at 30°C. Ben Husin and Porta (2021) conducted a study to control *T. absoluta* using some EPNs. In this study, two *Steinernema* species caused similar mortality at 25°C, but *S. feltiae* was more virulent at lower temperatures (15 and 20°C) while *S. carpocapsae* was more virulent at higher temperatures (30 and 35°C). In a study on adults of wheat stink bug *Aelia rostrata* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae), the higher mortality rates (75 and 70%) had been recorded for *S. carpocapsae* isolate at 15 and 12°C, respectively (Peçen and Kepenekci, 2022).

4.7. Effect of Desiccation On The Virulence And Drought Tolerance of EPNs:

As previously mentioned in some parts of the present review, EPN infection occurs when IJs gain entry through the natural openings or cuticle of the host, and release their symbiotic bacteria in haemocoel thereby contributing to the killing of the host quickly and effectively (Dillman and Sternberg, 2012; Mastore *et al*., 2015). The bacteria utilize the host to develop and reproduce (Griffin, 2012), while the multiplying EPNs feed on the bacteria inside the host cadaver. The IJs exiting in the host cadaver, carry the symbiotic bacteria in their intestines, in search of new hosts (Shapiro-Ilan *et al*., 2017; Sajnaga and Kazimierczak, 2020). However, many foliar pests, such as phytophagous insects, are highly susceptible to EPNs under a controlled-environment (Shapiro-Ilan *et al*., 2017).

As reported by several authors (Arthurs *et al*., 2004; Glazer, 2015; Shapiro-Ilan *et al*., 2017), the EPN's sensitivity to environmental stresses, such as desiccation, inhibits its effectiveness as a biological control agent. Thedesiccation,as an abiotic stress, leads to water removal from the EPN body to occur slowly (within days) (Piggott *et al*., 2002; Gal *et al*., 2003, 2005). Subsequently, EPNs are described as slow dehydration strategists, and their adaptation process to slow desiccationis also well characterized (Erkut *et al*., 2012; Yaari *et al*., 2016). However, when exposed to the plant surfaces, EPNs encounter rapid desiccation (RD), where water is removed from EPN body within minutes or hours (Susurluk and Ehlers, 2008; Mazurkiewicz *et al*., 2021). This environmental process leads to a drastic reduction in EPNs activity and viability (Shapiro-Ilan et al., 2006). According to many authors (Schroer *et al*., 2005; Navaneethan *et al*., 2010; Perry *et al*., 2012), a requirement of a thin film of water,

high RH (> 90%) and IJ activity limited by time (about one hour) hampers EPN's natural ability to achieve control of the target pest on foliar surfaces.

Based on the available literature, the characterization of RD and its impact on IJs of EPNs has relatively limited knowledge although EPN species differ in their capability to withstand RD (O'leary *et al*., 2001; Tyson *et al*., 2007). Shortly, it is very important to study RD systematically and identify the trends of survival in EPN, characterize the rate of water loss, and infectivity patterns at RD to understand the requirements from formulation and identify the optimum microenvironment required for EPN viability and activity in foliar applications (Ramakrishnan *et al*., 2022). For instance, IJs of *S.carpocapsae* are a better adaptable and tolerant than *S. feltiae* or *H. bacteriophora* as an optimal RH of > 90% is required by *S. feltiae* and *H. bacteriophora* while maintaining RH equivalent to 74% could sustain survival of *S. carpocapsae* under RD (Ramakrishnan *et al*., 2022).

With regard to the effect of soil moisture on EPNs for controlling the soil-dwelling insects, Gouge *et al*. (2000) reported that the ideal moisture content was to be about 30%. In Egypt, Shaurub *et al*. (2015) evaluated the effect of soil moisture on the infectivity of four EPN species against the late 3rd instar larvae of *C. capitata*. The infectivity of the four tested EPNs was drastically affected by extreme soil moisture levels. These results were in agreement with those reported results for the late 3rd instar of *C. capitata* (Rohde *et al*., 2010) and the Queensland fruit fly *Bactrocera tryoni* (Diptera: Tephritidae) (Langford *et al*., 2014). The low infectivity of the tested EPN species at the highest moisture level (30%) could be explained by the fact that soil saturation with water reduces oxygen concentration and restricts EPN mobility, which is required to infect the host (Glazer, 2002). On the other hand, the low infectivity of the tested EPNs at the lowest moisture content (5%) is probably related to the lack of water between the pores, which is also limiting for the EPN locomotion (Rohde *et al*., 2010). In a study to control *T. absoluta*, Ben Husin and Porta (2021) found decreases in the efficacy and survival of EPNs as RH declined. Also, *S. feltiae* was the most effective species followed by *S. carpocapsae* then *H. bacteriophora*. The EPN *S. carpocapsae* survived better at low RH than *S. feltiae*.

4.8. Host Plant and Its Secondary Metabolites as An Affecting Parameter of EPN Virulence:

In addition to the previously reviewed factors and conditions, host plant or plant secondary metabolites, consumed by insects, can indirectly affect the virulence of EPNs against insect pests *via* the nematode progeny production. In a study conducted by Shaurub*et al*. (2016) in Egypt, the EPNs *S. riobrave* and *H. bacteriophora* were applied to the last instar larvae of *S. littoralis*. Depending on their results, larvae raised on cabbage (the most lipid-rich diet) were most resistant to both tested EPN species, *i.e*, very low virulence of EPNs. The increased resistance of *S. littoralis* larvae reared on cabbage and infected later with *S. riobrave* or *H. bacteriophora* seems likely to be due to the indirect effect of cabbage on the tested EPNs where caterpillars were reared for several generations on this host plant before infection. The exact mechanism of the increased resistance of the insect is unknown. However, this finding may account for the superiority of the reproductive potential of *H. bacteriophora* over that of *S. riobrave* as evident in the study of Shaurub *et al*. (2016).

Although the impact of plant primary metabolites of the host plants on nematode production is scarce in the available literature, some studies reported the effects of the host plant and its secondary metabolites on nematode production. As recorded by Epsky and Capinera (1994), significantly declined progeny production in *S. carpocapsae* followed the infection of *A. ipsilon* larvae which were previously fed on collard, compared to the same EPN species infecting caterpillars fed on an artificial diet. In contrast, Barbercheck *et al*. (1995) recorded high nematode production in the same EPN following the infection of the spotted cucumber beetle *Diabroticaundecim punctata* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) larvae

fed first on corn. The later authors, however, recorded lower nematode progeny production when larvae of this beetle fed on squash variety containing the secondary metabolite cucurbitacin D, compared to larvae fed on squash variety lacking this secondary metabolite. More than a decade later, Gassmann *et al*. (2010) investigated the role of plant secondary metabolites, pyrrolizidine alkaloids, in reducing the development of *H. sonorensis* and *S. riobrave* infecting the wooly bear *Grammiain corrupta* (Lepidoptera: Erebidae), fed first on plants containing secondary metabolites.

4.9. Additional Factors Affecting the EPN Efficiency for Insect Pest Control:
The Target Insect's Habitat as An Affecting Parameter of EPN Virulence:

Arthurs *et al*. (2004) analyzed 136 published greenhouse and field work that used the EPN *S. carpocapsae* against above-ground insects, and concluded that EPN efficacy depended on the insect's habitat (bore holes> cryptic foliage > exposed foliage) and trial location (greenhouse > field studies). Also, soil types can differ in their suitability for the survival of IJs, thereby affecting EPN abundance and diversity (Kaya and Gaugler, 1993). Shaurub *et al*. (2015) evaluated the effect of soil type (texture) on the infectivity of four EPNs against late 3rd instar larvae of *C. capitata*. Depending on their results, the EPN infectivity increased in sandy soil but decreased in silt and clay soils which explained the sandy soil as a more suitable microhabitat to facilitate the EPN infection of late 3rd instar larvae of *C. capitata*. Also, their results were consistent with the results reported for other tephritid species (Lezama-Gutiérez *et al*., 2006; Toledo *et al*., 2009; Kamali *et al*., 2013). Decreased virulence of EPNs in fine-textured soil could be related to reduction of pore space or increased water content between soil particles (Barbercheck, 1992). In addition, other soil characteristics can influence EPN virulence against insect pests, since Kung *et al*. (1990) reported that a soil pH of 10 or higher is likely to be dangerous to EPN applications, while soil pH in the range of 4–8 is normally compatible for these applications.

Application Techniques of EPNs and Important Precautions:

One of the most important parameters affecting the pathogenicity and virulence of EPNs is the application method against insects. Although EPNs are initially applied as soil applications against pests, promising results have been obtained by foliage applications depending on the new formulation techniques (Trdan*et al*., 2007; Laznik *et al*., 2010; Ebssa and Koppenhöfer, 2011; Coleman, 2020). For some detail, EPNs are usually used in different formulations and with various methods, such as sawdust, talc, desiccated cadavers, alginate gel, water-dispersibleg ranules, compost: charcoal powder mixture and water (Tahir and Shaheen, 2019). George *et al*. (2019) tested the EPN *Oscheiusrugaoensis* in six different formulations *in vitro* at two temperatures to evaluate their storage and infectivity against *G. mellonella* larvae. Based on their results, sawdust and Alginate gel formulations enhanced the highest survival of IJs than other formulations and caused high larval mortality of *G. mellonella* larvae. On the other hand, various EPNs formulations may be applied in aqueous suspension and bait formulations can promote EPN persistence and reduce the EPN quantity required per unit area. Also, baits can be developed further for wide applications (Grewal *et al*., 2005). Another application approach that has gained much attention is the delivery of EPNs in their infected host cadavers (Shapiro-Ilan *et al*., 2010). In addition, an effective application method is the use of EPNs in capsules from which nematodes can easily break through, and successfully infect the insect pests (Hiltpold *et al*., 2012). Besides these formulations and application methods, EPNs can be applied with almost all agricultural or horticultural ground equipment (Shapiro-Ilan *et al*., 2006).

From the technical point of view, Lanzoni *et al*. (2014) evaluated the effect of a spray application with a conventional hydraulic sprayer on the viability of *S. carpocapsae* in spinach crops In Italy. Their results showed that a static pressure ofup to 14 bar causes no significant damage to *S. carpocapsae* and that the passage of IJs through the flat fan nozzles

does not affect their viability. In this context, also, Youssef (2014) also studied the efficiency of *S. carpocapsae* against 3rd instar nymphs and adults of *S. gregaria* by two different methods; spray and soil surface application. Results of the infection with *S. carpocapsae*, soil treatment caused complete mortality (100%) of nymphs after 3 days but spray treatment caused similar mortality after 5 days. Thus, EPN was found to be more effective against *S. gregaria* nymphs when applied on the soil surface rather than spray treatment. To understand this finding, the soil is the natural habitat of EPN which shares this habitat with many other microbiota, including antagonists and other pathogens (Kaya, 2002). The EPNs live in the water-filled spaces, or pores, between soil particles. They need water to move and successfully locate a host, and oxygen to survive. Therefore, *S. carpocapsae* seems to be more efficient when applied against highly mobile surface-adapted insects (Youssef, 2014).

Also, a successful application of EPNs requires some principal factors, including informationon their life cycles; matching the correct EPN species with the targeted insect pest, applying them under suitable environmental circumstances to survive in the soil after application (Gan-Mor and Matthews, 2003), and applying them only with compatible agrochemicals (Mahmoud *et al*., 2016; Sujatha and Jeyasankar, 2018). In addition, the application of EPNs in IPM program requires more information about the interaction of EPNs with the usually used chemical pesticides to predict the EPN viability and efficiency under pesticide stress on pest mortality (De Nardo and Grewal, 2003; Laznik *et al*., 2012). The knowledge about the compatibility between chemical pesticides and EPNs may play a role in the development and improvement of thefoliar application of EPNs. For more detail on the application technology, see reviews of Koppenhöfer *et al*. (2020) and El-Ashry *et al*. (2020).

Production and Formulation of EPNs:

According to Grewal (2002), the EPN formulation process means the transformation of living organisms into a product for use by practical methods. It is a very important process to facilitate EPN storage, transport and application. Nowadays, many EPN species are produced commercially and available for short-term storage, since IJs can be produced relatively cheaply in large amounts (Shapiro-Ilan *et al*., 2006). In other words, the EPN formulation is one of the most important factors for the successful application of EPNs as potential biocontrol agents against insect pests (Grewal, 2002).

Different factors that affect the application should be taken into consideration for using EPNs as a component of the IPM program, including markets, crops and target insects, formulation and shelf life, usage directions, technical support, cost and others (Georgis *et al*., 2006; Lacey and Georgis, 2012). Tahir and Shaheen (2019) described some new molecules acting as phagostimulant and UV retardants that have been incorporated, if used along with nematode, can extend their survival on leafage.

However, some reviews have been available in the last two decades focusing on the progress of EPN formulation and application technology (Grewal and Peters, 2005; Shapiro-Ilan *et al*., 2006, 2012). Grewal (2002) provided a valuable review of the EPN formulation. Cruz-Martínez *et al*. (2017) provided another review in which they focused on the progress and perspective of the EPN formulations during the last years, and emphasized a systemic approach to include disciplines, such as invertebrate physiology, materials science, and systems modeling. Recently, Koppenhöferet *al*. (2020) provided a review of the mass production of EPNs.

Summary Points:

* Many studies have reported various degrees of pathogenicity of different EPN species against insects of several orders, such as Lepidoptera, including *Agrotisipsilon*, Diptera, Hemiptera, Orthoptera and Coleoptera.

* Various studies revealed a positive correlation between the mortality rate of insects and the concentration of different EPN species, i.e., the mortality rate of EPN-infected insect larvaeincreased with the increasing concentration of the nematode IJs.

* Although different reasons for insect mortality will be discussed in some detail later in the present review, variation of the insect mortality rates may be due to the fact that the success of initial penetration of some IJs individuals of EPNs opens pathways for other IJs. Also, the death of EPN-infected larvae is caused mainly by the effect of the EPN-associated symbiotic bacteria.

* The insect mortality rate of different insects has been reported to increase with increasing time intervals of exposure to EPN. This may indicate the susceptibility of these larvae to this EPN species increased with the prolongation of exposure time. On the other hand, the mortality rate of EPN-infected larvae of some insects did not increase with the increasing time interval of exposure. This may indicate a strong acute pathogenicity of this EPN species or its stronger initial killing power against larvae than other EPN species.

* Variation of the median lethal concentrations depends on the susceptibility of the infected insect and its stage or size, the virulence of the tested EPN and its concentration, infective juvenile age, time of exposure and different experimental factors affecting penetration of EPN species.

* The 4th larval instar of different insects was found the most sensitive one to different EPNs.On the contrary, other larval instars of certain insects were found more susceptible than 4th instar. In general, the sensitivity of certain larval instar (s) to the EPNs can be attributed to the variation in genetics, infectivity, physiology, climatic adaptation and morphology of different EPN species.

* Some EPN species are found more pathogenic than other species against the same insect due to the EPN traits (such as EPN species/stain, application methods, behavior andforaging strategies, reproductive strategies and species of the associated symbiotic bacteria), the host characters, the abiotic and biotic environmental factors.

* Some EPN-infected larvae can successfully transform into the next developmental stage. This vital event was reported in many studies that recorded the transformation of some EPN-infected pupae into adults, with a reduction in adult emergence. It can be explained by some resistance of the pupal stage for EPNs. However, the metamorphosis of EPN-infected larvae into pupae was rarely reported.

* Not only the insect larvae are susceptible to EPNs, but also some studies reported the susceptibility of egg stage, pupal stage and adult stage to these nematodes. However, many studies reported that pupae are less susceptible to EPN than larvae. Also, the insect adults that have been reported as susceptible to EPNs belong to orders other than Lepidoptera, as shown in the available literature.

* Besides the significance of insect mortality in a previous point, EPNs can produce and secrete some active insecticidal substances, including toxins and proteases, which contribute to the lethal effect on the infected host insects. These EPNs are able to effectively kill their hosts independently by their products, linked to host immunosuppression during the initial stages of infection. In addition, there are associating symbiotic bacteria in the intestines of nematode infective juveniles (IJs). These mutualistic bacteria were reported to contribute to the killing of insect hosts by certain mechanisms.

* The virulence of EPN, as biological control agents of specific target insects, varies greatly depending on their innate characteristics, such as host-seeking behaviors, adaptation capabilities, host specificity and reproductive capacity.

* Symbiotic association of EPNs with specialized bacteria is a key factorin EPN virulence. The nematodes/bacterias association is crucial since steinernematid EPNs are less pathogenic without their symbiotic bacteria and heterorhabditids EPNs are not able to kill

an insect without their symbiotic bacteria. The symbiotic association of IJs with the bacterial endosymbionts is one of thefactors influencing EPN virulence since symbiotic bacteria release a wide range of secondary metabolites into host haemolymph.

* The EPN pathogenicity or virulence of different EPN species against insect host can be affected by their foraging strategies. The heterorhabditid EPNs display a cruiser strategy and suit to infect insects living under the soil, while steinernematid EPNs are more effective to insects near the soil surface because of their ambusher strategy.

