

## **Effect of Compost and Phosphate Applications on the Growth, Cadmium and Lead Uptake by Maize Plants Grown on Contaminated Soils**

**Mona F. Abd El- Ghany ; Y. A. Abdel-Aal and Hend A. S. Ahmed**  
Soils Dept., Fac. of Agric., Cairo Univ., Giza



### **ABSTRACT**

A pot experiment with maize (single cross-2030) was conducted to study the influence of phosphorus sources and levels and compost additions on growth, cadmium (Cd) and lead (Pb) contents of maize, as well as the availability of cadmium and lead in rhizosphere soil after the harvest of maize plants in three contaminated soils. It was observed in the three soils used in this study that compost addition increased significantly shoots and roots dry weight of maize plants and performed better than phosphate. With the three soils used in the study, results indicated that plants grown in soils amended with compost and supplied with rock phosphate (RP) at the high phosphate level, recorded the highest shoots & roots dry weight. Cadmium & lead contents of the both shoots & roots of maize plants were significantly reduced as a result of compost treatment. Rock phosphate addition significantly reduced Cd and Pb contents of both shoots and roots compared to single super phosphate (SSP) for all soils examined. Increasing phosphate levels markedly reduced Cd and Pb contents of both shoots and roots with all soils studied. Also, the results revealed that plants grown in soils amended with compost and supplied with RP at high phosphate level recorded the lowest cadmium and lead contents of shoots and roots for the three soils used in this study. The availability of cadmium and lead in rhizosphere area after the harvest of maize plants were significantly decreased in all soils studied as a result of compost treatments. RP treatments significantly decreased the availability Cd and Pb in rhizosphere area of all soils studied compared to SSP addition. The available Cd and Pb in rhizosphere area were reduced as a result of increasing phosphate levels. The lowest value of available cadmium and lead in rhizosphere area of all studied soils were recorded for soils amended with compost and treated with RP at the high phosphate level.

**Keywords:** contaminated soil, heavy metals, compost and phosphate application

### **INTRODUCTION**

Heavy metals are existent in soil as natural components or as a result of the bad use of human. Heavy metals even at any concentrations can cause toxicity to humans and other forms of life, their pernicious effects on human health are quite (Kumar, *et al.*, 2017). Cadmium and lead have dangerous effect due to the high toxicity they pose to the environment and humans (El Razek, 2014). Since metals are not degraded, one strategy applied for modification of contaminated soils with metals is immobilization achieved when one metal is transformed into a more stable geochemical form, which reduces its bioavailability. As an alternative technique, in situ metal immobilization using soil amendments has been promoted as a immediate, cost-effective, and low disruption technique (Keller *et al.*, 2005; Lee *et al.*, 2009). There are a lot of amendments, such as organic amendments (Beesley *et al.*, 2014 ; Karer *et al.*, 2015) and phosphate minerals (Guo, *et al.*, 2018) have been developed for minimize the availability of heavy metals in soils. These technologies may induce adverse effects on soil health and food safety (Chuan-chuan *et al.*, 2016).

The addition of organic amendments, such as compost to polluted soils can make a great variety of processes, leading to improvements in physico-chemical soil properties and fertility status and even altering the heavy metal distribution in the soil (Bernal *et al.*, 2007). techniques for enhanced bioremediation of heavy metals such as, cadmium and lead by organic treatments include: immobilization, reduction and rhizosphere modification. Addition of organic amendments to contaminated soils help to reduce the mobility, the phyto-availability and toxicity of pollutants and, at the same time, increase soil fertility, improve soil aeration and water holding capacities; in order to improve plant development (Kidd *et al.*, 2009). The use of organic refinements for retrieving heavy metal contaminated soils has been tested by different researchers (Clark *et al.*, 2007; Herwijnen *et al.*, 2007 ;

Gondar and Bernal 2009 ; Liu *et al.*, 2009 ; Farrel *et al.*, 2010). For the most of these experiments the uptake of heavy metals by plants was reduced by addition of organic materials to the soil.

On the other hand, the inorganic amendments such as, phosphate fertilizers are also effective in heavy metal bio-availability through formation of stable mineral heavy metal phosphate (Liang *et al.*, 2012). The possible techniques for heavy metal stabilization by phosphate minerals include the following: the formation of amorphous or poorly crystalline metal phosphate precipitation; ion-exchange interaction and surface complexation at the surface of rock phosphate and isomorphic substitution of Ca in rock phosphate by other heavy metals during recrystallization or coprecipitation process (Cao *et al.*, 2004 ; Saxena and D'Souza 2006). The formation of these insoluble metal compounds (metal-phosphate complexes) through the application of phosphate materials, reduce their mobility through the soil profile, the pool available for biota (Geebelen *et al.*, 2003), and considered a major immobilization approach for Pb & Cd contaminated soils (Jiang *et al.*, 2012; Mignardi *et al.*, 2012). Certain Pb-P, and Cd-P complexes are highly stable, with limited solubility and mobility in soils (Waterlot *et al.*, 2011 ; Austruy *et al.*, 2014). In addition, the application of amendments simultaneously, could enhance plant growth and biological activity of degraded soil (Madejon *et al.*, 2006).

The objective of this study is to compare the effectiveness of compost and different phosphate compounds (Rock Phosphate & Single Super Phosphate) on the growth, cadmium and lead uptake by maize plants grown on contaminated soils.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A pot experiment was conducted in the greenhouse of Soil Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt to investigate the influence of compost and different phosphate sources on the growth

and uptake of cadmium and lead by maize plants in some contaminated soils.

**Soil samples**

Three soil samples were collected from the surface layer (0 – 30 cm) of heavy metals polluted soils, one from Helwan region, Cairo, Egypt (S1) and the two others from EL-Gabal EL-Asfar, Cairo, Egypt (S2 and S3). The Soil Sample were air dried, crushed, sieve through a 2 mm sieve and thoroughly mixed before use. The main properties of the studied soils are given in Table 1.

**Table 1. The main physical and chemical properties of the studied soils.**

Characteristics	Values		
	Helwan region (S1)	EL-Gabal EL-Asfar S2	S3
Particle size distribution			
Sand %	49.2	76.3	89.0
Silt %	24.4	12.1	5.4
Clay%	26.4	11.6	5.6
Textural Class	Sandy clay loam	Sandy loam	Sand
pH ( 1 ; 2.5 )	8.12	7.1	6.84
EC (1:5)	0.14	0.22	1.44
Organic matter	4.42	3.09	2.73
Soluble Cations (meq <sup>l</sup> <sup>-1</sup> )			
Ca <sup>++</sup>	0.6	2.0	5.0
Mg <sup>++</sup>	0.3	0.8	3.0
K <sup>+</sup>	0.2	0.1	0.3
Na <sup>+</sup>	1.0	1.1	6.3
Soluble anions (meq <sup>l</sup> <sup>-1</sup> )			
HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> and CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	0.8	0.9	2.4
Cl <sup>-</sup>	1.0	1.75	6.0
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup>	0.3	1.35	6.2
Available N (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )	6.52	11.29	7.6
Available P (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )	80.6	76.6	78.6
Available Cd (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )	0.20	0.37	0.27
Available Pb (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )	11.43	36.31	43.02

**Soil amendments:**

The soil amendments used in this study were: a compost ( as organic amendment was mad from a mixture of ornamental plants waste), natural rock phosphate (RP) and single supper phosphate (SSP). Main physical and chemical properties of soil amendments are given in Table 2 . Compost was added to the three studied soils at two rates, (0 and 20 ton feddan<sup>-1</sup>), both RP and SSP were applied to the studied soils ( S1, S2 and S3 ) at three levels 30, 45 and 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> feddan<sup>-1</sup>

**Plant growth experiment:**

The pot experiment was conducted on all three soils used in the study. The experiment was performed in 12 treatments and three replicates per treatment for each soil. A basal dose of N and K fertilizer, equivalent to 120 kg N feddan<sup>-1</sup> as ammonium nitrate (33%N) and 50 kg as K<sub>2</sub>O feddan<sup>-1</sup> was added as potassium sulphate (50% K<sub>2</sub>O), respectively and mixed thoroughly with 10 kg air dried soil. The soil samples were then placed in plastic pots of (21 cm in height & 25 cm in diameter). Amendments were also air-dried and ground to pass through a 2 mm mesh sieve, and were then applied as designed and mixed with the soil samples thoroughly. Ten seeds of maize were sown into each pot and then thinned to five seedlings after germination. The plants were watered daily by hand to

maintain soil water content at 60% of water holding capacity. Plant samples were collected after 60 days. Plant samples were up rooted as gently as possible without tearing of root system and the shoots were separated.

