Impact of Mineral, Organic and Bio Fertilizers on Growth, Yield and Quality of Fodder Beet under Sandy Soil Conditions in North Sinai Khatab, A. K. ; Nadia M. Hemeid and Amira A. Mohamed Soils, Water and Environ. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center (ARC), Giza, Egypt



# ABSTRACT

A field experiments was carried out at a private farm a; (Yamit village). Rafah in Governorate of North Sinai (31° 16' 27" N. 34° 10' 07" E at an altitude 7m) in two successive winter seasons of 2013/2014 and 2014/2015 to study the effect of some nitrogen sources viz.(60 kg Nfed<sup>-1</sup> as NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> + 2t Compost fed<sup>-1</sup>), (60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> + biofertilizer mixed with seeds, BF as N<sub>2</sub> fixation), (2 t Compost fed<sup>-1</sup> + BF) and (120 Kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> only, as a recommended level) and three P fertilizer levels 15, 30, 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> fed<sup>-1</sup>, as single superphosphate on fodder beet growth attributes, yield and its components and quality under drip irrigation system prevailing in the region. The results showed that application of (60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> + 2t compost fed<sup>-1</sup>) produced the highest significant level of chlorophyll contents in leaves of fodder beet whereas the other N sources came in this order: (120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> + BF) > (2 t compost fed<sup>-1</sup> + BF) > (60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> + BF). Also, this treatment significantly surpassed the other treatments in respect of fresh and dry top weights plant<sup>-1</sup> and dry root weight plant<sup>-1</sup> of fodder beet. The significant promotive effect of (60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> + 2t compost fed<sup>-1</sup>) treatment extended to include dry top and root yields ton fed<sup>-1</sup>as well as N, P and K nutrients content in leaves and roots. Application of 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> fed<sup>-1</sup> brought about the highest significant fresh top yield (ton fed<sup>-1</sup>) whereas application of 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> fed<sup>-1</sup> significant effect of application of 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> fed<sup>-1</sup> gave the highest is not yields. The promotive significant effect of application of 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> fed<sup>-1</sup> gave the highest significant effect on N, P and K content in leaves and roots of fodder beet; respectively. Also, application of 45 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> fed<sup>-1</sup> gave the highest significant effect on N, P and K content in leaves and roots of fodder beet.

Keywords: mineral fertilizers, compost, biofertilizer, fodder beet, growth attributes, yield.

# INTRODUCTION

Newly reclaimed soils in Egypt are generally sandy in texture, low content of available nutrient elements, organic matter and water holding capacity. Such characteristics are not favorable for plant growth. Hence, incorporation of organic matter such as plant residues, cattle manure as well as composted plant materials can enhance water holding capacity of sandy soil and its nutrient availability status as stated by many investigators. Data recorded by Dhanushkodi and Subrahmaniyan (2012) showed that the application of compost led to increase the available N, P and K and organic carbon content in the soil, moreover the reduction of soil bulk density and pH. Rashad et al., (2015) revealed that application of organic matter led to an increase of nutrients in plant parts and soil in comparison with no organic matter applied. Nitrogen has been proved to be the most yield-limiting nutrient factor. Fodder beet (Beta vulgaris L.) greatly responses to nitrogen levels applied and this crop is successfully grown on a wide range in North Sinai particularly in Rafah and Al-Arish, where the crop absorbs an abundance of winter precipitation to represent a good source of fodder for cattle (Niazi et al., 2000). As a matter of fact, high water level and sugar in fodder beet increase milk production and is suitable for dairy cows and by this way the wide gap in beef cattle production that has been recently appeared, can be narrowed. The crop, which has extremely has high yield potential, requires large amounts of nitrogen. Results of Zamfir et al., (2001) and Zaki (1999) reported that increasing nitrogen fertilization increased dry matter yield and crude protein in fodder beet.

Phosphorus deficiencies lead to a reduction in the rate of leaf expansion and photosynthesis per unit leaf area (Rodriguez *et al.*, 1998). Therefore, it was advisable to include different rates of phosphorus fertilization in the current investigation.

The objective of this study is to investigate the effect of mineral, organic and bio fertilizer treatments on fodder beet plant growth attributes, yield and its components and quality under sandy soil conditions of North Sinai.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted on a newly reclaimed sandy loam soil at Yamit village, Rafah  $(31^{\circ} 16^{\circ} 27)^{\circ}$  N.  $34^{\circ} 10^{\circ} 07^{\circ}$  E at an altitude 7m) in Governorate of North Sinai, Egypt during the two successive winter seasons of 2013/2014 and 2014/2015. **Field experiment layout:** 

Fertilizer N treatments were arranged within P treatment in three replicate in split-plot design, N treatment as the main plot and P treatment as the sub plot.

#### The N fertilizer was applied as follow

- 1.60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> as NH4NO3 (33.5% N) combined with 2t compost fed<sup>-1</sup>.
- 2.60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> combined with nitrogen fixing biofertilizer, as  $N_2$  fixation.
- 3. 2t compost fed<sup>-1</sup> with biofertilizer.
- 4. 120 kg N fed"<sup>1</sup> as  $NH_4NO_3$  (33.5% N) recommended dose.