* The developmental stage of theinsect host is an essential parameter of the EPN virulence because the EPN virulence varies significantly among the larval instars. In some insects, the later larval instars are more susceptible to EPNs than earlier ones and *vice versa*.

* The ambient temperature is one of the limiting factors of EPN virulence. The target insect's habitat is an affecting parameter of EPN virulence. Insect host physiology is a key factor in EPN virulence. The EPN's sensitivity to environmental stresses, such as desiccation, inhibits its effectiveness as a biological control agent. The host plant and its secondary metabolites are an affecting parameter of EPN virulence.

* One of the most important parameters affecting the pathogenicity and virulence of EPNs is the application method of EPN formulations against insects.

* Nowadays, many EPN species are produced commercially and available for short-term storage, since IJs can be produced relatively cheaply in large amounts.

Conclusions: Many studies have reported the pathogenicity of several EPN species against several insect pests of different orders, such as Lepidoptera, including *Agrotisipsilon*, Diptera, Hemiptera, Orthoptera and Coleoptera. In particular, EPNs have been reported to quickly kill the insect host, by their own toxic secretions and products or by the products of their symbiotic bacteria. Therefore, many insect pests, in the soil or above ground, may be successfully controlled by using effective EPNs under suitable biotic and abiotic conditions, as well as with appropriate EPN concentration under suitable environmental conditions.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate: Not applicable.

Competing interests: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Authors Contributions: I hereby verify that all authors mentioned on the title page have made substantial contributions to the conception and design of the study, have thoroughly reviewed the manuscript, confirm the accuracy and authenticity of the data and its interpretation, and consent to its submission.

Funding: No funding was received.

Acknowledgement: Theauthor would like to express his appreciation and sincere thanks to Dr. El-Sayed Shaurub, Professor of Entomology, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Egypt, for the critical revision of the draft of the present review.

REFERENCES

Aashaq, H.B.; Ashok, K.C. and Tarique, H.A. (2020): Global distribution of entomopathogenic nematodes, *Steinernema* and *Heterorhabditis*. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 30(1):1–15. https://doi. org/10.1186/s41938-020-0212-y

Aatif, H.M.; Hanif, M.S.; Ferhan, M.; Raheel, M.; Shakeel, Q.; Ashraf, W.; Irfan Ullah, M and Ali, S. (2019): Assessment of the entomopathogenic nematodes against maggots and pupae of the oriental fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel) (Diptera: Tephritidae), under laboratory conditions. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 29: 51. Doi:10.1186/s41938-019-0154-4

Abd El Azim, A.M. (2022): Efficacy of the entomopathogenic nematode isolate *Heterorhabditistaysearae*to control the cotton leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis*

(Boisd.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 32:4 https://doi.org/10.1186/ s41938-022-00503-z

Abd El-Aziz, A.; Omer, E.A. and Sabra, A.S. (2007): Chemical composition of *Ocimum americanum* essential oil and its biological effects against *Agrotis ipsilon* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, 3(6): 740-747.

Abd El-Hamid, M. (2004): Effect of gamma irradiation on certain biological and physiological aspects of black cut worm *Agrotis ipsilon* (Hufn). M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Egypt. 198pp.

Abd El-Motaal, D.S.; G.M. Nouh,; A.A. Sarhan,; N.A. El-Basha, and N. S. Mandour, (2021): Utilization of the entomopathogenic nematodes *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora* HP88 and *Steinernema feltiae* (Filipjev) as biological control agents against the peach fruit fly *Bactrocera zonata* Saunders (Tephritidae: Diptera). *Journal of Applied Plant Protection; Suez Canal University (Egypt),* 10 (1): 97-102.

Abd-El Wahed, S.M.N. and Elhadidy, N.M. (2018): Immunity changes in *Locusta migratoria* Linnaeus (Orthoptera: Acrididae) infected by entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema carpocapsae* (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae). *Journal of Plant Protection and Pathology (Egypt),* 9(12): 877-881. Doi:10.21608/JPPP.2018. 44100

Abdelmonem, A.E.; Hammad, S.A.; El-Tawil1, M.F. and Gamal El-Din, A.S.H. (2018): Effect of certain insecticides and entomopathogenic nematodes on potato tuber moth, *Phthorimaea operculella* (Zeller) under laboratory conditions. *Egyptian Scientific Journal of Pesticides*, 4(4); 16-24.

Acharya, R.; Hwang, H.-S.; Shim, J.-K.; Yu, Y.-S. and Lee, K.-Y. 2019): Control efficacy of fungus gnat, *Bradysia impatiens*, enhanced by a combination of entomopathogenic nematodes and predatory mites. *Biological Control*, 138: 104071.

Acharya, R.; Hwang, H.-S.; Mostafiz, M.M.; Yu, Y.-S. and Lee, K.-Y. (2020): Susceptibility of various developmental stages of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*, to entomopathogenic nematodes. *Insects*, 11: 868, 13pp. Doi:10.3390/insects11120868

Achinelly, M.; Micieli, M.; Marti, G. and García, J. (2004): Susceptibility of neotropical mosquito larvae (Diptera: Culicidae) and non-target aquatic organisms to the entomoparasitic nematode *Strelkovimermis spiculatus* Poinar& Camino, 1986 (Nematoda: Mermithidae). *Nematology*, 6(2): 299–302. https://doi.org/10.1163/ 1568541041217951

Adams, B.J. and Nguyen, K.B. (2002): Taxonomy and systematic. In: "Entomopathogenic Nematology"(Gauglar, R. (ed.). CABI, New York, NY, pp: 1-34.

Adly, D.; Nouh, G.M. and Eid, A.E. (2022): Application of entomopathogenic nematodes to control larvae of *Temnorhynchus baal* (Reiche and Saulcy) under laboratory conditions and in strawberry fields. *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences (A. Entomology),* 15(3):67-77. Doi: 10.21608/EAJBSA.2022. 256736

Ahmed, N.F.; Maklad, A.M.H.; Yassin, S.A. and Abolmaaty, S.M. (2014): Biochemical efects of *Steinernema feltiae*, *Steinernema riobrave* and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* on *Spodoptera littoralis* larvae. *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences (C Physiology and Molecular Biology),* 36(1):23–34. https://doi.org/ 10.21608/eajbsc.2014.16044

Ahmed, A.A.I.; Khalil, S.S.H. and Sahab, A.F. (2022): Identification and evaluation of isolated entomopathogenic fungus from Egyptian soil against the black cutworm larvae of *Agrotis ipsilon* (Hufnagel) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 32: 67. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-022-00564-0

Al-Ghnam, H.A.A. and Heikal, G.A.M. (2017): Evaluating the role of entomopathogenic nematodes for the biological control of the potato tuber moth, *Phthorimaea operculella* under laboratory conditions. *Journal of Plant Protection and Pathology, Mansoura University (Egypt),* 8 (11): 577-580.

Ali, A.G.A. (2011): Combined effect of gamma radiation and some fungal control agents on the greasy cut-worm *Agrotis ipsilon* (Huf.). Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Science (For Girls), Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt, 209 pp.

Ali, M.; Allouf, N. and Ahmad, M. (2022): First report of entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema affine* (Nematoda: Steinernematidae) in Syria and its virulence against *Galleria mellonella* L. (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 32: 101 https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-022-00602-x

Amutha, V.; Vengateswari, G. and Shivakumar, M.S. (2021) Entomopathogenecity of nematode *Panagrolaimus* spp. (Rhabditida: Panagrolaimidae) against lepidopteran pest *Spodoptera litura*, *International Journal of Pest Management*, 67(4): 320-327. Doi: 10.1080/09670874.2020.1776415

Andalo, V.; Santos, V.; Moreira, G.F.; Moreira, C.C. and Junior, A.M. (2010): Evaluation of entomopathogenic nematodes under laboratory and greenhouses conditions for the control of *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *Ciência Rural, Santa Maria*, 40(9): 1860-1866. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-84782010005000151

Andaló, V.; Rossati, K.P.; Carvalho, F.J.; Mieko, J.; de Faria, L.S.; de Assis, G.A. and Barbosa, L.R. (2018): Entomopathogenic nematodes for the control of *Gryllus* sp. (Orthoptera: Gryllidae) under laboratory and field conditions. *Arquivos do Instituto Biológico (Agricultural Microbiology),* 85: 7pp. Doi: 10.1590/1808. 1657000442017

Andaló, V.; de Fariam, L.S.; Carvalho, F.J.; de Assis, G.A.; Santos, V.; Mendes, S.M. and Gonring, A.H.R. (2021): Entomopathogenic nematodes for the control of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) pupae. *Arquivos do Instituto Biologico*, 88: 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1590/1808-1657000742019

Ansari, M.A. and Hussain, M.A. (2020): Indiscriminate feeding of commercial entomopathogenic nematodes caused death of *Aedes aegypti* larvae: potential for vector control of chikungunya, dengue and yellow fever. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 30(8): 840-854. https://doi.org/10.1080/09583157.2020.1776215

Archana, M.; de Souza, P.E. and Patil, J. (2017): Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes on developmental stages of house fly, *Musca domestica*. *Journal of Parasitic Diseases*, 41(3):782–794. Doi: 10.1007/s12639-017-0889-3

Arthurs, S.; Heinz, K.M. and Prasifka, J.R. (2004): An analysis of using entomopathogenic nematodes against above-ground pests. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 94(4): 297-306. Doi: 10.1079/ ber2003309.

Arviga, A.A.M. and Cortez-Madrigal, S. (2018): Susceptibility of *Musca domestica* larvae and adults to entomopathogenic nematodes, Heterorhabditidae and Steinernematidae native in Mexico. *Vector Ecology*, 43(2):312–320. https://doi.org/10.1111/jvec.12315

Askary, T.H. and Abd-Elgawad, M.M.M. (2017): Beneficial nematodes in agro-ecosystem: a global perspective. In: "Biocontrol Agents: entomopathogenic and slug parasitic nematodes" (Abd-Elgawad, M.M.M.; Askary, T.H. and Coupland, J., eds.). CAB International, UK, pp: 3–25.

Atay, T. and Kepenekci, İ (2015): Biological control potential of Turkish entomopathogenic nematodes against *Holotrichapionpullum* (Gyllenhal) (Coleoptera, Apionidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 26:7–10.

Atwa, A.A. and Hassan, S.H. (2014): Bioefficacy of two entomopathogenic nematodes against *Spodoptera littoralis*Boisduval (Lepidoptera) and *Temnorhynchusbaal* Reiche (Coleoptera) larva. *Journal of Biopesticides,* 7(2):104-109.

Azazy, A.M. (2001): Comparative studies between insect pathogenic nematodes and other nematodes in controlling some soil insect and fruit tree borers. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Mohstohor Agriculture Zagazig, University (Ehypt), 200 pp.

Bajwa, W.I. and Kogan, M. (2004): Cultural practices: springboard to IPM. In: "Integrated pest management, potential, constraints and challenges"(Koul, O.; Dhaliwal, G.S. and Cuperus, G.W., eds.). CAB International: Wallingford. Pp: 21-38.

Bal, H.K. and Grewal, P.S. (2015): Lateral dispersal and foraging behavior of entomopathogenic nematodes in the absence and presence of mobile and non-mobile hosts. *PLoS ONE*, 10(6), e0129887. https ://doi.org/10. 1371/ journal. pone.01298 87.

Barbercheck, M.E. (1992): Effect of soil physical factors on biological control agents of soil insect pests. *The Florida Entomologist*, 75: 539–548. https://doi. org/ 10.2307/3496136

Barbercheck, M.E.; Wang, J. and Hirsh, I.S. (1995): Host plant effects on entomopathogenic nematodes. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 66: 169–177. https://doi.org/10.1006/jipa.1995.1080

Barbercheck, M.E.; Wang, J. and Brownie, C. (2003): Adaptation of the entomopathogenic nematode, *Steinernema carpocapsae*, to insect food plant. *Biolofical Control*, 27: 81–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1049-9644(02)00187-1

Barbosa-Negrisoli, C.R.C.; Garcia, M.S.; Dolinski, C.; Negrisoli Jr., A.S.; Bernardi, D. and Nava, D.E. (2009): Efficacy of indigenous entomopathogenic nematodes (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae, Steinernematidae), from Rio Grande do Sul Brazil, against *Anastrephafraterculus* (Wied.) (Diptera: Tephritidae) in peach orchards. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 102: 6–13. https ://doi. org/ 10.1016/j.jip.2009.05.005.

Barış, A.; Yağcı, M.; Çimen, H. and Yücel, C. (2023): Efficacy of a native entomopathogenic nematode *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora* (isolate Z-1) against potato tuber moth (*Phthorimaeaoperculella*(Zeller) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Turkey. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 33:35. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s41938-023-00680-5

Baur, M.E.; Kaya, H.K. and Tabashnik, B. (1997): Efficacy of a dehydrated steinernematid nematode against black cutworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and diamondback moth (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 9(5):1200–1206. Doi: 10.1093/jee/90.5.1200.

Bedding, R.A. and Molyneux, A.S. (1982): Penetration of insect cuticle by infective juveniles of *Heterorhabditis* spp. (Heterorhabditidae: Nematoda). *Nematologica*, 28: 354-359. Doi:10.1163/ 187529282X00402

Bedding, R.A.; Molyneux, A.S. and Akhurst, R.J. (1983): *Heterorhabditis* spp., *Neoaplectana* spp., and *Steinernema kraussei*: Interspecific and intraspecific differences in infectivity for insects. *Experimental Parasitology*, 55, 249–257. Doi: 10.1016/0014-4894(83)90019-x.

Bélair, G.; Simardm L. and Dionne, J. (2013): Canadian entomopathogenic nematode isolates: virulence against black cutworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Phytoprotection*, 93(1): 43–46.

Belien, T. (2018): Entomopathogenic nematodes as biocontrol agents of insect pests in orchards. *CAB Reviews*, 13(58): 11pp. Doi: 10.1079/PAVSNNR201813058

Ben Husin, T.O. and Porta, G.R. (2021): Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes against *Tuta absoluta*. *Biological Control*, 160:   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol. 2021.104699

Bhairavi, K.S.; Bhattacharyya, B.; Devi, G.; Bhagawati, S.; Das, P.P.G.; Devi, E.B. and Manpoong, N.S. (2021): Evaluation of two native entomopathogenic nematodes against *Odontotermesobesus* (Rambur) (Isoptera: Termitidae) and *Agrotisipsilon* (Hufnagel) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 31:111, 8pp. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-021-00457-

Blacquière, T.; Smagghe, G.; Van Gestel, C.A.M. and Mommaerts, V. (2012): Neonicotinoids in bees: A review on concentrations, side-effects and risk assessment. *Ecotoxicology*, 21: 973–992. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-012-0863-x

Bobardt, S.D.; Dillman, A.R. and Nair, M.G. (2020): The two faces of nematode infection: virulence and immunomodulatory molecules from nematode parasites of mammals, insects and plants. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 11: 577846, 13pp. Doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020. 577846

Bode, H.B. (2009): Entomopathogenic bacteria as a source of secondary metabolites. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*, 13: 224–230. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.cbpa.2009.02.037

Bode, H.B. and Müller, R. (2005): The impact of bacterial genomics on natural product research. AngewandteChemie International Edition - Wiley Online Library, 4: 6828–6846. Doi: 10.1002/anie. 200501080.

Brown, S.E.; Cao, A.T.; Dobson, P.; Hines, E.R.; Akhurst, R.J. and East, P.D. (2006): Txp40, a ubiquitous insecticidal toxin protein from *Xenorhabdus*and *Photorhabdus* bacteria. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 72:1653-1662. Doi:10.1128/AEM. 72.2.1653-1662.2006.

Burana, K.; Ehlers, R.U. and Nimkingrat, P. (2022): Entomopathogenic nematodes for control of the cotton cutworm *Spodoptera litura* in marigolds. *Journal of Applied Entomology*, 146: 415–423. https://doi.org/10.1111/jen.12991

Caccia, M.G.; Del Valle, E.; Doucet, M.E. and Lax, P. (2014): Susceptibility of *Spodoptera frugiperda* and *Helicoverpagelotopoeon* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) to the entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema diaprepesi* (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae) under laboratory conditions. *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research*, 74: 123–126. http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-58392014000100019

Calvo-Agudo, M.; González-Cabrera, J.; Picó, Y.; Calatayud-Vernich, P.; Urbaneja, A.; Dicke, M. and Tena, A. (2019): Neonicotinoids in excretion product of phloem-feeding insects kill beneficial insects. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 116: 16817– 16822. https://doi. org/10.1073/pnas. 1904298116

Campbell, J.F. and Gaugler, R. (1993): Nictation behaviour and its ecological implications in the host search strategies of entomopathogenic nematodes (Heterorhabditidae and Steinernematidae). *Behaviour*, 126(3): 155–169. https://www.jstor. org/ stable/4535132

Campbell, J.F. and Lewis, E.E. (2002): Entomopathogenic nematode host search strategies. In: "The Behavioural Ecology of Parasites" (Lewis, E.E. et al., eds.) 13–38 (Wallingford, CAB International, 2002).

