Journal of the Egyptian Society of Parasitology, Vol. 54, No. 1, April 2024 J. Egypt. Soc. Parasitol. (JESP), 54(1), 2024: 137 - 142

Online: 2090-2549

CROSS NEUTRALIZATION OF SOME SNAKE VENOMS FROM AFRICA AND MIDDLE EAST BY VACSERA POLYVALENT SNAKE ANTISERA

By

ABIR A. ELFIKY^{*}, and SHERIF S. ELFEEL^{**}

¹VASCERA Holding Company for Biological Products & Vaccines, Giza P. O. Box, 276, Postal Code, 12311, Egypt

(Correspondence: elfikyabir@gmail.com, **ceo@vacsera.com)

Abstract

An extensive study of neutralization of lethality of two species of elapid, seven species of genus Viper, and two species of *Macrovipera* by VACSERA polyvalent snake antisera.

The results showed that polyvalent snake venom antisera prepared by injecting horses with *Naja haje, Naja nigricolis,* and *Cerrastes cerrastes venom*) was highly effective in neutralizing the venoms specifically and neutralized Para-specifically others including *Vipera palastinae, Vipera xanthina, Vipera ammodytes, Echis coloratus, Echis carinatus, Cerastes vipera* and *pseudocerrastes-feildi* beside *Macrovipera* species including *Macrovipera lebetina obtuse, M. lebetina turanica.*

Key words: Egypt, VACSERA polyvalent snake, Africa, Middle East, Snake venom

Introduction

Preparation of snake antivenom includes administration of the venom to a suitable animal, mainly horses and after an appropriate period collecting the specific antibodies from the serum of the inoculated animal (Joger et al, 2007). During such procedure the recipient animal may suffer different types of ill-health signs including, generalized asthenia, pallor, skin rashes, muscular pain, hemorrhages, cardiovascular, respiratory problems, nervous signs as paresis and paralysis, break down of tissues, and finally collapse and death, the severity and duration of the observed clinical signs depend on the nature, amount and site of the injected venoms (Rosenberg, 1990). Genus Vipera is widespread throughout Western and Central Asia. It is a genus in constant revision and recognizes some two dozen species and a number of subspecies (Stümpel and Joger, 2009; Thorpe et al, 2007). The genus Macrovipera extends from Eastern Europe to Western and Central Asia, as well as Mediterranean Africa (David and Ineich, 1999). Between 1999 and 2008, several genus-level name changes have occurred, most notably the transfer of some species of Vipera and Macrovipera of genera Daboia and Montivipera (Cox et al, 2022). Para-specificity (also known as cross-neutralization) refers to the capacity of antivenom to neutralize the venom of species not included in the immunization scheme of animals used for antivenom production at therapeutically useful doses, not more than those necessary for specific neutralization (Ursenbacher et al, 2008). It was studied among some genera, and sometimes extends beyond a genus (Ramos-Cerrillo et al, 2008). The therapeutic effectiveness of antivenom relied on the toxinspecific protective antibodies within, an immunological approach, such as ELISA or antivenomics, could be a way to evaluate the neutralizing potency against specific toxin activity (Calvete et al, 2014). Nevertheless, systematic information of the bona fide spectrum of Para-specific neutralization of lethality may be of use to treating clinicians in cases when the offending snake was not identified, or identified but not included in immunization protocol (Segura et al, 2010). The envenomation availability severity, and others, i.e. the expected safety of the antivenom and the danger of squeals even when symptomatic treatment would suffice to prevent death, must guide the choice to use antivenom in the absence of clinical validation of antivenom efficacy for particular species (WHO, 2010). In this investigation we generated polyvalent experimental equine antisera to study the Para-specific spectrum of protection afforded by it against a collection of two Elapidae, seven *Vipera* and two *Macrovipera* venoms.

The study aimed to evaluate Para-specific neutralization, its extent and potency versus specific neutralization within and between each genus.

Material and Methods

Venoms: All included Naja haje, Naja nigricollis, Cerrastes cerrastes, Vipera palastinae, Vipera xanthina, Vipera ammodytes, Echis coloratus, Cerastes vipera, Pseudo-cerastes feildi, Macrovipera lebetina obtuse, and M. lebetina turanica were prepared lyophilized and certified originally from Helwan Farm, Egyvac. All were dissolved in sterile normal saline solution as 1mg/1ml.

