

EVALUATION OF GROWTH AND FRUITING OF SOME OLIVE CVS GROWN UNDER MINIA REGION CONDITIONS

M. A. Mohamed*, **A. A. Gobara***, **M. R. G. El-Kareem**** and **A.M. Fakhry***

* *Hort. Dept., Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt.*

***Hort. Dept., Fac. of Agric., Souhag Univ., Egypt.*

ABSTRACT

Seven olive cvs Aggezi Akse, Aggezi Shami, Picual, Frantoio, Coratina, Superinso and Koroneiki grown under Minia conditions were evaluated for their growth, flowering, yield and fruit quality during 2015 and 2016 seasons.

An obvious variation on all the investigated growth, leaf content of pigments and nutrients, flowering, yield and fruit characteristics were observed among the seven olive cvs. Olive cvs Aggezi Akse, Aggezi Shami and Picual exhibited the highest values of these parameters. Olive cv Koroneiki had the lowest values.

According to the values of yield and fruit characteristics during both seasons, it is suggested to plant olive cvs Aggezi Akse, Aggezi Shami and Picual, respectively under Minia region conditions.

***Conclusively**, based on relatively higher yield and good fruit quality, it is suggested to select olive cv. Aggezi Akse, Aggezi Shami, Picual, Frantoio, Coratina, Superinso and Koroneiki in descending order to plant under Siwa Oasis conditions.*

Keywords: Evaluation, olive cvs, growth, flowering, yield and fruit characteristics.

INTRODUCTION

In Egypt, olive is considered among the principle and strategic fruit crops. Due to the great variations in the performance of various olive cvs grown under various areas the suitability of these olive cv from the growers' point of view is investigated. These differences on behaviour of different olive cvs were governed by genetically, horticultural practices and environmental conditions. Great promotion on olive production is attributed to making more evaluation studies under all Egypt Locations (Martin and Sibbett *et al.*, 2005).

An obvious variation on growth flowering, fruit setting, yield and its components was observed among different olive cvs (El- Khawaga, 2001; Bronzini de Caroffa *et al.*, 2002; Hegazi and Shaban, 2005; El- Said *et al.*, 2006; Abd –Allatif, 2007; Therios, 2009; Abo- Shanab- Iram, *et al.*, 2010; El- Hussein, 2012; Hegazi, 2012; IOC, 2013; Keceli, 2013, Mohamed, 2016 and Mousa, 2016).

Therefore, the target of this study was evaluating some olive cvs (Aggezi Akse, Aggezi Shami, Picual, Frantoio, Coratina, Superinso and Koroneiki) grown under Minia region conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted during 2015 and 2016 seasons on 70 trees of seven olive cvs (Aggezi Akse, Aggezi Shami, Picual, Frantoio, Coratina, Superinso and Koroneiki). The trees of the seven olive cvs were about 5-years old, and growing in a private orchard located at West Matay, Matay district, Minia Governorate, Egypt. The uniform in vigour trees of the seven olive cvs were planted at 4x5 meter apart (210 tree/fed.) in sandy soil under drip irrigation system. The trees irrigated the same amount of water and subjected to the regular recommended horticultural practices. They are free from pathogens and physiological disorders. Salinity of irrigation water was 1500 ppm.

This study was carried out for evaluating of growth and fruiting of seven olive cvs (Aggezi Akse, Aggezi Shami, Picual, Frantoio, Coratina, Superinso and Koroneiki) grown, under Minia region environmental conditions.

Soil analysis was done according to Piper (1950), Black (1965) and Evenhuis and Dewaard (1980) as shown in Table 1 & 2.

This experiment included seven treatments from seven olive cvs namely (Aggezi Akse, Aggezi Shami, Picual, Frantoio, Coratina, Superinso and Koroneiki). Each treatment was replicated five times, two trees per each. This study was statistically analyzed using randomized complete block design (RCBD).

