Military Technical College Kobry El-Kobbah, Cairo, Egypt



11<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Civil and Architecture Engineering ICCAE-11-2016

# **Shear Strength of Fiber Reinforced Concrete**

Prof. Dr. Laila Mahmoud Abdul-Hafez, Associate Prof. Yasser Rifat Tawfic, Associate Prof. Osama Hammed, Eng. Ahmad Saudi Abdul-Zaher

# Abstract

Shear strength of fiber reinforced concrete (FRC) has its prime importance in structural design. Concrete members like brackets, corbels and ledger beams may fail in direct shear. Such failure can be sudden and brittle. The presences of fibers positively affect the behavior of concrete, as it increases the residual shear transfer and reduces the formation and extension of cracks. As many parameters affect it, shear strength of FRC is precisely detected. Experimental investigation was carried out to study the shear transfer of uncracked fibrous concrete. This study investigates the behavior of shear strength of FRC beams and the direct shear strength of FRC by testing push-off specimens. The experimental parameters were the type of fibers, the volume percentage of fibers, the concrete compressive strength and the presence of stirrups in beams. Test results showed that presence of fibers increases the concrete shear strength, reduces numbers and width of cracks and increases the stiffness and ductility. The use of steel fiber experienced more shear strength, ductility and stiffness than glass fiber.

# Introduction

The brittle and catastrophic shear failure of concrete can be relieved by the addition of the fiber. Previous studies have shown that steel fibers can improve the shear performance of concrete [4-6-7-8-13]. The use of fibers as shear reinforcement decreases crack width and the control of concrete cracking. However, the results obtained are highly variable. This variability is due to the great diversity of mechanical properties of the FRC, specifically its tensile strength. Furthermore, most were developed for SFRC with up to 1 % in fiber content [2-3-9-10-11-14]. Fibers were used in concrete by Swamy et al. [12] to study their effect on shear transfer. Test results showed that inclusion of fibers increase the remaining shear transfer strength which was generally lower in light- weight concrete than in normal weight concrete. It was shown also that the shear transfer stiffness can be related to the crack width. Tan and Mansur [13] indicated that the inclusion of fibers significantly improves the strength and deformation characteristics of the concrete.

<sup>1-</sup> **Prof. Dr. Laila Mahmoud Abdul-Hafez** (Head of civil engineering department the prof. of reinforcement concrete structure at El-Minia university)

<sup>2-</sup> Associate Prof. Yasser Rifat Tawfic (Associate prof. of reinforcement concrete structure at El-Minia university)

4

- 3- Associate Prof. Osama Hammed (Associate prof. of project management at El-Minia university)
- 4- Eng. Ahmad Saudi Abdul-Zaher (Demonstrator of Higher Institute of Engineering and Technology in Minia )

Khaloo and Kim [5] carried out an experimental investigation to assess the effect of concrete strength on the strength and ductility behavior of steel fiber reinforced concrete under direct shear. Test results showed that the enhancement in shear strength, toughness and ductility was more pronounced in high strength concrete than in lower strength concrete. Aziz [1] studied the effect of steel fibers on the shear transfer of plain reinforced concrete specimens. The results showed that the lateral separation and stirrups strain decrease with the increase of fibers volume fraction and reinforcement parameter.

#### **Experimental program**

The experimental program was divided into two parts:

**Part one** includes 12 rectangular reinforced concrete beams have 12 cm width, 30 cm depth and 130 cm length show in figures (1) and (2) and table (1), were tested to evaluate the effect of addition of steel fiber or glass fiber with various content (0, 0.2, 0.4 and 0.6 %) by the volume of concrete with constant compressive strength 300 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> for two groups, first group presence of stirrups but second group without contain stirrups in the region of shear.



