EFFECT OF AMINO ACIDS REPLACING NITRATE ON GROWTH AND NITRATE ACCUMULATION IN LETTUCE. Abdel-Aal, Y.A.; S.T. Abou-Zeid; Amal L. Abd El-Latif and Shaimaa H.N. El-Sapagh Soil Science Dept., Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.



ABSTRACT

A study was carried out to determine the influence of nitrogen (N) sources on the growth and nitrate (NO_3^-) accumulation of lettuce iceberg (*Lactuca sativa*) in nutrient film technique (NFT). Plants were supplied with treatments NO_3^- and six amino acids (AA)treatments, [glutamic acid (Glu), glutamine (Gln), alanine (Ala), glycine (Gly), aspartic acid (Asp) and argnine (Arg)] , at three NO_3^- -N/AA-N ratios:(1) 100:0, (2) 80:20 and (3) 60:40.The total N concentration was 12.5 mmol L⁻¹ for all treatments in nutrient solution. All AAs reduced plant growth with decreasing NO_3^- -N/AA-N ratios compared to the 100% NO_3^- -treatment. Fresh and dry weight of shoot and root were significantly lower in Glu treatment than in all other treatments when NO_3^- -N/AA-N ratios were 80:20 and 60:40. Decreasing NO_3^- -N/AA-N ratios reduced NO_3^- concentrations in fresh shoot, regardless of AA sources. Plants grown in (Glu) treatment had lower NO_3^- concentrations in fresh shoot than grown in all other treatments when NO_3^- -N/AA-N ratio was 80:20 only.

Keywords: Amino acids, nitrate, accumulation and lettuce.

INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen is the most essential mineral nutrient that promotes sufficient plant growth and consequently yield. It is absorbed by roots either as ammonium (NH_4^+) or nitrate (NO_3^-) ion and incorporated in amino acids and eventually proteins (Blom-Zandstra, 1989). The most common N source in hydroponics is nitrate (NO_3) . Frequently farmers use excessive rates of nitrogen in vegetables to avoid N-deficiency (Porto et al., 2008), ignoring environmental pollution, increase in production cost as well produce quality deterioration problems(Wang et al., 2008 and Montemurro, 2010). Nitrate is a common chemical compound in the nature and is widely found in soils, waters, plants, and foods. Generally, nitrate in vegetables is considered to be the main source of dietary nitrate intake (Santamaria et al., 1998). It has been shown that 72%-94% of the NO₃⁻ in the human body was derived from vegetables (Dich et al., 1996; Shen et al., 1982). Some previous researches suggested that the vegetables with high nitrate in the diet could put a human into the risk of gastrointestinal cancer and methemoglobinaemia (Bartsch et al., 1988 and Slob et al., 1995).

Therefore, there is great concern about the nitrate content in the daily diet, especially in leafy vegetables. Then, some governments have stipulated official limits for NO₃⁻ in certain vegetables and drinking water. So, many governments and international organizations have set maximum nitrate levels for vegetables, for example, the maximum acceptable NO_3^- concentration was 3500 mg NO_3^{-} kg⁻¹ (fresh weight) for winter-grown crops in Germany (Anonymous, 1993) and 3000 mg NO_3^{-} kg⁻¹ (fresh weight) for leafy vegetables in China (Anonymous, 2003) and the maximum permissible levels established by European Commission Regulation 466/2001 (2001) for lettuce produced in protected areas (4500 mg kg⁻¹ FW). Abundant NO_3^- availability leads to excessive absorption by the roots in larger quantities converted into NH4⁺ via nitrate reductase (Blom-Zandstra, 1989; Wang et al., 2008). Resulting to NO₃ accumulation in the vacuoles of the cells. This frequently takes place in lettuce plants to continue N uptake until harvest (Salomez and Hofman, 2009) and accumulate excessive concentrations of NO_3^- in their tissues.