Campos-Herrera, R.; Barbercheck, M.; Hoy, C.W. and Stock, S.P. (2012): Entomopathogenic nematodes as a model system for advancing the frontiers of ecology. *Journal of Nematology*, 44:162–176.

Capinera, J.L. (2001): Hand book of vegetable pests. Academic Press, New York, 729 pp.

Capinera, J.L. (2018): Black cutworm, *Agrotisipsilon* (Hufnagel). University of Florida, Publication Number: EENY-395. Retrieved March 10, 2021, from http://entne mdept. uf. edu/ creatures/ veg/ black_ cutwo rm. Htm

Capinera, J.L.; Epsky, N.D.; Menout, G.S. and Pelissier, D. (1988): Control of black cutworm, *Agrotisipsilon* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), with entomogenous nematodes (Nematoda: Steinernematidae, Heterorhabditidae). *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 52(3): 427-435. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2011 (88) 90055-9

Cardoso, D.O.; Gomes, V.M.; Dolinski, C. and Souza, R.M. (2015): Potential of entomopathogenic nematodes as biocontrol agents of immature stages of *Aedes aegypti*. *Nematoda*, 2(1): e092015. http://dx.doi.org/10.4322/nematoda.09015

Castillo, J.C.; Reynolds, S.E. and Eleftherianos, I. (2011): Insect immune responses to nematode parasites. *Trends in Parasitology*, 27(12): 537–547. https://doi. org/ 10.1016/j.pt.2011.09.001

Chaneiko, S.M.; de Brida, A.L.; Bernardi, D.; Leite, L.G. and Garcia, F.R.M. (2021): Biological activity of entomopathogenic nematodes on *Anastrephafraterculus* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Bioscience Journal*, 37, e37047. https://doi.org/ 10. 14393/BJ-v37n0a2021-53895

Chang, D.Z.; Serra, L.; Lu, D.; Mortazavi, A. and Dillman, A.R. (2019). A core set of venom proteins is released by entomopathogenic nematodes in the genus *Steinernema*. *PLoS Pathogens*, 15:e1007626. Doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1007626

Chaston, J.M.; Murfin, K.E.; Heath-Heckman, E.A. and Goodrich-Blair, H. (2013): Previously unrecognized stages of species-specific colonization in the mutualism between *Xenorhabdus* bacteria and *Steinernema* nematodes. *Cell Microbiology*, 15: 1545–1559. Doi: 10.1111/cmi.12134.

Chergui, S.; Benzehra, A.; Boudjemaa, K.; Barkou, H. and Karaca, I. 2019. Efficacy of Turkish isolate of *Steinernema feltiae* (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae) in controlling the Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Tephritidae), under laboratory conditions. *Egyptian Journal of Biolofical Pest Control*, 29:60. Doi:10.1186/s41938-019-0162-4.

Chowanski, S.; Lubawy, J.; Spochacz, M.; Ewelina, P.; Grzegorz, S.; Rosinski, G. and Slocinska, M. (2015): Cold induced change in lipid, protein and carbohydrate levels in the tropical insect *Gromphadorhinacoquereliana*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology*, Part A, 183: 57-63. https://doi.10.1016/j.cbpa.2015. 01.007

Coleman, O. (2020): Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes for control of *Tuta absoluta* in South Africa. M.Sc. Thesis, North-West University, South Africa, 87pp.

Cruz-Martínez, H.; Ruiz-Vega, J.; Mas-Ortíz, P.T.M.; Cortés-Martínez, C.I. and Rosas-Diaz, J. (2017): Formulation of Entomopathogenic Nematodes for Crop Pest Control- a Review. *Plant Protection Science*, 53(1): 15-24. Doi: 10. 17221/35/2016-PPS

Darsouei, R.; Karimi, J.; Hosseini, M. and Ghadamyari, M. (2017): Immune defense components of *Spodoptera exigua* larvae against entomopathogenic nematodes and symbiotic bacteria. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 27(7): 867-885.https://doi.org/10.1080/ 09583157.2017.1350632

da Silva, C.C.A.; Dunphy, G.B. and Rau, M.E. (2000): Interaction of *Xenorhabdusnematophilus* (Enterobacteriaceae) with the antimicrobial defenses of the house cricket, *Acheta domestica*. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 76: 285–292. Doi: 10.1006/jipa.2000.4975.

De Nardo, E.A.B. and Grewal, P.S. (2003): Compatibility of *Steinernema feltiae* (Nematoda: Steinernematidae) with pesticides and plant growth regulators used in glasshouse plant production. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 13: 441-448. Doi: 10.1080/ 09583150310001124495

Demok, S.; Endersby-Harshman, N.; Vinit, R.; Timinao, L.; Robinson, L.J.; Susapu, M.; Makita, L.; Laman, M.; Hoffmann, A. and Karl, S. (2019): Insecticide resistance status of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* mosquitoes in Papua New Guinea. *Parasite Vectors*, 12(1):333. Doi: 10.1186/s13071-019-3585-6.

Derbalah, A.S.; Khidr, A.A.; Moustafa, H.Z. and Taman, A. (2014): Laboratory evaluation of some non-conventional pest control agents against the pink bollworm *Pectinophoragossypiella* (Saunders). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 24(2): 363-368. http://www.esbcp.org/index.asp

Devi, G. (2021): Insect defense system and immunosuppression strategies of entomopathogenic nematodes - an overview.*International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology*, 6(3): 69- 77. DOI: 10.22161/ ijeab.63.7

Dias, P.V.C.; Dolinski, C. and Molina, A.J.P. (2008): Influência da dose de juvenisinfectantes e da massa de larvas de *Galleria mellonella* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) naprodução*in vivo* de *Heterorhabditisbaujardi* LPP7 (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae). *Nematologia Brasileira*, 32 (4), 317-321.

Dichusa, C.A.; Ramos Jr, R.; Aryal, S.; Sumaya, N.P.D. and Sumaya, N.H. (2021): Survey and identification of entomopathogenic nematodes in the province of Cotabato, Philippines, for biocontrol potential against the tobacco cutworm, *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 31:60, 10pp. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-021-00390-w

Dillman, A.R. and Sternberg, P.W. (2012): Entomopathogenic nematodes. *Current Biology*, 22(11):430–431. Doi: 10.1016/j.cub. 2012.03.047

Dito, D.F.; Shapiro-Ilan, D.I.; Dunlap, C.A.; Behle, R.W. and Lewis, E.E. (2016): Enhanced biological control potential of the entomopathogenic nematode, *Steinernema carpocapsae*, applied with a protective gel formulation. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 26: 835-848. Doi: 10.1080/09583157.2016.1159659

Dlamini, B.E.; Dlamini, N.; Masarirambi, M.T. and Nxumalo, K.A. (2020): Control of the tomato leaf miner, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) larvae in laboratory using entomopathogenic nematodes from subtropical environment. *Journal of Nematology*, 52: 8pp. Doi: 10.21307/jofnem-2020-013

Dolinski, C.; Del Valle, E.E.; Burla, R.S. and Machado, I.R. (2007): Biological traits of two native Brazilian entomopathogenic nematodes (Rhabditida: heterorhabditidae). *Nematologia Brasileira*, 31(3): 180-185.

Doucet, M.M.A.; de Miranda, M.B.; Bertolotti, M.A.; and Caro, K.A. (1996): Efficacy of *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora* (strain OLI) in relation to temperature, concentration and origin of the infective juvenile. *Nematropica*, 26(2): 129-133.

Dowds, B.C.A. and Peters, A. (2003): Virulence mechanisms. In: "Entomopathogenic Nematology"(R. Gaugler (ed.), CABI Publishing, New Brunswick, New Jersey, USA. Pp: 79–90.

Du Preez, F.; Malan, A.P. and Addison, P. (2021): Potential of *in vivo*-and *in vitro* cultured entomopathogenic nematodes to infect *Lobesiavanillana* (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) under laboratory conditions. *PLoSONE*, 16(8):e0242645. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0242645

Du Preez, F.; Malan, A.P. and Addison, P. (2022): Potential of entomopathogenic nematodes for the control of *Plangiagraminea* (Orthoptera: Tettigoniidae) under laboratory conditions. *South African Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 43(2): 103-112. Doi: https://doi.org/10.21548/43-2-4897

Ebssa, L. and Koppenhofer, A.M. (2011): Efficacy and persistence of entomopathogenic nematodes for black cutworm control in turf grass. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 21(7):779–796. https://doi. org/ 10. 1080/ 09583 157. 2011. 584610

Ebssa, L. and Koppenhöfer, A.M. (2012): Entomopathogenic nematodes for the management of *Agrotisipsilon*: effect of instar, nematode species and nematode production method. *Pest Management Science*, 68(6): 947–957.

Ehlers, R.-U. (1996): Current and future use of nematodes in biocontrol: Practice and commercial aspects with regard to regulatory policy issues. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 6: 303-316. https://doi.org/10.1080/09583159631299

Ehlers, R-U; Stoessel, S. and Wyss, U. (1990): The influence of phase variants of *Xenorhadus* spp. and *Escherichia coli* (Enterobacteriaceae) on the *Heterorhabditis*. *Revue de Nematologie*, 13: 417- 424.

El Aalaoui, M.; Mokrini, F.; Dababat, A.A.; Lahlali, R. and Sbaghi, M. (2022): Moroccan entomopathogenic nematodes as potential biocontrol agents against *Dactylopiusopuntiae* (Hemiptera: Dactylopiidae). *Scientific Reports*, 12, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-022-11709-4

El-Ashry, R.M. and Ramadan, M.M. (2021): *In vitro* Compatibility and combined efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes with abamectin and imidacloprid against the white grub, *Pentodonbispinosus* Kust. *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences, (F: Toxicology & Pest control),* 13(1): 95-114. Doi: 10.21608/ EAJBSF.2021.145817

El-Ashry, R.M.; Ali, M.A.S. and Ali, A.A.I. (2020): The joint action of entomopathogenic nematodes mixtures and chemical pesticides on controlling *Helicoverpaarmigera* (Hübner). *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences (F: Toxicology & Pest Control),* 12(1): 101-116. Doi: 10.21608/eajbsf.2020.80376

El-Bassiouny, A.R. and El-Rahman, R.M.A. (2011): Susceptibility of Egyptian subterranean termite to some entomopathogenic nematodes. *Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Researches*, 89(1):121–134. Doi: 10.21608/ejar.2011.173943

El-Bishry, M.H.; El-Assal, F.M. and Abd El-Rahman, R.M. (2002): Factors affecting penetration rate of the entomopathogenic nematodes *Heterorhabditis* spp. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 12(1): 25-38.

Eleftherianos, I.; Millichap, P.J. and Reynolds, S.E. (2006): RNAi suppression of recognition protein mediated immune responses in the tobacco hornworm *Manduca sexta* causes increased susceptibility to the insect pathogen *Photorhabdus*. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology*, 30: 1099-1107. Doi: 10.1016/j.dci. 2006.02.008.

Eleftherianos, I.; Baldwin, H.; Ffrench-Constant, R.H. and Reynolds, S.E. (2008): Developmental modulation of immunity: changes within the feeding period of the fifth larval stage in the defence reactions of *Manduca sexta* to infection by *Photorhabdus*. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, 54:309–318. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jinsphys.2007.10.003

El-Kholy, M.Y.M. (2004): Utilization of entomopathogenic nematodes and bacteria in controlling Cabbage pests. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Sci., Ain Shams University, Egypt.

El-Sadawy, H.A.; Abou-Nour, A.A. and Sobh, H.A. (2006): Virulence of entomopathogenic nematodes to *Parasaecophagaaegyptiaca* and *Argas persicus*. In: Proceedings of 3rd international conference on veterinary research division, National Research Centre, Cairo, pp: 71–89.

Epsky, N.D. and Capinera, J.L. (1994): Invasion efficiency as a measure of efficacy of the entomogenous nematode *Steinernema carpocapsae* (Rhabditida, Steinernematidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 87:366–370. https://doi. org/10.1093/jee/87.2.366

Erdou F.D. (2021): On the efficiency of entomopathogenic nematodes (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae and Steinernematidae) on rust red four beetle,

Triboliumcastaneum (Herbst.) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 31:116. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1186/ s41938- 021- 00461-y

Erkut, C.; Penkov, S.; Fahmy, K. and Kurzchalia, T.V. (2012): How worms survive desiccation: Trehalose pro water. Worm, 1: 61–65. Doi: 10.4161/worm.19040.

Fahmy, A.R. (2014): Toxicological, biological and biochemical impact of some chitin synthesis inhibitors on the black cutwom, *Agrotisipsilon* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) (Hufn.). *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences (A. Entomology)*, 7(2): 119-128. Doi: 10.21608/EAJBSA.2014.13145

Fallet, P.; De Gianni, L.; Machado, R.A.; Bruno, P.; Bernal, J.S.; Karangwa, P.; Kajuga, J.; Waweru, B.; Bazagwira, D.; Degen, T. and Toepfer, S. (2022): Comparative screening of Mexican, Rwandan and commercial entomopathogenic nematodes to be used against invasive fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *Insects* 13(2):205. Doi: 10.3390/insects13020205.

Fard, N.Z.; Abbasipour, H.; Saeedizadeh, A. and Karimi, J. (2020): Laboratory assay of entomopathogenic nematodes against the elm leaf beetle, *Xanthogalerucaluteola* Müller (Col.: Chrysomelidae). *Journal of Forest Science*, 66(12): 524−531. https://doi.org/10. 17221/89/2020-JFS

Fateh, F.S.; Mukhtar, T.; Kazmi, M.R.; Abbassi, N.A. and Arif, A.M. (2017): Prevalence of citrus decline in district Sargodha. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 54: 9-13.

Fathy, Z. and Abd El-Rahman, R.M. (2023): Effect of entomopathogenic nematodes *Steinernema* species (steinernematidae: rhabditida) and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* (heterorhabditidae: rhabditida) on the digestive enzymes and midgut histology of the African migratory locust *Locusta migratoria migratorioides* (Acrididae: Orthoptera). *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science*, https://doi.org/10.1007/s42690-023-00979-8

Fernandes, C.P.; Xavier, A.; Pacheco, J.P.F.; Santos, M.G.; Mexas, R.; Ratcliffe, N.A.; Gonzalez, M.S.; Mello, C.B.; Rocha, L. and Feder, D. (2013): Laboratory evaluation of the effects of *Manilkarasubsericea*(Mart.) Dubard extracts and triterpenes on the development of *Dysdercusperuvianus* and *Oncopeltus fasciatus*. *Pest Management Science*, 69: 292–301. Doi: 10.1002/ps.3388

Fetoh, A.S.; Khaled, A.S. and EI-Nagar, T.F.K. (2009): Combined effect of entomophagenic nematodes and biopesticides to control the greasy cut worm, *Agrotisipsilon* (Hufn.) in the strawberry fields. *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences,(A.Entomology),*2: 227-236. Doi: 10.21608/EAJBSA. 2009.15718

Ffrench-Constant, R.H.; Dowling, A. and Waterfield, N.R. (2007a): Insecticidal toxins from *Photorhabdus* bacteria and their potential use in agriculture. *Toxicon*, 49: 436-451. Doi: 10.1016/j.toxicon. 2006.11. 019.

Ffrench-Constant, R.H.; Eleftherianos, I. and Reynolds, S.E. (2007b): A nematode symbionts sheds light on invertebrate immunity. *Trends in Parasitology*, 23: 514–517. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2007.08.021

Filgueiras, C.C. and Willett, D.S. (2021): Non-lethal effects of entomopathogenic nematode infection. *Scientific Reports*, 11: 17090. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-96270-2

Fitters, P.F.L.; Patel, M.N.; Griffin, C.T. and Wright, D.J. (1999): Fatty acid composition of *Heterorhabditis* sp. during storage. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology*, 124: 81-88. Doi:10.1016/ S0305-0491(99)00102-9.

Gaber, M.A.M.; Shamseldean, M.S.M.; Ibrahim, N.M. and Rabia, M.M. (2018): Impact and biochemical changes of Egyptian and imported entomopathogenic nematodes on the

desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria* (Forskal, 1775) (Orthoptera: Acrididae). *Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research*, 7(4): 1528-1544.

Gal, T.Z.; Glazer, I. and Koltai, H. (2003): Diferential gene expression during desiccation stress in the insect-killing nematode *Steinernema feltiae* IS-6. *Journal of Parasitology*, 89: 761–766. Doi: 10.1645/GE-3105.

Gal, T.Z.; Glazer, I. and Koltai, H. (2005): Stressed worms: responding to the post-genomics era. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology*, 143: 1–5. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.molbiopara.2005.04.011

Gan-Mor, S. and Matthews, G.A. (2003): Recent developments in sprayers for application of biopesticides: an overview. *Biosystems Engineering*, 84(2): 119–125. doi:10.1016/S1537-5110(02)00277-5

Gassmann, A.J.; Stock, S.P.; Tabashink, B.E. and Singer, M.S. (2010): Tritrophic effects of host plants on an herbivore-pathogen interaction. *Annals of Entomological Society of America*, 103: 371–378. Doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1603/AN09130

Gaugler, R.; Campell, J.F. and McGuire, T.R. (1989): Selection for host-finding in *Steinernema feltiae*. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 54: 363-372. Doi:10.1016/0022-2011(89)90120-1.

