TREATISE OF DIFFERENT PHYSIOGRAPHIC UNITS IN SINNURIS DISTRICT-FAYOUM GOVERNORATE – EGYPT Mohamed, A.A.; A.O. Abd El-Nabi and A.M.A. Zayed Soils, water and Environ. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

Sinnuris District is bounded by Lake Qarun (north), Fayoum District (south), Tamia District (east) and Ibshwai District (west). The geopedological physiographic units and its taxonomic units of the studied area could be summarized as follow:

- 1. Fluvio-Lacustrine, moderately low terraces:
 - Typic Torripsamments, siliceous, hyperthermic.
 - Sodic Haplotorrerts, fine, smectitic, hyperthermic.
 - Typic Torrifluvents, coarse loamy, mixed, hyperthermic.
- 2. Fluvio-Lacustrine, low terraces:
 - Typic Torrifluvents, coarse loamy, mixed, hyperthermic.
 - Sodic Haplotorrerts, fine, smectitic, hyperthermic.
- 3. Fluvio-Lacustrine, low terraces (basin cover with sand sheet):
 - Sodic Haplotorrerts, fine, smectitic, hyperthermic.
 - Typic Torrifluvents, fine loamy over sandy, mixed, hyperthermic.
- 4. Fluvio-Lacustrine, high terraces:
 - Typic Haplotorrerts, clayey, mixed, hyperthermic.
- 5. Alluvial, moderately high terraces (basin):
- Typic Haplotorrerts, fine, smectitic, hyperthermic.
- Vertic Torrifluvents, fine loamy, mixed, hyperthermic.
- Typic Torrifluvents, fine loamy, mixed, hyperthermic.
- 6. Nile Alluvial, high terraces:
 - Typic Torrifluvents, sandy, mixed, hyperthermic.
 - Typic Haplotorrerts, very fine, smectitic, hyperthermic.
 - Vertic Torrifluvents, fine loamy, mixed, hyperthermic.
- 7. Alluvial plain, Vales:
 - Typic Torrifluvents, fine loamy, mixed, hyperthermic.

Data of land evaluation revealed that, soils under consideration, mainly, suffering from texture, salinity and sodicity, can be recorded highly suitable class with regard to potential suitability by application more suitable irrigation systems, favorable managements and cultivation appropriate crops.

More suitable crops follows the following descending order:

Sorghum > barley > cotton > olive > wheat > alfalfa > maize.

Keywords: Sinnuris, physiographic unit, taxonomic unit, evaluation.

INTRODUCTION

Fayoum Oasis is a deep depression in the limestone plateau of the Libyan Desert, into which in the coarse of time, the Nile waters have obtained access. It has thus affinities in the one hand with the oasis and other great depressions of the Libyan Desert, and on the other hand with the Nile Valley and Delta, which are watered by the river. The total thickness of the Nile mud in El-Fayoum is seldom more than 4-5 meters and is generally very much less. Fayoum is +25 meters above sea level; while the north and west parts which are adjacent to Lake Qarun are between -40 to -45 meters under sea level.

This shows that the slope is from south to north and from east to west Fayoum Governorate (Moustafa et. al., 1965). El-Fayoum Governorate floor is covered mainly by Fluvio-lacustrine deposits that belong to Pleistocene/ Halocene period (Shendi et. al., 2010). Sinnuris District is located in the eastern-north part of Fayoum depression and covers an area about 24334.8 hectars.


The meteorological data of the studied area indicate that the mean annual temperature ranges from 11.6 to 28.1 °C in the south of district, while the mean annual temperature in the north district between 10.5 and 30.7 °C with an average temperature value of higher than 22 °C. The precipitation appears a scanty rainfall drops that may occurs between December – April with an average of 8-17 mm/year, whereas the evaporation rates average ranging between 3.5 to 10 mm/day. The mean annual relative humidity varies from 32 to 67%. The previous data reveal to the soil moisture regime is "Torric" and the temperature regime is "Hyperthermic" according to USDA, 2014.

The study under consideration aimed to achieve the boundary of physiographic units of the studied area, identify the main physical and chemical characteristics, classification and evaluation of these units.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sinnuris District is one of six districts of El-Fayoum Governorate i.e. Yousef El-Sedeek, Ibshwai, Sinnuris, Tamia, Fayoum and Itsa. It is located in the eastern north part of Fayoum depression. It is bounded by Lake Qarun (north), Fayoum District (south), Tamia District (east) and Ibshwai District (west) and lies within latitude 29° 20' and 29° 30' north and longitude 30° 43' and 30° 56' east.

The geopedological physiographic map of the studied area was produced by Soil, Water and Environment Research Institute (1998), which is the base of current study (Map 1).



Map 1: Physiographic units and locations of representative soil profiles.

The exact location of the studied profiles were registered with help of GPS (Global Positioning System) to achieve representation of different physiographic units

Twenty one soil profiles were dug to 150 cm or lithic contact to represent the predominate characteristics of the identified physiographic units of the studied area. Soil profiles were described in the field according to FAO (2006). Soil colour is defined according to Munsell Color (2009).

Soil samples were collected, air dried, crushed, sieved through a 2 mm sieve and subjected to different physical and chemical analysis. Gravel contents were determined as percent by volume.

- Particle size distribution was carried out according to Black (1982) using hexametaphosphate as dispersing agent.
- pH values were measured in the saturated soil paste according to Richard (1954).
- Total salinity (ECe) and soluble cations and anions were determined in saturated soil paste extract (Jackson, 1975).
- Sulphate was calculated by subtracting total anions from total cations.
- Organic matter was determined according to the modified procedure by Jackson (1958).
- Gypsum content was determined by precipitation with acetone (Richard, 1954).
- Calcium carbonate content was determined volumetrically using Collin's Calcimeter (Richard, 1954).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Updating soil survey is a vital important for decision makers and for management plans. The soil under considerations have seven physiographic units which were illustrated in map 1. The review revealed that most of Fayoum Governorate cover by Fluvio-lacustrine deposits but these soils were submitted to agricultural activities which reduce most differences in their characteristics except their positions or elevations.

