Characterization of some Egyptian Sheep Populations Using Microsatellite and Protein Markers

El-Hamamsy, S. M.<sup>1</sup>; M. A. El-Sayed<sup>2</sup>; A. A. El Badawy<sup>3</sup> and Doaa F. Teleb<sup>3</sup>
 <sup>1</sup>Biochemistry Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt,
 <sup>2</sup>Animal Genetic Resources Department, National Gene Bank, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt,



<sup>3</sup>Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: sam79e@gmail.com

# ABSTRACT

Three Egyptian sheep populations, collected from three geographically isolated regions namely Siwa, El-Dakhla and El-Farafra oases of the Egyptian western desert, were investigated using six polymorphic microsatellite markers and electrophoretic protein by SDS-PAGE. Six polymorphic microsatellite markers and electrophoretic protein were used to reveal that the genetic diversity, conduct genetic structure and assignment of microsatellite. The results indicated that; one hundred and five alleles were detected; 34 are common alleles and 71 specific alleles across six loci (67.62%). Thirteen specific alleles for Siwa sheep population ranged from 1 to 5. Also, for Dakhla sheep population 21 alleles ranged from 1 to 8. While 37 ones were obtained in the case of Farafra sheep population ranging from 1 to 14. When the heterozygotsity is high we will have the highest effective number of alleles (ENA) and the expected heterozygosity (gene diversity). The highest ENA was 10.29 for BM1314 when HE was 0.94, while the lowest ENA was 2.22 for BM8125 when HE was 0.58 with El-Dakhla sheep population. The protein profile for 33 sheep population samples collected from three different regions, Siwa had 20 protein bands that MW ranged from 1 to 269KDa. At the same concentration of protein and molecular weight, the band's volume or intensity values changed from 17 to 283KDa. The heterogeneity's El-Dakhla population was 74%. While, El-farafra population had 23 protein bands that ranged from 17 to 283KDa. The heterogeneity's El-Dakhla population was 74%. While, El-farafra populations varied in electrophoretic protein pattern, it had 21 bands that ranged from 17 to 252 KDa. The homogeneity molecular weight and relative front values.

Keywords: Characterization, Microsatellite, Protein, Markers, Sheep populations.

#### INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the genomic studies of Egyptian genetic resources of livestock became one of the urgent issues, for maintaining about the rights royalty of Egyptian local breeds through using molecular level analysis.

Sheep are distributed in different regions of the world; in Egypt, it is considered one of the most important resources of red meat. Sheep represent an income source in many countries (Anous, et al., 2008). Sheep breed in Egypt had many types, which were divided into Rahmani, Ossimi, and Barki depending on some features such as the production of meat, dairy and wool, and the number of sheep exceeded about 4 million heads (Galal et al., 2005). Simple sequences repeat (SSR) markers are useful method to determine the genetics relationships and morphological traits within and between the native sheep breads (Chen et al., 2009; Ibrahim, 2010; Visser et al., 2011 and El-Sayed et al., 2016). Simple sequence repeats and proteins electrophoretic techniques have been increasingly used to as markers to characterize the genetic variability between and within populations and allowed to these methods to determine the genetic diversity (kayali et al., 2012 and Thiruvenkadan et al., 2014). Microsatellite markers were used to show the genetic variability and interbreed difference of individuals. Also, studying genetic diversity of local livestock genetics resources help for providing genetic enhancement and increasing diseases tolerance (Ozerov et al., 2008 and Glowatzki-Mullis et al., 2009). Polymorphic microsatellite as a marker was used to study the diversity of genetic relationships and population structure between Bulgarian local sheep breeds (Hristova et al., 2014). Electrophoretic techniques can be used to differentiate and identify between some treated animals meat samples, which was taken some factors into consideration like physical and chemical properties (Montowska and Pospiech, 2007). SDS-PAGE methods as comparison tools between sheep and goat species, salivary proteins was analyzed into 12.5% of liner polyacrylamide gel to understand the biological function of salivary proteins and its role in feeding behavior (Houle, 1989 and Lamy *et al.* 2008).

The main target of this study was aim to characterize and identify a number of molecular markers that influence on local Egyptian sheep, through determining the degree of polymorphism and genetic diversity between three Egyptian sheep populations, which was gotten from El-Farafra, El-Dakhla and Siwa oases. This objective is projected to employ the detected markers in marker assisted selection in sheep flocks to result in an increase in sheep population numbers and to aid in the national economy of Egypt.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Sample collections:

Blood samples were randomly collected from fifty-two sheep belonging to three different regions in Egypt. The number of samples for each population were 21 El Farfra, 16 El Dakhla and 15 from Siwa oases. Five ml of venous blood were collected from each animal in sterile tubes having Na<sub>2</sub> EDTA as anticoagulant. Plasma samples were gotten from all fresh blood samples, through cooled centrifugation for 20 min at 4000 rpm and stored in separated test tubes at -20 °C until analysis, as recommended by Mwacharo, (2002).

# **DNA Extraction and Microsatellite Markers.**

Fifty-two samples of blood Egyptian sheep were used in the study. DNA isolation by the salting out method according to Sambrook *et al.* (1989). Six microsatellite primers pairs were used in this study and detailed information on these markers are presented in Table (1). The microsatellite primers were chosen based on the polymorphism degree and the covering of genome as that recommended by the Food and Agriculture Organization

#### El-Hamamsy, S. M. et al.

(FAO, 2004 and 2011). The PCR reaction was done according to El-Sayed *et al.*, (2017).

As given in table (1), the details and characteristics of microsatellite markers used for the genetic analysis in our study are shown. As a locus name, flanking sequences, chromosome number, annealing temperature and allele range in base pairs.

Table 1.	Information	about the six	microsatellite	markers that	t used in thi	is study,	including	locus name,	flanking
9	sequences, ch	romosome nu	mber, anneali	ng temperatu	re and allele	range i	n base pair	<b>S.</b>	

Locus Name	Forward primer	Reverse primer	Chr. No	Ta¹℃	Allele range
BM757	TGGAAACAATGTAAACCTGGG	TTGAGCCACCAAGGAACC	9	47	182-230bp
BM827	GGGCTGGTCGTATGCTGAG	GTTGGACTTGCTGAAGTGACC	3	47	150-294bp
BM1314	TTCCTCCTCTTCTCTCCAAAC	ATCTCAAACGCCAGTGTGG	22	48.4	103-184bp
BM8125	CTCTATCTGTGGAAAAGGTGGG	GGGGGTTAGACTTCAACATACG	17	46	50-84bp
OarHH47	TTTATTGACAAACTCTCTTCC TAACTCCACC	GTAGTTATTTAAAAAAATATCATA CCTCTTAAGG	18	58	43-148bp
OarVH72	CTCTAGAGGATCTGGAATGC AAAGCTC	GGCCTCTCAAGGGGCAAGAGCAGG	25	56	64-148bp
1.Annealing te	emperature, (FAO, 2004)				

#### **Electrophoretic analysis of SDS-PAGE**

Constant concentration of 5  $\mu$ l of thirty-three plasma samples of three selected sheep populations were mixed well with 20  $\mu$ l of sample buffer (0.125 M Tris–HCl pH 6.8, 10% SDS, 2-mercaptoethanol, 50% glycerol with 1% of bromophenol blue) and prior to pouring into 4% stacking gel and 12% of separating polyacrylamide gel wells.

The samples were run at 60 v until entered the separation gel, then the voltage was raised to 110 v. Molecular mass protein standards from 5 to 250 kDa; PageRuler Broad Rang Unstained Protein Ladder, #26630, Thermo Scientific.

Preparation of polyacrylamide gel, electrophoretic conditions, staining with Coomassie blue and destaining gels were done according to Laemmli (1970).

#### Statistical analysis:

Gels were visualized and scored with Alphaimager 2200 software Version 4.0.1. All scored microsatellite data employing the Arlequin 3.11 software package after data conversion using CONVERT program. POPGENE software package (Yeh et al., 1999) calculation of the allele frequencies; observed the expected number and an effective number of alleles (Kimura and Crow, 1964). Phoretix-nonlinear dynamics software analysis was used to calculate the proteins on gel electrophoresis depend on the staining, measure the distance of migration as well as that of the tracking dye. The intensity value for each protein band was calculated depending on the sum of the peak height/intensity levels of the band and represented as a relative comparison. According to Sneath and Sokal (1973), gel images were analyzed by constructed tree depending on Unweighted Pair Group with Arithmetic Mean (UPGMA) phylogenetic method.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

One hundred and five alleles were detected, the allele numbers were higher than those reported by El-Sayed *et al.*, (2016) who a found a total number of alleles was 42 across the 10 microsatellites markers in two goat populations which, located in El-Farafra and Siwa Oases.