Antivenom: polyvalent snake venom antisera from vacsera, Egypt which prepared by injection of horses by Naja haje, Naja nigricolis, and Cerrastes cerrastes venoms and after an appropriate period collecting the specific antibodies from the serum of the inoculated animal. Vacsera snake antivenom is a trivalent antiserum raised by immunizing three groups of horses by three previous mentioned venoms. Immunization scheme consisted of 12 doses starting with an initial dose of 500mg/horse of each venom mixture emulsified with complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA, Rockland, PA), followed by upgrading venom doses without adjuvant. All immunizations injections were subcutaneously and antibody titers were monitored regularly till time of plasma collection using the immunopheresis technique. Antiserum consisted of Equivolume pools of horses' sera.

Animals: For lethal potency and neutralization of lethality, 18-20g Swiss Albino male mice (VACSERA) were used, following the guide for care and use of laboratory animals (Conour *et al*, 2006).

Lethal potency: Different doses of each venom were injected IV in five mice using the conventional technique (WHO, 2010).

Mice deaths 48hrs post injection and lethal potency were calculated as (LD_{50}) , venom dose in μg /mouse. The plot of mortality ver-

sus venom dose was analyzed by nonlinear regression (Casasola *et al*, 2008).

Neutralization of lethality: Different doses of antivenom were incubated with LD₅₀ of each venom species for 30 min at 37°C. The samples were then injected intravenously in mice (n 1/4 5/dose). The dyed mice were recorded and the median effective doses (ED_{50}) were calculated as the antivenom dose protected 50% of mice. Antivenom potency was calculated using formula: Potency $\frac{1}{4}$ [(n-1)/ ED_{50}]? LD_{50} ; where n-1 represented number of lethal doses of challenge minus one. LD50 was subtracted from total challenge dose (n) was the dose caused 50% mice's death, i.e. calculation based on total challenge minus one was the actual venom quantity caused 100% mortality as neutralized by antivenom. As ED₅₀ was µg/µl (=mg/ml), or mg venom neutralized by 1 ml antivenom.

Statistical analysis: Data were presented as mean and standard deviation (SD) or with the 95% confidence intervals in parentheses. When necessary, Student's t test was used for comparisons. Data were analyzed by using combined Prism 4.0 software package (Barde and Barde, 2012).

Results

Both *Cobra* and *Vipera* venoms were neutralized by polyvalent snake antisera (LD_{50}). The specific neutralization potency ranged from 80µl/ml *N. haje*, 35µg/ml *N. nigricollis* to 79.4µg/ml *Cerrastes cerrastes*, but Paraspecific neutralization ranged from 7.32µg/ ml *Vipera xanthine*, 10.64µg/ml *V. palastinae*, 21.25µg/ml, *Echis coloratus*, 25.5µg/ ml, *E. carinatus* 28µg/ml, *Pseudocerastes feildi* to 38.2µg/ml *Cerrastes vipera*. *Macrovipera* Para-specific neutralization was 18.4µg/ ml in *M. obtusa*, and 18µg/ml for *M. turanica*.

Cobra venoms were the most potent venoms (2.1ug/mouce) for Egyptian cobra (Naja haje), and spitting cobra (N. nigricollis) venom was (8.7ug/mouce). All vipers' venoms were significantly lethal than macrovipers. The most potent one was V. ammodytes (8.0µg/mouse) and the least one was P. feildi and E. coloratus (21.25 & 25µg/mouse respectively). In Macrovipera, the most lethal was *M. obtusa* (17.85 μ g) and the least

one was *M. turanica* (20.4µg/mouse).

Details were given in tables (1 & 2) and figures (1 & 2).

Table 1: Median lethal dose of venom (ug/mouse)	
Venom	LD50 (-)
Naja haje	2.1
Naja nigricollis	7.32
Cerastes cerastes	10.7
Vipera ammodytes ammodytes	8.0
Vipera xanthine	11.48
Cerrastes vipera	16
Vipera palastinae	19.1
Echis coloratus (Saw scaled viper)	25.5
Echis carinatus (Echis pyramidum)	28
Pseudo-cerastes feildi	21.25
Macrovipera lebatina obtuse	17.85
Macrovipera lebatina turanica	20.4

(-)confidence interval of < 0.01 as just one

intermediate survival value at very close doses (95%). Table 2: Lethality by VACSERA neutralization of polyvalent antivenom.