Field description, physical and chemical properties of the representative soil profiles are shown in Tables 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

The subsequent is the main characteristics of different physiographic units:

1. Fluvio-Lacustrine, moderately low terraces:

Total area of this unit covers about 53.08 km² (21.81% of total area of Sinnuris district). This unit are represented by soil profiles 1, 6, 9, 10, 12 and 14. These soils show nearly level sloping except soils of profile 1 appear gently sloping class. Surface features vary between few to common weeds, fine cracks or low salt accumulations. Distribution of texture classes are sand in the north, loam in the south and clay between them, so, soil structure is single grain in the north, massive in the south and blocky between them. The soils which have fine texture appear common distinct slickensides. The contents of gravel, organic mater, gypsum and lime are 0-2%, 0.08-1.23%, 1.2-1.9% and 1.3-11.8%,

Table 1: Morphological description of the studied soil profiles.

Yusbanos	3	AS	M	S S S		AS	AS	0 <	200	;	AS	AS		CW	CW		CW	g		CW	O		S.€	9	CW	; c)	CW	CW		AW	O		CW	AS
elAes cence	нэ	+ +	777	+ +	++E16	++	‡ ‡	1111	: :	‡	++	++	+++	++	+	++	+++	+	++	‡	‡	+++	‡	+ +	+	‡	‡	+++	+++	+++	+	+	+	++	‡ +
	Nature)	,	-	ı ı	ñ	ï	-		0			ī		*	٠.	7	ï	,	-	1 _		7	,				i	ě	, ,
mation	Type			· U	O		٠ ر)) !	Ŷ	ï	-		0	ပ		ī	3		O I	ပ	ï	,) C	O	0	,				10	ï	, ,
Secondary formation	lardness			· co	S		. 0	2	ď) (Ÿ	ï				S		Ü			s o	S	ï	,	, u	. I	S	S					10	ï	, ,
Sec	Abundance Hardness		2 8	· LL	. O	a.	, ц		ш	e 1.	3.	2.	3	8	0	ш	a.	ž.	3.	8.	ш	_	30) C	ш	ш	20	3.		63	ŗ	J.	
Gravel (%)		0	1 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0
Consistence		L, NS, NP		i iii	S	Ś	т o o	50	o c	С С	Ś	S	S	Fr. SS, SP	Fr, SS, SP	Fr, SS, SP	VFr, SS, SP	VFr, SS, SP	VFr, SS, SP	Ś	o i	SO.	щ'i	n o	5 0	o or	ico	F, S, P	F, S, P	F, S, P	VFr, SS, SP	L, NS, NP	L, NS, NP	F, S, P	F, S, P
Soil		Sg	g a	0 00	8	Mas	œ a	0 0	o cc	0 00	В	0	8	Mas	Mas	Mas	Mas	Mas	Mas	0	0	9	ш (ם מ	0 0	0 00	00	В	9	В	Mas	Sg	Sg	В	Mac B
Soil Soil texture structure		so s) () U	O	0	00) () د	0	O	O	O	7	_	_	TS	SI	SI	ပ	O I	ပ	0 0	ى د) c	0 0	0	O	O	O	TOS	S	S	O	o 7
Soil	(monst)	10YR 7/6	10VD 2/2	10YR 5/3	10YR 5/3	10YR 4/3	10YR 5/3	10VB 4/2	10VP 4/3	10YR 4/3	10YR 6/3	10YR 5/3	10YR 5/3	10YR 6/3	10YR 6/4	10YR 6/4	10YR 8/6	10YR 8/4	10YR 7/4	10YR 6/3	10YR 6/3	10YR 6/3	10YR 3/2	10YR 4/2	101R 3/3	10YR 4/3	10YR 5/3	10YR 4/3	10YR 5/3	10YR 6/3	10YR 6/4	10YR 7/6	10YR 7/8	10YR 3/3	10YR 3/3
Cutanic feature			T	CDS	CDS		SOS	200	27.1	SGS	Н	~:	CDS					ı	2		SOS			200	200		cos	П	CDS			68			CDS
Crops		uncultivated		maize		20.29	cotton		clower			maize			olive		i i	maize			maize		10.	maize	X.E	maize			maize			mango			cotton
Surface features		few	DOOM.	weeds &	fine cracks	fino	cracks					low surface	salts	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	common	weeds		ì		fino	cracks						Š.		all e	cracks	A COLOR	mondo	speam	55	upland
Slope gradient		Gently	Sindan	Nearly	eve	Moody	level	100000	Nearly	eve	M	Nean	level	NI COL	Neany	level	Mondy	laval	level	Monda	level		Nearly	level	2000000	Nearly	level		Neany	level	Mondy	lowel	level	Nearly	level
Depth (cm)		0 - 30	20 0	25 - 55	55-120	0 - 25	25 45	0 30	30 - 70	70-120	0-30	30 -80	80-120	0-20	20 -70	70-120	0-20	20 -50	50-120	0 - 35	35 -65	69-69	0 – 25	75 -60	0 - 25	25 -75	75-120	0-30	30 -70	70-120	0 - 35	35 -60	60-100	0-30	30 -80
Location		29° 31' 10.98" N	7 67.00 00	29° 28' 00.08" N	50' 54.00"	31 00"	30° 51' 20.00" E		29° 28' 00.06" N	51' 40.00"	100 00 100	N 00.60 12 62	52.06.00	177 00 100	N 67.47 97 67	04.06	"78 31 '00	30° 61' 42 99" E	10.44.33	č	30° 50' 37 95" F	3 1	29	30° 51' 29.00" E		29° 28' 59.50" N	50' 24.06"	100000	N 68.00 82 82	50 35.43	00	20 52 40.11 IN	0	3	30° 52' 33.10" E
Prof. No.		-		9	8	* ***	6		10	2		12			14			2			7			0		4			20			00		8	15
Physiographic unit				5	вөрг	erre	T wc	įλį	ten:	эро	N				əui	nts	acn		AAO	П			3		S		erre (nie		0٦					J	. Н

Table 1: Cont.

