

## **Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of bacterial pathogens isolated from ventilator associated pneumonia (VAP) patients**

**Fathy Serry, Hemat K. Abdullatif, Amira M. El-Ganiny, Fatma Al-zahraa A. Yehia\***

Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt

\* Corresponding author e-mail: [Zahra.ahmed.yehia@gmail.com](mailto:Zahra.ahmed.yehia@gmail.com)

### **ABSTRACT**

According to World Health Organization (WHO), lower respiratory tract infections are the third most common cause of death worldwide. These infections are mainly caused by multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria. Between 8 - 28% of patients receiving mechanical ventilation are affected by ventilator associated pneumonia (VAP).

The aim of current study was to characterize bacteria isolated from VAP patients and to evaluate the effectiveness of some antimicrobial agents. Clinical bacterial isolates were recovered from patients having pneumonia associated with mechanical ventilation from intensive care units of Zagazig University Hospital and identified using conventional microbiological methods. Antimicrobial susceptibility profile of these isolates against various antimicrobials was tested by the disk diffusion method.

A total of 233 isolates were recovered from 153 samples of endo-tracheal aspirates, comprising 203(87.1%) Gram negative and 30 (12.9%) Gram positive bacteria. The major isolates were *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (36.9%), *Escherichia coli* (21.04%), *Acinetobacter baumannii* (14.95%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (14.16%) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (12.02%), coagulase negative *Staphylococcus spp* (0.86%), *Serratia mercerscens* (0.43%). The isolates were highly resistant to antimicrobial agents. Two hundreds and twelve isolates (90.9%) were MDR and one hundred seventy two isolates (73.8%) were extensively drug resistant (XDR). Our study recommends that antimicrobial susceptibility should be performed for bacteria isolated from VAP patients before antimicrobial therapy to avoid emergence of MDR strains.

**Key words:** Ventilator associated pneumonia, antimicrobial susceptibility, multidrug resistance , extensively drug resistant .

### **INTRODUCTION**

Pneumonia is defined as inflammation and consolidation of lung tissue due to an infectious agent (Marrie, 1994; Jadavji *et al.*, 1997). The clinical symptoms and signs of pneumonia are nonspecific and variable (Jadavji *et al.*, 1997, Tan *et al.*, 1998). Hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP) is the second most common nosocomial infection after urinary tract infections. HAP is defined as pneumonia that occurs 48 hours or more after admission to hospital, which was not found at the time of admission (Niederman, 1996; Tablan *et al.*, 2003).

The incidence of HAP ranges from 5 to 15 cases per 1000 hospital admissions (Louie *et al.*, 1991; Everts *et al.*, 2000; Sopena and Sabria, 2005). In case of patients admitted to an intensive care unit (ICU), HAP occurs in up to 25% of patients (Safdar *et al.*, 2005), with approximately 70 -80% of episodes occurring during mechanical ventilation (Esperatti *et al.*, 2005). In mechanically ventilated patients, local host defences are further reduced by the presence of an endotracheal tube which limits the effectiveness of the cough and produces mucociliary dysfunction. If the mucociliary clearance is slowed, respiratory tract mucus traps bacteria, which proliferate instead of

being removed, leading to colonization and infection (**Levine and Niederman, 1991**).

In developed countries, the concept HAP is well established, while in developing countries, the data are extremely sparse (**Allegranzi et al., 2001**). The pattern of pathogens causing HAP is characteristically different from that causing community-acquired pneumonia (CAP), with greater representation of Gram-negative bacteria such as *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and greater prevalence of multiple antibiotic resistance (**Murphy et al., 1994; Ireghu and Anwaal, 2001**).

The aim of current study was to characterize bacteria causing ventilator associated pneumonia in ICU in local area (Zagazig, Sharkia, Egypt) and to determine antimicrobial susceptibility status of bacteria isolated. In order to guide therapeutic options and to help in developing strategies to avoid spread of antimicrobial resistance .

