# Effect of Planting Date and some Pre-Planting Treatments on Growth and Yield of Garlic

# El-Shabasi, M. S. S.; Y. M. M. Osman and S. M. Rizk Potato and Vegetatively Propagated Vegetables Dep., Hort. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.



# ABSTRACT

Two successful field trials were carried out during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons at Kaha Vegetable Research Farm, Kaliobia Governorate. This work aimed to study the effect of planting date (D1:1<sup>st</sup> September, D2: 15<sup>th</sup> September, D3:1<sup>st</sup> October, D4:15<sup>th</sup> October) and six pre-planting treatments on plant growth, yield and its components and chemical compounds of bulbs. The six pre planting treatments were T1: the control, T2: soaking garlic cloves (SGC) in water for 24 hour, T3: SGC in 5 ppm GA<sub>3</sub> for 24 hour, T4: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss for one day, T5: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss for one day, T5: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss for two days and T6: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss until root initiation (after three days). The results showed that D2 produced markedly the highest values of plant length, number of leaves per plant, nick diameter, bulbing ratio as well as dry weight of leaves, bulb and plant. In addition, such planting date resulted in highest values of total yield at harvest time, bulb diameter, bulb weight and number of cloves per bulb in the yield sample after curing. The last planting date (D4) scored the highest values of total carbohydrates. While, D1 exhibited the highest level of nitrogen and crude protein in dry matter of garlic bulbs. T6 exhibited the highest values of total yield, bulb diameter, bulb weight and total carbohydrates in bulb. Also, the highest level of nitrogen and crude protein were more achieved via T5.

Keywords: Garlic, planting dates, soaking cloves, water, GA<sub>3</sub>, peat-moss, total yield, bulb quality.

# INTRODUCTION

Garlic (*Allium sativum*, L.) is one of the most important vegetable bulb crops and the next to onion in importance in Egypt. Balady and Sids 40 are the main garlic cultivars grown in Egypt. Garlic planting date has a great effect on vegetative growth, yield and its components. Early planting enhances germination and produce adequate vegetative growth resulted in high yield with good quality (Maksoud *et al.*, 1983; El-Shabasi, 1988; Rahman *et al.*, 2004; El-Zohiri and Farag, 2014; Youssef and Tony, 2014; Hassan *et al.*, 2016).

Plant growth regulators enhance sprouting of garlic (Moon and lee, 1980; Rahim and Fordhan, 1988). Soaking of seed bulbs (cloves) in GA<sub>3</sub> solution stimulate sprouting and bulbing and its development (Moon and Lee, 1980). Rahman *et al.* (2006) noted that application of GA<sub>3</sub> has the capability to break dormancy and accelerates garlic sprouting. Ahmed and Hemada (2012) indicated that soaking garlic cloves in water or GA<sub>3</sub> at 5 ppm for 24 hours improved vegetative growth, bulb fresh weight, total fresh yield and cured yield. Samy *et al.* (2014) on potato concluded that dipping the tubers in GA<sub>3</sub> caused increasing vegetative growth characters and total tuber yield.

Ibrahim (2010) compared between different media (clay, sand, vermiculate, compost and peat moss) for sunflower sprout production for fresh human consumption after soaking in water. In this respect, Wet peat moss layers are used by Abd El-Latif *et al.* (2015) for accelerating germination of globe artichoke stumps in vernalization process.

The objective of this investigation was to elucidate the effect of planting date and some pre-planting treatments in order to enhance the growth and yield of garlic plant.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The current trial was carried out during 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons at Kaha Vegetable Research Farm, Kaliobia Governorate. The soil was clay loam in texture with pH 7.49, EC 1.94 (ds/m). The study aimed to find out the effect of planting date and some pre-planting treatments on garlic plants.

Sids-40 cultivar was used in this experiment. A split plot design with three replicates was adopted. The planting dates (1st September, 15th September, 1st October and 15th October) represented the main plots. The sub-plots were six pre-planting treatments *i.e.*, the soaking garlic cloves (SGC) in water for 24 hour, SGC in 5 ppm GA3 for 24 hour, SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss for one day, SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss for two days, SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss until appearance of roots (after three days) and the control treatment (cloves direct planted in the soil). The experimental sub-plot area was 10.50 m2 which contained 3 rows, with 5 m length and 0.70 m width. Garlic cloves were planted on both sides of the rows at 10 cm apart. All agriculture practices for cultivation were performed as recommended by Ministry of Agriculture.

# Data recorded:

Random samples of three plants from each experimental sub-plot were uprooted after 150 days from planting to determine plant length (cm.), number of leaves per-plant, neck and bulb diameter (cm.), bulbing ratio, and dry weight of leaves, bulb and plant (gm.). Germination percentage was determined after 45 days from planting, the germinated cloves were counted and germination percentage was estimated using the following formula: Germination% = Number of germinated cloves per plot / Total number of planted cloves per plot X 100. Total yield was determined for each experimental sub-plot at harvest. The plants were placed for 15 days in an aerated area for curing. After curing five bulbs were randomly taken from each experimental subplot to determine the averages of bulb diameter (cm.), bulb weight (gm.), cloves number per bulb and clove weight (gm.). Total carbohydrates were determined colorimetrically in dry matter of the bulbs according to James (1995). Total nitrogen and phosphorus were determined in dry matter of bulbs according to A.O.A.C. (1990), and crude protein was calculated as nitrogen content x 6.25.