During both seasons the following parameters were recorded:

1- Vegetative growth characteristics:

Height (cm) and circumference (cm) ($2x \pi$) where x equals half diameter and π equals 13/4 of tree were recorded at the last of both seasons (Oct.). Also, number of new shoots per tree was counted. In late March, and

Table (1): Analysis of the tested soil

| Content | Value |
|--|-------|
| Sand % | 75 |
| Silt % | 10.0 |
| Clay % | 15.0 |
| Texture grade | Sandy |
| pH(1: 2.5 extract) | 7.59 |
| EC (1: 2.5 extract) dsm^{-1}) | 2.5 |
| Calcium carbonate % | 3.0 |
| Total N% | 0.005 |
| Available P (Olsen, ppm) | 1.9 |
| Available K (ammonium acetate , ppm) | 81.5 |
| Available DTPA | - |
| DTPA Extractable micronutrients (ppm) | |
| Zn ppm | 0.9 |
| Fe ppm | 0.8 |
| Mn ppm | 0.6 |
| Cu ppm | 0.2 |

Table (2): Monthly average temperature and relative humidity % for the two seasons of 2015 & 2016.

| Months | 2015 | | | | 2016 | | | |
|--------|-------------------|------|---------|------|-------------------|------|-----------|------|
| | Temperature °C | | RH % | | Temperature °C | | R.H. % | |
| | Min. | Max. | Min. | Max. | Min. | Max. | Min. | Max. |
| Jan. | 5.0 | 25.0 | 31.9 | 86.0 | 4.9 | 24.2 | 28.0 | 90.0 |
| Feb. | 8.9 | 27.0 | 22.8 | 68.0 | 6.7 | 25.0 | 24.0 | 85.0 |
| March. | 8.9 | 32.0 | 16.8 | 62.0 | 8.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 70.0 |
| April | 13.0 | 35.0 | 15.0 | 47.0 | 14.0 | 32.0 | 12.0 | 44.0 |
| May | 19.0 | 35.0 | 18.0 | 46.0 | 18.0 | 33.0 | 13.0 | 39.0 |
| June | 17.0 | 35.0 | 18.9 | 60.0 | 18.1 | 34.0 | 15.0 | 54.0 |
| July | 17.0 | 35.0 | 18.9 | 80.0 | 19.8 | 34.0 | 16.0 | 63.0 |
| August | 18.0 | 36.0 | 21.0 | 81.0 | 18.9 | 34.0 | 21.0 | 73.0 |
| Sept. | 18.1 | 34.0 | 21.0 | 73.0 | 17.0 | 34.0 | 20.0 | 72.0 |
| Oct. | 17.0 | 33.0 | 26.0 | 73.0 | 12.0 | 34.0 | 22.0 | 90.0 |
| Nov. | 9.0 | 32.0 | 30.0 | 93.0 | 9.0 | 30.0 | 27.0 | 92.0 |
| Dec. | 6.0 | 27.3 | 30.0 | 94.0 | 5.0 | 26.0 | 27.0 | 93.0 |

RH % = Relative humidity % , Source: Minia Airport. Centre Meteorological Station, Minia.

for each tree, five similarly branches distributed around the tree canopy were labeled in each season.

A sample of thirty uniform shoots of the current season was chosen at random and labeled on each tree to measure growth measurements such as shoot length (cm.), number of leaves per shoot, shoot thickness (cm.).

In mid- October (after 7 months), twenty mature leaves from the middle of every new shoot growth of the current season were taken at random from each tree. Length and width of leaf (cm.) were recorded. The leaf area was measured by using the following equation reported by Ahmed and Morsy (1999). Leaf area (cm)² = 0.53 (length x width) + 1.66.

2-Leaf pigment contents

Chlorophyll a, b, total chlorophylls and total carotenoids (mg/100 g F.W) according to Von-Wettstein (1957) and Hiscox and Isralstam (1979).

3- Leaf nutrient contents

During mid-October of both seasons, 30 mature leaves were taken from the third leaf of labeled fruit shoot base from current season, leaf samples were cleaned with tap water, and then rinsed three times with deionized water, thereafter, leaves were prepared and dried in an electric oven at 70°C until constant weight then ground for determination of different nutrients (N, P, K and Mg as % as well as Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu as ppm on dry weight basis (Piper, 1950; Chapman and Pratt, 1965; Peach and Tracey 1968; Cottonie *et al.*, 1982; Summer, 1985 and Wilde *et al.*, 1985).