Also, El-Sayed *et al.*, (2017) reported that the total number of alleles was 32 a set of six microsatellite markers with three breeds and one population; Baladi,

Zaraibi, Damascus and Farafra genotypes. While, the allele numbers were lower than those reported by ozerov *et al.*, (2008) out line that in all, 233 alleles were found across the 20 microsatellite loci and the number of alleles at the locus varied from 6 (OarCP34) to 17 (BM4621, CSSM31, and OarFCB304) with an average value of 12 in sheep of the four Kazakh breeds. Ibrahim *et al.*, (2010) reported that number of 119 alleles across 31 SSR loci in three sheep populations was identified from three breeds Balkhi, Hashtnagri and Michni.

Results revealed thirty four are common alleles and seventy one specific alleles across six loci in three sheep populations (Siwa, Dakhla and Farafra). Ibrahim *et al.* (2010) reported that three sheep populations shared a considerable number (76%) of alleles. A total number of 91 alleles were participate among the three breeds;o Balkhi, Hashtnagri and Michni sheep with a mean of 2.9, ranging from 0 (OarCP38) to 6 (OarFCB304). Also, El-Sayed *et al.*, (2017) reported that total of 27 common alleles were detected versus six microsatellite loci overall genotypes for Baladi, Zaraibi, Damascus and Farafra.

Regarding specific alleles, a total number of 71 out of 105 alleles (67.62%) were noticed overall loci for the three sheep populations. While El-Sayed et al., (2016) detected that a total of 20 out of 42 alleles (47.62%) were detected overall loci (10 microsatellite loci) in two goat populations located in El-Farafra and Siwa Oases. El-Sayed et al., (2017) also reported that a total of 5 out of 32 alleles (15.63 %) were noticed in overall loci for Baladi and Farafra goats, 2 specific alleles were observed in Baladi breed while 3 ones were obtained in the case of Farafra goat population. For Siwa sheep population 13 alleles ranged from one in BM757 to 5 in BM827 and 21 ranged from one in BM757 to 8 in BM827 for Dakhla sheep population specific alleles were observed, while 37 ones were obtained in the case of Farafra sheep population ranged from one in BM8125 to 14 in OarHH47. Ibrahim et al. (2010) reported that Michni carried 12 unique alleles, whereas Balkhi (BM1329) and Hashtnagri (OarFCB304) carried one each across all loci, BM1329 was the most mutated locus, vielded three unique alleles in Michni population, Among others loci, BM8125, HUJ616 vielded two unique alleles, BM1824, MAF65, OarCP38, OarFCB20 and YMS1 yielded one unique allele each in Michni population. El-Sayed et al.,

(2016) detected that Farafra goats 8 specific alleles with a mean value of 0.8 while 12 were obtained in Siwa goat populations with a mean of 1.2. El-Sayed *et al.*, (2017) reported that the number of alleles ranged from 3 (loci TGLA53, McM527 and CSRD247) to 6 (locus BM6444) in Baladi goat breed. While, Zaraibi goat breed ranged from 2 (locus TGLA53) to 5 (locus TCRVB6) and from 1 (locus CSRD247) to 4 (locus ETH10) in Damascus goat breeds and from 2 (loci BM6444 and TGLA53) to 6 (loci TCRVB6 and ETH10) in Farafra goat population.

Consequently, these specific alleles would be utilized as population fingerprint even one allele for one locus. The obtained results showed that all specific alleles detected in Siwa, El-Dakhla and El- Farafra sheep populations were observed in all markers with the exception of BM1314 and OraHH47 in Siwa and El-Dakhla sheep populations, respectively.

Allele frequencies in Siwa sheep population per locus ranged from 0.067 (for OarHH47 in allele 64) to 0.400 (for OarVH72 in allele 60). While, in El-Dakhla sheep population ranged from 0.039 (for BM1314 in allele 133 and 172) to 0.385 (for BM8125 in allele 78). Also, in El-Farafra sheep population ranged from 0.024 (for BM757 in allele 210) to 0.175 (for OarHH47 in allele 49) as shown in table 2. In Hashtnagri sheep the frequency of null alleles ranged from 0.017 (BM1329) to.959 OarCP38 and in Michni population null alleles were found at highest frequency at six loci ranged from 0.001 (BM8125) to 0.830 (ILSTS5) by (Ibrahim et al., 2010). El-Sayed et al., (2017) reported that the highest allele frequency for overall loci was 1.00 for allele 244 at locus CSRD247 in the case of Damascus goat breed and the lowest one was 0.0500 associated with Farafra goat population at locus TCRVB6 (for alleles 210 and 240).

 Table 2. Common and specific alleles, their frequencies for each allele as observed for analyzed Siwa, El Dakhla and El Farafra sheep populations.

and El Fa	raira sneep populations	8.	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		
Locus	Common		Specific alleles		
Locus	alleles bp	Siwa	Dakhla	Farafra	
BM757	186, 190, 194, 198, 202, 206, 218, 222	230(0.031)	182(0.267)	210(0.024), 226(0.048)	
BM827	216, 222, 225, 243	207(0.071), 210(0.143), 213(0.071), 237(0.071), 249(0.143)	150(0.083), 195(0.083), 255(0.083), 261(0.167), 270(0.250), 273(0.083), 288(0.083), 294(0.167)	219(0.033), 228(0.067), 231(0.067), 240(0.133), 252(0.033)	
BM1314	118, 139, 142, 145, 148, 151, 160, 166, 178		133(0.039), 136(0.077), 169(0.154), 172(0.039)	100(0.050), 103(0.050), 115(0.050), 121(0.050), 124(0.050), 157(0.050), 163(0.050), 181(0.050), 184(0.100)	
BM8125	52, 54, 56, 58,	60(0.400), 62(0.133)	74(0.077), 76(0.231), 78(0.385), 80(0.154), 82(0.077), 84(0.077)	50(0.056)	
OarHH47	43, 55, 58	61(0.200), 64(0.067)		46(0.050), 49(0.175), 52(0.025), 67(0.075), 73(0.075), 79(0.025), 118(0.025), 121(0.025), 124(0.075), 130(0.025), 133(0.050), 139(0.100), 145(0.025), 148(0.025)	
OarVH72	104, 108, 112, 116, 120, 124	88(0.188), 96(0.188), 100(0.313)	64(0.267), 68(0.200)	128(0.100), 132(0.050), 136(0.100), 140(0.050), 144(0.100), 148(0.050)	
Total	34	13	21	37	
Specific alleles			71		
Total no. of alleles			105		

When the heterozygotsity is high will have the highest of the effective number of alleles (ENA) and the expected heterozygosity (gene diversity). The highest ENA was 7.54 for BM827 when HE was 0.90, while the lowest ENA was 3.38 for OarHH47 when HE was 0.73 with Siwa sheep population. Also, the highest ENA was 10.29 for BM1314 when HE was 0.94, while the lowest ENA was 2.22 for BM8125 when HE was 0.58 with El-Dakhla sheep population. However, the highest ENA was 7.11 for OarHH47 when HE was 0.92, while the lowest ENA was 2.46 for BM8125 when HE was 0.63 with El-Farafra sheep population. this means that the highest in heterozygotsity with El-Dakhla sheep while, the lowest hetergygosity in Farafra sheep populations as shown in Table (3). El-Sayed

*et al.*, (2016) detected that the effective number of alleles varied from 1.65 (SRCRSP8) to 3.24 (SRCRSP9) with a mean value of 2.19 in Farafra goats and varied from 1.60 (SRCRSP23) to 3.85 (OarFCB48) with a mean value of 2.60 in Siwa goats.

Means of observed heterozygosities were 0.09, 0.16 and 0.17 in Siwa, Dakhla and Farafra sheep populations, respectively. While, means of expected heterozygosities were 0.81, 0.79 and 0.80 in Siwa, Dakhla and Farafra sheep populations respectively. Values of the observed heterozygosity were lower than that reported by OZEROV *et al.* (2008) while, higher than the mean of expected heterozygosity for four sheep breeds: Degeres Mutton-Wool, Kazakh Arkhar Merino,

Kazakh Finewool, and Edilbaev. The values were lower than the mean of observed heterozygosity, while it was higher than the mean of expected heterozygosity for the four goat genotypes (Baladi, Zaraibi, Damascus and Farafra) by El-Sayed *et al.*, (2017). These positive values indicate heterozygote deficit with a mean Fis value of 0.817. Marini *et al.*, 2014 reported that a positive Fis value indicates an excess of homozygotes, while a negative value shows deficit in homozygotes.

