ECOLOGICAL AND CONTROL STUDIES ON Spodoptera littoralis (BOISD.) INFESTING COWPEA CROP

Rehab E. Abd-Allah¹; Mohanna, A. H.²; El Sharkawy, H. M.² and M. S.¹Hashem

- 1. Plant Protection Research Institute, A.R.C., Dokki, Giza Egypt.
- 2. Department of Plant Production, Faculty of Technology & Development, Zagazig University, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

The present work is a trial to study the population density of Spodoptera littoralis (Boisd.) on cowpea, Vignaun guiculata (L.) Walpis plant. Also, to evaluate the effect of some insecticides against the pest under field conditions. Field experiments has been done at Zagazig District, Sharkia Governorate, during the seasons of 2015 and 2016. With the completion of the work, egg-masses and larval stages were fluctuated in the mean number. On other word, population density was higher with appreciable rate in 2015 than 2016 with mean number of 10 egg-mass, 3661 arva/sample and 7.75 egg-mass, 279.161 arva/sample in both seasons, respectively.

The population density of S. littoralis larvae on cowpea plants differed in the two seasons and appeared highly average number644 larva/sample in the first season and536larva/sample in the second season. In conclusion, certain points can be deduced from this work. The patterns observed during and after treatment with different regimes were:

- 1-Two peaks in the first season, and three in the second for larval population of S. littoralis
- 2-Population of S. littoralis larvae differs during the growing stages of cowpeaplant.
- 3-The infestation percent was highly in flowering and reproductive stages(73.33%)in season2015 while it recorded 88.0% in 2016 in the vegetative stage. Regarding to the efficacy of treated insecticides on S. littoralis data revealed that Match had the potential reduction on the larvae in the two seasonsrecording 88.67% initial effect and the residual was 92.44% .Reduction values were somewhat low at the onset of treatment, but starting from 5days to rose up sequentially till the end period. The poorly effect was recorded in the case of the carbamate Methomate.

Fortunately, the latent effects of the tested compounds are seem to be promising in regard to the rate of reduction of S. littoralis.

Conclusively, the acquaintance of the number of generations of S. littoralis infesting cowpea plants can help in implementation of an integrated pest management program against that pest.

Key words: Spodoptera littoralis (Boisd.), Cowpea, Ecology, Insecticides.

INTRODUCTION

Cowpea, Vignaun guiculata (L.) Walp, a multifunctional crop providing food for man and livestock and serving as a valuable dependable revenue generating commodity in many parts of the world.Cowpea belongsto the family of Papilionaceae (Leguminosae-Papilionoideae, Fabaceae).Cowpea grain contains23.4 % protein and good quantity of thiamine (vitamin B_1), riboflavin (vitamin B_2) and niacin(vitamin B_3) and richer than cereals in iron and calcium content .Cowpea leaves are a Beta-carotene and ascorbic acid (vitamin C). significant source of Cowpeans seed grain used as food, supplement very well the protein deficiency of the predominantly carbohydrate (Ngalamu et al., 2015). Metwally (1999) declared that cowpea was attacked by different serious pests. The most insects attacking cowpea plants are the white flies Bemisia spp., Aphids ,green stink bug, Nezara viridula L., Spodoptera littoralis (Boisd.), Empoa scadecipiens Paoli, and Thrip stabaci Lindeman .The cotton leaf worm, S. littoralis is considered as one of the most series pests for different crops in Asia, Africa and Europe (Smagghe and Degheele, 1997).

In Egypt, it is one of the most destructive agricultural lepidopterous pest within its subtropical and tropical range (Hosny *et al.*,1986). The cotton leaf worm, *S. littoralis* is a serious economic pest. It is apolyphagous on a wide varieties of plants, mainly attacks cotton in summer and Egyptian clover between plant to another,the pest frequently attacks vegetable plantations as temporary alternanthosts, and may cause severe damage to them Younis (1992). The effect of chemical pesticides on *S. littoralis* was extensively studied by several investigators (Anwar and El-Mageed 2005, Elgohary 2014 and Al-Shannaf *et al.*, 2006). Desuky *et al.* (2005) studied the efficacy of Match (insect growth regulator) using the recommended field rate to control the cotton leaf worm in cotton fields.