Gaugler, R.; Bednarek, A. and Campbell, J.F. (1992): Ultraviolet inactivation of heterorhabditid and steinernematid nematodes. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 160: 155-160.https://doi.org/ 10.1016/0022-2011(92)90026-Z

George, J.; Devi, G. and Bhattacharyya, B. (2019): Survival and infectivity of entomopathogenic nematode *Oscheiusrugaoensis* in different formulations against wax moth, *Galleria mellonella*. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 7(3): 241-244.

Georgis, R. and Poinar, G.O. (1994): Nematodes as bioinsecticides in turf and ornamentals. In: "Handbook of Integrated Pest Management for Turfgrass and Ornamentals" (Leslie AR. ed.) Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, FL, pp: 477–489.

Georgis, R.; Koppenhöfer, A.M.; Lacey, L.A.; Bélair, G.; Duncan, L.W.; Grewal, PS.; Samish, M.; Tan, L.; Torr, P. and Rwhm, van T. (2006): Successes and failures in the use of parasitic nematodes for pest control. *Biological Control*, 38:103–123. https:// doi.org/ 10. 1016/j. biocontrol. 2005. 11. 005

Ghoneim, K.; Tanani, M.; Hassan, H.A. and Bakr, N.A. (**2022**): Comparative efficiency of the entomopathogenic nematodes, *Steinernema carpocapsae* and *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora*, against the main body metabolites of *Agrotisipsilon* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences (C.Physiology & Molecular Biology),* 14(2): 57-72. Doi: 10. 21608/EAJBSC.2022.260471

Ghoneim, K.; Tanani, M.; Hassan, H.A. and Bakr, N.A. (2023a): Pathogenicity of the Entomopathogenic Nematodes, *Steinernema carpocapsae* and *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora*, against the Black Cutworm *Agrotisipsilon* (Hufnagel) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *European Journal of Applied Sciences*, 11(2): 526-562. Doi:10.14738/aivp.112.14356.

Ghoneim, K.; Hassan, H.A.; Tanani, M. and Bakr, N.A. (2023b): Enzymatic disturbance in larvae of the black cut worm, *Agrotisipsilon* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), by infection with the entomopathogenic nematodes, *Steinernema carpocapsae* and *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora*. *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences (C. Physiology & Molecular Biology),* 15(1):121-142. Doi: 10.21608/ EAJBSC.2023.285633

Giannasi, A.de O.; Brambila, C.R.; Zart, M.; Guide, B.A. and Alves, V.S. (2018): Assessment of entomopathogenic nematodes in *Agrotisipsilon* (Lepidoptera:

Noctuidae) under laboratory and greenhouse conditions. *RevistaColombiana de Entomología*, 44(1): 25-31. Doi: 10.25100/socolen.v44i1.6533

Glare, T.R.; Gwynn, R.L. and Moran-Diez, M.E. (2016): Development of biopesticides and future opportunities. In: "Microbial-Based Biopesticides: Methods and Protocols"(Glare, T.R. and Moran-Diez, M.E., eds.). New York: Springer Science+Business Media BV. pp: 211-221.

Glazer, I. (2002): Survival biology. In: "Entomopathogenic nematology" (Gaugler, R., ed.). CABI, Wallingford, pp: 205–220.

Glazer, I. (2015): Improvement of entomopathogenic nematodes: a genetic approach. In: "Nematode Pathogenesis of Insects and Other Pests", pp: 29–55, Springer. Doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-18266-7_2

Godjo, A.; Zadji, L.; Decraemer, W.; Willems, A. and Afouda, L. (2018): Pathogenicity of indigenous entomopathogenic nematodes from Benin against mango fruit fly (*Bactrocera dorsalis*) under laboratory conditions. *Biological Control* 117, 68–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biocontrol .2017.10.009.

Gomaa, Sh.I.; Halawa, S.M.; Shalaby, F.F. and Abdel-Hafez, H.F. (2020): Toxicological and biochemical parameters of microbial preparations on the cotton leafworm *Spodoptera littoralis* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Egyptian Journal of Plant Protection Research Institute*, 3(1): 204-214.

González, J.C.L. (2006): Avaliação de aspectosbiológicos de *Heterorhabditisbaujardi* LPP7 (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae) visandoaaplicação num sistema de irrigaçãopormicroaspersão. Dissertation. Campos dos Goytacazes: State University of Northern Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

Gorgadze, O.; Bakhtadze, G. and Nebieridze, D. (2018): Efficacy of local entomopathogenic nematodes against *Pieris brassicae* (L, 1758) (Lepidoptera: Pieridae). *International Journal of Development Research*, 8: 23900–23903.

Goudarzi, M.; Moosavi, M.R. and Asadi, R. (2015): Effects of entomopathogenic nematodes, *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora* (Poinar) and *Steinernema carpocapsae* (Weiser), in biological control of *Agrotis segetum* (Denis &Schiffermüller) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Turkish Journal of Entomology*, 39(3): 239–250. Doi: 10.16970/ted.43220

Gouge, D.H.; Smith, K.A.; Lee, L.L. and Henneberry, T.J. (2000): Effect of soil depth and moisture on the vertical distribution of *Steinernema riobrave* (Nematoda: Steinernematidae). *Journal of Nematology*, 32(2): 223–228.

Gözel, Ç.; Yılmaz, A.; Ataş, H. and Gözel, U. (2020): Efficiency of native entomopathogenic nematodes on Sunn pest *Eurygasterintegriceps*Puton, 1881 (Hemiptera: Scutelleridae). *Turkish Journal of Biological Control*, 11:139–148.

Grewal P.S. (2002): Formulation and application technology. In: "Entomopathogenic Nematology"(Gaugler R., ed.):. Oxfordshire, CABI: 265–287. https://doi. org/ 10.1079/9780851995670.0265

Grewal, P.S. and Peters, A. (2005): Formulation and quality. In: "Nematodes as Biocontrol Agents"(Grewal, P.S.; Ehlers, R.U. and Shapiro-Ilan, D.I., ed.s); CABI: Wallingford, UK,; pp: 79–90. https://doi.org/10.1079/9780851990170.0079

Grewal, P.S.; Nardo, E.A.B. and Aguillera, M.M. (2001): Entomopathogenic nematodes: potential for exploration and use in South America. *Neotropical Entomology*, 30: 191-205. http://dx.doi. org/10.1590/S1519-566X2001000200001

Grewal, P.S.; Koppenhöfer, A.M. and Choo, H.Y. (2005): Lawn, turfgrass, and pasture applications. In: "Nematodes as Biocontrol Agents" (Grewal, P.S; Ehlers, R.U. and Shapiro-Ilan, D.I., eds.) Wallingford: CABI Publishing. Cambridge, MA USA, pp: 115-146.

Griffin, C.T. (2012): Perspectives on the behavior of entomopathogenic nematodes from dispersal to reproduction: traits contributing to nematode fitness and biocontrol efficacy. *Journal of Nematology*, 44(2):177-184.

Griffin, C.T. and Downes, M.J. (1991): Low temperature activity in *Heterorhabditis* sp. (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae. *Nematologica*, 37: 83-91. Doi:10.1163/ 187529291X00088.

Griffin, C.T.; Boemare, N.E. and Lewis, E.E. (2005): Biology and Behaviour. In: "Nematodes as biocontrol agents"(Grewal, P.S.; Ehlers, R.U. and Shapiro-Ilan, D. eds.). CABI, Wallingford, UK, pp. 47-64. https://doi.org/10. 1079/ 9780851990170.0047

Guedes, R.N.C. and Picanco, M.C. (2012): The tomato borer *Tuta absoluta*in South America: pest status, management and insecticide resistance. *Bulletin OEPP/European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organization Bulletin*, 42(2):211–216.https://doi.org/10. 1111/epp.2557

Guerrero, M.R. and Pardey, A.E.B. (2019): Selection of entomopathogenic nematodes to control nymphs of *Haplaxiuscrudus* (Van Duzee) (Hemiptera: Cixiidae). *American Journal of Entomology*, 3(1): 24-29. Doi: 10.11648/j.aje.20190301.14

Gulcu, B.; Cimen, H, Raja, R.K. and Hazir, S. (2017): Entomopathogenic nematodes and their mutualistic bacteria: their ecology and application as microbial control agents. *Biopesticides International*, 3(2): 79-112. http://www.connectjournals. com/bi

Gulzar, S.; Usman, M.; Wakil, W.; Wu, Sh.; Oliveira-Hofman, C. R.; Srinivasan; Toews, M. and Shapiro-Ilan, D. (2021): Virulence of entomopathogenic nematodes to pupae of *Frankliniellafusca* (Thysanoptera: Thripidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 114(5): 2018- 2023. https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toab132

Gümüssoy, A.; Yüksel, E.; Özer, G.; Imren, M.; Canhilal, R.; Amer, M. and Dababat, A.A. (2022): Identification and biocontrol potential of entomopathogenic nematodes and their endosymbiotic bacteria in apple orchards against the codling moth, *Cydiapomonella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). *Insects*, 13: 1085. https://doi.org/10. 3390/insects13121085

Hade, W.S.; Djamilah and Priyatiningsih (2020): Entomopatogen nematode exploration and virulency against *Spodoptera frugiperda* J.E Smith. *Agritropica: Journal of Agricultural Science*, 3(2): 70-81. Doi: https://doi.org/10.31186/Jagritropica. 3.2.70-81

Han, R. and Ehlers, R.U. (2000): Pathogenicity, development, and reproduction of *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora* and *Steinernema carpocapsae* under axenic *in vivo* conditions. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 75: 55–58. Doi: 10.1006/jipa.1999.4900.

Hassan, H.A.; Shairra, S.A. and Ibrahim, S.S. (2016): Virulence of entomopathogenic nematodes *Steinernema glaseri* and *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora*Poinar (HP88strain) against the black cutworm, *Agrotisipsilon. Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences (A. Entomology)*, 9(1): 33-48. Doi: 10.21608/EAJBSA. 2016.12853

Hayat, U.; Qin, H.; Zhao, J.; Akram, M.; Shi, J. and Ya, Z. (2021): Variation in the potential distribution of *Agrotisipsilon* (Hufnagel) globally and in Pakistan under current and future climatic conditions. *Plant Protection Science*, 57(2): 148–158. Doi: 10.17221/41/2020-PPS

Hazir, S.; Kaya, H.K.; Stock, S.P. and Keckun, N. (2003): Entomopathogenic nematodes (Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae) for biological control of soil pests. *Turkish Journal of Biology*, 27: 181-202.

Head, J.; Lawrence, A.J. and Walters, K.F.A. (2004): Efficacy of the entomopathogenic nematode, *Steinernema feltiae*, against *Bemisiatabaci* in relation to plant species. *Journal of Applied Entomology*, 128: 543-547. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.2004.00882.x

Heve, W.K.; El-Borai, F.E.; Carrillo, D. and Duncan, L.W. (2017): Biological control potential of entomopathogenic nematodes for management of Caribbean fruit fly, *Anastrephasuspensa* Loew (Tephritidae). *Pest Management Science*, 73: 1220–1228. Doi:10.1002/ ps.4447

Hillyer, J.F. (2010): Mosquito immunity. In: "Invertebrate immunity" (Söderhäl K, ed.). Advances in experimental medicine and biology. Boston MA: Springer, pp: 218–238. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-8059-5_12.

Hiltpold, I.; Hibbard, B.E.; French, B.W. and Turlings, T.C.J. (2012): Capsules containing entomopathogenic nematodes as a Trojan horse approach to control the western corn rootworm. *Plant Soil*, 358: 10-24. Doi: 10.1007/s11104-012-1253-0

Hinchlife, S.J.; Hares, M.C. and Dowling, A.J. (2010): Insecticidal toxins from the *Photorhabdus*and *Xenorhabdus* bacteria. *Open Toxinology Journal*, 3(1). https://doi. org/10.1007/S00441- 019- 03031-9.

Hunt, H.W.; Wall, D.H.; DeCrappeo, N.M. and Brenner, J.S. (2001): A model for nematode locomotion in soil. *Nematology*, 3: 705–716. Doi: 10.1163/ 156854101753536082

Hussaini, S.S.; Rabindra, R.J. and Nagesh, M. (2003a): Current status of research on entomopathogenic nematodes in India: Workshop on the Entomopathogenic Nematodes in India, held on 22 and 23rd January, 2003.

Hussaini, S.S.; Singh, S.P. and Shakeela, V. (2003b): Variable efficacy of different formulations of entomopathogenic nematodes against black cutworm *Agrotisipsilon* (Hufnagel) larvae. In: "Biological Control of lepidopteran pests". Proceedings of the Symposium of Biological Control of Lepidopteran Pests (Tandon, P.L.; Ballal, C.R.; Jalali, S.K.; and Rabindra, R.J. eds), PDBC, Bangalore, pp: 193-198.

Hussaini, S.S.; Shakeela, V. and Dar, M.H. (2005): Influence of temperature on infectivity of entomopathogenic nematodes against black cutworm, *Agrotisipsilon* (Hufnagel) and greater wax moth, *Galleria mellonella* (Linnaeus) larvae. *Journal of Biological Control*, 19(1): 51-58. https://doi.org/10.18311/jbc/2005/15062

Ibarra, J.E.; Castro, M.C.D.R.; Galindo, E.; Patino, M.; Serrano, L.; Garcia, R.; Carrillo, J.A.; Pereyra-Alferez, B.; Alcazar-Pizana, A. and Luna-Olvera, H. (2006): Microorganisms in the biological control of insects and phytopathogens. *RevistaLatinoamericana de Microbiología*, 48(2):113-120.

Ibrahim, S.A.M.; Taha, M.A.; Salem, H.H.A. and Farghaly, D.S. (2015): Changes in enzyme activities in *Agrotisipsilon* (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae) as a response to entomopathogenic nematode infection. *International Journal of Advanced Research*, 3(5): 111-118.

Ilan, T.; Kim-Shapiro, D.B.; Bock, C.H. and Shapiro-Ilan, D.I. (2013): Magnetic and electric fields induce directional responses in *Steinernema carpocapsae*. *International Journal of Parasitology,* 43: 781–784. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijpara.2013.05.007

Ismail, S.M. (2021): Field persistence of certain new insecticides and their efficacy against black cutworm, *Agrotisipsilon* (Hufnagel). *Bulletin of the National Research Center*, 45:17, 7pp. https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-020-00481-y

Ivanova, T.S.; Borovaya, V.P. and Danilov, L.G. (1994): A biological method of controlling the potato moth. *Zashch.-Rast.-Moskva*. No. 2: 390.

Jacob, Y.S. and Mathew, P. (2016): Laboratory evaluation of entomopathogenic nematodes against American serpentine leaf miner, *Liriomyzatrifolii* (Burgess). *Journal of Biopesticides*, 9(1): 27–33.Doi: 10.57182/jbiopestic.9.1.27-33

Jagodič, A.; Trdan, S. and Laznik, Ž. (2019): Entomopathogenic nematodes: can we use the current knowledge on belowground multitrophic interactions in future plant protection programmes?- Review. *Plant Protection Science, Czech Academy of Agricultural Sciences*, 55(4): 243- 254. Doi: 10.17221/24/2019-PPS

James, M.; Malan, A.P. and Addison, P. (2018): Surveying, and screening South African entomopathogenic nematodes for the control of the Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann). *Crop Protection*, 105: 41-48. https://doi. org/ 10.1016/j.cropro.2017.11.008

Javed, S.; Khanum, T.A. and Khan, S. (2020): Biocontrol potential of Entomopathogenic nematode species against *Triboliumconfusum* (Jac.) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) and *Rhyzoperthadominica* (Fab.) (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) under laboratory conditions. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 30: 5, 6pp.   https:// doi. org/10. 1186/s41938-020-0206-9

Javed, S.; Khanum, T.A. and Ali, A. (2022): Storage and efficacy of entomopathogenic nematode species as a biocontrol agent against the armyworm, *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius)(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 32:6 https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-022-00505-x

Jean-Baptiste, M.C.; de Brida, A.L.; Bernardi, D.; da Costa Dias, S.; de Bastos Pazini, J.; Leite, L.G.; Wilcken, S.R.S. and Garcia, F.R.M. (2021): Effectiveness of entomopathogenic nematodes against *Ceratitis capitata* (Diptera: Tephritidae) pupae and nematode compatibility with chemical insecticides. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 114(1): 248–256, https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toaa301

Jeschke, P.; Nauen, R.; Schindler, M. and Elbert, A. (2011): Overview of the status and global strategy for neonicotinoids. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59(7): 2897–2908. https://doi. org/10.1021/jf101303g

Jian, H.; Yang, X.; Liu, Z.; Yang, H. and Miao, Z. (2002): Infectivity of entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema ceratophorum* to bark beetle *Dendroctonusvalens* larvae. *Scientia SilvaeSinicae*, 38:157–159.