#### Statistical analysis:

The data were statistically analyzed using the procedure outlined by Snedecor and Cochran (1980). Also, data were tested for least significant differences (L.S.D.) to compare the averages of the determined parameters.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 1-Vegetative growth measurements

It is obvious from Tables 1 that there were significant differences between planting dates in germination percentage, plant length, number of leaves / plants, nick and bulb diameter, bulbing ratio and dry weight of leaves, bulb and plant. Whereas, the highest germination percentage was obtained from D3. In this respect, D2 exhibited the highest values of plant length, number of leaves/ plants, nick diameter, bulbing ratio and dry weight of leaves and plant in the both seasons of trial. However, D1 resulted in the lowest germination percentage (in the two seasons), plant length, dry weight of leaves and plant (in the first season). Also, D4 gave the lowest values of number of leaves (in the two seasons), nick diameter, bulbing ratio, dry weight of bulb (in the first season), and dry weight of plant (in the second season). D3 gave the lowest values of plant length, nick diameter, dry weight of leaves in the second season. The obtained results could be due to that earliest plantation encourage meristematic elongation and cell division which encourage the vegetative growth of the plants that received low temperature and short-day length. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Maksoud *et al.* (1983), El-Shabasi (1988), Rahman *et al.* (2004), El-Zohiri and Farag (2014), Youssef and Tony (2014) and Hassan *et al.* (2016).

With respect to the effect of pre-planting treatments in Table 2 results indicate that germination percentage, plant length, number of leaves/ plants, nick and bulb diameter and dry weight of leaves, bulb and plant were significantly affected as a result of treatments comparing with the control. In this respect, T6 exhibited the highest values of germination percentage, plant length, dry weight of leaves, bulb and plant, also, nick and bulb diameter (in the second season only). Moreover, T5 exhibited the highest values of number of leaves in the two seasons. However, the lowest values of germination percentage, plant length, number of leaves/ plants, nick and bulb diameter, as well as dry weight of leaves, bulb and plant was noticed by the control. Similar results were reported by Rahman *et al.* (2006), Ahmed and Hemada (2012) as well as, Samy *et al.* (2014) on potato.

 Table 1. Effect of planting date on germination%, plant length, leaf number, neck and bulb diameter, bulbing ratio and dry weigh of garlic plant in 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons.

Treatmonte	Germination	Plant	Leaf number	Neck	Bulb	Bulbing	Leaves	Bulb	Plant
Treatments	%	length (cm.)	/ plant	diameter (cm.)	diameter (cm.)	ratio	D.W (gm.)	D.W (gm.)	D.W (gm.)
2016/2017 season									
D1	81.89	68.61	11.26	1.41	4.58	0.32	10.78	12.23	23.01
D2	92.78	76.92	11.89	1.76	4.42	0.40	15.16	15.18	30.33
D3	95.25	71.39	10.28	1.65	4.78	0.34	14.15	12.82	26.97
D4	95.00	68.61	9.56	1.33	4.42	0.30	11.66	11.92	23.58
L.S.D. 5%	2.03	1.27	0.73	0.13	N.S.	0.05	0.97	0.63	1.36
				2017/2018 se	eason				
D1	76.96	70.42	11.25	1.54	5.36	0.29	12.11	14.96	27.07
D2	79.93	72.89	12.11	1.56	5.27	0.30	12.39	15.98	28.36
D3	95.82	61.72	10.75	1.25	5.41	0.23	10.57	15.97	26.54
D4	90.74	65.50	10.44	1.28	5.53	0.23	11.16	15.36	26.52
L.S.D. 5%	1.98	2.92	0.57	0.06	N.S.	0.02	0.74	N.S.	1.25

D1:1<sup>st</sup> Sep., D2: 15<sup>th</sup> Sep., D3: 1<sup>st</sup> Oct., D4: 15<sup>th</sup> Oct.

 Table 2. Effect of some pre-planting treatments on germination%, plant length, leaf number, neck and bulb diameter, bulbing ratio and dry weigh of garlic plant in 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons.

Treatments	Germination	Plant	Leaf number	Neck diameter	Bulb	Bulbing	Leaves	Bulb	Plant
	%	length (cm.)	/ plant	(cm.)	diameter (cm.)	ratio	D.W (gm.)	D.W(gm.)	D.W (gm.)
				2016/2017 seas	son				
T1	84.93	65.17	9.63	1.34	3.93	0.34	11.36	10.09	21.45
T2	91.79	70.83	10.67	1.53	4.36	0.36	13.50	12.15	25.64
T3	91.07	70.38	10.39	1.53	4.62	0.33	12.32	12.41	24.72
T4	90.99	74.25	11.58	1.64	4.94	0.33	13.62	13.46	27.09
T5	94.11	72.00	11.58	1.61	4.85	0.33	12.83	14.99	27.82
T6	94.49	75.67	10.63	1.58	4.61	0.34	14.01	15.12	29.12
L.S.D. 5%	1.53	1.62	0.80	0.15	0.31	N.S.	1.05	0.47	1.10
				2017/2018 seas	son				
T1	76.11	62.96	10.79	1.25	4.77	0.26	9.96	12.50	22.46
T2	85.75	65.67	11.33	1.40	5.43	0.26	11.63	14.74	26.37
T3	89.25	67.17	10.88	1.35	5.35	0.26	10.49	15.82	26.30
T4	87.00	67.29	11.13	1.40	5.54	0.25	11.78	16.38	28.16
T5	87.33	70.96	11.42	1.49	5.48	0.27	12.55	16.49	29.04
T6	89.72	71.75	11.29	1.58	5.78	0.27	12.92	17.48	30.41
L.S.D. 5%	1.77	2.07	0.39	0.14	0.28	N.S.	0.81	0.71	0.99

T1: the control, T2: soaking garlic cloves (SGC) in water for 24 hours, T3: SGC in 5 ppm GA<sub>3</sub> for 24 h, T4: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss for one day, T5: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss for two days and T6: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss until root initiation.