## **MATERIALS and METHODS**

### **Bacteria isolation and identification**

A total of one hundred and fifty three clinical sputum specimens were collected from ventilator associated pneumonia patients of intensive care unit of Zagazig University Hospital over the period from January 2014 to April 2014 and from March 2015 to July 2015. The clinical samples were collected aseptically as endo-tracheal aspirates from ventilator associated pneumonia patients and transported to the microbiology laboratory. The specimens were cultured on nutrient agar, blood agar, Mac-Conkey agar and mannitol salt agar and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C. Isolated bacteria were identified using Gram staining and cultural characteristics and biochemical tests (**Collee et al., 1996**). The isolates were subjected to following biochemical tests indole production test, methyl red/Voges-Proskauer test (MR/VP), citrate utilization test, hydrogen sulphide production and

reaction on TSI agar, urease production test, motility test, catalase test, oxidase test, O/F test, pigment production test, growth on blood agar and coagulase test.

### **Antibiotic susceptibility testing**

Bacterial isolates were tested for their *in vitro* susceptibility against antimicrobial agents by disk diffusion method according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (**CLSI, 2012**). Disks of antibiotics were amikacin (AK, 30µg), aztreonam (AZM, 30µg), azithromycin (AZM, 15µg), carbenicillin (CAR, 100µg), cefepime (FEP, 30µg), cefotaxime (CTX, 30µg), ceftriaxone (CRO, 30 µg), ceftazidime (CAZ, 30µg), chloramphenicol (C, 30µg), ciprofloxacin (CIP, 5µg), clindamycin (DA, 10µg), colistin (CT, 25µg), erythromycin (E, 30µg), gentamicin (CN, 10µg), imipenem (IPM, 10µg), levofloxacin (LEV, 5µg), linezolid (LZD, 30 µg), meropenem (MEM, 10µg), ofloxacin (OFX, 5µg), piperacillin (PRL, 100µg), piperacillin/tazobactam (TZP, 110µg), sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (SXT, 1.25/23.27µg), tetracycline (TE, 30µg). The recommended antibiotics were used for each type of isolates and results were interpreted according to **CLSI (2012)**.

## **RESULTS**

### **Isolation and identification**

A total of 233 isolates were recovered from 153 clinical samples. Among the 233 isolates, 203 (87.1%) isolates were Gram negative bacteria and 30 (12.9%) isolates were Gram positive. Eighty three specimens (59.71%) showed poly-microbial infections, while 56 (40.29%) showed mono-microbial infection. One hundred seventy seven isolates (75.95%) were recovered from poly-microbial samples.

The bacterial isolates were identified according to **Koneman et al. (1997)**. The most common microorganisms isolated were *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (36.9%), *Escherichia coli* (21.04%), *Acinetobacter baumannii* (14.95%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

(14.16%) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (12.02%). Other microorganisms were coagulase negative *Staphylococcus* spp

(0.86%) and *Serratia marcescens* (0.43%) as shown in **table (1)**.

**Table(1) Distribution of specimens and types of microorganisms isolated from VAP patients**

Specimens	No (%)
No of specimens	153(100%)
Negative specimens	14 (9.15%)
Positive specimens	139( 90.85%)
Poly-microbial specimens	83/139(59.71%)
Mono-microbial specimens	56/139(40.29%)
Microorganism	No (%)
<b>Gram positive bacteria</b>	<b>30 (12.9%)</b>
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	28(12.02%)
Coagulase negative <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp	2(0.86%)
<b>Gram negative bacteria</b>	<b>203(87.01%)</b>
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	86(36.9%)
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	49(21.04%)
<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i>	34(14.59%)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	33(14.16%)
<i>Serratia marcescens</i>	1 (0.43%)

**Antibiotic susceptibility testing (AST)**

*K. pneumoniae* isolates demonstrated resistance to cefepime (94.19%), ceftraixone (97.68%) and aztreonam (93.02%).The results are shown in (**Table 2**). All *K. pneumoniae* isolates (100%) were multi drug resistant (MDR) and seventy eight isolates

(90.7%) were extensively drug resistant (XDR).