Jones, K.; Tafesh-Edwards, Gh.; Kenney, E.; Toubarro, D.; Simões, N. and Eleftherianos, I. (2022): Excreted secreted products from the parasitic nematode *Steinernema carpocapsae* manipulate the Drosophila melanogaster immune response. *Scientific Reports*, 12:14237. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-18722-7

Kaliaskar, D.; Shibaeva, A.; Zhappar, N.; Shaikhutdinov, V.; Asherbekova, L.; Bekbulatov, S. and Kalyaskarova, A. (2022): The efficiency of aboriginal entomopathogenic nematodes from semi-arid zone against Tenebrionidae larvae with comparison to commercial bio-insecticides. *AGRIVITA Journal of Agricultural Science*, 44(3): 526-536. http://doi.org/10.17503/agrivita.v44i3.3760

Kamali, S.; Karimi, J.; Hosseini, M.; Campos-Herrera, R. and Larry, W. (2013): Biocontrol potential of the entomopathogenic nematodes *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora* and *Steinernema carpocapsae* on cucurbit fly, *Dacus ciliates* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 23: 1307–1323. https://doi.org/10. 1080/ 09583157.2013.835790

Kaplan, F.; Alborn, H.T.; von Reuss, S.H.; Ajredini, R.; Ali, J.G.; Akyazi, F.; Stelinski, L.L.; Edison, A.S.; Schroeder, F.C. and Teal, P.E. (2012): Interspecific nematode signals regulate dispersal behavior. *PloS ONE*, 7(6): e38735, 8pp. Doi: 10. 1371/journal. pone.0038735.

Kaplan, F.; Shapiro-Ilan, D. and Schiller, K.C. (2020): Dynamics of entomopathogenic nematode foraging and infectivity in microgravity. *Nature Partner Journal Microgravity*, 6: 20, 9pp; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41526-020-00110-y

Kapranas, A.; Sbaiti, I.; Degen, T. and Turlings, T.C.J. (2020): Biological control of cabbage fly *Delia radicum* with entomopathogenic nematodes: Selecting the most effective nematode species and testing a novel application method. *Biological Control*, 144, 104212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2020.104212

Karagoz, M.; Gulcu, B.; Hazir, C.; Kaya, H.K. and Hazir, S. (2009): Biological control potential of Turkish entomopathogenic nematodes against the Mediterranean fruit fly *Ceratitis capitata. Phytoparasitica*. 37, 153–159. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s1260 0-008-0020-5.

Kary, N.E.; Sanatipour, Z.; Mohammadi, D. and Dillon, A.B. (2021): Combination effects of entomopathogenic nematodes, *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora* and *Steinernema feltiae*, with Abamectin on developmental stages of *Phthorimaeaoperculella* (Lepidoptera, Gelechiidae). *Journal of Crop Production*, 143(4):105543. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2021. 105543

Kasi, I.K.; Singh, M.; Waiba, K.M.; Monika, S.; Waseem, M.A.; Archie, D. and Gilhotra, H. (2021): Bio-efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes, *Steinernema feltiae* and *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora* against the Cabbage butterfly (*Pieris brassicae* [L.]) under laboratory conditions. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 31:125, 7pp. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-021-00469-4

Kassi, A.K.; Javed, H. and Mukhtar, T. (2018): Screening of okra varieties for resistance against *Helicoverpaarmigera. Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, 50: 91-95. https://doi.org/10.17582/journal.pjz/ 2018.50.1.91. 95

Kaya, H.K. (2002): Natural enemies and other antagonists. In: "Entomopathogenic Nematology" (Gaugler, R., ed.). CABI, New York,, pp: 189-204. https://doi.org/ 10.1079/9780851995670.0189

Kaya, H.K. and Gaugler, R. (1993): Entomopathogenic nematodes. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 38: 181–206. https://doi.org/10. 1146/annurev.en.38. 01019 3.00114 5.

Kaya, H.K.; Burlando, T.M. and Thurston, G.S. (1993): Two Entomopathogenic nematode species with different search strategies for insect suppression. *Environmental Entomology*, 22: 859-864. https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/22.4.859

Kayani, M.Z.; Mukhtar, T. and Hussain, M.A. (2017): Effects of southern root knot nematode population densities and plant age on growth and yield parameters of cucumber. *Crop Protection*, 92: 207-212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro. 2016.09.007

Kenney, E.; Hawdon, J.M.; O'Halloran, D.M. and Elefherianos, I. (2021): Secreted virulence factors from *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora* highlight its utility as a model parasite among Clade V nematodes. *International Journal of Parasitology*, 51: 321-325. Doi: 10.1016/ j.ijpara.2020.10.004

Kepenekci, I.; Atay, T. and Alkan, M. (2015): Biological control potential of Turkish entomopathogenic nematodes against the Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata. Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 26: 141–144. https:// doi.org/10.1080/09583157.2015. 1079810

Khan, A.R.; Javed, N.; Sahi, S.T.; Mukhtar, T.; Khan, S.A. and Ashraf, W. (2017): *Glomus mosseae* (Gerd & Trappe) and neemex reduce invasion and development of *Meloidogyne incognita. Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, 49: 841-847. https:// doi.org/10.17582/journal. pjz/2017.49.3.841.847

Khashaba, E.H.K.; Moghaieb, R.E.A.; Abd El Azim, A.M. and Ibrahim, S.A.M. (2021): Isolation, identification of entomopathogenic nematodes, and preliminary study of their virulence against the great wax moth, *Galleria mellonella* L. (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 30:55, 7pp. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s41938-020-00257-6

Khattab, M. and Azazy, A.M. (2013): Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes as bait formulations for controlling the black cutworm *Agrotisipsilon* (Hufnagel) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 23(2): 255-259.

Kim, H.G. and Alston, D.G. (2008): Potential of two entomopathogenic nematodes for suppression of plum curculio (*Conotrachelus nenuphar*, Coleoptera Curculionidae) life stages in Northern Climates. *Environmental Entomology*, 37:1272–1279. https://doi. org/10.1093/ee/37.5.1272

Kim, H.H.; Choo, H.Y.; Kaya, H.K.; Lee, D.W.; Lee, S.M. and Jeon, H.Y. (2004): *Steinernema carpocapsae* (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae) as a biological control agent against the fungus gnat *Bradysiaagrestis* (Diptera: Sciaridae) in propagation houses. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 14(2): 171–183. https://doi. org/10.1080/09583150310001655693

Koppenhofer, A.M. and Fuzy, E.M. (2003): Ecological characterization of *Steinernema scarabaei*, a scarab-adapted Entomopathogenic nematode from New Jersey. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 83:139-148. Doi: 10.1016/s0022-2011(03)00056-9.

Koppenhöfer, A.M.; Shapiro-Ilan, D.I. and Hiltpold, I. (2020): Entomopathogenic nematodes in sustainable food production. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 4:125. Doi: 10.3389/fsufs. 2020.00125

Kumar, P.; Ganguly, S. and Somvanshi, V.S. (2015): Identification of virulent entomopathogenic nematode isolates from a countrywide survey in India. *International Journal of Pest Management*, 61(2): 135-143. https://doi. org/ 10. 1080/09670874.2015.1023869

Kumar, D.; Kumari, P.; Kamboj, R.; Kumar, A.; Banakar, P. and Kumar, V. (2022): Entomopathogenic nematodes as potential and effective biocontrol agents against cutworms, *Agrotis* spp.: present and future scenario. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 32:42 https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-022-00543-5

Kung, S.-P.; Gaugler, R. and Kaya, H.K. (1990): Soil type and entomopathogenic nematode persistence. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 55(3): 401–406. Doi: 10.1016/0022-2011(90)90084-J

Kunkel, B.A. and Grewal, P.S. (2003): Endophyte infection in perennial ryegrass reduces the susceptibility of black cutworm to an entomopathogenic nematode. *EntomologiaExperimentalis et Applicata*, 107: 95-104. https://doi.org/10. 1046/j. 1570-7458.2003. 00048.x

Kusakabe, A.; Peterson, B.F.; Orduño, B.R. and Stock, S.P. (2019): Ecological characterization of *Heterorhabditissonorensis* (Caborca strain) (Nematoda: Heterorhabditidae), an entomopathogenic nematode from the Sonoran Desert. *Zoology*, 135: 125689. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.zool.2019.05. 001. PMid: 31383298

Labaude, S. and Griffin, C.T. (2018): Transmission success of entomopathogenic nematodes used in pest control. *Insects*, 9(2): 72-79. https://doi.org/ 10. 3390/insects9020072

Lacey, L.A. and Georgis, R. (2012): Entomopathogenic nematodes for control of insect pests above and below ground with comments on commercial production. *Journal of Nematology*, 44(2): 218–225.

Lacey, L.A.; Frutos, R.; Kaya, H.K. and Vail, P. (2001): Insect pathogens as biological control agents: Do they have a future? *BiologicalControl*, 21: 230-248. https://doi.org/10.1006/bcon.2001.0938

Lacey, L.A.; Grzywacz, D.; Shapiro-Ilan, D.I.; Frutos, R.; Brownbridge, M. and Goettel, M.S. (2015): Insect pathogens as biological control agents: back to the future.

Journal of Invertebrate Pathology, 132: 1-41. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j. jip. 2015.07.009

Lalramliana and Yadav, A.K. (2009): Laboratory evaluation of the pathogenicity of three entomopathogenic nematodes against larvae of cabbage butterfly, *Pieris brassicae* Linnaeus (Lepidoptera: Pieridae). *Science Vision*, 9:166–173.

Lalramnghaki, H.C.; Lalramliana, Y.A.K.; Lalremsanga, H.T.; Vanlalhlimpuia, Lalramchuani, M. and Vanramliana (2021): Susceptibility of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith, 1797) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), to four species of entomopathogenic nematodes (Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae) from Mizoram, North-Eastern India. *Egyptian Journal of Bioliogical Pest Control*, 31:110,11pp. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-021-00453-y

Langford, E.A.; Nielsen, U.N.; Johnson, S.N. and Riegler, M. (2014): Susceptibility of Queensland fruit fly, *Bactroceratryoni* (Froggatt) (Diptera: Tephritidae), to entomopathogenic nematodes. *Biological Control*, 69: 34–39. https://doi. org/ 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2013.10.009

Lanzoni, A.; Ade, G.; Martelli, R.; Radeghoeri, P. and Pezzi, F. (2014): Technological aspects of *Steinernema carpocapsae* spray application alone or mixed with *Bacillus thuringiensisaizawai* in spinach crop. *Bulletin of Insectology*, 67(1): 115-123.

Laznik, Ž. and Trdan, S. (2011) Entomopathogenic nematodes (Nematoda: Rhabditida) in Slovenia: from tabula rasa to implementation into crop production systems. 2011. In: "Insecticides - advances in integrated pest management"(Perveen, F., ed.). InTech, Rijeka, pp: 627–656.

Laznik, Z. and Trdan, S. (2012): Entomopathogenic nematodes (Nematoda: Rhabditida) in Slovenia: from tabula rasa to implementation into crop production systems. In: "Insecticides Advances in Integrated Pest Management" (Perveen F, ed.), pp: 627-656. Doi: 10.5772/29540

Laznik, Ž.; Tóth, T.; Lakatos, T.; Vidrih, M. and Trdan, S. (2010): Control of the Colorado potato beetle (*Leptinotarsa decemlineata* [Say]) on potato under field conditions: A comparison of the efficacy of foliar application of two strains of *Steinernema feltiae* (Filipjev) and spraying with thiametoxam. *Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection*, 117: 129–135. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03356348

Laznik, Z.; Vidrih, M. and Trdan, S. (2012): The effect of different entomopathogens on white grubs (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) in an organic hay producing grassland. *Archives of Biological Sciences*, 64(4):1235-1246. Doi: 10.2298/ABS1204235L

Lee, D.W. and Potter, D.A. (2015): Biological control of the black cutworm, *Agrotisipsilon* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) with the Korean entomopathogenic nematode, *Steinernema carpocapsae* GSN1 strain (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae) in turfgrasses. *Weed Turfgrass Science*, 4(1): 58~64. http://dx.doi.org/10.5660/ WTS.2015.4.1.58

Lee, Y.S.; Lee, H.-A. ; Kim, G.-H.; Kim, S.-B. and Kim, D.-S. (2023): Temperature-dependent development of *Agrotisipsilon* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and its stage transition models. *Phytoparasitica*, online version, 16pp. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12600-023-01049-y

Leite, L.G.; Tavares, F.M.; Bussóla, R.A.; Amorim, D.S.; Ambrós, C.M. and Harakava, R. (2007): Virulence of entomopathogenic nematodes (Nemata: Rhabditida) against larva of the fungus gnat *Bradysiamabiusi* (lane, 1959) and persistence of *Heterorhabditis indica*Poinar et al. 1992 on organic substrates. *Arquivos do Instituto Biológico*, 74: 337–342.

Lemma, E. and Albrecht, M.K. (2012): Entomopathogenic nematodes for the management of *Agrotisipsilon*: effect of instar, nematode species and nematode production method. *Pest Management Science*, 68 (6): 947-957. Doi: 10.1002/ps.3259

Leonar, A.L.; Nimkingrat, P.; Aryal, S.; Martinez, J.G.; Bhat, A.H. and Sumaya, N.H. (2022): Natural association of the entomopathogenic nematode *Heterorhabditis indica* (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae) from the Philippines with the non-symbiotic bacterium *Ochrobactrumanthropi* (Proteobacteria: Brucellaceae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 32:83 https://doi.org/10.1186/ s41938-022-00576-w

Levy, N.; Faigenboim, A.; Salame, L.; Molina, C.; Ehlers, R.-U.; Glazer, I. and Ment, D. (2020): Characterization of the phenotypic and genotypic tolerance to abiotic stresses of natural populations of *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora*. *Scientific Reports*, 10: 10500. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-67097-0

Lewis, E.E.; Campbell, J.F. and Sukhdeo, M.V.K. (2003): The Behavioural Ecology of Parasites. CABI Publishing UK, Published online by Cambridge University Press, 384 pp.

Lewis, E.E. and Clarke, D.J. (2012): Nematode parasites and entomopathogens. In: "Insect Pathology" (Vega FE and Kaya HK., eds.).. 2nd ed. Elsevier, Nederlands. pp: 395-424 Doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-384984-7.00011-7

Lewis, E.D.; Campbell, J.; Griffin, C.; Kaya, H. and Peters, A. (2006): Behavioral ecology of entomopathogenic nematodes. *Biological Control*, 38: 66-79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2005.11.007.

Lezama-Gutiérez, R.; Molina-Ochoa, J.; Pescador-Rubio, A.; Galindo-Velasco, E.; Ańgel-Sahagún, C.A.; Michel-Aceves, A.C. and González-Reyes, E. (2006): Efficacy of steinernematid nematodes (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae) on the suppression of *Anastrephaludens* (Diptera: Tephritidae) larvae in soil of differing textures: laboratory and field trials. *Journal of Agricultural and Urban Entomology*, 23:41–49.

Liu, Y.Q. (2015): Migration and natal origins of *Agrotisipsilon* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) over the Bohai Sea. M.Sc. Thesis, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China.97pp.

Liu, Q.Z. and Glazer, I. (2000): Desiccation survival of entomopathogenic nematodes of the genus *Heterorhabditis*. *Phytoparasitica*, 28: 331–340. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF02981828

Liu, Y.Q.; Fu, X.W.; Feng, H.Q.; Liu, Z.F. and Wu, K.M. (2015): Trans-regional migration of *Agrotisipsilon* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in north-East Asia. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 108: 519–527.https://doi.org/10.1093/ aesa/sav050

Liu, Y.; Fu, X.; Mao, L.; Xing, Z. and Wu, K. (2016): Host plants identification for adult *Agrotisipsilon*, a long-distance migratory insect. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 17: 851, 13 pp. Doi:10.3390/ijms17060851

Liu, J.; Bai, H.; Song, P.; Nangong, Z.; Dong, Z.; Li, Z. and Wang, Q. (2020): Insecticidal activity of chitinases from *Xenorhabdusnematophila* HB310 and its relationship with the toxin complex. *Toxins*, 14: 646. Doi:10.3390/toxins14090646

Lu, D.; Macchietto, M.; Chang, D.; Barros, M.M.; Baldwin, J.; Mortazavi, A. and Dillman, A.R. (2017): Activated entomopathogenic nematode infective juveniles release lethal venom proteins. *PLoS Pathogen*, 13:e1006302. Doi:10.1371/journal.ppat. 1006302

Ma, J.; Chen, S.; Moens, M.; De Clercq, P.; Li, X. and Han, R. (2013a): Characterization in biological traits of entomopathogenic nematodes isolated from North China. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 114: 268–76. Doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2013.08.012.

Ma, J.; Chen, S.; Moens, M.; Han, R. and De Clercq, P. (2013b): Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae) against the chive gnat, *Bradysiaodoriphaga*. *Journal of Pest Science*, 86: 551–561. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10340-013-0497-7

Mahar, A.N.; Munir, M.; Elawad, S.; Gowen, S.R. and Hague, N.G.M. (2005): Pathogenicity of the bacterium *Xenorhabdusnematophila* isolated from the entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema carpocapsae* and its secretions against *Galleria mellonella* larvae. *Journal of Zhejiang University Science*, 6: 457-463.