4- Flowering aspects:

Twenty one- year old shoots on each tree were labeled for counting the number of panicles per shoot as well as number of flowers per panicle. Dates of blooming were recorded.

5- Dates of fruit setting and percentages of fruit retention:

Dates of fruit setting were recorded. Twenty one- year old shoots on each tree were labeled for counting the initial number of flowers at full bloom. Number of fruitlets and fruits were recorded at monthly intervals up to harvest. Number of fruits were recorded before harvest on each of the selected shoots according to Ferguson *et al.*, (1994). Fruit retention % was calculated by dividing number of fruits just before harvesting date by total number of setted fruits and multiplying the product x 100.

6- Harvesting dates and yield per tree (Kg):

Fruits of each experiment tree were harvested at ripe stage (olive with superficial pigmentation on more than 50% of the exo-carp) then harvesting date was recorded according to each olive cvs (kg) and the average yield was calculating terms of number of fruits/tree and weight (kg).

7- Oil yield

The olive oil was extracted by pressing olive fruits using the pressure system to estimate oil yield per tree (kg.) and per fed. (tons)

8- Fruits and oils quality

8-1. Physical properties

8-1-1. Fruit weight (g)

8-1-2. Fruit dimensions

8-1-3. Flesh weight, %

8-1-4. Stone weight, %

8-1-5. Flesh/stone

8-2. Chemical properties

8-2-1- Oil content, % (A.O.A.C, 2000).

8-2-2 Acid values, % (A.O.A.C., 2000).

This experiment was included seven treatments from seven olive cvs. Each treatment had five replicates with two trees per a replicate. The results in this study were exposed to proper statistical analysis of variance for a randomized complete block design (RCBD) and different treatment means were compared using new L.S.D. at 5% (Snedecor and Cochran, 1980 and Mead *et al.*, 1993).

RESULTS

1- Growth characteristics:

Tables (3 & 4) shows that significant differences on the eight growth aspects namely tree height, Tree circumference, number of new shoot/tree, main shoot length, number of leaves/shoot, leaf length, leaf width and leaf area were observed among the seven olive cvs. The highest values of these growth characteristics were observed on olive cvs. Aggezi Akse, Aggezi Shami, Picual, Frantoio, Coratina, Superinso and Koroneiki. Olive cv Aggezi Akse had the highest values and the lowest values of these growth aspects olive cv were recorded on olive cv Koroneiki. These results were true during both seasons.

Table (3): Some vegetative growth characteristics in the seven olive cvs grown under Minia region conditions during 2015 and 2016 seasons

| Olive cvs | Tree height (m) | | Tree circumference (cm) | | No. of new shoot/tree | | Main shoot length (cm) | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|------------------------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Aggezi Akse | 2.50 | 2.61 | 503.0 | 507.9 | 210.0 | 218.0 | 13.0 | 14.8 |
| Aggezi Shami | 2.50 | 2.60 | 503.0 | 508.0 | 210.0 | 219.0 | 14.0 | 15.7 |
| Picual | 2.50 | 2.60 | 534.0 | 540.0 | 190.0 | 200.0 | 13.0 | 14.8 |
| Coratina | 2.75 | 2.86 | 502.0 | 507.7 | 250.0 | 261.0 | 17.0 | 18.7 |
| Frantoio | 3.00 | 3.09 | 565.0 | 569.9 | 210.0 | 220.0 | 19.0 | 20.6 |
| Superinso | 3.00 | 3.10 | 377.0 | 382.0 | 210.0 | 221.0 | 18.0 | 19.7 |
| Koroneiki | 2.75 | 2.84 | 562.0 | 567.0 | 210.0 | 220.0 | 15.0 | 16.8 |
| New L.S.D at 5% | 0.20 | 0.19 | 11.7 | 11.3 | 20.0 | 21.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 |