*E. coli* isolates showed high resistance to ceftraixone (97.96%) , aztreonam (95.92%) and cefepime (95.92%) (**Table 3**) .Fourty eight isolates(97.96%) were MDR and thirty one isolates(63.27%) were XDR.

**Table(2) Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates**

Antimicrobials agents	Susceptibility of <i>K. pneumoniae</i> isolates to antimicrobials					
	(R)		(I)		(S)	
	No	%	No	%	No	%
<b>CRO</b>	84	97.68%	0	0	2	2.32%
<b>FEP</b>	81	94.19%	2	2.32%	3	3.49%
<b>ATM</b>	80	93.02%	0	0	6	6.98%
<b>CIP</b>	78	90.7%	6	6.98%	2	2.32%
<b>TE</b>	78	90.7%	4	4.65%	4	4.65%
<b>SXT</b>	70	81.4%	1	1.16%	15	17.44%
<b>TZP</b>	66	76.74%	1	1.16%	19	22.9%
<b>CN</b>	58	67.44%	2	2.32%	26	30.23%
<b>AK</b>	51	59.3%	2	2.32%	33	38.37
<b>IMP</b>	42	48.8%	5	5.8%	39	45.34%

(CN) gentamicin ; (AK) amikacin ; (IMP) imipenem; (TZP) piperacillin /tazobactam ; (CRO) ceftraixone ; (ATM) aztreonam ;(FEP) cefepime; (SXT) sulfamethoxazole /trimethoprin ;(cip) ciprofloxacin ; (TE) tetracycline. (No) Number of isolates; (R) resistant; (I) intermediate; (S) sensitive.

All *Acinetobacter baumannii* isolates were resistant to ceftazidime, piperacillin, ofloxacin, meropenem, imipenem, ceftazidime, cefepime and levofloxacin, while they were sensitive to colistin (Table 4). All *A. baumannii* isolates (100%) were MDR and thirty one isolates (91.2%) were XDR.

All *Staphylococcus* spp demonstrated resistance to ceftazidime, cefotaxime and methicillin and sensitivity to linezolid (Table 6). Sixteen isolates (53%) were MDR and six isolates (21.4%) were XDR (Table 7).

Among *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, all isolates demonstrated resistance to ceftazidime and

**Table (3) Antimicrobial susceptibility of *E. coli* isolates**

Antimicrobial agents	Susceptibility of <i>E. coli</i> isolates to antimicrobials					
	(R)		(I)		(S)	
	No	%	No	%	No	%
<b>CRO</b>	48	97.96%	0	0	1	2.04%
<b>ATM</b>	47	95.92%	1	2.04%	1	2.04%
<b>FEP</b>	47	95.92%	0	0	2	4.08%
<b>TE</b>	45	91.84%	2	4.08%	2	4.08%
<b>CIP</b>	44	89.8%	2	4.08%	3	6.12%
<b>SXT</b>	39	79.95%	1	2.04%	9	18.37%
<b>CN</b>	26	53.06%	0	0	23	46.94%
<b>TZP</b>	13	26.53%	11	22.45%	25	51.02%
<b>AK</b>	5	10.2%	10	20.4%	34	69.39%
<b>IMP</b>	2	4.08%	3	6.12%	44	89.8%

(AK) amikacin ; (CN) gentamicin ; (IMP) imipenem ; (TZP) piperacillin / tazobactam ; (CRO) ceftazidime ; (FEP) cefepime ; (ATM) aztreonam ; (SXT)sulfamethoxazole /trimethoprim ; (CIP) ciprofloxacin ; (TE) tetracycline. (No) number of isolates; ; (R) resistant; (I) intermediate; (S) sensitive

