# Relation between health and nutritional status and learning performance among primary school children in Sonover district, Fayoum governorate

# Nadia El-Adeham<sup>(1)</sup>Salwa Abass<sup>(2)</sup> &Wagida Wafik<sup>(3)</sup>

(1) Assistant Lecture in Community Health Nursing- Faculty of nursing- Fayoum University, (2) Prof in Community Health Nursing - Faculty of Nursing- Zagazic University, (3) Assistant Prof in Community Health Nursing - Faculty of Nursing-Zagazig University

### Abstract

Background: The health and nutritional status affect their learning performance. The aim of the study: was to assess relation between health and nutrition status and learning performance among primary school children. Subject and Method: Research design: Across-sectional design was used in this study. Setting: Two primary school at sonover district. Subjects: consisted of 125 children in 6 grades. Tools of data collection: Three tools were used first questionnaire to collect data about demographic characteristics of the student and their knowledge about nutrition, second the scale to assess the student's learning performance, third clinical assessment sheet. Results: revealed low level of student knowledge about diet and nutrition, one quarter of their have one signs of nutritional deficiency, 14.4% of students have attention deficient hyperactivity disorders, and 83.2% of them have concentration problems. Conclusion the study revealed present a relation between health and nutrition status and learning and school performance among primary school children. Recommendation implement health educational program about nutrition at school setting by the nurse should be held,

Key words: Nutrition status, school performance, health, learning performance, primary school children.

## INTRODUCTION

In Egypt (2010), pupils from 5-15 years of age represented about 37% of the total population (1). In District, Sonover Fayoum Governorate. elementary school pupils constitute about 39.8 % of the total number of pupils (2). These children need health education by the school nurse regarding nutrition and health promotion (3). Given these facts, it is important to understand the relationship between healthy nutrition and school performance. School children constitute a significant and important sector of the population who are constantly growing. (4) Good health is essential for learning and cognitive ability. Ensuring good health of children can boost attendance and educational achievement (5).

Nutritional wellbeing is influenced by the nutrients content of foods consumed in relation to requirements

that are determined by age, sex, level of physical activity and

Health status, as well as the efficiency of nutrient utilization by the body (6). Appropriate dietary intake is critical for forming good eating habits and provides the much needed nutrients for growth, long-term health, cognition and educational achievements schoolchildren. However, the dietary intake schoolchildren in developing countries limited in diversity. comprising plant-based food sources, but with limited intake of fruits and vegetables. There is a low energy intake and insufficient micronutrient intake. Additionally, there is emerging trend of consumption of high-energy snacks and beverages, leading to the dual burden of malnutrition (7).

Underachievement at school or failure to reach a satisfactory level of literacy and numeracy is a global problem, particularly in developing countries (8) There is a correlation between nutrition and cognition as well as psychosocial behavior. On the other hand, a school feeding program found improvements in attendance (9). Moreover, anemic children tend to do poorly on vocabulary, reading, and (10). other tests However, relationship has been highly underresearched, but there exists many studies that look at the nutritional benefits of many proteins, vitamins, and food substances as they affect learning and brain function (11)

# Significance of the study:

Children are the future of society and special gifts to the world, their overall health has improved, and rates of deaths and illness in some areas have decreased. But we still must focus on children's health particularly. Children are vulnerable to malnutrition and infectious diseases, many of which can be effectively prevented or treated (12)·In Egypt has high rates of school age child is liable to suffering from malnutrition, anemia, allergy, decrease in learning level and performance (13).

Primary school age is a dynamic period of physical growth and mental development of the child research indicates that nutritional deficiencies and poor health in primary school age children are among the causes of low school enrolment, high absenteeism, early dropout and poor classroom performance. (14).

# **AIM OF THE STUDY**

The aim of this study was to assess the relation between health and nutritional status and learning performance among primary school children in Sonover district, Fayoum governorate.

### Research questions:

What is the effect of health status and nutrition pattern on learning performance among primary school children?

### **Subjects and Methods:**

## Research design:

Cross -sectional design was used to achieve the aim of the study. **Study Setting:-**

The study will be done at governmental primary schools in Sonover district (Fayoum governorate).

# Study subjects:-

Fayoum governorate consist of 10 villages, the total number of school in their village are 27 schools, the total number of students in 6 grade are approximately 2500 students (boys and girls), and average of each class about 30 students we select one village randomly (Sonover district).

Multi stage sample will be used it classified into three stage

- First stage: we select one village randomly. (Sonover district).
- Second stage: All school present in the village will enrolled for this study (2 schools).
- Third stage includes: total number of school pupils are 270 students in the 2 schools we will select students. Giving the prevalence of learning performance as assessed by their level of achievements 30% (bad of achievement), power of test 80% and level of confidence within of 95%, the sample will be 125 students.

# Sample size:

The sample size was calculated to identify the prevalence of any abnormalities in children's learning

performance of 25% or higher, with 4% standard error and at 95%level of confidence. Using the Epi- info 6.04 software packages with a single proportion equation, the required sample size turned to be 113 students. This was increased to 125 male and female students to compensate for an expected non- response rate of about 10%.