Many researchers have been conducted to reduce the accumulation of NO_3^- in vegetables. The addition of amino acids (AAs) partially replace the NO_3^- in the nutrient solution may reduce the NO_3^- concentrations in vegetables (Gunes *et al.*, 1994;1996; Inal and Tarakcioglu, 2001; Inal *et al.*, 1995; Wang *et al.*,2004; Wang *et al.*,2008 and Tsouvaltzis *et al.*,2014)

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of NO_3 -N/AA-N ratios on the growth and NO_3 -accumulation in lettuce.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in green house, at Soil Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University during 2015 winter season.

Seeds of lettuce iceberg (*Lactuca sativa*) var Sahara were sowed in peat moss blocks .Fifteen- dayold seedlings were transplanted at rate of three plants per meter in gutters of 4 meters in length, spaced 30 cm apart, and held at a slope of 5%. Lettuce plants were grown in green house from 10 January to 25 February, 2015. The nutrient solution were held in 20- L containers, each container supplying on gutters (i.e. one treatment)

Eighteen treatments were used in the experiment (Table 1). The eighteen treatments included six amino acids (AA), argnine (Arg), alanine (Ala), aspartic acid (Asp), glutamic acid (Glu), glutamine (Gln), , glycine (Gly) and three NO3-N/AA-N molar ratios: (1) 100: 0, (2) 80:20 and (3) 60:40. All treatments had the same total N concentration at rate of 12.5 mmol L^{-1} in nutrient solution.

Other macronutrient compositions in the nutrient solutions were kept the same for all treatments [2 mmol L^{-1} magnesium sulfate(MgSO₄·7H₂O), 1 mmol L^{-1} monopotassium phosphate (KH₂PO₄), 4 mmol L^{-1} calcium chloride (CaCl₂), 0.02 mmol L^{-1} iron-[ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)]. The nutrient solutions also contained the same concentrations of

micronutrients for all treatments as described by Hoagland and Arnon (1950). Treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with three replicates.

During the experiment, pH of nutrient solutions was maintained at about 6.0 by addition of either 1 mmol L^{-1} sodium hydroxide (NaOH) or sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) and the nutrient solutions were replaced every four days.

After 45 days from transplanting, the plants were harvested at a commercial stage of development. Two plants from each pot were sampled for NO_3 -N determination on fresh material. The plants were rinsed with deionized water, separated into shoot and root, weighed and dried at 70C° for 48 hr in an oven. Thereafter plants weighed.

Nitrate-N was determined by colourimetric method as described by Singh (1988).

Table 1. Nitrogen compositions (mmol]	L ⁻¹) in nutrient solutions for different treatments.	•
--	---	---

Amino acids (AA)	NO ₃ ⁻ -N/AA-N	NO ₃ ⁻ -N	Arg -N	Ala-N	Asp-N	Glu-N	Gln-N	Gly-N
	100:0	12.5	0.0	_	_	_	_	_
Arg	80:20	10.0	2.5	_	_	_	_	_
	60:40	7.5	5.0	_	_	_	_	_
	100:0	12.5	_	0.0	_	_	_	_
Ala	80:20	10.0	_	2.5	_	_	_	_
	60:40	7.5	_	5.0	_	_	_	_
	100:0	12.5	_	_	0.0	_	_	_
Asp	80:20	10.0	_	_	2.5	_	_	_
	60:40	7.5			5.0			
	100:0	12.5				0.0		
Glu	80:20	10.0				2.5		
	60:40	7.5	_	_	_	5.0	_	_
	100:0	12.5					0.0	
Gln	80:20	10.0					2.5	
	60:40	7.5					5.0	
	100:0	12.5	_	_	_	_	_	0.0
Gly	80:20	10.0	_	_	_	-	_	2.5
5	60:40	7.5	_	_	_	_	_	5.0

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth of lettuce:

Fresh and dry weight of shoot and root were significantly affected by AA sources, NO3-N/AA-N ratios and AA sources \times NO₃⁻N/AA-N ratio interactions (Table 2,3). When 20% and 40% of NO_3^{-1} N were replaced by different AA in nutrient solutions, fresh and dry weight of shoot and root, reduced significantly compared to the 100% NO₃⁻ treatment. These results are in agreement with Wang et al.,(2008) who reported that when 20% of nitrate-N was replaced with (Arg)compared to the full nitrate treatment, pakchoi shoot fresh and dry weight increased significantly $(P \le 0.05)$, but when 20% of nitrate-N was replaced with (Ala), (Asp), (Glu), (Gly), valine (Val), leucine isoleucine (Ile), proline (Leu). (Pro). phenylalanine(Phe), methionine (Met), lysine (Lys), serine (Ser), threonine (Thr), cysteine (Cys), and tyrosine (Tyr), shoot fresh and dry weight decreased significantly ($P \le 0.05$). After replacing 20% of nitrate-N with asparagine (Asn) and (Gln), shoot fresh and dry weights were unaffected. Fresh and dry weight of shoot and root were significantly lower in Glu treatment than in all other treatments when NO3-N/AA-N ratios were 80:20 and 60:40.Shoot fresh weights, root fresh and dry weights were significantly higher in (Arg) treatment than other treatments, while shoot dry weight was significantly higher only when 40% of the NO₃⁻-N was replaced by (Arg) than other treatments. These results indicated that the replacement of NO₃⁻ -N by AAs as N sources inhibited plant growth and (Glu) had stronger inhibitory effect than all other treatments. The inhibitory effect increased with increasing replacement of $NO_3^- N$ by AA-N. Nitrate increases production of physiologically active forms of cytokinins which stimulate leaf growth (Rahayu *et al.*, 2005). Nitrate also functions as osmotica in vacuoles for cell extension (Marschner, 1995).

However, Gunes *et al.*, (1994, 1996) indicated that replacing 20% of the nitrate with Gly or a mixture of amino acids did not affect fresh and dry weight of onion and lettuce.

NO₃⁻Concentration in lettuce Shoots:

Nitrate concentrations were significantly affected by NO₃⁻-N/AA-N ratios and AA source \times NO₃⁻-N/AA-N ratio interactions (Table 4). When 20% and 40% of NO₃⁻-N were replaced by Arg-N, Ala-N, Asp-N, Glu-N, Gln-N and Gly-N in nutrient solutions, nitrate concentrations were reduced significantly compared to the 100% NO₃⁻ treatment .Decreasing NO₃⁻-N/AA-N ratios reduced NO₃ concentration in fresh shoot under all AA sources except (Gln) treatment. Plants grown in (Glu) treatment had lower NO₃ concentrations in fresh shoot than other treatments when NO3-N/AA-N ratio was 80:20 only, while plants grown in (Ala) treatment had lower NO₃⁻ concentration in fresh shoot than other treatments when NO3-N/AA-N ratio was 60:40. The use of hydrolyzed protein solution containing 11.3% Lamino acids (alanine, arginine, aspartic acid, glutamic acid, glycine, histidine, isoleucine, leucine, lysine, methionine, phenylalanine, proline, serine, threonine, tyrosine and valine), 4% total N and 25% organic matter,as alternative of inorganic supplemental

fertilization is of high importance, in order to minimize nitrate content in lettuce (Tsouvaltzis et al. 2014) .The reasons for the inhibition were probably due to the reduction of NO₃ concentrations in the nutrient solution after partially replacing NO₃⁻ by AA and NO₃⁻ uptake inhibition by AA. (Wang et al., 2008) .The interpretation of this effect is contradictory among researchers, with other stating that amino acids are preferably absorbed by plants as reduced nitrogen source (Gunes et al., 1994, 1996), while others claim that the main role of amino acids on nitrate uptake and assimilation is the regulation of many processes and metabolic pathways of plant N metabolism, such as nitrate. The results indicated that AA as a N source inhabited NO₃ accumulation in lettuce shoots. The effect of amino acid application on NO₃⁻ reduction, has also been demonstrated in field grown Pak-choi (Wang et al., 2007), radish (Liu et al., 2008 a, b), as well as lettuce (Gunes et al., 1994) and onion (Gunes et al., 1996) grown in NFT. The NO₃⁻ content of leafy radish was decreased 24-38% by applying MAA (the mixtures of alanine, *β*-alanine, aspartic acid, asparagine, glutamic acid, glutamine and glycine) were sprayed to plant leaf (P < 0.001) as compared to the control (Liu et al., 2008a). The use of amino acids as alternative of mineral supplemental fertilization is of high importance, in order to minimize nitrate content in consumed vegetables particularly the nitrate content of plants was much lower than maximum permissible levels established by European Commission Regulation 466/2001 (2001) for lettuce produced in protected areas $(4500 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ FW}).$

