Influence of Kaolin Sprays on Fruit Quality and Storability of Balady Mandarin

Ali E. Zaghloul ¹, Hassan A. Ennab², Mervat A. El-Shemy¹

ABSTRACT

The present work was conducted in a private orchard situated at Motobus, Kafr El-Sheikh governorate, Egypt during 2014- 2015 and 2015- 2016 seasons. The main objective of this study is to evaluate the effect of kaolin foliar applications on disorder fruits, yield, fruit quality and storability of Balady mandarin fruits (*Citrus reticulate*,B) for 45 days. Trees were sprayed with kaolin at five concentrations (0, 1, 2, 3 and 4%) for three times in summer. Fruit samples were divided into two groups and stored at $2\pm1^{\circ}$ C and $6\pm1^{\circ}$ C at 90-95% RH for 45 days.

Results showed that, kaolin foliar applications led to increase yield (as number, weight and (kg) of fruits/tree), reduced fruit disorders and improved fruit quality, moreover, it recorded the highest peel fruit firmness, acidity level, soluble solids content (SSC %) and vitamin C content at harvest time. The highest level of kaolin spray (4%)significantly enhanced such trials compared to control and the other kaolin concentrations.

In addition, kaolin foliar application at 4 % were the most effective treatment in maintaining fruit quality of Balady mandarin fruits during cold storage at the two storage temperatures $(2\pm1^{0}$ C or at 6 ± 1^{0} C) for the two seasons, which significantly indicated by reducing weight loss and decay as well as chilling injuries percentages. Also, kaolin treatments at (4 and 3%) recorded the highest values of peel fruit firmness, high levels of SSC, acidity and vitamin C contents compared to the other treatments till the end of storage period, with no significant changes in SSC/acid ratio.

Therefore, spraying with kaolin at 4 % three times in summer months, considered as the most effective treatment in reducing pre-harvest disorder fruits, improving yield and fruit quality at harvest time and maintained good quality and extending storability of Balady mandarin fruits over the other treatments or control during cold storage at $(2\pm 1 \text{ or } 6\pm 1^{0}\text{C})$.

Kay words: kaolin, Balady mandarin, Fruit firmness, Weight loss, Disorders, Chilling injury and *Citrus reticulate*,B

INTRODUCTION

Citrus productivity as well as fruit quality is affected with a greater extent due to physiological disorders, which resulting from extreme of environmental conditions like temperature, light, and nutritional imbalances (Kumer and Kumer, 2016). In summer,

²Citrus Research Department

Balady mandarin fruits exposed to heat stress caused by high temperature, together with direct solar radiation causing fruit damages such as splitting, rind disorders, sunburn and other fruit blemishes (Minessy *et al.*, 1969 and Schrader, 2011). These disorders have a negative impact on yield and fruit quality as well as increase unmarketable fruits and cause high losses to the growers (Verreynne and Merwe, 2011). Furthermore, increasing susceptible fruits to diseases during storage and reduced fruit shelf life. Therefore, kaolin foliar sprays can play an important role in protecting mandarin fruits against sun injuries and physiological disorders (Glen *et al.*, 2002 and Colavita *et al.*, 2011).

Kaolin is a naturally occurring mineral (a clay), main constituent is kaolinite, with the formula $Al_4Si_4O_{10}(OH)_8$ with the following theoretical composition $SiO_2 = 46.5\%$, $Al_2O_3 = 39.5\%$ and $H_2O =$ 14% (Obaje et al, 2013). (Glenn, 2009) mentioned that, kaolin foliar spray on apple tree to reflect sunlight, led to lower the temperature of fruit surface, reducing sun injuries as well as improving yield and fruit quality. Ennab et al. (2017) concluded that, kaolin foliar applications at 3 and 4% decreased leaf heat and fruit surface temperature and was more effective to control sunburned fruits of Balady mandarin trees. Moreover, kaolin foliar spray was found to enhance water use efficiency and reducing the adverse effects of water deficit on pistachio and pomegranate trees (Azizi et al., 2013 and El-Khawaga and Mansour(2014). also, kaolin appears to be an important and helpful tool to reduce insect attack, medfly fruit damage and could be a valid alternative to intensive applications of insecticides, currently commonly used in citrus orchards. In this respect, Braham et al. (2007) reported that, Kaolin particle film applications successfully protected fruits from medfly infestations and provided long term control from fruit development until harvest compared to insecticide.

Generally a few studies were done in different fruit species to evaluate the effect of preharvest kaolin treatments on fruit quality during storage on apple (Ergun 2012) and on Washington navel orange(Ali and Elhamahmy 2015).

Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the effect of kaolin foliar application on physiological disorders,

¹ Handling Research Department.

Hort. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center (ARC), Giza, Egypt.

Received September 13,2017, Accepted OCTOBR 20, 2017

yield, fruit quality and storability of Balady mandarin fruits.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out during 2014-2015 and 2015-2016 seasons on 17 years old Balady mandarin trees (*Citrus reticulata*, Blanco) budded on Sour orange (*Citrus aurantium*, L.) rootstock, planted at 5 x 5 meter apart in a private orchard situated at Motobus, Kafr El-Sheikh governorate, Egypt. Thirty trees uniform in growth, vigour and productivity were selected and subjected to the same cultural practices commonly adopted on the orchard. Trees were arranged in a randomized complete block design, each treatment replicated three times with two trees per replicate. Kaolin foliar spray was applied as three times, once in 15 June, 15 July and 15 August in both seasons. Treatments included five concentrations of kaolin as follows:

0, 1, 2, 3 and 4%.

At harvest time (mid. January in both seasons) when TSS/acid ratio between 10-13, yield of each tree was determined as number and weight (kg) of fruits/tree. The percentages of sunburn, splitting and bruising as fruit disorders was estimated individually by the following general formula:

Physiological disorders
$$\% = \frac{\text{Total number of disorder fruits}}{\text{Total number of fruits}} x 100$$

A sample of fruits were taken at random from each treatment at harvest time and directly transported to laboratory of Sakha Horticulture Research Station, Kafr El-Sheikh governorate to determine fruit quality. The remaining fruit samples divided into two groups, each group packed in carton, each one contained 28 fruits (replicate). The first group was stored at 2 ± 1^{0} C and 90–95 % RH and the second group stored at 6 ± 1^{0} C and 90–95 % RH for 45 days. each box represented one replicate.