Liepuno	8	9	O		AS	O		AS		CW	CW		AS	CW		CW	GW		CW	GW		CW	CW	
eoueoseale	PH3	+++	+++	++	+	++	++	++	‡	++	‡	+	+	+	+	++	‡	‡	++	‡	+++	++	‡	+++
	Nature	2	6						L, G	e.	23	-	50			22	0	10	9.			23.	8	J
rmation	Type		6	6	ı	,	20		C, m		20	0	5	į.	,	12	9	10	5			21	83	O
Secondary formation	Hardness	2	e		ı	,	0		ഗ	0	23	-	5				0	19	5	į		21	2	S
es	Abundance Hardness	.0	0	1			1		ш		(0	- 63	Y	,		įχ	(3	0	Y	r	70	ja.	(0	Н
Gravel (%)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Consistence		(S)	F, S, P	S	S	F, S, P	S	F, S, P	F, SS, SP	Fr, SS, SP	SS	Fr, SS, SP	F, S, P	L, NS, NP	L, NS, NP	F, S, P	F, S, P	F, S, P	F, S, P	F, S, P	Fr, SS, SP	SS	Fr, SS, SP	Fr, SS, SP
Soil Soil texture structure		8	8	00	8	В	8	8	Mas	Mas	Mas	Mas	8	Mas	Mas	В	В	8	8	8	Mas	Mas	Mas	Mas
Soil		O	O	O	ပ	ပ	O	C	ر ا	7	SCL	ರ	၁	S	S	C	O	ပ	C	ರ	SCL	J	J	CL
Soil	(moist)	10YR 6/3	10YR 5/3	10YR 5/3	10YR 3/3	10YR 5/3	10YR 4/3	10YR 3/3	10YR 6/3	10YR 6/4	10YR 6/6	10YR 6/8	10YR 4/3	10YR 6/3	10YR 6/8	10YR 4/6	10YR 4/4	10YR 4/4	10YR 5/3	10YR 5/6	10YR 5/8	10YR 5/4	10YR 5/6	10YR 5/8
Cutanic feature			CDS	CDS		CDS	×	CDS	,	.,		c	v.	ï	,	7	CDS	CDS	Y.	r	1	3¥		6
Crops			ploughing			maize			maize	o	mango &	anno	-15-	fruit trees			maize			cotton			vegetables	
Surface						ī				0.79	Ma .	meen		many	weeds	9	alli	Cracks		<u> </u>	Clacks			
Slope S gradient fe			Nearly	level	Nearly Ievel		Nearly level		Nearly level		level	Nearly level		level	Nearly level		level	Nearly			Moorle	Nearly	level	
Depth (cm)		0 - 25	25 -60	60-120	0-30	30 -70	70-120	0 - 45	45-120	0 - 30	30 - 70	70-120	0 - 25	25 -60	60-120	0 - 30	30 - 80	80-150	0 - 25	25 - 75	75-120	0-20	20 -90	90-120
Location		14 1100 00 110 000	29" 25 36.36 N	30° 52 12.96° E	200 201 20 401 11	29° 22 32.10 N	30°52 05.00°E	29° 22' 13.94" N	30° 52' 06.13" E	200 24: 20 00: 11	29 Z1 30:06 IV	3U 31 U3.00 E	14 1104 04 140 000	29 24 42.18 N	30" 51 Ub.// E	200 00: 40 00: 11	29 22 10.93 N	30°51 13.69 E	200 22: 04 00: 11	Z9 Z3 04.99 N	30" 49 39.76 E	200 24" 04 00" N	29 Z1 04:00 N	30°57 08.00°E
Prof. No.			F			16	_	35	1		13			13			20			21			19	The second second
Physiographic Prof.			səo	1113	ĐΤ.		see y ((әро	M						0.00	L.H						Ά	S Comment
Physic						lei.	Anjj	A								,	₹'N						J →	,

Texture class: S = Sand, SL = Sandy Loam, C = Clay, SCL = Sandy Clay Loam, C = Clay Loam, L = Loam
Soil structure. Sg = Single grain, Mas = Massive, B = Blocky
Effervescence: + = Sight, ++ = Moderate, +++ = Strong
Boundary Distinctness: A = Abrupt, C = Clear, W = Wavy, S = Smooth, G = Gradual
Secondary Formation: (Abundance: F = Few, C = Common), (Hardness: S = Soft, H = Hard), (Type: C = Concretion, m = mycelium) & (Nature: L = Lime segregation, G = Gypsum)
Consistence: F = Few, C = Common), (Hardness: S = Soft, H = Hard), (Type: C = Concretion, m = mycelium) & (Nature: L = Lime segregation, G = Gypsum)
Consistence: F = Few, C = Common Plastic, S = Sticky, P = Plastic, SS = Slightly Sticky, SP = Slightly Plastic
CDS = Common Distinct Slickensides
Physiographic unit H.T = High Terraces, N.A. = Nate Alluvial, A.P. = Alluvial Plain, V. = Vales