The P fertilizer levels were applied at rates (15, 30, 45 kg  $P_2O_5$  fed<sup>-1</sup>) as single superphosphate (15%  $P_2O_5$ ) on fodder beet growth attributes, yield and its components and quality under drip irrigation system prevailing in the region. Soil surface samples (0 -30 cm) were collected from the experimental fields in each season to determine some physical and chemical properties of the soil according to standard methods and procedures illustrated by Black *et al.*,(1965) as shown in Table 1.

A representative sample of compost was taken to determine the main chemical characteristics and nutrient status and then they obtained results were presented in Table 2.

### Khatab, A. K. et al.

Droportion	Va	lues
Properties	2013/2014	2014/2015
Particle size distribution (%)		
Coarse sand	24.30	27.30
Fine sand	73.85	70.75
Silt	0.75	0.80
Clay	1.10	1.15
Texture class	Sand	y loam
$CaCO_3(\%)$	5.70	4.25
Organic matter (%)	0.10	0.14
EC (dSm <sup>-1</sup> , Soil paste ext.)	2.37	2.28
pH (1:2.5 soil water susp.)	7.33	7.52
Soluble ions $mmol_{c} L^{-1}$		
Ca <sup>+2</sup>	5.47	6.65
$Mg^{+2}$ Na <sup>+</sup>	4.40	5.10
Na <sup>+</sup>	12.73	10.12
$\mathbf{K}^+$	0.56	0.71
HCO <sup>-</sup> <sub>3</sub>	4.30	4.63
Cl	11.15	12.28
SO <sup>-2</sup> <sub>4</sub>	7.71	5.67
Available N (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )	12.85	13.20
Available P $(mgkg^{-1})$	4.05	4.18
Available K (mgkg <sup>-1</sup> )	122.60	123.15

Table 2: Some characteristics of the used compost crop residues

Characters		Values
Weight of 1m <sup>3</sup> (kg)		400
Moisture (%)		30
Water holding capacity (%)		33.5
pH (1:10 water suspension)		7.05
EC(dSm <sup>-1</sup> , 1:10 soil: water extract)		4.35
C/N ratio		18
Organic carbon (%)		38
Organic matter (%)		65
Total macronutrients (%)		
Ν	Р	Κ
1.85	0.57	0.97

The compost was applied at the rate of 2 t fed-1 in the experiment in each season. The experimental treatments involved biofertilizer inoculum represented by free living N2 fixing bacteria called Azospirillum brazilense. It was kindly provided by Biofertilizer Production Unit, Soils, Water and Environment Institute, Agric. Res. Center (ARC) Giza, Egypt.

The experiment was carried out with three replicates and each plot measured (3 m x 3.5 m) comprising 7 irrigation hoses, 50 cm apart and space between drippers was 50 cm. The main plots were allocated for nitrogen fertilizer sources, while the sub plots were devoted to phosphorus fertilizer rates. Seeds of fodder beet (*Beta Voroshenger Cv.*) were sown in mid November 2013 and 2014 at the rate of 3 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> and seedlings were thinned to one seedling per hill after 45 days from sowing. Compost was incorporated into the main plots by labor hands in hill bottoms one time before sowing. Biofertilizer inoculum suspension was mixed with seeds thoroughly using Arabic gum as an adhesive material on the day of sowing while the inorganic nitrogen fertilizer was banded in three equal

splits after 50, 70 and 90 days from sowing. In sub plots P fertilizer treatments were banded during preparing seed bed. All experimental plots received K fertilizer equally in two doses with the first and the second nitrogen doses as potassium sulphate (48%  $K_2O$ ) at the rate of 100 kg  $K_2O$  fed<sup>-1</sup>

Photosynthetic pigments in leaves were determined and calculated after 100 days from sowing according to Moran (1982). Fresh and dry weights of tops and roots per plant were also recorded.

Fodder beet plants were harvested by mid June in both seasons and fresh and dry yield of tops and roots were assessed. Meanwhile, N, P and K were evaluated in dry leaves and roots as outlined in A. O. A. C. (1990). Nitrite and nitrate were determined in the two parts of the plant in line with Sing (1988). Total carbohydrate was evaluated in leaves and roots according to the method described by Miller (1959). Analysis of variance was computed for each trait as combined means of the two growing seasons according to Snedecor and Cochran (1980) and treatment means were compared using LSD at 5% level of probability.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# 1- Effect of mineral, organic compost and bio fertilizers on growth attributes:

Data in Table 3 show that application of N fertilizer to fodder beet plants at the rate of 60 kg N fed<sup>1</sup> + 2t compost fed<sup>-1</sup> produced the highest significant level of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and chlorophyll a + b in leaves of fodder beet whereas other N forms came in this order: 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> > (2t compost fed<sup>-1</sup> + BF) > (60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> + BF). Similarly the application of the same treatment yielded the highest significant fresh top and root weights plant<sup>-1</sup> and dry root weight plant<sup>-1</sup> and in the same order. Results also showed that the combination of 2t compost fed<sup>-1</sup> and BF had significantly better effect than the combination of 60 kg

N fed<sup>-1</sup> and BF on all growth attributes with the exception of fresh root weight plant<sup>-1</sup>. The significantly favorable effect of  $N_2$  fixing bacteria contained in biofertilizer could be related to its capability to enrich availability of N and other essential plant nutrients that helped in increasing meristemic activities responsible for cell elongation and division as well as dry matter accumulation. Similar findings were confirmed by Al-Gamal (1996) while working on the response of tomato in new reclaimed areas to mineral nitrogen fertilizer levels and  $N_2$  fixing biofertilizer. Larimi et al., (2014) found that used of biofertilizer treatment increased significantly chlorophyll (a) and (b) in leaf of sweet basil.