When the observed heterozygosity was highest the FIS and FIT were lowest. The highest Ho was 0.47, 0.45 and 0.63, while the lowest FIS and FIT was 0.3542 and 0.4374 for the same locus OarHH47 with Siwa, El-Dakhla and El-Farafra sheep populations, respectively. While, the lowest in the observed heterozygosity the highest in FIS and FIT was 1.000 in BM1314 locus. FST ranged from 0.0796 in BM1314 to 0.2378 in BM8125 with mean of 0.1330 which was higher than *Gyr* breed. (Fst of 0.02) in *fehr* and *fekr* breeds are moderately differentiated Fst of 0.078 and 0.112 respectively, Zackel breeds showed relatively little genetic differentiation compared to the mean Fst value of 0.097 as reported by Neubauer *et al.*, (2015). According to Hartl and Clark (2007), moderate differentiation Fst ranged from 0.05 to 0.15.

The mean Fit and Fst values of 0.841 and 0.133, respectively were measured by the degree of differentiations within and among breeds. The mean of Fit was higher than those of Baladi, Zaraibi, Damascus and Farafra goat genotypes with the mean Fit of 0.767, while, the mean of Fit was lowest than that reported by El-Sayed *et al.*, (2017).

 Table 3. Heterozygosis (HO, observed; He, expected; ENA effective) and F-Statics for six microsatellite loci in Siwa, Dakhla and Farafra sheep populations

locus -		Siwa			Dakhla	1		Farafra			<b>F-Statics</b>			
locus	Ho	He	ENA	Ho	He	ENA	Ho	He	ENA	FIS	FIT	FST		
BM757	0.06	0.83	5.07	0.42	0.68	2.91	0.25	0.73	3.20	0.660	0.705	0.132		
BM827	0.00	0.90	7.54	0.00	0.79	3.77	0.14	0.80	3.92	0.939	0.945	0.095		
BM1314	0.00	0.83	5.00	0.00	0.94	10.29	0.00	0.88	5.44	1.000	1.000	0.080		
BM8125	0.00	0.78	4.09	0.11	0.58	2.22	0.00	0.63	2.46	0.942	0.955	0.238		
OarHH47	0.47	0.73	3.38	0.45	0.87	5.90	0.63	0.92	7.11	0.354	0.437	0.129		
OarVH72	0.00	0.81	4.57	0.00	0.87	5.76	0.00	0.83	4.57	1.000	1.000	0.133		
Mean	0.09	0.81	4.94	0.16	0.79	5.14	0.17	0.80	4.45	0.817	0.841	0.133		

ENA : Effective number of alleles (Kimura and Crow, 1964)

Cluster analysis based on Nei's genetic distance indicated that the studied populations formed two main groups. The 1st group included El-Dakhla and Siwa and the 2nd group harbored El-Farafra as illustrated in diagram (1). +------ El-Farafra

! +----- El-Dakhla +----- 1 +----- Siwa

# Diagram 1. Dendrogram Based Nei's Genetic distance of three sheep populations produced by UPGMA clustering based on Nei's genetic distance using 6 microsatellite loci.

#### **Protein Electrophoretic**

Protein electrophoretic profiles SDS-PAGE of whole sheep samples were collected from three geographically isolated regions namely Siwa, El-Dakhla and El-Farafra oases in the Egyptian western desert. Comparative study was performed between thirty-three samples of three sheep populations under study, the experiments were carried out by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) technique as shown in figures (1,2and 3). The intensity value for each protein band was calculated depending on the sum of the peak height/intensity levels of the band and represented as a relative comparison.

# 1- Siwa population

Twenty protein bands with molecular weights ranging from 17 to 269 kDa were observed in the protein profile of Siwa as shown in Tables (4 and 7) and Fig (1).

These bands were distributed on the gel in a range of Rf: from 0.056 to 0.934. The results revealed that the protein pattern showed 6 monomorphic bands by 30% homogeneity. Table (4) exhibited the presence

and absence of the protein bands in all of Siwa population samples. Also, table (4) had the level of bands intensity, which was useful to differentiate within and between samples. At the same concentration of protein and molecular weight, the band's volume or intensity values were changed from sample to other. For instance, at the molecular weight of 180 kDa. the highest value of intensity was 1694 in lane 1 while the lowest value was 568 in lane 10. The heterogeneity within Siwa population samples was 70%.

# 2- El-Dakhla population.

The electrophoretic protein pattern of individuals of El-Dakhla population is showed in tables (5 and 7) and Fig. (2). Total number of protein bands were 23, which distributed along of gel in ranging from 283 KDa at Rf 0.037 to 17 KDa at Rf 0.850. Protein profile has17 polymorphic and 6 monomorphic bands by 74% of heterogeneity. Intensity bands were calculated and the highest value was 5920 in lane 11 and the lowest value was 3881in lane 8 at the same molecular weight 76 KDa. Also, El-Dakhla,s protein profile has some specific bands which may be used to distinguish this population, for instance, protein bands at molecular weights 196, 164, 127,96, and 17 KDa were presented in all of El-dakhla samples. The results also revealed that molecular weight at 214 KDa was vanished in all of ElDakhla samples, except one sample (L3). Molecular weights at 108 KDa were appeared in two samples while disappeared in the rest of samples. Electrophoretic profiles of sheep population's proteins have been reported by Montowska and Pospiech, (2007). Also, Lamy et al. (2008). reported that the difference between two species (sheep and goats) by protein electrophoresis