Table 2 : Particle size distribution, O.M., CaCO $_3$ and gypsum contents of the representative soil profiles.

	ographic unit	Prof. No.	Depth (cm)	Gravel		size dist (%)	ribution	Texture class	O.M (%)	Gypsum (%)	CaCO ³ (%)
					Sand	Silt	Clay				
	5.5	1	0 - 30 30 - 150	0 2	91.0 90.0	6.5 7.0	2.5 3.0	S	0.22 0.08	1.9 1.8	2.35 2.51
		90	0 - 25	0	17.9	29.7	52.4	C	0.08	1.4	9.6
	100	6	25 -55	0	14.5	30.4	55.1	C	0.56	1.5	9.8
	ace		55-120	0	7.9	33.5	58.6	C	0.47	1.5	4.1
	Le L	9	0 – 25 25 -45	0	27.4 10.2	26.3 32.6	46.3 57.2	C C	1.13 1.22	1.8 1.6	11.8 10.8
	Moderatly low Terraces	3	45-120	0	10.2	31.4	58.6	C	0.79	1.7	7.6
			0 - 30	0	8.9	27.7	63.4	С	1.15	1.2	10.2
	dera	10	30 -70	0	10.6	29.8	59.6	C C	0.83	1.4	1.4
	Š		70-120 0 - 30	0	4.8 23.8	30.5 28.3	64.7 47.9	C	0.67	1.3	1.3
		12	30 -80	0	17.1	29.7	53.2	000	0.65	1.7	11.0
			80-120	0	11.8	32.6	55.6	С	0.49	1.6	10.1
o o		14	0 – 20 20 -70	0	45.8 41.3	40.7 42.5	13.5	L L	1.23 0.75	1.5 1.4	4.9 5.8
strin		14	70-120	0	37.6	45.3	16.5 17.5	Ē	0.75	1.4	4.8
Fluvio - Lacustrine	No.	NATE OF	0 – 20	0	65.2	20.1	14.7	SL	0.63	1.4	8.0
۲	Ses	2	20 -50	0	67.3	16.8	15.9	SL	0.25	1.5	2.2
oi vi.	Low	-	50-120 0 - 35	0	62.3 20.8	20.3 31.6	17.4 47.6	SL C	0.21	1.3	6.4 11.0
Ē	F	7	35 -65	0	14.4	35.2	49.4	C	0.63	1.8	11.8
		101	65-85	0	19.0	28.3	52.7	C C	0.51	1.7	11.3
	78		0 – 25	0	23.7	24.6	51.7	С	1.31	1.3	9.4
		3	25 -60 60-120	0	19.0 9.4	24.8 30.2	56.2 60.4	C	0.67 0.52	1.4 1.5	8.0 9.2
	S	—	0 - 25	0	23.2	28.3	48.5		0.88	1.4	9.56
	race n)	4	25 -75	0	24.5	21.2	54.3	000	0.76	1.2	8.36
	Low Terraces (basin)		75–120	0	20.0	22.9	57.1	C	0.56	1.1	5.91
	WO (F	5	0 - 30 30 -70	0	18.1 12.5	32.4 35.1	49.5 52.4	C C	1.12 0.74	1.7	12.9 11.2
			70-120	0	10.2	28.7	61.1	C	0.65	1.3	12.0
		200	0 – 35	0	52.9	14.5	32.6	SCL	0.48	1.4	4.2
		8	35 -60 60–100	0	90.2	6.3 5.1	3.5 4.6	S	0.36	1.5 1.5	4.8 3.6
	181		0 - 30	0	35.9	19.5	44.6	C	0.87	1.2	3.4
	H.	15	30 -80	0	37.5	23.6	41.9	C	0.46	1.1	2.8
	4		80-120	0	58.3	23.2	18.5	SL	0.31	1.2	0.52
	Ses	11	0 – 25 25 -60	0	22.7 22.9	29.6 27.5	47.7 49.6	C	0.69	1.7 1.8	10.8 9.6
	erra	5255	60-120	0	18.1	28.4	53.5	C	0.46	1.7	8.2
-	Moderatly high Terraces (basin)		0 - 30	0	31.5	17.8	50.7	000	1.44	1.9	3.9
Alluvial	atly high (basin)	16	30 -70 70–120	0	27.4 20.1	22.8 23.6	49.8 56.3	C	1.02 0.68	1.6 1.6	3.9 4.2
₹	(ba	47	0 - 45	0	35.7	15.7	48.6	C	0.74	1.4	3.1
	lode	17	45-120	0	33.9	33.4	32.7	CL	0.25	1.5	4.4
	2	40	0 - 30	0	41.1	38.7	20.2	L	1.12	1.3	4.6
		18	30 - 70 70–120	0	55.5 38.9	16.9 28.7	27.6 32.4	SCL CL	0.78 0.36	1.5 1.7	6.4 6.0
			0 - 25	0	38.5	18.6	42.9	С	1.36	1.3	1.88
		13	25 -60	0	89.3	3.1	7.6	S	0.57	1.6	1.71
	-	H	60-120 0 - 30	0	89.2 18.2	5.8 22.9	5.4 58.9	S C	1.71	1.8	0.44 2.13
N.A.	H.	20	30 - 80	0	12.7	26.0	61.3	c	1.44	1.8	2.74
8 5.	1		80-150	0	10.8	25.2	63.4	С	1.18	1.8	2.77
		04	0 – 25	0	22.3	29.3	48.4	C	1.62	1.9	1.96
		21	25 -75 75–120	0	26.6 55.4	40.9 16.8	32.5 27.8	CL SCL	1.33	1.6 0.7	1.53
			0 - 20	0	42.1	28.3	29.6	CL	0.89	1.5	7.3
A.P.	>	19	20 -90	0	34.9	32.6	32.5	CL	0.56	1.4	7.6
			90-120	0	31.3	34.1	34.6	CL	0.33	1.9	9.2