Table (4): Some vegetative growth characteristics in the seven olive cvs grown under Minia region conditions during 2015 and 2016 seasons

| Olive cvs | No. of leaves/shoot | | Leaf length (cm) | | Leaf width (cm) | | Leaf area (cm) ² | |
|-----------------|---------------------|------------|------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Aggezi Akse | 25.0 | 27.0 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 1.00 | 1.08 | 4.05 | 4.24 |
| Aggezi Shami | 25.0 | 27.0 | 4.50 | 4.51 | 1.00 | 1.11 | 4.05 | 4.31 |
| Picual | 190.0 | 193.0 | 5.01 | 5.12 | 1.50 | 1.52 | 5.64 | 5.78 |
| Coratina | 19.0 | 20.0 | 7.01 | 6.92 | 1.64 | 1.66 | 7.23 | 7.23 |
| Frantoio | 35.0 | 38.0 | 5.52 | 5.61 | 1.56 | 1.52 | 6.05 | 6.18 |
| Superinso | 58.0 | 60.0 | 5.01 | 5.12 | 1.50 | 1.52 | 5.64 | 5.78 |
| Koroneiki | 58.0 | 59.0 | 5.01 | 5.12 | 1.51 | 1.52 | 5.67 | 5.78 |
| New L.S.D at 5% | 2.0 | 3.0 | 0.39 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.24 |

2- Leaf pigments:

It is clear from the obtained data in Tables (5, 6 and 7) that varying olive cvs significantly altered leaf pigments namely chlorophylls a & b , total chlorophylls and total carotenoids as well as leaf content of N, P, K, Mg, Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu and the maximum values were detected on olive cv. Aggezi Akse and the lowest values were recorded on olive cv. Koroneiki. Similar results were announced during 2015 and 2016 seasons.

3- Number of panicles/shoot and flowers per panicle and dates of blooming and fruit setting and fruit retention(%):

Data in Tables (8 & 9) clearly show that number of panicles/shoot, number flowers per panicle and fruit retention % significantly were affected by varying olive cvs. Olive cv Aggezi Akse was the highest values. Aggezi Akse and Koroneiki were bloomed early on 20 and 18 March during both seasons, respectively. It is worth to mention that all investigated olive cvs bloomed nearly on the same time. These results were true during both seasons.

4-Dates of harvesting:

It was significantly varied among the seven olive cvs (Aggezi Akse, Aggezi Shami, Picual, Frantoio, Coratina, Superinso and Koroneiki). Dates of harvesting in all the seven olive cvs ranged from the first week of August (Aggezi Akse, Aggezi Shami and Frantoio) to the first week of October (Picual, Coratina, Superinso and Koroneiki). These results were true during both seasons. (Table 9).

5- Yield/tree:

Table (9) that yield expressed in number of fruits/ tree and yield (kg) was significantly different among the seven olive cvs. Significant differences were observed on the yield among the seven olive cvs. The highest values were recorded on olive cvs Aggezi Akse, Aggezi Shami, Picual, Frantoio, Coratina, Superinso and Koroneiki. Aggezi Akse (50.5 & 51.5), Aggezi Shami (41.9 & 42.8), Picual (20.9 & 21.5), Frantoio (18.8 & 19.4), Coratina (18.0 & 18.6), Superinso (11.5 & 11.9) and Koroneiki (6.2 & 6.6). during both seasons respectively. These results were true during both seasons.

Table (5): Leaf pigments content in the seven olive cvs grown under Minia region conditions during 2015 and 2016 seasons

| Olive cvs | Chlorophyll a (mg/100 g F.W) | | Chlorophyll b (mg/100 g F.W) | | Total chlorophylls (mg/100 g F.W) | | Total carotenoids (mg/100 g F.W) | |
|-----------------|------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Aggezi Akse | 6.1 | 6.3 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 8.8 | 9.3 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| Aggezi Shami | 5.7 | 6.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| Picual | 5.3 | 5.6 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| Coratina | 5.0 | 5.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Frantoio | 4.6 | 4.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| Superinso | 4.1 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| Koroneiki | 3.6 | 4.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| New L.S.D at 5% | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 |