Samples	MW	RF	L 1	L 2	L 3	L 4	L 5	L 6	L 7	L8	L 9	L 10	L 11
Bands	2(0	0.05(	(15	022	044	1005	0	(00	073	557	400	520	405
1	269	0.056	015	932	844	1235	0	609	8/2	22/	490	539	485
2	252	0.080	0	0	0	0	1122	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	235	0.100	14/3	1023	1270	1140	454	0	920	1/53	127	0	0
4	214	0.150	497	0	2(2	545	/98	0	0	0	1050	0	0
5	190	0.1/1	0	0	362	1542	0	0	0	1202	0	0	0
0	180	0.200	1694	1094	1004	1542	800	/0/	828	1392	040 1029	508 1704	607
/	144	0.271	25/6	2036	1058	1502	0	112/	1080	1218	1028	1/94	1500
8	108	0.35	5969	3000	2411	5515	3463	1897	1898	0	1200	2180	1599
9	90	0.384	0	1220	1009	0	0	103/	625	21/2	1300	1115	0
10	82 76	0.419	1244	1344	0	510	0	804	023	707	0	0 825	908
11	/0	0.439	0701	0	1138	0782	05/ 7220	6206	1307	191	8/0	823 7212	6642
12	33	0.517	9/01	0920 1005	1422	9/82	/338	0200	/013	1002	1220	/313	1190
13	41	0.300	1821	1223	1433	1327	114/	1034	987	1092	1528	1329	1189
14	34 29	0.005	024	1080	093	1131	/32	3/0	028	307	851	330	438
15	28	0.643	0	0	0	1433	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	27	0.034	1220	069	1026	1727	1044	0 805	1120	046	051	700	0
1/	21	0.709	1328	908	1020	1/2/	1044	805	1130	940	831	/00	845
18	19	0.741	823	849	1038	0	570	0	0 542	500	591	0	590
19	18	0.772	10(0	409	1210	801 11(0	370	3/3	343	200	580	273	389
20	1 /	0.934	1069	498	1210	1160	4/2	1004	962	310	589	9/3	1109
1 otal bands	-	-	13	13	13	14	12	11 (W - mol	14	14 iaht	14	11	9
(0) means absence	e of band,	(intensity	value) mea	ans presen	ice, Ki me	ans relativ	ve front, iv	1  w = mor	ecular we	ignt			
Table 5. Mole	ecular w	eight (M	W) of S	DS- PA	GE of pl	lasma p	roteins f	for El- d	lakhla sl	heep po	pulation	I <b>.</b>	
Samples	MW	RF	L1	L 2	L3	L 4	L5	L6	L7	L 8	L9	L10	L11
Samples Bands	MW	RF	L1	L 2	L 3	L 4	L 5	L 6	L 7	L 8	L 9	L10	L11
Samples Bands	<b>MW</b> 283	<b>RF</b>	L 1	L 2	L 3	L 4	L 5	L 6	L 7	L 8	<b>L 9</b> 164	L10	L11
Samples Bands 1 2	<b>MW</b> 283 269	<b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055	L 1 0 0	L 2 0 528	L 3 0 615	L 4 0 0	L 5 568 0	L 6 323 0	L 7 194 0	L 8 161 0	<b>L 9</b> 164 422	L10 635 523	L11 0 707
Samples Bands 1 2 3	MW 283 269 252	<b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055 0.084	L 1 0 0 0	L 2 0 528 272	L 3 0 615 349	L 4 0 0 0	L 5 568 0 319	L 6 323 0 252	L 7 194 0 217	L 8 161 0 0	L 9 164 422 0	L10 635 523 1206	L11 0 707 625
Samples Bands 1 2 3 4	<b>MW</b> 283 269 252 235	<b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106	L 1 0 0 423	L 2 0 528 272 517	<b>L 3</b> 0 615 349 523	L 4 0 0 0 383	L 5 568 0 319 602	L 6 323 0 252 583	L 7 194 0 217 0	L 8 161 0 545	L 9 164 422 0 437	L10 635 523 1206 0	L11 0 707 625 0
Samples Bands 1 2 3 4 5	MW 283 269 252 235 214	<b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106 0.145	L 1 0 0 423 0	L 2 0 528 272 517 0	L 3 0 615 349 523 305	L 4 0 0 383 0	L 5 568 0 319 602 0	L 6 323 0 252 583 0	L 7 194 0 217 0 0	L 8 161 0 545 0 102	L 9 164 422 0 437 0 516	L10 635 523 1206 0 0	L11 0 707 625 0 0
Samples           Bands           1           2           3           4           5           6	MW 283 269 252 235 214 196	<b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106 0.145 0.177	L 1 0 0 423 0 332 1002	L 2 0 528 272 517 0 655	L 3 0 615 349 523 305 825	L 4 0 0 383 0 388	L 5 568 0 319 602 0 516	L 6 323 0 252 583 0 548	L 7 194 0 217 0 0 601	L 8 161 0 545 0 492 1200	L 9 164 422 0 437 0 516	L10 635 523 1206 0 0 1666	L11 0 707 625 0 0 1062 1466
Samples           Bands           1           2           3           4           5           6           7	MW 283 269 252 235 214 196 164	<b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106 0.145 0.177 0.232	L 1 0 0 423 0 332 1082	L 2 0 528 272 517 0 655 1379	L 3 0 615 349 523 305 825 1468	L 4 0 0 383 0 388 537	L 5 568 0 319 602 0 516 1181	L 6 323 0 252 583 0 548 1387	L 7 194 0 217 0 0 601 1101	L 8 161 0 545 0 492 1200	L 9 164 422 0 437 0 516 1255	L10 635 523 1206 0 0 1666 1579	L11 0 707 625 0 0 1062 1466
Samples           Bands           1           2           3           4           5           6           7           8	MW 283 269 252 235 214 196 164 127	<b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106 0.145 0.177 0.232 0.300	L 1 0 0 423 0 332 1082 1223	L 2 0 528 272 517 0 655 1379 3296	L 3 0 615 349 523 305 825 1468 2891 1992	L 4 0 0 383 0 388 537 1369 566	L 5 568 0 319 602 0 516 1181 2315	L 6 323 0 252 583 0 548 1387 1475	L 7 194 0 217 0 0 601 1101 1814	L 8 161 0 545 0 492 1200 1669	L 9 164 422 0 437 0 516 1255 1527 2	L10 635 523 1206 0 0 1666 1579 3385	L11 0 707 625 0 0 1062 1466 2398
Samples           Bands           1           2           3           4           5           6           7           8           9           10	MW 283 269 252 235 214 196 164 127 108	<b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106 0.145 0.177 0.232 0.300 0.353 0.234	L 1 0 0 423 0 332 1082 1223 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	L 2 0 528 272 517 0 655 1379 3296 0 0	L 3 0 615 349 523 305 825 1468 2891 1002 204	L 4 0 0 383 0 388 537 1369 566 716	L 5 568 0 319 602 0 516 1181 2315 0 1216	L 6 323 0 252 583 0 548 1387 1475 0 732	L 7 194 0 217 0 0 601 1101 1814 0 (((	L 8 161 0 545 0 492 1200 1669 0 715	L 9 164 422 0 437 0 516 1255 1527 0 886	L10 635 523 1206 0 0 1666 1579 3385 0 1971	L11 0 707 625 0 0 1062 1466 2398 0 1165
Samples Bands 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MW 283 269 252 235 214 196 164 127 108 96 7(	<b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106 0.145 0.177 0.232 0.300 0.353 0.384 0.440	L 1 0 0 423 0 332 1082 1223 0 816 (221)	L 2 0 528 272 517 0 655 1379 3296 0 848	L 3 0 615 349 523 305 825 1468 2891 1002 904	L 4 0 0 383 0 388 537 1369 566 716 4497	L 5 568 0 319 602 0 516 1181 2315 0 1316	L 6 323 0 252 583 0 548 1387 1475 0 732 4295	L 7 194 0 217 0 0 601 1101 1814 0 666	L 8 161 0 545 0 492 1200 1669 0 715 2001	L 9 164 422 0 437 0 516 1255 1527 0 886	L10 635 523 1206 0 1666 1579 3385 0 1871	L11 0 707 625 0 0 1062 1466 2398 0 1165 5020
Samples Bands 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MW 283 269 252 235 214 196 164 127 108 96 76 (7)	<b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106 0.145 0.177 0.232 0.300 0.353 0.384 0.440	L 1 0 0 423 0 332 1082 1223 0 816 4321	L 2 0 528 272 517 0 655 1379 3296 0 848 0 (244)	L 3 0 615 349 523 305 825 1468 2891 1002 904 0 702 4	L 4 0 0 383 0 388 537 1369 566 716 4497	L 5 568 0 319 602 0 516 1181 2315 0 1316 0 577(	L 6 323 0 252 583 0 548 1387 1475 0 732 4385	L 7 194 0 217 0 0 601 1101 1814 0 666 4384	L 8 161 0 545 0 492 1200 1669 0 715 3881	L 9 164 422 0 437 0 516 1255 1527 0 886 0 5714	L10 635 523 1206 0 1666 1579 3385 0 1871 0 0	L11 0 707 625 0 0 1062 1466 2398 0 1165 5920 0
Samples Bands 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12	MW 283 269 252 235 214 196 164 127 108 96 76 67 52	<b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106 0.145 0.177 0.232 0.300 0.353 0.384 0.440 0.47 0.57	L 1 0 0 423 0 332 1082 1223 0 816 4321 0 0	L 2 0 528 272 517 0 655 1379 3296 0 848 0 6844	L 3 0 615 349 523 305 825 1468 2891 1002 904 0 7034	L 4 0 0 383 0 388 537 1369 566 716 4497 0 749	L 5 568 0 319 602 0 516 1181 2315 0 1316 0 5776	L 6 323 0 252 583 0 548 1387 1475 0 732 4385 0 567	L 7 194 0 217 0 0 601 1101 1814 0 666 4384 0 1171	L 8 161 0 545 0 492 1200 1669 0 715 3881 0 1202	L 9 164 422 0 437 0 516 1255 1527 0 886 0 5714 244	L10 635 523 1206 0 1666 1579 3385 0 1871 0 8437 1002	L11 0 707 625 0 0 1062 1466 2398 0 1165 5920 0 1692