Data in Table 3 present the interaction between planting dates and pre-planting treatments showed significant effects on germination percentage, plant length, number of leaves per plant, neck diameter, bulbing ratio and dry weight of leaves, bulb and plant except number of leaves in the first season, nick diameter and bulbing ratio in the second season. However, bulb diameter in the two seasons recorded insignificant effect. D2 with T6 gave the highest dry weight of leaves, bulb and plant. Also, D2 with T4 and D2 with T2 recorded the highest values of plant length in the first and second seasons, respectively. Furthermore, D4 with T6 and D3 with T3 recorded the highest values of germination percentage, in the first and second seasons, respectively. Conversely, D1 with T1 recorded the lowest germination percentage in the first season. Moreover, D2 with T5 resulted the highest values of number of leaves in the second season. While D1 with T1 (control) and D4 with T1 recorded the lowest values of dry weight of leaves, bulb and plant in the first and second seasons, respectively.

#### 2- Yield and its components

Data in Tables 4 show significant differences between the planting dates in total yield, bulb diameter, bulb weight, cloves number/bulb and clove weight. In this respect, the highest yield was resulted from D2 followed by D3 in the two seasons. While the lowest values of yield obtained from D1 in both seasons. The second planting date (D2) gave significant increases of total yield over the first planting date (D1) by 53.3% and 48.4% in the first and second seasons, respectively. In the same respect, D2 followed by D3 gave the highest values of bulb diameter, bulb weight, cloves number/ bulb in the first and second seasons. However, D1 result the highest values of clove weight in the two seasons. On the contrary, D4 gave the lowest significant values of bulb diameter as well as bulb

and clove weight in first season only. Also, D1 gave the lowest values of cloves number/ bulb in the two seasons and bulb weight (in second seasons). D2 gave the lowest values of clove weight (in second season only). These results may be due to that earlier planting, *i.e.*, Sep. 15<sup>th</sup> permitted adequate growth development of plant and thereby resulted in high yield with a better quality. The results of total yield is in agreement with those of Maksoud *et al.* (1983), El-Zohiri and Farag (2014), Youssef and Tony (2014) and Hassan *et al.* (2016). Additionally, Rahman *et al.* (2004) found that the yield decreased gradually with the late planting.

Table 3. Effect of interaction between planting dates and some pre-planting treatments on germination%, plant length, leaf number, neck and bulb diameter, bulbing ratio and dry weigh of garlic plant in 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons

	20	<u>C : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :</u>					D II '	T		Dlamt
Treat	ments	Germination	Plant	Leaf number	Neck	Bulb	Buibing	Leaves		Plant
		%	length (cm.)	/ plant	diameter (cm.)	diameter (cm.)	ratio	D.W (gm.)	D.W (gm.)	D.W (gm.)
					2016/2017 seas	son				
T1 T2	T1	74.17	67.67	11.00	1.63	3.93	0.43	9.44	9.67	19.11
	T2	87.50	67.33	10.67	1.40	4.50	0.31	11.40	11.27	22.67
D1	Т3	76.67	63.33	10.23	1.27	4.30	0.31	9.66	12.47	22.13
DI	T4	78.33	70.67	12.67	1.37	5.03	0.27	10.76	13.72	24.48
	T5	87.50	69.00	12.67	1.37	5.03	0.27	11.44	14.06	25.49
	T6	87.17	73.67	10.33	1.43	4.70	0.30	11.99	12.18	24.17
	T1	88.89	69.67	10.67	1.65	3.94	0.42	13.11	9.83	22.94
	T2	90.00	81.00	12.33	1.75	3.91	0.46	14.45	15.60	30.05
Da	T3	94.45	71.20	11.00	1.54	4.30	0.36	14.09	12.33	26.42
D2	T4	94.45	86.00	12.67	2.00	4.80	0.42	16.09	16.18	32.27
	T5	94.45	75.67	13.00	1.84	4.79	0.38	16.14	17.47	33.61
	T6	94.44	78.00	11.67	1.77	4.77	0.37	17.06	19.63	36.69
	T1	90.00	62.67	8.83	1.16	3 95	0.29	12.39	10.48	22.87
	T2	94.67	72.00	11.00	1.71	4.72	0.36	16.93	11.13	28.06
-	T3	95.83	74 33	10.00	2.03	5 46	0.37	14 28	12.75	27.03
D3	T4	95.83	72.67	10.67	1.69	5.07	0.33	14.20	11.56	25.80
	T5	97.50	70.67	11.00	1.69	4 89	0.35	12.84	14.61	27.00
	T6	97.67	76.00	10.17	1.59	4 59	0.35	14.25	16.37	30.62
	T1	86.67	60.67	8.00	0.90	3.90	0.33	10.50	10.37	20.87
	T2	95.00	63.00	8.67	1.27	4 30	0.25	11.20	10.57	20.07
	T2	07 33	72.67	10.33	1.27	4.30	0.30	11.20	12.07	23.30
D4	T4	05.22	67.67	10.33	1.27	4.43	0.29	12.40	12.07	25.50
	14 T5	93.33	72.67	0.67	1.50	4.85	0.31	10.02	12.39	23.79
	13 T6	97.00	72.07	9.07	1.55	4.70	0.52	10.92	13.03	24.74
LCD	10	90.07	/3.00	10.55 N.C	0.21	4.57	0.50	2.14	12.20	23.01
L.S.D.	3%0	3.44	3.22	N.S.	0.51	IN.5.	0.08	2.14	1.07	2.42
	т1	70.56	(( 0)	11 17	201//2018 seas	5 1 7	0.22	10.19	12 21	22.20
	11	/0.56	00.83	11.17	1.03	5.17	0.32	10.18	15.21	23.39
	12	/2.56	/0.50	12.17	1.57	5.50	0.28	12.42	15.29	27.71
D1	13	/8.89	69.67	11.00	1.37	5.27	0.26	11.62	15.79	27.41
	14	/6.44	69.83	10.17	1.50	5.40	0.28	11.91	14.92	26.83
	15	80.00	/2.1/	11.6/	1.57	5.43	0.29	14.38	16.40	30.78
	16	83.33	/3.50	11.33	1.63	5.37	0.30	12.12	14.17	26.29
	TI	68.33	/0.6/	11.33	1.20	4.35	0.28	9.99	11.66	21.65
	12	77.22	75.67	11.67	1.63	5.50	0.30	13.11	14.60	27.71
D2	13	87.44	72.00	11.33	1.57	5.17	0.30	10.31	15.09	25.40
02	T4	77.22	71.00	13.00	1.60	5.52	0.29	12.32	18.73	31.05
	T5	83.22	73.67	13.33	1.65	5.37	0.31	12.85	16.87	29.72
	T6	86.11	74.33	12.00	1.73	5.72	0.30	15.74	18.92	34.67
	T1	88.33	54.67	10.33	1.03	4.78	0.22	10.19	13.69	23.88
	T2	97.67	54.00	10.83	1.07	5.18	0.21	10.47	14.69	25.16
D3	Т3	98.44	63.67	11.00	1.23	5.28	0.23	9.85	15.82	25.67
DS	T4	97.67	64.17	11.00	1.33	5.63	0.24	11.91	16.01	27.92
	T5	95.56	66.50	10.50	1.40	5.47	0.25	10.16	16.44	26.60
	T6	97.22	67.33	10.83	1.43	6.12	0.23	10.83	19.17	30.00
	T1	77.22	59.67	10.33	1.13	4.77	0.24	9.50	11.42	20.92
	T2	95.56	62.50	10.67	1.32	5.55	0.24	10.53	14.39	24.92
D4	T3	92.22	63.33	10.17	1.23	5.67	0.22	10.17	16.57	26.74
D4	T4	96.67	64.17	10.33	1.17	5.62	0.21	11.00	15.85	26.85
	T5	90.56	71.50	10.17	1.33	5.63	0.24	12.79	16.25	29.04
	T6	92.22	71.83	11.00	1.50	5.92	0.25	13.00	17.67	30.67
L.S.D.	5%	3.78	4.75	0.92	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	1.65	1.55	2.19

D1:1<sup>st</sup> Sep., D2: 15<sup>th</sup> Sep., D3: 1<sup>st</sup> Oct., D4: 15<sup>th</sup> Oct., T1: the control, T2: soaking garlic cloves (SGC) in water for 24 hours, T3: SGC in 5 ppm GA<sub>3</sub> for 24 h, T4: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss for one day, T5: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss for two days and T6: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss until root initiation.

With respect to the effect of pre-planting treatments in Table 5, results indicate that, total yield, bulb diameter, bulb weight and cloves number/ bulb were significantly affected as

a result of treatments comparing with the control. The highest values of total yield, bulb diameter and bulb weight in the both seasons were obtained from T6. Also, T5 and T3 scored the

highest cloves number/ bulb in the first and second seasons, respectively. On the contrary, the lowest values of total yield, bulb diameter, bulb weight, cloves number/ bulb (in the first season only) and clove weight (in the second season only) were obtained from T1 (control). Soaking garlic cloves in water for 24 h. and then placing in moist peat-moss until root initiation (T6) gave significant increases of total yield over the control by 18% and 32.1% in the first and second seasons, respectively. The increases occurred on yield and its components might be attributed to the increase in vegetative growth parameters. These results agree with Ahmed and Hemada (2012) on garlic and Samy *et al.* (2014) on potato.

 Table 4. Effect of planting date on total yield and its components of garlic plant in 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons

2017/2018 seasons.								
Treatments	Total yield at harvest (ton/fed.)	Bulb diameter (cm.)	Bulb weight (gm.)	No. of cloves/ bulb	Clove weight (gm.)			
	201	6/2017 seas	on					
D1	5.041	5.40	43.96	11.00	4.04			
D2	7.725	5.52	56.88	15.44	3.76			
D3	6.811	5.45	52.15	15.14	3.50			
D4	5.317	4.63	41.04	14.06	2.97			
L.S.D. 5%	0.363	0.27	2.18	0.88	0.41			
-	201	7/2018 seas	on					
D1	5.042	5.46	49.74	10.91	4.63			
D2	7.481	5.77	59.49	17.61	3.39			
D3	7.137	5.52	56.27	15.52	3.64			
D4	6.730	5.41	53.72	14.83	3.77			
L.S.D. 5%	0.350	0.18	3.37	0.98	0.35			
D1:1 <sup>st</sup> Sep., D2: 15 <sup>th</sup> Sep., D3: 1 <sup>st</sup> Oct., D4: 15 <sup>th</sup> Oct.								

Table 5. Effect of some pre-planting treatments on total yield and its components of garlic plant in 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons.

