Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology & Fisheries Zoology Department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt. ISSN 1110 – 6131 Vol. 28(2): 675 – 683 (2024) www.ejabf.journals.ekb.eg



The Acute Toxic Impact of Iron (Fe) and Lead (Pb) Individually and Their Mixture on Daphnia magna (Straus, 1820)

Mahassen, M. El-Deeb Ghazy¹, Madlen, M. Habashy², Marian, G. Nassif^{2,*}

¹Water Pollution Research Department, National Research Center, 12311 Dokki, Cairo, Egypt ²National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries, NIOF, Egypt ***Corresponding Author: george.marian@hotmail.com**

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received: Feb. 28, 2024 Accepted: March 23, 2024 Online: April 14, 2024

Keywords: Toxicity, Daphnia magna, Heavy metals, Fe, Pb, LC₅₀

ABSTRACT

One of the most crucial methods for understanding the state of any aquatic ecosystem is the use of test organisms such as fish or invertebrates. The water flea, Daphnia magna, is commonly used as a model species for ecotoxicological studies. In the current examination, D. magna neonates were subjected to different metal concentrations similar to those previously reported to occur in the ecosystem. The 48-h acute toxicity tests of FeCl₃, PbCl₂, and their mixture at different concentrations were applied to D. magna. The Probit analysis was used to calculate the 48-h median lethal concentration (LC₅₀) for each of Fe, Pb, and their mixture. The results revealed that Fe was more hazardous than Pb, with 48-h LC_{50} values of 6.47 and 13.14mg/ l, respectively. Furthermore, the Fe-Pb mixture had a synergetic impact on Daphnia magna (mixture 48-h LC₅₀ was 3.9mg/l). This study indicated that the realistic levels of Fe and Pb may trigger the lethal impacts of freshwater crustaceans, causing devastating impacts on the ecosystem and food web. These results may be valuable in elaborating water quality criteria and standards to protect the national aquatic systems that are drastically affected by anthropogenic industrial contamination. Because of the unexpected responses of multi-contaminant scenarios, there is a need for more thorough, environmentally appropriate research on the mixture impacts of the pollutants.

ELSEVIER DOAJ JUCAT

INTRODUCTION

Indexed in Scopus

In the 1940s, Anderson began using *Daphnia magna* in toxicity studies (Anderson, 1944), and it has currently become a standard ecotoxicological species. Its large size, short life span, parthenogenetic reproduction, and high rate of reproduction made *D. magna* a model species for toxicity tests. Based on these data, *D. magna* is considered the most suitable species to study the detrimental impacts of heavy metals and pharmaceuticals as well (Mano & Shinohara, 2020; Tkaczyk *et al.*, 2021). Recently, Yin *et al.* (2023) reviewed the harmful effects of microplastics on *Daphnia* sp.. The toxicity tests on this species can be adapted to predict the implications of contaminants on the aquatic ecosystem. Pashaei *et al.* (2023)

investigated *D. magna*'s acute exposure to caffeine, nanoplastics, triclosan, microplastics and their combinations. They discovered that when pollutant concentrations and exposure time increase, death rates increase.

As heavy metals are not biodegradable, they become hazardous at a certain level of concentration. Subsequently, they accumulate in the vital organs of the aquatic species, where they adversely affect their reproduction and growth. Consequently, they threaten human health via the food chain. As a result, heavy metals pose both ecological and health risks.

Cui *et al.* (2018) examined the impacts of 11 heavy metals on both *Daphnia magna* and *Daphnia galeata*. Their study revealed that *D. galeata* was more sensitive than *D. magna*. Moreover, they proposed *D. galeata* as a potential model for aquatic toxicity testing.