**Table (4) Antimicrobial susceptibility of *A. baumannii* isolates to tested antibiotic.**

Antimicrobial agents	Susceptibility of <i>A. baumannii</i> to antimicrobials					
	(R)		(I)		(S)	
	No	%	No	%	No	%
<b>CAZ</b>	34	100%	0	0	0	0
<b>CRO</b>	34	100%	0	0	0	0
<b>FEP</b>	34	100%	0	0	0	0
<b>IMP</b>	34	100%	0	0	0	0
<b>LEV</b>	34	100%	0	0	0	0
<b>MEM</b>	34	100%	0	0	0	0
<b>OFX</b>	34	100%	0	0	0	0
<b>PRL</b>	34	100%	0	0	0	0
<b>Ak</b>	30	88.24%	2	5.89%	2	5.89%
<b>SXT</b>	23	67.65%	3	8.82%	8	23.53%
<b>CN</b>	17	50%	0	0	17	50%
<b>CT</b>	0	0	0	0	0	100%

(LEV) levofloxacin ; (SXT) sulfamethoxazole /trimethoprim ; (CN) gentamicin; (CRO) ceftazidime ; (FEP) cefepime ;(AK) amikacin ; (CAZ) ceftazidime ;(PRL)piperacillin ; (OFX) ofloxacin ; (MEM) meropenem ; (IMP) imipenem; (CT) colistin. (No) number of isolates, (R) resistant; (I) intermediate; (S) sensitive

**Table(5) Antimicrobial susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* isolates to tested antibiotics**

Antimicrobial agents	Susceptibility of <i>P. aeruginosa</i> isolates to antimicrobials					
	(R)		(I)		(S)	
	No	%	No	%	No	%
CAR	33	100%	0	0	0	0
CRO	33	100%	0	0	0	0
CAZ	29	87.89%	0	0	4	12.11%
SXT	26	78.79%	4	12.11%	3	9.09%
PRL	26	78.79%	3	9.09%	4	12.11%
CIP	26	78.79%	1	3.03%	6	18.18%
CN	26	78.79%	0	0	7	21.21%
AK	24	77.73%	4	12.12%	5	15.15%
C	24	77.73%	4	12.12%	5	15.15%
IMP	17	51.51%	3	9.09%	13	38.23%
TZP	15	45.45%	8	24.24%	10	30.3%

(AK) amikacin;(C) chloramphenicol; (CN) gentamicin; ; (SXT) sulfamethoxazole /trimethoprim; (CRO) ceftriaxone; (CAR) carbenicillin ; (CAZ) ceftazidime; (TZP) piperacillin /tazobactam ;(PRL)piperacillin; (IMP) imipenem; (cip) ciprofloxacin. (N) No of isolates; ; (R) resistant; (I) intermediate; (S) sensitive.

**Table(6) Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Staphylococcus spp* isolates**

Antimicrobial agents	Susceptibility of <i>Staph.spp</i> isolates to antimicrobials					
	(R)		(I)		(S)	
	No	%	No	%	No	%
ME	30	100%	0	0	0	0
CTX	21	70%	9	30%	0	0
CRO	21	70%	8	26.67%	1	3.33%
CIP	18	60%	2	6.67%	10	3.33%
AZM	18	60%	0	0	12	40%
E	18	60%	0	0	12	40%
CN	15	50%	0	0	15	50%
DA	11	36.67%	0	0	19	63.33%
C	11	36.67%	1	3.33%	18	60%
SXT	4	13.33%	2	6.67%	24	80%
VA	0	0	0	0	30	100%
LZD	0	0	0	0	30	100%

(SXT) sulfamethoxazole / trimethoprim ; (CRO) ceftriaxone ; (CTX) cefotaxime; (ME) methicillin; (VA) vancomycin ; (E) erythromycin ; (AZM) azithromycin ; (DA) clindamycin ; (CN) gentamicin; (LZD) linezolid; (CIP)ciprofloxacin; (C) chloramphenicol. (N) number of isolates; (R) resistant; (I) intermediate; (S) sensitive