### Tools of data collection:

# Three tools were used for data collection:

Toll 1 consist of 3 part predesigned interview questionnaire was used. Part 1 entailed school children's socio-demographic characteristics such as age, sex, birth order, well residence as as parent educational level, etc.; part 2 student's knowledge about nutrition covering areas of food components, proteins, carbohydrates, fats, vitamins and minerals, and effect of good nutrition. Knowledge was considered satisfactory if the percent of correct answers was 50% or more; part 3 student's health problems complaints. It included 15 questions about nutritional symptoms and problems as loss of appetite, colic/flatulence, vomiting, worms, dental caries, headache/dizziness, etc. The student who had a "yes" answer in any of the system's symptoms or complaints was considered as having "definite" symptoms or problems.

### Scoring system:-

A correct answer was scored one and the zero for incorrect ones, so the total scoring 21 points for each area of knowledge, the scores of the items were summed-up and the total divided by the number of the items, giving a mean score for the part. These scores were converted into a percent score. Knowledge was considered satisfactory if the percent score was 50% or more and unsatisfactory if less

than 50%.

Tool 2 consisted of three scales to assess student's learning performance. 1) Mental capabilities of primary school children (age 9-11): designed by mousa(1984) (15) to assess primary schoolchildren' mental capabilities. It has 90 questions covering abilities in language, mathematics, place, interpretation, and relations. It has a high level of (coefficient 0.63) reliability and concurrent validity (coefficient 0.83). According to the tool manual, each correct answer was scored 1 and the incorrect 0.

### Scoring system

The scores are summed giving a total raw score, which is converted into standardized score using normograms. The student's mental capability was classified as "low" if the score was <80, "high" if >120, and "average" if between 80 and 120. 2) Concentration ability of primary school children: based on Abikoff (1985) (16), it consists of 23 questions such as "I memorize what I have learnt," and "I feel unable to do my homework." to be checked as "yes," "sometimes," or "no." These were scored 0, 1, and 2, respectively; a higher score means higher concentration. The scores are summed-up and were converted into a percent score and means standard deviations were calculated. student's concentration considered high if the percent score was equal or higher than the mean + 1 standard deviation. 3) Children attention and adjustment scale: (17) developed bγ Lambert modified by Elbehary and Aglan (18) to student's attention assess adjustment. It has 23 questions covering Conduct behavior, Attention deficit disorder. Hyperactivity. Impulsivity, Inattention, and collectively the Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and the Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)

DSM. Each item was to be checked as "yes," "sometimes," or "no," scored 0, 1, and 2, respectively so that a higher score meant more attention and adjustment problems. The scores are summed-up and means and standard deviations are calculated. The concentration was considered high if the percent score was equal or higher than the mean + 1 standard deviation according to scale manual.

**Tool 3** was a clinical assessment sheet developed by the researcher based on Dudek<sup>(19)</sup>. It consisted of a general examination checklist to identify any malnutrition signs in student's hair, face, nails, lips, tongue, gums, teeth, and skin. The student who had any malnutrition sign in one or more sites was considered as having unhealthy nutritional status.

# Content validity and reliability:

Face and content validity were done for the tools by five expertise's in the field of community health nursing and necessary modification were done. The reliability of the tool was tested using the internal consistency method. It proved to be high with Cronbach alpha coefficient 0.902.

### Field work:

official After securing permissions from the manager of the security department in the Ministry of Education, the researcher visited the selected schools, and met with the headmasters and teachers to explain to them the aim of the work and the data collection tools. Then, researcher started to collect data through interviewing each student individually using the data collection tools. It took about one hour to fill all the forms from each student. The data collection procedure lasted during the period from October 2013 until May 2014.

**Pilot study:** A pilot study was conducted on 10% of the study sample

for testing the clarity, applicability, and relevance of the data collection tools. It also served to estimate the time required for and filling in the forms. Based on the result of the pilot study, the necessary modifications and clarifications of some questions were done to have more applicable tools for data collection.

Administrative and ethical considerations: The study protocol was approved by the research and ethics committee at the Faculty of Nursing, Zagazig University. informed consent form was signed by each student's parent/quardian before collecting any data; this was done through school administration. The form explained the study aim in a simple and clear manner to be understood by common people. No harmful maneuvers were performed or used, and no foreseen hazards were anticipated from conducting the study. Participants were informed about their right to withdraw from the study at any time without giving any reason. Data were considered confidential and not be used outside this study.

### **RESULTS**

Table 1 shows that the students' age ranged between 11 and 13 years, with slightly more boys (52.0%). The majority were having male (78.4%) and female (85.6%) siblings. Two-fifth of them were firstborn (40.0%). (49.6%) and (45.6%) of mother were having intermediate level education. More than half of the fathers were having clerical jobs (55.2%), while the majority of the mothers (80.8%) were housewives. Slightly more than half of the families were living in rural areas (52%), and the majority were having sufficient income.

The knowledge about diet and nutrition, as presented in **Table 2**, was generally low among schoolchildren. Except for the knowledge about

minerals, which was satisfactory among 76% of the students, the knowledge in all other areas was low. This was especially evident regarding vitamins (7.2%), and the effect of good nutrition (20.8%). In total, only 11.2% of the students had satisfactory level of knowledge about diet and nutrition.