 Table 3: Growth attributes of fodder beet plants of 100 days from sowing in Rafah at different N sources and P levels as combined means of two growing seasons 2013/2014 and 2014/2015.

Treatments			$(mg dm^{-2})$		Fresh top	Dry top	Fresh root	Dry root
N Sources		a	b	a + b	wt. g plant <sup>-1</sup>	wt. g plant <sup>-1</sup>	wt. kg plant <sup>-1</sup>	wt. g plant <sup>-1</sup>
$60 \text{ kg N fed}^{-1} + 2t \text{ compost}$	fed <sup>-1</sup>	3.45	1.07	4.52	441.37	36.63	1.64	288.07
$60 \text{ kg} \text{ N fed}^{-1} + \text{BF}$		3.20	1.03	4.23	337.50	25.65	1.34	205.80
$2t \text{ compost fed}^{-1} + BF$		3.25	1.04	4.29	376.54	29.36	1.48	230.19
$120 \text{ kg} \text{ N} \text{ fed}^{-1}$		3.29	1.06	4.35	406.36	33.04	1.52	245.74
LSD <sub>.05</sub>		0.043	0.010	0.037	12.12	1.79	0.061	3.96
P levels (kg $P_2O_5$ fed <sup>-1</sup> )								
15		3.23	1.02	4.25	369.44	28.98	1.42	226.27
30		3.30	1.05	4.35	389.40	31.03	1.49	240.43
45		3.36	1.08	4.44	412.50	33.50	1.58	260.65
LSD <sub>.05</sub>		0.033	0.007	0.034	10.59	2.27	0.043	3.97
N Sources* P levels interact	tion							
	15	3.33	1.03	4.36	407.72	33.51	1.51	261.68
$60 \text{ kgN fed}^{-1} + 2 \text{tcompost fed}^{-1} \text{ x}$	30	3.45	1.08	4.53	448.53	37.12	1.63	284.53
	45	3.56	1.10	4.66	467.85	39.27	1.78	317.99
	15	3.16	1.01	4.17	319.92	23.70	1.29	193.40
$60 \text{ kg N fed}^{-1} + \text{BF x}$	30	3.19	1.02	4.21	337.88	25.60	1.33	202.79
0	45	3.25	1.05	4.30	354.71	27.65	1.41	221.20
	15	3.19	1.02	4.21	364.81	27.88	1.42	215.24
$2t \text{ compost fed}^{-1} + BF x$	30	3.26	1.05	4.31	376.35	29.37	1.49	229.86
•	45	3.29	1.06	4.35	388.47	30.83	1.53	245.48
	15	3.24	1.02	4.26	385.29	30.84	1.47	234.76
120 kg N fed <sup>-1</sup> x	30	3.30	1.06	4.36	394.83	32.03	1.51	244.55
5	45	3.34	1.09	4.43	438.96	36.24	1.59	257.92
LSD.05		N.S	0.055	0.068	N.S	N.S	N.S	7.95

The combination treatment of 60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> and 2t compost fed<sup>-1</sup> could provide relatively considerable amount of available nitrogen in the vicinity of plant roots and the compost as an organic material could increase soil water holding capacity with the result that fodder beet plants absorbed more nitrogen and water leading to increase photosynthetic pigments that represent chlorophyll a and b and consequently the rate of assimilation of photosynthetic carbon was increased and in turn fresh and dry top and roots of fodder beet plant<sup>-1</sup> were also increased. This suggestion was confirmed by Sheng and Bao (2006) who found that nitrogen supply accelerated photosynthetic carbon assimilation due to increasing photosynthetic pigments. Application of N fertilizer at the rate of 60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> together with 2t compost fed<sup>-1</sup> helped in increasing water holding capacity of sandy soil and improved

availability of essential nutrients for fodder beet plants with the result that growth characters superseded those under other treatments. Rashad *et al.*, (2015) revealed that application of organic matter led to an increase of nutrients in both plant parts and soil in comparison with no organic matter application.

Data in the same Table 3 show that increasing application of phosphorus fertilizer levels up to 45 kg  $P_2O_5$  fed<sup>-1</sup> increased significantly all chlorophyll contents in leaves of fodder beet plants besides fresh and dry tops and roots plant<sup>-1</sup> (100 days from sowing). The significantly promotive effect of phosphorus on such characteristics could be related to the increase of phosphate energy demand as the rate of photosynthesis increased for plant growth (Armstrong, 1999) and to improvement of N and S absorption by P fertilization (Graciano *et al.*, 2006).