Samples Bands 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MW 283 269 252 235 214 196 164 127 108 96 76 67 53 47	<b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106 0.145 0.177 0.232 0.300 0.353 0.384 0.440 0.47 0.507	L 1 0 0 423 0 332 1082 1223 0 816 4321 0 0 1125	L 2 0 528 272 517 0 655 1379 3296 0 848 0 6844 0 6844 0	L 3 0 615 349 523 305 825 1468 2891 1002 904 0 7034 0 1002	L 4 0 0 383 0 388 537 1369 566 716 4497 0 748 707	L 5 568 0 319 602 0 516 1181 2315 0 1316 0 5776 0 1555	L 6 323 0 252 583 0 548 1387 1475 0 732 4385 0 1567 0	L 7 194 0 217 0 0 601 1101 1814 0 666 4384 0 1171	L 8 161 0 545 0 492 1200 1669 0 715 3881 0 1202 0	L 9 164 422 0 437 0 516 1255 1527 0 886 0 5714 944 204	L10 635 523 1206 0 1666 1579 3385 0 1871 0 8437 1092	L11 0 707 625 0 0 1062 1466 2398 0 1165 5920 0 1683 0
Samples Bands 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MW 283 269 252 235 214 196 164 127 108 96 76 67 53 47 24	<b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106 0.145 0.177 0.232 0.300 0.353 0.384 0.440 0.47 0.507 0.541 0.605	L 1 0 0 423 0 332 1082 1223 0 816 4321 0 0 1135	L 2 0 528 272 517 0 655 1379 3296 0 848 0 6844 0 1676 (52)	L 3 0 615 349 523 305 825 1468 2891 1002 904 0 7034 0 1910	L 4 0 0 383 0 388 537 1369 566 716 4497 0 748 707	L 5 568 0 319 602 0 516 1181 2315 0 1316 0 5776 0 1555	L 6 323 0 252 583 0 548 1387 1475 0 732 4385 0 1567 0 0	L 7 194 0 217 0 0 601 1101 1814 0 666 4384 0 1171 0 551	L 8 161 0 545 0 492 1200 1669 0 715 3881 0 1202 0 285	L 9 164 422 0 437 0 516 1255 1527 0 886 0 5714 944 394 1022	L10 635 523 1206 0 1666 1579 3385 0 1871 0 8437 1092 1837	L11 0 707 625 0 0 1062 1466 2398 0 1165 5920 0 1683 0 2112
Samples Bands 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 14	MW 283 269 252 235 214 196 164 127 108 96 76 67 53 47 34 21	<b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106 0.145 0.177 0.232 0.300 0.353 0.384 0.440 0.47 0.507 0.541 0.605 0.622	L 1 0 0 423 0 332 1082 1223 0 816 4321 0 0 1135 0	L 2 0 528 272 517 0 655 1379 3296 0 848 0 6844 0 1676 653 0	L 3 0 615 349 523 305 825 1468 2891 1002 904 0 7034 0 1910 0 214	L 4 0 0 383 0 388 537 1369 566 716 4497 0 748 707 0 0	L 5 568 0 319 602 0 516 1181 2315 0 1316 0 5776 0 1555 0 244	L 6 323 0 252 583 0 548 1387 1475 0 732 4385 0 1567 0 0 () ()	L 7 194 0 217 0 0 601 1101 1814 0 666 4384 0 1171 0 551	L 8 161 0 545 0 492 1200 1669 0 715 3881 0 1202 0 385 0	L 9 164 422 0 437 0 516 1255 1527 0 886 0 5714 944 394 1093 0	L10 635 523 1206 0 0 1666 1579 3385 0 1871 0 8437 1092 1837 573 127	L11 0 707 625 0 0 1062 1466 2398 0 1165 5920 0 1683 0 2113 0
Samples Bands 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MW 283 269 252 235 214 196 164 127 108 96 76 67 53 47 34 31 28	<b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106 0.145 0.177 0.232 0.300 0.353 0.384 0.440 0.47 0.507 0.541 0.605 0.623 0.623	L 1 0 0 423 0 332 1082 1223 0 816 4321 0 0 1135 0 0 0	L 2 0 528 272 517 0 655 1379 3296 0 848 0 6844 0 1676 653 0 0	L 3 0 615 349 523 305 825 1468 2891 1002 904 0 7034 0 1910 0 314 202	L 4 0 0 383 0 388 537 1369 566 716 4497 0 748 707 0 0 0 0	L 5 568 0 319 602 0 516 1181 2315 0 1316 0 5776 0 1555 0 824 0	L 6 323 0 252 583 0 548 1387 1475 0 732 4385 0 1567 0 0 463 0	L 7 194 0 217 0 601 1101 1814 0 666 4384 0 11711 0 551 0 0	L 8 161 0 545 0 492 1200 1669 0 715 3881 0 1202 0 385 0 0	L 9 164 422 0 437 0 516 1255 1527 0 886 0 5714 944 394 1093 0 0	L10 635 523 1206 0 0 1666 1579 3385 0 1871 0 8437 1092 1837 573 437	L11 0 707 625 0 0 1062 1466 2398 0 1165 5920 0 1683 0 2113 0 0
Samples Bands 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MW 283 269 252 235 214 196 164 127 108 96 76 67 53 47 34 31 28 27	RF 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106 0.145 0.177 0.232 0.300 0.353 0.384 0.440 0.47 0.507 0.541 0.605 0.623 0.640 0.655	L 1 0 0 423 0 332 1082 1223 0 816 4321 0 0 1135 0 0 0 0 0	L 2 0 528 272 517 0 655 1379 3296 0 848 0 6844 0 1676 653 0 0 0 127	L 3 0 615 349 523 305 825 1468 2891 1002 904 0 7034 0 1910 0 314 303 0	L 4 0 0 383 0 388 537 1369 566 716 4497 0 748 707 0 0 0 0 0	L 5 568 0 319 602 0 516 1181 2315 0 1316 0 5776 0 1555 0 824 0 0	L 6 323 0 252 583 0 548 1387 1475 0 732 4385 0 1567 0 0 463 0 734	L 7 194 0 217 0 601 1101 1814 0 666 4384 0 1171 0 551 0 0 0	L 8 161 0 545 0 492 1200 1669 0 715 3881 0 1202 0 385 0 0 207	L 9 164 422 0 437 0 516 1255 1527 0 886 0 5714 944 394 1093 0 0 0	L10 635 523 1206 0 0 1666 1579 3385 0 1871 0 8437 1092 1837 573 437 499	L11 0 707 625 0 0 1062 1466 2398 0 1165 5920 0 1683 0 2113 0 0 1201
Samples Bands 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 10	MW 283 269 252 235 214 196 164 127 108 96 76 67 53 47 34 31 28 27 25	<b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106 0.145 0.177 0.232 0.300 0.353 0.384 0.440 0.47 0.507 0.541 0.605 0.623 0.640 0.655 0.671	L 1 0 0 423 0 332 1082 1223 0 816 4321 0 0 1135 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	L 2 0 528 272 517 0 655 1379 3296 0 848 0 6844 0 1676 653 0 0 427 210	L 3 0 615 349 523 305 825 1468 2891 1002 904 0 7034 0 1910 0 314 303 0 714	L 4 0 0 383 0 388 537 1369 566 716 4497 0 748 707 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	L 5 568 0 319 602 0 516 1181 2315 0 1316 0 5776 0 1555 0 824 0 0 525	L 6 323 0 252 583 0 548 1387 1475 0 732 4385 0 1567 0 463 0 734 6	L 7 194 0 217 0 601 1101 1814 0 666 4384 0 1171 0 551 0 910 6	L 8 161 0 545 0 492 1200 1669 0 715 3881 0 1202 0 385 0 0 307 572	L 9 164 422 0 437 0 516 1255 1527 0 886 0 5714 944 394 1093 0 0 0 0 0 (4)	L10 635 523 1206 0 0 1666 1579 3385 0 1871 0 8437 1092 1837 573 437 499 653 0	L11 0 707 625 0 0 1062 1466 2398 0 1165 5920 0 1683 0 2113 0 0 1291
Samples Bands 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MW 283 269 252 235 214 196 164 127 108 96 76 67 53 47 34 31 28 27 25 21	<b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106 0.145 0.177 0.232 0.300 0.353 0.384 0.440 0.47 0.507 0.541 0.605 0.623 0.640 0.655 0.671	L 1 0 0 423 0 332 1082 1223 0 816 4321 0 0 1135 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	L 2 0 528 272 517 0 655 1379 3296 0 848 0 6844 0 1676 653 0 0 427 219 200	L 3 0 615 349 523 305 825 1468 2891 1002 904 0 7034 0 1910 0 314 303 0 714	L 4 0 0 383 0 388 537 1369 566 716 4497 0 748 707 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	L 5 568 0 319 602 0 516 1181 2315 0 1316 0 5776 0 1555 0 824 0 0 525 506	L 6 323 0 252 583 0 548 1387 1475 0 732 4385 0 1567 0 463 0 734 0 555	L 7 194 0 217 0 601 1101 1814 0 666 4384 0 1171 0 551 0 910 0 725	L 8 161 0 545 0 492 1200 1669 0 715 3881 0 1202 0 385 0 0 307 573 726	L 9 164 422 0 437 0 516 1255 1527 0 886 0 5714 944 394 1093 0 0 0 664 1222	L10 635 523 1206 0 0 1666 1579 3385 0 1871 0 8437 1092 1837 573 437 499 653 0 871	L11 0 707 625 0 0 1062 1466 2398 0 1165 5920 0 1683 0 2113 0 0 1291 0 715