**Table(7) Multi drug resistant (MDR) and extensively drug resistant (XDR) isolates**

Microrganisms	Total No of isolates	MDR No (%)	XDR No(%)
<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	86	86(100%)	78(90.7%)
<i>E. coli</i>	49	48(97.96%)	31(63.27%)
<i>A. baumannii</i>	34	34(100%)	31(91.2%)
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	33	28(84.84%)	26(78.78%)
<i>Staphylococcus spp</i>	30	16(53.3%)	6(20%)
Total	233	212(90.99%)	172(73.8%)



## Discussion

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is the most frequent intensive care unit (ICU)-acquired infection, occurring in 9–24% of patients intubated for longer than 48 hr (**Morehead and Pinto, 2000**). It is associated with increased morbidity, prolonged hospitalization, and increased healthcare costs (**Rello et al., 2002; Erbay et al., 2004**).

*Klebsiella pneumoniae* (36.9%) was the most frequently isolated microorganism in VAP patients followed by *Escherichia coli* (21.04%), *Acinetobacter baumannii* (14.95%), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (14.16%) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (12.02%). The results were similar to that of **Daef et al. (2016)**, who reported that Gram negative isolates were the most pathogens in VAP and that *Klebsiella* spp, was the predominant pathogen followed by *E. coli* then *Acinetobacter* spp.

While **Seweilam (2003)** reported *K. pneumoniae* (30.9%) as the most frequently isolated microorganism, the other microorganisms were found in a rate of 22.5% *P. aeruginosa*, 21.2% *Staph. aureus*, 12.8% *E.coli*, 9.8% *Proteus* spp and 2.8% *Citrobacter* spp. This variation in the type and percentage of etiological agent could be attributed to patients, units, hospitals or countries. The main epidemiological patterns may not only vary from unit to unit, but also in a given unit over the course of time and this is true for their associated susceptibility patterns (**Rello et al., 1993**).

*Acinetobacter baumannii* demonstrated frequency (14.95%) within isolates. According to **Costa et al. (2001)**, **Santucci et al. (2003)** and **Medina et al. (2007)** *A. baumannii* in patients in ICU is frequent and represented 14% up to 37% that was in agreement with our result. **Daef et al. (2016)** reported that *A. baumannii* represented by only (5.6%) which disagreed with shown results.

*Pseudomonas* spp were isolated at rate (14.6%). This was in accordance with **Tayel (2009)** who reported that frequency of *Pseudomonas* spp (14.2%). On the other hand

**Daef et al., (2016)** reported frequency of *Pseudomonas* spp was only (2.74%).

*Staphylococcus aureus* demonstrated frequency (12.02%). This result was in accordance to **Galal et al.(2016)** who reported frequency of *Staphylococcus aureus* (14.4%).

Regarding resistance profiles our results noted that the most common causative organisms are mostly multi drug resistant (MDR) pathogens and non MDR pathogens are less likely as a cause. These was in agreement with **Loscalzo et al. (2011)**.

The present study showed that gram negative bacteria had high resistance to many groups of antimicrobials as penicillins, cephalosporins and quinolones (50 to 100%). In agreement with this, **Ashour and ElSharif (2009)** reported high resistance to many groups of antibiotics in Egypt.

All Gram negative isolated pathogens had high resistance to cefotaxime, ceftazidime and ceftriaxone. These results suggest a high prevalence of extended spectrum B lactamase producing strains. Similar results were found by **Mukhopadhy et al. (2010)** as all the enterobacterial isolates in their study were ESBL producing.

All *A. baumannii* isolates were MDR while thirty one (91.2%) isolates were XDR. A study of **Varun et al. (2012)** reported that *Acinetobacter baumannii* isolates (100%) were multidrug resistant (MDR) that is, resistant to three or more class of antibiotics. The high rates of antimicrobial resistance identified in the present study is similar to that of **Daef and Elsherbiny (2012)**. They reported gram negative bacteria with high resistance (50 to 100%) to many groups of antimicrobials, as penicillins, cephalosporins, quinolones and aminoglycosides.