The variables were measured at 15 days intervals as follow:

Weight loss was expressed as percentage decrease in fruit weight, using the following formula ((W0-Wt)/W0)*100, with W0 being the initial weight and Wt being the fruit weight after an indicated period of storage.

Fruit decay (%) was determined by counting the number of decayed fruits through 45 days and expressed as a percentage of the initial number after an indicated period of storage as well as chilling injury (%).Chilling injury symptoms measured as browning area of the peel and internal breakdown. Peel fruit firmness were expressed as gm/mm².

Fruit decay (%) was determined by counting the number of decayed fruits through 45 days and expressed as a percentage of the initial number after an indicated period of storage as well as chilling injury (%).Chilling injury symptoms measured as browning area of the peel and internal breakdown.

The characteristics quality attributes such as titratable acidity, total soluble solids and ascorbic acid were determined according to A.O.A.C. (1990).

Titratable acidity was measured by titration with 0.1 N NaOH, and expressed as percentage of citric acid/100 ml juice. Soluble solids content were measured by a handy refractometer and SSC/acid ratio was estimated. Ascorbic acid was determined by 2,6 dichlorophenol indophenol and expressed as mg ascorbic acid/100 ml juice.

Statistical analysis was done by MSTATC software program and treatment means were compared by using Duncan multiple range test at 5% level according to Duncan (1955).

RERSULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Effect of kaolin foliar sprays on yield and its components:

Data in Table (1) showed that, yield as number of fruits per tree, fruit weight and total yield (kg/tree) of Balady mandarin trees was significantly increased by all kaolin treatments comparing with control in both seasons. The highest yield as (kg/tree) was observed with kaolin foliar application of 4% and 3% in both seasons, respectively. However, trees sprayed with tap water and kaolin at 1% gave the lowest yield as number of fruits per tree, fruit weight and yield as (kg/tree) compared to other treatments in both seasons. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Ennab et al. (2017) on mandarin. Abdel Ghani et al (2013) on olive concluded that, kaolin foliar spray at 5% significantly increased yield as number of fruits per tree or weight (kg) of Aggezi and Picual olives compared to foliar spray with calcium carbonate (5%) and tap water.

Results in Table (1) also showed that, the heaviest fruits were harvested from trees sprayed with kaolin at 4% followed by 3% as compared with those taken from control trees and other treatments, the differences were significant among treatments in both seasons. These results agreed with those of Palitha *et al.* (2010) on pomegranate, Abd-Allah *et al.* (2013) on mango and Hegazi *et al.* (2014) on pomegranate. In this respect, Ennab *et al.* (2017) using kaolin on mandarin and found that, kaolin treatments at 3 and 4% improved fruit

Table 1. Effect of kaolin foliar sprays on yield and its components of Balady mandarin fruits in 2015 and 2016 seasons

			Yield/tr	·ee		
Treatments	Fruits n	umber	Fruit weight	(g)	Kg/tree	
	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016
Control	450.67d	365.33c	127.13cd	130.10d	57.29e	48.26e
Kaolin 1 %	476.67c	368.67c	125.23d	135.52d	59.69d	50.33d
Kaolin 2 %	485.33b	380.00b	127.45cd	142.17c	61.86c	54.02c
Kaolin 3 %	530.67a	391.67a	130.81b	148.11b	69.42b	58.60b
Kaolin 4 %	532.00a	400.33a	135.15a	152.71a	71.69a	61.13a
Means followed by d	lifferent letter are sig	gnificantly differe	ent within columns by	Duncan's mult	iple range test, $P \leq$	0.05

quality in terms of fruit size, diameter, weight, peel thickness as compared to untreated treatment.

Increased fruit yield as a result of kaolin treatments may be due to its protective effect from high temperature and reflection of solar radiation, especially UV wavelengths, which led to reduce heat stress on fruits, enhance fruit water content by decreasing transpiration from fruit surface (Glenn and Puterka 2005). Also, yield increased as a result of increased fruit number resulting to successfully protected fruits from medfly infestations, reduction fruit disorders and weight.

2.Effect of preharvest kaolin foliar sprays on some fruit disorder percentages of Balady mandarin trees during 2015 and 2016 seasons.

Illustrated data in Table (2) indicated that, all fruit disorder percentages were significantly decreased by kaolin foliar application compared to control in both seasons. Kaolin treatments at 4 and 3% gave the lowest disorder percentages in both seasons, respectively. Highest percentage was obtained with control treatment in both seasons. However, kaolin foliar application at 1 and 2% gave intermediate values. These results were in agreement with those obtained by Weerakkody *et al.* (2010), Vatandoost *et al.* (2014), Hegazi *et al.* (2014), Chabbal *et al.* (2014) and Ennab *et al.* (2017).

Decreasing fruit disorders resulting kaolin treatments may be due to the protection from high temperature and reflection of solar radiation which led to reduce heat stress on fruits and enhance fruit water content by decreasing transpiration from fruit surface (Glenn and Puterka ,2005). These results are in agreement with those obtained by Glenn *et al.* (2002) on apple and Colavita *et al.* (2011) on pear. This reduction in fruit disorders enhances yield and marketable fruit percentage.

3. Effect of preharvest kaolin foliar sprays on fruit physical characters during cold storage:

3.1. Weight loss (%):

Data in Table (3) showed that, the percentage of weight loss increased with the progress of storage period and with the higher storage temperature $(6\pm1^{0}C)$ than the lower $(2\pm1^{0}C)$ during the two seasons. Different kaolin concentrations had lower percentages of weight loss compared to those control. In both storage temperatures, the highest weight loss percentage was observed in control treatment followed by 1% kaolin treatment. On the other hand, the treatment of 4% kaolin showed to be the superior one in reducing fruit weight loss percentage during the two seasons, respectively. Whereas, kaolin at concentrations 2 and 3% gave intermediate values of weight loss.