Table 3: Some chemical properties of the representative soil profiles in paste extract.

hysic	graphic	Prof.	Depth	pН	Ece			-	Soluble	ions (me	/L)			SAF
ι	ınit	No.	(cm)	ρii	(dSm ⁻¹)	Ca ⁺⁺	Mg ⁺⁺	Na⁺	K+	CO ₃ =	HCO ₃ -	CI-	SO ₄	JAI
		1	0 - 30 30 - 150	7.7 7.9	24.18 22.07	110 96	51 45	120 112	3.1 2.6	0	2.4 3.7	217 189	64.7 62.9	13.4 13.3
			0 - 25	7.82	7.08	17.56	11.65	40.8	0.83	0	2.5	34	34.34	10.7
	on	6	25 -55	7.85	7.59	19.28	13.87	41.7	0.75	0	2.5	29	44.10	10.3
	ace		55-120	7.88	12.0	38.11	21.19	78.1	1.25	0	2.5	63	73.15	14.3
	Terr	9	0 - 25 25 -45	7.85 7.91	15.12 18.22	43.61 56.72	34.49 35.13	98.5 126.4	1.92 1.55	0	2.5 2.5	74 96	102.02 120.85	15. 18.
	Moderatly low Terraces	3	45-120	7.93	23.10	78.91	58.79	149.8	1.25	0	3.0	112	173.75	18.
	atly		0 - 30	7.65	3.74	8.86	6.38	21.70	0.48	0	1.5	17	18.92	7.9
	den	10	30 -70 70-120	7.72 7.81	4.88 5.15	9.12 8.63	8.86 4.99	30.41 37.55	0.50 0.41	0	1.5 2.0	20 21	27.39 28.58	10. 14.
	ě		0 - 30	7.84	4.08	5.44	3.88	31.42	0.46	0	2.0	19	19.98	14.
		12	30 -80	7.75	4.19	8.97	6.80	25.93	0.29	0	1.5	18	22.49	9.3
		5 20	80-120	7.74	4.58	11.14	7.21	27.18	0.29	0	1.5	19	25.32	8.8
		2000	0 – 20	7.49	2.37	6.18	3.69	13.72	0.15	0	1.0	8.5	14.24	6.2
Ĕ		14	20 -70 70-120	7.46 7.51	2.34 2.85	6.21 8.17	4.39 5.88	12.50 14.00	0.32 0.50	0	1.0 1.5	8.0 9.5	14.42 16.55	5.4
SIDO			0 - 20	7.83	4.66	6.45	4.15	36.6	1.48	0	1.5	22	23.18	15.
Eg.	S	2	20 -50	7.76	3.45	4.17	2.89	26.7	0.75	0	1.0	13	20.51	11.
9	Low Terraces	0.00	50-120	7.96	3.33	6.84	4.58	21.2	0.70	0	1.0	14	18.32	8.9
Fluvio - Lacustrine	Tel Tel	_	0 – 35	7.35	7.65	21.23	14.02	40.2	1.10	0	2.0	33	41.55	9.6
		7	35 -65 65–85	7.49 7.52	7.26 5.44	18.40 15.83	10.82 8.08	42.5 29.8	0.92 0.75	0	2.0 1.5	32 23	38.64 29.96	11.
		-	0 - 25	7.92	2.40	1.10	2.30	21.15	0.45	0	3.2	6.9	14.90	16.
		3	25 -60	7.62	6.62	12.25	8.11	45.1	0.83	0	2.5	27.0	36.79	14.
		5	60-120	7.68	6.11	7.86	5.82	46.9	0.58	0	2.0	25.0	34.16	17.
	Ses		0 – 25	7.9	3.88	9.3	6.4	22.6	1.70	0	1.2	22.5	16.3	8.
	Low Terraces (basin)	4	25 -75 75–120	8.3	2.80 3.15	1.6 1.84	2.3 1.17	22.75 31.5	0.55 0.30	0	3.4 3.5	8.1 10.8	15.7 20.51	16. 20.
	v Te (bas		0 - 30	7.49	3.93	5.33	3.12	30.3	0.51	0	1.5	18	19.86	14.
	Low	5	30 -70	7.67	8.51	12.17	10.82	61.2	1.00	0	2.0 2.0	32	51.19	18. 13.
	ALC:		70-120	7.96	6.86	14.33	9.16	44.6	0.58	0	2.0	29	37.67	13.
		8	0 - 35 35 -60	7.68 8.30	11.01	28.54 1.86	20.55	72.4 32.8	1.88 0.40	0	2.0 3.6	67 11.2	54.37 21.44	14. 26.
		0	60-100	7.56	3.42 1.89	2.02	1.18 1.00	15.9	0.40	0	1.0	7.5	10.92	13.
			0 - 30	8.1	1.38	2.7	0.31	9.55	0.22	0	3.0	6.0	3.78	7.1
	Η̈́	15	30 -80	8.0	3.06	8.3	4.00	18.5	1.2	0	3.5	10.8	20.51	20.
			80-120	7.6	3.92	1.86	1.18	32.8	0.4	0	3.6	11.2	21.44	26.
	S	11	0 - 25	7.65	5.07 3.71	9.37 6.39	6.74 3.89	34.12	0.50 0.37	0	2.0	19 14	29.73	12. 11.
	rrac	11:	25 -60 60-120	7.85 7.72	5.39	11.16	7.17	26.50 35.20	0.37	0	1.5 1.5	21	21.65 31.44	11.
	- L	-	0 - 30	8.1	3.3	10.2	4.1	19.1	0.4	0	0.9	15.6	17.3	7.
Alluvial	high (mis	16	30 -70	8.2	2.4	6.3	2.5	15.5	0.3	0	0.3	13.7	10.6	7.4
₹	Moderatly high Terraces (basin)	0 0	70-120	8.2	1.2	3.1	1.2	7.6	0.3	0	0.2	6.9	5.1	5.2
	dera	17	0 – 45 45–120	8.2 8.2	2.35 1.84	8.6 6.1	7.4 2.5	8.9 10.0	0.4	0	0.5	9.4 8.7	15.4 9.8	3.1 4.8
	Mo		0 - 30	7.84	1.04	3.92	2.10	6.52	0.18	0	1.5	4.5	6.72	3.8
		18	30 - 70	7.76	1.12	3.64	2.46	4.98	0.15	0	1.5	3.5	6.23	2.9
		50000	70-120	7.68	2.16	7.16	4.24	9.86	0.35	0	1.5	8.0	12.11	4.1
		40	0 - 25	8.1	1.7	3.5	3.9	10.40	0.20	0	2.1	6.2	9.3	5.4
		13	25 -60 60-120	7.6 8.1	2.8 2.75	6.91	4.72 3.6	16.30 14.70	0.50 0.80	0	1.5 0.6	9.5 17.2	17.43 7.80	6.i
	132		0 - 30	7.6	2.6	12.83	4.61	9.33	0.23	0	0.46	10.01	16.53	4.1
ď Z	H	20	30 - 80	7.8	1.9	7.66	3.43	8.72	0.19	0	0.33	9.12	10.55	37
oudd.	1,000	0.00000	80-150	7.9	1.7	7.12	3.14	7.55	0.19	0	0.35	7.96	9.69	2.9
		24	0 - 25	7.8	2.9	14.64	5.32	9.70	0.34	0	0.52	10.50	18.98	3.0
		21	25 -75 75–120	7.9 8.1	1.8	7.70 5.42	3.35 2.15	7.78 4.32	0.17 0.11	0	0.27	8.44 5.25	10.29 6.55	3.3
2			0 - 20	7.85	5.02	8.94	5.44	35.47	0.41	0	2.5	19.5	28.26	13.
A.	>	19	20 -90	7.84	2.18	6.55	4.55	10.63	0.10	0	1.5	7.5	12.83	4.5
	10%	427.5	90-120	7.85	1.78	5.15	3.09	9.35	0.25	0	1.0	6.5	10.34	4.6