Figure (1) Details of First Group Tested Beams (A<sub>1</sub> to A<sub>5</sub>)



Figure (2) Details of Second Group Tested Beams ( $B_1$  to  $B_7$ )

Group	No. of Beams	Dimension (cm)	Compressive strength F <sub>cu</sub> (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage of fiber V <sub>f</sub> %	Length of fiber (mm)	Type of fiber	
	A 1					No Fiber	
	A 2	12*30*130		0.20	50	Steel fiber	
Ι	A 3			0.60	50	(Corrugated)	
	A 4		300	0.20	12	Glass fiber	
	A 5			0.60	12		
	B 1					No Fiber	
	B 2			0.20		Steel fiber	
	B 3			0.40	50	(Corrugated)	
II	B 4			0.60		(Confugated)	
	B 5			0.20		Glass fiber	
	B 6			0.40	12		
	B 7			0.60			

#### Table (1) Details of Reinforced Concrete Beams

**Part two** includes 27 specimens 15x15x25 cm show in figure (3) and table (2), were tested to evaluate the effect of the inclusion of different content of steel fiber or glass fiber with various content (0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8 %) by the volume of concrete with different compressive strength (200, 300 and 400 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>).



a)Details of tested specimens

b) Geometry of tested specimens

a=7cm

--- L=15 cm -

Figure (3) Details of Tested Specimens

Series	No. of specimens	Dimension B*L*T (cm)	F <sub>cu</sub> (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Percentage of fiber V <sub>f</sub> %	Length of fiber (mm)	Type of Fiber	
	S1-1					No Fiber	
	S1-2			0.20			
	S1-3			0.40	25	Steel fiber	
	S1-4			0.60	23	(Corrugated)	
Ι	S1-5		200	0.80			
	S1-6			0.20			
	S1-7			0.40	10	Glass fiber	
	S1-8			0.60	12	Glass fiber	
	S1-9	15*15*25		0.80			
	S2-1					No Fiber	
	S2-2			0.20			
	S2-3			0.40	25	Steel fiber	
	S2-4		300	0.60	23	(Corrugated)	
II	S2-5			0.80			
	S2-6			0.20			
	S2-7			0.40	10	Glass fiber	
	S2-8			0.60	12	Glass Hoel	
	S2-9			0.80			
	S3-1					No Fiber	
	S3-2			0.20			
	S3-3			0.40	25	Steel fiber	
	S3-4			0.60	23	(Corrugated)	
III	S3-5		400	0.80			
	S3-6			0.20			
	S3-7			0.40	10	Glass fiber	
	S3-8			0.60	12	Glass fiber	
	S3-9			0.80			

Table (2) Details of Plain Concrete Specimens

## **Material properties**

The test specimens were cast using coarse aggregate, fine aggregate, cement, water, silica fume, super plasticizer and fibers.

**Coarse aggregate**: was gravel supplied from local quarries, have maximum size of gravel 20 mm used in this work, the specific gravity, volume weight, fineness modulus respectively were 2.5,  $1.54 \text{ t/m}^3$  and 6.37.

**Fine aggregate:** was natural desert sand, clean and free from silt and clay. The surface texture of particles was smooth, the specific gravity, volume weight, fineness modulus respectively were 2.5, 1.7 t/m3 and 3.4.

**Cement:** was ordinary Portland cement, the fineness specific surface area was  $3250 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$ .

Water: Drinking water was used for mixing and curing of concrete.

**Silica fume:** is used in concrete to improve its properties. It has been found that silica fume improves compressive strength, bond strength and reduces permeability.

Super plasticizer: improve the workability and compressive strength of concrete.

**Fibers**: used two types of fibers steel fiber and glass fiber, the properties of steel and glass fibers show in table (3).

Materials	Туре	Density (t/m <sup>3</sup> ) Young's modulus (t/cm <sup>2</sup> )		Length (mm)	Thickness (mm)	
Steel	Corrugated	7.85	2100	50 25	1	
Glass	Straight	2.6	750	12	0.1	

Table (3) Properties of Fiber

# **Mixture proportioning**

Mix designs were prepared to produce strength of concrete 200, 300,  $400 \text{ kg/cm}^2$  after 28 days. The details of the mix proportion by weight and the used materials are given in table (4).