**Table 2. Physical & chemical characters of the amendments used in the experiment.**

Compost		Rock Phosphate	
Characteristics	Value	Characteristics	Value
Density (kgm <sup>-3</sup> )	590	Total (P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> %)	24
Humidity(%)	27.0	Total cadmium (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )	4.0
pH(1:10)	7.6	Total lead (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )	14.4
EC (1:10) dSm <sup>-1</sup>	4.04	Single Super Phosphate Characteristics	Value
Organic matter (%)	53.99	Available (P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> %)	15.5
Organic carbon (%)	31.31	Total cadmium (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )	2.53
Ash %	73.0	Total lead (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )	8.5
Total Nitrogen %	1.6	C / N ratio	15.6 : 1
Total Phosphrous (%)	0.95	Total Potasium (%)	1.68
Total cadmium (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )	ND	Total cadmium (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )	9.1
Total lead (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )	9.1		

**Soil analyses**

The available heavy metals (cadmium & lead) were determined according to Lindsay and Norvell, (1978). Total heavy metals (cadmium & lead) were determined according to Cottenie *et al.* (1982). The concentrations of cadmium & lead were determined by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer. Mechanical analysis of the three soil samples were performed according to the pipette method Piper (1950). Organic matter by oxidation with dichromate, according to Walkely and Black as described by Jackson (1973). Soil pH was measured in a 1:2.5 soil : water ratio using a glass electrode as described by Jackson (1973). Electrical conductivity (EC) was measured in soil past outlined by Jackson (1973). Available phosphorus was determined by using molybdenum blue method described by El-Hinediy and Agiza (1959). Available nitrogen was determined by the Kjeldahl method Jackson (1973).

**Plant analysis**

At the end of the experiment, plants were harvested, rinsed with deionized water, separated into shoots and roots, dried at 70 °C for 72 hours in an oven. For determining the Cd and Pb concentration in the plants, dried roots and shoots were grinded. Dry matter of maize (shoots & roots) were digested using mixture of sulfuric and perchloric acids. Jackson (1973).

**Statistical analysis:**

Test of normality distribution was carried out according to Shapiro and Wilk, method (1965), by using SPSS v. 17.0 (2008) computer package. A randomized complete block design with three factors were used for analysis all data with three replications for each parameter. Estimates of LSD were calculated to test the significance of differences among means according to Snedecor and Cochran (1994). by used Assistat program.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Shoots and roots dry weight of maize plants:

The results presented in Table 3 show the effect of organic and phosphate treatments on shoots & roots dry weight of maize plants. It is clear that in the three soils used in this study (S1, S2 and S3), irrespective of phosphate treatments, compost application significantly increased both shoots and roots dry weight. The magnitude of increase in shoots dry weight resulted from compost application were 19.92, 17.87 and 18.65% for S1, S2 and S3, respectively, compared to without compost application. The corresponding values for roots dry weight were 11.32, 17.08 and 19.16%. These results could be enhanced with

those obtained by ( Ahmad *et al.*, 2015 and Abo-El-Enein *et al.*, 2017), they reported that both root and shoot biomasses of wheat plant increased with the application of organic amendments. Excessive cadmium and lead content in soils have been reported to inhibit the development of root system of plants (Andrei *et al.*, 2003), impede photosynthesis (Krupa and Moniak, 1998) and even lower crop yield (Verma and Dubey, 2001). The application of organic amendment in the present study significantly decreased the bioavailability of cadmium & lead, alleviated their toxicity to maize root, support the development of maize root therefore enhanced maize plants growth ( Table 3 and 6 ).

**Table 3. Shoots & roots dry weights of maize plants as affected by compost and phosphate fertilizer applications (g. pot<sup>-1</sup>)**

C.T.	F.L.	Shoots			C. Mean	Roots			C. Mean		
		Fertilizer sources (F.S)				Fertilizer sources (F.S)					
		SSP	RP	mean		SSP	RP	mean			
S1	C <sub>0</sub>	L 1	38.21 <sup>c</sup>	34.42 <sup>f</sup>	36.31 <sup>d</sup>	37.299 <sup>b</sup>	10.82 <sup>hi</sup>	10.46 <sup>i</sup>	10.64 <sup>c</sup>	12.613 <sup>b</sup>	
		L 2	40.01 <sup>d</sup>	35.47 <sup>f</sup>	37.74 <sup>c</sup>		13.12 <sup>ef</sup>	11.61 <sup>gh</sup>	12.37 <sup>d</sup>		
		L 3	40.65 <sup>d</sup>	35.04 <sup>f</sup>	37.85 <sup>c</sup>		15.27 <sup>bc</sup>	14.4 <sup>cd</sup>	14.84 <sup>b</sup>		
	C <sub>1</sub>	Mean	39.62 <sup>c</sup>	34.97 <sup>d</sup>		13.07 <sup>b</sup>	12.16 <sup>c</sup>				
		L 1	42.34 <sup>c</sup>	48.66 <sup>a</sup>	45.50 <sup>b</sup>	46.577 <sup>a</sup>	12.78 <sup>ef</sup>	12.21 <sup>fg</sup>	12.50 <sup>d</sup>	14.223 <sup>a</sup>	
		L 2	44.94 <sup>b</sup>	48.72 <sup>a</sup>	46.83 <sup>ab</sup>		13.45 <sup>de</sup>	13.00 <sup>ef</sup>	13.23 <sup>c</sup>		
	L 3	45.86 <sup>b</sup>	48.95 <sup>a</sup>	47.40 <sup>a</sup>	16.21 <sup>b</sup>		17.69 <sup>a</sup>	16.95 <sup>a</sup>			
	Mean	Mean	44.38 <sup>b</sup>	48.78 <sup>a</sup>		14.15 <sup>a</sup>	14.30 <sup>a</sup>				
		F.S.	42.00 <sup>a</sup>	41.88 <sup>a</sup>		13.61 <sup>a</sup>	13.23 <sup>a</sup>				
		F.L.	40.91 <sup>b</sup>	42.28 <sup>a</sup>	42.62 <sup>a</sup>	11.565 <sup>c</sup>	12.793 <sup>b</sup>	15.891 <sup>a</sup>			
	S2	C <sub>0</sub>	L 1	42.57 <sup>cd</sup>	40.40 <sup>d</sup>	41.48 <sup>b</sup>	42.021 <sup>b</sup>	13.96 <sup>de</sup>	12.20 <sup>f</sup>	13.08 <sup>c</sup>	14.392 <sup>b</sup>
			L 2	43.24 <sup>cd</sup>	40.94 <sup>d</sup>	42.09 <sup>b</sup>		14.64 <sup>de</sup>	13.89 <sup>e</sup>	14.27 <sup>d</sup>	
L 3			44.93 <sup>c</sup>	40.05 <sup>d</sup>	42.49 <sup>b</sup>	16.93 <sup>b</sup>		14.73 <sup>d</sup>	15.83 <sup>c</sup>		
C <sub>1</sub>		Mean	43.58 <sup>c</sup>	40.46 <sup>d</sup>		15.18 <sup>c</sup>	13.61 <sup>d</sup>				
		L 1	49.62 <sup>b</sup>	51.23 <sup>ab</sup>	53.43 <sup>a</sup>	51.163 <sup>a</sup>	16.97 <sup>b</sup>	16.97 <sup>b</sup>	16.97 <sup>b</sup>	17.37 <sup>a</sup>	
		L 2	50.54 <sup>ab</sup>	52.15 <sup>ab</sup>	54.34 <sup>a</sup>		15.58 <sup>c</sup>	17.50 <sup>b</sup>	16.54 <sup>b</sup>		
L 3		50.33 <sup>ab</sup>	53.12 <sup>a</sup>	54.73 <sup>a</sup>	17.45 <sup>b</sup>		19.67 <sup>a</sup>	18.56 <sup>a</sup>			
Mean		Mean	50.16 <sup>b</sup>	52.17 <sup>a</sup>		16.67 <sup>b</sup>	18.05 <sup>a</sup>				
		F.S.	46.87 <sup>a</sup>	46.32 <sup>a</sup>		15.92 <sup>a</sup>	15.83 <sup>a</sup>				
		F.L.	45.95 <sup>a</sup>	46.72 <sup>a</sup>	47.107 <sup>a</sup>	15.025 <sup>b</sup>	15.402 <sup>b</sup>	17.194 <sup>a</sup>			
S3		C <sub>0</sub>	L 1	43.59 <sup>cd</sup>	39.24 <sup>e</sup>	41.42 <sup>b</sup>	42.038 <sup>b</sup>	14.30 <sup>gh</sup>	13.37 <sup>h</sup>	13.84 <sup>f</sup>	15.040 <sup>b</sup>
			L 2	44.27 <sup>c</sup>	40.04 <sup>e</sup>	42.16 <sup>b</sup>		15.50 <sup>ef</sup>	14.50 <sup>fg</sup>	15.00 <sup>e</sup>	
	L 3		44.17 <sup>c</sup>	40.92 <sup>de</sup>	42.54 <sup>b</sup>	16.97 <sup>d</sup>		15.60 <sup>e</sup>	16.29 <sup>c</sup>		
	C <sub>1</sub>	mean	44.01 <sup>c</sup>	40.07 <sup>d</sup>		15.59 <sup>c</sup>	14.49 <sup>d</sup>				
		L 1	49.34 <sup>b</sup>	53.88 <sup>a</sup>	52.61 <sup>a</sup>	51.675 <sup>a</sup>	16.83 <sup>d</sup>	18.23 <sup>c</sup>	17.53 <sup>c</sup>	18.605 <sup>a</sup>	
		L 2	49.09 <sup>b</sup>	53.65 <sup>a</sup>	52.37 <sup>a</sup>		16.97 <sup>d</sup>	19.73 <sup>b</sup>	18.35 <sup>b</sup>		
	L 3	50.42 <sup>b</sup>	53.67 <sup>a</sup>	53.05 <sup>a</sup>	19.50 <sup>b</sup>		20.35 <sup>a</sup>	19.93 <sup>a</sup>			
	Mean	mean	49.62 <sup>b</sup>	53.73 <sup>a</sup>		17.77 <sup>b</sup>	19.44 <sup>a</sup>				
		F.S.	46.81 <sup>a</sup>	46.90 <sup>a</sup>		16.68 <sup>a</sup>	16.97 <sup>a</sup>				
		F.L.	46.51 <sup>a</sup>	46.76 <sup>a</sup>	47.295 <sup>a</sup>	15.683 <sup>c</sup>	16.675 <sup>b</sup>	18.105 <sup>a</sup>			