According to **Ahmed** *et al.* (2019), iron bioaccumulation could occur via ingestion, respiration, and consumption which may lead to health risks to humans through the food web. Shaw *et al.* (2006) mentioned that iron and aluminum are commonly present in polluted water streams as a result of mining, steel procedures, and industrial drainage water. In their study, **Cui** *et al.* (2018) recorded the 48-h LC₅₀ of Fe⁺² on *D. galeata* to be 1.05mg/ l. However, there are very limited researches using ferric metal on *D. magna*; the current research applied the impacts of different concentrations of ferric chloride (FeCl₃) on *D. magna* as a new metal trend.

Lead is frequently associated with suspended matter in aquatic ecosystems, where it can enter any aquatic environment through runoff from streets, and industrial wastewater discharges. Lead is commonly found in the environment which has neurotoxic effects and once detected may be irreversible (Andrade *et al.*, 2015). Gooley *et al.* (2000) and Widiastuti *et al.* (2019) pointed out that lead is a non-biodegradable heavy metal and extremely harmful to aquatic animals. Although its toxicity has already been established, its full ecotoxicological potential is still not fully described. Paul *et al.* (2019) investigated the impact of lead nitrate on common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*), and they concluded that the prolonged exposure to significantly high amounts of Pb (NO₃)₂ has major consequences for fish health. They found that 350mg/ l dose had critical issues on *C. carpio* histology.

Heys *et al.* (2016) mentioned that heavy metal interactions mostly raise the death rate in larvae, renal damage, embryonic toxicity, and sperm toxicity; however, some cases cause a decrease in expected toxicity. In nature, there are complex mixtures of chemicals that affect living organisms. Most researchers who strive to evaluate the environmental hazards of chemical mixtures assume that the effect of mixtures of the chemicals can be forecasted just by adding up the toxicity of every single element. In some cases, the chemical reactions might change the toxicity of some mixtures, making them different from what would be predicted. Since a



concentration addition model is used in many experimental methods to predict mixture toxicity, interactions may have led to a considerable underestimation of the risk. This is specifically problematic, especially when the environmental mixtures are taken into account, which are frequently quite sophisticated and consisted of unidentified chemicals. Unfortunately, failure to forecast the negative impacts of the chemicals when released to the ecosystem, will lead to unpredicted detrimental impacts on the ecosystem. It is possible to observe a toxic effect, even though each separate compound is below its limit value. **Mebane** *et al.* (2012) conducted acute toxicity tests of Cd, Pb, and Zn, solely and in mixtures, on fish and invertebrates in the South Fork Coeur d'Alene River watershed, USA. In the mixture test, the results revealed that the poisoning impacts of the three elements were found to be below the additive assumption according to a concentration-addition basis.

Although some researches have been conducted on the toxicity of certain heavy metals, the researches on Fe and Pb are very rare. Therefore, it is crucial to recognize and assess the potential undesirable effect of Fe and Pb on the aquatic ecosystem. Moreover, the impacts of Fe and Pb mixtures have not been studied before; therefore, their lethal impacts on crustaceans may be of an ecological interest. That is why this study is considered a novel trend in ecotoxicological studies in Egypt. Thus, the goals of this study were to determine the acute toxicity of Fe and Pb mixtures to *Daphnia magna* for 48-h of exposure and to compare these values with the impacts of each metal solely. In addition, the study investigated the probability of the presence of an interactive effect of this mixture (synergetic or antagonistic effect) or if these metals are confirmed to be standard toxicological prediction models (additive or independent action).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Test species

The freshwater *D. magna* was successfully cultivated in synthetic media in the Laboratory of Hydrobiology at the National Research Center (**Fayed & Ghazy, 2000**). Regularly, females were transferred to 1-L glass beakers, in which synthetic freshwater medium, with a pH range of 7.9- 8.3, the total hardness of 90mg/ L as CaCO₃, the alkalinity of 34mg/ L, and conductivity of 260µmhos/ cm. This process was renewed 3 times a week. *D. magna* were supplied with *Scenedesmus obliquus* (14x10⁷ coenobia/ ml) three times/ week (**Ghazy, 1997**). To keep the solution in a good condition, the algal culture was renovated every week. Throughout the experimental period, test animals were maintained at $22\pm 2^{\circ}$ C with 16 hours of light per day.