#### Khatab, A. K. et al.

Concerning interactions of the studied fertilizer treatments, data in Table 3 reveal that the effect of interaction of (60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> + 2t compost fed<sup>-1</sup>) x 45 kg  $P_2O_5$  fed<sup>-1</sup> and 30 kg  $P_2O_5$  fed<sup>-1</sup> on chlorophyll b, chlorophyll (a + b) and dry root weight plant<sup>-1</sup> only were significant. The best interaction values were 1.10, 4.67 mg dm<sup>-2</sup> and 317.99 g plant<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

# 2-Effect of mineral, organic compost and bio fertilizers on yield components:

Data in Table 4 reveal that application of (60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> + 2t compost fed<sup>-1</sup>) significantly gave 9.07 and 0.747 t fed<sup>-1</sup> of fresh and dry fodder beet foliage out yielding other N treatments that came in this order: 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> > (2t compost fed<sup>-1</sup> + BF) > (60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> + BF) and significantly gave 8.30, 7.79 and 6.90 t fed<sup>-1</sup>

and 0.670, 0.603 and 0.520 t fed<sup>-1</sup> of fresh and dry fodder beet foliage, respectively. Application of (2t compost fed<sup>-1</sup> + BF) had significantly better effect than that obtained with 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>. Also, application of (60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> + 2t compost fed<sup>-1</sup>) gave significantly 32.15 t fed<sup>-1</sup> and 5.65 t fed<sup>-1</sup> of fodder beet fresh and dry root yields that surpassing significantly other N treatments that were in this order: 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> > (2t compost fed<sup>-1</sup> + BF) = (60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> + BF) and giving 30.52, 27.65 and 29.67 t fed<sup>-1</sup> and 4.93, 4.23 and 4.62 t fed<sup>-1</sup> of fresh and dry root yields, respectively. Meanwhile, the results in Table 4 show that (2t compost fed<sup>-1</sup> + BF) combination significantly brought about better fresh and dry tops and fresh roots of fodder beet than that of (60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> + BF) combination.

Table 4: Yield components of fodder beet plants in Rafah at different N sources and P levels as combined means of two seasons 2013/2014 and 2014/2015.

Treatments		Top fresh yield	Top dry yield	Root fresh yield	Root dry yield
N Sources		(t fed <sup>-1</sup> )	(t fed <sup>-1</sup> )	( <b>t fed</b> <sup>-1</sup> )	(t fed <sup>-1</sup> )
$60 \text{ kg N fed}^{-1} + 2t \text{ compost fed}^{-1}$		9.07	0.747	32.15	5.65
$60 \text{ kg N fed}^{-1} + \text{BF}$		6.90	0.520	27.65	4.23
$2t \text{ compost fed}^{-1} + BF$		7.79	0.603	29.67	4.62
$120 \text{ kg} \text{ N fed}^{-1}$		8.30	0.670	30.52	4.93
LSD <sub>.05</sub>		0.361	0.051	1.592	0.452
P levels (kg $P_2O_5$ fed <sup>-1</sup> )					
15		7.55	0.588	28.73	4.57
30		8.00	0.633	30.12	4.86
45		8.50	0.685	31.13	5.14
LSD <sub>.05</sub>		0.304	N.S	1.594	N.S
N Sources* P levels interaction					
	15	8.34	0.680	30.26	5.24
$60 \text{ kg N fed}^{-1} + 2t \text{ compost fed}^{-1} \text{ x}$	30	9.24	0.760	32.58	5.70
с ,	45	9.63	0.800	33.62	6.00
	15	6.53	0.480	26.91	4.03
$60 \text{ kg N fed}^{-1} + \text{BF x}$	30	6.91	0.520	27.66	4.21
C .	45	7.25	0.560	28.37	4.45
	15	7.48	0.570	28.35	4.31
$2t \text{ compost fed}^{-1} + BF x$	30	7.74	0.600	29.97	4.62
•	45	8.16	0.640	30.68	4.92
	15	7.85	0.620	29.41	4.71
120 kg N fed <sup>-1</sup> x	30	8.10	0.650	30.29	4.90
C	45	8.97	0.740	31.86	5.18
LSD <sub>.05</sub>		N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S

The significant promotive effect of (60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> + 2 t compost fed<sup>-1</sup>) combination could lead to increase N availability in fodder beet root vicinity with the result that N absorption was increased leading to increase the rate of photosynthesis and metabolic processes, physiological activities of meristemic tissues and dry matter accumulation, consequently, fresh and dry top and root yields of fodder beet got significantly increased. This explanation is supported by (Salem, 2000 and Antoun et al., 2010). The positive effect of compost on fresh and dry top and roots of fodder beet could be associated with its lowering soil pH that could enhance some nutrient availability in the soil and its improving soil physical characteristics in favor of plant root development. This suggestion is in full agreement with that of Gamal and Ragab (2003), Ayeni et al., (2010) and Hoda et al., (2015). The enhancement of fodder beet yield due to inoculation with N2 fixing

bacteria could be attributed to the capability of such organisms to produce growth regulators such as auxins, cytokinines and gibberillins that affect the production of fodder beet root biomass and nutrients uptake. This suggestion has previously been emphasized by Abd El-Naby and Gomaa (2000) and Van Loon (2007).