Table2. Shoot fresh and dry weight (g. plant⁻¹) of lettuce grown in solutions with different NO₃⁻ -N/AA-N ratios and amino acid sources.

				Shoot fr	esh weight (g.plant ⁻¹)		
	Amino acid Sources (AA)	(Arg)	(Ala)	(Asp)	(Glu)	(Gln)	(Gly)	Mean
NO ₃ ⁻ -N/AA-N								
Ratios(N)								
80:20		147.49	101.84	96.04	89.55	128.17	112.37	112.58
60:40		140.64	98.32	90.95	86.91	131.68	108.91	109.57
Mean		144.07	100.08	93.50	88.23	129.93	110.64	111.08
100:0		175.58	175.58	175.58	175.58	175.58	175.58	175.58
L.S.D at 5% for				(AA) = 4.86,	(N) = 2.60,	$AA \times N=6.87$,	
					lry weight (g.			
Amino acid sources (AA) NO_3^- -N/AA-N Ratios (N)		(Arg)	(Ala)	(Asp)	(Glu)	(Gln)	(Gly)	Mean
80:20		6.28	5.17	4.43	4.06	6.28	5.76	5.33
60 : 40		5.90	4.35	3.84	3.69	5.54	4.80	4.69
Mean		6.09	4.76	4.14	3.88	5.91	5.28	5.01
100:0		7.38	7.38	7.38	7.38	7.38	7.38	7.38
L.S.D at 5% for						$AA \times N=0.55$		

Table3. Root fresh and dry weight (g.plant⁻¹) of lettuce grown in solutions with different NO₃⁻ -N/AA-N ratios and amino acid sources.

				Root fre	esh weight (g	.plant ⁻¹)		
NO ₃ ⁻ -N/AA-N Ratios(N)	Amino acid sources(AA)	(Arg)	(Ala)	(Asp)	(Glu)	(Gln)	(Gly)	Mean
80:20		18.59	13.18	12.27	11.32	16.17	14.22	14.29
60:40		17.95	12.50	11.46	11.11	16.85	13.86	13.96
Mean		18.27	12.84	11.87	11.22	16.51	14.04	14.13
100:0		22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00	22.00
L.S.D at 5% for					(N) = 0.23, A			
				Root d	ry weight (g.	plant ⁻¹)		
Amino acid sources (AA) NO_3^- -N/AA-N Ratios (N)		(Arg)	(Ala)	(Asp)	(Glu)	(Gln)	(Gly)	Mean
80:20		0.93	0.81	0.69	0.64	0.92	0.89	0.81
60:40		0.87	0.73	0.58	0.55	0.85	0.82	0.73
Mean		0.90	0.77	0.64	0.60	0.89	0.86	0.78
100:0		1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06	1.06
L.S.D at 5% for				(AA) = 0.05	, (N)= 0.03,A	$A \times N=0.07$		

Table 4. Nitrate concentrations (mg kg ⁻¹	¹ f.w) in lettuce fresh shoots grown in solutions with different NO_3^- -	
N/AA-N ratios and amino acid s	ources.	