Resistance of gram positive organisms to macrolides (azithromycin and erythromycin) was 50 to 100%. This was compatible with what reported by **Ahmed et al. (2011)** that the resistance of gram positive bacteria to macrolides were 64.3 and 66.4%

Gram-positive isolates in the present study were highly resistant to penicillins and cephalosporins. These antibiotics are commonly prescribed empirically in the ICUs. Lower resistance was detected to chloramphenicol which may reflect the reduction in usage of it. This agreed with what reported by **Daef et al., (2016)**.

In conclusion VAP is a common and serious hospital acquired infection. For better management of VAP, our study recommends that periodic epidemiological investigation of the most encountered pathogens causing VAP and antimicrobial susceptibility test should be performed before antimicrobial therapy to help choosing the appropriate antibiotics and avoid emergence of MDR strains.

## References

- Ahmed J, Jan AH, Nawaz G, Khan M (2011). Epidemiology and antibiotic susceptibility of bacterial isolates from Northern Pakistan. *Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.* 5(28):4949-4955
- Allegranzi B, BagheriNejad S, Combescure C, Graafmans W, Attar H, Donaldson L, Pittet D. (2011). Burden of endemic health-care-associated infection in developing countries: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 377:228–41.
- Ashour HM, El-Sharif A. (2009). Species distribution and antimicrobial susceptibility of gram-negative aerobic bacteria in hospitalized cancer patients. *Journal of Translational Medicine.*;7:14.
- CLSI-Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2012): Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests; Approved Standard- 11th ed. CLSI document M02-A11. Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA.
- Collee, J. G., Miles, R. S., & Watt, B. (1996). Tests for identification of bacteria. *Mackie and McCartney practical medical microbiology*, 14, 131-49.
- Costa SSF, Newbaer M, Santos CR, Basso M, Soares I, Levin AS. (2001). Nosocomial pneumonia: importance of recognition of etiologic agents to define an appropriate initial empirical therapy. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents.*;17:147–150.
- Daef, E. A., Ahmed, E. H., Badawy, M., Omar, H. M., Mohamdien, H. A., Moktar, E. A. (2016). Role of bacteriological investigation of endotracheal aspirate in diagnosis of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, 10(28), 1072-1079.
- Daef EA, Elsherbiny NM (2012). Clinical and Microbiological Profile of Nosocomial Infections in Adult Intensive Care Units at Assiut University Hospitals, Egypt. *J. Am. Sci.* 8(12):1239-1250
- Erbay RH, Yalcin AN, Zencir M, Serin S, Atalay H (2004). Costs and risk factors for ventilator-associated pneumonia in a Turkish University Hospital's Intensive Care Unit: A case-control study. *BMC Pulmonary Medicine.* 4:3.
- Esperatti M, Ferrer M, Theessen A, Liapikou A, Valencia M, Saucedo LM, Zavala E, Welte T, Torres A. (2010). Nosocomial pneumonia in the intensive care unit acquired during mechanical ventilation or not. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*;182:1533–1539.
- Everts RJ, Murdoch DR, Chambers ST, Town GI, Withington SG, Martin IR, Epton MJ, Frampton C, Cheresky AY, Schousboe MI (2000). Nosocomial pneumonia in adult general medical and surgical patients at Christchurch Hospital. *N Z Med J.*;113:221–224.
- Galal YS, Youssef MRL, Ibrahiem SK. (2016). Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia: Incidence, Risk Factors and Outcome in Paediatric Intensive Care Units at Cairo University Hospital. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research.*;10(6):SC06-SC11.
- Iregbu KC, Anwaal U. (2007). Extended spectrum beta-lactamase-producing