Mix	F <sub>cu</sub> kg/cm <sup>2</sup>			Compone		Percentage %				
No.		C kg/m <sup>3</sup>	G kg/m <sup>3</sup>	S kg/m <sup>3</sup>	W liter/m <sup>3</sup>	SP liter/m <sup>3</sup>	SF kg/m <sup>3</sup>	W/C	SF/C	SP/C
1	200	250	1280	690	112	0	0	44.80	0	0
2	300	400	1188	590	148	6.00	0	37.00	0	1.5
3	400	450	1188	590	155	6.75	45	34.44	10	1.5

Table (4) Concrete Mix Properties

C: Cement, G: Gravel, S: Sand, W: Water, SF: Silica fume, SP: Super plasticizer,  $F_{cu}$ : compressive strength at 28 days

# **Instrumentation and Test Procedure**

Dial gauge to measured displacement and recorded at the bottom of the first part of specimens, also two rows of demec studs for measured strain of concrete specimens, were stuck around the vertical axis of specimens on one side of the specimens surface. These positions are shown in figure (7) for direct shear specimens. But beams measured deflection was recorded at the bottom surface at 30 cm of support, i.e; under the load. Five rows of demec studs around the vertical axis of beams on one side of the beam. For each beam one strain gauge was attached to the main steel under the load and one strain gauge was attached to the shear zone, as shown in figures (4) to (6). All direct shear specimens and beams were tested after 28 days the load reading started when the surface top of concrete contacted the upper jaw of the machine was considered. As the beginning of loading, the corresponding strains were also recorded. Afterwards, the applied load was increased gradually by 0.5 ton/time. At each increment the deflection and strain of concrete and steel were recorded.



Figure (4) Location of Electrical Strain Gauge of Steel with from A1 to A5 Beams



Figure (5) Location of Electrical Strain Gauge of Steel with from B1 to B7 Beams



Figure (6) Location of Dial Gauge and Demec Points



Figure (7) Location of Dial Gauge and Demec Points for Direct Shear Specimens

## **Results and Discussion**

The results included cracking load, ultimate load, strain of concrete, strain in tension steel, strain in stirrups and deflection, for beams and specimens, show in tables (5) and (6).

## **Cracking pattern and Ultimate Load**

**For beams** ultimate and cracking load increases with the increase of the percentage of fibers, this due to the modulus of elasticity of concrete consequently the stiffness of the beams increases with the increase of the fiber content. Numbers of cracks are reduced in the region of shear when using fibers too. It can be seen that the cracks appeared on the surface of concrete when using glass fiber lower than steel fiber, it may be the effect of the length of fiber and the propagation the fibers, as show in figure (8) and table (5). For specimens ultimate load increases with increasing of the percentage of fibers only or increases the compressive strength only or both of them. The shear cracks appear near the

upper and lower notch and with increasing loads the cracks were propagating toward the center of the specimens, as show in figure (9) and table (6).



Figure (8) Crack Pattern and Shape of Failure of Beams



Figure (9) Crack Pattern and Shape of Failure of Specimens

**MQ&C** 4

Series	No. of Beams	V <sub>f</sub> %	Type of fiber	F <sub>cu</sub>	P <sub>cr</sub>	P <sub>ult.</sub>	<u>. P<sub>cr</sub>.</u>	$\delta_{u}$	٤ ms	<b>E</b> <sub>ss</sub>	<b>Е</b> <sub>си</sub>	<u>. P<sub>cr</sub></u>	$\frac{\underline{P_{ult}}}{\underline{P_{ult(control)}}}$	$\frac{\delta_u}{\delta_{u(control)}}$	Mode
				kg/cm <sup>2</sup>	ton	ton	P <sub>ult.</sub>	mm				P <sub>cr(control)</sub>			failure
Ι	$A_1$	0.0	No fiber	343.70	8.5	19	0.45	2.4	0.0018300	0.000305	-0.00143	1.00	1.00	1.00	Shear failur e