Data in Table 4 show that raising the rate of phosphorus fertilizer up to 45 kg  $P_2O_5$  fed<sup>-1</sup> increased significantly fresh top yield, whereas increasing P level up to 30 kg  $P_2O_5$  fed<sup>-1</sup> brought about significant fresh root yield of fodder beet. The significant effect of P fertilizer could be associated with its role in generating energy for photosynthesis, energy transfer (ATP) to support cell walls (phospholipids) as stated by (Armstrong, 1999).

Concerning N sources x P rates interaction data in Table 4 revealed that these interactions were not significant.

# 3- Effect of mineral, organic compost and bio fertilizers on chemical constituents in leaves and roots of fodder beet.

Data in Table 5 indicate that application of 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> exceeded significantly all other treatments in respect of (NO<sup>-</sup><sub>2</sub> and NO<sup>-</sup><sub>3</sub>) concentration in fodder beet leaves and roots, whereas application of (60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> + (BF) produced the highest significant total carbohydrate in fodder beet leaves and roots. In the meantime, applying (60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> + BF) produced significantly more (NO<sup>-</sup><sub>2</sub>) than the treatment of (2 t compost fed<sup>-1</sup> + BF) in leaves and roots of fodder beet.

The significantly promotive effect of synthetic N fertilizer (120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>) in producing (NO<sup>-</sup><sub>2</sub> and NO<sup>-</sup><sub>3</sub>) in fodder beet leaves and roots could be explained on the basis that the whole amount of synthetic N fertilizer

in this treatment was applied in the form of ammonium nitrate which contains (NO<sup> $^{-}$ </sup><sub>3</sub>) by 50% that reduced to NO<sup> $^{-}$ </sup><sub>2</sub> by nitrogen reeducates in the presence of adenine triphosphate (ATP) as confirmed by Solomonson and Barber (1990) while investigating properties and regulation of assimilatory nitrate reeducates.

The combination of (60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> and BF) gave the highest significant percentage of total carbohydrate in leaves and roots of fodder beet could be explained that the activity of  $N_2$  fixing microorganisms releases available N and other essential nutrients from the soil containing phosphorus for fodder beet plants that used as a source of energy to produce carbohydrate through photosynthesis process as confirmed by Abd El-Naby and Gomaa (2000).

Table 5: Nitrite, nitrate and total carbohydrate in leaves and roots of fodder beet plants in Rafah at harvest versus different N sources and P levels as combined means of two growing seasons 2013/2014 and 2014/2015

Treatments			Le	eaves	Roots			
Treatments		$NO_2$	NO <sub>3</sub>	Total	NO <sub>2</sub>	NO <sub>3</sub>	Total	
N Sources		ppm	ppm	carbohydrate %	ppm	ppm	carbohydrate %	
$60 \text{ kg N fed}^{-1} + 2t \text{ compost fed}^{-1}$		14.76	29.46	6.99	20.29	42.40	67.00	
$60 \text{ kg N fed}^{-1} + \text{BF}$		13.11	28.75	7.73	18.42	33.72	68.13	
$2t \text{ compost fed}^{-1} + BF$		11.56	24.25	7.36	14.08	24.47	67.69	
120 kg N fed <sup>-1</sup>		26.18	48.11	7.26	33.82	70.93	67.29	
LSD.05		0.19	1.67	0.43	0.20	0.17	0.25	
P levels (kg $P_2O_5$ fed <sup>-1</sup> )								
15		16.00	32.37	7.30	21.20	42.43	67.29	
30		16.37	32.63	7.38	21.62	42.85	67.50	
45		16.85	32.94	7.33	22.14	43.37	67.80	
LSD.05		0.14	N.S	N.S	0.13	0.18	0.18	
N Sources* P levels interaction								
	15	14.32	29.17	6.89	19.83	42.12	66.61	
$60 \text{ kg N fed}^{-1} + 2t \text{ compost fed}^{-1} \text{ x}$	30	14.80	29.42	6.97	20.27	42.37	66.97	
	45	15.17	29.80	7.11	20.78	42.71	67.42	
	15	12.68	28.43	7.60	18.02	33.52	68.14	
60 kg N fed <sup>-1</sup> + BF x	30	13.02	28.78	7.72	18.38	33.69	68.03	
	45	13.63	29.05	7.87	18.86	33.96	68.21	
	15	11.25	24.05	7.28	13.78	24.35	67.48	
$2t \text{ compost fed}^{-1} + BF x$	30	11.53	24.22	7.35	14.05	24.41	67.71	
	45	11.90	24.47	7.44	14.40	24.65	67.89	
	15	25.73	47.81	7.41	33.15	69.73	66.91	
$120 \text{ kg N fed}^{-1} \text{ x}$	30	26.12	48.08	7.47	33.78	70.91	67.28	
	45	26.68	48.45	6.91	34.53	72.15	67.67	
LSD.05		N.S	N.S	N.S	0.27	0.35	N.S	