Samples Bands 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MW 283 269 252 235 214 196 164 127 108 96 76 67 53 47 34 31 28 27 25 21 12	RF 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106 0.145 0.177 0.232 0.300 0.353 0.384 0.440 0.47 0.507 0.541 0.605 0.623 0.640 0.655 0.671 0.720 0.750	L 1 0 0 423 0 332 1082 1223 0 816 4321 0 0 1135 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	L 2 0 528 272 517 0 655 1379 3296 0 848 0 6844 0 1676 653 0 0 427 219 398 6	L 3 0 615 349 523 305 825 1468 2891 1002 904 0 7034 0 1910 0 314 303 0 714 814	L 4 0 0 383 0 388 537 1369 566 716 4497 0 748 707 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	L 5 568 0 319 602 0 516 1181 2315 0 1316 0 5776 0 1555 0 824 0 0 525 596 0	L 6 323 0 252 583 0 548 1387 1475 0 732 4385 0 1567 0 463 0 734 0 555	L 7 194 0 217 0 601 1101 1814 0 666 4384 0 1171 0 551 0 0 910 0 735 250	L 8 161 0 545 0 492 1200 1669 0 715 3881 0 1202 0 385 0 0 307 573 736 745	L 9 164 422 0 437 0 516 1255 1527 0 886 0 5714 944 394 1093 0 0 0 664 1222 0	L10 635 523 1206 0 0 1666 1579 3385 0 1871 0 8437 1092 1837 573 437 499 653 0 871 0	L11 0 707 625 0 0 1062 1466 2398 0 1165 5920 0 1683 0 2113 0 0 1291 0 715 0
Samples         Bands         1         2         3         4         5         6         7         8         9         10         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         19         20         21         22         22         24         25         16         17         18         19         20         21         22         24         25         16         17         18         19         20         21         22         24         24         25         16         17         18         19         20         21         22         24         24         25         16         17         18         19         20         21         22         24         24         24         25         16         17         18         19         20         21         22         24         24         24         25         16         17         18         19         20         21         22         24         18         19         10         10         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         19         20         21         22 </td <td><b>MW</b> 283 269 252 235 214 196 164 127 108 96 76 67 53 47 34 31 28 27 25 21 19 18</td> <td><b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106 0.145 0.177 0.232 0.300 0.353 0.384 0.440 0.47 0.507 0.541 0.605 0.623 0.640 0.655 0.671 0.720 0.750 0.750</td> <td>L 1 0 0 423 0 332 1082 1223 0 816 4321 0 0 1135 0 0 0 0 0 0 644 0 287</td> <td>L 2 0 528 272 517 0 655 1379 3296 0 848 0 6844 0 1676 653 0 0 427 219 398 0 225</td> <td>L 3 0 615 349 523 305 825 1468 2891 1002 904 0 7034 0 7034 0 1910 0 314 303 0 714 814 0 442</td> <td>L 4 0 0 383 0 388 537 1369 566 716 4497 0 748 707 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5255 0 14</td> <td>L 5 568 0 319 602 0 516 1181 2315 0 1316 0 5776 0 1555 0 824 0 0 525 596 0 206</td> <td>L 6 323 0 252 583 0 548 1387 1475 0 732 4385 0 1567 0 0 463 0 734 0 555 0 567</td> <td>L 7 194 0 217 0 601 1101 1814 0 666 4384 0 1171 0 551 0 0 910 0 735 350 0 0</td> <td>L 8 161 0 545 0 492 1200 1669 0 715 3881 0 1202 0 385 0 0 307 573 736 457 0</td> <td>L 9 164 422 0 437 0 516 1255 1527 0 886 0 5714 944 394 1093 0 0 0 664 1222 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td> <td>L10 635 523 1206 0 0 1666 1579 3385 0 1871 0 8437 1092 1837 573 437 499 653 0 871 916</td> <td>L11 0 707 625 0 0 1062 1466 2398 0 1165 5920 0 1683 0 2113 0 0 1291 0 715 0 0</td>	<b>MW</b> 283 269 252 235 214 196 164 127 108 96 76 67 53 47 34 31 28 27 25 21 19 18	<b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106 0.145 0.177 0.232 0.300 0.353 0.384 0.440 0.47 0.507 0.541 0.605 0.623 0.640 0.655 0.671 0.720 0.750 0.750	L 1 0 0 423 0 332 1082 1223 0 816 4321 0 0 1135 0 0 0 0 0 0 644 0 287	L 2 0 528 272 517 0 655 1379 3296 0 848 0 6844 0 1676 653 0 0 427 219 398 0 225	L 3 0 615 349 523 305 825 1468 2891 1002 904 0 7034 0 7034 0 1910 0 314 303 0 714 814 0 442	L 4 0 0 383 0 388 537 1369 566 716 4497 0 748 707 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5255 0 14	L 5 568 0 319 602 0 516 1181 2315 0 1316 0 5776 0 1555 0 824 0 0 525 596 0 206	L 6 323 0 252 583 0 548 1387 1475 0 732 4385 0 1567 0 0 463 0 734 0 555 0 567	L 7 194 0 217 0 601 1101 1814 0 666 4384 0 1171 0 551 0 0 910 0 735 350 0 0	L 8 161 0 545 0 492 1200 1669 0 715 3881 0 1202 0 385 0 0 307 573 736 457 0	L 9 164 422 0 437 0 516 1255 1527 0 886 0 5714 944 394 1093 0 0 0 664 1222 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	L10 635 523 1206 0 0 1666 1579 3385 0 1871 0 8437 1092 1837 573 437 499 653 0 871 916	L11 0 707 625 0 0 1062 1466 2398 0 1165 5920 0 1683 0 2113 0 0 1291 0 715 0 0
Samples Bands 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 22	<b>MW</b> 283 269 252 235 214 196 164 127 108 96 76 67 53 47 34 31 28 27 25 21 19 18 17	<b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106 0.145 0.177 0.232 0.300 0.353 0.384 0.440 0.47 0.507 0.541 0.605 0.623 0.640 0.655 0.671 0.720 0.750 0.776 0.850	L 1 0 0 423 0 332 1082 1223 0 816 4321 0 0 1135 0 0 0 0 0 0 644 0 387 682	L 2 0 528 272 517 0 655 1379 3296 0 848 0 6844 0 1676 653 0 0 427 219 398 0 225 458	L 3 0 615 349 523 305 825 1468 2891 1002 904 0 7034 0 7034 0 1910 0 314 303 0 714 814 0 443 426	L 4 0 0 383 0 388 537 1369 566 716 4497 0 748 707 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 52525 0 514 902	L 5 568 0 319 602 0 516 1181 2315 0 1316 0 5776 0 1555 0 824 0 0 525 596 0 296 660	L 6 323 0 252 583 0 548 1387 1475 0 732 4385 0 1567 0 0 463 0 734 0 555 0 507 226	L 7 194 0 217 0 601 1101 1814 0 666 4384 0 1171 0 551 0 0 910 0 735 350 0 616	L 8 161 0 545 0 492 1200 1669 0 715 3881 0 1202 0 385 0 0 307 573 736 457 0 (167)	L 9 164 422 0 437 0 516 1255 1527 0 886 0 5714 944 394 1093 0 0 0 664 1222 0 745	L10 635 523 1206 0 0 1666 1579 3385 0 1871 0 8437 1092 1837 573 437 499 653 0 871 916 446	L11 0 707 625 0 0 1062 1466 2398 0 1165 5920 0 1683 0 2113 0 0 1291 0 715 0 0 2386
Samples Bands 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 Total bands	<b>MW</b> 283 269 252 235 214 196 164 127 108 96 76 67 53 47 34 31 28 27 25 21 19 18 17	<b>RF</b> 0.037 0.055 0.084 0.106 0.145 0.177 0.232 0.300 0.353 0.384 0.440 0.47 0.507 0.541 0.605 0.623 0.640 0.655 0.671 0.720 0.750 0.776 0.850	L 1 0 0 423 0 332 1082 1223 0 816 4321 0 0 1135 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 644 0 387 682 10	L 2 0 528 272 517 0 655 1379 3296 0 848 0 6844 0 1676 653 0 0 427 219 398 0 225 458	L 3 0 615 349 523 305 825 1468 2891 1002 904 0 7034 0 7034 0 1910 0 314 303 0 714 814 0 443 436 17	L 4 0 0 383 0 388 537 1369 566 716 4497 0 748 707 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2525 0 514 903 12	L 5 568 0 319 602 0 516 1181 2315 0 1316 0 5776 0 1555 0 824 0 0 525 596 0 296 669 14	L 6 323 0 252 583 0 548 1387 1475 0 732 4385 0 1567 0 0 463 0 734 0 555 0 507 336	L 7 194 0 217 0 601 1101 1814 0 666 4384 0 1171 0 551 0 0 910 0 735 350 0 616 12	L 8 161 0 545 0 492 1200 1669 0 715 3881 0 1202 0 385 0 0 307 573 736 457 0 616	L 9 164 422 0 437 0 516 1255 1527 0 886 0 5714 944 394 1093 0 0 0 664 1222 0 0 745 14	L10 635 523 1206 0 0 1666 1579 3385 0 1871 0 8437 1092 1837 573 437 499 653 0 871 916 446 1474	L11 0 707 625 0 0 1062 1466 2398 0 1165 5920 0 1683 0 2113 0 0 1291 0 715 0 0 2386 11