Table (6): Percentage of N, P, K and Mg in the leaves in the seven olive cvs grown under Minia region conditions during 2015 and 2016 seasons

| Olive cvs | Leaf N % | | Leaf P % | | Leaf K % | | Leaf Mg % | |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Aggezi Akse | 1.93 | 1.94 | 0.34 | 0.31 | 1.55 | 1.59 | 0.69 | 0.71 |
| Aggezi Shami | 1.86 | 1.87 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 1.50 | 1.55 | 0.66 | 0.67 |
| Picual | 1.79 | 1.80 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 1.46 | 1.50 | 0.63 | 0.63 |
| Coratina | 1.64 | 1.71 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 1.42 | 1.44 | 0.59 | 0.59 |
| Frantoio | 1.52 | 1.61 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 1.38 | 1.39 | 0.55 | 0.54 |
| Superinso | 1.46 | 1.53 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 1.34 | 1.35 | 0.53 | 0.50 |
| Koroneiki | 1.40 | 1.48 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 1.29 | 1.32 | 0.50 | 0.47 |
| New L.S.D at 5% | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 |

Table (7): Leaf content of Mn, Zn, Fe and Cu (as ppm) in the seven olive cvs grown under Minia region conditions during 2015 and 2016 seasons

| Olive cvs | Leaf Mn | | Leaf Zn | | Leaf Fe | | Leaf Cu | |
|-----------------|---------|------|---------|------|---------|------|---------|------|
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Aggezi Akse | 61.0 | 61.9 | 71.0 | 70.9 | 81.0 | 80.3 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| Aggezi Shami | 58.0 | 59.0 | 68.9 | 68.4 | 79.0 | 78.3 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| Picual | 55.0 | 56.0 | 66.6 | 66.1 | 76.3 | 75.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| Coratina | 51.0 | 52.5 | 64.0 | 63.4 | 74.1 | 73.4 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| Frantoio | 49.0 | 50.5 | 61.9 | 61.5 | 72.0 | 71.3 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| Superinso | 47.0 | 48.0 | 58.3 | 58.0 | 69.9 | 69.2 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| Koroneiki | 45.0 | 46.3 | 56.1 | 56.0 | 66.3 | 65.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| New L.S.D at 5% | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.6 | NS | NS |

Table (8): Number of panicles/shoot and flowers per panicle, dates of blooming and fruit setting in the seven olive cvs grown under Minia region conditions during 2015 and 2016 seasons

| Olive cvs | No. of panicles /shoot | | No. of flowers/ panicle | | Date of blooming | | Date of fruit setting | |
|-----------------|------------------------|------|-------------------------|------|------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Aggezi Akse | 30.0 | 31.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 20-Mar. | 18-Mar. | 10-Apr. | 11-Apr. |
| Aggezi Shami | 30.0 | 31.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 20-Mar. | 18-Mar. | 10-Apr. | 11-Apr. |
| Picual | 30.0 | 31.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 21-Mar. | 18-Mar. | 15-Apr. | 11-Apr. |
| Coratina | 29.0 | 30.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 20-Mar. | 18-Mar. | 15-Apr. | 11-Apr. |
| Frantoio | 30.0 | 30.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 20-Mar. | 18-Mar. | 10-Apr. | 11-Apr. |
| Superinso | 30.0 | 30.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 20-Mar. | 18-Mar. | 10-Apr. | 11-Apr. |
| Koroneiki | 28.0 | 30.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 20-Mar. | 18-Mar. | 15-Apr. | 11-Apr. |
| New L.S.D at 5% | NS | NS | NS | NS | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- |

Table (9): Number of fruits/ tree, fruit retention, date of harvesting and yield in the seven olive cvs grown under Minia region conditions during 2015 and 2016 seasons