As shown in **Table 3**, the most common health problems reported by students were dental caries (99.2%), worms (84.0%), fatigue (82.4%) and food allergies (80.0%). At the other extreme, the least reported problems were diabetes (19.2%) and heart disease (3,2%). Overall, approximately one-fourth of the students were having definite reported symptoms problems. The physical examination identified that slightly less than half of the students (47.2%) were having at one abnormal malnutrition. The table also indicates that only 9.6% of the students were obese, while a higher percentage (12.8%) of them were underweight.

Table 4 It indicates that the most prevalent disorder was conduct behavior (24.8%), whereas the least prevalent was that of inattention (11.2%). Overall, 14.4% of the students were having Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). Meanwhile, the majority of the students (83.2%) were having concentration problems. As regards the levels of mental abilities, 60.0% were having high abilities, while 13.6% were having low abilities.

Concerning the correlations among ADHD, concentration, mental capabilities, knowledge, symptoms, physical assessment scores, Table 5 indicates that ADHD had statistically significant weak negative correlations with concentration, knowledge, and physical examination. Meanwhile, the concentration had statistically significant weak positive correlation with knowledge, moderate with mental capabilities. Additionally, mental capabilities had statistically significant weak positive correlation with knowledge.

As regards the predictors of students' knowledge score, **Table 6** illustrates that the family income and student's mental capabilities score were statistically significant positive predictors, while the number of symptoms was a negative predictor. The model explains 20% of the variation in the knowledge score. None of the other students' characteristics significantly influenced this score.

**Table 7** shows that the student's age was a statistically significant positive predictor of the mental capabilities score, while the number of symptoms was a negative predictor. The model explains 44% of the variations in the mental capabilities score. None of the other students' characteristics significantly influenced this score.

### DISCUSSION

An adequate mode of nutrition among the most important environmental factors affecting the development of man and maintenance of a good health status Wojtyła-Buciora et al., (20). Poor nutrition among contributes schoolchildren inefficiency of their learning since children with diminished those coanitive abilities and sensorv impairments naturally perform less well and are more likely to fail (UNICEF & WFP., (21) The study identified a deficiency in schoolchildren's knowledge about diet and nutrition, and this has negative impacts on their psycho-behavioral and physical health status.

In the present study, approximately one-fourth of the students were having definite reported symptoms or problems, and about one-half of them were having at least

one abnormal physical finding. These are relatively high percentages of ailments or disorders in this age group which should enjoy perfect health. This might be explained by the generally low socio-economic levels of the sample, with about half of it residing in rural areas which are known to have prevalence of parasitic infestations. Added to this is the low level of dietary knowledge among these children, which would lead to malnutrition problems among them. Similar high prevalence of nutritionrelated health problems were reported schoolchildren in among Brazil (Ramires et al., (22) and in Cambodia Perignon et al, (23)

According to the current study findings, only approximately one-tenth of the school children had total satisfactory knowledge about diet and nutrition. This is an extremely low level of satisfactory knowledge in this essential area that has a major impact on child' growth and development. It may be to lack or inefficiency of health education programs about nutrition from school health team, in addition to deficiency of the educational curriculum in this rather important subject. In agreement with this, Nichols et al., (24) in a study Trinidad found low dietary Tobago knowledge among primary schoolchildren. Similar findings were also reported in a quasi-experimental intervention study carried out on primary schoolchildren in India Saraf et al., (25)

The multivariate analysis identified family income as independent significant positive predictor of the current study students' knowledge score. This is expected since a higher family income is associated with better chances to provide nutritious diet to children, which would consequently positively influence their knowledge. In agreement with this, Masters et al., (26)

reported better dietary habits among higher income families in a study in the United States. On the other hand, Bishwalata et al., (27), in India, identified low-income family as a predictor of poor nutritional health status.

The present study also demonstrated that the students having unsatisfactory knowledge had significantly higher numbers symptoms and health problems. This is expected given the influence of sound knowledge about diet and nutrition on healthy dietary habits, and consequently on child's nutritional and general health status. In congruence with this, a study in Bangladesh revealed that the deficiency knowledge about diet and nutrition was associated with high prevalence of iron deficiency anemia Shill et al., (8). Similarly, a study of schoolchildren in Columbia demonstrated а hiah nutritional prevalence of health problems that were related to low levels of dietary knowledge Galiano et al.,(29)

Moreover, the present study results showed that the students with high mental capabilities and better ability to concentrate have more satisfactory dietary knowledge. However, it could be interpreted inversely, i.e. those schoolchildren with good dietary knowledge have better mental capabilities and more concentration, i.e. a better knowledge about nutrition would lead to better dietary habits, which will in turn enhance the child's mental abilities. Given the cross-sectional design used in the present study with no possible temporal relationship, it is not possible to discern whether the knowledge influenced the mental abilities or the reverse happened. In order to identify this, a longitudinal design should be used. In congruence with this, Gage et al ., (30) in a study in European reported that parents countries considered good nutrition to have a

positive impact on child's mental performance, more than social and biological determinants. On the same line, Bele et al., (31) in India identified under-nutrition among the independent risk factors of child's emotional and behavioral disorders, and also a significant impact on child's educational performance.