Table (5) Experimental Test Results of Beams

Proceedings of the 11<sup>th</sup> ICCAE-11 Conference, 19-21 April, 2016

**MQ&C** 4

	$A_2$	0.2	Steel 348.98		10	22.6	0.44	2.85	0.0022300	0.001733	-0.00173	1.18	1.19	1.19
	A <sub>3</sub>	0.6	Steel	358.46	10.5	23.9	0.44	3.1		0.001830	-0.00198	1.24	1.26	1.29
	$A_4$	0.2 Class		347.20	9	19.8	0.45	2.75	0.0018300	0.001870	-0.00145	1.06	1.04	1.15
	$A_5$	0.6	Glass	352.92	9.5	23.5	0.40	3.26	0.0022200	0.002150	-0.00158	1.12	1.24	1.36
	$B_1$	0.0	No fiber	343.70	8	17.5	0.46	2.2	0.0013220	0	-0.00120	1.00	1.00	1.00
	$B_2$	0.2		348.98	8.5	19.5	0.44	2.6	0.0020400	0	-0.00150	1.06	1.03	1.18
т	$B_3$	0.4	Steel	353.16	9	20.5	0.44	2.71	0.0020900	0	0.00165	1.13	1.17	1.23
11	$B_4$	0.6		358.46	9.5	23	0.41	2.88	0.0021200	0	-0.00180	1.19	1.31	1.31
	<b>B</b> <sub>5</sub>	0.2		347.20	9	18	0.50	2.54	0.0014230	0	-0.00130	1.13	1.03	1.15
	B <sub>6</sub>	0.4	Glass	350.58	10	20	0.50	2.63	0.0020000	0	-0.00155	1.25	1.14	1.20
	<b>B</b> <sub>7</sub>	0.6		352.92	10.5	21.5	0.49	2.73	0.0020500	0	-0.00172	1.31	1.23	1.24

 $V_f$ : fiber volume content,  $F_{cu}$ : average compressive strength of beams,  $P_{cr}$ : first crack load,  $P_{ult.}$ : ultimate load,  $\delta u$ : max. deflection,  $\mathbf{E}_{ms}$ : max. strain in tension steel,  $\mathbf{E}_{ss}$ : max. strain in stirrups,  $\mathbf{E}_{cu}$ : max. strain of concrete in compression,  $A_1$ : control beam at first group,  $B_1$ : control beam at second group.

Proceedings of the	M	<b>)&amp;C</b> 4							
SeriesNo. of specimensVf %Type of fiber	F <sub>cu</sub> P <sub>cr</sub>	P <sub>ult</sub> .	<u> </u>	$\delta_{u}$	<b>٤</b> <sub>cu</sub>	<u>Pcr</u> . Pcr(control).	<u>Pult</u> . Pult(control).	$\frac{\delta_{u}}{\delta_{u}}$	Mode of

 Table (6) Experimental Test Results of Specimens

Proceedings of the 11<sup>th</sup> ICCAE-11 Conference, 19-21 April, 2016

**MQ&C** 4

				kg/cm <sup>2</sup>	ton	ton		mm					
	S1-1	0.0	No fiber	194.81	0.9	3.70	0.24	1.80	-0.00158	1.00	1.00	1.00	
	S1-2	0.2		205.93	1.2	4.40	0.27	2.00	-0.00166	1.33	1.19	1.11	
	S1-3	0.4	G/ 1	217.78	1.5	4.90	0.31	2.10	-0.00179	1.67	1.32	1.17	
	S1-4	0.6	Steel	229.63	1.7	5.40	0.31	2.15	-0.00185	1.89	1.46	1.19	
I	S1-5	0.8	-	240.74	2.0	6.60	0.30	2.18	-0.00195	2.22	1.78	1.21	
	S1-6	0.2		200.74	1.0	3.80	0.26	1.95	-0.00168	1.11	1.03	1.08	
	S1-7	0.4	Class	207.41	1.3	4.15	0.31	1.98	-0.00170	1.44	1.12	1.10	
	S1-8	0.6	Glass	216.30	1.5	4.90	0.31	2.00	-0.00185	1.67	1.32	1.11	
	S1-9	0.8		228.15	1.7	5.35	0.32	2.05	-0.00196	1.89	1.45	1.14	
	S2-1	0.0	No fiber	343.70	1.2	4.60	0.26	2.20	-0.00169	1.00	1.00	1.22	
II	S2-2	0.2		349.63	1.5	5.10	0.29	2.30	-0.00178	1.25	1.11	1.28	re
	S2-3	0.4	Steel	354.07	2.0	5.80	0.34	2.50	-0.00189	1.67	1.26	1.39	ilu
	S2-4	0.6		358.52	2.3	6.90	0.33	2.80	-0.00192	1.92	1.50	1.56	c fa
	S2-5	0.8		367.41	2.8	7.50	0.37	3.00	-0.00206	2.33	1.63	1.67	ear
	S2-6	0.2		346.67	1.3	4.90	0.27	2.22	-0.00174	1.08	1.07	1.23	sh
	S2-7	0.4	Class	351.11	1.5	5.20	0.29	2.25	-0.00178	1.25	1.13	1.25	ure
	S2-8	0.6	Glass	352.59	1.8	6.30	0.29	2.56	-0.00183	1.50	1.37	1.42	P
	S2-9	0.8		357.04	2.1	6.90	0.30	2.52	-0.00196	1.75	1.50	1.40	
	S3-1	0.0	No fiber	374.81	1.5	5.00	0.30	2.70	-0.00198	1.00	1.00	1.00	
	S3-2	0.2		379.26	1.9	5.70	0.33	2.90	-0.00213	1.27	1.14	1.07	
	S3-3	0.4	Steel	383.70	2.4	6.50	0.37	3.10	-0.00222	1.60	1.30	1.15	
	S3-4	0.6	Steel	389.63	3.0	8.18	0.37	3.28	-0.00235	2.00	1.64	1.21	
III	S3-5	0.8		397.04	3.3	8.60	0.38	3.35	-0.00244	2.20	1.72	1.24	
	S3-6	0.2		377.78	1.6	5.50	0.29	2.75	-0.00204	1.07	1.10	1.02	
	S3-7	0.4	Class	380.74	2.0	5.80	0.34	2.75	-0.00210	1.33	1.16	1.02	]
	S3-8	0.6	Glass	383.70	2.5	7.20	0.35	3.02	-0.00217	1.67	1.44	1.12	
	S3-9	0.8		388.15	3.0	8.00	0.38	3.08	-0.00231	2.00	1.60	1.14	