Generally, the results indicated that, preharvest foliar applications of kaolin was effective in reducing weight loss percentage of Balady mandarin fruits during storage. Similar results were obtained by Du Plooy (2006) on mango. In this respect, Ergun (2012) mentioned that, kaolin film application reduced weight loss ratio during the period of storage compared to the unsprayed ones when used at 2.5%

Tuestan	Sun	Sunburn		tting	Bru	ising	Total		
Trestments	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	
Control	19.11a	15.20 a	8.16a	7.68a	6.16a	5.48a	33.43a	28.36a	
Kaolin 1 %	14.18b	10.73b	6.04b	6.48b	4.17b	4.51b	24.39b	21.72b	
Kaolin 2 %	10.25c	7.33c	5.63c	5.24c	4.22b	3.62c	20.10c	16.19c	
Kaolin 3 %	6.67d	5.91d	4.35d	3.82d	3.46c	2.18d	14.48d	11.91d	
Kaolin 4 %	3.15e	2.81e	2.17e	1.58e	1.71d	1.46e	7.03e	5.85e	

 Table 2. Effect of kaolin foliar sprays some fruit disorders percentages of Balady mandarin fruits in 2015 and

 2016 seasons

Means followed by different letter are significantly different within columns by Duncan's multiple range test, $P \le 0.05$.

			2015 se	ason				2016 sea	ason	
Treatments				St	torage perio	ods in d	lays at 2±	1 ⁰ C		
	0	15	30	45	Mean	0	15	30	45	Mean
Control	0.0	5.51 a	7.32 a	10.44 a	7.56 a	0.0	4.31 a	6.22 a	10.16a	6.90 a
Kaolin 1 %	0.0	5.36 a	6.65 b	9.76 b	7.08 ab	0.0	4.20 a	5.42 b	9.44 b	6.35 ab
Kaolin 2 %	0.0	4.50 b	6.80 b	8.27 c	6.62 abc	0.0	3.29ab	5.54 b	7.36 c	5.37abc
Kaolin 3 %	0.0	3.67 c	5.36 c	8.24 c	6.10 bc	0.0	2.54ab	4.44 c	7.33 c	4.77 bc
Kaolin 4 %	0.0	3.33 d	4.54 d	8.11 c	5.72 с	0.0	2.15 b	3.38 d	7.05 d	4.19 c
Mean	0.0	4.47	6.13	8.96		0.0	3.29	5.00	8.26	
				St	orage period	s in day	s at 6±1°C	ļ ,		
Control	0.0	7.65 a	12.95a	18.61 a	12.77 a	0.0	6.30 a	12.34 a	18.19 a	12.27 a
Kaolin 1%	0.0	7.21 b	11.92b	17.68 b	12.30 ab	0.0	6.02 b	11.85 b	17.81 b	11.89 a
Kaolin 2 %	0.0	6.86 c	11.34c	17.54bc	11.91abc	0.0	5.87 b	11.16 c	16.77 c	11.26ab
Kaolin 3 %	0.0	6.34 d	10.51d	17.35 c	11.50 bc	0.0	5.41 c	10.16 d	16.45 c	10.67ab
Kaolin 4 %	0.0	5.63 e	10.14e	16.49 d	10.99 c	0.0	4.53 d	10.12 d	15.25 d	9.96 b
Mean	0.0	6.73	11.37	17.53		0.0	5.62	11.12	16.89	

Table 3. Effect of kaolin foliar sprays on fruit weight loss (%) of Balady mandarin during cold storage in 2015 and 2016 seasons

Means followed by different letter are significantly different within columns by Duncan's multiple range test, $P \le 0.05$

Table 4. Effect of kaolin foliar sprays on fruit decay (%) of Balady mandarin during cold storage in 2015 and 2016 seasons

		20	15 season	l			2	016 season	L					
Treatments		Storage periods in days at 2±1°C												
-	0	15	30	45	Mean	0	15	30	45	Mean				
Control	0.0	4.76 a	7.14a	14.28a	8.73 a	0.0	4.76 a	7.14 a	9.52 a	7.14 a				
Kaolin 1 %	0.0	4.76 a	7.14a	11.90b	7.93 ab	0.0	4.76 a	7.14 a	9.52a	7.14 a				
Kaolin 2 %	0.0	4.76 a	7.14a	11.90b	7.93 ab	0.0	2.38 b	4.76 b	9.52 a	5.55 b				
Kaolin 3 %	0.0	2.38 b	7.14a	11.90b	7.14 bc	0.0	0.00 c	2.38 c	7.14 b	3.17 c				
Kaolin 4 %	0.0	0.00	4.76 b	9.52c	4.76 c	0.0	0.00 c	2.38 c	7.14 b	3.17 c				
Mean	0.0	3.33	6.66	11.90		0.00	2.38	4.76	9.04					
				Stora	ge periods i	n days at	6±1 ⁰ C							
Control	0.0	7.14a	14.28a	19.05a	11.90 a	0.0	7.14 a	11.90 a	16.67a	11.90 a				
Kaolin 1 %	0.0	7.14a	11.90b	19.05	9.53 ab	0.0	7.14 a	11.90 a	14.29b	11.11ab				
Kaolin 2 %	0.0	7.14a	11.90b	16.67b	9.50 ab	0.0	4.76 b	11.90 a	14.29b	10.32ab				
Kaolin 3 %	0.0	4.76b	11.90b	16.67b	7.61 b	0.0	4.76 b	9.52 b	14.29b	9.52bc				
Kaolin 4 %	0.0	4.76b	9.52c	14.29c	7.14 b	0.0	4.76 b	7.14 c	11.90c	7.93 c				
Mean	0.0	6.19	11.90	17.15		0.00	5.71	10.47	14.29					

Means followed by different letter are significantly different within columns by Duncan's multiple range test, $P \le .05$.

and sprayed three time before harvest on Galaxy apple trees stored at 6^{0} C for 100 days. The loss of water from fresh fruit after harvest is a serious problem, causing shrinkage and weight loss. The reduction in fruit weight loss as a result of foliar application of kaolin maybe due to reduce dehydration and water loss, retain the shriveling of the fruit skin, delay the fruit ripening and thereby delay the decline in fruit quality.

3.2. Decay (%):

Decay percentages of kaolin sprayed fruits was lower compared to the unsprayed ones at the end of

storage period at two different storage temperatures $(2\pm 1^{0}C \text{ and } 6\pm 1^{0}C)$ Table (4).

Storage at 2° C showed lower decay percentage than that at 6° C. Decayed fruits were increased with the prolonging of storage period and high storage temperature. The highest decay% was observed in control (water sprayed trees) treatment followed by 1% and 2% kaolin in both seasons, respectively. Whereas, kaolin foliar application at 4 and 3% was more effective in reducing fruit decay percentage compared to other treatments.