Mahar, A.N.; Jan, N.D. and Mahar, A.Q. (2012): Comparative effectiveness of entomopathogenic Nematodes against the pupae mustard beetle, *Phaedon cocheariae* F. (Chrysomelidae: Coleoptera). *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, 4(22): 517- 523.

Mahmoud, M.F. (2016): Biology and use of entomopathogenic nematodes in insect pest biocontrol, a generic view. *CercetăriAgronomiceîn Moldova*, 49(168): 85-105. Doi: 10.1515/cerce-2016-0039

Mahmoud, M.F. (2017): Biology and use of entomopathogenic nematodes in insect pests biocontrol, a generic view. *CercetariAgronomice in Moldova*, 49(4): 85–105. https://doi.org/10.1515/ cerce- 2016- 0039

Mahmoud, M.F.; Mandour, N.S. and Romazkov, Y.I. (2007): Efficacy of the EPN, *Steinernema feltiae* against larvae and pupae of four fly species in laboratory. *NematologiaMediterranea*, 35: 221–226.

Mahmoud, M.F.; Mahfouz, H.M. and Mohamed, K.M. (2016): Compatibility of entomopathogenic nematodes with neonicotinoids and azadirachtin insecticides for controlling the black cutworm, *Agrotisipsilon* (Hufnagel) in Canola Plants. *International Journal of Research in Environmental Science*, 2(1): 11-18. Doi:dx.doi.org/10. 20431/2454-9444.0201002

Majić, I.; Sarajlić, A.; Lakatos, T.; Tóth, T.; Raspudić, E.; Puškadija, Z.; Kanižai Šarić, G. and Laznik, Ž. (2019): Virulence of new strain of *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora* from Croatia against *Lasiopterarubi*. *Plant Protection Science*, 55: 134–141. Doi: 10.17221/119/2018-PPS

Majić, I.; Sarajlić, A.; Veselovac, D.; Dorić, D.; Tambolaš, A.; Mađar, M.; Ereš, H.; Šarić, G.K. and Liška, A. (2021): Mortality of the Red Flour Beetle (*Triboliumcastaneum*) when Exposed to Croatian Strain of Entomopathogenic Nematodes *Steinernema feltiae*. In: Proceedings of the 1st International Electronic Conference on Entomology, 1–15 July 2021, MDPI: Basel, Switzerland, Doi:10.3390/IECE-10361

Malan, A.P.; Knoetze, R. and Moore, S.D. (2011): Isolation and identification of entomopathogenic nematodes from citrus orchards in South Africa and their biocontrol potential against false codling moth. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 108: 115–25. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jip.2011.07.006

Manishkumar, J.J.; Dantiwada, B.K.; Prithiv R.V.; Chandresh B.S.; Dantiwada, B.K.; Birari, V.V. and Dantiwada, B.K. (2020): Potato Cutworm, *Agrotisipsilon*: An overview and their Management. *Agriculture and Food: e-Newsletter*, 2(5): 188-191. Article ID: 23668. www.agrifoodmagazine.co.in

Mantoo, M.A. and Zaki, F.A. (2014) Biological control of cabbage butterfly, *Pieris brassicae* by a locally isolated entomopathogenic nematode, *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora* Skuast-Epn-Hr-1in Kashmir. *Skuast Journal of Research*, 16: 66–70.

Manzoor, M.; Ahmad, J.N.; Sharif, M.Z.; Majeed, D.; Kiran, H.; Jafir, M. and Ali, H. (2017): Comparative effectiveness of entomopathogenic nematodes against red palm weevil (*Rhynchophorusferrugineus*) in Pakistan. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 5(5): 756-760.

Martens, E.C.; Heungens, K. and Goodrich-Blair, H. (2003): Early colonization events in the mutualistic association between *Steinernema carpocapsae* nematodes and

Xenorhabdusnematophila bacteria. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 185: 3147-3154. Doi: 10.1128/JB. 185.10.3147-3154.2003.

Mastore, M.; Arizza, V.; Manachini, B. and Brivio, M.F. (2015): Modulation of immune responses of *Rhynchophorusferrugineus* (Insecta: Coleoptera) induced by the entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema carpocapsae* (Nematoda: Rhabditida). *Insect Science*, 22: 748–760.Doi: 10.1111/1744-7917.12141

Mathasoliya, J.B.; Maghodia, A.B. and Vyas, R.V. (2004): Efficacy of *Steinernema riobrave* against *Agrotisipsilon* Hufnagel (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on potato. *Indian Journal of Nematology*, 34(2): 177–179.

Mazurkiewicz, A.; Tumialis, D. and Jakubowska, M. (2020): Biological Control potential of native entomopathogenic nematodes (Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae) against *Mamestrabrassicae* L. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Agriculture*, 10, 388, 9pp. Doi: 10.3390/agriculture10090388

Mazurkiewicz, A.; Jakubowska, M.; Tumialis, D.; Bocianowski, J. and Roik, K. (2021): Foliar application of entomopathogenic nematodes against cereal leaf beetle *Oulemamelanopus* L. (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) on wheat. *Agronomy*, 11: 1662. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11081662

Mehdi, M.Z; Wakil, W.; Jan, H.; Raza, M.M.; Shah, Q. and Zia-ul-Haq, M. (2018): Evaluation of Entomopathogenic nematode and fungi alone and their combination against red palm weevil, *Rhynchophorusferrugineus* (Olivier). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 6(2): 2038-2042.

Meka, M.; Anita, B.; Vetrivelkalai, P. and Muthukrishnan, N. (2020): Infectivity of entomopathogenic nematode, *Steinernema glaseri* on fall army worm (FAW), *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith, 1797) in Maize (*Zea mays*). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 8(6): 1023-1028. https://doi.org/10.22271/j.ento.2020.v8.i6n.7971

Mendonça, A.É.; Moreira, R.G.; Amaral, M.P.H.; Monteiro, C.M.O.; Mello, V. and Vilela, F.M.P. (2019): Entomopathogenic nematodes in pharmaceutical formulations for *Rhipicephalus microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) control: *in vitro* evaluation of compatibility, thermotolerance, and efficiency. *Ticks and Tick Borne Diseases*, 10(4): 781-786. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2019.03.012.

Meslin, C.; Bozzolan, F.; Braman, V.; Chardonnet, S.; Pionneau, C.; François, M.-Ch.; Severac, D.; Gadenne, Ch.; Anton, S.; Maibèche, M.; Jacquin-Joly, E. and Siaussat, D. (2021): Sublethal exposure effects of the neonicotinoid clothianidin strongly modify the brain transcriptome and proteome in the male moth *Agrotisipsilon*. *Insects*, 11, 12(2):152. Doi: 10.3390/insects12020152.

Mhatre, P.H.; Patil, J.; Rangasamy, V.; Divya, K.L.; Tadigiri, S.; Chawla, G.; Bairwa, A. and Venkatasalam, E.P. (2020): Biocontrol potential of *Steinernema cholashanense* (Nguyen) on larval and pupal stages of potato tuber moth, *Phthorimaeaoperculella* (Zeller). *Journal of Helminthology*, 94:e188. https:// doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X20000723

Minas, R.S.; Souza, R.M.; Dolinski, C.; Carvalho, R.S. and Burla, R.S. (2016): Potential of entomopathogenic nematodes (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae) to control Mediterranean fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) soil stages. *Nematoda*, 3, e02016. https://doi.org/10. 4322/nemat oda.02016.

Miranda, V.A.; Navarro, P.D.; Davidowitz, G.; Bronstein, J. and Stock, S.P. (2013): Effect of insect host age and diet on the fitness of the entomopathogenic nematode-bacteria mutualism.*Symbiosis*, 61:145–153. Doi: 10.1007/s13199-013-0266-7

Mishra, V.K. (2020): Insect pests of cumin and their management. In "Management of Insect Pests in Vegetable Crops: Concepts and Approaches"(Vishwakarma, R. and Kumar, R., eds.), p. 73, 1st ed., 344pp.

Mohamed, H. (2012): Bioenergetics Growth Model for the Effect of Gamma Irradiation and/or Plant Extract Barnoof on the Progeny of Black Cutworm, *Agrotisipsilon* (Hufngel), *International Journal of Molecular Zoology*, 2(2): 13-22. Doi: 10.5376/ijmz.2012.02.0002

Mohamed, H.O. and Shairra, S.A. (2023): Pathogenicity of entomopathogenic nematodes against the new invasive fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 33: 24, 10pp. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-023-00669-0

Mokrini, F.; Laasli, S.-E.; Benseddik, Y.; Joutei, A.B.; Blenzar, A.; Lakhal, H.; Sbaghi, M.; Imren, M.; Özer, G.; Paulitz, T.; Lahlali, R. and Dababat, A.A. (2020): Potential of Moroccan entomopathogenic nematodes for the control of the Mediterranean fruit fly *Ceratitis capitata* Wiedemann (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Scientifc Reports*, 10: 19204, 11pp. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-76170-7

Molta, N.B. and Hominick, W.M. (1989): Dose- and time-response assessments of *Heterorhabditisheliothidis* and *Steirnernemafeltiae* (Nematoda: Rhabitida) against *Aedes aegypti* larvae. *Entomophaga*, 34: 485-493. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02374386.

Morris, O.N.; Converse, V. and Harding, J. (1990): Virulence of entomopathogenic nematode-bacteria complexes for larvae of noctuids, a geometrid, and a pyralid. *Canadian Entomologist*, 122(3-4): 309-319. Doi: https://doi.org/10.4039/Ent122309-3

Mrácek, Z. and Becvár, S. (2000): Insect aggregations and entomopathogenic nematode occurrence. *Nematology*, 2: 297–301. Doi:10.1163/156854100509169

Mráček, Z.; Bečvář, S.; Kindlmann, P. and Jersáková, J. (2005): Habitat preference for entomopathogenic nematodes, their insect hosts and new faunistic records for the Czech Republic. *Biological Control*, 34(1):27–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOCONTROL.2005.03. 023

Nabeel, M.; Javed, H. and Mukhtar, T. (2018): Occurrence of Chilo partelluson maize in major maize growing areas of Punjab, Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, 50: 317-323. http://dx.doi.org/10. 17582/journal. pjz/2018.50.1.317.323

NanGong, Z.; Chen, W.; Zhang, A.; Gu, W.; Song, P. and Wang, Q. (2022): Potential of diferent entomopathogenic nematode strains in controlling *Atrijuglanshetaohei* Yang (Lepidoptera: Heliodinidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 32:108. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-022-00591-x

Navaneethan, T.; Strauch, O.; Besse, S.; Bonhomme, A. and Ehlers, R.-U. (2010): Infuence of humidity and a surfactant-polymer-formulation on the control potential of the entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema feltiae* against diapausing codling moth larvae (*Cydiapomonella* L.) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). *Biocontrol*, 55: 777–788. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-010-9299-5

Navarez, M.L.; Sangcopan, R.; Aryal, S.; Sumaya, N.P.D.; Bhat, A.H. and Sumaya, N.H. (2021): Native Philippine *Heterorhabditis indica* isolates from banana and rice fields and preliminary results of their virulence against the larvae of super worm (*Zophobasmorio* Fabricius Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 31:46, 10pp.https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-021-00388-4

Navarro, S.P.; Jurado-Rivera, J.A.; Gomez-Zurita, J.; Lyal, C.H.C. and Vogler, A.P. (2010): DNA profiling of host-herbivore interactions in tropical forests. *Ecological Entomology*, 35: 18–32. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2311.2009.01145.x

Nawab, B. and Javed, S. (2023): Biocontrol potential of entomopathogenic nematodes against the Khapra beetle *Trogoderma granarium* (Everts) (Coleoptera: Dermestidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 33:56. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-023-00700-4

Nithiskarani, M.; Anita, B.; Vetrivelkalai, P. and Nelson, S.J. (2019): Biocontrol potential of entomopathogenic Nematodes, *Steinernema glaseri* (Steiner, 1929) and *Heterorhabditis indica* (Poinar, Karunakar & David, 1992) against brinjal ash weevil (*Myllocerussubfasciatus*). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 7(3): 160-163.

Nouh, G.M. (2021): Efficacy of the entomopathogenic nematode isolates against *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisduval) and *Agrotisipsilon* (Hufnagel) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 31:34, 5pp. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-021-00374-w

Nouh, G.M. (2022): Effect of temperature and soil moisture on the efficacy of indigenous and imported strains of the entomopathogenic nematode, *Heterorhabditis* sp. against the black cutworm, *Agrotisipsilon* (Hufnagel) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 32:28 https://doi.org/10.1186/ s41938-022-00507-9

Noujeim, E.; Sakr, J.; El-Sayegh, D. and Nemer, N. (2015): *In vitro* susceptibility of the pea leafminer, *Liriomyzahuidobrensis* pupae to EPNs, *Heterorhabditis indica* and the fungus *Beauveria bassiana*. *Lebanese Science journal*, 16(2):19–26.

Odendaal, D.; Addison, M.F. and Antoinette, P. (2015): Entomopathogenic nematodes for the control of the codling moth (*Cydiapomonella* L.) in field and laboratory trials. *Journal of Helminthology*, 20: 1-9. Doi: https://doi.org/10.4001/003.023.0224

Odendaal, D.; Addison, M.F. and Malan, A.P. (2016): Control of diapausing codling moth, *Cydiapomonella* (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae), in wooden fruit bins using entomopathogenic nematodes (Heterorhabditidae and Steinernematidae). *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 26(11): 1504-1515. Doi: https://doi.org/ 10.4001/003.023.0224

O'leary, S.A.; Power, A.P.; Stack, C.M. and Burnell, A.M. (2001): Behavioural and physiological responses of infective juveniles of the entomopathogenic nematode *Heterorhabditis* to desiccation. *Biocontrol,* 46: 345–362. https://doi.org/10.1023/ A:1011470021857

Park, S.H.; Yu, Y.S.; Park, J.S.; Choo, H.Y.; Do Bae, S. and Nam, M.H. (2001): Biological control of tobacco cutworm, *Spodoptera litura* Fabricius with entomopathogenic nematodes. *Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering*, 6: 139–143. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF02931960

Parks, S.C.; Nguyen, S.; Boulanger, M.J. and Dillman, A.R. (2022): The FAR protein family of parasitic nematodes. *PLoS Pathogen*, 18, e1010424. Doi: 10. 1371/ journal.ppat.1010424.

Patil, J.; Vijayakumar, R.; Linga, V. and Sivakumar, G. 2020): Susceptibility of Oriental armyworm, *Mythimna separate* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae and pupae to native entomopathogenic nematodes. *Journal of Applied Entomology*, 1–8. https:// doi.org/10.1111/jen.12786

Peçen, A. and Kepenekci, İ. (2022): Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematode isolates from Turkey against wheat stink bug, *Aelia rostrata*Boheman (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) adults under laboratory conditions. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 32:91 https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-022-00590-y

Pérez-Pacheco, R.; Rodriguez-Hernandez, C.; Lara-Reyna, J.; Montes-Belmont, R.; Ruiz-Vega, J.; Ramírez-Valverde, G. and Martínez-Martínez, L. (2004): Parasitism of

Romanomermisiyengari in larvae of three species of mosquito in laboratory, and of *Anopheles pseudopunctipennis* in the field. *Agrociencia*, 38: 413-421.

Perry, R. N.; Ehlers, R.-U. and Glazer, I. (2012): A realistic appraisal of methods to enhance desiccation tolerance of entomopathogenic nematodes. *Journal of Nematology*, 44: 185.

Pervez, R. and Rao, U. (2020): Eco-friendly management of lepidopteran insect pests through entomopathogenic nematodes. *Journal of Biological Control*, 34(1): 66-72. Doi: 10.18311/jbc/2020/23012

Peschiutta, M.; Cagnolo, S.R. and Almirón, W.R. (2014): Susceptibility of larvae of *Aedes aegypti* (Linnaeus) (Diptera: Culicidae) to entomopathogenic nematode *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora* (Poinar) (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae). *Revista de la Sociedad Entomológica Argentina*, 73 (3-4): 99-108.