-0.	T-4-1	D11	DII.	NLC	Class
	I otal yleid	Buid	Buib	NO. 01	Clove
Treatments	at harvest	diameter	weight	cloves/	weight
	(ton/fed.)	(cm)	(gm.)	bulb	(gm.)
	20	16/2017 sea	ason		
T1	5.721	4.96	42.46	12.33	3.50
T2	6.069	5.13	47.26	12.79	3.84
T3	6.422	5.23	51.33	14.42	3.63
T4	6.103	5.37	48.63	14.17	3.46
T5	6.275	5.34	49.43	14.92	3.46
T6	6.750	5.47	51.93	14.83	3.52
L.S.D. 5%	0.444	0.23	2.20	1.20	N.S.
	20	17/2018 sea	ason		
T1	6.061	5.05	43.45	14.86	2.98
T2	6.699	5.51	51.21	14.61	3.65
T3	6.252	5.57	56.32	16.07	3.55
T4	6.479	5.62	58.08	14.80	4.03
T5	6.084	5.61	56.78	14.64	3.94
T6	8.012	5.88	62.99	13.32	4.99
L.S.D. 5%	0.367	0.17	2.59	0.93	0.38

T1: the control, T2: soaking garlic cloves (SGC) in water for 24 hours, T3: SGC in 5 ppm GA<sub>3</sub> for 24 h, T4: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss for one day, T5: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss for two days and T6: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss until root initiation.

Table (6) present the effect of the interaction between planting dates and pre-planting treatments showed significant effects on total yield, bulb diameter, bulb weight, cloves number per bulb and clove weight. Results indicate that, D2 with T3 and D2 with T4 gave the heights values of total yield in the first and second seasons, respectively. Also, D2 with T6 gave the heights values of bulb diameter and bulb weight (in the second season) and D2 with T3 gave the heights bulb weight (in the first season). D2 with T5 and D2 with T3 gave the heights cloves number/ bulb in the first and second seasons, respectively. Conversely, the lowest values of total yield were obtained from D1 with T4 and D1 with T3 in the first and second seasons, respectively. Also, the lower values of bulb diameter were obtained from D4 with T2 and from T1 with all four planting dates in the first and second seasons, respectively. However, the lowest values of bulb weight were obtained from D4 with T1 or T2 and from T1 with any of the first three planting date in the first and second seasons, respectively. Furthermore, the lower values of cloves number per bulb were obtained from D1 with all six pre-planting treatments in both seasons. The lowest values of clove weight were obtained from D4 with T2 and D2 with T1 in the first and the second seasons, respectively.

Table 6. Effect of interaction between planting datesand some pre-planting treatments on totalyield and its components of garlic plant in2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons.

2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons.						
Treatments		Total yield at	Bulb	Bulb	No. of	Clove
		harvest	diameter	weight	cloves/	weight
		(ton/fed.)	(cm.)	(gm.)	bulb	(gm.)
		2016	5/2017 seas	on		
	T1	4.965	5.22	43.82	10.33	4.25
D1	T2	4 568	5.18	46.63	10.33	4 55
	T3	4 271	5.28	48.00	11 33	4 26
	T4	4 200	5.30	39.88	11.55	3 43
	T5	4.200	5.30	42 10	10.22	J. <del>4</del> J 4 16
	13 T6	4.040	5.45	42.19	12.00	4.10
	10 T1	/.000	6.00	43.24	14.00	3.02
		6.598	5.02	43.50	14.33	3.06
	12	7.832	5.53	56.00	11.33	4.96
D2	13	8.559	5.72	65.92	17.33	3.80
02	T4	8.064	5.70	62.17	15.67	3.97
	T5	7.296	5.78	51.33	18.33	2.80
	T6	8.000	5.37	62.33	15.67	3.98
	T1	5.072	5.00	47.50	13.83	3.46
	T2	5.909	5.60	51.00	15.33	3.33
<b>D</b> 2	Т3	7.967	5.40	50.33	13.00	3.90
D3	T4	7 724	5 73	48.92	16 50	3 01
	T5	7 720	5 53	55.67	14 50	3.91
	T6	6 473	5.43	59.48	17.67	3 38
	T1	6 250	4.60	25.00	10.82	2 22
	T2	5.065	4.00	25 41	10.05	2.23
	12	3.903	4.20	41.00	14.17	2.32
D4	13	4.891	4.55	41.08	10.00	2.37
	14	4.424	4.75	43.57	12.83	3.41
	15	5.445	4.60	48.53	16.50	2.97
	16	4.925	5.08	42.67	14.00	3.09
L.S.L	<b>)</b> . 5%	0.86	0.50	4.56	2.35	0.70
		2017	7/2018 seas	on		
	T1	5.879	5.03	38.70	11.33	3.44
	T2	4.415	5.43	48.14	9.89	4.90
DI	T3	3.698	5.45	49.12	12.00	4.10
DI	T4	4.434	5.63	52.37	11.22	4.69
	T5	4.517	5.59	52.02	11.41	4.59
	T6	7.307	5.62	58.08	9.63	6.03
	T1	6.807	4 89	43.60	17.41	2 51
	T2	7 763	5.93	59.12	18.04	3 29
	T2	6 6 9 2	5 70	63.02	18 15	3.47
D2	T4	8 700	5.86	62 76	17 20	2.60
	14 T5	6.709	5.80	60.04	16.50	2.67
	13	0.332	5.07	00.04	10.39	2.07
	10	8.384	6.39	00.57	18.15	3.07
		6.320	5.24	43.63	15.07	2.90
	12	7.265	5.13	44.88	14.22	3.17
D3	13	6.715	5.57	58.02	16.89	3.44
00	Τ4	6.957	5.57	63.78	17.19	3.74
	T5	7.314	5.67	61.64	15.44	4.01
	T6	8.253	5.91	65.64	14.30	4.60
	T1	5.236	5.03	47.85	15.63	3.06
	T2	7.352	5.56	52.71	16.29	3.24
D4	T3	7.902	5.58	55.11	17.26	3.19
D4	T4	5.815	5.41	52.39	13.48	3.99
	T5	5.973	5.30	52.60	15.11	3.50
	T6	8.103	5.61	61.68	11.22	5.63
LSD	5%	0.755	0.35	5 78	1.96	0.78
	~ / 0	0.,00	0.00	2.70	1.70	0.70