Procedures

Ten neonates below 24 hours were tested in synthetic freshwater media in 250ml glass beakers for both the control group and all treatments. A heater thermostat has kept the medium temperature at $22\pm 2^{\circ}$ C.



Acute toxicity experiment

In triplicates, groups of 10< 24h- old daphnid neonates were placed in 250ml beakers with 100ml medium and exposed to experimental circumstances for 48 hours. There was no food added during the test. After 48 hours, the numbers of live daphnids were recorded. The control was performed concurrently.

The metals used in the toxicity tests were Fe as ferric chloride (FeCl₃) and Pb as lead chloride (PbCl₂), with a purity of 99%. The concentrations of Fe tested were: 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14mg/ l, whereas the Pb concentrations were: 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, and 24mg/ l for 48hr acute tests. For the Fe-Pb mixture experiment, series of concentrations equivalent to LC₁, LC₃, LC₇, LC₁₀, and LC₁₅, deduced from regression lines of both Fe and Pb toxicity tests on *D. magna* for 48h in a static system, were applied.

Statistical analysis

Probit analysis was applied for the 48-h LC_{50} s acute tests on *D. magna* using the SPSS program.

In toxicological investigations, mixed toxic impacts are commonly conducted by applying the toxic units (TUs). TU is the chemical concentration of a mixture of toxins divided by its single toxic concentration for the endpoint measured (Ishaque *et al.*, 2006). A linear additive index was applied to estimate the combined toxic impact of a mixture of Fe and Pb (Marking, 1977), as expressed in the equation:

$$S = \frac{Am}{Ai} + \frac{Bm}{Bi} \dots Eq. (1)$$

Where, A and B are the test metals;

i is the median lethal concentration (LC₅₀) of an individual metal;

m represents the median lethal concentration (LC_{50}) of the metal mixture;

S represents the summation of the effective contributions, and can be modified using Equation 2 or 3. The additive index (AI) was applied to forecast the kind of cumulative impact that occurred. AI can be calculated using Equations 2 and 3.

 $AI = \left(\frac{1}{5}\right) - 1$ (S<1) Eq. (2)

AI = (-1)XS + 1 (S ≥ 1) Eq. (3)



If the cumulative toxic impact is additive, AI will be 0. If AI> 0; a synergistic impact is indicated. An antagonistic impact is indicated when AI< 0.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Indexed in Scopus

Neonates of *D. magna* were exposed to different concentrations of Fe (2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14mg/ l), and the calculated 48-h LC₅₀ by Probit analysis was 6.47mg/ l (Table 1). **Santos-Medrano and Rico-Martínez (2013)** investigated the lethal impacts of some heavy metals on *Asplanchna brigthwellii* and *Brachionus calyciflorus*. They revealed that *B. calyciflorus* was more sensitive than *A. brigthwellii*, and Fe had the lowest hazardous impacts. The 24-h LC₅₀ values for Fe were estimated at 0.35mg/ l for *A. brigthwellii* and 0.23mg/ l for *B. calyciflorus*. **Cui et al. (2018)** recorded the 48-h LC₅₀ of Fe⁺² on *D. galeata* as 1.05mg/ l. Hence, these results indicated that *A. brigthwellii, B. calyciflorus*, and *D. galeata* are more sensitive than *D. magna*.