According to the present study findings, approximately fifteen percent of the schoolchildren were having Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). The most prevalent associated disorder was conduct behavior, which affected about onefourth of these children. This is considered as a high prevalence rate since the average worldwide rate mentioned by Arns et al., (32) is only 5.3%, but this rate varies according to regions. Lower rates were also reported in a study in the United States where the prevalence varied between 2.4% and 7.1% Reyes et al., (33) The high rate in the present study might be attributed to the fact that the tool used in the study is a screening rather than a diagnostic tool, which may lead to over-estimation of the true prevalence rate.

The current study results have also demonstrated that the **ADHD** schoolchildren having had significantly lower knowledge scores and mental capabilities. This indicates the negative impact of this disorder on child's intellectual capacities, with subsequent deleterious effects on their academic achievement. In agreement with this, Langberg et al .. (34) in a study in the United States, showed that in a sample of children with ADHD the symptoms of inattention were significantly correlated with the domains of academic functioning.

Similarly, in another study in the United States, Crump et al (35) identified a strong association between ADHD and poor academic achievement among elementary schoolchildren. Moreover, Du Paul et al (36) demonstrated the association between ADHD and learning disability.

### Conclusion

The study concludes that the primary schoolchildren in the study setting have deficient knowledge about diet and nutrition, with associated high of prevalence nutritional health problems symptoms and signs. The prevalent psycho-behavioral problems among them are the lack of concentration and abnormal conduct behavior. Their knowledge deficiency a negative effect on their concentration abilities, ADHD, and reported health problems, but is positively affected by their mental capabilities and their family income.

### Recommendations:

Based on these findings, the studv recommends improving schoolchildren's dietary knowledge through school health educational programs, community health nursing interventions, and school curricula. Screening programs for early identification of students having any nutrition-related health problem, includina psycho-behavioral proposed with more focus of those with low school performance. Nursing interventions should also extend to parents. Further research is suggested to examine the effect of interventions aimed at improving the nutritional status of schoolchildren, and its impact on their school performance.

RESULTS
Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of students in the study sample (n=125)