D1:1<sup>st</sup> Sep., D2: 15<sup>th</sup> Sep., D3: 1<sup>st</sup> Oct., D4: 15<sup>th</sup> Oct., T1: the control, T2: soaking garlic cloves (SGC) in water for 24 hours, T3: SGC in 5 ppm GA<sub>3</sub> for 24 h, T4: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss for one day, T5: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss for two days and T6: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss until root initiation.

#### 3- Chemical content of garlic bulbs

Data in Table  $\overline{7}$  showed significant differences between planting dates in total carbohydrates, nitrogen and protein in dry matter of the bulbs, while planting dates had no significant effect on phosphorus percentage. D4 scored the highest values of total carbohydrates in both seasons. However, the lowest values of total carbohydrates were noticed by D2 in both seasons. The first planting date (D1) gave highest level of nitrogen and crude protein in dry matter of garlic bulbs in both seasons of the trial.

Table 7. Effect of planting date on chemical content of garlic bulbs in 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons.

5				010 500500050
Trootmonte	Carbohydrates	Ν	Р	Crude
Treatments	(gm./100 gm. d.w.)	(%)	(%)	protein (%)
	2016/2017	season		
D1	24.86	2.32	0.070	14.49
D2	19.49	2.23	0.070	13.91
D3	25.92	2.16	0.070	13.52
D4	33.15	2.09	0.070	13.09
L.S.D. 5%	1.55	0.06	N.S.	0.37
	2017/2018	season		
D1	24.47	2.35	0.070	14.70
D2	20.62	2.16	0.070	13.52
D3	25.56	2.13	0.070	13.29
D4	32.08	2.11	0.070	13.22
L.S.D. 5%	0.88	0.06	N.S.	0.39
	and the second s	th	-	

D1:1<sup>st</sup> Sep., D2: 15<sup>th</sup> Sep., D3: 1<sup>st</sup> Oct., D4: 15<sup>th</sup> Oct.

Regarding the effect of pre-planting treatments in Table 8, results indicate that, it was found all treatments especially T6 increased total carbohydrates in bulb, as well as, T5 increased nitrogen and crude protein in bulb. However, the lowest values of total carbohydrates were resulted from T1. Also, the lowest level of nitrogen and crude protein were resulted from T1 in the first season and from T6 in second season. On the other hand, phosphorus content was not significantly affected by pre-planting treatments. In this respect, El-Shabasi *et al.* (2007) stated that GA<sub>3</sub> increased total carbohydrates percentage in foliage of strawberry plants while GA<sub>3</sub> did not affect nitrogen and phosphorus and potassium content.

Table 8. Effect of some pre-planting treatments on chemical content of garlic bulbs in 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons.

and 2017/2018 seasons.							
Treatments	Carbohydrates	Ν	Р	Crude			
Treatments	(gm./100 gm. d.w.)	(%)	(%)	protein (%)			
	2016/2017	season					
T1	21.65	2.05	0.070	12.80			
T2	26.40	2.11	0.070	13.19			
T3	21.95	2.28	0.070	14.23			
T4	24.39	2.27	0.070	14.17			
T5	28.98	2.29	0.070	14.33			
T6	31.76	2.21	0.070	13.80			
L.S.D. 5%	1.52	0.06	N.S.	0.34			
	2017/2018	season					
T1	22.80	2.14	0.070	13.38			
T2	27.54	2.19	0.070	13.68			
T3	24.26	2.20	0.070	13.74			
T4	25.82	2.26	0.070	14.14			
T5	25.36	2.28	0.070	14.25			
T6	28.31	2.07	0.070	12.90			
L.S.D. 5%	1.29	0.05	N.S.	0.30			

T1: the control, T2: soaking garlic cloves (SGC) in water for 24 hours, T3: SGC in 5 ppm GA<sub>3</sub> for 24 h, T4: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss for one day, T5: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss for two days and T6: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss until root initiation.

The interaction between planting dates and preplanting treatments in Table (9) showed the highest values of total carbohydrates in bulb were resulted from D3 with T6 and D4 with T6 in the first and second seasons, respectively. The higher level of nitrogen % and crude protein in bulb were resulted from D1 with T4 and D1 with T5 in the first and second seasons, respectively. Contrary, the lower values of total carbohydrates in bulb were resulted from D1 with T1 and D3 with T1 in the first and second seasons, respectively. Also, the lower level of nitrogen % and crude protein were resulted from D4 with T4 and D3 with T6 in the first and second seasons, respectively. On the other hand, phosphorus percentage was not significantly affected by the interaction.

Table 9. Effect of interaction between planting dates and some pre-planting treatments on chemical content of garlic bulbs in 2016/2017 and 2017/2018 seasons.