This work aimed to study the population density of *S*. *Littoralis* on cowpea crops, the combined effects of temperature and relative humidity in addition to estimating the efficacy of some insecticides against it.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Ecological studies:

1.1. Population density of Spodoptera littoralis on cowpea plant:

Investigations were carried out at Zagazig District, Sharkia Governorate during two successive seasons 2015and 2016. The experimental area (8 kirrat) was cultivated by cowpea variety Dokki 331 on 26thApril in the first season and on 2ndMay in the second to evaluate the population density of S. littoralis. The area was divided into 4 replicates distributed randomly.Normal agricultural practices were followed except pesticides applications. When the age of cowpea plants reached 15 days, random samples of 100 cowpea plants (25 plant from each replicate)were inspected weekly in the field. The samples represented different strata of the plant. Egg-masses and all larval instars were counted and recorded . Thedaily records of mean temperatures and mean relative humidity during 2015 and 16 were got from the Agrometeorological Station at Zagazig city which located nearly to the experimental area .The obtained results were statistically analyzed; correlation coefficient was estimated according to Costat (1990).

Infestation percent[(number of infested plants/total number of inspected plants)] ×100 and damage (Number of larvae / Plant) were calculated.

2.Control studies:

2.1. Insecticides used:

A. Trade name: Match 5 % EC.

Common name: Lufenuron.

Chemical name: (Rs)-1-[2,5-dichoro-4-(1,1,2,3,3,3-hexa fluoropropoxy) phenyl]-3- (2,6-difluorobenzoyl)urea.

B. Trade name: Betavant 14.5%SC.

Common name: Indoxacarb.

Chemical name: Methyl(s)-N-[7-chloro-2,3,4a,5- tetra hycdro -4a (methoxycarbonyl) indeno [1, 2-e][1,3 ,4]oxadiazine-2-yl carbonyl]-4- (Trifluoromethoxy) Carbanilate.

C. Trade name: Methomate 90%SP.

Common name: Methomyl.

Chemical name : s-methyl N-(Methyl carbamoyloxy) thioacetimidate.

2.2. Effect of the tested insecticides against Spodoptera littoralis on cowpea plant:

To study the efficacy of the tested insecticides against S. Littoralis larvae, an area of about (16 Kirrat) was divided into 4 equal plots(3treatments in addition to the control), and each treatment replicated four times. Treatments were arranged in a Randomized Complete Block Design. Dokki 331 cowpea variety was sowed at Zagagig District, Sharkia Governorate during both seasons 2015 and 2016. A Knapsack sprayer (20 litter) was used and filled with recommended concentrations of each insecticide. of The plot control was sprayed with water. Numbers of different larval instars of S. littoralis on 100 cowpea plants were counted directly while newly hatched ones were neglected from counting (25 cowpea plants were chosen randomly in each replicate) before treatment and examined after3,5,7and10 days for Match and 1,3,5,7 and 10 for each of Betavaunt and Methomate. The reduction percentages of S. littoralis egg-masses and larvae were calculated according to the equation of Hinderson and Telton (1955). For insecticide compounds the initial kill was represented with the reduction in population after one day of application, while in case of IGRs it occurred after 5 days of spraying (Gomaa et al., 1996; Badr et al., 2000 and Khedr, 2002). Residual effects of insecticide compounds were calculated as the average reduction after 3, 5, 7 and 10 days after application. While for IGR calculated as after 7 and 10 days after application.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1.Ecological studies

1.1. Population density of Spodoptera littoralis on cowpea plant:

Data in Table (1) showed the population density of *S. littoralis* eggmasses and larval stages on cowpea plant during seasons 2015and16.Results revealed thategg- masses appeared lately at the first season showing three peaks during the two seasons. The highest one was 27 egg -masses/ sample at the end of June in 2015 and 18 egg-masses/sample in the third week of June 2016 .Larvae of *S. littoralis* showed two peaks in the first season. The highest one was 644larva/ sample appeared in the first week of July while the second 552 larva/sample which appeared at the 2nd week of June. On the other hand, the population of larvae during season 2016 fluctuated during the other monthsshowing three peaks, the highest was 536 larva/sample appeared in the first week of July. The present results were near similar with Shahzad *et al.*(2014) who reported that cotton leaf worm population build