In another experiment, the neonates were exposed to 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20 and 24 mg/ l of Pb. As illustrated in Table (1), the 48-h LC₅₀ was 13.14mg/l. The mortality rate in controls was zero, and they did not show any abnormal behavior. These results disagree with those of Le Blanc (1982), who recorded the 48-h LC₅₀ for Pb(NO₃)₂ to D. magna as 0.15mg/ L. Furthermore, Altında et al. (2008) investigated the acute toxicity test of Pb(NO₃)₂ on D. magna, and they found the 24-h EC₅₀ as 0.44mg/ l. This could be associated to the higher tolerance of D. magna over time. In addition, Theegala et al. (2007) pointed out that, the $48h-LC_{50}$ of $Pb(NO_3)_2$ on D. pulex was 4mg/1. Bodar et al. (1989) concluded that, the initial phases of D. magna have more resistance to heavy metals than adults, and that high concentrations of Pb(NO₃)₂ (1, 10, and 25mg/L) had no evident impacts on the mortality rates of early life stages. Gordillo et al. (1998) obtained the 24h LC50 for Pb(NO₃)₂ as 4.92mg/ L for D. magna. According to Santos-Medrano and Rico-Martínez (2013), the 24-h Pb LC_{50} values were 0.318 and 0.248mg/ 1 on A. brightwellii and B. calyciflorus, respectively. Thus, these results revealed that rotifers (A. brigthwellii and B. calyciflorus) are more sensitive than the cladoceran D. magna. Although Hernández-Flores et al. (2020) concluded that Fe was the least toxic metal on Euchlanis dilatata, it seems that Fe has a higher toxicity effect on D. magna than Pb in the current acute toxicity exposure.

Mixtures of different concentrations of Fe and Pb were added to the neonates' media. Five different sublethal concentrations were prepared as follows: the concentration that kills 1% of neonates LC₁ of both Fe and Pb (0.38 and 1.06mg/ l, respectively), LC₃ of both of Fe and Pb (0.66 and 1.72mg/ l, respectively), LC₇ of Fe and Pb (1.08 and 2.66mg/ l, respectively), LC₁₀ of Fe and Pb (1.37 and 3.28mg/ l, respectively), and LC₁₅ of Fe and Pb (1.84 and 4.28, respectively). As shown in Table (1), the calculated median lethal concentration (48-h LC₅₀) of Fe and Pb mixture was 3.9mg/ l. Therefore, according to **Marking (1977)**, S= 0.89 (S< 1) and

ELSEVIER DOAJ IUCAT

AI= 0.12 (AI> 0), and that means adding Fe to Pb in the ecosystem has a synergistic effect on *D.* magna mortality rate. Fig. (1) illustrates the 48-h LC₅₀ regression line of Fe-Pb mixture. Similar results were reported by **Piri and Ordag (1993)**, who examined the impact of titanium dioxide and nanoparticles mixture on *D. magna* and noticed that the mortality rate of pollution mixture was three times higher compared to the toxicity rate of each pollutant solely. **Chen et al. (2015)** agreed with this result as they recorded a synergetic effect of galaxolide (HHCB) and Pb mixture on *D. magna*. They also mentioned the significant impact of the absence and existence of suspended matters on the mixture's toxic effect. Consequently, while the concentration of one metal may not be hazardous, the existence of other chemicals may increase the toxicity rate and mortality rate had grown in a mixture of Cd and Cu compared to the effect of each metal individually.

Table 1. The 48-h let	hal doses of Fe, Pb,	and their mixture on <i>D. magna</i>
-----------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------------

Metal	48-h LC ₁₀	48-h LC₅₀	48-h LC ₉₀
Fe	1.37	6.47	30.57
Pb	3.28	13.14	52.52
Fe and Pb Mixture	1.64	3.9	9.44

Probit Transformed Responses

Fig. 1. The 48h-lethal concentration (LC₅₀) regression line of Fe-Pb mixture to Daphnia magna