 $V_{f}$ : fiber volume content,  $F_{cu}$ : average compressive strength of specimens,  $P_{cr}$ : first crack load,  $P_{ult}$ .: ultimate load,  $\delta u$ : max. deflection.

## Deflection

Being the deflection of concrete considered as one of the main parameters. Figures (10) and (11) shows the relationship between the deflection and the applied load for all beams and first group of specimens and tables (5) and (6) summarized the experimental results of all tested specimens. The curves show the effect of increasing of fibers content on the deflection of the tested specimens, at the same load the deflection decreases with the increase of the percentage of fibers however the increasing of the fibers content is accompanied by increasing of the maximum deflection subsequently the ductility of the tested specimens. In general one can conclude that the increase of the modulus of elasticity of concrete consequently the stiffness of the beams increases with the increase of the percentage of fibers. It resembles with the findings of the other experimentally work. The maximum deflection and the stiffness when using glass fiber lower than the steel fiber, may be due to the different effect of the modulus of elasticity or tensile strength of fibers, i.e.; the effect of fibers can be seen the ultimate load clearly in all tested specimens curves and have modified from behavior of beams to stiffness and more ductility.



Figure (10) Load-Deflection Curves for Tested Beams



Figure (11) Load- Displacement Curves for first group Specimens

#### **Concrete Strain**

Tables (5) and (6) summarized the experimental results of the all tested specimens. Figures (12) and (13) show the load – concrete compressive strain for all beams and first group of specimens. It can be observed that for a certain applied load, the compressive concrete strain decreases as the percentage of fibers increases at the same applied load. Also for a specified compressive concrete strain, the carrying load capacity for beams increases as the percentage of steel or glass fibers increases. One can include the general trend of load-compressive concrete strain is the same of load-deflection. The maximum compressive concrete strain increases with increasing of the percentage of fibers content. i.e; fibers at ultimate stages have more strain capacity.



Figure (12) Load-Concrete Strain Curves in Compression for Beams



Figure (13) Load-Concrete Strain Curves for Specimens

#### **Tension Steel Strain**

Electrical strain gauges were used for measuring the longitudinal strains in tension steel bars for all tested beams. Figure (14) show the relation between the applied load and the corresponding strain in the longitudinal tensile reinforcement for beams. The experimental measured values of the maximum tension steel strains of the tested beams are summarized in table (5). General increasing the percentage of steel or glass fibers decreases the strain in the main steel for the same load, however the maximum main steel strain increases with increasing of the percentage of fibers this due to the increase of the load carrying capacity of the beams.