			NO ₃ in lettu	ce fresh shoo	ts (mg kg ⁻¹ f.	w)	
Amino acid sources(AA) NO ₃ ⁻ -N/AA-N	(Arg)	(Ala)	(Asp)	(Glu)	(Gln)	(Gly)	Mean
Ratios(N) 80:20	4227.01	3160.20	2460.20	2981.60	2060.00	4250 50	3539.94
	4327.01	2638.05	3460.30 3014.00		3060.00	4250.50	3171.51
60 : 40	3449.03			2708.00	3160.00	4060.00	
Mean	3888.02	2899.13	3237.15	2844.80	3110.00	4155.25	3355.73
100:0	4910.00	4910.00	4910.00	4910.00	4910.00	4910.00	4910.00
L.S.D at 5% for			(AA) =145	.8, (N) =77.9,	$AA \times N=206.2$	2	

REFERENCES

- Anonymous, A. (1993). Lettuce crop threat by German limits in N. Grower 17(3): 1–2.
- Anonymous,A.(2003).Tolerance Limit for Nitrate in Vegetables. GB 1938-2003.Beijing: China Standard Press.
- Bartsch, H.; Ohshima, H. and Pignatelli, B. (1988). Inhibitors of endogenous nitrosation: mechanisms and implications in human cancer prevention. Mutation Research, 202 : 307-324.
- Blom-Zandstra, M. (1989). Nitrate accumulation in vegetables and its relationship to quality. Annals of Applied Biology 115: 553–561.
- Dich, J.; Jrvinen, R.; Knekt, P. and Penttil, P. L. (1996). Dietary intakes of nitrate, nitrite and NDMA in the Finnish mobile clinic health examination survey. Food Additives and Contaminants 13: 541–552.
- European Commission Regulation (EC) No 466/2001, (2001). Setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs. Official. J.Eur. Commun., L77: 1–13
- Gunes, A.; Post, W.N.K.; Kirkby, E.A. and Aktas, M. (1994). Influence of partial replacement of nitrate by amino acid nitrogen or urea in the nutrient medium on nitrate accumulation in NFT grown winter lettuce. Journal of Plant Nutrition, 17: 1929–1938
- Gunes, A.; Inal A.and Aktas, M. (1996). Reducing nitrate content of NFT grown winter onion plants (Allium cepa L.) by partial replacement of NO₃⁻ with amino acid in nutrient solution. Sci. Hortic., 65: 203–208.
- Hoagland, D. R. and Arnon. D. I. (1950). The water culture method for growing plants without soil. California Agric. Exp. Sta. Circ. 39–42.
- Inal, A.; Gunes, A. and Aktas, M. (1995). Effects of chloride and partial substitution of reduced form of nitrogen for nitrate in nutrient solution in the nitrate, total nitrogen and chloride contents of onion. Journal of Plant Nutrition 18: 2219–2227.
- Inal, A. and Tarakcioglu C. (2001). Effects of nitrogen forms on growth nitrate accumulation membrane permeability and nitrogen use efficiency of hydroponically grown bunch onion under boron deficiency and toxicity. Journal of Plant Nutrition 24: 1521–1534.