CONCLUSION

The conducted study concludes that Fe, Pb, and their mixture can pose hazards to the aquatic ecosystem. Although Fe is more toxic to *D. magna* than Pb, the Fe-Pb mixture has more mortality impacts than each metal solely. The mortality rate in the mixture is three times higher than the Pb alone and almost two times higher compared to Fe's lethal concentration. Hence, more ecotoxicological studies of different metals are recommended in order to update heavy metals' standard limits. Further researches on mixture toxicology are needed to observe the synergetic and antagonistic impacts of various metals on each other.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, A.S.S.; Rahman, M.; Sultana, S.; Babu, S.M.O.F. and Sarker, M.S.I. (2019). Bioaccumulation and heavy metal concentration in tissues of some commercial fishes from the Meghna River Estuary in Bangladesh and human health implications. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.*, 145: 436 – 447. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.06.035.
- Altında, A.; Ergönül, M.B.; Yigit, S. and Baykan, Ö. (2008). The acute toxicity of lead nitrate on *Daphnia magna* Straus. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 7 (23): 4298-4300. http://www.academicjournals.org/AJB.
- Anderson, B.G. (1944). The toxicity thresholds of various substances found in industrial wastes as determined by the use of *Daphnia magna*. J. Sewage Works., 16: 1156.
- Andrade, V.L.; Mateus, M.L.; Batoréu, M.C.; Aschner, M. and Marreilha dos Santos, A.P. (2015). Lead, arsenic and manganese metal mixture exposures: focus on biomarkers of effect, *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.*, 166 (1): 13–23. doi:10.1007/s12011-015-0267-x.
- Bodar, C.; Wvd Zee, A.; Voogt, P.A.; Wynne, H. and Zandee, D. I. (1989). Toxicity of heavy metals to early life stages of *Daphnia magna*. *Ecotoxicol. and Environ. Safety*.
- Chen, F.; Yao, Q. and Zhou, X. (2015). The Influence of Suspended Solids on the Combined Toxicity of Galaxolide and Lead to *Daphnia magna*. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 95: 73–79. DOI 10.1007/s00128-015-1543-3.
- Cui, R.; Kwak, J. I. and An, Y. (2018). Comparative study of the sensitivity of *Daphnia galeata* and *Daphnia magna* to heavy metals. *Ecotoxicol. and Environ. Safety.*, 162: 63- 70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.06.054.</u>
- Fayed, S. E. and Ghazy, M. M. (2000). Toxicity monitoring of water supplies using *Daphnia* magna Straus. Pol. Arch. Hydrobiol., 4 (2): 171-188.
- Ghazy, M. M. (1997). Aquatic fauna as a water quality monitoring device. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Science. Cairo Univ., pp.: 169.



Gholami, M.; Reza Fatemi, S. M.; Fallahi, M.; Sari, A. E. and Mashinchian, A. (2013). The Individual and Mixed Effect of Heavy Metals (Cu and Cd) and Detergent (LAS) on Mortality of *Daphnia Magna* (Zooplankton). *Intern. J. Ecosystem.*, 3(4): 90-93.