One of the main effects of kaolin particle film during fruit postharvest life is to maintain their flesh firmness and delay the ripening processes. Similar results were obtained by Aquino *et al.* (2011) on Satsuma mandarin, grapefruit and orange. Also, Ali and Elhamahmy (2015) reported that, kaolin foliar applications at 2.5 and 5% were effective in reducing fruit decay % of Washington navel orange compared to control.

3.3. Peel fruit firmness (gm/mm²):

Data in Table (5) cleared that, all kaolin foliar applications showed a positive effect on improving peel fruit firmness of Balady mandarin fruits at harvest time and cold storage in the two seasons, especially at high concentrations (3 and 4%) compared to control and other treatments on the two storage temperatures during cold storage period in both seasons. This trend was noticed in all measurements till the end of storage time. One of the main effects of kaolin particle film during fruit postharvest life is to maintain their flesh firmness and delay the ripening processes. The highest peel fruit firmness at the end of storage period was recorded with kaolin treatment at 4% followed by 3% however, the lowest values were noticed in control treatment during the two seasons and with both storage temperatures during storage period with a marked significant between all treatments and control. This findings are in harmony with that of Ali and Elhamahmy (2015), who reported that, Washington navel orange fruits treated by kaolin particle film at 2.5% recorded the highest values of fruit firmness compared to control and other treatments. Also, Argiriou and Nanos (2010) reported that, kaolin applications at 4% had a positive effect on flesh firmness and overall fruit eating quality in Royal Glory peaches at harvest and during storage.

3.4. Chilling injury (%):

In this study data in Table (6) showed that, the first chilling injury symptoms were appeared in control fruit after 15 days of storage at 2°C and after 30 days of storage at 6 ± 1^{0} C with appearance of featheriness and browning. Moreover, chilling injury symptoms showed an increase with the low kaolin concentrations and control, also with the low storage temperature during cold storage period. Chilling injury symptoms were increased slightly with the progress of storage time. The highest concentration treatments of kaolin (3 and 4%) did not show any fruit chilling injury symptoms during cold storage at $6\pm1^{\circ}$ C in the two seasons. Moreover, (4%) kaolin spray did not recorded any fruit chilling injuries on both storage temperatures till (45 days) of storage in both seasons. Control fruits were significantly reached the highest chilling injury values compared with all kaolin treatments at the two storage temperatures at the two seasons. The above results are in a harmony with that of Ahmed et al. (2013) and Mditshwa et al. (2013). Thes concluded that, silicon dips have an ability to reduce chilling injury symptoms in lemons.

4.1.Effect of preharvest kaolin foliar sprays on fruit chemical characters during cold storage

4.1. Soluble solids content (SSC) %:

Soluble solids content (SSC) in Balady mandarin fruits decreased gradually as storage time progressed and with the highest storage temperature, Table (7).

The values of SSC of control at both storage temperatures were lower than those of kaolin treatments during the two seasons.

Table 5. Effect of kaolin foliar sprays on peel fruit firmness (gm/mm²) of Balady mandarin during cold storage in 2015 and 2016 seasons

			2015 se	ason				2016 sea	ason	
Treatments				Storag	e periods i	in days at	$2\pm1^{\circ}$ C			
	0	15	30	45	Mean	0	15	30	45	Mean
Control	32.89 e	31.78 e	30.73 e	29.83 e	31.31 d	33.78 e	32.94 e	31.51 e	30.53 e	32.19e
Kaolin 1%	34.97 d	33.63 d	32.53 d	31.29 d	33.11 d	37.97 d	37.83 d	36.26 d	34.51 d	36.64d
Kaolin 2 %	38.33 c	37.24 c	35.81 c	33.77 c	36.28 c	44.77 c	43.25 c	42.38 c	41.36 c	43.05c
Kaolin 3 %	46.69 b	45.39 b	44.47 b	43.42 b	44.99 b	48.13 b	46.65 b	44.86 b	44.10 b	44.91b
Kaolin 4 %	54.13 a	52.68 a	50.72 a	48.01 a	51.39 a	55.33 a	54.58 a	51.75 a	51.43 a	53.27a
Mean	41.40	40.08	38.85	37.26		43.99	43.05	41.35	40.38	
				Storag	e periods in	days at 6	±1 ⁰ C			
Control	32.89 e	30.47 e	28.37 d	24.40 d	29.05 e	33.78 e	32.28 d	29.67 e	25.79 e	30.38e
Kaolin 1%	34.97 d	33.03 d	31.43 c	28.75 c	32.89 d	37.97 d	37.13 c	34.23 d	30.41 d	34.89d
Kaolin 2 %	38.33 c	36.05 c	33.55 c	30.40 c	34.58 c	44.77 c	41.91b	39.99 c	34.57 c	40.31c
Kaolin 3 %	46.69 b	44.02 b	40.78 b	35.29 b	41.70 b	48.13 b	44.91 b	43.01 b	38.17 b	43.56b
Kaolin 4 %	54.13 a	51.59 a	49.22 a	43.31 a	49.56 a	55.33 a	52.83 a	51.94 a	46.52 a	51.66a
Mean	41.40	39.03	36.67	32.43		43.99	41.81	39.76	35.09	

Means followed by different letter are significantly different within columns by Duncan's multiple range test, $P \le 0.05$.