#### 4- Effect of mineral, organic compost and bio fertilizers on N, P and K content in leaves and roots of fodder beet.

Data in Table 6 emphasiz that application of  $(60 \text{ kg N fed}^{-1} + 2t \text{ compost fed}^{-1})$  produced significantly the highest values of N, P and K content in leaves and roots of fodder beet and the other treatments came in this order: (120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>)>(2t compost fed<sup>-1</sup> + BF)> (60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> + BF) for the content of N and K and N, P and K in leaves and roots; respectively but there was no difference among (120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>), (2t compost fed<sup>-1</sup> + BF), (60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> + BF) in their effect of P content in leaves of fodder beet.

The significant effect of (60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>+ 2 t compost fed<sup>-1</sup>) could be related to the existence of compost that played an effective role in providing plants with N besides its favorable effect on modifying soil pH in the vicinity of roots of fodder beet that made essential nutrients available for the plant and increasing water holding capacity of the soil, consequently, plants absorbed more water and nutrients than those in other treatments. These results are confirmed by Ayeni *et al.*, (2010) and Umesha *et. al.*, (2014)

Also, data in Table 6 demonstrate that the application of phosphorus fertilizer at the rate of 45kg  $P_2O_5$  fed<sup>-1</sup> surpassed significantly the other phosphorus treatments in respect of N, P and K uptake in leaves and

roots of fodder beet with the exception of  $P_{15}$  and  $P_{30}$  treatments that had the same effect on P uptake in leaves of fodder beet.

The significant effect of increasing the rate of P fertilizer level on nutrient uptake in leaves and roots of fodder beet could be attributed to the increase of P availability in the vicinity of roots of fodder beet by increasing the rate of P fertilization, which enhanced the rate of absorption of such nutrients and accelerated the rate of photosynthesis and in turn the dry matter accumulation got increased. This explanation was supported by Armstrong (1999) who made a detailed study on functions of phosphorus in plants.

 Table 6: N, P and K content in leaves and roots of fodder beet at harvest versus different N sources and P levels as combined means of two seasons 2013/2014 and 2014/2015

			Leaves		Roots			
Treatments		Ν	Р	К	Ν	Р	K	
		Tota	l content (k	(gfed <sup>-1</sup> )	Total content (kgfed <sup>-1</sup> )			
N Sources								
$60 \text{ kg N fed}^{-1}$ + 2t compost fed $^{-1}$		17.46	1.78	22.99	50.18	14.77	74.67	
$60 \text{ kg} \text{ N fed}^{-1} + \text{BF}$		11.26	1.00	14.69	33.46	9.06	50.15	
$2t \text{ compost fed}^{-1} + BF$		13.34	1.19	17.57	37.31	10.37	57.43	
120 kg N fed <sup>-1</sup>		15.23	1.40	20.02	41.14	11.73	63.58	
LSD <sub>.05</sub>		0.956	0.414	0.672	1.724	0.690	2.506	
P levels (kg $P_2O_5$ fed <sup>-1</sup> )								
15		12.56	1.10	16.59	36.38	9.56	53.47	
30		14.34	1.30	18.67	40.52	10.89	60.95	
45		16.07	1.62	21.19	44.67	13.99	69.95	
LSD <sub>.05</sub>		0.968	0.215	0.644	1.607	0.766	1.999	
N Sources* P levels interaction								
	15	15.16	1.43	20.13	44.02	12.05	61.84	
$60 \text{ kg N fed}^{-1}$ + 2t compost fed $^{-1}$ x	30	17.94	1.82	23.33	50.73	14.25	76.38	
	45	19.28	2.08	25.52	55.80	18.00	85.80	
	15	9.89	0.82	12.72	30.63	7.66	45.54	
$60 \text{ kg N fed}^{-1} + \text{BF x}$	30	11.28	0.94	14.72	33.26	8.84	48.84	
-	45	12.60	1.23	16.63	36.49	10.68	56.07	
	15	11.91	1.03	15.73	33.19	8.62	50.00	
$2t \text{ compost fed}^{-1} + BF x$	30	13.26	1.14	17.40	37.42	9.70	56.36	
-	45	14.85	1.41	19.58	41.33	12.79	65.93	
	15	13.27	1.12	17.79	37.68	9.89	56.52	
120 kg N fed <sup>-1</sup> x	30	14.89	1.30	19.24	40.67	10.78	62.23	
	45	17.54	1.78	23.01	45.07	14.50	72.00	
LSD.05		N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	4.00	

Concerning the effect of N sources x P levels on N, P and K nutrients uptake in leaves and roots of fodder beet data in Table 6 reveal that this interaction had insignificant effect on the uptake of such nutrients with the exception of K uptake in roots and application of (60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> + 2t compost fed<sup>-1</sup>) in the presence of 45 kg  $P_2O_5$  fed<sup>-1</sup> recorded the highest significant effect on K uptake in roots of fodder beet.