C<sub>0</sub> = without compost application, C<sub>1</sub> = with compost application, SSP= single super phosphate, RP= Rock phosphate, C.T. = Compost treatment, F.L. = Fertilizer level.

At the three soils studied (S1, S2 and S3), regardless of compost treatments, both phosphate sources and levels had no significant effect on shoots dry weight, on the other hand, increasing phosphate levels, significantly increased roots dry weight, while phosphate sources had no significant effect on roots dry weight for S1, S2 and S3 soils. The obtained results are in accordance with the results of Zhu *et al.* (2004) they reported that the application of phosphate sources there is are no significant effect on the shoot biomass of plants, and slightly increased root biomass in some treatments.

In all studied soils (S1, S2 and S3), shoots and roots dry weight of plants grown in soil non-amended with

compost and supplied with SSP significantly were greater than those supplied with RP. This is may be due to that, the soluble-P treatment (SSP) has often been shown to immobilize heavy metals effectively in soils than insoluble P sources (RP) (Basta and McGowen 2004 ; Park *et al.* 2011 ; Mignardi *et al.*, 2012), so that plants grown in soil non-amended with compost and supplied with SSP recorded the highest shoots and roots dry weight, while insoluble phosphorus sources (RP) in the presence of compost showed the greater shoots and roots dry weight of plants. The potential of RP to immobilize Cd and Pb this probably due to that organic amendments enhanced the

bioavailability of RP and there for, enhanced immobilization of heavy metals.

Concerning the interaction between compost treatments and phosphate levels, the data showed that, at each soil studied, increasing phosphate levels significantly increased roots dry weight in both compost treatments. In contrast, shoots dry weight did not affected significantly by the interaction effect of compost and phosphate levels for all soils studies. As regards to the interaction effect of compost, phosphate sources and levels, the data in Table 3 show that , for all soils studied, plants grown in soil amended with compost and supplied with RP at high phosphate level recorded the highest shoots & roots dry weight

**Shoots and roots cadmium content of maize plants**

Cadmium (Cd) content of shoots and roots were significantly affected by compost, phosphate sources and levels and the interaction effect Table 4. It was noticed that in all soils studied (S1,S2 and S3) regardless of phosphate

treatments, Cd content of shoots and roots were significantly decreased as a result of compost application compared to non-application, the percent of decrease in Cd content of shoots were 9 , 36, 27% for S1, S2 and S3, respectively, while the percent of decreased in Cd content of roots were 26.7, 19.1, 13.7 % for S1, S2 and S3, respectively. The obtained results in accordance with the finding of Ahmad *et al.* (2015) they reported that compost significantly reduced Cd uptake in wheat and maize. Angelova *et al.* (2010) reported that organic materials were especially effective for reduction of cadmium content in potato tubers, a correlation was found between the quantity of the mobile forms and the uptake of lead, zinc, copper and cadmium by the potato. Mechanisms for enhanced bioremediation of heavy metals by organic materials include: immobilization, reduction and rhizosphere modification.

**Table 4. Shoots & roots cadmium content of maize plants as affected by compost and phosphate fertilizers application (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>).**