			2015 sease	on				2016 sease	on	
Treatments				Sto	rage perio	ods in da	ys at 2 ± 1	l ^o C		
	0	15	30	45	Mean	0	15	30	45	Mean
Control	0.00	1.19 a	2.38 a	4.76 a	2.08 a	0.00	1.19 a	2.38 a	4.76 a	2.08 a
Kaolin 1 %	0.00	1.19 a	2.38 a	4.76 a	2.08 a	0.00	0.00 b	2.38 a	4.76 a	1.79 b
Kaolin 2 %	0.00	0.00 b	1.19 b	3.57 b	1.19 b	0.00	0.00 b	1.19 b	3.57 b	1.19 c
Kaolin 3 %	0.00	0.00 b	1.19 b	3.57 b	1.19 b	0.00	0.00 b	1.19 b	2.38 c	0.89 d
Kaolin 4 %	0.00	0.00 b	0.00 c	0.00 c	0.00 c	0.00	0.00 b	0.00 c	0.00 d	0.00 e
Mean	0.00	0.48	1.43	3.33	1.31	0.00	0.24	1.43	3.09	1.19
				Stor	rage perio	ds in day	ys at 6±1	⁰ C		
Control	0.00	0.00	1.19 a	2.38 a	0.89 a	0.00	0.00	1.19 a	2.38 a	0.84 a
Kaolin 1 %	0.00	0.00	1.19 a	2.38 a	0.89 a	0.00	0.00	1.19 a	1.19 b	0.60 b
Kaolin 2 %	0.00	0.00	0.00 b	1.19 b	0.29 b	0.00	0.00	0.00 b	1.19 b	0.30 c
Kaolin 3 %	0.00	0.00	0.00 b	0.00 c	0.00 c	0.00	0.00	0.00 b	0.00 c	0.00 d
Kaolin 4 %	0.00	0.00	0.00 b	0.00 c	0.00 c	0.00	0.00	0.00 b	0.00 c	0.00 d
Mean	0.00	0.00	0.48	1.19	0.41	0.00	0.00	0.48	0.95	0.36

Table 6. Effect of kaolin foliar sprays on fruit chilling injury % of Balady mandarin during cold storage in 2015 and 2016 seasons

Means followed by different letter are significantly different within columns by Duncan's multiple range test, $P \le .05$.

Table 7. Effect of kaolin foliar sprays on fruit SSC (%) of Balady mandarin during storage cold in 2015 and 2016 seasons

		2	015 seasor	1				2016 season	n	
Treatments				Storage	e periods in	days at 2	±1 ⁰ C			
	0	15	30	45	Mean	0	15	30	45	Mean
Control	11.28 e	11.13 c	11.06 c	10.86 c	11.08 d	11.51 d	11.33 c	11.13 c	10.93 e	11.23 e
Kaolin 1%	11.79 d	11.80 b	11.66 b	11.53 b	11.70 c	12.15 c	12.00 b	11.86 b	11.60 d	11.94 d
Kaolin 2%	12.33 c	11.93 ab	11.93 a	11.80 a	11.99 b	12.31 b	12.00 b	12.00 ab	11.80 c	12.08 c
Kaolin 3%	12.41 b	12.00 ab	11.93 a	11.86 a	12.05 ab	12.50 a	12.26 ab	12.13 ab	11.93 b	12.21 b
Kaolin4 %	12.51 a	12.13 a	12.00 a	11.95 a	12.14 a	12.56 a	12.43 a	12.26 a	12.13 a	12.35 a
Mean	12.06	11.79	11.71	11.59		12.20	12.00	11.87	11.67	
				Stora	ge periods i	in days at	6±1 ⁰ C			
Control	11.28 e	10.80 d	10.60 c	10.20 c	10.72 c	11.51 d	11.26 c	11.06 c	10.73 d	11.14 d
Kaolin 1%	11.79 d	11.66 c	11.46 b	11.13 b	11.51 b	12.15 c	11.86 b	11.73 b	11.33 c	11.77 c
Kaolin 2%	12.3 c	12.06 ab	11.86 a	11.46 a	11.93 a	12.31 b	12.06 ab	12.00 a	11.56 b	11.98bc
Kaolin 3%	12.41 b	11.86 bc	11.80 a	11.46 a	11.88 ab	12.50 a	12.13 ab	12.06 a	11.66 b	12.09ab
Kaolin4 %	12.51 a	12.20 a	11.93 a	11.60 a	12.06 a	12.56 a	12.30 a	12.13 a	11.86 a	12.21 a
Mean	12.06	11.71	11.53	11.17		12.20	11.92	11.79	11.00	

Means followed by different letter are significantly different within columns by Duncan's multiple range test, $P \leq 0.05$.

High concentrations of Kaolin (4 and 3%) improved fruit SSC % at harvest time and maintained high level of it at different period of cold storage till the end of storage time compared to control and other treatments. At the end of the storage period, the highest SSC values were recorded in kaolin foliar sprayed fruits at 4% followed by 3%, respectively, while the lowest SSC was found in control treatments in both seasons and at both stored temperatures. Similar findings were observed in some fruits treated with kaolin, as those reported by Argiriou and Nanos (2010) on peaches, Schrader *et al.* (2009) on apple and Aquino *et al.* (2011) on citrus and stone fruits. The increase in total soluble solids in fruits as a result of kaolin sprays may be related to the increased activity of enzymes responsible for the hydrolysis of starch to soluble sugars and the conversion of starch to sugar, which indicated that, the fruits are in the ripening process. The increase in total soluble solids in fruits as a result of kaolin sprays may be related to its effect on delaying ripening process , senescence stage and loss postharvest fruit quality as SSC. This explanation agreed with those obtained by (Ali and Elhamahmy 2015) on Washington navel orange fruits.

4. 2. Titratable acidity (%):

Data presented in Table (8) showed that, all kaolin concentrations recorded high levels of titratable acidity in mandarin fruits during storage period compared to unsprayed fruits. In addition titratable acidity values were gradually decreased with increasing storage period at the two storage temperatures. On the other words, the highest storage temperature increased the decreament of TA with all treatments during storage period. The highest values of titratable acidity were observed in the 4% kaolin sprayed fruits with a high significant differences compared with the other treatments in most cases. These results were similar with those reported by Argiriou and Nanos (2010) on peaches and Ergun (2012) on apple. In this respect, Ali and Elhamahmy (2015) reported that, Washington navel orange fruits sprayed with kaolin at 2.5% recorded maximum level of titratable acidity at 100 days from start of storage period. The major organic acids in citrus fruits are citric, ascorbic, oxalic, tartaric, malic, lactic, whereas, citric acid account the most abundant acid of the total acid constituents of the juice. As the ripening of the fruits develops, a reduction in titratable acidity was observed. The decrease in acid content may be due to the use of acids by the fruit as a source of energy and the conversion of organic acids to form sugar (Burton, 1985).

4.3. SSC/acid ratio:

The results revealed that, the SSC/acid ratio increased as the storage period progressed (Table 9). Fruits stored at 2 ± 1^{0} C had slight high values of SSC/acid ratio than that stored at 6 ± 1^{-0} C. The differences were not significant among all treatments in most cases at different storage temperatures in both seasons. Similar results were reported by Argiriou and Nanos (2010).