School:         60         48.0           Boys         65         52.0           Age:            11         31         24.8           12         88         70.4           13+         6         4.8           Range         11.0-13.0         Mean±SD           Median         12.0         Have male siblings:           Median         12.0         Have female siblings:           Have female siblings:         98         78.4           Have female siblings:         107         85.6           Birth rank order:             1         50         40.0           2         54         43.2           3+         21         16.8           Father education:            Illiterate/read/write         14         11.2           Intermediate         62         49.6           High         49         39.2           Father job:             Clerical         69         55.2           Manual work         56         44.8           Mother education:	Items	Frequency	Percent	
Boys	School:			
Age:         11       31       24.8         12       88       70.4         13+       6       4.8         Range       11.0-13.0         Mean±SD       11.8±0.5         Median       12.0         Have female siblings:       98       78.4         Have female siblings:       107       85.6         Birth rank order:       50       40.0         2       54       43.2         3+       21       16.8         Father education:       11       11.2         Illiterate/read/write       14       11.2         Intermediate       62       49.6         High       49       39.2         Father job:       56       44.8         Clerical       69       55.2         Manual work       56       44.8         Mother education:       Illiterate/read/write       18       14.4         Intermediate       57       45.6         High       50       40.0         Mother job:	Girls	60	48.0	
11     31     24.8       12     88     70.4       13+     6     4.8       Range     11.0-13.0       Mean±SD     11.8±0.5       Median     12.0       Have male siblings:     98     78.4       Have female siblings:     107     85.6       Birth rank order:       1     50     40.0       2     54     43.2       3+     21     16.8       Father education:     Illiterate/read/write     14     11.2       Intermediate     62     49.6       High     49     39.2       Father job:       Clerical     69     55.2       Manual work     56     44.8       Mother education:       Illiterate/read/write     18     14.4       Intermediate     57     45.6       High     50     40.0       Mother job:       Housewife     101     80.8       Working     24     19.2       Residence:       Rural     65     52.0       Urban     60     48.0       Income:     Saving     49     39.2       Sufficient     57     45.6	Boys	65	52.0	
11     31     24.8       12     88     70.4       13+     6     4.8       Range     11.0-13.0       Mean±SD     11.8±0.5       Median     12.0       Have male siblings:     98     78.4       Have female siblings:     107     85.6       Birth rank order:       1     50     40.0       2     54     43.2       3+     21     16.8       Father education:     Illiterate/read/write     14     11.2       Intermediate     62     49.6       High     49     39.2       Father job:       Clerical     69     55.2       Manual work     56     44.8       Mother education:       Illiterate/read/write     18     14.4       Intermediate     57     45.6       High     50     40.0       Mother job:       Housewife     101     80.8       Working     24     19.2       Residence:       Rural     65     52.0       Urban     60     48.0       Income:     Saving     49     39.2       Sufficient     57     45.6	Age:			
13+			24.8	
Range       11.0-13.0         Mean±SD       11.8±0.5         Median       12.0         Have male siblings:       98       78.4         Have female siblings:       107       85.6         Birth rank order:	12	88	70.4	
Mean±SD       11.8±0.5         Median       12.0         Have male siblings:       98       78.4         Have female siblings:       107       85.6         Birth rank order:	13+	6	4.8	
Median       12.0         Have male siblings:       98       78.4         Have female siblings:       107       85.6         Birth rank order:	Range	11.0-13.0		
Have male siblings: 98 78.4 Have female siblings: 107 85.6 Birth rank order:  1 50 40.0 2 54 43.2 3+ 21 16.8 Father education:  Illiterate/read/write 14 11.2 Intermediate 62 49.6 High 49 39.2 Father job:  Clerical 69 55.2 Manual work 56 44.8 Mother education:  Illiterate/read/write 18 14.4 Intermediate 57 45.6 High 50 40.0 Mother job: Housewife 101 80.8 Working 24 19.2 Residence: Rural 65 52.0 Urban 60 48.0 Income: Saving 49 39.2 Sufficient 57 45.6	Mean±SD			
Have female siblings:       107       85.6         Birth rank order:       30       40.0         2       54       43.2         3+       21       16.8         Father education:       14       11.2         Illiterate/read/write       14       11.2         Intermediate       62       49.6         High       49       39.2         Father job:       56       44.8         Mother education:       18       14.4         Illiterate/read/write       18       14.4         Intermediate       57       45.6         High       50       40.0         Mother job:         Housewife       101       80.8         Working       24       19.2         Residence:       Rural       65       52.0         Urban       60       48.0         Income:       Saving       49       39.2         Sufficient       57       45.6	Median	12.0		
Birth rank order:       1     50     40.0       2     54     43.2       3+     21     16.8       Father education:       Illiterate/read/write     14     11.2       Intermediate     62     49.6       High     49     39.2       Father job:       Clerical     69     55.2       Manual work     56     44.8       Mother education:       Illiterate/read/write     18     14.4       Intermediate     57     45.6       High     50     40.0       Mother job:       Housewife     101     80.8       Working     24     19.2       Residence:       Rural     65     52.0       Urban     60     48.0       Income:       Saving     49     39.2       Sufficient     57     45.6	Have male siblings:	98	78.4	
1     50     40.0       2     54     43.2       3+     21     16.8       Father education:       Illiterate/read/write     14     11.2       Intermediate     62     49.6       High     49     39.2       Father job:       Clerical     69     55.2       Manual work     56     44.8       Mother education:       Illiterate/read/write     18     14.4       Intermediate     57     45.6       High     50     40.0       Mother job:       Housewife     101     80.8       Working     24     19.2       Residence:       Rural     65     52.0       Urban     60     48.0       Income:       Saving     49     39.2       Sufficient     57     45.6	Have female siblings:	107	85.6	
2     54     43.2       3+     21     16.8       Father education:       Illiterate/read/write     14     11.2       Intermediate     62     49.6       High     49     39.2       Father job:       Clerical     69     55.2       Manual work     56     44.8       Mother education:       Illiterate/read/write     18     14.4       Intermediate     57     45.6       High     50     40.0       Mother job:       Housewife     101     80.8       Working     24     19.2       Residence:       Rural     65     52.0       Urban     60     48.0       Income:       Saving     49     39.2       Sufficient     57     45.6	Birth rank order:			
3+       21       16.8         Father education:         Illiterate/read/write       14       11.2         Intermediate       62       49.6         High       49       39.2         Father job:         Clerical       69       55.2         Manual work       56       44.8         Mother education:         Illiterate/read/write       18       14.4         Intermediate       57       45.6         High       50       40.0         Mother job:	1	50	40.0	
Father education:         Illiterate/read/write       14       11.2         Intermediate       62       49.6         High       49       39.2         Father job:       Clerical       69       55.2         Manual work       56       44.8         Mother education:       Illiterate/read/write       18       14.4         Intermediate       57       45.6         High       50       40.0         Mother job:       Housewife       101       80.8         Working       24       19.2         Residence:       Rural       65       52.0         Urban       60       48.0         Income:       Saving       49       39.2         Sufficient       57       45.6	2	54	43.2	
Illiterate/read/write	3+	21	16.8	
Intermediate       62       49.6         High       49       39.2         Father job:       Clerical       69       55.2         Manual work       56       44.8         Mother education:       Illiterate/read/write       18       14.4         Intermediate       57       45.6         High       50       40.0         Mother job:       Housewife       101       80.8         Working       24       19.2         Residence:       Rural       65       52.0         Urban       60       48.0         Income:       Saving       49       39.2         Sufficient       57       45.6	Father education:			
High       49       39.2         Father job:       69       55.2         Clerical       69       55.2         Manual work       56       44.8         Mother education:       Illiterate/read/write       18       14.4         Intermediate       57       45.6         High       50       40.0         Mother job:       Housewife       101       80.8         Working       24       19.2         Residence:       Rural       65       52.0         Urban       60       48.0         Income:       Saving       49       39.2         Sufficient       57       45.6	Illiterate/read/write	14	11.2	
Father job:         Clerical       69       55.2         Manual work       56       44.8         Mother education:       Illiterate/read/write       18       14.4         Intermediate       57       45.6         High       50       40.0         Mother job:       Housewife       101       80.8         Working       24       19.2         Residence:       Rural       65       52.0         Urban       60       48.0         Income:       Saving       49       39.2         Sufficient       57       45.6	Intermediate	62	49.6	
Clerical       69       55.2         Manual work       56       44.8         Mother education:       Illiterate/read/write       18       14.4         Intermediate       57       45.6         High       50       40.0         Mother job:       Housewife       101       80.8         Working       24       19.2         Residence:       Rural       65       52.0         Urban       60       48.0         Income:       Saving       49       39.2         Sufficient       57       45.6	High	49	39.2	
Manual work       56       44.8         Mother education:          Illiterate/read/write       18       14.4         Intermediate       57       45.6         High       50       40.0         Mother job:          Housewife       101       80.8         Working       24       19.2         Residence:           Rural       65       52.0         Urban       60       48.0         Income:           Saving       49       39.2         Sufficient       57       45.6	Father job:			
Mother education:         Illiterate/read/write       18       14.4         Intermediate       57       45.6         High       50       40.0         Mother job:       Total Solution of the property of the pr	Clerical	69	55.2	
Illiterate/read/write       18       14.4         Intermediate       57       45.6         High       50       40.0         Mother job:       Housewife       101       80.8         Working       24       19.2         Residence:       Rural       65       52.0         Urban       60       48.0         Income:       Saving       49       39.2         Sufficient       57       45.6	Manual work	56	44.8	
Intermediate       57       45.6         High       50       40.0         Mother job:          Housewife       101       80.8       Working       24       19.2          Residence:          Rural       65       52.0          Urban       60       48.0         Income:         Saving       49       39.2         Sufficient       57       45.6	Mother education:			
High       50       40.0         Mother job:       Housewife       101       80.8         Working       24       19.2         Residence:       Rural       65       52.0         Urban       60       48.0         Income:       Saving       49       39.2         Sufficient       57       45.6	Illiterate/read/write	18	14.4	
Mother job:         Housewife       101       80.8         Working       24       19.2         Residence:	Intermediate	57	45.6	
Housewife       101       80.8         Working       24       19.2         Residence:          Rural       65       52.0         Urban       60       48.0         Income:          Saving       49       39.2         Sufficient       57       45.6	High	50	40.0	
Working       24       19.2         Residence:	Mother job:			
Residence:         Rural       65       52.0         Urban       60       48.0         Income:       39.2         Saving       49       39.2         Sufficient       57       45.6	Housewife	101	80.8	
Rural       65       52.0         Urban       60       48.0         Income:	Working	24	19.2	
Urban     60     48.0       Income:     39.2       Saving     49     39.2       Sufficient     57     45.6	Residence:			
Income:         49         39.2           Sufficient         57         45.6	Rural	65	52.0	
Saving         49         39.2           Sufficient         57         45.6	Urban	60	48.0	
Sufficient 57 45.6	Income:			
Sufficient 57 45.6		49	39.2	
		57	45.6	
		19	15.2	