C.T.	F.L.	Shoots			C. Mean	Roots			C. Mean		
		Fertilizer sources (F.S)				Fertilizer sources (F.S.)					
		SSP	RP	mean		SSP	RP	mean			
S 1	C0	L 1	0.53 <sup>b</sup>	0.52 <sup>b</sup>	0.525 <sup>a</sup>	0.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.97 <sup>ab</sup>	0.98 <sup>a</sup>	0.97 <sup>a</sup>	0.922 <sup>a</sup>	
		L 2	0.24 <sup>c</sup>	0.27 <sup>d</sup>	0.255 <sup>c</sup>		0.91 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.85 <sup>de</sup>	0.88 <sup>b</sup>		
		L 3	0.21 <sup>f</sup>	0.20 <sup>f</sup>	0.205 <sup>d</sup>		0.93 <sup>abc</sup>	0.89 <sup>cd</sup>	0.91 <sup>b</sup>		
		Mean	0.33 <sup>b</sup>	0.33 <sup>b</sup>			0.94 <sup>a</sup>	0.91 <sup>a</sup>			
	C1	L 1	0.60 <sup>a</sup>	0.28 <sup>d</sup>	0.44 <sup>b</sup>	0.30 <sup>b</sup>	0.7767 <sup>f</sup>	0.770 <sup>f</sup>	0.77 <sup>c</sup>	0.676 <sup>b</sup>	
		L 2	0.37 <sup>c</sup>	0.17 <sup>g</sup>	0.27 <sup>c</sup>		0.82 <sup>ef</sup>	0.557 <sup>gh</sup>	0.69 <sup>d</sup>		
		L 3	0.29 <sup>d</sup>	0.10 <sup>h</sup>	0.195 <sup>d</sup>		0.61 <sup>g</sup>	0.523 <sup>h</sup>	0.57 <sup>e</sup>		
		Mean	0.42 <sup>a</sup>	0.18 <sup>c</sup>			0.736 <sup>b</sup>	0.616 <sup>c</sup>			
		Mean	F.S.	0.37 <sup>a</sup>	0.26 <sup>b</sup>		0.84 <sup>a</sup>	0.76 <sup>b</sup>			
			F.L.	0.483 <sup>a</sup>	0.263 <sup>b</sup>	0.200 <sup>c</sup>	0.87 <sup>a</sup>	0.79 <sup>b</sup>	0.74 <sup>c</sup>		
	S 2	C0	L 1	0.79 <sup>a</sup>	0.66 <sup>b</sup>	0.73 <sup>a</sup>	0.55 <sup>a</sup>	1.26 <sup>a</sup>	1.18 <sup>b</sup>	1.22 <sup>a</sup>	0.89 <sup>a</sup>
			L 2	0.63 <sup>c</sup>	0.43 <sup>e</sup>	0.53 <sup>c</sup>		0.98 <sup>c</sup>	0.83 <sup>d</sup>	0.91 <sup>b</sup>	
L 3			0.44 <sup>e</sup>	0.34 <sup>f</sup>	0.39 <sup>d</sup>	0.62 <sup>f</sup>		0.45 <sup>h</sup>	0.54 <sup>e</sup>		
		Mean	0.62 <sup>a</sup>	0.48 <sup>b</sup>			0.95 <sup>a</sup>	0.82 <sup>b</sup>			
C1		L 1	0.78 <sup>a</sup>	0.49 <sup>d</sup>	0.64 <sup>b</sup>	0.35 <sup>b</sup>	1.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.73 <sup>e</sup>	0.86 <sup>c</sup>	0.72 <sup>b</sup>	
		L 2	0.29 <sup>g</sup>	0.18 <sup>i</sup>	0.24 <sup>e</sup>		0.70 <sup>e</sup>	0.55 <sup>g</sup>	0.63 <sup>d</sup>		
		L 3	0.23 <sup>h</sup>	0.11 <sup>j</sup>	0.17 <sup>f</sup>		0.73 <sup>e</sup>	0.58 <sup>fg</sup>	0.65 <sup>d</sup>		
		Mean	0.43 <sup>c</sup>	0.26 <sup>d</sup>			0.81 <sup>b</sup>	0.62 <sup>c</sup>			
		Mean	F.S.	0.53 <sup>a</sup>	0.37 <sup>b</sup>		0.88 <sup>a</sup>	0.72 <sup>b</sup>			
			F.L.	0.68 <sup>a</sup>	0.383 <sup>b</sup>	0.280 <sup>c</sup>	1.0425 <sup>a</sup>	0.7658 <sup>b</sup>	0.5975 <sup>c</sup>		
S 3		C0	L 1	0.65 <sup>ab</sup>	0.63 <sup>b</sup>	0.64 <sup>b</sup>	0.45 <sup>a</sup>	0.95 <sup>ab</sup>	0.96 <sup>a</sup>	0.96 <sup>a</sup>	0.854 <sup>a</sup>
			L 2	0.41 <sup>d</sup>	0.39 <sup>de</sup>	0.40 <sup>c</sup>		0.94 <sup>ab</sup>	0.90 <sup>b</sup>	0.92 <sup>a</sup>	
	L 3		0.23 <sup>h</sup>	0.37 <sup>e</sup>	0.30 <sup>e</sup>	0.75 <sup>d</sup>		0.62 <sup>e</sup>	0.68 <sup>d</sup>		
		mean	0.43 <sup>b</sup>	0.46 <sup>a</sup>			0.88 <sup>a</sup>	0.83 <sup>b</sup>			
	C1	L 1	0.67 <sup>a</sup>	0.34 <sup>f</sup>	0.50 <sup>b</sup>	0.33 <sup>b</sup>	0.93 <sup>ab</sup>	0.60 <sup>e</sup>	0.77 <sup>c</sup>	0.74 <sup>b</sup>	
		L 2	0.46 <sup>c</sup>	0.27 <sup>g</sup>	0.37 <sup>d</sup>		0.94 <sup>ab</sup>	0.74 <sup>d</sup>	0.84 <sup>b</sup>		
		L 3	0.12 <sup>i</sup>	0.14 <sup>i</sup>	0.13 <sup>f</sup>		0.81 <sup>c</sup>	0.39 <sup>f</sup>	0.60 <sup>e</sup>		
		mean	0.42 <sup>b</sup>	0.25 <sup>c</sup>			0.89 <sup>a</sup>	0.58 <sup>c</sup>			
		Mean	F.S	0.42 <sup>a</sup>	0.36 <sup>b</sup>		0.89 <sup>a</sup>	0.70 <sup>b</sup>			
			F.L.	0.57 <sup>a</sup>	0.38 <sup>b</sup>	0.22 <sup>c</sup>	0.86 <sup>a</sup>	0.88 <sup>b</sup>	0.64 <sup>c</sup>		

C<sub>0</sub> = without compost application, C<sub>1</sub> = with compost application, SSP= single super phosphate, RP= Rock phosphate, C.T. = Compost treatment, F.L. = Fertilizer level .

Addition of organic amendments to soils increases the immobilization of metals thought adsorption reactions. The organic amendment induced retention of metals is attributed to an increase in surface charge and the presence of metal binding compounds (Clark *et al.*, 2007, Gondar and Bernal 2009, Farrel *et al.*, 2010, Liu *et al.* 2009, Herwijnen *et al.* 2007 and Zhang *et al.*, 2013).

Higher Cadmium accumulation in root of maize plant than shoot can be due to connecting of Cd in cation

exchange sites of roots (Zeng *et al.*, 2011) and creating complexes with ligands containing –S group (Sulphydryl) (Topcuoglu, 2012) which led to sediment and accumulation of Cd in apoplast of root.

For the three soils used in this study, generally, it was noticed that, shoots and roots Cd content of plants supplied with RP was significantly lower than plants supplied with SSP. Results in this study showed that single super phosphate was less effective in reducing Cd bioavailability

and plant uptake of cadmium than natural rock phosphate. This could probably be due to a slight soil pH reduction after the application of SSP (Zhu *et al.*, 2004).

Irrespective of compost treatments and phosphate sources, increasing phosphate levels significantly decreased shoots and roots Cd content (Zhao *et al.*, 2014). Guo, *et al.*, (2018 ) reported that P amendments help to reduce Cd phytotoxicity to crop plants by limiting its availability in the soil through the formation of mixed metal phosphates , which could have restricted Cd uptake by plants.

As for the interaction effect between compost and phosphate sources, in S1, S2 and S3 soils, shoots and roots Cd content of plants grown in soils amended with RP was significantly lower than that amended with SSP for both compost treatments. Concerning the interaction effect of compost application and phosphate levels, data in table (4) revealed that, in all soils studied, increasing phosphate levels significantly decreased shoot Cd content of both compost treatments.

As regards to the interaction effect of compost, phosphate sources and levels, the data in Table 4 show that, for all soils studied, plants grown in soil amended with compost and supplied with RP at high phosphate level recorded the lowest shoot Cd content. It is clear that, applied organic amendments like compost or inorganic

additives like RP and SSP were found to reduce toxicity of metals by reducing available fractions, which in turn reduce heavy metal transfer to plants, as indicated by greater plant growth and lower metal concentration in plant (Chen *et al.*, 2007).

**Shoots and roots lead content of maize plants**

The present data in Table 5 show that, the addition of all the applied materials reduced the content of Pb in shoots and roots of maize plants. It was noticed that in all soils studied (S1,S2 and S3), regardless of phosphate treatments, Pb content of shoots and roots were significantly decreased as a result of compost application compared to without application treatment. The percent of decrease in Pb content of shoots were 50, 39.3, 43.4% for S1, S2 and S3, respectively, the corresponding of roots were 9.7, 51.4, 6.1% for S1, S2 and S3, respectively. The obtained results in accordance with the finding of (Angelova *et al.*, 2010 and Abo-El-Enein *et al.*,2017). It can be concluded that the toxicity of heavy metals does not depend only on its concentration in soil, but also depends on different forms in which metals are present. The applied compost have high effects on decreasing chemically available Pb in soil as well as decreasing its content in shoots and roots of maize plants (Abo-El-Enein *et al.*,2017)

**Table 5. Shoots & roots lead content of maize plants as affected by compost and phosphate Fertilizer applications (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>)**