#### Recommendations

In the light of the current research objectives, soil testing data and the nature of materials used, the following recommendations could be outlined:

- 1-The on-farm man made composted material is considered as a good safe method to clear plant residues and to change them to useful organic fertilizer for growing plant.
- 2-This study could play an important role in treating rice straw, which create yearly severe environmental problem called the black cloud on Cairo.
- 3-Applying (60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> + 2t compost fed<sup>-1</sup>) can get benefits from compost that enriches plant root vicinity with different nutrients, improves the availability of

native plant nutrients by modifying soil pH and increases soil water holding capacity particularly in sandy soil.

4-It is helpful to add biofertilizer containing N<sub>2</sub> fixing bacteria to compost to provide plants with nitrogen and other different plant nutrients as well as auxins and growth hormones to increase yield and its quality.

#### REFERENCES

- A. O. A. C. (1990). Official Methods of Analysis Association of Official Analysis Chemist, 15<sup>th</sup> Ed. Washington, USA.
- Abd El-Naby, S. K. M. and A. M. Gomaa (2000). Growth, nutritional status, yield and fruit quality of Maghrabi banana as affected by some organic manures and biofertilizers. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 25: 1113-1129.
- Al-Gamal, A. M. (1996). Response of tomato in new reclaimed areas to mineral nitrogen fertilizer levels and nitrogen fixing biofertilizer. Assiut J. Agric. Sci. 27: 89-99.

- Ambrose, E. J. and D. M. Easty (1977). Cell Biology. The English Language Book Society and Longman, London.
- Antoun, L. W.; M. Z. Sahar and H. R. Hanaa (2010). Influence of compost, N-mineral and humic acid on yield and chemical composition of wheat plants. J. Soil Sci. and Agric. Engi. Mansoura Univ., 1(11):1131-1143.
- Armstrong, D. L. (1999). Better Crops"- Function of Phosphorus in Plants. 83 (1): 6-7.
- Ayeni, L. S.; T. O. Omole; E. O. Adeleye and S. O. Ojeniyi (2010). Integrated application of poulty manure and NPK fertilizer on performance of tomato in derived savannah transition zone of Southwest Nigeria. [Nature and Science 2010; 8 (2):50-54].(ISSN: 1545-0740).
- Black, C. A., D. D. Evans, L. E. Ensminger, J. L. White and F. E. Clark, (1965). "Method of Soil Analysis" Am. Soc. of Agron. Inc. Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Dhanushkodi, V. and K. Subrahmaniyan (2012). Soil management to increase rice yield in salt affected coastal soil- A Review. Int. J. Res. Chem. Environ., 2:1-5.
- Gamal, A. M. and M. A. Ragab (2003). Effect of organic manure source and its rate on growth, nutritional status of trees and productivity of balady mandarin trees. Assiut J. Agric. Sci., 4: 253-264.
- Graciano, C, J. F. Goya, J. L. Frangi and J. J. Guiamet (2006). Fertilization with phosphorus increases soil nitrogen absorption in young plants of *Eucalyptus* grandis. Forest Ecol. Manag., 236 (2-3):201-210.
- Hoda, M. R. M. A.; I. M. El-M. Enshrah and Kh. A. Shaban (2015). Effect of compost and bentonite on sandy soil properties and productivity of peanut. Egypt. J. of Appl.Sci., 30 (7) 335-354.
- Larimi, S. B.; M. Shakiba; A. D. Mohammadinasab and M. M. Vahed (2014). Changes in nitrogen and chlorophyll density and leaf area of sweet basil (Ocimum basilicum L.) affected by Biofertilizer and nitrogen application. International Journal of Biosciences. 5(9) 256- 265.
- Miller, G. L. (1959). Use of dinitrosalicylic acid reagent for determination of reducing sugars. Anal. Chem., 31:426-428.
- Moran, R. (1982). Formula for determination of chlorophyllous pigments extracted with N, Ndimethylformamide. Plant Physiol., 69: 1376-1381.