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Treat	ments	Carbohydrates	N	P	Crude	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			(gm./100 gm. d.w.)	(%)	(%)	protein (%)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			2016/2017 s	eason			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T1	16.81	2.15	0.070	13.44	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T2	28.62	2.02	0.070	12.64	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	DI	T3	19.63	2.43	0.070	15.21	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	DI	Τ4	20.55	2.50	0.070	15.60	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Ť5	31.64	2 41	0.070	15.03	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Т6	31.01	$\frac{2.11}{2.41}$	0.070	15.03	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T1	18.28	1.02	0.070	11.07	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T2	10.50	1.92	0.009	11.7/	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12 T2	19.49	2.22	0.009	13.69	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D2	13	18.03	2.33	0.009	14.09	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		14	20.09	2.44	0.070	15.23	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		15	19.43	2.35	0.070	14.69	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		16	20.88	2.08	0.070	13.00	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T1	18.58	2.11	0.070	13.20	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T2	22.98	2.15	0.070	13.42	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D2	T3	19.57	2.16	0.069	13.52	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D3	T4	24.82	2.26	0.070	14.13	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T5	32.04	2.07	0.069	12.92	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T6	37.55	2.23	0.069	13.91	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T1	32.82	2.02	0.070	12.60	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T2	34 53	2.02	0.070	12.00	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T2	20.04	2.05	0.070	12.00	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D4	13 T4	29.94	2.10	0.070	13.40	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		14 T5	32.10	1.8/	0.070	11./1	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		13	32.82	2.33	0.070	14.09	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	LOD	16	36.70	2.12	0.070	13.26	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	L.S.D	0.5%	3.17	0.12	N.S.	0.72	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			2017/2018 s	eason			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T1	20.29	2.23	0.070	13.95	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T2	27.11	2.41	0.070	15.07	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	DI	T3	21.14	2.22	0.070	13.85	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	DI	T4	22.45	2.38	0.070	14.87	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T5	25.60	2.52	0.070	15.74	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T6	30.20	2.35	0.070	14.71	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T1	20.75	2.29	0.069	14 31	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T2	20.62	2 10	0.070	13.14	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T3	19.63	2 14	0.070	13.36	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D2	T4	26.13	2.14	0.070	13.42	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T5	17.03	2.13	0.070	13.42	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		15 T6	17.55	2.22	0.009	13.65	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T1	18.05	2.09	0.070	13.00	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		11	17.47	1.95	0.070	12.08	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		12	29.28	2.05	0.070	12.84	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D3	13	28.03	2.25	0.070	14.07	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		14	21.67	2.51	0.069	15.70	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		15	27.11	2.21	0.070	13.81	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T6	29.80	1.80	0.070	11.23	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T1	32.69	2.11	0.070	13.20	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		T2	33.15	2.19	0.070	13.66	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	D4	T3	28.23	2.19	0.070	13.66	
T5         30.79         2.18         0.070         13.60           T6         34.60         2.02         0.070         12.60           LSD 5%         2.51         0.11         N.S         0.67	D4	T4	33.02	2.01	0.069	12.56	
T6         34.60         2.02         0.070         12.60           LSD 5%         2.51         0.11         NS         0.67		T5	30.79	2.18	0.070	13.60	
LSD 5% 2.51 0.11 NS 0.67		ŤŐ	34 60	2.02	0.070	12.60	
	LSD	5%	2 51	0.11	NS	0.67	

D1:1<sup>st</sup> Sep., D2: 15<sup>th</sup> Sep., D3: 1<sup>st</sup> Oct., D4: 15<sup>th</sup> Oct., T1: the control, T2: soaking garlic cloves (SGC) in water for 24 hours, T3: SGC in 5 ppm GA3 for 24 h, T4: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss for one day, T5: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss for two days and T6: SGC in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss until root initiation. Finally, from the forgoing results and discussion, it could be concluded that planting date 15<sup>th</sup> September and soaking garlic cloves in water for 24 h and then placing in moist peat-moss until root initiation improved vegetative growth, increasing total produce and bulb quality.

# REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (1990). Association of Official Analytical Chemists.Official Methods of Analysis (15<sup>th</sup> Ed.) Washington, D.C., U.S.A.
- Abd El –Latif, A.A.; N.M. Ibrahem and S.A. Ismaiel. (2015). Effect of vernalization periods and planting date on plant survival, earliness, and yield components of globe artichoke. J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., Vol. 6 (5):797 -808.
- Ahmed S. I. and A. A. Hemada. (2012). Effects of preplanting treatments of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) cloves on growth and yield under middle egypt conditions. J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., 3 (6): 971 - 986.
- El-Shabasi, M.S.S. (1988). Effect of some agricultural treatments on the productivity of garlic plants. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Zagazig Univ. Egypt.
- El-Shabasi, M.S.S., M.E. Ragab, I.I. El-Oksh and Y.M.M. Osman. (2007). Response of strawberry plants to some growth regulators. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 32(8): 6719-6733.
- El-Zohiri, S.S.M and A.A. Farag. (2014). Relation planting date, cultivars and growing degree-days on growth, yield and quality of garlic, Middle East .j. of Agric Res, 3(4):1169-1183.
- Hassan , G.H, M.A. El-Shal, KH.A. Soliman and I.M Ghoneim. (2016). Effect of planting dates and periods of Curing on quantitative, qualitative characteristics and storage ability of two garlic cultivars. J. Agric. Res. Kafr El-Sheikh Univ., 42 (3) 401-414.
- Ibrahim, E.M.R. (2010). Environmental and nutritional safe production of green sprouts in house. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Egypt, 100 p.