C.T.	F.L.	Shoots			C. Mean	Roots			C. Mean	
		Fertilizer sources (F.S)				Fertilizer sources (F.S.)				
		SSP	RP	mean		SSP	RP	mean		
S1	C <sub>0</sub>	L1	0.52 <sup>b</sup>	0.5 <sup>c</sup>	0.51 <sup>a</sup>	0.40 <sup>a</sup>	0.85 <sup>a</sup>	0.58 <sup>dc</sup>	0.717 <sup>b</sup>	0.683 <sup>a</sup>
		L2	0.54 <sup>a</sup>	0.26 <sup>e</sup>	0.4 <sup>b</sup>		0.88 <sup>a</sup>	0.56 <sup>de</sup>	0.72 <sup>b</sup>	
		L3	0.41 <sup>d</sup>	0.19 <sup>g</sup>	0.3 <sup>c</sup>		0.75 <sup>b</sup>	0.48 <sup>g</sup>	0.62 <sup>c</sup>	
	C <sub>1</sub>	Mean	0.49 <sup>a</sup>	0.32 <sup>b</sup>		0.83 <sup>a</sup>	0.54 <sup>d</sup>			
		L1	0.21 <sup>f</sup>	0.22 <sup>f</sup>	0.22 <sup>e</sup>	0.85 <sup>a</sup>	0.67 <sup>c</sup>	0.76 <sup>a</sup>		
		L2	0.27 <sup>e</sup>	0.19 <sup>g</sup>	0.23 <sup>d</sup>	0.61 <sup>d</sup>	0.54 <sup>ef</sup>	0.57 <sup>d</sup>		
	Mean	L3	0.14 <sup>h</sup>	0.15 <sup>h</sup>	0.145 <sup>f</sup>	0.53 <sup>ef</sup>	0.5 <sup>fg</sup>	0.52 <sup>e</sup>		
		Mean	0.21 <sup>c</sup>	0.19 <sup>d</sup>		0.66 <sup>b</sup>	0.57 <sup>c</sup>			
		F.S.	0.35 <sup>a</sup>	0.25 <sup>b</sup>		0.75 <sup>a</sup>	0.56 <sup>b</sup>			
		F.L.	0.36 <sup>a</sup>	0.32 <sup>b</sup>	0.22 <sup>c</sup>	0.739 <sup>a</sup>	0.648 <sup>b</sup>	0.568 <sup>c</sup>		
S2	C <sub>0</sub>	L1	0.69 <sup>a</sup>	0.51 <sup>c</sup>	0.6 <sup>a</sup>	0.61 <sup>a</sup>	1.21 <sup>b</sup>	1.08 <sup>c</sup>	1.15 <sup>a</sup>	1.07 <sup>a</sup>
		L2	0.63 <sup>b</sup>	0.67 <sup>a</sup>	0.65 <sup>a</sup>		1.29 <sup>a</sup>	1.02 <sup>d</sup>	1.16 <sup>a</sup>	
		L3	0.53 <sup>c</sup>	0.63 <sup>b</sup>	0.58 <sup>b</sup>		1.12 <sup>c</sup>	0.71 <sup>e</sup>	0.92 <sup>b</sup>	
	C <sub>1</sub>	Mean	0.62 <sup>a</sup>	0.60 <sup>a</sup>		1.21 <sup>a</sup>	0.94 <sup>b</sup>			
		L1	0.43 <sup>d</sup>	0.46 <sup>d</sup>	0.45 <sup>c</sup>	0.63 <sup>f</sup>	0.63 <sup>f</sup>	0.63 <sup>c</sup>		
		L2	0.58 <sup>ab</sup>	0.26 <sup>e</sup>	0.42 <sup>c</sup>	0.47 <sup>g</sup>	0.47 <sup>g</sup>	0.47 <sup>d</sup>		
	Mean	L3	0.24 <sup>e</sup>	0.26 <sup>e</sup>	0.25 <sup>d</sup>	0.45 <sup>g</sup>	0.45 <sup>g</sup>	0.45 <sup>d</sup>		
		Mean	0.42 <sup>b</sup>	0.33		0.52 <sup>c</sup>	0.52 <sup>c</sup>			
		F.S.	0.48 <sup>a</sup>	0.47		0.86 <sup>a</sup>	0.73 <sup>b</sup>			
		F.L.	0.52 <sup>a</sup>	0.54 <sup>a</sup>	0.42 <sup>b</sup>	0.886 <sup>a</sup>	0.813 <sup>b</sup>	0.681 <sup>c</sup>		
S3	C <sub>0</sub>	L1	0.91 <sup>a</sup>	0.83 <sup>b</sup>	0.87 <sup>a</sup>	0.76 <sup>a</sup>	1.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.94 <sup>c</sup>	0.99 <sup>a</sup>	0.822 <sup>a</sup>
		L2	0.72 <sup>cd</sup>	0.71 <sup>d</sup>	0.72 <sup>c</sup>		0.97 <sup>bc</sup>	0.78 <sup>e</sup>	0.88 <sup>b</sup>	
		L3	0.61 <sup>e</sup>	0.76 <sup>c</sup>	0.69 <sup>d</sup>		0.61 <sup>f</sup>	0.58 <sup>f</sup>	0.59 <sup>d</sup>	
	C <sub>1</sub>	mean	0.75 <sup>a</sup>	0.77 <sup>a</sup>		0.88 <sup>b</sup>	0.77 <sup>c</sup>			
		L1	0.83 <sup>b</sup>	0.72 <sup>d</sup>	0.78 <sup>b</sup>	1.02 <sup>ab</sup>	0.8 <sup>de</sup>	0.91 <sup>b</sup>		
		L2	0.48 <sup>f</sup>	0.21 <sup>h</sup>	0.35 <sup>e</sup>	0.98 <sup>bc</sup>	0.59 <sup>f</sup>	0.785 <sup>c</sup>		
	Mean	L3	0.1 <sup>i</sup>	0.25 <sup>g</sup>	0.18 <sup>f</sup>	0.85 <sup>d</sup>	0.39 <sup>g</sup>	0.620 <sup>d</sup>		
		mean	0.47 <sup>b</sup>	0.39 <sup>c</sup>		0.95 <sup>a</sup>	0.59 <sup>d</sup>			
		F.S.	0.61 <sup>a</sup>	0.58 <sup>b</sup>		0.91 <sup>a</sup>	0.68 <sup>b</sup>			
		F.L.	0.82 <sup>a</sup>	0.53 <sup>b</sup>	0.43 <sup>c</sup>	0.952 <sup>a</sup>	0.832 <sup>b</sup>	0.609 <sup>c</sup>		

C<sub>0</sub> = without compost application, C<sub>1</sub> = with compost application, SSP= single super phosphate, RP= Rock phosphate, C.T. = Compost treatment, F.L. = Fertilizer level.

In general, it was noticed that regardless of compost treatments and phosphate levels, for the three soils used in this study, shoots and roots Pb content of plants supplied

with RP was significantly lower than plants supplied with SSP. These results are in agreement with those found by Zhu *et al.* (2004). The possible mechanisms of RP

stabilizing Pb was suggested as a process including ion exchange processes at the surface of RP, surface complexation, and replacement of Ca in RP by Pb with formation of pyromorphite-type minerals (Cao *et al.* 2004), which is very stable even at low pH.

The formation of pyromorphite significantly reduces the mobility of Pb in the soil, transformed from available to unavailable state (residual) it becomes inactive, stable and unavailable for plant uptake (Zhu *et al.*, 2004; Cao *et al.*, 2009 and Abo-El-Enein *et al.*, 2017).

Irrespective of compost treatments and phosphate sources, increasing phosphate levels significantly decreased shoots and roots Pb content. The obtained results are in accordance with the results of Zhu *et al.* (2004) they reported that the pb concentrations in both shoots and roots of two vegetable plants decreased with increasing quantities of added P compound. Guo, *et al.* (2018) reported that plant-tissue concentration of Pb was consistently reduced in the presence of phosphorus, possibly through the formation of mixed metal phosphates, which could have restricted Pb uptake by plants.

Concerning to the interaction effect of compost, phosphate sources and levels, the data showed that, for all soils studied, plants grown in soil amended with compost and supplied with RP at high phosphate level recorded the lowest shoots and roots Pb content.

**Available cadmium and Lead in soil after the harvest of maize plants :**

The concentrations of available Cd and Pb of the studied soils are shown in Table 6, the results showed that, irrespective of phosphate sources and levels, application of compost significantly decreased the concentrations of available Cd and Pb in all soils studied (S1,S2 and S3). The percent decrease of Cd concentration were; 20,60 and 11% for S1,S2 and S3, respectively, the corresponding values for Pb were; 6.4, 5.4 and 15.7%. The results in this study demonstrated that addition of organic amendments caused immobilization of Cd and Pb in the rhizosphere soil. This results are in accordance with Abo-El-Enein *et al.* (2017) they reported that amendment of contaminated soil with organic matter reduced bioavailability of Cd and Pb in the rhizosphere soil.