able 2: Lethality by VACSERA neutralizati	on of polyvalent antivend
Snake Venom	$*ED_{50} = \mu g/ml$
Naja haje	80
Naja nigricollis	35.0
Cerrastes cerrastes	79.4
Vipera ammodytes ammodytes	15.3
Vipera xanthine	7.32
Cerrastes vipera	38.2
Vipera palastinae	10.64
Echis coloratus	21.25
Echis carinatus(pyramidum)	20.4
Pseudo-cerastes feildi	25.5
Macrovipera lebatina obtuse	18.4
Macrovipera lebatina turanica	18

Discussion

Snakebites are a common problem in Medical and Veterinary Medicine. Vipers are member of the family Viperidae, a group of snakes found worldwide (Peterson, 2007).

Snake antivenoms are the specific treatment for snakebites envenomation (Elfiky et al, 2023). Anti-venoms can prevent or reverse snakebites effect and minimize mortality and morbidity as toxicity differs among species. A list of snakebite envenoming was given (Williams et al. (2019)

There is an urgent need to have safe, effective and affordable antivenoms, particularly for developing countries, and to improve regulatory control over the manufacture, import, and sale of antivenoms (WHO, 2010). "Specific" antivenom means that it was developed specifically to neutralize the venom of the snake that bit the patient, and also neutralized the venoms of related species or Para-specific neutralizati-on (Fathi et al, 2022). VACSERA polyvale- nt antiserum was specifically neutralized by Egyptian cobra, Spitting cobra, and C. cera-stes venom. Ad hoc it was neutralized Paraspecific by Vipera venoms including V. ammodytes, V. xanthinae, C. vipera, E. coloratus, E. carinatus, P. feildi, and Macrovipera venoms as M. l. obtuse, and M. l. turanica. But, the Elapidae venoms were significantly more lethal than that of Vipera, or Macrovip era.

In the present study, Elapidae venoms the LD₅₀ of Naja haje venom were 2.1µg/mouse (0.105mg/kg) by I.V. injection. This r nearly agreed with Shaban and Hafez (2003), they found that LD₅₀ of N. haje venom was 2.1 µg/mouse by IV. But, LD₅₀ of N. nigricollis was 7.2µg/mouse (0.36mg/kg). Also, this agreed with Abd El-Aziz et al. (2019), they found that LD50 of N. nigricollis was 0.34

mg/kg and 5.5µg/mouse respectively in spite of difference in injection roots. The Vipera venoms were significantly more lethal than Macrovipera ones as LD_{50} of C. cerrastes venom was 10.7µg/mice (0.535mg/kg). This nearly agreed with Seddik et al. (2002), who reported 9µg/mouse. LD50 of V. ammodytes venom was 8.25µg/mouse (0.412mg/kg), which agreed with Garcia-Arredondo et al. (2019), who reported a dose was 8.4µg and 8.07µg/mouse respectively. V. xanhina venom LD₅₀ was 11.65μ g/mouse (0.582mg/kg). This agreed with Archundia et al. (2011), they reported .2µg/mouse. LD₅₀ of C. vipera venom was 19.2µg/ mouse (0.9mg/kg). This nearly agreed with Saber et al. (2019), who reported 18.3µg/mouse (0.915mg/kg). LD₅₀ of V. palastinae venom was 19µg/mouse (0.95mg/kg), but was 8.4µg/mouse (Arhundia et al, 2011). The differences may be due to geographical distribution. LD_{50} of E. coloratus venom was 25µg/mouse (1.25mg/ kg). This nearly agreed with Seddik et al. (2002), who reported 20µg/mouse in Sudan species. LD₅₀ of *E. carinatus* was 28µg/ mouse (1.25mg/kg). This more or less agreed with Abd El-Aziz et al. (2019), who reported 1.744mg/kg, but it was 30µg/mouse for Sdan species, and 25µg/mouse for Saudi ones (Seddik et al, 2002).