- Klebsiella pneumoniae* septicaemia outbreak in the neonatal intensive care unit of a tertiary hospital in Nigeria. *Afr J Med Sci*; 36: 225–228.
- Jadavji T, Law B, Lebel MH, Kennedy WA, Gold R, Wang EE. (1997). A practical guide for the diagnosis and treatment of pediatric pneumonia. *Can Med Assoc J*;156:703-711.
- Koneman, E. W., Allen, S. D., Janda, W. M., Schreckenberger, P. C., & Winn Jr, W. C. (1997). The Enterobacteriaceae. Color atlas and textbook of diagnostic microbiology, 5th ed. Lippincott/The Williams & Wilkins Co., Philadelphia, Pa, 171-241
- Levine SA and Niederman MS. (1991).The impact of tracheal intubation on hosts defenses and risks for nosocomial pneumonia. *Clin Chest Med*; 12:523-543.
- Louie M, Dyck B, Parker S, Sekla L, Nicolle LE. (1991).Nosocomial pneumonia in a Canadian tertiary care center: a prospective surveillance study. *Infect Control HospEpidemiol.*;12:356–363.
- Loscalzo.S, S.F. Anthony, B. Eugene, L.K. Dennis, L.H. Stephen, L.L. Dan.(2010). Harrison’s Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Harrison’s Principles of Internal Medicine. 17 p.108.
- Marrie TJ. (1994) Community-acquired pneumonia.*Clin Infect Dis*;18:501-515.
- Medina J, Formento C, Pontet J, Curbelo A, Bazet C, Gerez J,Larrañaga E. (2007). Prospective study of risk factors for ventilator-associated pneumonia caused by *Acinetobacter* species.*Journal of Critical Care.*;22:18-26..
- Morehead RS, Pinto SJ. Ventilator-associated pneumonia.(2000);*Arch Intern Med* 160:1926–1936.
- Mukhopadhyaya C, Krishna S, Shenoy A, Prakashini K (2010). Clinical, radiological and microbiological corroboration to assess the role of endotracheal aspirate in diagnosing ventilator-associated pneumonia in an intensive care unit of a tertiary care hospital, India. 14th International Congress on Infectious Diseases (ICID).
- Murphy SA, Lowe B, Maghenda JK, Apollo JG.(1994). An outbreak of intravenous cannulae associated nosocomial septicaemia due to multidrug-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *East Afr Med J*; 71:271–272.
- Niederman MS. (1996). Guidelines for the management of respiratory infection: why do we need them, how should they be developed, and can they be useful *Med*;2:161–165
- Rello J, Ausina V, Ricart M, Castella J, Prats G. (1993). Impact of previous antimicrobial therapy on the etiology and outcome of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Chest*;104(4):1230–1235.
- Rello J, Ollendorf DA, Oster G, Vera-Llonch M, Bellm L, Redman R. (2002). Epidemiology and outcomes of ventilator-associated pneumonia in a large US database. *Chest* 122:2115–2121.
- Safdar N, Dezfulian C, Collard HR, Saint S.(2005).Clinical and economic consequences of ventilator-associated pneumonia: a systematic review. *Crit Care Med.*;33:2184–2193.
- Santucci SG, Gobara S, Santos CR, Fontana C, Levin AS.(2003).Infections in burn intensive care unit: experience of seven years. *J Hosp Infect.* Jan;53(1):6-13
- Seweilam, N.A.M., (2003).Ventilator associated pneumonia in Mansoura university hospitals: incidence, risk factors and control, M.Sc. Thesis in Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura University,
- Shalaby, M.M. (2008). Ventilator associated pneumonia in the Pediatric Intensive Care Unit, M.Sc. Thesis in Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University.
- Sopena N, Sabria M. (2005). Multicenter study of hospital-acquired pneumonia in non-ICU patients. *Chest.* 127:213–219.
- Tablan OC, Anderson LJ, Besser R, Bridges C, Hajjeh R, (2004). Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Guidelines for preventing health-care-associated pneumonia, 2003:



recommendations of the CDC and the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee. MMWR Recomm Rep; 53(RR-3):1–36.

Tan TQ, Mason Jr EQ, Barson WJ, Wald ER, Schutze GE, Bradley J, Arditi M, Givner LB, Yogev R, Kim KS, Kaplan SL. (1998) . Clinical characteristics and outcome of children with pneumonia attributable to penicillin-susceptible and penicillin non-susceptible *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Pediatrics; 102:1369-1375.