Table 2: Knowledge about diet and nutrition among students in the study sample (n=125)

Satisfactory knowledge (50%+) of:	Frequency	Percent	
Food components	34	27.2	
Proteins	46	36.8	
Carbohydrates	27	21.6	
Fats	47	37.6	
Vitamins	9	7.2	
Minerals	95	76.0	
Effect of good nutrition	26	20.8	
Total knowledge:			
Satisfactory	14	11.2	
Unsatisfactory	111	88.8	

Table 3: Symptoms of dietary problems among students in the study sample (n=125)

Items	No.	%
Symptoms of:		
Dental caries	124	99.2
Worms	105	84.0
Fatigue	103	82.4
Food allergies	100	80.0
Anemia	99	79.2
Vomiting	97	77.6
Diarrhea	85	68.0
Constipation	76	60.8
Headache/dizziness	74	59.2
Loss of appetite	71	56.8
Colic/flatulence	70	56.0
Heartburn	63	50.4
Diabetes	24	19.2
Heart disease	4	3.2
Total having definite symptoms:		
Yes	32	25.6
No	93	74.4
Have malnutrition signs:		
No	66	52.8
Yes	59	47.2
Body mass index:		
Obese	12	9.6
Normal	97	77.6
Underweight	16	12.8

Table 4: Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) among students in the study sample (n=125)

Items	Frequency	Percent
Conduct behavior (CD):		
Normal (<=mean + 1 SD)	94	75.2
Abnormal (>mean + 1 SD)	31	24.8
Attention deficit disorder (ADD):		_
Normal (<=mean + 1 SD)	100	80.0
Abnormal (>mean + 1 SD)	25	20.0
Hyperactivity (H):		_
Normal (<=mean + 1 SD)	103	82.4
Abnormal (>mean + 1 SD)	22	17.6
Impulsivity (I):		
Normal (<=mean + 1 SD)	102	81.6
Abnormal (>mean + 1 SD)	23	18.4
Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) DSM:		
Normal (<=mean + 1 SD)	103	82.4
Abnormal (>mean + 1 SD)	22	17.6
Inattention (N):		
Normal (<=mean + 1 SD)	111	88.8
Abnormal (>mean + 1 SD)	14	11.2
Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD):		
Normal (<=mean + 1 SD)	107	85.6
Abnormal (>mean + 1 SD)	18	14.4
Concentration:		
Normal	21	16.8
Abnormal ( <mean +="" 1="" sd)<="" td=""><td>104</td><td>83.2</td></mean>	104	83.2
Mental capabilities:		
Low (<80)	17	13.6
Average (80-120)	33	26.4
High (>120)	75	60.0