4. 4. Vitamin C content (mg/100 ml juice):

Data presented in Table (10) cleared that, higher concentrations of kaolin foliar sprays produced the Table 2. Effect of leadin foliar sprays on finit acidity

highest values of V.C content at harvest and during storage period compared the other concentrations in the two seasons. Vit. C content in Balady mandarin fruits stored at two different temperatures, $2\pm1^{\circ}$ C and $6\pm1^{\circ}$ C decreased gradually with the progress of storage period and with the increase of storage temperature. Moreover, fruits of trees treated with kaolin foliar application had significantly higher value of V.C compared to the control during storage period in both seasons. At both storage temperatures, the highest ascorbic acid content was observed at 4% kaolin treatment followed by 3% kaolin treatment, whereas, control treatment had the lowest value of ascorbic acid compared to other treatments.

So, it can be concluded that, kaolin foliar application at 3 and 4% are most effective in preventing V.C loss from fruits during storage period, this may be due to its effect in reducing fruit decay, dehydration and delay the decline in fruit quality. The same trend was also observed in the previous study by Ali and Elhamahmy (2015) who reported that, Washington navel orange fruits, sprayed with 2.5% kaolin had the maximum content of ascorbic acid at the end of storage period.

CONCLUSION

In light of this study it can be concluded that, preharvest kaolin foliar application in summer months specially with 4% which the was most effective treatment for increasing yield, reduce fruit disorders and enhancing fruit quality at harvest time and also, maintaining fruit quality and extending storability of Balady mandarin fruits during cold storage at (2±1 or 6 ± 1^{0} C) which exhibited by the least weight loss, decay and chilling injuries, highest fruit firmness, titratable acidity, soluble solids and ascorbic acid contents .

 Table 8. Effect of kaolin foliar sprays on fruit acidity (%) of Balady mandarin during cold storage in 2015 and 2016 seasons

		20	015 season				2	016 season				
Treatments	Storage periods in days at $2 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C											
	0	15	30	45	Mean	0	15	30	45	Mean		
Control	1.06 d	0.97 d	0.92 d	0.90 c	0.97 d	1.07 c	0.95 c	0.89 d	0.90 c	0.96 d		
Kaolin 1 %	1.10 c	1.05 c	0.98 c	0.92 b	1.01 c	1.11 b	1.08 b	1.00 c	0.92 bc	1.04bc		
Kaolin 2 %	1.12 b	1.08 b	1.02 b	0.98 a	1.05 b	1.12 ab	1.10 a	1.04 b	0.94 a	1.03 c		
Kaolin 3 %	1.12 b	1.09 ab	1.04 ab	0.99 a	1.06 ab	1.13 ab	1.10 a	1.05 ab	0.96 a	1.05 ab		
Kaolin 4 %	1.14 a	1.10 a	1.06 a	0.99 a	1.07 a	1.15 a	1.10 a	1.06 a	0.97 a	1.07 a		
Mean	1.10	1.05	1.00	0.95		1.11	1.06	1.00	0.93			
				Storage	periods in da	ays at 6±1°	С					
Control	1.06 d	0.97 e	0.95 d	0.88 c	0.96 d	1.07 c	0.95 c	0.91 a	o.83b	0.92 c		
Kaolin 1 %	1.10 c	0.98 d	0.96 c	0.92 b	0.99 c	1.11 b	0.97 bc	0.94 a	.0.88b	0.97 bc		
Kaolin 2 %	1.12 b	1.00 c	0.98 b	0.95 a	1.01 b	1.12 ab	0.99 ab	0.96 a	0.95ab	1.00 ab		
Kaolin 3 %	1.12 b	1.03 b	0.98b	0.96 a	1.02 ab	1.13 ab	1.05 a	0.97 a	0.95ab	1.03 a		
Kaolin 4 %	1.14 a	1.04 a	0.99 a	0.96 a	1.03 a	1.15 a	1.05 a	0.98 a	0.96a	1.03 a		
Mean	1.10	1.00	0.97	0.93		1.11	1.00	0.95	0.91			

Means followed by different letter are significantly different within columns by Duncan's multiple range test, $P \le .05$.

			2015 seaso	n				2016 seas	on	
Treatments				Storag	e periods iı	n days at 2	$2 \pm 1^{0} \mathrm{C}$			
	0	15	30	45	Mean	0	15	30	45	Mean
Control	10.64 a	11.47a	11.96a	12.25 a	11.58 a	10.75 a	11.93 a	12.51 a	12.14 c	11.83 a
Kaolin 1 %	10.71 a	11.23 a	11.90 a	12.51 a	11.59 a	10.94 a	11.11b	11.86 b	12.61 a	11.63ab
Kaolin 2 %	11.00 a	11.04 a	11.70 ab	12.00 a	11.44a	10.99 a	10.96 b	11.54 b	12.55 ab	11.51b
Kaolin 3 %	11.08 a	11.01 a	11.47 b	11.94 a	11.38 a	11.06 a	11.15 b	11.55b	12.43 bc	11.55 b
Kaolin 4 %	10.97 a	11.03 a	11.32 b	12.01 a	11.33 a	10.92 a	11.30 b	11.57 b	12.51ab	11.58b
Mean	10.88	11.16	11.67	12.14		10.93	11.29	11.81	12.45	
				Storage	e periods in	days at 6	6 ± 1^{0} C			
Control	10.64 a	11.13 d	11.15 b	11.56a	11.12 c	10.75a	12.12b	12.15 a	12.93 a	11.99a
Kaolin 1 %	10.71 a	11.90ab	11.86 a	12.10 a	11.64ab	10.94a	12.53 a	12.48 a	12.88 a	12.21 a
Kaolin 2 %	11.00 a	12.06 a	12.07 a	12.06 a	11.80 a	10.99a	12.42 a	12.71 a	12.17b	12.07 a
Kaolin 3 %	11.08 a	11.51 c	11.95 a	11.98 a	11.63 b	11.06 a	11.90b	12.43 a	12.27b	11.92 a
Kaolin 4 %	10.97 a	11.72bc	11.97 a	12.08 a	11.69ab	10.92 a	11.96 b	12.38 a	12.35 ab	11.87 a
Mean	10.88	11.66	11.80	11.95		10.93	12.19	12.42	12.52	

Table 9. Effect of kaolin foliar sprays on SSC/acid ratio of Balady mandarin during cold storage in 2015 and 2016 seasons

Means followed by different letter are significantly different within columns by Duncan's multiple range test, $P \le .05$.