**Table 6. Available cadmium and lead in soil as affected by compost and phosphate fertilizers application (mgkg<sup>-1</sup>)**

C.T.	F.L.	Cadmium			C. Mean	Lead			C. Mean		
		Fertilizer sources (F.S)				Fertilizer sources (F.S)					
		SSP	RP	mean		SSP	RP	mean			
S1	C <sub>0</sub>	L1	0.077 <sup>a</sup>	0.071 <sup>b</sup>	0.074 <sup>a</sup>	0.0597 <sup>a</sup>	9.291 <sup>a</sup>	7.94 <sup>abcd</sup>	8.617 <sup>a</sup>	8.213 <sup>a</sup>	
		L2	0.065 <sup>c</sup>	0.050 <sup>e</sup>	0.058 <sup>b</sup>		9.608 <sup>a</sup>	7.62 <sup>abcd</sup>	8.616 <sup>a</sup>		
		L3	0.051 <sup>c</sup>	0.044 <sup>fg</sup>	0.048 <sup>c</sup>		9.727 <sup>a</sup>	5.08 <sup>d</sup>	7.402 <sup>ab</sup>		
	C <sub>1</sub>	Mean	0.064 <sup>a</sup>	0.055 <sup>b</sup>		9.54 <sup>a</sup>	6.88 <sup>b</sup>				
		L1	0.059 <sup>d</sup>	0.051 <sup>e</sup>	0.055 <sup>b</sup>	9.44 <sup>a</sup>	8.69 <sup>abc</sup>	9.06 <sup>a</sup>			
		L2	0.047 <sup>ef</sup>	0.045 <sup>fg</sup>	0.046 <sup>c</sup>	9.02 <sup>a</sup>	7.84 <sup>abcd</sup>	8.43 <sup>a</sup>			
	Mean	L3	0.041 <sup>g</sup>	0.042 <sup>g</sup>	0.042 <sup>d</sup>	5.48 <sup>cd</sup>	5.65 <sup>bcd</sup>	5.56 <sup>b</sup>			
		Mean	0.049 <sup>c</sup>	0.046 <sup>d</sup>		7.98 <sup>ab</sup>	7.39 <sup>b</sup>				
		F.S.	0.057 <sup>a</sup>	0.051 <sup>b</sup>		8.76 <sup>a</sup>	7.14 <sup>b</sup>				
		F.L.	0.065 <sup>a</sup>	0.052 <sup>b</sup>	0.045 <sup>c</sup>	8.84 <sup>a</sup>	8.52 <sup>a</sup>	6.48 <sup>b</sup>			
	S2	C <sub>0</sub>	L1	0.165 <sup>a</sup>	0.151 <sup>b</sup>	0.158 <sup>a</sup>	0.124 <sup>a</sup>	16.87 <sup>a</sup>	12.95 <sup>ab</sup>	14.909 <sup>a</sup>	11.985 <sup>a</sup>
			L2	0.117 <sup>c</sup>	0.111 <sup>c</sup>	0.114 <sup>b</sup>		10.89 <sup>bc</sup>	12.92 <sup>ab</sup>	11.900 <sup>abc</sup>	
L3			0.101 <sup>d</sup>	0.097 <sup>d</sup>	0.099 <sup>c</sup>	10.60 <sup>bc</sup>		7.67 <sup>c</sup>	9.138 <sup>c</sup>		
C <sub>1</sub>		Mean	0.128 <sup>a</sup>	0.119 <sup>b</sup>		12.79 <sup>a</sup>	11.18 <sup>a</sup>				
		L1	0.065 <sup>c</sup>	0.046 <sup>gh</sup>	0.056 <sup>d</sup>	13.29 <sup>ab</sup>	13.66 <sup>ab</sup>	13.47 <sup>ab</sup>			
		L2	0.056 <sup>ef</sup>	0.043 <sup>gh</sup>	0.049 <sup>de</sup>	10.57 <sup>bc</sup>	12.51 <sup>b</sup>	11.54 <sup>bc</sup>			
Mean		L3	0.050 <sup>fg</sup>	0.037 <sup>h</sup>	0.044 <sup>e</sup>	10.29 <sup>bc</sup>	7.69 <sup>c</sup>	8.99 <sup>c</sup>			
		Mean	0.057 <sup>c</sup>	0.042 <sup>d</sup>		11.52 <sup>a</sup>	11.28 <sup>a</sup>				
		F.S.	0.092 <sup>a</sup>	0.081 <sup>b</sup>		12.16 <sup>a</sup>	11.23 <sup>a</sup>				
		F.L.	0.107 <sup>a</sup>	0.082 <sup>b</sup>	0.071 <sup>c</sup>	14.19 <sup>a</sup>	11.72 <sup>b</sup>	9.06 <sup>c</sup>			
S3		C <sub>0</sub>	L1	0.109 <sup>a</sup>	0.098 <sup>b</sup>	0.104 <sup>a</sup>	0.0777 <sup>a</sup>	33.33 <sup>a</sup>	27.46 <sup>b</sup>	30.39 <sup>a</sup>	26.969 <sup>a</sup>
			L2	0.088 <sup>c</sup>	0.059 <sup>e</sup>	0.074 <sup>c</sup>		29.52 <sup>b</sup>	23.03 <sup>d</sup>	26.28 <sup>b</sup>	
	L3		0.070 <sup>d</sup>	0.042 <sup>g</sup>	0.056 <sup>d</sup>	28.63 <sup>b</sup>		19.86 <sup>e</sup>	24.24 <sup>bc</sup>		
	C <sub>1</sub>	mean	0.089 <sup>a</sup>	0.066 <sup>d</sup>		30.49 <sup>a</sup>	23.4 <sup>c</sup>				
		L1	0.100 <sup>b</sup>	0.06 <sup>e</sup>	0.081 <sup>b</sup>	28.18 <sup>b</sup>	21.03 <sup>de</sup>	24.60 <sup>bc</sup>			
		L2	0.091 <sup>c</sup>	0.053 <sup>f</sup>	0.072 <sup>c</sup>	26.48 <sup>bc</sup>	19.30 <sup>e</sup>	22.89 <sup>cd</sup>			
	Mean	L3	0.072 <sup>d</sup>	0.036 <sup>h</sup>	0.054 <sup>d</sup>	23.73 <sup>cd</sup>	17.96 <sup>e</sup>	20.84 <sup>d</sup>			
		mean	0.088 <sup>a</sup>	0.050 <sup>c</sup>		26.13 <sup>b</sup>	19.43 <sup>d</sup>				
		F.S.	0.088 <sup>a</sup>	0.058 <sup>b</sup>		28.31 <sup>a</sup>	21.43 <sup>b</sup>				
		F.L.	0.092 <sup>a</sup>	0.073 <sup>b</sup>	0.055 <sup>c</sup>	27.49 <sup>a</sup>	24.58 <sup>b</sup>	22.54 <sup>c</sup>			

C<sub>0</sub> = without compost application, C<sub>1</sub> = with compost application, SSP= single super phosphate, RP= Rock phosphate, C.T. = Compost treatment, F.L. = Fertilizer level.

Generally, in all studied soils regardless of compost treatments, the results demonstrated that a comparison between SSP and RP revealed that, RP was more effective than SSP because the available Cd and Pb concentrations in RP-treated soils was lower than that in SSP-treated soils, these results are in agreement with Zhu *et al.*(2004) they reported that, the ineffectiveness of SSP in remediating Pb-contaminated soil may probably be due to pH reduction induced by its application in this alkaline soil, they reported the application of hydroxyapatite (HA) increased soil pH by around 0.4 units; the application of rock

phosphate (PR) had little effect on soil pH. application of rock phosphate (PR) had little effect on soil pH. Unlike HA and PR, the application of single super phosphate (SPP) decreased soil pH by about 0.9 unit. Chen *et al.* (2007) reported the soils pH increased due to the addition of RP. This may be due to much CaCO<sub>3</sub> contents in RP which makes it as alkaline characteristic. On the contrary, the application of soluble P amendments such as triple super phosphate (TSP), single super phosphate (SSP) and diammonium phosphate (DAP) was reported to decrease soil pH (Chen *et al.*, 2007).