- James, C.S. (1995). Analytical chemistry of foods.Blokie Academic &Proffessional, London.
- Maksoud. M. A., M. A. Beheidi, Sherifa foda, Ahmed El-Gizawi and El-Sayed M. Taha. (1983). Evaluation of garlic cultivars and date of planting on performance. Egypt . J. Hort .10 (2): 121-128.
- Moon, W. and B.Y. Lee. (1980). Influence of short-day treatment on the growth and levels of endogenous growth substances in garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) plants. J. Korean Soc. Hort. Sci., 21: 109–118.
- Rahim, M.A. and R. Fordham. (1988). Effect of storage temperature on the initiation and development of garlic cloves (*Allium sativum* L.). Sci. Hort., 37: 25–38.
- Rahman, M.H., M.S. Haque, M.A. Karim and M. Ahmed (2006). Effects of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) on breaking dormancy in garlic (*Allium sativum* L.). International J. of Agriculture & Biology 8 ((1) 63-65.
- Rahman, S., A. Islam, S. Haque and Abdul-Karim. (2004).Effects of planting date and gibberellic acid on the growth and yield of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.).Asian J. of Plant Sciences, 3(3): 344-352.
- Samy, M.M., A.M. H. Abd El Aal and M.M. Khalil. (2014). The Impact of Some Treatments on Improving Seed potato Production in the Summer Season. Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research, 3(4): 1065-1073.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W. G.Cochran. (1980). Statistical Method.7<sup>th</sup>Ed., Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames Iowa, USA.
- Youssef, N. S. and Tony, H. S.H. (2014). Influence of Different Planting Date on the Performance of New Garlic Genotypes Grown Under El-Minia Governorate Conditions. Nature and Science. 12 (5) 112-119.

تأثير ميعاد الزراعة وبعض معاملات ما قبل الزراعة على النمو والمحصول فى الثوم محمد صفوت سعد الشباسى، ياسر محمد محمد عثمان و سيد منصور رزق قسم بحوث البطاطس والخضر خضرية التكاثر ـ معهد بحوث البساتين ـ مركز البحوث الزراعية ـ الجيزة ـ مصر

أجريت هذه التجربة الحالية فى الموسمين الزراعيين 2017/2016 و2018/2017 بمزرعة بحوث الخضر بقها بمحافظة القليوبية. يهدف هذا البحث إلى دراسة تأثير مواعد الزراعة (1- سبتمبر، 15 - سبتمبر، 1- أكتوبر ، 15- أكتوبر) وسنة معاملات ما قبل الزراعة (نقع فصوص الثوم في الماء لمدة 24 ساعة ، نقع الفصوص في GA<sub>3</sub> بتركيز 5 جزء فى المليون لمدة 24 ساعة ، نقع فصوص الثوم في الماء لمدة 24 ساعة ثم الوضع في البيت موس الرطب لمدة يوم واحد ، نقع فصوص الثوم في الماء لمدة 24 ساعة ثم الوضع في البيت موس الرطب لمدة يومين، نقع فصوص الثوم في الماء لمدة 24 ساعة ثم الوضع في البيت موس الرطب لحين ظهور مبادئ الجذور والكنترول بدون نقع فى الماء على النمو والمحصول ومكوناته والمركبات الكيميائية فى الابصال. أظهرت النتائج أن الزراعة فى 15 - سبتمبر أنتجت أعلى قيماً لصفات طول على النمو والمحصول ومكوناته والمركبات الكيميائية فى الابصال. أظهرت النتائج أن الزراعة فى 15 - سبتمبر أنتجت أعلى قيماً لصفات طول على النبات، وعدد الأوراق / النبات، وقطر العنق، ونسبة التبصيل وأيضا الوزن الجاف للأوراق، والبصلة والنبات. كذلك أنتج ميعاد الزراعة هذا أعلى محصول كلى عند الحصاد وأعلى قيم لقطر ووزن البصلة وعدد الفصوص فى البصلة فى عينة المحصول بعد التروتين فى المادة الجابي الزراعة الأخير أعلى قيم للكربو هيدرات الكلية. بينما أظهر ميعاد الزراعة الأول (أول سبتمبر) أعلى مستوى للنتر وجين والبروتين فى المادة الجافة للأرصال. أظهرت معاملة نقع فصوص الثوم في الماء لمدة 24 ساعة ثم الوضع في البيت موس الرطب لحين ظهور مبادئ الجذور أعلى قيم الزراعة الأخير أعلى قيم للكربو هيدرات الكلية. بينما أظهر ميعاد الزراعة الأول (أول سبتمبر) أعلى مستوى للنتر وجين والبروتين فى المادة الجافة للأسبة المئوية للإنبات، طول النبات وأيضا الوزن الجاف للأوراق، البصلة فى عينة المحصول بعد العرار التروبين فى المادة الجافي. للأبصال. أظهرت معاملة نقع فصوص الثوم في الماء لمدة 24 ساعة ثم الوضع في البيت موس الرطب لحين ظهور مبادئ الجذور أعلى قيم للأبصال. أظهرت معاملة نقع فصوص الثوم في الماء لمدة 24 ساعة ثم الوضع في البيت موس الرطب لحين ظهور مبادئ الجذور أعلى قطر للنسبة المئوية للإنبات، طول النبات وأيضا الوزن الجاف للأول (أول سبتمبر) أعلى ملماة أعلى القيم للمحصول الكلي، قطر البسلة، وزن البصلة والكربو هيدرات الكلي في المانتائج إلى أ