102

103

	Season 2015				Season 2016				
Date of inspection	Number/100 plant		Mean		Number/100 plant		Mean		
	Egg- masses	Larvae	Temp [.] ⁰ C	R.H. %	Date of inspection	Egg- masses	Larvae	Temp. ⁰ C	R.H. %
11/5	0	9	24	47.4	17/5	3	5	30.8	35.42
18/5	6	11	23.8	50.4	24/5	9	9	25.2	46
25/5	3	192	27.2	39.4	31/5	6	148	26	41.71
1/6	9	240	26.5	40.2	7/6	12	324	32.7	27.14
8/6	9	500	26.1	48.2	14/6	9	428	29	44.42
15/6	12	552	25.8	55	21/6	18	304	30	46.28
22/6	15	436	28.2	47	28/6	9	460	30.71	57
29/6	27	580	27.2	48.1	5/7	12	536	29.71	58.42
6/7	12	644	27	56.2	12/7	9	280	30.14	56.14
13/7	18	488	27.7	53.8	19/7	3	440	30.2	50.71
20/7	9	460	28.8	52.8	26/7	3	320	30.14	54.42
27/7	0	280	30	45.4	2/8	0	96	30.28	58.14
Total	120	4392				93	3350		
Mean	10	366				7.75	279.16		
r (Egg- masses)			0.34	0.22				0.43	-0.19
r (Larvae)			0.71	0.59				0.60	0.68

Table (1). Weekly mean numbers of *S. littoralis*, mean temperature and R.H. % on cowpea at Sharkia Governorate during seasons 2015 and 2016:

(r): Correlation coefficient

up initiated in July and continued a constant threat till the end of cotton season. Hence management practices should be focused from July and be continue till the end of October.

The correlation between two weather factors [temperature (0 C) and relative humidity (RH%)] and the cotton leaf worm egg-masses and larvae on cowpea plant cleared with (r) values. The obtained data indicated positive correlation between the population of *S. littoralis* and the temperature). In contrast, a negative in RH. for the egg-masses. The (r) values were (r=0.34 & -0.22) for temperature and R.H., respectively during season 2015 on the *S. littoralis* population. Regarding to the season of 2016, the two phenomena were recorded (0.43 & -0.19) as the for mentioned, respectively. The relationship between number of larvae and both of temperature and R.H. was positive where (r)values were (0.71&0.59) for season 2015 while were (0.60&0.68) in the second season. These results similar with Somaa

(2016) who evaluated the effect of temperature and relative humidity on the population density of egg-masses of *S. littoralis*.

The effect of temperature was significant and positive while the relative humidity effect was negative. Also, these results are in agreement with Taha *et al.* (2012) who declared that temperature and relative humidity had significant effect on population density of *S. littoralis* where (r)values were(0.58 & 0.72), respectively.

1.2. Effect of the cowpea plants age on S. littoralis population during seasons 2015 and 2016:

Data in (Table 2) explicate the percent infestation and damage of *S. littoralis* during growing stages of cowpea plant. The infestation percent and damage were low at the germination stage .As for the infestation was 61.5 % in vegetative stage and rose up to73.33% in flowering and reproductive stages at the first season 2015. Damage (no. of larvae / plant) was highly with a level of 4.81 larvae / plant at flowering and reproductive stage. The infestation percentage was increased at vegetative stage until reached 88 %, while it was 67.33 at the next stage.

8	20	015	2016		
Growth stages	Infestation %	Damage (no. of larvae/leaf)	Infestation %	Damage (no. of larvae/leaf)	
Germination	6	0.1	4	0.07	
Vegetative	61.5	3.71	88	3.01	
Flowering and reproduction	73.33	4.81	67.33	3.55	

Table (2). Effect of plant age on population of *S. littoralis* on cowpea crops during seasons 2015 and 2016

2.Controltrials

2.1 Effect of certain insecticides against Spodoptera littoralis

All the investigated insecticides at the recommended concentrations wereexhibited appreciable reduction against the infestation of *S. littoralis* on cowpea plants compared to control. The initial effect was measured as the reduction percentages of *S. littoralis* larvae at the first day post treatment for Betavaunt and Methomate and at the fifth day post treatment for Match .The differences in the times of initial effect was due to the mode of action of each insecticide. Data of (Table 3) showed that ,through the season 2015 the initial effect of Match, Betavaunt and Methomate recorded 88.67,77.19