Table 10. Effect of kaolin foliar sprays on fruit vitamin C mg/100 ml juice of Balady mandarin during cold storage in 2015 and 2016 seasons

		2	015 seasoi	n				2016 seaso	n	
Treatments				Storage	periods in	days at	2 ± 1^{0} C			
	0	15	30	45	Mean	0	15	30	45	Mean
Control	39.20 c	34.19 e	33.51 e	32.09 e	34.75 d	40.30 d	34.33 e	33.86 c	32.99 e	35.37 e
Kaolin 1 %	43.80 b	37.74 d	36.94 d	36.70 d	38.80 c	44.80 c	37.79 d	36.96 bc	37.11 d	39.17 d
Kaolin 2 %	43.70 b	40.00 c	39.05 c	38.40 c	40.29 c	45.50 b	39.97 c	39.76 ab	39.12 c	41.09 c
Kaolin 3 %	45.50ab	43.38 b	41.52 b	41.37 b	42.94 b	45.80b	43.08 b	42.08 a	41.21 b	43.24 b
Kaolin 4 %	46.50 a	44.85 a	44.05 a	43.39 a	44.70 a	46.60a	44.65 a	44.06 a	43.77 a	44.57 a
Mean	43.74	40.03	39.01	38.39		44.60	39.96	39.34	38.84	
				Storage	e periods ir	n days at ($6 \pm 1^{0} C$			
Control	39.20 c	34.10 e	32.48 e	29.81 d	33.90 d	40.30d	34.31 e	33.00 d	30.71 d	33.20 e
Kaolin 1 %	43.80 b	36.79 d	35.94 d	33.19 c	37.43 c	44.80c	37.46 d	36.42 c	34.00 c	36.72 d
Kaolin 2 %	43.70 b	39.33 c	36.94 c	33.36 c	38.33 c	45.50b	39.48 c	37.77 c	34.86 c	38.39 c
Kaolin 3 %	45.50ab	41.06 b	39.93 b	35.72 b	40.55 b	45.80b	42.60 b	40.96 b	38.39 b	41.32 b
Kaolin 4 %	46.50 a	44.00 a	42.78 a	40.09 a	43.34 a	46.60a	44.75 a	43.33 a	40.38 a	43.49 a
Mean	43.74	38.45	37.61	34.43		44.60	39.72	38.29	40.15	

Means followed by different letter are significantly different within columns by Duncan's multiple range test, $P \le 0.5$.

REFERENCES

- Abd-Allah, A. S., E. Abd El-Razek and M. M. Saleh. 2013. Effect of sun-block materials on preventing sunburn injury of Keitt mango fruits. J. Appl. Sci. Res., 9 (1): 567 – 571.
- Abdel Ghani, N. A., M. A. Galal, M. E. El-Sayed, S. M. El-Marsafawy and M. A. Omran. 2013. Effect of spraying kaolin and calcium carbonate on the productivity of Aggezi and Picual olive cvs. J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., 4(7): 1035 – 1050.
- Ahmad, S., Z. Singh, A. S. Khan and Z. Iqbal. 2013. Preharvest application of salicylic acid maintain the rind textural properties and reduce fruit rot and chilling injury of Sweet orange during cold storage. Pak. J. Agri. Sci., 50 (4): 559 – 569.
- Ali, M. S. and M. A. Elhamahmy. 2015. Impact of kaolin particles film coating and UV-C treatments on storability

and quality of Washington navel orange during long period cold storage. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 42 (5) : 1081 – 1099.

- A.O.A.C. 1990. Association of official analytical chemists. Official Methods of Analysis. 15th Ed. Washington D. C., USA.
- Aquino, S. D., A. Cocco, S. Ortu and M. Schirra. 2011. Effects of kaolin-based particle film to control Ceratitiscapitata (Diptera : Tephritidae) infestations and postharvest decay in citrus and stone fruit. Crop Protection. 30 : 1079 – 1086.
- Argiriou, S. and G. D. Nanos. 2010. Preharvest AVG and kaolin and postharvest 1-MCP application effects on advanced maturity peach quality and storage. Acta Hort., 877: 311 – 316.
- Azizi, A., H. Hokmabadi, S. Piri and V. Rabie. 2013. Effect of kaolin application on water stress in pistachio cv. Ohadi.

Journal of Nuts. 4 (4) : 9 – 14.

- Braham, M., E. Pasqualini and N. Ncira. 2007. Efficacy of kaolin, spinosad and malathion against Ceratitiscapitata in Citrus orchards. Bulletin of Insectology. 60 (1): 39 – 47.
- Burton, W. G. 1985. Postharvest physiology of food crops. Longman Scientific and Technical. New York. USA.
- Chabbal, M. D., A. B. Piccoli., G. C. Martinez., M. M. Avanza., S. M. Mazza and V. A. Rodriguez. 2014. Kaolin applications to control sunburn in 'Okitsu' mandarin. Cultivos Tropicalesm. 35 (1): 50 – 56.
- Colavita, G. M., V. Blackhall and S. Valdez. 2011. Effect of kaolin particle films on the temperature and solar injury of pear fruits. Acta Hort. 909 : 609 615.
- Du Plooy, G. W. 2006. Aspects of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) fruit rind morphology and chemistry and their implication for postharvest quality. Ph. D. Thesis. Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Duncan, D. B. 1955. Multiple range and multiple F. test. Biometries. 11: 1-42.
- El-Khawaga, A. S. and A. E. Mansour. 2014. Enhancing the efficiency of irrigation water use by using some antitranspirants in Wonderful pomegranate orchards. Middle East J. Agric. Res., 3 (3): 694 – 700.
- Ennab, H. A., S. A. El-Sayed and M. M. Abo El-Enin. 2017. Effect of kaolin applications on fruit sunburn, yield and fruit quality of Balady mandarin (*Citrus reticulata*, Blanco). Menoufia J. Plant Prod., 2: 129 – 138.
- Ergun, M. 2012. Postharvest quality of 'Galaxy' apple fruit in response to kaolin based particle film application. J. Agric. Sci. Technol. 14 : 599 – 607.
- Glenn, D. M. 2009. Particle film mechanisms of action that reduce the effect of environmental stress in Empire apple. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 134 (3) :314 – 321.
- Glenn, D. M. and G. J. Puterka. 2005. Particle films : A new technology for agriculture. Hort. Rev., (Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.) 31 : 1 – 44.
- Glenn, D. M., E. Prado, A. Erez, G. M. Ferson and G. J. Puterka. 2002. A reflective processed kaolin particle film affects fruit temperature, radiation reflection and solar injury in apple. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 126 (2) :188 – 193.
- Hegazi, A., N. R. Samra. E. E. El-Baz. B. M. Khalil and M. S.