Table 4. Molecular weight (MW) of SDS- PAGE plasma proteins for Siwa sheep population

(0) means absence of band, (intensity value) means presence, Rf means relative front, MW = molecular weight

## 3- El-Frafra population

El-Frafra population varied for electrophoretic protein pattern. Total bands of protein were 21 those bands ranged from 252 KDa at Rf 0.040 to 17 KDa at Rf 0.906. The results showed that the monomorphic bands was 5 with Homogeneity percentage 24% and polymorphic bands was 16 bands with heterogeneity by 76%. The molecular

weights 243 KDa with Rf 0.059 and 223 KDa with Rf 0.093 were present only in Lane 6 and Lane 7 respectively. Also, the molecular weights 89, 41, 37, 28 and 25 with Rf 0.390, 0.574, 602, 664 and 690 respectively, were absent in all of samples of El-frafra populations. On the other hand, the band of molecular weight 33 KDa at Rf 0.620 was presented in all of samples except lane 6. Also, band 17 KDa at Rf

0.906 was exist in all samples except two samples lane 8 and 10. At the same amount of injected protein concentration, the intensity of bands was the highest compared with the other populations. So, the highest value of intensity was 7549 at 33 KDa. while the lowest value was 22 at 18 KDa. as shown in Table (6) and Fig. (3). this agrees with Elhamamsy and Behairy (2015) and Carvajal-Serna *et al.* (2018).



Diagram 2. UPGMA unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages algorithm Dendrogram of genetics relationships and similarity index among the Three Egyptian populations of sheep (siwa, El dakhla and El farafra).



Fig. 1. SDS-PAGE profile of Siwa sheep plasma proteins and M= protein markers.



Fig. 2. SDS-PAGE profile of El dakhla sheep plasma proteins and M= protein markers.



Fig. 3. SDS-PAGE profile of El-Farafra sheep plasma proteins and M= protein markers.

The Dendrogram illustrated the genetic relationship and similarity value among populations under study. average similarity between the El-farfara and (El-Dakhla and Siwa) populations was 0.40. While, the similarity index between El-Dakhla and Siwa was 0.43. The similarity index within Siwa, El Dakhla, and El-Farfra populations were 0.72, 0.63 and 0.66 respectively. This may reflected a higher degree of inbreeding in both the El-Dakhla and the Siwa populations compared to the Elfarfara. The dendrogram of sheep populations was divided into two groups: the first one represented the El-farfara. while, the second represented the El-Dakhla and Siwa populations. The first one divided into two subclusters the first subcluster contained three samples of El-farfara while the second contained the rest of the samples. El-Dakhla Dendrogram divided the samples into two clusters, the first one had three samples while, the second divided into two subcluster which contained about the rest of samples. The Siwa Dendrogram divided the samples into two clusters. The first one contained about one sample while, the second one divided into two subclusters, the first had about one sample but the other one divided into sub subcluster which involved about the rest of samples. Our results are in agreement with kayali et al., (2012) who stated that the Dendrogram of Similarity values could be used as a analysis tool by protein electrophoresis and molecular markers between two strains of sheep.

Samples Bands	MW	RF	L 1	L 2	L3	L 4	L5	L6	L 7	L8	L 9	L10	L11
1	252	0.040	1307	1639	1564	1327	390	395	787	1423	1239	974	1000
2	243	0.059	0	0	0	0	0	0390	0	0	0	0	0
3	223	0.093	0	0	0	0	0	0	322	0	0	0	0
4	208	0.120	1279	490	487	172	134	637	515	231	657	498	525
5	196	0.141	2074	2144	1370	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	182	0.168	0	0	0	1322	403	0	649	890	473	484	536
7	161	021	1302	1308	1286	1019	672	1037	1051	1177	1184	950	1009
8	129	0.283	2873	3359	2833	1672	1536	1076	2249	1904	1863	1801	1672
9	95	0.378	5229	4889	3843	3498	1760	702	1903	3187	2958	1771	1956
10	89	0.390	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	69	0.430	0	0	0	0	803	4700	2162	0	0	1645	1105
12	41	0.574	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	37	0.602	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	33	0.620	7704	9117	6917	7019	4480	0	5748	7400	7549	6319	6976
15	28	0.664	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	25	0.690	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	23	0.714	0	0	457	76	0	0	66	595	342	0	272
18	21	0.740	779	1015	139	116	0	0	187	0	0	0	0
19	19	0.762	0	0	0	69	0	0	138	0	0	0	0
20	18	0.817	223	0	0	0	0	0	22	632	692	7046	708
21	17	0.906	637	1129	905	338	1195	926	212	0	549	0	613
Total bands	-	-	10	9	10	11	11	8	15	9	10	9	11

Table 6. Molecular weight (MW) of SDS- PAGE plasma proteins for El- farfra sheep population

(0) means absence of band, (intensity value) means presence, Rf means relative front, MW = molecular weight

Тя	ble	7. H	Iomogeneit	v and	hetero	reneits	/ nercenta	ge within	studied	grouns	based	on native-	protein	banding	natterns.
			Tomologenere	,	meter of	_ chickey	per centu		Staatea	LIGUPS	<b>Number</b>	OII Interio	protein	Net to the to th	parent mor

Populations of Sheep	Total No. of bands	Polymorphic bands	Monomorphic bands	Homogeneity	Heterogeneity %
Siwa	20	14	6	30%	70%
El-Dakhla	23	17	6	26%	74%
El-Frafra	21	16	5	24%	76%

## CONCLUSION

Our results revealed that using microsatellite and protein markers were approximately similar, whereas the protein reflects the gene expression. Finally, we are recommended for more studies about the new valley El-Kharga, El-Dakhla, El-Farafra and Siwa oases, which located in the Western Desert, are especially for being geographically isolated regions. Also, based on the previous results, we were concluded that the electrophoretic is one of the important and sensitive methods, which may be employed for identification and characterization of both plants and animals. So, proteins, which were separated by the SDS-PAGE method, were (i) presence of specific protein bands, (ii) disappear of protein bands, (iii) variation in the number and the level of bands intensity. In general, the specific alleles of microsatellite and specific bands of protein profiles can be utilized as fingerprinting markers for sheep populations. We suggest, apply the present work at a wide genome to scan and analysis using more recommended microsatellites covering sheep genome, which could be utilized in further work on biodiversity within breeds and species.

#### REFERENCES

Anous, M. R., M. A. Rashed, H. R. Motaoa, M. H. Sadek, Y. M. Saad, M. A. Osman and E.M. Shath (2008). Identification of Fecundity Gene in Egyptian Goats Using Genetic Markers. Egypt. J. Genet. Cytol., 37: 83-94

- Chen, L.S., E.O. Johnson, N. Breslau, D. Hatsukami, N.L. Saccone, R.A. Grucza, J.C. Wang, A.L. Hinrichs, L. Fox, A.M. Goate and J.P. Rice (2009). Interplay of genetic risk factors and parent monitoring in risk for nicotine dependence. Addiction, 104(10), pp.1731-1740.
- Carvajal-Serna, M.; J.A. Cardozo; H., Grajales-Lombana; J.A. Cebrián-Pérez, and T. Muiño-Blanco. (2018). Sperm quality and seminal plasma proteins in three sheep breeds under high altitude and tropical conditions. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research, 16(2), p.0403.
- El-hamamsy S.M. and R T. Behairy (2015). Effect of Salinity Stress on Seedling Vigor and Biochemical Characters of Egyptian Barley Landraces (Hordeum vulgare L.) Middle East J. Appl. Sci., 4(3): 786-796.
- El-Sayed, M. A., A. Al-Soudy, and A. A. El Badawy (2016)."Microsatellite markers Polymorphism between two Egyptian goat populations (Capra hircus)." Egyptian Journal of Genetics And Cytology 45, no. 1
- El-Sayed M. A., S.M. El-hamamsy, W. Abdelhamed and M.M. El-danasoury (2017). Genetic Diversity in Egyptian Goats Based on Microsatellite Markers. CAST Vol.17 No.2.
- FAO, (2004). Secondary guidelines for development of national farm animal genetic resources management plans. Measurement of domestic animal diversity (MoDAD): Recommended microsatellite markers, Rome, Italy.