- Liu, X.Q.; Chen, H.Y; Ni ,Q.X. and Lee, K.S. (2008 a) Evaluation of the role of mixed amino acids in nitrate uptake and assimilation in leafy radish by using 15N-labeled nitrate. Agric. Sci. Chin., 7: 1196–1202.
- Liu, X.Q.; Ko,K.Y.; Kim, S.H. and Lee, K.S. (2008 b) Effect of amino acid fertilization on nitrate assimilation of leafy radish and soil chemical properties in high nitrate soil. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal., 39: 269–281.
- Marschner, H. (1995). Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. London: Harcourt Brace.
- Montemurro, F. (2010). Are organic N fertilizing strategies able to improve lettuce yield, use of nitrogen and N status? Journal of Plant Nutrition, 33: 1980–1997
- Porto, M.L.; Alves, J.D. C.; De Souza, A.P.; Araújo, R.D.C. and De Arruda, J.A. (2008). Nitrate production and accumulation in lettuce as affected by mineral nitrogen supply and organic fertilization. Hortic. Bras., 26: 227–230.
- Rahayu, Y. S.; Walch-Liu, P.; Neumann, G.; R"omheld,
 V. ;Wiren, N.and Bangerth, F. (2005). Rootderived cytokinins as long-distance signals forNO₃⁻ - induced stimulation of leaf growth.Journal of Experimental Botany 56: 1143–1152.
- Salomez, J. and Hofman, G. (2009). Nitrogen nutrition effects on nitrate accumulation of soil-grown greenhouse butterhead lettuce. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal., 40: 620–632.
- Santamaria, P. Elia, A. and Serio, F. (1998). Fertilization strategies for lowering nitrate content in leafy vegetables: chicory and rocket salad cases. Journal of Plant Nutrition, 21, 1791 -1803.
- Shen, M. Z.; Zhai, B. J.; Dong, H. R. and Li, J. G. (1982). Study on nitrate content of vegetables. Estimate on nitrate and nitrite content of different vegetables. Acta Horticulture Sinica 9: 41–48.
- Singh, J.P.(1988) A rapid method for determination of nitrate in soil and plant extracts. Plant and Soil 110: 137-139.
- Slob ,W.; van der Berg, R. and van Veen ,M. P. (1995). A statistical exposure model applied to nitrate intake in the Dutch population. In: Health Aspects of Nitrates and Its Metabolites.Council of Europe Press, Strasbourg. pp. 75-82.

- Tsouvaltzis, P.; Koukounaras, A. and Siomos, A. S. (2014) Application of amino acids improves lettuce crop uniformity and inhibits nitrate accumulation induced by the supplemental inorganic nitrogen fertilization .International Journal Of Agriculture & Biology 16: 951–955.
- Wang, H. J.; Wu, L. H. and Tao, Q. N. (2004). Influence of partial replacement of nitrate by amino acids on nitrate accumulation of pakchoi (Brassica chinensis). China Environmental Science 24: 19–23.
- Wang, H.J.; Wu, L.H.; Wang, M.Y.; Zhu, Y.H.; Tao, Q.N. and Zhang, F.S. (2007). Effects of amino acids replacing nitrate on growth, nitrate accumulation and macroelement concentrations in Pak-choi (Brassica chinensis L.). Pedosphere, 17: 595–600
- Wang, Z.H.; Li, S.X. and Malhi, S. (2008). Effects of fertilization and other agronomic measures on nutritional quality of crops. J. Sci. Food Agric., 88: 7–23.

تأثير إحلال النترات بالأحماض الأمينيه على النمو وتراكم النترات في نبات الخس. يوسف على عبد العال ، سيد طه أبو زيد ، أمل لطفي عبد اللطيف و شيماء حافظ نصر الصباغ قسم الأراضي - كليه الزراعه – جامعه القاهره-الجيزه – مصر.

يهدف هذا البحث الى دراسه تأثير مصادر النيتروجين على النمو و تراكم النترات فى نبات الخس (ايس برج) وذلك باستخدام مزارع الأغشيه المغذيه . تم استخدام 6 أحماض أمينيه هى (الأرجنين , الالانين، اسبارتيك ,الجلوتاميك , الجلوتامين , الجليسين) لكلا منها 3 نسب من النترات- ن/ الاحماض الأمينيه – ن هى 100.0 , 20:80 , 20:60 وكان تركيز النيتروجين فى المحلول المغذى ثابت فى كل المعاملات و هو 12.5 ملليمول / لتر . أشارت النتائج الى نقص فى النمو فى كل معاملات الاحماض الامينيه مقارنه بمعامله الكنترول و معاملات و معنوي فى الوزن الطازج والجاف للمجموع الخضرى والجذرى لمعامله الجلوتاميك مقارنه بالمعاملات الأخرى لكلا من نسبتى الاضافه 20:80 , 20:80 . وكذلك حدث نقص فى تركيز النترات فى المجموع الخضرى فى معاملات الأحماض الأمينيه مقارنه بالعاملات الأخرى مقارنه بالكنترول . حققت معامله الجلوتاميك بنسبه 20:80 أقل تراكم للنترات مقارنه ببقيه المعاملات الأحماض النسبه.