Gawish. 2014. Improving fruit quality of Manfalouty and Wonderful pomegranate by using bagging and some spray treatments with gibberellic acid, calcium chloride and kaolin. J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., 5 (5) : 779 – 792.

- Kumer, R. and V. Kumer. 2016. Physiological disorders in perennial woody tropical and subtropical fruit crops : A review Indian J. Agric. Sci., 86 (6) :703 – 17.
- Mditshwa, A., J. P. Bower, I. Bertling, N. Mathaba and S. Z. Tesfay. 2013. The potential of postharvest silicon dips to regulate phenolics in citrus peel as a method to mitigate chilling injury in lemons. African Journal of Biotechnology. 12 (13) :1482 1489.
- Minessy, F.A., T.A. Nasr and M.Y. El-Shurafa. 1969. Citrus fruit temperature in relation to sunburn. Proceeedings of the Conference on Tropical and Subtropical fruits. London, England. pp. 245 – 252.
- Obaje, S.O. J. I. Omada and U.A. Dambatta. 2013. Clays and their industrial applications: Synoptic review. Inter. J. Sci. & Tech., 3 (5) : 264 270.
- Palitha, W.J., M. Magdalena and J. Rogers. 2010. The effect of maturity, sunburn and application of sunscreen on the internal and external qualities of pomegranate fruit grown in Australia. Scientia Hort., 124: 57 61.
- Schrader, L.E. 2011. Scientific basis of a unique formulation for reducing sunburn of fruits. HortScience. 46 (1) : 6 11.
- Schrader, L.E., J. Zhang, J. Sun, J. Xu, D. C. Elfving and C. Kahn. 2009. Postharvest changes in internal fruit quality in apples with sunburn browning. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 34 (1):148–155.
- Vatandoost, S., G.H. Davarynejad and A. Tehranifar . 2014. Would kaolin particle film avoid sunburn in Ardestani pomegranate. Advances in Environmental Biology. 8 (12): 607–610.
- Verreynne, S. and S.V. Merwe. 2011. Sunburn reduction on 'Miho Wase' Satsuma mandarin. South Africa Fruit Journal. 10 (2): 52 – 55.
- Weerakkody, P., J. Jobling, M.M. Infante and G. Rogers. 2010. The effect of maturity, sunburn and the application of sunscreens on the internal and external qualities of pomegranate fruit grown in Australia. Scientia Horticulturae. 124:57 – 61.

الملخص العربي

تأثير الرش بالكاولين على جودة وطول الفترة التخزينية لثمار اليوسفى البلدى على السيد زغلول، حسن أبو الفتوح عناب، مرفت عبد المجيد الشيمي

> أجريت هذه الدراسة في مزرعة خاصة فى مركز مطوبس، محافظة كفر الشيخ، مصر خلال موسمى ٢٠١٤– ٢٠١٥ و ٢٠١٥–١٠١٦. وكان الهدف الرئيسى من الدراسة هو تقييم تأثير رش الكاولين على أضرار الثمار والمحصول وجودة والقدرة التخزينية لثمار اليوسفي البلدي. تم رش أشجار اليوسفى البلدى بخمس تركيزات من الكاولين هم(• واو ٢ و٣ و٤٪) ثلاث مرات خلال أشهر الصيف(يونيو ويوليووأغسطس). جمعت عينات الثمار لتقدير صفاتها. خزنت عينات الثمار المتبقية على درجتى حرارة ٢±ا°م أو ا±1°م ورطوبة نسبية ٩٠–٩٥% لمدة ٤٥ يوم.

> أظهرت النتائج أن الرش بالكولين أدى إلى زيادة المحصول (عدد ووزن وكجم ا شجرة) وخفض من نسبة أضرار الثمار وحسن من جودة الثمار حيث سجلت زيادة فى صلابة قشرة الثمار ومستويات الحموضة ونسبة المواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية والمحتوى من فيتامين ج عند الحصاد. والذى كان واضحا مع التركيزات العالية من الرش بالكاولين (٤%) وكانت الإختلافات معنوية بالمقارنة بالمعاملات الأخرى والكنترول.

هذا بالإضافة إلى أن الرش بالكاولين بتركيز ؟ % كانت المعاملة الأكثر تأثيرا في الحفاظ على جودة ثمار اليوسفى البلدى خلال التخزين المبرد على كلا درجتى الحرارة ٢±١ °م أو ٦±١ °م خلال الموسمين والذى كان واضحا معنويا في خفض النسبة المئوية لفقد الوزن ونسبة التلف وأضرار البرودة أيضا. كما سجلت هاتان المعاملاتان من الرش بالكاولين (٤ أو ٣ %) القيم الأعلى فى صلابة قشرة الثمار والمستويات العالية مان المواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية والحموضة والمحتوى من فيتامين ج مقارنة بالمعاملات الأخرى حتى نهاية فترة التخزين، لم تكن هناك تأثيرات معنوية في نسبة المواد الصلبة الذائبة الكلية/الحموضة.

وعلى ذلك، يعتبر الرش بالكاولين بتركيز ٤ ٪ ثلاث مرات خلال أشهر الصيف، كانت الأكثر تأثيرا فى خفض نسبة أضرار الثمار قبل الحصاد وتحسين المحصول وجودة الثمار عند الحصاد والحفاظ على الجودة وزيادة القدرة التخزينية لثمار اليوسفى البلدى عنة في المعاملات الأخرى أوالكنترول خلال التخزين المبرد على كلا درجتى الحرارة ٢± ١°م أو ٢± ١°م.