respectively. Chemical characteristics i.e. pH, ECe and SAR are 7.46-7.93, 2.34-24.18 $\rm dSm^{^{1}}$ and 5.3-18.6, respectively.

According to USDA (2014) the soil of the unit under studying are classified into:

- Typic Torripsamments, siliceous, hyperthermic (profile 1).
- Sodic Haplotorrerts, fine, smectitic, hyperthermic (profiles 6, 9, 10 and 12).

- Typic Torrifluvents, coarse loamy, mixed, hyperthermic (profile 14).

2. Fluvio-Lacustrine, low terraces:

It represents about 37.6 km² (15.45% of total area of the district). Soils of profiles 2 and 7 are the representative profiles of the unit. The soils appear nearly level slope with fine cracks. Texture class through soil profile 2 is sandy loam, while, clay through profile 7, so, soil structure is massive in the first profile and blocky in the second. The main soil components of gravel, organic matter, gypsum and lime are nil, 0.21-0.87%, 1.3-1.8% and 2.2-11.8% respectively. Values of pH, ECe and SAR are 7.35-7.96, 3.33-7.65 dSm⁻¹ and 8.6-15.0, respectively.

The soils under consideration, according to USDA, 2014 can classify as:

- Typic Torrifluvents, coarse loamy, mixed, hyperthermic (profile 2).
- Sodic Haplotorrerts, fine, smectitic, hyperthermic (profile 7).

3. Fluvio-Lacustrine, low terraces (basin cover with sand sheet):

The unit covers about 14.11 km² (5.8% of total area of the district). It is represented by profiles 3, 4, 5 and 8. The soils show nearly level slope, clay texture class, except soil of profile 8 which have sand texture with cap of sandy clay loam, and blocky structure with single grain and massive in upper layer of profile 8. Main physical properties are nil gravel, 0.33-1.31% organic matter, 1.1-1.7% gypsum and 3.6-12.9% lime. Main chemical properties are 7.49-8.3 pH values, 1.89-11.01 dS/m salinity and 8.1-26.6 SAR values. According to USDA, 2014, the soils under consideration classify as follows:

- Sodic Haplotorrerts, fine, smectitic, hyperthermic (profiles 3, 4 and 5).
- Typic Torrifluvents, fine loamy over sandy, mixed, hyperthermic (profile 8).

4. Fluvio-Lacustrine, high terraces:

It is about 37.62% km² (15.46% of area of the district). Soils of profile 15 is the representative one. The slope gradient is nearly level. The texture class is clay in upper layers follows by sandy loam. The structure varies between firm which associated with slickenside and friable. The main soil constituents of gravels, organic matter, gypsum and lime contents were nil, 0.31-0.87%, 1.1-1.2% and 0.52-3.4%, respectively. The chemical soil characteristics were 7.6-8.1 pH values, 1.38-3.92 dSm⁻¹ salinity, and 7.5-7.8 SAR values. Soil characteristics of the representative profile reveal to soil classification according to USDA, 2014 as follows:

- Typic Haplotorrerts, clayey, mixed, hyperthermic.