Also, the data show that increasing phosphate levels significantly decreased available Cd and Pb in the three soils used. Zhu *et al.* (2004), reported that concentration of available Pb decreased with increasing quantities of P amendments. Various mechanisms have been advanced to explain the immobilization of Cd and Pb by phosphate amendments. A dissolution-precipitation mechanism via the formation of pyromorphite-like mineral was used to explain the decrease in Pb availability in previous studies (Chen *et al.* 2007; Debela *et al.* 2013). Da Rocha *et al.* (2002) suggested that Cd immobilization could be associated with the ion exchange and complexation mechanisms. Surface adsorption or fixation mechanisms involving the formation of Cd-phosphate on the surface of the amendment were also reported in several studies (Marchat *et al.* 2007; Matusik *et al.* 2008; Mignardi *et al.* 2012).

Concerning the interaction effect of compost treatments and phosphate levels, data in Table 6 reveal that, in all soils studied, increasing phosphate levels significantly decreased available Cd and Pb in soil in both compost treatments. The data show that, for all studied soils, soil amended with compost and supplied with RP at high level recorded the lowest available Cd and Pb.

## CONCLUSION

This pot experiment demonstrated that the application of soil amendments used in this study, a compost as organic amendment, natural rock phosphate (RP) and single super phosphate (SSP) to contaminated soil decreased the Cd and Pb availability in the soils, which in turn promoted plant growth, and thus decreased the Cd and Pb accumulation in maize plants. Amendments of compost with RP were the most effective in reducing Cd and Pb availability, so the application of such materials can be used as effective strategy to remediate soils polluted with Cd and Pb.

## REFERENCES

- Abo-El-Enein, S. A.; Radwan, S. A.; Ashour, I. A. and Eman, A. Mohammed. (2017). Impact of some soil amendments on controlling heavy metals toxicity in some contaminated soils. *Egyptian J. of Environ. Research EJER*, Vol. 6.
- Ahmad, I.; Akhtar, M.J.; Zahir, Z.A. and Mitter, B. (2015). Organic amendments: effects on cereals growth and cadmium remediation. *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.* (2015) 12:2919–2928.
- Andrei, A. B.; Vera, I. S.; Viktor, E. T. Alexey, Y. B.; Andrei, P. K.; Vitaley, V. S.; Anna, M. M.; Vivienne, G. P. and Igor, A. T. (2003). Genetic variability in tolerance to cadmium and accumulation of heavy metals in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Euphytica*, 131: 25–35.
- Angelova-Violina, R.; Ivanov-Krasimir, I. and Krustev-Stefan, V. (2010). Effect Of Phosphorous, Organic And Saproel Amendments On Lead, Zinc And Cadmium Uptake By Triticale From Industrially Polluted Soils, Proceedings of the Annual International Conference on Soils, Sediments, Water and Energy: Vol. 13, Article 20.
- Austruy, A.; Shahid, M.; Xiong, T.; Castrec, M.; Payre, V. and Niazi, N.K. (2014). Mechanisms of metal-phosphates formation in the rhizosphere soils of pea and tomato: environmental and sanitary consequences. *J. Soil Sediment* 14:666-678.
- Basta, N.T. and McGowen, S.L. (2004). Evaluation of chemical immobilization treatments for reducing heavy metal transport in a smelter-contaminated soil. *Environ Pollut* 127(1):73-82.
- Beesley, L.; Inneh, O.S.; Norton, G.J.; Moreno-Jimenez, E.; Pardo, T.; Clemente, R. and Dawson, J. (2014). Assessing the influence of compost and biochar amendments on the mobility and toxicity of metals and arsenic in a naturally contaminated mine soil. *Environ Pollut* 186:195–202.
- Bernal, M. P.; Clemente, R. and Walker, D. J. (2007). The role of organic amendments in the bioremediation of heavy metal-polluted soils. In: Gore R. W. *Environ Research at the Leading Edge*. New York: Nova Science Publishers, 1–57.
- Cao, X.D.; Ma, L.Q. and Rhue, D.R. (2004). Mechanisms of lead, copper, and zinc retention by phosphate rock. *Environ. Pollut.* 131(3):435–444.
- Cao, X.D., Wahbic, A., Ma, L., Li, B., Yang, Y.L. (2009). Immobilization of Zn, Cu, and Pb in contaminated soils using phosphate rock and phosphoric acid. *J. of Hazardous Materials*. 164, 555-564.
- Chen, S.; Xu, M.; Ma, Y. and Yang, J. (2007). Evaluation of different phosphate amendments on availability of metals in contaminated soil. *Ecotox. Environ. Safe* 67(2):278–285.
- Chuan-chuan, N.I.N.G.; Peng-dong, G.A.O.; Bing-qing, W.A.N.G.; Wei-peng, L.I.N.; Ni-hao, J.I.A.N.G. and Kun-zheng, C.A.I. (2016). Impacts of chemical fertilizer reduction and organic amendments supplementation on soil nutrient, enzyme activity and heavy metal content. *J. of Integrative Agriculture*, 15(0): 60345-7.
- Clark, G.J.; Dodgshun, N.; Sale, P. W. G. and Tang, C. (2007). Changes in chemical and biological properties of a sodic clay subsoil with addition of organic amendments. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 39(11): 2806–2817.
- Cottenie, A.; Verloo, M.; Kiekens, L.; Velgh, G. and Camerlincq, R. (1982). Chemical analysis of plant and soils. *Lap. Anal., Agrochem., State Univ., Ghent, Belgium*.
- Da Rocha, N.C.C.; Decampos, R.C.; Rossi, A.M.; Moreira, E.L.; Barbosa, A.F. and Moure, G.T. (2002). Cadmium uptake by hydroxyapatite synthesized in different conditions and submitted to thermal treatment. *Environ. Sci Technol* 36:1630-1635.
- Debela, F.; Arocena, J.M.; Thring, R.W. and Whitcombe, T. (2013). Organic acids inhibit the formation of pyromorphite and Zn-phosphate in phosphorous amended Pb- and Zn-contaminated soil. *J Environ Manag* 116:156–162.
- El Razek, A.A.A. (2014). The mobility and speciation of lead and cadmium in Bahr El Baqar region, Egypt. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, 2, 685–691.
- El-Hineidy, M.I. and Agiza, A. H. (1959). Colorimetric method for determination of micro amount of phosphorus. *Cairo Univ., Fac. Agric. Bull.* 121.
- Farrell, M.; Perkins, W. T.; Hobbs, P. J.; Griffith, G. W. and Jones, D. L. (2010). Migration of heavy metals in soil as influenced by compost amendments. *Environ. Pollut.*, 158(1): 55–64.
- Geebelen, W.; Adriano, D.C.; Van-Der, Lelie, D.; Mench, M.; Carleer, R. Clijsters, H. and Vangronsveld, J. (2003). Selected bioavailability assays to test the efficacy of amendment-induced immobilization of lead in soil. *Plant Soil* 249:217–228.
- Gondar, D. and Bernal M. P. (2009). Copper binding by olive mill solid waste and its organic matter fractions. *Geoderma*, 149(3–4): 272–279.
- Guo, G.; Lei, M. Chen, T. and Yang, J. (2018). Evaluation of different amendments and foliar fertilizer for immobilization of heavy metals in contaminated soils. *J. Soils Sediments*, 18:239-247.
- Herwijnen, R.; Hutchings, T. R.; Al-Tabbaa, A.; Moffat, A. J.; Johns, M. L. and Ouki, S. K. (2007). Remediation of metal contaminated soil with mineral-amended composts. *Environ. Pollut.*, 150(3): 347–354.
- Jackson, M. L. (1973). "Soil Chemical Analysis". Prentice-Hall, Inc. Limited, New York.