Picimbon, J.-F. (2020): Interpopulational variations of odorant-binding protein expression in the black cutworm moth, *Agrotisipsilon. Insects*, 11(11): 798. Doi: 10.3390/insects11110798

Piggott, S.; Liu, Q.-Z.; Glazer, I. and Wright, D.J. (2002): Does osmoregulatory behaviour in entomopathogenic nematodes predispose desiccation tolerance? *Nematology*, 4: 483–487. https://doi.org/10.1163/156854102760290464

Power, K.T.; An, R. and Grewal, P.S. (2009): Effectiveness of *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora* strain GPS11 applications targeted against different instars of the Japanese beetle, *Popillia japonica. Biological Control*, 48: 232–236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biocontrol.2008.10.014

Pratissoli, D.; Damascena, A.P.; Fragoso, D.F.M.; de Carvalho, J.R.; Túler, A.C.; Faria, L.V. and de Araujo Junior, L.M. (2021): Performance of Entomopathogenic nematodes on *Neoleucinodeselegantalis* (Guenee) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). *Acta Ambiental Catarinense-Unochapecó*, 18(1): 205-215. Doi:http://dx.doi.org/10. 24021/raac. v18i1.5575

Radhakrishnan, S. and Shanmugam, S. (2017): Bioefficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes against *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Bhendi. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6: 2314–2319. Doi: 10.20546/ijcmas.2017.607.273

Rahoo, A.M.; Mukhtar, T.; Abro, S.I.; Gowen, S.R. and Bughio, B.A. (2016): Effect of temperature on emergence of *Steinernema feltiae* from infected *Galleria mellonella* cadavers under moist and dry conditions. *Pakistan Journal of Nematology*, 34: 171-176. Doi: 10.18681/pjn.v34.i02.p171

Rahoo, A.M.; Mukhtar, T.; Bughio, B.A.; Gowen S.R. and Rahoo, R.K., (2017): Infection of *Galleria mellonella* larvae by *Steinernema affine* and production of infective juveniles. *Pakistan Journal of Nematology*, 35: 65-71. https://doi.org/ 10. 18681/pjn.v35.i01.p65-71

Rahoo, A.M.; Mukhtar, T.; Abro, Sh.I.; Bughio, B.A. and Rahoo, R.K. (2018): Comparing the productivity of five entomopathogenic nematodes in *Galleria mellonella*. Pakistan Journal of Zoology, 50(2): 679-684, 2018. Doi: http://dx.doi.org/10. 17582/journal.pjz/2018.50.2.679.684

Ramakrishnan, J.; Salame, L.; Nasser, A.; Glazer, I. and Ment, D. (2022): Survival and efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes on exposed surfaces. *Scientific Reports*, 12:4629. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-022-08605-2

Ramos-Rodríguez, O.; Campbell, J.F. and Ramaswamy, S.B. (2006): Pathogenicity of three species of Entomopathogenic nematodes to some major stored-product insect pests. *Journal of Stored Product Research*, 42: 241–252. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2004.08.004

Reyad, N.F. (2001): Abundance and control studies of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) infecting certain vegetables. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Science, Cairo University (Egypt). 177pp.

Reyad, N.F. (2005): Effects of the pathogenic nematodes *Steinernema riobrave*, *Heterorhabditis* sp., and the fungus *Beauveria bassiana* on the cotton leafworm *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.)(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Science, Cairo University (Egypt). 232pp.

Reyad, N.F. (2012): Comparative studies on the effect of four entomopathogenic nematodes on the protein profile in *Labidura riparia* (Pallas) (Dermaptera: Labiduridae). *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences,(A.Entomology),* 5(1): 89-98. Doi: 10.21608/ EAJBSA.2012.14912

Richmond, D.S. and Shetlar, D.J. (2001): Black cutworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larval emigration and biomass in mixtures of endophytic perennial ryegrass and Kentucky bluegrass. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 94(5):1183-1186. https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493-94.5.1183

Rodingpuia, Ch. and Lalthanzara, H. (2021): An insight into black cutworm (*Agrotisipsilon*): A glimpse on globally important crop pest. *Science Vision*, 2021(2): 36–42. https://doi.org/10.33493/scivis.21.02.02

Rohde, C.; Moino, A. Jr.; da Silva, M.A.T.; Carvalho, F.D. and Ferreira, C.S. (2010): Influence of soil temperature and moisture on the infectivity of entomopathogenic nematodes (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae, Steinernematidae) against larvae of *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Neotropical Entomology*, 39:608–611. Doi: 10.1590/s1519-566x2010000400022

Rohde, C.; Mertz, N.R. and Junior, A.M. (2020): Entomopathogenic nematodes on control of Mediterranean fruit fly (Dıptera: Tephrıtıdae). *Revista Caatinga*, 33(4):974–984. https://doi.org/10. 1590/ 1983- 21252 020v3 3n412 rc

Rosa, J.S.; Tavares, J. and Medeiros, J. (2000) Susceptibility of *Pseudaletiaunipuncta* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) to entomopathogenic nematodes (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae) isolated in the Azores: effect of nematode strain and host age. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 93:1403–1408. https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493-93.5.1403

Safari, T.; Madadi, H. and Karimi, J. (2013): Pathogenicity of three entomopathogenic nematodes against the onion thrips, *Thrips tabaci* Lind. (Thys.; Thripidae). *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection*, 46:2459–2468. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 03235408. 2013.797158

Şahin, Y.S.; Bouharl, A.; Ulu, T.C.; Sadic, B. and Susurluk, İ.A. (2018): New application method for entomopathogenic nematode *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora* (Poinar, 1976) (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae) HBH strain against *Locusta migratoria* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Orthoptera: Acridiae). *Turkish Journal of Entomology*, 42 (4): 305-312. Doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.16970/entoted.471095

Sajnaga, E. and Kazimierczak, W. (2020): Evolution and taxonomy of nematode-associated entomopathogenic bacteria of the genera *Xenorhabdus* and *Photorhabdus*: An overview. *Symbiosis*, 80: 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s13199-019-00660-0

Saleh, M.M.E.; Metwally, H.M.S. and Mahmoud, Y.A. (2018): Potential of the entomopathogenic nematode, *Heterorhabditismarelatus*, isolate in controlling the peach fruit fly, *Bactrocerazonata*, (Saunders) (Diptera: Tiphritidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 28:22–27. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938- 018- 0029-0

Salehi, H.; Seddighi, Z.; Kravchenko, A.N. and Sticklen, M.B. (2005): Expression of the cry1Ac in 'Arizona Common' Common Bermudagrass *via* Agrobacterium-mediated

transformation and control of black cutworm. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science*, 130(4): 619-623. Doi:10.21273/JASHS. 130.4.619

Salem, S.A.; Abdel Rahman, H.A.; Zebitz, C.P.W.; Saleh, M.M.E.; Ali F.L. and El-Kholy, M.Y. (2007): Evaluation of entomopathogenic nematodes in control ling some cabbage pests. *Journal of Applied Science Research*, 3(4): 323-328.

Sallam, R.F.; Shalaby, F.F.; Hafez, A.A.; Abdel-Hameid, N.F. and Shairra, S.A. (2024): Efficacy of different entomopathogenic nematode isolates, against the peach fruit fly, *Bactrocerazonata* (Saund.) (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 34:12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-024-00774-8.

Sankar, M. (2009): Investigation on an indigeneous entomopathogenic nematode *Heterorhabditis indica* as a potential biocontrol agent of insect pests in rice. Ph.D. Thesis, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Pakistan.101pp.

Santhoshkumar, K.; Mathur, C.; Mandal, A. and Dutta, T.K. (2021): A toxin complex protein from *Photorhabdusakhurstii* conferred oral insecticidal activity against *Galleria mellonella* by targeting the midgut epithelium. *Microbiology Research*, 242:126642. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MICRES.2020.126642.

Santos, L. and Shields, E. J. (1998): Temperature and diet effect on black cutworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larval development. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 91(1): 267–273.https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/91.1.267

Sayed, R.M.; Ibrahim, S.S. and El-Gepaly, H.M. (2022): Susceptibility of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), larvae to un-irradiated and gamma-irradiated entomopathogenic nematodes. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 32:119, 7pp. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s41938-022-00619-2

Schroer, S.; Ziermann, D. and Ehlers, R.-U. (2005): Mode of action of a surfactant–polymer formulation to support performance of the entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema carpocapsae* for control of diamondback moth larvae (*Plutellaxylostella*). *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 15: 601–613. https://doi. org/10.1080/ 09583150500088694

Seal, D.R.; Jha, V.K. and Liu, T.X. (2010): Potential of various strains of entomopathogenic nematodes in combination with insecticides for suppression of black cutworm, *Agrotisipsilon* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Annals of Plant Protection Science*, 18(2): 293-300.

Shah, F.A.; Abdorrahem, M.M.; Berry, C.; Touray, M.; Hazir, S. and Butt, T.M. (2021): Indiscriminate ingestion of entomopathogenic nematodes and their symbiotic bacteria by *Aedes aegypti* larvae: a novel strategy to control the vector of Chikungunya, dengue and yellow fever. *Turkish Journal of Zoology*, 45: 372-383. Doi:10.3906/zoo-2107-2

Shahzad, M.; Qu, Y.; Zafar, A.; Ur Rehman, S. and Islam, T. (2020): Exploring the influence of knowledge management process on corporate sustainable performance through green innovation. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 24(9): 2079-2106. Doi: 10.1108/JKM-11-2019-0624

Shairra, S.A. (2000): Studies on the effects of some entomopathogenic nematode isolates on different host species. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Egypt, 108 pp.

Shairra, S.A. (2007): Effects of entomopathogenic nematodes and some pharmaceutical inhibitors of eicosanoid biosynthesis on the desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria* (Forskal). Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Egypt, 65 pp.

Shairra, S.A. (2009): Parasitizm of locust by entomopathogenic nematode in relation to insect microaggregation inhibitor. *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences (A. Entomology)*, 2 (2): 221- 230. Doi: 10.21608/EAJBSA.2009.15445

Shairra, S.A. and Noah, G.M. (2014): Efficacy of Entomopathogenic nematodes and fungi as biological control agents against the cotton leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.)(Lepidoptera; Noctuidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 24(1): 247-253.

Shairra, S.A.; El-Sharkawy, M.A.A.; Hassan, K.A. and Ahmed, D.A. (2016): The Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes on the pink bollworm, *Pectinophoragossypiella*. *Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences (F. Toxicology & Pest control)*, 8(2): 103 – 113. Doi: 10.21608/EAJBSF.2016.17123

Shakur, M.; Ullah, F.; Naem, M.; Amin, M.; Saljoqi, A.U.R. and Zamin, M. (2007): Effect of various insecticides for the control of potato cutworm (*Agrotisipsilon*Huf., Noctuidae: Lepidoptera) at Kalam Swat. *Sarhad Journal Agriclulture*, 23(2): 423-426.

Shamseldean, M.; Platzer, E. and Gaugler, R. (2006): Ultrastructure of the cellular immune responses of *Anopheles quadrimaculatus* to *Romanomermisculicivorax* infection. *Nematropica*, 36: 243–249.

Shamseldean, M.M.; Ibrahim, A.A.; Zohdi, N.M.; Shairra, S.A. and Ayaad, T.H. (2008): Effect of the Egyptian entomopathogenic nematode isolates on controlling some economic insect pests. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 18(1): 81-89. Doi: 10.13140/2.1.5146.8805

Shannag, H.K.; Webb, S.E. and Capinera, J.L. (1994): Entomopathegnic nematode effect on pickle worm (Lep. Pyralidae) under laboratory and field conditions. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 87(5): 1205-1212. Doi: 10.1093/jee/87.5.1205

Shapiro, D.I.; Lewis, L.C.; Obrycki, J.J. and Abbas, M. (1999): Effects of fertilizers on suppression of black cutworm (*Agrotisipsilon*) damage with *Steinernema carpocapsae*. *Journal of Nematology*, 31:690–693.

Shapiro-Ilan, D.I.. and Brown, I. (2013): Earthworms as phoretic hosts for *Steinernema carpocapsae* and *Beauveria bassiana*: Implications for enhanced biological control. *Biological Control*, 66(1), 41–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol. 2013.03.005

Shapiro-Ilan, D.I. and Cottrell, T.E. (2006): Susceptibility of the lesser peach tree borer (Lepidoptera: Sesiidae) to entomopathogenic nematodes under laboratory conditions. *Environmental Entomology*, 35: 358–365. https://doi.org/10.1603/ 0046-225X-35.2.358

Shapiro-Ilan, D.I.; Stuart, R.J. and McCoy, C.W. (2005): Characterization of biological control traits in the entomopathogenic nematode *Heterorhabditismexicana* (MX4 strain). *Biological Control* 32(1): 97-103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol. 2004.08.004

Shapiro-Ilan, D.I.; Gouge, D.H.; Piggott, S.J. and Fife, J.P. (2006): Application technology and environmental considerations for use of entomopathogenic nematodes in biological control. *Biological Control*, 38: 124-133. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.biocontrol.2005.09.005

Shapiro-Ilan, D.I.; Mbata, G.N.; Nguyen, K.B.; Peat, S.M.; Blackburn, D. and Adams, B.J. (2009): Characterization of biocontrol traits in the entomopathogenic nematode *Heterorhabditisgeorgiana* (Kesha strain), and phylogenetic analysis of the nematode's symbiotic bacteria. *Biological Control*, 51:377–387. https://doi. org/10.1016/j. biocontrol.2009.07.009

Shapiro-Ilan, D.I.; Morales-Ramos, J.A.; Rojas, M.G. and Tedders, W.L. (2010): Effects of a novel entomopathogenic nematode infected host formulation on cadaver integrity,

nematode yield, and suppression of *Diaprepes abbreviates* and *Aethinatumida* under controlled conditions. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 103: 103-108. Doi: 10.1016/j.jip.2009.11.006.

Shapiro-Ilan, D.I.; Han, R. and Dolinksi, C. (2012): Entomopathogenic nematode production and application technology. *Journal of Nematology*, 44(2): 206-2017.

Shapiro-Ilan, D.I.; Hazir, S. and Glazer, I. (2017): Basic and applied research: entomopathogenic nematodes. In: "Microbial control of insect and mite pests: from theory to practice"(Lacey, L.A., ed.). Amsterdam (The Netherlands; Boston, MA, USA): Academic Press, pp: 91-105.

Shapiro-Ilan, D.I.; Hiltpold, I. and Lewis, E.E. (2018): Ecology of invertebrate pathogens: nematodes, pp. 415–440. In: "Ecology of invertebrate diseases"(Hajek, A.E. and Shapiro-Ilan, D.I. eds.). John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken, N.J.

Shapiro-Ilan, D.; Kaplan, F.; Oliveira-Hofman, C.; Schliekelman, P.; Alborn, H.T. and Lewis, E.E. (2019): Conspecific pheromones enhance Entomopathogenic infectivity. *Journal of Nematology*, 51: 1–5. Doi: 10.21307/jofnem-2019-082

Shaurub, E.H. (2023): Review of entomopathogenic fungi and nematodes as biological control agents of tephritid fruit flies: current status and a future vision. *EntomologiaExperimentalis et Applicata*, 171:17–34. Doi: 10.1111/eea.13244

Shaurub, E.H.; Abd El-Meguid, A. and Abd El-Aziz, N.M. (2014): Quantitative and ultrastructural changes in the haemocytes of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) treated individually or in combination with *Spodoptera littoralis* multicapsid nucleopolyhedro virus (SpliMNPV) and azadirachtin. *Micron*, 65: 62–68. Doi:10.1016/j. micron.2014.04.010

Shaurub, E.H.; Soliman, N.A.; Hashem, A.G. and Abdel-Rahman, A.M. (2015): Infectivity of four entomopathogenic nematodes in relation to environmental factors and their effects on the biochemistry of the Medfly *Ceratitis capitata* (Wied.) (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Neotropical Entomology*, 44, 610–618. https ://doi.org/10. 1007/s1374 4-015-0332-3.

Shaurub, E.H.; N.F. Reyad,; H.A. Abdel-Wahab, and S.H. Ahmed, (2016): Mortality and nematode production in *Spodoptera littoralis* larvae in relation to dual infection with *Steinernema riobrave*, *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora*, and *Beauveria bassiana*, and the host plant. *Biological Control*, 103: 86- 94. https://doi. org/10.1016/j. biocontrol.2016.08.007

Shaurub, E.H.; Reyad, N.F. and Mohamed, A.A. (2020): Pathogen-mediated modulation of host metabolism and trophic interactions in *Spodoptera littoralis* larvae. *EntomologiaExperimentalis et Applicata*, 168: 956–966. Doi: 10.1111/eea.12998

Shaurub, E.H.; Soliman, N.A. and Sabbour, M.M. (2021): Development of the soil-inhabiting stages of *Ceratitis capitata* infected with entomopathogenic nematodes: insights on survival. *Invertebrate Reproduction and Development*, 65(3): 155-162, Doi: 10.1080/07924259.2021.1920482

Shawer, R.; Donati, I.; Cellini, A.; Spinelli, F. and Mori, N. (2018): Insecticidal Activity of *Photorhabdusluminescens* against *Drosophila suzukii*. *Insects*, 9(4): 148. Doi: 10. 3390/insects9040148

Shen, X.N.; Sznitman, J.; Krajacic, P.; Lamitina, T. and Arratia, P.E. (2012): Undulatory locomotion of *Caenorhabditis elegans* on wet surfaces. *Biophysics Journal*, 102: 2772–2781. Doi: 10.1016/j.bpj. 2012.05.012

Shoeb, M.A.; Atalla, F.A. and Matar, A.M. (2006): Pathogenicity of the Entomopathogenic nematodes; *Steinernema abbasi* and *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora* to certain economic insect pests. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 16(1/2): 99-102.

Sirjani, F.O.; Lewis, E.E. and Kaya, H.K. (2009): Evaluation of entomopathogenic nematodes against the olive fruit fly, *Bactroceraoleae* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Biological Control*, 48: 274–7280. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.biocontrol .2008. 11.002.