- FAO, (2011). Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture thirteenth Regular Session, Draft Guidelines on Molecular Genetic Characterization of Animal Genetic Resources, Rome.
- Galal, S., F. Abdel-Rasoul, M. R. Anous, and I. M. Shaat, (2005). Onstation characterization of small ruminant breeds in Egypt. In Characterization of small ruminant breeds in West Asia and North Africa, edited by L. Iniguez. Syria: ICARDA, Aleppo. PP. 141-193.
- Glowatzki-Mullis, M., J. Muntwyler, E. Bäumle and Gaillard, C. (2009). Genetic diversity of Swiss sheep breeds in the focus of conservation research. J. Anim. Breed. Genet. 126: 164–175.
- Hartl, D. L. and A. G Clark. 2007: The Wahlund Principle, in: Principles of population genetics, Sunderland.MA: Sinauer Associates , USA, 288–293.
- Hristova, D., E. Todorovska, D. Vassilev, S. Metodiev, I. Popov, T. Yablanski, and E. Zhelyazkov (2014). Microsatellites based genetic diversity and population structure of seven Bulgarian indigenous sheep breeds. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 3(10), pp.569-581.
- Houle, D. (1989). Allozyme-associated heterosis in Drosophila melanogaster Generics, 123(4): 789-801.
- Ibrahim, M., S. Ahmad, Z.A. Swati and M.S Khan. (2010). Genetic diversity in Balkhi, Hashtnagri and Michni sheep populations using SSR markers. African Journal of Biotechnology, 9(45), pp.7617-7628.
- KAYALI, I., M. RASHED and M. ANOUS (2012). Molecular Genetic Fingerprinting for new selected Egyptian sheep strains in relation to Ossimi breed. Egyptian Journal of Genetics and Cytology, 41(2).
- Kimura, M. and J. F. Crow (1964). The number of alleles that can be maintained in a finite population. Genetic, 49(4):725.
- Lamy, E., Da Costa, G., e Silva, F.C., Potes, J., Coelho, A.V. and Baptista, E.S., (2008). Comparison of electrophoretic protein profiles from sheep and goat parotid saliva. Journal of chemical ecology, 34(3), pp.388-397.

- Laemmli, U.K. (1970). Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophageT4. Nature, 227: 680-685.
- Marini, A., Hifzan, A.B., Tan, R.M. and Panandam, J.M., (2014). Assessment of genetic diversity on goat breeds in Malaysia using microsatellite markers. Mal. J. Anim. Sci., 17(1), 19-26.
- Montowska, M. and E. Pospiech, (2007). Species identification of meat by electrophoretic methods. Acta Sci Pol Technol Aliment, 6(1), pp.5-16.
- Mwacharo, J., C. Otieno, and A. Okeyo (2002). Genetic variations between indigenous fat-tailed sheep populations in Kenya. Small Ruminant Research, 44(3), pp.173-178.
- Nei, M., (1972). Genetic distance between populations. Amer. Naturalist, 106(949), 283-292.
- Neubauer, V., C. Vogl, J. Seregi, L. Sáfár, and G. Brem (2015). Genetic diversity and population structure of Zackel sheep and other Hungarian sheep breeds. Arch. Anim. Breed., 58, 343–350.
- Ozerov, M.Y., N.S. Marzanov, M. Tapio, A.A. Burabaev, L.K. Marzanova, K.A. Amerkhanov and Y. Kantanen, (2008). Genetic features of Kazakh sheep breeds according to microsatellites. Russian Agricultural Sciences, 34(1), pp.45-48.
- Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch and T. Maniatis (1989). Molecular Cloning - A Laboratory Manual, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Sneath, P. H. A. and R. R. Sokal (1973). Numerical Taxonomy the principles and practice of numerical classification. Freeman, San Francisco.
- Visser C., E. van Marle-Köster and H. Friedrich (2011). Parentage verification of South African Angora goats, using microsatellite markers. South African Journal of Animal Science, 41 (3).
- Thiruvenkadan, A. K., V. Jayakumar, P. Kathiravan and R. Saravanan (2014). Genetic architecture and bottleneck analyses of Salem Black goat breed based on microsatellite markers. Veterinary World, 7(9).
- Yeh, F. C., T. Boyle, Y. Rongcai, Z. Ye and J. M. Xian (1999). POPGENE, Version 1.31. A Microsoft Window Based Freeware for Population Genetic Analysis. University of Alberta, Edmonton.

توصيف بعض قطعان الأغنام المصرية باستخدام المعلمات الجزيئية والبروتينية سام محمد امين الحمامصى<sup>1</sup> ومحمد احمد السيد<sup>2</sup> وعادل عبد العزيز البدوي<sup>3</sup> و دعاء طلب<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>قسم الكيمياء الحيوية الزراعية - كلية الزراعة جامعة الازهر <sup>2</sup>قسم المصادر الوراثية الحيوانية-البنك القومي للجينات مركز البحوث الزراعية-الجيزة مصر <sup>3</sup>معهد بحوث الإنتاج الحيواني-مركز البحوث الزراعية -الجيزة مصر

تم در اسة ثلاثة أنواع من الأغذام المصرية، والتي تم جمعها من ثلاثة مناطق، وهي واحات سيوة والداخلة والفرافرة الواقعة في الصحراء الغربية المصرية، وذلك باستخدام سنة واسمات جزيئية وكذلك تم التفريد الكهربي للبروتينات باستخدام طريقة SDS-PAGE للكشف عن التوع الوراثي والبنية الوراثية. أشارت النتائج إلى التالي: تم الكشف عن مائة وخمسة من الأليلات 14 اليلات مشتركة و71 أليلات محددة ومعينة عبر سنة مواقع بنسبة (6.7.6) ثلاثة عشر من أليرات المعينة ميز تا غنام سيوه وتراوحت من 1 إلى 5 اليل. كما تم تمييز اغنام الداخلة بعد 12 اليل تراوحت من 1 إلى 8 اليل. بينما تم الحصول على 37 من الأليلات في القابق أوراثية. أشارت النتائج إلى 14 اليل. كذلك فسرت النتائج الى وجود تناسب طردي بين درجة تأثير الأليلات والتيان الوراثي معنى انه عند الحصول على 37 من الأليلات في القابق أوراثي تما محدة ومعينة عبر سنة مواقع بنسبة (6.7.6) ثلاثيل في الواثي تم الحصول على قيم مرتقعة من فرت النتائج الى وجود تناسب طردي بين درجة تأثير الأليلي RNA وهي 20.9 على الموقع 14134 عدما كان التباين الوراثي تم الحصول على قيم مرتقعة من وهي 22.2 على الموقع 2018125 الحى قيم من التأثير الأليلي RNA وهي 20.9 على الموقع 1414 مينات التباين الوراثي المعرول على قيمة من وهي 22.2 على الموقع 25.8 الله الموراثي المتوقع 85.0 في اغام الداخلة. كما أوضحت النتائج الحاصة بالتفريد اليرورثي البروتينات لـ عد33 عبنة من الثلاثة مجامع الأغنام تحت الدراسة الى: الحوت اغنام السيوه على 20 حزمة بروتينية تراوحت بين 209 إلى 17 كيلو دالتون . كذلك عند نفس تركيز البروتين الورثي للمائة معرم الأغنام تحت الدراسة الى: العرور أني المتوقع 85.0 في اغام الداخلة. كما أوضحت النتائج الحاصة بالتفريد البروتين الورزي الوزن الجزيئي للحزمة وهي 22.2 على الموقع 24.8 المارية الى المرور أي المتوقع 85.0 في الغام الداخلة. كما أوضحت المروتين المورثين الموتين البروتينية لوحظ تغير في قيم حجم وشدة الإسروة على المورثي الخاذ الداخلة 24. في 17 كيو دالتون . كذلك عد نفس تركيز البروتين الغررمة البروتينية ولوط تغير في قيم حجم وشدة الاضاءة من عينة إلى أخرى. في حين أن مجموعات الفرافرة كلت البروتينية مادادائة 23 درمة مروتينية ولوط تغير في قيم حجم وشدة الاضاءة وي 27 الي دور. وكنه 24. كلي أور أول وعلي ماليروتييني كمعات البروتين الكيرري، حيث وروتينية ت