| Olive cvs | Fruit retention (%) | | No. of fruits /tree | | Date of harvesting | | Yield/tree (kg.) | |
|------------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Aggezi Akse | 9.0 | 9.7 | 4830.0 | 4900.0 | 1- Aug. | 3-Aug. | 50.5 | 51.5 |
| Aggezi Shami | 8.0 | 8.8 | 4410.0 | 4481.0 | 1- Aug. | 3-Aug. | 41.9 | 42.8 |
| Picual | 6.9 | 7.6 | 3230.0 | 3301.0 | 3- Oct. | 4-Oct. | 20.9 | 21.5 |
| Coratina | 5.8 | 6.5 | 6000.0 | 6074.0 | 3- Oct. | 4-Oct. | 18.8 | 19.4 |
| Frantoio | 4.7 | 5.4 | 5000.0 | 5071.0 | 1- Aug. | 3-Aug. | 18.0 | 18.6 |
| Superinso | 3.7 | 4.4 | 4410.0 | 4484.0 | 3- Oct. | 4-Oct. | 11.5 | 11.9 |
| Koroneiki | 2.7 | 3.4 | 5460.0 | 5530.0 | 3- Oct. | 4-Oct. | 6.2 | 6.6 |
| New L.S.D at 5% | 1.0 | 1.1 | 100 | 97.0 | ----- | ---- | 1.9 | 1.8 |

Physical and chemical characteristics of the fruits:

It is clear from the data in Tables (10 & 11) that all physical and chemical characteristics of the fruits fruit weight, height and diameter, flesh %, stone %, Flesh/stone, Fruit oil %, Oil yield/tree, Oil yield/fed. (crops) and Acid value % were significantly varied among the seven olive cvs Aggezi Akse, Aggezi Shami, Picual, Frantoio, Coratina, Superinso and Koroneiki. Olive cv Aggezi Akse had the highest values of fruit weight, height and diameter and flesh / stone. The maximum stone % was observed on olive cv Coratina and the lowest values were recorded on olive cvs Aggezi Akse and Aggezi Shami. The maximum values of fruit oil% was recorded on olive cv Coratina (22.0 & 22.3 %) during both seasons, respectively. The maximum values of oil yield per fed. was appeared on olive cv Aggezi Akse (1.52 & 1.52 tons) during both seasons, respectively. Acid value was significantly varied among the seven olive cvs. The highest values of acid values were recorded on olive cv Picual (0.53 & 0.56 %) during both seasons, respectively. Olive cv Aggezi Shami (0.48 & 0.46 %) during both seasons, respectively. These results were true during both seasons.

Table (10): Some physical characteristics in the seven olive cvs grown under Minia region conditions during 2015 and 2016 seasons

| Olive cvs | Fruit weight (g.) | | Fruit height (cm) | | Fruit diameter (cm) | | Flesh % | | Stone % | |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Aggezi Akse | 10.45 | 10.51 | 3.00 | 3.05 | 2.30 | 2.33 | 88.0 | 88.5 | 12.0 | 11.5 |
| Aggezi Shami | 9.50 | 9.55 | 2.60 | 2.64 | 2.25 | 2.29 | 88.0 | 88.5 | 12.0 | 11.5 |
| Picual | 6.46 | 6.52 | 2.61 | 2.65 | 2.21 | 2.24 | 83.0 | 83.7 | 17.0 | 16.3 |
| Coratina | 3.14 | 3.20 | 2.31 | 2.35 | 1.50 | 1.55 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Frantoio | 3.60 | 3.66 | 2.21 | 2.25 | 1.40 | 1.45 | 76.0 | 76.5 | 24.0 | 23.5 |
| Superinso | 2.61 | 2.65 | 2.00 | 2.05 | 1.30 | 1.34 | 77.0 | 77.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
| Koroneiki | 1.14 | 1.20 | 1.71 | 1.75 | 1.15 | 1.20 | 72.0 | 72.5 | 28.0 | 27.5 |
| New L.S.D at 5% | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 |

Table (11): Some physical and chemical characteristics of the fruits in the seven olive cvs grown under Minia region conditions during 2015 and 2016 seasons

| Olive cvs | Flesh /stone | | Fruit oil % | | Oil yield /tree (kg) | | Oil yield/fed. (tons) | | Acid value % | |
|-----------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------|----------------------|------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Aggezi Akse | 7.33 | 7.70 | 15.0 | 14.8 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 1.52 | 1.52 | 0.49 | 0.44 |
| Aggezi Shami | 7.33 | 7.62 | 16.0 | 16.1 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 1.34 | 1.38 | 0.48 | 0.46 |
| Picual | 4.88 | 5.13 | 18.0 | 17.9 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.53 | 0.56 |
| Coratina | 3.00 | 3.00 | 22.0 | 22.3 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 0.82 | 0.86 | 0.52 | 0.55 |
| Frantoio | 3.17 | 3.26 | 17.0 | 17.5 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 0.62 | 0.68 | 0.52 | 0.55 |
| Superinso | 3.35 | 3.35 | 16.0 | 16.3 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.52 | 0.55 |
| Koroneiki | 2.57 | 2.64 | 19.0 | 19.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.52 | 0.55 |
| New L.S.D at 5% | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.03 |