Sobhy, H.M.; N.A. Abdel-Bary,; F.A. Harras,; F.H. Faragalla, and H.I. Husseinen, (2020): Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes against *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) and *Agrotisipsilon* (H.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 30:73, 8pp. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-020-00265-6

Sobrinho, A.C.M.; Leal, L.C.S.R.; Neto, J.L.L.M.; Chambarelli, M.C.M.D.C. and Bittencourt, A.J. (2023): Evaluation *in vitro* of the virulence of two entomopathogenic heterorhabditid nematodes in the control of *Stomoxyscalcitrans* (Diptera: Muscidae) larvae in byproducts of the sugar and alcohol industry. *Revista Brasileira de ParasitologiaVeterinária*, 32(2):e016022. Doi: 10. 1590/S1984-29612023024.

Solomon, A.; Paperna, I. and Glazer, I. (1999): Desiccation survival of the entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema feltiae*: induction of anhydrobiosis. *Nematology*, 1:61–68. Doi:10.1163/ 156854199507983.

Sooraj, S.; Nisha, M.S. and Anitha, N. (2019): Biocontrol potential of entomopathogenic nematodes *Steinernema* and *Metarhabditis* against tobacco caterpillar, *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 7(5): 656-660.

Srivastava, S.; Heena   and Chaubey, A.K. (2022): Studies on biological control potential of *Steinernema abbasi* CS-39 against *Helicoverpaarmigera* (Hub.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) by adopting the regression probit model. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 32:9 https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-022-00510-0

Steyn, L.A.I.; Addison, A. and Malan, A.P. (2019): South African entomopathogenic nematodes to control the leaf miner, *Holocacista capensis* (Lepidoptera: Heliozelidae). *South African Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 40(2): 9pp. Doi: https://doi.org/10.21548/40-2-3420

Steyn, V.M.; Malan, A.P. and Addison, P. (2021): Efficacy of entomopathogens against *Thaumatotibialeucotreta* under laboratory conditions. *EntomologiaExperimentalis et Applicata*, 169: 449–461. https://doi.org/10.1111/eea.13044

Stock, S.P. and Blair, H.G. (2008): Entomopathogenic nematodes and their bacterial symbionts: The inside out of a mutualistic association. *Symbiosis*, 46: 65–75.

Stock, S.P.; Mrácek, Z. and Webster, J.M. (2000): Morphological variation between allopatric populations of *Steinernema kraussei* (Steiner, 1993) (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae). *Nematology*, 2:143–152. Doi:10.1163/156854100509033

Strand, M.R. (2008): The insect cellular immune response. *Insect Science*, 15: 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7917.2008.00183.x

Sujatha, P.C.K. and Jeyasankar, A. (2018): Entomopathogenic nematode as biocontrol agent-recent trends- a review. *International Journal of Entomology and Nematology Research*, 2(1): 10-24.Doi: 10.22192/ijarbs

Sujithra, M.; Rajkumar, M.; Mhatre, P.H. and Guru-Pirasanna-Pandi, G. (2022): Biocontrol potential of native entomopathogenic nematodes against coconut rhinoceros beetle, *Oryctes rhinoceros* (L.)(Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) under laboratory conditions. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 32:88 https://doi.org/10.1186/ s41938-022-00587-7

Sun, B.; Zhang, X.; Song, L.; Zheng, L.; Wei, X.; Gu, X.; Cui, Y.; Hu, B.; Yoshika, T. and Abd-Elgawad, M.M. (2021): Evaluation of indigenous entomopathogenic nematodes in Southwest China as potential biocontrol agents against (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Journal of Nematology*, 53: 1–17. Doi: 10.21307/ jofnem-2021-083.

Susurluk, A. and Ehlers, R.-U. (2008): Field persistence of the entomopathogenic nematode *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora* in diferent crops. *Biocontrol*, 53: 627–641. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10526-007-9104-2

Taha, E.H. (2021). Influence of different species of *Steinernema* and *Heterorhabditis* on *Galleria mellonella* and *Spodoptera littoralis*. *Egyptian Journal of Agronematology*, 20(2): 128- 139. Doi: 10.21608/EJAJ.2021.187531

Tahir, I.K. and Shaheen, A. (2019): Formulation of entomopathogenic nematode. *International Journal of Zoology Studies*, 4(5): 13-15.

Takeda, M. (2008): Current research of pest insects of vegetables in last decade. *Annual Report of the Kansai Plant Protectection*, 50: 39-44.

Tanada, Y. and Kaya, H.K. (1993): Insect Pathology. Academic Press, New York, NY. 666pp.

Tariq-Khan, M., Munir, A., Mukhtar, T., Hallmann, J. and Heuer, H. (2017): Distribution of root-knot nematode species and their virulence on vegetables in northern temperate agro-ecosystems of the Pakistani-administered territories of Azad Jammu and Kashmir. *Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection*, 124: 201-212. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41348-016-0045-9

Thakur, N.; Tomar, P.; Sharma, S.; Kaur, S.; Sharma, S.; Yadav, A.N. and Hesham, A.E. (2022): Synergistic effect of entomopathogens against *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius) under laboratory and greenhouse conditions. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 32(1):1–10. Doi: 10.1186/s41938-022-00537-3

Thakur, N.; Tomar, P.; Kaur, J.; Kaur, S.; Sharma, A.; Jhamta, S.; Yadav, A.N.; Dhaliwal, H.S.; Thakur, R. and Thakur, S. (2023): Eco-friendly management of *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in tomato under polyhouse and feld conditions using *Heterorhabditisbacteriophora*Poinar, their associated bacteria (*Photorhabdusluminescens*), and *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *Kurstaki*. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 33(1):7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-023-00649-4

Thanwisai, A.; Muangpat, P.; Meesil, W.; Janthu, P.; Dumidae, A.; Subkrasae, C.; Ardpairin, J.; Tandhavanant, S.; Yoshino, T.P.; Vitta, A. (2022): Entomopathogenic nematodes and their symbiotic bacteria from the National Parks of Thailand and larvicidal property of symbiotic bacteria against *Aedes aegypti* and *Culex quinquefasciatus*. *Biology*, 11, 1658, 19pp. https://doi.org/10. 3390/biology11111658

Tiryaki, D. and Temur, C. (2010): The fate of pesticide in the environment. *Journal of Biological and Environmental Sciences*, 4(10): 29-32.

Toksoz, S. and Saruhan, I. (2018): Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematode isolates from Turkey and Kyrgyzstan against the larvae of the mosquito species *Culex pipiens* L. (Diptera: Culicidae) under laboratory conditions. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 28:84 https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-018-0088-2

Toledo J, Williams T, Perez C, Liedo P, Valle JF, Ibarra JE (2009) Abiotic factors affecting the infectivity of *Steinernema carpocapsae* (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae) on larvae of *Anastrepha oblique* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 19:887–898. Doi: 10.1080/ 09583150903180429

Tomar, P.; Thakur, N. and Yadav, A.N. (2022a): Endosymbiotic microbes from entomopathogenic nematode (EPNs) and their applications as biocontrol agents for agro-environmental sustainability. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 32:80, 19pp. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-022-00579-7

Tomar, P.; Thakur, N. and Sharma, A. (2022b): Infectivity of entomopathogenic nematode against the cabbage butterfly (*Pieris brassicae* L.) in polyhouse and in field

condition. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 32:38 https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-022-00535-5

Tomar, P.; Thakur, N. and Sharma, A. (2022c): Infectivity of entomopathogenic nematode against the cabbage butterfy (*Pieris brassicae* L.) in polyhouse and in field condition. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 32(1): 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-022-00535-5

Torrini, G.; Mazza, G.; Benvenuti, C.; Simoncini, S.; Landi, S.; Frosinini, R.; Rocchini, A. and Roversi, P.F. (2020): Entomopathogenic nematodes as potential biocontrol agents against *Bactroceraoleae* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Biocontrol Science and Technology*, 30(9): 909-919. Doi: 10.1080/09583157.2020.1775177

Toubarro, D.; Lucena-Robles, M.; Nascimento, G.; Costa, G.; Montiel, R.; Coelho, A.V. and Simões, N. (2009): An apoptosis-inducing serine protease secreted by the entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema carpocapsae*. *International Journal of Parasitology*, 39:1319-1330. Doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2009.04.013.

Toubarro, D.; Martinez, Avila, M.; Montiel, R. and Simoes, N. (2013): A pathogenic nematode targets recognition proteins to avoid insect defenses. *PLoS ONE*, 8: e75691. Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0075691

Trdan, S.; Žnidaračič, D. and Vidrih, M. (2007): Control of *Frankliniella occidentalis* on greenhouse-grown cucumbers: an efficacy comparison of foliar application of *Steinernema feltiae* and spraying with abamectin. *Russian Journal of Nematology*, 15(1): 25–34.

Trdan, S.; Vidrih, M.; Andjus, L. and Laznik, Ž. (2009): Activity of four entomopathogenic nematode species against different developmental stages of Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* (Coleoptera, Chrysomelidae). *Helminthologia*, 46: 14–20. Doi: 10.2478/s11687-009-0003-1

Trdan, S.; Laznik, Ž. and Bohinc, T. (2020): Thirty years of research and professional work in the field of biological control (predators, parasitoids, entomopathogenic and parasitic nematodes) in Slovenia: a review. *Applied Sciences*, 10(21):7468. https://doi.org/10.3390/ app10 217468

Tyson, T., Reardon, W., Browne, J.A. and Burnell, A.M. (2007): Gene induction by desiccation stress in the entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema carpocapsae* reveals parallels with drought tolerance mechanisms in plants. *International Journal of Parasitology*, 37: 763–776. Doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2006.12.015.

Ulu, T.C.; B Sadic,; IA Susurluk, and T Aksit, (2015): Virulence of four entomopathogenic nematode species for plum sawfly, *Hoplocampa flava* L. (Hymenoptera: Tenthredinidae). *Invertebrate Survival Journal*, 12: 274-277.

Ulvedal, C.; Bertolotti, M.A.; Cagnolo, S.R. and Almirón, W.A. (2017): Ensayos de sensibilidad de larvas de *Aedes aegypti* y *Culex quinquefasciatus*frente al nematodo*Heterorhabditisbacteriophora*encondiciones de laboratório. *Biomédica*, 37: 67–76. https://doi.org/10.7705/biomedica.v34i2.3470.

Vallet-Gely, I.; Lemaitre, B. and Boccard, F. (2008): Bacterial strategies to overcome insect defences. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 6:302–313. Doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1870.

Vashisth, S.; Chandel, Y.S. and Chandel, R.S. (2019) Comparative efficacy of indigenous heterorhabditid nematodes from north western Himalaya and *Heterorhabditis indica* (Poinar, Karunakar & David) against the larvae of *Helicoverpaarmigera* (Hubner), *International Journal of Pest Management*, 65(1): 16-22. Doi: 10.1080/09670874.2018.1453099

Vattikonda, S.R. and Sangam, S.R. (2017): Effect of forskolin on the growth and differentiation of the ovary of *Papilio demoleus* L. (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae). *International Research Journal of Environmental Science*, 6: 13-17.

Veres, P.R.; Neuman, A.; Bertram, T.H. and Ryerson, T.B. (2020): Global airborne sampling reveals a previously unobserved dimethyl sulfide oxidation mechanism in the marine atmosphere. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS), USA*, 117(9): 4505-4510. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1919344117

Vicente-Díez, I.; Blanco-Pérez, R.; Chelkha, M.; Puelles, M.; Pou, A.; Campos-Herrera, R. (2021): Exploring the use of entomopathogenic nematodes and the natural products derived from their symbiotic bacteria to control the grapevine moth, *Lobesiabotrana* (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). *Insects*, 12, 1033. 14 pp. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12111033

Vidhya, D.; Rajiv, P. and Padmanabhan, N (2016): Impact of entamopathogenic fungal infection on the detoxifying enzyme in cotton leafworm *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius). *International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biological Sciences*, 7: 943–948. Doi: 10.22376/ijpbs.2016. 7.4.b943-948

Viji, C.P. and Bhagat, R.M. (2001a): Bioefficacy of some plant products, synthetic insecticides and entomopathogenic fungi against black cutworm, *Agrotisipsilon* larvae on maize. *Indian Journal of Entomology*, 63(1): 26-32.

Wattanachaiyingcharoen, W.; Lepcha, O.; Vitta, A. and Wattanachaiyingcharoen, D. (2021): Efficacy of Thai indigenous entomopathogenic nematodes for controlling fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) (J. E. Smith)(Lepidoptera; Noctuidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 31:149, 7pp. https://doi. org/ 10.1186/s41938-021-00497-0

Willmott, D.; Hart, A.; Long, S.; Edmondson, R. and Richardson, P. (2002): Use of a cold-active entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema kraussei* to control overwintering larvae of the black vine weevil *Otiorhynchussulcatus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in outdoor strawberry plants. *Nematology*, 4(8): 925–932. Doi: 10.1163/156854102321122548

Wright, D.J.; Peters, A.; Schroer, S. and Fife, J.P. (2005): Application technology. In: "Nematodes as biocontrol agents" (Grewal, P.S., Ehlers, R.U. and Shapiro-Ilan, D.I, eds.). CAB International, Wallingford. UK: CABI Publishing. pp.: 91-106. https://doi.org/10. 1079/ 97808 51990 170. 0091.

Yaari, M.; Doron-Faigenboim, A.; Koltai, H.; Salame, L. and Glazer, I. (2016): Transcriptome analysis of stress tolerance in entomopathogenic nematodes of the genus *Steinernema*. *International Journal of Parasitology*, 46: 83–95. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ijpara.2015.08.011

Yadav, S.; Patil, J. and Sharma, H.K. (2017): Bio-efficacy of *Steinernemacarpocapsae* against *Spodoptera litura* under Laboratory Condition, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Biosciences*, 5(2): 165-172. Doi:http://dx. doi.org/10. 18782/ 2320-7051.2864

Yağci, M.; Özdem, A.; Erdoğuş, F.D. and Ayan, E. (2021a): Efficiency of entomopathogenic nematodes (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae and Steinernematidae) on the codling moth (*Cydiapomonella* L.) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) under controlled conditions. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 31:75, 5pp. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s41938-021-00399-1

Yağcı, M.; Fırat, T.A.; Erdoğuş, F.D. and Şahin, M. (2021b): Virulence of four entomopathogenic nematode against diferent stages of the Mediterranean fruit fy, *Ceratitis capitata* Wiedemann (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 31:126, 5pp.https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-021-00472-9

Yağcı, M.; Yücel, C.; Erdoğuş, F.D.; Benk, G. and Kepenekci, İ. (2022): Biological control potential of local entomopathogenic nematodes against the different stage larvae of cotton leafworm *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisduval) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 32:59 https://doi.org/ 10. 1186/s41938-022-00558-y

Yan, X.; Shahid Arain, M.; Lin, Y.; Gu, X.; Zhang, L.; Li, J. and Han, R. (2020): Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes against the tobacco cutworm, *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 113(1): 64–72, https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toz262

Yan, X.; Chen, G.; Chen, Y.; Sun, B.; Gu, X.; Ruan, W. and Han, R. (2021): Virulence of *Steinernema ceratophorum* against different pest insects and their potential for *in vivo* and *in vitro* culture. *Journal of Nematology*, 53, 12pp. Doi: 10.21307/jofnem-2021-046

Yee, W.L. and Lacey, L.A. (2003): Stage-specific mortality of *Rhagoletisindiferens* (Diptera: Tephritidae) exposed to three species of *Steinernema* nematodes. *Biological Control*, 27: 349–359. https ://doi.org/10.1016/S1049 -9644(03)00029 – X.

Youssef, N.A. (2014): Effect of certain entomopathogenic fungi and nematode on the desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria* (Forskal). Annals of Agricultural Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University (Egypt), 59(1): 125-131.

Yuksel, E. and Canhilal, R. (2018): Evaluation of local isolates of entomopathogenic nematodes for the management of black cutworm, *Agrotisipsilon* Hufnagel (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 28: 82, 7pp. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-018-0087-3

Yüksel, E.; Imren, M.; Özdemir, E.; Bozbuğa, R. and Canhilal, R. (2022): Insecticidal effect of entomopathogenic nematodes and the cell-free supernatants from their symbiotic bacteria against different larval instars of *Agrotis segetum* (Denis &Schifermüller) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 32:54 https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-022-00555-1

Zeng, J.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, H.; Liu, J.; Jiang, Y.; Wyckhuys, K. and Wu, K. (2020): Global warming modifies long-distance migration of an agricultural insect pest. *Journal of Pest Science*, 93: 569–581. https:// doi. org/ 10. 1007/s10340- 019- 01187-5

Zohdy, N.M.; Shamseldean, M.M.; Abd-El-Samie, E.M. and Hamama, H.M. (2013): Efficacy of the steinernematid and heterorhabditid nematodes for controlling the mosquito, *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Journal of Mosquito Research* 3:33–44, Doi: 105376/jmr.2013.03.0005.