Table 5: Correlation matrix of ADHD, concentration, mental capabilities, knowledge, symptoms, and physical assessment scores

	Spearman's rank correlation coefficient							
Items	ADHD	Concen- tration	Mental capabilities	Knowledge	Symptoms	Exam.		
ADHD			_					
Concentration	344**	·			-	•		
Mental capabilities	-0.01	.479**						
Knowledge	181*	.256**	.349**					
Symptoms	0.151	0.035	-0.12	290**	•	•		
Physical exam	186*	-0.008	0.027	0.071	220*			

<sup>(\*)</sup> Statistically significant at p<0.05 (\*\*) statistically significant at p<0.01

Table 6: Best fitting multiple linear regression model for the knowledge score

			Standardized	t-test	p- value	95% Confidence Interval for B	
	Std. Error	Coefficients	Lower			Upper	
Constant	37.40	10.37	•	3.61	< 0.001	16.88	57.93
Income	5.32	1.84	0.23	2.90	0.004	8.95	1.68
No. of symptoms	-0.31	0.11	-0.22	2.70	0.008	-0.53	-0.08
Mental capability	0.19	0.05	0.30	3.68	<0.001	0.09	0.29

r-square=0.20

Model ANOVA: F=11.42, p<0.001

Variables entered and excluded: gender, age, birth order, number of siblings, parents' education and job, residence, crowding index, scores of physical assessment, ADHD, concentration,

Table 7: Best fitting multiple linear regression model for the mental capabilities score

Items	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized	t-test	p-value	95% Confidence Interval for B	
	В	Std. Error	-Coefficients			Lower	Upper
Constant	-253.70	38.80		6.54	<0.001	-330.50	-176.90
Age	33.33	3.34	0.68	9.99	<0.001	26.73	39.93
No. of symptoms	-0.44	0.15	-0.20	2.91	0.004	-0.73	-0.14

r-square=0.44

Model ANOVA: F=50.50, p<0.001

Variables entered and excluded: gender, birth order, number of siblings, parents' education and job, income, residence, crowding index, scores of physical assessment, ADHD, concentration.

### **REFERENCES**

- United Nations Children's Fund [UNICEF]: Child Poverty and disparities in Egypt. Center for Economic and Financial Research and Studies (CEFRS) 2010.Accessed online: http:// www.unicef.org/egypt.12/2013
- 2. El-zanatyF., and Away A: Egypt Demographic and Health Survey. EDHS Calverton, Maryland USA, National Population Council and ORC Marco:2010
- National Association of School Nurses 2013: Definition of school nursing. Castle Rock, CO: Author. Available at: <a href="https://www.nasn.org"><u>www.nasn.org</u></a>(Accessed on 05/10/2014)
- Learning City Network; Available at: http/www.lifelong lrarning.co.uk/learningcites/icn.htm.2 010
- WHO,: Anthrop us for personal computers. Manual Software for assessing growth of the world's children and adolescents 2009. Geneva. 2013; <a href="http://www.who.int/growthref">http://www.who.int/growthref</a> /tools /en/). (Accessed on 05/11/2014)
- International conference on Nutrition, Food and Agriculture Organization, (2014): Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. International Year of Soils.[Web Site]. Retrieved 5 January 2015 from: <a href="http://www.fao.org/">http://www.fao.org/</a>
   partnerships/strategies/en
- 7. OcholaS., and Masibo P.K. Dietary intake of schoolchildren and adolescents in developing countries. Ann Nutr Metab.; 2014; 64Suppl 2:24-40
- 8. Adelman S., Alderman H., Gilligan D.O., and Lehrer K: The Impact of alternative food for education programmes on learning

- achievement and cognitive development in Northern Uganda. Washington, DC: International Food Policy Research Institute. 2008
- 9. Acham H., Kikafunda J.K., Oluka S., Malde M.K., and Tylleskar T.: Height, weight, body mass index and learning achievement in Kumi district, East of Uganda. Scientific Research and Essay;2008, 3(1): 1–8.
- Parker: A review of Studies on the Effect of Iron Deficiency on Cognitive Development in Children." Journal of Nutrition; 2013;31(2): 694S-696S.
- 11. Hollar D. Effective multi-level, multi-sector, school-based obesity prevention programming improves weight, blood pressure, and academic performance, especially among low-income, minority children. Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved 21(2 Suppl): 2010; 93-108
- 12. WHO& Terri N., Programmed on Child and Adolescent Health and Development Partnership for Maternal, New Born and Child Health2008; http://www.who.int/child.adolescenthealth/index.html.access on 12/2009.
- 13. Kanoa,B., George,E., Abed,Y.,& Al-Hindi,A.,:Evaluation of the relationship between nutrition requirements and health education among school children in Gaza city,Beit- Lahia Village and Jabalia Refugee Camp; The Islamic University Journal ,2009.14 (2):39-49
- 14. WHO; Working with children: Module 3 understanding substance use, Geneva: Switzerland, 2009: 28-30 November, pp.15-16.
- 15. Mousa F.: Measurement and Evaluation In Psychology and Education, Holt Rinehart, N.Y. 1984