In the present study, LD₅₀ of *P. fieldi* venom was 21.25µg/mouse (1.06mg/kg), but it was 6.0µg/mouse by Seddik et al. (2002). The LD₅₀ of *M. lebatina* venom was $18\mu g/$ mouse (1.25mg/kg) for M. obtusa, and 20.0 μ g/mouse (1.02mg/kg) for *M. turanica*. This agreed with Warrell (2010), who reported that M. l. obtusa was 12-18µg/mouse, and Garcia-Arredondo et al. (2019), who reported 16.32µg/mouse for M. obtusa and 18.36 µg/mouse for *M. turanica*. As the venoms responsible for lethality were antigenically conserved and spread among species/subspecies Garrigues et al. (2005), VACSERA snake antiserum was specifically neutralized Naja haje, and N. nigricollis venom ranged were from 80 to 35.0µl/ml, and C. cerrastes venom by 79.4µl/ml, but Para-specifically

neutralized other *Viper* venoms ranged from 7.32 to 38.2μ l/ml. The lowest Para-specific neutralization potency for *V. xanthina* was (7.32 μ l/ml). This could reflect the antigenic difference between the specific venoms used in immunization, as the differences were in limited significance.

In the present study, ED_{50} was expressed as µl venom neutralized by 1ml of polyvalent antivenom with 95% confidence intervals. Also, the present Elapidae venoms were neutralized specifically 80µl/ml for N. haje. This agreed with Seddik et al. (2002), who reported 80µl/ml, also neutralized specifically 35.0µl/ml of N. nigricollis venom was 30µl/ml. But, in Viperidae venoms neutralized specifically C. cerastes by 79.4µl/mouse. This agreed with Seddik et al. (2002), who found 80µl/mouse. But, 1 ml VACSERA snake antisera neutralized Para-specifically other vipers as C. viper by 38.2µl/ml, which nearly agreed with Seddik et al. (2002), who found 25 µl/ml. V. ammodytes was neutralized by 15.3µl/ml by VACSERA snake antisera, but it was 11.28µl/ml for Inoserp Europe antivenom (Alejandro et al, 2019). Also, V. xanthina was neutralized Para-specifically by 40µl/ml, while it was 16.13µl/ml for Inoserp Europe antivenom.

In the present study, Ad-hoc VACSERA snake antisera neutralized Para-specifically *V. palastinae* by 10.64µl/ml, *E. coloratus* by 21.25µl/ml and *E. carinatus* by 20.5µl/ml, but it was 20µl/ml and 17.5µl/ml for Sudan & Saudi species respectively (Seddik *et al*, 2002). Also, VACSERA snake antisera neutralized Para-specifically *E. carinatus* by 20.5µl/ml, and *P. feildi* by 25.5µl/ml, but it was 15µl/ml & 20µl/ml respectively (Seddik *et al*, 2002). Also, *Macrovipera* VACSERA snake antisera neutralized Para-specifically *M. l. obtusa* by 20µl/ml and *M. l. turanica* by 22µl/ml, but it was 3.5µl/ml for *lebatina* without subspecies (Seddik *et al*, 2002).

Conclusion

The preclinical neutralization outcome results showed that VACSERA snake antivenom effectively neutralized the lethality of the venoms analyzed proving its specificity and Para-specificity.

Authors' Declaration: They declared that neither have any conflicts of interest nor received any funds. Also, they wrote the manuscript and approved its publication.

References

Abd El-Aziz, TM, Shoulkamy, MI, Hegazy, A M, Stockand, JD, Mahmoud, A, *et al*, 2019: Comparative study of the in vivo toxicity and pathophysiology of envenomation by three medically important Egyptian snake venoms. Arch. Toxicol. 94, 1:335-44.

Archundia, IG, de Roodt, AR, Ramos-Cerrillo, B, Chippaux, JP, Pérez, L, *et al*, 2011: Neutralization of *Vipera* and *Macrovipera* venoms by two experimental polyvalent antisera: A study of Para-specificity. Toxicon 57, 7/8: 1049-56. Barde, MP, Barde, PJ, 2012: What to use to express the variability of data: Standard deviation or standard error of mean? Perspect. Clin. Res. 3, 3:113-6.

Calvete, JJ, Sanz, L, Pla, D, Lomonte, B, Gutierrez, JM, 2014 Omics meets biology: Application to the design and preclinical assessment of antivenoms. Toxins 6:3388-405.

Conour, LA, Murray, KA, Brown, MJ, 2006: Preparation of Animals for Research: Issues to consider for rodents and rabbits. ILARJ 47, 4: 283-93.

Cox, N, Young, BE, Bowles, P, Fernandez, M, Marin, J, *et al*, 2022: A global reptile assessment highlights shared conservation needs of tetrapods. Nature 605, 7909:285-90. Published online 2022 Apr

David, P, Ineich, I, 1999: Les serpentes ven- imeux du monde: Systematiqueet répartition. In: Dumerilia (Ed.), Association des Amis du Laboratoire des reptiles *et* Amphibiens du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle de Paris, vol. 3.