104

and 88.97% reduction, respectively whereas in the second season (2016) Match recorded the highest initial effect which was 84.91%. The values of residual effect showed that Match exhibited the highest value which was 92.44% in the first season and 87.71% in the second. The obtained results revealed that the residual effect of IGRs increased than that of initial effects during the two successive seasons, indicating that the effectiveness of Match increased with increasing the time. The present results corroborates those of Desuky et al. (2012) who evaluated the effect of Dimilin (IGR) on S. *littoralis* under field conditions. Their results indicated that the initial effect of tested IGR induced reduction in the rate of S. littoralis infestation, as it recorded (77.295%) reduction in season 2008 ,71.8% during season 2009 and the residual effects were 82.60 and 76.00 %, respectively. Also, the present results are near similar with Saidetal.(2016) who evaluated the insecticides, lufenuron and in doxacarb, which caused reduction85.6% and 77.6%, respectively. In addition, the results agree with that obtained by Elgohary (2014), and Abdel-Aal et al. (2009).

Conclusively, the acquaintance of the number of generations of S. littoralis infesting cowpea plants can help in implementation of an integrated pest management program against that pest.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Aal, A.T.E.; T.A. El-Sheikh and A. M. Farag (2009). Effectiveness of insect growth regulators on the cotton leafworm, *Spodopteralittoralis* (Boisd.) population on cotton fields at Menofia Governorate . *Egyptian .J. Agric .Res.*, 87 (2):59-72.
- Al-Shannaf,H.M.H.;W.M.H.DesukyandS.M.Abdel-Halim(2006).Effect of some compounds on cotton leafworm*Spodopteralittoralis*(Boisd.) and their predators. Egyptian Sci., 21(4B):646-660.
- Anwar, E.M. and A.E.M. Abd El-Mageed(2005) .Toxicity impacts of certain insect growth regulators on some biochemical activities of the cotton leafworm. *Egyptian J. Agric Res.*, 83 (3). ارقام الصفحات
- Badr ,N.A.;S.A.Mohamed andS.M.A. El-Hallem(2000).Effect of seed oil extracts on the different developmental stages of the Egyptian cotton leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis*. *Egyptian J. of Biological Pest Control*, 10(1/2):39-50.
- **Costat Statistical Software(1990).** *Microcomputer Program Analysis* Version, 4.20, CoHortSoftware, Berkeley, CA.

- Desuky, W.M.H.; M.M.A. Khedr; S.I. Yousif-Khalil and S.M.A. El-Shakaa(2005).Field and biochemical studies on some compounds against cotton leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.). *Egyptian J. Agric. Res.*, 83(3):1087-1105.
- Desuky ,W. M. H.; A.A. El-Berry ; R.E. Omar ;M. M. Khattab and Y.A. Metwaly (2012). Effect of certain bio and chemical insecticides on cotton leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) and some associated predators under field condition. *Egyptian J.Agric.Res.*,90(4):1513-1529.
- **Duncan, D. B.** (1955) . Multiple ranges and multiple F. test *.Biometrics*, 11:1-41.
- Elgohary, R. A. Laila (2014). Ovicidal and larvicidal activities of three insect growth regulators against the cotton leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.). *J. Plant Prot. and Path., Mansoura Univ.*, 5 (1): 1-11.
- Gomaa, E.A.A.; G.M. Moawad ;W.M.H. Desuky; A.A. El-Sheikh and S.A.A. Raslan(1996). Feeding response, biological and toxicological potent of some IGRs *Spodoptera littoralis*. Egypt.J.Agric.Res.,74(1):91-100.
- Hinderson, C.F. and E.W. Telton (1955). Test with acaricides against brown white mite. J. Econ. Entomol., 48:157-161.
- Hosny, M.M.; C.P. Topper ;G.G. Moawad and G.B. El-Saadany (1986).Economic damage thresholds of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on cotton in Egypt.Crop Protection, 5:100–104.
- Khedr, M.M. (2002). Effect of some plant extracts and insect growth regulators applier to control cotton leafworm on honey bees, *Apismellifera* L..M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Zagazig Univ., Egypt :204pp..
- Metwally, A.G. Samia (1999). Effect of planting date and certain weather factors on the population fluctuation of three insect pests infesting kidney beans in Qualyobia Governorate. *Egyptian J. Agric. Res.*, 77(1):139-149.
- Ngalamu, Tony; James Odra and Nixon Tongun (2015). Cowpea productionhandbook.https://www.reasearchgate.net/publication/284900187.
- Said,A.A.A.;M .M. Kady and H. M. H. Al-Shannaf (2016). Toxicolgical and biochemical effects of some recommended and alternative compounds on cotton leafworm *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera:Noctuidae) in cotton fields. J. Plant Prot. and Path., Mansoura Univ., 7 (3): 205–213.