- 16. Abikoff H:Efficacy of Cognitive Training Interventions in Hyperactive children: A critical review. Clinical Psychology Review; 1985: 5: 479-512.
- 17. Lambert N.M. The measurement of attention deficient disorder and hyperactivity with behavior rating of parents. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry; 1987;57:361-370.
- ElbeharyA., and Aglan A.: The Measurement of Attention Deficient Disorder and Hyperactivity with Behavior Rating of Parents. Amercian Journal of Orthopsychiatry; (2009) 57: 361-370.
- Dudek S.C.Nutrition Essential for nursing practice, 4th ed., New Youk: McGraw-Hill Lippincott Co.,(2011) pp.201-215.
- P., Stawińska-20. Wojtyła-Buciora Witoszyńska B., Klimberg A., Wojtyła A., Goździewska M., Wojtyła K., Piatek J., Woityła C., Sygit M., Ignyś I., and Marcinkowski J.T. Nutritionhealth behaviours related prevalence of overweight and obesity Polish children among and adolescents. Ann Agric Environ 2013;Med.;20(2):332-40
- 21. UNICEF & WFP, (World Food Programme): Feed minds, changes lives. School Feeding: Highlights and new Directions.2014; Accessed online: http://www.wfp.org/schoolmeals.3/2012
- 22. Ramires E.K., de Menezes R.C., Oliveira J.S., Oliveira M.A., Temoteo T.L., Longo-Silva G., Leal V.S., Costa E.C., and Asakura L.: [Nutritional status of children and adolescents from a town in the semiarid Northeastern Brazil]. [Article in Portuguese] Rev Paul Pediatr.;2014: 32(3):200-727. Bishwalata R., Singh A.B., Singh A.J., Devi L.U., and Singh R.K.Overweight and obesity among schoolchildren in Manipur, India. Natl Med J India. 2010; 23(5):263-6.
- 23. Perignon M., Fiorentino M., Kuong K., Burja K., Parker M., Sisokhom S.,

- Chamnan C., Berger J., and Wieringa F.T Stunting, poor iron status and parasite infection are significant risk factors for lower cognitive performance in Cambodian schoolaged children. PLoS One.; 2014: 9(11):e112605.
- 24. Nichols S.D., Francis M.P., and Dalrymple N.: Sustainability of a Curriculum-based Intervention on Dietary Behaviours and Physical Activity among Primary School Children in Trinidad and Tobago. West Indian Med J.; 2014; 63(1):68-77.
- 25. Saraf D.S., Gupta S.K., Pandav C.S., Nongkinrih B., Kapoor S.K., Pradhan S.K., and Krishnan A.Effectiveness of a School Based Intervention for Prevention of Non-communicable Diseases in Middle School Children of Rural North India: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Indian J Pediatr.2014 Sep 12. [Epub ahead of print]
- 26. Masters M.A., StanekKrogstrand K.L., Eskridge K.M., and Albrecht J.A. Race/ethnicity and income in relation to the home food environment in US youth aged 6 to 19 years. J AcadNutr Diet.; 2014:114(10):1533-43.
- 27.Bishwalata R., Singh A.B., Singh A.J., Devi L.U., and Singh R.K.: Overweight and obesity among schoolchildren in Manipur, India. Natl Med J India.; (2010)23(5):263-6.
- 28. Shill K.B., Karmakar P., Kibria M.G., Das A., Rahman M.A., Hossain M.S., and Sattar M.M.: Prevalence of irondeficiency anaemia among university students in Noakhali region, Bangladesh. J Health PopulNutr.;2014;32(1):103-10.
- 29. Galiano L.P., Abril F.M., Ernert A., and Bau A.M: The double burden of malnutrition and its risk factors in school children in Tunja. Arch Latinoam Nutr.;2012;62(2):119-26.
- . 30. Gage H., Egan B., Williams P., Györei E., Brands B., López-Robles J.C., Campoy C., Koletzko B., Decsi

- T., and Raats M.Views of parents in four European countries about the effect of food on the mental performance of primary school children. Eur J Clin Nutr.; 2014: 68(1):32-7.
- 31. Bele S.D., Bodhare T.N., Valsangkar S., and Saraf A.: An epidemiological study of emotional and behavioral disorders among children in an urban slum. Psychol Health Med2013: 18(2):223-32.
- 32. Arns M., van der Heijden K.B., Arnold L.E., and Kenemans J.L.: Geographic variation in the prevalence of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: the sunny perspective. Biol Psychiatry2013;74(8):585-90.
- 33. Reyes N., Baumgardner D.J., Simmons D.H., and Buckingham W. The potential for sociocultural factors in the diagnosis of ADHD in children. WMJ;2013;112(1):13-7.
- 34. Langberg J.M., Becker S.P., and Dvorsky M.R.: The association between sluggish cognitive tempo and academic functioning in youth

- with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). J Abnorm Child Psychol.; 2014;42(1):91-103.
- 35. Crump C., Rivera D., London R., Landau M., Erlendson B., and Rodriguez E.: Chronic health conditions and school performance among children and youth. Ann Epidemiol. 2013; 23(4):179-84.
- . 36. DuPaul G.J., Gormley M.J., and Laracy S.D.: Comorbidity of LD and ADHD: implications of DSM-5 for assessment and treatment. J Learn Disabil.;2013; 46(1):43-51.