682

- Gooley, G. J.; Gavine, F. M.; Dalton, W.; De Silva, S. S.; Bretherton, M. and Samblebe, M. (2000). Feasibility of aquaculture in dairy manufacturing wastewater to enhance environmental performance and offset costs. *Final Report DRDC Project* No. MAF001 Marine and Freshwater Resources Institute, Snobs Creek.
- Gordillo, S.; Fernandez Pereira, A. C. and Vale Parapar, J. F. (1998). Acute ecotoxicity evaluation of heavy metals with *Daphnia magna*. *Ecotoxicol*. *Environ*. *Res.*, 1(3): 3-12.
- Hernández-Flores, S.; Santos-Medrano, G. E.; Rubio-Franchini, I. and Rico-Martínez, R. (2020). Evaluation of bioconcentration and toxicity of five metals in the freshwater rotifer *Euchlanis dilatata* Ehrenberg, 1832. *Environ. Sci. and Poll. Res.*, 27:14058–14069. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-07958-3.
- Heys, K. A.; Shore, R. F.; Pereira, M. G.; Jones, K. C. and Martin, F. L. (2016). Risk assessment of environmental mixture effects. *Roy. Soci. Chem. Ad.*, 6: 47844-47857.
- Ishaque, A.B.; Johnson, L.; Gerald, T.; Boucaud, D.; Okoh, J. and Tchounwou, P.B. (2006). Assessment of individual and combined toxicities of four non-essential metals (As, Cd, Hg and Pb) in the microtox assay. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health.*, 3(1): 118–120.
- Le Blanc, G. A. (1982). Laboratory investigation into the development of resistance of *Daphnia magna* to environmental pollutants. *Environ. Poll.*, 27: 309-322.
- Mano, H. and Shinohara, N. (2020). Acute Toxicity of Nickel to Daphnia magna: Validation of Bioavailability Models in Japanese Rivers. Water Air Soil Poll., 231: 459. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-020-04842-1.</u>
- Marking, L. L. (1977). Method for assessing additive toxicity of chemical mixtures. In: Mayer F. L., Hamelink J. L. (eds) *Aquatic toxicology and hazard evaluation*. 634. American *Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia*, PA, pp. 99–108. Doi: 10. 1520/STP32392S.
- Mebane, C. A.; Dillon, F. S. and Hennessy, D. P. (2012). Acute Toxicity of Cadmium, Lead, Zinc, and their Mixturesto Stream-Resident Fish and Invertebrates. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 31(6): 1334–1348. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.1820</u>
- Pashaei, R.; Dzingelevičienė, R.; Putna-Nimane, I.; Overlinge, D.; Błaszczyk, A. and Walker, T.
 R. (2023). Acute toxicity of triclosan, caffeine, nanoplastics, microplastics, and their mixtures on Daphnia magna. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 192: 115113.

Indexed in Scopus ELSEVIER DOAJ

- Paul, S.; Mandal, A.; Bhattacharjee, P.; Chakraborty, S.; Paul, R. and Mukhopadhyay, B. K. (2019). Evaluation of water quality and toxicity after exposure of lead nitrate infresh water fish, major source of water pollution. *Egypt. J. Aquat. Res.*, 45(4): 345–351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejar.2019.09.001.
- Piri, Z. M. and ordag, V. (1993). Effect of some herbicides commonly used in Iran on selenastrum capricomutum and *Daphnia magna*, (*MSc. Thesis to the University of Agricultural Sciences Hungary*).
- Santos-Medrano, G. E. and Rico-Martínez, R. (2013). Lethal effects of five metals on the freshwater rotifers Asplanchna brigthwellii and Brachionus calyciflorus. Hidrobiol., 23 (1): 82-86. <u>https://doi.org/10.4161/epi.24362</u>.
- Shaw, J. R.; Dempsey, T. D.; Chen, C. Y.; Hamilton, J. W. and Fol, C. L. (2006). Comparative toxicity of cadmium, zinc and mixtures of cadmium and zinc to daphnids. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 25: 182–189.
- Theegala, C. S.; Suleiman, A. A. and Carriere, P. A. (2007). Toxicity and biouptake of lead and arsenic by *Daphnia pulex*. J. Environ. Sci. Health., 42 (1): 27-31.
- Tkaczyk, A.; Bownik, A.; Dudka, J.; Kowal, K. and Ślaska, B. (2021). Daphnia magna model in the toxicity assessment of pharmaceuticals: A review. Sci. The Total Environ., 763: 143038. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143038
- Widiastuti, I. M.; Hertika, A. M. S.; Musa, M. and Arfiati, D. (2019). Acute toxicity test and LC50 value of mercury on *tubifex tubifex*. J. Physics: Conf. Series 1242 (2019). doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1242/1/012040
- Yin, J.; Long, Y.; Xiao, W.; Liu, D.; Tian, Q.; Li, Y.; Liu, C.; Chen, L. and Pan, Y. (2023). Ecotoxicology of microplastics in *Daphnia*: A review focusing on microplastic properties and multiscale attributes of *Daphnia*. *Ecotoxicol. and Environ. Safety*, 249: 114433. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2022.114433</u>