Elfiky, AA, Elfeel, SS, Ahmed, SR, Kataket, MI, Morsy, TA, 2023: Safety of bee venom preparation for marketing strategy. JESP 53, 2: 359-68.

Fathi, B, Younesi, F, Salami, F, 2022: Acute venom toxicity determinations for five Iranian vipers and a scorpion. Iran. J. Toxicol. 16, 2:73-82.

García-Arredondo, A, Martinez, M, Calderón, A, Saldívar, A, Soria, R, 2019: Pre-clinical assessment of a new polyvalent anti venom (Inoserp Europe) against several species of the subfamily Viperinae. Toxins 11, 3:149.<u>https:// doi.</u> org/10.3390/toxins11030149.

Garrigues, T, Dauga, C, Ferquel, E, Choumet, V, Failloux, AB, 2005: Molecular phyloge-

ny of *Vipera Laurenti*, 1768 and the related genera *Macrovipera* (Reuss, 1927) and *Daboia* (Gray, 1842), with comments about neurotoxic *Vipera aspis aspis* populations. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 35:35-47.

Joger, U, Fritz, U, Guicking, D, Kalyabina-Hauf, S, Nagy, ZT, *et al*, 2007: Phylogeography of western Palearctic reptiles: Spatial and temporal speciation patterns. Zoologischer Anzeiger 246:293-313.

Peterson, ME, 2007: MS Snake Envenomation International Veterinary Emergency and Critical Care Symposium, New Orleans.

Ramos-Cerrillo, B, de Roodt, AR, Chippaux, P, Olguín, L, Casasola, A, *et al*, 2008: Characterizations of a new polyvalent antivenom (Antivipmyn[®] Africa) against African vipers and elapids. Toxicon 52, 8:881-8.

Rosenberg, P, 1990: Handbook of Toxicology. Shier, WT; and Mebs, D, (Eds.), Marcel Dekker, New York.

Saber, SA, Mohamed, AF, El-Fiky, AA, Eldaly, HH, 2019: In vitro evaluation of antibacterial potential of *Cerastes vipera* venom against gram-positive and gram-negative bacterial strains. Egypt. J. Hosp. Med. 77, 6:5804-16.

Seddik, SS, Wanas, S, Helmy, H, Hashem, M, 2002: Cross neutralization of dangerous snake venoms from Africa and the Middle East using the VACSERA polyvalent antivenom. J. Nat. Toxins. 11:329-35.

Segura, A, Castillo, MC, Núñez, V, Yarlequé, A, Gonçalves, LR, *et al*, 2010: Preclinical assessment of the neutralizing capacity of antivenoms produced in six Latin American countries against medically-relevant *Bothrops* snake venoms. Toxicon 56, 6:980-9.

Shaban, EA, Hafez, MN, 2003: Ability of gamma-irradiated polyvalent antivenin to neutralize the toxicity of the Egyptian Cobra (*Naja haje*) venom. Egypt. J. Hosp. Med. 13, 1:135-52.

Stümpel, N, Joger, U, 2009: Recent advances in phylogeny and taxonomy of near and Middle Eastern vipers: An update. Zoo-Keys 31:179-91.

Thorpe, RS, Pook, CE, Malhotra, A, 2007: Phylogeography of the Russell's viper (*Daboia russelli*) complex in relation to variation in the color pattern and symptoms of envenoming. Herpetol. J. 17, 209-18.

Ursenbacher, S, Schwinger, S, Tomovic, L, Crnobrnja-Isailovic, J, Fumagalli, L, et al, 2008: Molecular phytogeography of nose-horned viper (Vipera ammodytes, Linnaeus (1758): Evidence for high genetic diversity and multiple refugia in the Balkan Peninsula. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 46:1116-28.

ntrol and Regulation of Snake Antivenom Immunoglobulins. Available http://apps.who.int/bloodproducts/snakeantivenoms/database.

Williams, DJ, Faiz, MA, Abela-Ridder, B, Ainsworth, S, Bulfone, TC, et al, 2019: Strategy for a globally coordinated response to a priority neglected tropical disease: Snakebite envenoming. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 13, 2:e0007059.

WHO, 2010: Guidelines for the Production, Co-

Explanation of figures