DISCUSSION

The great variation on all growth, flowering, fruit setting harvesting, yield and fruit setting of the fruits among the five olive cvs Hamed, Picual, Wateken, Kalamata and chemlali might be attributed to the great differences on responses of each cv. to changes in climatic and different environmental conditions as well as horticultural practices. In addition, the variation on genetical behaviour and tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses could add another explanation.

These results are in agreement with those obtained by El- Khawaga (2001); Hegazi and Shaban (2005); El- Said *et al.*, (2006); Abd Allatif (2007); Abo- Shanab *et al.*, (2010); El-Husseiny (2012); Hegazi (2012); Mohamed (2016) and Mousa (2016).

Conclusively, based on relatively higher yield and good fruit quality, it is suggested to select olive cv. Aggezi Akse, Aggezi Shami, Picual, Frantoio, Coratina, Superinso and Koroneiki in descending order to plant, under Siwa Oasis conditions.

REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (2000):** *Official Methods of Analysis*. Association of Official Agricultural Chemists. 17th Ed. Published by O. A. C. international U. S. A.
- Abd-Allatif, A, M. (2007):** Floral biology and pollination requirements of some olive cultivars. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Cairo Univ.Egypt.
- Abo-Shanab Ikram, S.; Shereen A. S. and El-Bolok T.K. (2010):** Evaluation of some olive cultivars grown under Sohag Governorate conditions. *Eg. J. Hort.*, 37(2): 235-256.
- Ahmed, F. F. and Morsy, M. H. (1999):** A new method for measuring leaf area in different fruit species. *Minia J. of Agric. Res. & Develop.* (19): 97-105.
- Black, C.A. (1965):** *Methods of Soil Analysis*. Amer. Soc. of Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A.pp 1-20.
- Bronzini de Caraffa, V., Maury, J., de Rocca Serra, D., Giannettini, J. and Besnard, G. (2002).** Genetic characterization of olive tree (*Olea europaea L.*) intended for oil production in Corsica. *Cta Hort.*, 586:163-166.

- Chapman, H. D. and Pratt, P. P. (1965):** Methods of Analysis for Soils, Plants and Water. Univ. of California. Division of Agric., Sci., 172-173.
- Cottonie, A.; Verloo, M.; Velghe, M. and Camerlynck, R. (1982):** Chemical Analysis of Plant and Soil. Ghent, Belgium, Laboratory of Analytical and Agro-chemistry. State Univ. pp. 200-210.
- Elhusseiny, A. M. (2012):** Evaluation of Some Olive Strains. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Banha Univ. Egypt.
- El-Khawaga, A. S. (2001)** Comparative Studies on some Olive Cultivars Grown in Different Environmental Conditions. Ph.D Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Assuit Univ. Egypt.
- El-Said, M.E.; Gowda, A.M and Hassan, M.E. (2006):** Studies on some olive cultivars under Beni Suef Governorate conditions. Alex. J. Agri,Res.,2(51):137-152.
- Evenhuis, B. and Dewaard, P.W. (1980):** Principles and Practices in Plant Analysis. F.A.O., Soil Bull., 38: 172- 163.
- Ferguson, L.; Sibbett, G.S. and Martin, G.C. (1994):** Olive production manual. Calif Univ. Division of Agric. and Natural Resources, Oakland, C.A. Publication 3353. Pp 160.
- Hegazi, A.A. (2012):** Performance of 12 introduced olive cultivars under Egyptian conditions . res. J. Agric. & Biol. Sci., 8(2): 98-107.
- Hegazi, A.A. and Shaban, A.E.A. (2005):** Studies on growth, flowering and fruiting of six table olive cvs. under desert conditions. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 30(3):1507-1525.
- Hiscox, A. and Isralstam, B. (1979):** A method for the extraction of chlorophyll from leaf tissue without maceration. Can. Bot., 57:1332-1334.
- IOC (2013):** Trade standard applying to olive oils and olive-pomace oil COI/T.15/NCNo3/Rev.7. M.L. 1973. Soil Chemical Analysis, Prentice - Hall, Inc., India.
- Keceli, T. M. (2013):** Influence of Time of Harvest on 'Adana Topagi Gemlik Olives, Olive Oil Properties and Oxidative Stability. Nature., 1(4): 52-58.
- Martin, C.G. and Sibbett, S.G. (2005):** Botany of the Olive. In: Olive Production Manual second edition. (Eds. SIBBETT,G.S.; Ferguson, L., and Lindstrand, M.), University of California, Agriculture and Natural Resources, Okland, California, pp. 15-19.