5. Alluvial, moderately high terraces (basin):

It occupies about 35.54% km² (14.6% of total area of the district). These soils are represented by soil profiles 11, 16, 17 and 18. The soils appear nearly level slope and few weeds. The soil texture class is clay in soil profiles 11 and 16 and varies between loam and clay in others. Soils which have clay texture appear blocky structure and slickenside phenomena in the subsurface layers, while other have massive one. The soils of this unit have 0.25-1.44% organic matter, 1.3-1.9% gypsum, 3.1-10.8% lime, 7.65-8.2 pH values, 1.12-5.39 dS/m salinity and 2.9-12.1 SAR values. According to the

previous characteristics and USDA (2014) the soils under investigation can classify as:

- Typic Haplotorrerts, fine, smectitic, hyperthermic (profiles 11 and 16).
- Vertic Torrifluvents, fine loamy, mixed, hyperthermic (profile 17).
- Typic Torrifluvents, fine loamy, mixed, hyperthermic (profile 18).

6. Nile Alluvial, high terraces:

This unit takes up about 43.57 km² (17.9% of total area of the district). Soils of profiles 13, 20 and 21 are the representative profiles. The surface appear nearly level slope, fine cracks and many weeds. There are clay texture class through profile 20 or in the surface layer of the rest, while the others have clay loam & sand clay loam or sand. The main soil components are 0.22-1.71% organic matter which decrease irregular with depth, 0.7-1.9% gypsum, 0.44-2.77% lime and 1.1-2.9 dSm⁻¹ salinity. Values of pH vary from 7.6 to 8.1, while values of SAR change between 2.22 and 6.8. Data of different soil characteristic indicate that the soil under consideration classify according to USDA (2014) as follows:

- Typic Torrifluvents, sandy, mixed, hyperthermic (profile 13).
- Typic Haplotorrerts, very fine, smectitic, hyperthermic (profile 20).
- Vertic Torrifluvents, fine loamy, mixed, hyperthermic (profile 21).

7. Alluvial plain, Vales:

About 15.87 km² are covered by these deposition (6.52% of total area of the district). This unit are represented by profile 19, which have nearly level slope, clay loam texture class and massive soil structure. Organic matter contents change from 0.33 to 0.89%. Gypsum contents vary from 1.4 to 1.9%. Lime contents differ from 7.3 to 9.2%. Soil pH values are from 7.84 to 7.85. Soil salinity records 1.78-5.02 dSm⁻¹, while values of SAR are observed between 4.5 and 7.5. According to USDA (2014) the soils of alluvial plain (vales) belong to:

- Typic Torrifluvents, fine loamy, mixed, hyperthermic (profile 19).

Land evaluation:

Different representative soil profiles were evaluated according to Sys and Verheye (1978) system. Data in Table 4 show that soils of fluvio-lacustrine, moderately low terraces vary widely from not suitable to highly suitable in current suitability. These soils appear highly suitable class in potential except soils of profiles 1 and 10 show marginal and moderately suitable classes, respectively.

Soil of fluvio-lacustrine, low terraces record moderately suitable class in both current and potential in profile 2 and marginal raise to highly suitable in profile 7.

Soils of fluvio-lacustrine, low terraces (basin cover with sand sheet) have moderately current suitable class promote to highly potential suitable class except soil of profile 8 which appear less levels.

Soils of fluvio-marine, high terraces appear moderately current suitability and highly potential suitability.

Slight S3, moderate S1 Slight S3, very sever S1 Slight S3, moderate S1 Slight S2, S3, sever S1 Slight S3, moderate S1 Slight S3, moderate S1 Slight S2, S3 Slight S1,S3 Suitability and intensity limitation Slight t,w, S3, modrate n, very sever S1 Slight w, S2, S3,n, sever S1 Slight w, S3,n, moderate S1 Slight w, S3,n, moderate S1 Slight S1,S2, moderate w,n Slight w, S1, S3, moderate n Slight w, S3, moderate S1 Slight w, S3, moderate S1 Slight w,n, moderate S1 Slight w, S1, modeate n Slight w, S1, modeate n Slight w, S1, S3, sever n Slight w, S1, S3,n Slight w, S1, S3 Slight w, S2, S3 Slight w, S1,n Table 4: Soil limitations and land suitability for irrigated agriculture. Ps S1 \$2 S1 S1 \$2 S1 S1 S1 S \$2 S1 S1 S1 S1 \$2 Suitability Sutability classes; S1 = Highly suitable, S2 = Moderatly suitable, S3 = Marginal suitable, N1 = Not suitable (be correct) H.T = High Terraces, N.A. = Nile Alluvial, A.P. = Alluvial Plain, V. = Vales, C5 = Current Suitability, P5 = Potential Suitability, Cs S S3 S1 85.0 80.8 Ps 85.0 85.5 71.3 76.5 80.8 51.3 76.0 80.8 90.3 90.3 61.7 Suitability Index S 19.3 43.6 36.3 52.7 61.2 81.2 65.0 49.0 58.0 72.7 53.6 41.6 61.6 61.8 72.7 85.7 85.7 58.6 81.2 Ps CaSO4.2H2O (S3) C9C03 (23) Depth (S2) Texture (S1) Wetness (w) S Ps Cs = Prof. Physiographic unit (uiseq) Тепасея Terraces (basin) гом Тепасез Moderatly high Fluvio - Lacustrine MILWIR A.N

Soils of alluvial-moderately high terraces (basin) show moderately current suitability and highly potential suitability for profiles 11 and 16 and highly suitability in both current and potential suitability for profiles 17 and 18.

Soils of Nile-alluvial, higher terraces appear marginal to high suitable class for current suitability and moderately and high suitable class for potential.

Soils of alluvial plain (vales) record highly suitable class for both current and potential suitability.

Generally, soils under consideration mainly, suffering from texture, sodicity and salinity, can be recorded highly suitable class with regard to potential suitability by application more suitable irrigation systems, favorable managements and cultivation appropriate crops.