- Niazi, B. H., J. Rozema, R. A. Broekman, and M. Salim (2000). Dynamics of growth and water relations of fodder beet and see beet in response to salinity. J. Agron. Crop Sci., 184:101-109.
- Rashad, R. T.; Kh. A. Shaban and E. A. Hussien (2015). Parial replacement of the mineral nitrogen fertilizers by organic alternative in sandy soils. International Journal of plant and soil Science. 4(6): 548-559.
- Rodriguez, D.; M. M. Zubillaga, and E. Ploschuck (1998). Leaf area expansion and assimilate prediction in sunflower growing under low phosphorus conditions. Plant Soil 202:133-47.
- Salem, M. A. (2000). Response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) growth and yield to chemical and bio-fertilization. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 27: 845-858.
- Sheng, Ying Yong and Tai Xue Bao (2006). Effect of nitrogen fertilization on leaf photosynthesis and respiration of different drought-resistance winter wheat varieties. J. Appl. Ecol. 17 (11): 2064-2069.
- Sing, J. P. (1988). A rapid method of determination of nitrate in soils and plant extracts. Plant and Soil, 110: 137-139.
- Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran (1980). Statistical Methods 7<sup>th</sup> Ed. Amer. Iowa, Iowa State Univ. Press, USA.
- Solomonson, L. P and M. J. Barber (1990). Assimilatory nitrate reductase functional properties and regulation. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol., 41: 225-253.
- Taiz, L. and E. Zeiger (1991).Plant Physiology: Mineral Nutrition. The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, Inc. Redwood City, C A.
- Umesha, S. M.; K. S. Srikantaiah; K. R. Prasanna; M. D. Sreeramulu and R. N. Lakshmipathi (2014). Comparative effect of organics and biofertilizerson growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays. L.*). Current Agric. Res. J. 2(1), 55-62.
- Van Loon, L. C. (2007). "Plant responses to plant growth- promoting rhizobacteria". Eur. J. Plant Pathol., 119, 243-254.
- Zaki, N. M. (1999). Growth and yield response of fodder beet (*Bita vulgari*, L.) to application methods for nitrogen and potassium fertilizhers. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor. 37 (4): 2179-2193.
- Zamfir, I., M. C. Zamfir, A. Dihoru, and E. Dumitru (2001). The long term fertilization influence on both fodder beet yield and some features of argilluvial chernozem from Burnas plain. Analele Institutului de Cercetari pentru Cereale si Plante Tehnice, Fundleau 68: 289-299.

تأثير التسميد المعدني , العضوي والحيوى على نمو ومحصول وجودة بنجر العلف تحت ظروف الأراضي الرملية في شمال سيناء خطاب عبد الباقي خطاب , نادية محمد حميد واميرة عشرى محمد معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبيئة ، مركز البحوث الزراعية، الجيزة – مصر

أقيمت تجربة حقلبة في مزرعة خاصة بقرية ياميت ، رفح ، محافظة شمال سيناء في الموسمين الشتويين 2014/2013 حروي 2015/2014 لدراسة تأثير بعض مصادر النيتروجين هي: (60 كجم ن للفدان + 2 طن كمبوست للفدان ) ، (60 كجم ن للفدان + سماد حيوي ) ، (2 طن كمبوست للفدان ) ، (60 كجم ن للفدان + سماد حيوي ) ، (2 طن كمبوست للفدان + سماد الفوسفاتي 15، م30 كرد كرد في أو لن كمبوست للفدان + سماد الفوسفاتي 15، م30 كرد كرد في أو لن كمبوست للفدان + سماد حيوي ) ، (20 كجم ن للفدان كمعدل موصى به ) وثلاث مستويات من السماد الفوسفاتي 15، م30 كرد كرد في في أو لن كرد كرد في المنطقة، وقد غذر التنائج أن إضافة (60 كجم ن للفدان + 2 طن كمبوست للفدان) قد أنتج أعلى مستوى معنوي من الكلوروفيل في أور اق بنجر العلف بينما جاء ترتيب تأثير مصادر النيتروجين الأخرى كما يلي (20 كجم ن للفدان) > (2 طن كمبوست للفدان + 9 طن كمبوست الفدان) > (2 طن كمبوست للفدان + 9 طن كمبوست للفدان) > (2 طن كمبوست للفدان + 9 طن كمبوست الفدان) > (2 طن كمبوست للفدان + سماد الحيوي) > (20 كجم ن للفدان) > (2 طن كمبوست للفدان + سماد الحيوي) > (20 كجم ن للفدان) > (2 طن كمبوست الفدان + 9 طن كمبوست الفدان) > (2 طن كمبوست للفدان + سماد الحيوي) > (20 كجم ن للفدان + سماد الحيوي) > (20 كم ن للفدان) > (2 طن كمبوست الفدان + سماد الحيوي) > (2 طن كمبوست للفدان + سماد الحيوي) > (20 كجم ن للفدان) > (2 طن كمبوست الفدان + سماد الحيوي) > (2 طن كمبوست الفدان + سماد الحيوي) > (2 طن كمبوست الفدان + سماد الحيوي) > (20 كجم ن للفدان + سماد الحيوي ) > (2 طن كمبوست الفدان + سماد الحيوي ) > (2 طن كمبوست الفدان + سماد الحيوي ) > (2 طن كمبوست الفدان + سماد الخوى الحاف و الوزن الحاف في الأور اق والجذور وقد أدى إضافة 30 كرفي في العادن و كلي زيادة الحاف وقد والفر خاصفول الفي الماد الفوسفاتي وي الخوى الحيوي الفي ويادي في الفدان و كلي زياد و والوزن الحافين بالطن الفدان وكنا مصوى اللفدان و والوزن الحاف و والوزن الحاف و والوزن الحافي و والوزن الحافي و والوزن الحاف و والوزن الحاف و وو إملى محصول الفي والفي ويادة و من والفوسفوي و الفوسفوي والوزن الجاف للأور اق والجذور و ولماد بينما أدى إملى محصوى الأور اق والجذور و والمولف و وو إملى محصول الأور اق والجذور و الحاف و وو إملى محصوى الفور و الحاف ولوو والماد الفي وو إملى محفوي والفي