Tayel, R.M.A. (2009). The incidence of ventilator associated pneumonia in neonatal intensive care unit at Alexandria University children's hospital. M.Sc. Thesis in Neonatal Intensive Care, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University,

Varun G, Sumati AH, Karadesai SG (2012). Ventilator associated pneumonia in a medical intensive care unit: Microbial aetiology, susceptibility patterns of isolated microorganisms and outcome. Indian J. Anaesth. 56(6):558-562.

## انتشار وحساسيه البكتريا الممرضه للمضادات الميكروبيه المعزوله من مرضي الالتهاب الرئوي المصاحب لجهاز التنفس الصناعي

فتحي سري – همت كمال - أميرة الجنائني- فاطمه الزهراء احمد

طبقا لمنظمه الصحة العالمية ، تعتبر عدوي الجهاز التنفسي السفلي هي ثالث اكثر الاسباب شيوعا التي تؤدي للموت عبر العالم . تحدث هذه العدوي بكتريا شديدا المقاومة للمضادات الحيويه. فقد وجد ان من بين ٨ الي ٢٨% من المرضي الموضوعين علي جهاز تنفس الصناعي يصابون بالالتهاب الرئوي المصاحب للجهاز التنفس الصناعي. استهدفت الدراسة الحالية إلي التعرف علي البكتريا المساهمة في إلتهاب الرئوي الناتج عن استعمال اجهزه التنفس الصناعي و دراسه مقاومتها للمضادات الميكروبيه شائعته الاستعمال .

تم اجراء هذه الدراسة علي ١٥٣ عينة نضح من مرضي إلتهاب رئوي ناتج من جهاز التنفس الصناعي بالعناية المركزه بمستشفى جامعة الزقازيق خلال الفترة من يناير ٢٠١٤ إلي ابريل ٢٠١٤ ثم من مارس ٢٠١٥ الي يوليو ٢٠١٥ . انتجت العينات ٢٣٣ عزلة وتبين أنها تمثلت في كليبسيلا بنسبة (٣٦,٩%) و ايشريشيا كولاي بنسبة (٢١,٠٤%) و اسنتوباكتر بومنياي بنسبة (١٤,٩٥%). كما تم عزل بكتيريا سودوموناس ايروجينوزابنسبة (١٤,١٦%) و ستافيلوكوكس أوريس بنسبة (١٢,٠٢%) و ستافيلوكوكس ابيدريميد بنسبة (٠,٨٦%) و سيراشيا مرسينز بنسبه (٠,٤٣%). تم اختبار حساسية البكتريا المعزولة للمضادات الحيوية المختلفة المستخدمة و الموجودة في السوق المصري. أظهرت الدراسه الحاليه ان هناك اربع عشر عينه من الكليبسيلا لديهم مقاومه لجميع المضادات الحيويه المستخدمه . كما وجدت لكليبسيلا و الايشريشيا مقاومه عاليه الي الي سيفترايكسون و السيفبيم بنسب (٩٧% و ٩٤%) كما أظهرت مقاومة اقل بنسبة (٤٨,٨%) للايمبينم . بينما أظهرت عزلات الاسنتوباكتر حساسية بنسبة (١٠٠%) للكوليستين سلفات و مقاومه شديده بنسبه (١٠٠%) لكل من سيفترايكسون و السيفتازديم و سيفبيم و الاوفلوكساسين و ليفوفلوكساسين و الامينيم والميروبينم. علي الجانب الآخر أظهرت عزلات السيدوموناس ايروجينوزا مقاومه شديده ايضا بنسبة (١٠٠%) لكل من الكاربينيلين و السيفترايكسون . و من هذه الدراسة تبين ضروره القيام بالمزرعه البكتريه قبل اعطاء المضادات الحيويه و ذلك لتنوع البكتريا المسببه للالتهاب الرئوي المصاحب لجهاز التنفس الصناعي و اختلاف مقاومتها للمضادات الحيويه المستخدمه.