More suitable crops:

According Sys et. al. (1993), data reveal to more suitable crops follows the descending order:

Sorghum > barley > cotton > olive > wheat > alfalfa > maize.

REFERENCES

- Black, C.A. (1982): "Methods of Soil Analysis". Amer. Soc. Agron. Inc., Pub., Madison, Wisc., USA.
- FAO (2006): "Guideline for Soil Profile Description". 3rd Edition, ISRIC Publications, Rome, Italy.
- Jackson, M.L. (1958): "Soil Chemical Analysis", Prentie-Hall Inc. Englewood Cliffs., N. J. VK.
- Jackson, M.L. (1975): "Soil Chemical Analysis", Advanced course. Soil Sci. Dept., Mas., Wisc., USA.
- Moustafa, A.H.I.; A.M. Ghaith; I. Raafat; A.H. Abd El-Aziz; F. El-Poghdadi and F.E. Farag (1965): Soil survey and land classification of Fayoum Governorate. Report, General organization for Government Printing Office, Cairo, UAR.
- Munsell Color (2009): "Munsell Soil Color Charts". Macbeth Division of Kollnogen Corporation, Maryland, USA.
- Richard, L.A. (1954): "Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and Alkaline Soils". United States Department of Agriculture, Handbook No. 60., Washington, DC. USA.
- Shendi, M.M.; M.A. Abdelfatttah and A. Harbi (2010): Spatial monitoring of soil salinity and prospective conservation study for Sinnuris District soils, Fayoum, Egypt. ICSC, 17-19, Environment Agency, Abu Dhabi, UAE.
- Soil, Water and Environment Research Institute (1998): Detailed soil survey for Sinnuris District, Fayoum, Egypt.
- Sys, C.; and W. Verheye (1978): An attempt to the evaluation of physical land characteristics for irrigation according to the FAO framework for land evaluation. Int. Train Cent. Post Grad. Soil Sci. Ghent, Belgium PP 66-78
- Sys, C.; E. Van Kamst; J. Debaveye and F. Beernaert (1993): "Land Evaluation". Parts I, II and III. Agric. Puplic. No. 7, GADC, Brussels, Belgium 197 PP.
- USDA 2014: "Key of Soil Taxonomy". United States Department of Agriculture, USA.

دراسة مختلف الوحدات الفيزيوجرافية لمركز سنورس – محافظة الفيوم – مصر أشرف عبد الغنى محمد , أحمد عثمان عبد النبى و عادل محمد عبد الرحمن زايد معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبيئة – مركز البحوث الزراعية – جيزة – مصر

يقع مركز سنورس بمحافظة الفيوم حيث يحده من الشمال بحيرة قارون ومن الجنوب مركز الفيوم ومن الشرق مركز طامية ومن الغرب مركز إبشواى. تلخصت الوحدات الجيوبيدولوجية الفيزيوجرافية والوحدات التقسيمية لمنطقة الدراسة فيما يلي:

1. رسوبيات نهرية بحيرية (مصاطب متوسطة الإنخفاض)

- 1. Fluvio-Lacustrine, moderately low terraces:
 - Typic Torripsamments, siliceous, hyperthermic.
 - Sodic Haplotorrerts, fine, smectitic, hyperthermic.
 - Typic Torrifluvents, coarse loamy, mixed, hyperthermic.

2. رسوبيات نهرية بحيرية (مصاطب منخفضة)

- 2. Fluvio-Lacustrine, low terraces:
 - Typic Torrifluvents, coarse loamy, mixed, hyperthermic.
 - Sodic Haplotorrerts, fine, smectitic, hyperthermic.

3. رسوبيات نهرية بحيرية (مصاطب منخفضة مغطاة بطبقات رملية - حوض)

- 3. Fluvio-Lacustrine, low terraces (basin cover with sand sheet):
 - Sodic Haplotorrerts, fine, smectitic, hyperthermic.
 - Typic Torrifluvents, fine loamy over sandy, mixed, hyperthermic.

4. رسوبيات نهرية بحيرية (مصاطب مرتفعة)

- 4. Fluvio-Lacustrine, high terraces:
 - Typic Haplotorrerts, clayey, mixed, hyperthermic.

5. رسوبيات نهرية (مصاطب متوسطة الارتفاع)

- 5. Alluvial, moderately high terraces (basin):
 - Typic Haplotorrerts, fine, smectitic, hyperthermic.
 - Vertic Torrifluvents, fine loamy, mixed, hyperthermic.
 - Typic Torrifluvents, fine loamy, mixed, hyperthermic.

6. الرسوبيات النيلية (مصاطب مرتفعة)

- 6. Nile Alluvial, high terraces:
 - Typic Torrifluvents, sandy, mixed, hyperthermic.
 - Typic Haplotorrerts, very fine, smectitic, hyperthermic.
 - Vertic Torrifluvents, fine loamy, mixed, hyperthermic.

7. السهل الرسوبي (أودية)

- 7. Alluvial plain, Vales:
 - Typic Torrifluvents, fine loamy, mixed, hyperthermic.
- دلت نتائج تقييم الأراضى بمنطقة الدراسة على أنها تعانى من مشاكل فى القوام والملوحة والقلوية (الصودية) ويمكن أن تسجل أراضى هذه الوحدات أعلى قيم تقيمية بتطبيق نظم الرى الملائمة واختبار وسائل إدارة الأراضى المناسبة وزراعة أكثر المحاصيل ملائمة.
- · تبين من دراسة مدى ملائمة المحاصيل للخصائص الأرضية أن أنسب المحاصيل يمكن ترتيبها بنظام تنازلي كما يلي:

السورجم > الشعير > القطن > الزيتون > القمح > البرسيم الحجازي > الأذرة