- Shahzad , M. S.; H. Asifa; S. Ahmad; A. M. M. Aslam; N. Khan; K. Mehmood and S. Fared (2014). Modelling population dynamics of cotton leafworm (*Spodoptera littoralis*) (Lepidopter: Noctuiidae) in relation to meteorological factors in Multan, Punjab, Pakistan. *International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research* (IJAAR), 5(1): 39-45.
- Smagghe, G. and D. Degheele (1997). Comparative toxicity and tolerance for the ecdysteroidmimic tebufenozide in a laboratory strain of cotton leafworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae).. J. Econ. Ent, 90: 278-282.
- Somaa,H.M.H(2016). Effect of certain weather factors and some natural enemies on the population density of the bollworms and cotton leafworm at Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate, *Egyptian J. Plant Prot. and Path.*, Mansoura Univ., 7(2): 161 – 169.
- Taha, M. A.; Abdel Wahab A. Horia; Mahmoud I. Hanaa and Abdel Hamed S. Ghada (2012). Population density of some insect pests infesting globe artichoke plantations in relation to certain ecological factors at Dakahalia Governorate. J. Plant Prot. and Path., Mansoura Univ., 3 (4): 347 - 353.
- Younis, A.M.(1992). Some biological aspects of cotton leafworm *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) under different constant temperature regimes. *Bull. Ent. Soci. of Egypt*, 70:171-180.

دراسات بيئية ومكافحة علي دودة ورق القطن التي تصيب محصول اللوبيا

رحاب ابراهيم عبدالله ¹، عبدالحميد حسين مهنا ²، حمزة محمد الشرقاوي²، مصطفي سعيد هاشم¹ 1- معهد بحوث وقايةالنباتات –مركز البحوث الزراعية- الدقي –جيزة –مصر 2- قسم الانتاج النباتي- كلية التكنولوجيا والتنمية - جامعة الزقازيق

- أجريت هذه الدراسة بمركز الزقازيق محافظة الشرقية خلال الموسمين الزراعيين 2015و 2016 للتعرف علي الكثافة العددية لدودة ورق القطن في حقول اللوبيا وأوضحت النتائج أن لطع البيض ظهرت متاخرة في السنة الاولى و سجل أكبر تعداد للطع في نهاية يونيو "27 لطعام عينة "بينما سجل أعلى تعداد في الموسم الثاني 18 لطعة عينة. ظهرت اليرقات بذروتين للتعداد أعلاها 644 يرقام عينة في الاسبوع الأول من يوليو خلال الموسم الأول بينما في الهوسم الثاني كان تعداد اليرقات متذبذا حيث ظهر ثلاث قمم أعلاها 536 يرقة/ عينة خلال الأسبوع الأول من يوليو كما جُمعت عينات ورقية من مراحل نمو المحصول المختلفة وتم حساب نسبة الإصابة حيث ؤجد أن مرحلة الإزهار والعقد كانت أكثر مراحل النمو للنبات إصابة (53.6%) خلال عام 2015 بينما كانت مرحلة النمو النبات مراحل نمو المحصول إصابة عام 2016
- استخدامت ثلاث مبيدات حشرية تنتمى الى ثلاث مجاميع مختلفة (مانعات التغذية ، منظمات النمو الحشرية , الكربامات) ضد يرقاتدودة ورق القطن وهي (بيتافانت ، ماتش وميثوميت)على التوالى و ذلك بالتركيز الموصي بة على محصول اللوبيا وكان الماتش أشدها فعالية خلال الموسمين حيث أعطي 68.67% إبادة فورية وكان الماتش أثر باقى يلية البيتافانت ، بينما كان الميثوميت أقل هذه المبيدات فعالية.

التوصية: بمعرفة عدد الأجيال للآفة على نبات اللوبيا يمكن استخدام نلك في برنامج التنبؤ للاصابة بالآفة علي المحصول واستخدام ذلك في برنامج للمكافحة المتكاملة للآفة .