- Jiang, G.; Liu, Y.; Huang, L.; Fu, Q.; Deng, Y. and Hu, H. (2012). Mechanism of lead immobilization by oxalic acid-activated phosphate rocks. *J. Environ. Sci* 24:919–925.
- Karar, J.; Wawra, A.; Zehetner, F.; Dunst, G.; Wagner, M., Pavel, P.B, Puschentreiter, M.; Friesl, H.W. and Soja, G. (2015). Effects of biochars and compost mixtures and inorganic additives on immobilisation of heavy metals in contaminated soils. *Water Air Soil Pollut* 226:342–354.
- Keller, C.; Marchetti, M. and Rossi, L. (2005). Reduction of cadmium availability to tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) plants using soil amendments in low cadmium-contaminated agricultural soils: a pot experiment. *Plant Soil* 276(1):69–84.
- Kidd, P.; Barcelo, J.; Bernal, M. P.; Navarilzo, F.; Poschenrieder, C.; Shilev S.; Clemente, R. and Monterroso, C. (2009). Trace element behaviour at the root-soil interface: Implications in phytoremediation. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 67(1): 243–259.
- Krupa, Z. and Moniak, M. (1998). The stage of leaf maturity implicates the response of the photosynthetic apparatus to cadmium toxicity. *Plant Sci*, 138: 149-156.
- Kumar, B.; Smita, K. and Flores, L. C. (2017). Plant mediated detoxification of mercury and lead. *Arabian J. of Chemistry*, 10:2335–2342.
- Lee, S.H.; Lee, J.S. and Jeong-Choi, Y. (2009). In situ stabilization of cadmium-, lead-, and zinc contaminated soil using various amendments. *Chemosphere* 77(8):1069–1075.
- Liang, Y.; Wang, X.C. And Cao, X.D. (2012). Immobilization techniques for remediation of heavy metal contaminated soils using phosphate, carbonate, and silicate materials: a review. *Environ. Chem. (in Chinese)* 31:1–11.
- Lindsay, W.L. and Norvell, W.A. (1978). Development of DTPA soil test for zinc, iron manganese and copper. *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J.* 42, 421-428.
- Liu, L.; Chen, H.; Cai, P.; Liang, W. and Huang, Q. (2009). Immobilization and phytotoxicity of Cd in contaminated soil amended with chicken manure compost. *J of Hazardous Materials*, 163(2– 3): 563–567.
- Madejon, E.; De Mora, A.P. and Felipe, E. (2006). Soil amendments reduce trace element solubility in a contaminated soil and allow regrowth of natural vegetation. *Environ. Pollut.*, 139(1):40–52.
- Marchat, D.; Bernache-Assollant, D. and Champion, E. (2007). Cadmium fixation by synthetic hydroxy Ill apatite in aqueous solution-thermal behavior. *J. Hazard Mater* 139:453–460.
- Matusik, J.; Bajda, T. and Manecki, M. (2008). Immobilization of aqueous cadmium by addition of phosphates. *J Hazard Mater* 152:1332–1339.
- Mignardi, S.; Corami, A. and Ferrini, V. (2012). Evaluation of the effectiveness of phosphate treatment for the remediation of mine waste soils contaminated with Cd, Cu, Pb, and Zn. *Chemosphere* 86:354–360.
- Park, J. H.; Bolan, N.; Megharaj, M. and Naidu, R. (2011). Comparative value of phosphate sources on the immobilization of lead, and leaching of lead and phosphorus in lead contaminated soils. *Science of the Total Environment*. 409, 853-860.
- Piper, C. S. (1950). "Soil and Plant Analysis". Interscience publishers Inc., New Yourk
- Saxena, S. and D'Souza, S.F. (2006). Heavy metal pollution abatement using rock phosphate mineral. *Environ. Int* 32:199–202.
- Shapiro, S. S. and Wilk, M. B. (1965). Analysis of variance test for normality (complete samples), *Biometrika* 52 (3/4): 591–611.
- Snedecor, G.W, Cochran WG. (1994). *Statistical Methods*. 9th Ed., Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, Iowa, USA. SPSS Statistics 17.0. (2008). SPSS for Windows. SPSS Inc. 2008.
- Topcuoglu, B. (2012). The influence of humic acids on the metal bioavailability and phytoextraction efficiency in long term sludge applied soil. Conference on International Research on Food Security, Natural Resource Management and Rural Development. Tropentag, Göttingen, Germany.
- Verma, S. and Dubey, R. S. (2001). Effect of cadmium on soluble sugars and enzymes of their metabolism in rice. *Biologia Plantarum*, 44(1): 117–123.
- Waterlot, C.; Pruvot, C.; Ciesielski, H. and Douay, F. (2011). Effects of a phosphorus amendment and the pH of water used for watering on the mobility and phytoavailability of Cd, Pb and Zn in highly contaminated kitchen garden soils. *Ecol Eng* 37:1081–1093.
- Zeng, F.; Shafaqat, A. and Zhang, H. (2011). The influence of pH and organic matter content in paddy soil on heavy metal availability and their uptake by rice plants. *Environ. Pollut.*, 159: 84-91.
- Zhang, Y.; Yang, X.; Tian, S.; Guo, W. and Wang, J. (2013). The influence of humic acids on the accumulation of lead and cadmium in tobacco leaves grown in different soils. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 13: 43-53.
- Zhao, Z.; Jiang, G. and Mao, R. (2014). Effects of Particle Sizes of Rock Phosphate on Immobilizing Heavy Metals. *J. of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 14 (2), 258-266.
- Zhu, Y. G.; Chen, S. B. and Yang, J. C. (2004). Effects of soil amendments on lead uptake by two vegetable crops from a lead contaminated soil from Anhui, China. *Environ. International* 30, 351- 356.

## تأثير إضافة الكميوست والفوسفات على نمو وامتصاص الكاديوم والرصاص بواسطة نباتات الذرة النامية في الأراضي الملوثة

منى فوزي عبد الغني ، يوسف علي عبدالعال و هند احمد سيد  
قسم الأراضي – كلية الزراعة – جامعة القاهرة - جيزة

أقيمت تجربة أصص باستخدام الذرة الشامية ( هجين فردي- ٢٠٣٠ ) لدراسة تأثير مصادر ومستويات الفوسفور وإضافة الكميوست على نمو نبات الذرة ومحتواه من عنصر الكاديوم والرصاص وكذلك دراسة صلاحية كلا من عنصر الكاديوم والرصاص في حيز نمو الجذور بعد حصاد نباتات الذرة وذلك باستخدام ثلاث أنواع من الأراضي الملوثة. وقد لوحظ في كل عينات التربة المستخدمة في الدراسة أن إضافة الكميوست أدت إلى زيادة معنوية في الوزن الجاف لكل من الأجزاء الخضرية والجذور لنباتات الذرة وكان تأثير إضافة الكميوست أكثر وضوحاً من إضافة الفوسفات. دلت نتائج التجربة على أنه باستخدام عينات الأراضي الثلاث المستخدمة، فإن النباتات التي نمت في الأراضي التي عُوِّلت بالكميوست وأمدت بصخر الفوسفات بالمعدل العالي سجلت أعلى وزن جاف لكل من الأجزاء الخضرية والجذور. أدت إضافة الكميوست إلى نقص معنوي في محتوى الأجزاء الخضرية والجذور لنباتات الذرة من عنصر الكاديوم والرصاص ، كذلك أدت إضافة صخر الفوسفات إلى نقص معنوي في محتوى الأجزاء الخضرية والجذور من عنصر الكاديوم والرصاص بالمقارنة بإضافة سوبر فوسفات الكالسيوم وذلك في كل الأراضي المستخدمة في الدراسة، ومن ناحية أخرى فقد أدت زيادة معدلات الفوسفات إلى نقص معنوي في محتوى الأجزاء الخضرية والجذور من عنصر الكاديوم والرصاص في عينات الأراضي الثلاث المستخدمة. كذلك أظهرت النتائج أن النباتات التي نمت في الأرض التي عُوِّلت بالكميوست وأمدت بصخر الفوسفات بالمعدل العالي سجلت أقل محتوى من عنصر الكاديوم والرصاص في كلا من الأجزاء الخضرية والجذور وذلك مع كل الأراضي تحت الدراسة. أدت إضافة الكميوست إلى الأراضي محل الدراسة إلى نقص معنوي في الكاديوم والرصاص الميسر في حيز نمو الجذور وذلك بعد حصاد نباتات الذرة ومن ناحية أخرى فقد أدت إضافة صخر الفوسفات إلى الأراضي محل الدراسة إلى نقص معنوي في الكاديوم والرصاص الميسر في حيز نمو الجذور بالمقارنة بإضافة سوبر فوسفات الكالسيوم، كذلك فقد أدت زيادة معدلات إضافة الفوسفات إلى نقص معنوي في الكاديوم والرصاص الميسر في حيز نمو الجذور في عينات الأراضي الثلاث المستخدمة. سجلت معاملة إضافة الكميوست مع إضافة صخر الفوسفات بالمعدل العالي أقل تيسر لكلا من عنصر الكاديوم والرصاص في حيز نمو الجذور.