- Mead, R.; Curnow, R.N. and Harted, A.M. (1993):** Statistical Methods in Agricultural and Experimental Biology. Second Ed. Chapman & Hall. London, pp. 10- 44.
- Mohamed, M.A.A. (2016):** Physiological studies on the effect of some silicon, boron and amino acid treatments on some olive cvs. Ph. D. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Al- Azhar Univ. Assiut, Egypt.
- Mousa, A.M.A. (2016):** Evaluation of growth and fruiting of some olive cultivars grown under Siwa conditions. M.Sc. Thesis Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ.
- Peach, K and Tracey, I. M. V. (1968):** Modern Methods of Plant Analysis. pp.36 - 38. Inter Sci. New York.
- Piper, C. S. (1950):** Soil and Plant Analysis, Inter Science New York pp 48-110.
- Snedecor, G. and Cochran, W. G. (1980):** Statistical Methods. Oxford and I. B. H. Publishing Corn. 7th edition.
- Summer, M.E. (1985):** Diagnosis and Recommendation. Integrated System (DRIS) as a guide to orchard fertilization. Hort. Abst., 55(8): 7502.
- Therios, I. (2009).** Olives (Crop Production Science in Horticulture). CABI Head Office, Noswoi; worty, Wallingford, Oxfordshire OX10 8DE UK, 245-254.
- Von- Wettstein, D. V. (1957):** Chloophyll- Lethale under submikroshopische formilkechrel der plastiden celi, prp. Trop. Res. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 20: 427 – 433.
- Wilde, S.A; Corey, R.B; Layer, J.G and Voigt, G.K. (1985):** Soils and Plant Analysis for Tree Culture. Oxford, IBH, New Delhi, India.pp 1-130.

تقييم نمو وإثمار بعض أصناف الزيتون النامية تحت ظروف منطقة المنيا

معوض عبد الحميد محمد* - على عبد العزيز جبارة* - محمود رياض جاد الكريم** -
عوض محمود فخرى محمد*

*قسم البساتين - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنيا- مصر

** قسم البساتين - كلية الزراعة - جامعة سوهاج- مصر

تم تقييم سبعة اصناف من الزيتون نامية تحت ظروف منطقة المنيا وهى العجيزى العقصى والعجيزى الشامى والبيكوال والكوراتينا والفورانتيو والسوبرسينو والكروناكى من ناحية نموها ومحتوى الورقة من الصبغات والعناصر الغذائية وكمية محصولها وخصائص الجودة لثمارها وذلك خلال موسمى ٢٠١٥ / ٢٠١٦ لوظ أن هناك اختلافات واضحة فى صفات النمو تحت الدراسة وصفات الازهار وكمية المحصول وخصائص الجودة للثمار بين أصناف الزيتون السبعة ولقد سجل العجيزى العقصى والعجيزى الشامى والبيكوال اعلى هذه القياسات علي التوالى اما صنف الزيتون الكروناكى فقد سجل اقل هذه القياسات. **التوصية:** طبقا لتقييم المحصول والازهار وخصائص الجودة للثمار فى خلال موسمى الدراسة فانه يقترح زراعة أصناف الزيتون العجيزى العقصى والعجيزى الشامى والبيكوال مرتبة تنازليا وذلك تحت ظروف منطقة المنيا.