Figure (14) Load-Tension Steel Strain Curves for Beams

## **Stirrups Steel Strain**

Electrical strain gauges were used for measuring the strains in stirrups steel bars for first tested beams group only. Figure (15) show the relation between the applied load and the corresponding strain in the stirrups for beams. The experimental measured values of the maximum stirrups strains of the tested beams are summarized in table (5). In beams  $A_4$  and  $A_5$  may be the device of strain indicator or strain gauge separated during the procedure tested experimentally. General increasing the percentage of steel or glass fibers decrease the strain of the stirrups for the same load. Due to the presence of fibers on concrete, the tensile strength of concrete increases and carrying some tension before transfer to the stirrups. The presence of fibers increases the load capacity of all beams, so can be seen clearly at the ultimate load and strain of stirrups.



Figure (15) Load-Stirrups Strain Curves for Beams

# Effect of fiber to shear Strength

Being the shear strength of concrete is considered an important parameter of this study. Figure (16) show the relationship between the percentage of fibers and the shear strength for specimens. The curves show the effect of increasing of steel or glass fibers content on the shear strength of specimens. Shear strength increase with increasing the percentage of fiber at the same compressive strength. Also the values of shear strength with the use of steel fiber greater than glass fiber at the same compressive strength, due to the modulus of elasticity of fibers. For all tested, the fibers have improved the shear strength of specimens and increases the modulus of elasticity of concrete subsequently give more ductile and stiffness.



Figure (16) Shear Strength-Percentage of Fibers

# Effect of fiber to compressive Strength

Tested three cubes 15x15x15 cm of cube each percentage and each type of fibers and take the average of this cubes. Increasing compressive strength for increases the percentage of fibers, the use of steel fibers give high compressive strength of fiber glass due to the modulus of elasticity of steel fiber higher than glass fiber. Figure (17) show relation between compressive strength and percentage and type of fibers.



a) at compressive strength=  $200 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ 

b) at compressive strength=  $300 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ 





Figure (17) Compressive Strength-Percentage of Fibers

#### **Conclusions:**

Gist of this study has been presented in this paper with a view to understand the role of fibers and compressive strength on shear strength of concrete. Based on the study presented in this paper, the study has revealed the following conclusions:

- 1- The study reveals that by incorporating steel or glass fibers in reinforced concrete beams is potentially important and practical construction method, as fiber concrete beams and specimens exhibit substantial increase in their ultimate load as well as in the load at first cracks, enhanced deformation characteristics at all stages of loading up to failure, as well as improving their shear.
- 2- The first shear cracking strength, the ultimate shear strength and the compressive strength of concrete increases with increasing of the percentage of fibers, because the increase of modulus of elasticity of concrete.
- 3- The maximum deflection of the all beams and specimens increases with the increase in percentage fibers and compressive strength subsequently the deflection decrease at the same load too. This is primarily because of concrete becomes more ductile and hence it fails after long deformation.
- 4- The presence of fibers reduces numbers and width of cracks.
- 5- The influence of glass fibers on shear strength, stiffness and ductility of concrete beams less than steel fiber, due to the reduction of modulus of elasticity.

## Reference

- 1- Aziz, E.M. Direct Shear strength of fiber reinforced concrete. M.Sc. Thesis, Tikrit University 2000;108.
- 2- CHOI, K. K.; PARK, H. G.; WIGHT, J. K. Shear strength of steel fiber-reinforced concrete beams without web reinforcement. ACI Structural Journal, v. 104, n. 1, p.12-21, 2007.
- 3- DINH, H. H.; PARRA-MONTESINOS, G. J.; WIGHT, J. K. Shear behavior of steel fiber-reinforced concrete beams without stirrup reinforcement. ACI Structural Journal, v. 107, n. 5, p. 597-606, 2010.
- 4- Higashiyama, H., & Banthia, N. 2008. Correlating flexural and shear toughness of lightweight fiber-reinforced concrete. ACI Materials Journal, Vol 105(3) 251-257.

- 5- Khaloo, A.R., Kim, N. Influence of concrete and fiber characteristics on behaviour of steel fiber reinforced concrete under direct shear. ACI Materials Journ. Vol.94,No.6,1997, pp. 592-601.
- 6- Majdzadeh, F., Soleimani, S. M., & Banthia, N. 2006. Shear strength of reinforced concrete beams with a fiber concrete matrix. Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering, Vol 33(6) 726-734.
- 7- Mirsayah, A. A., & Banthia, N. 2002. Shear strength of steel fiber-reinforced concrete. ACI Materials Journal, Vol 99(5) 473-479.
- 8- Narayanan, R., & Darwish, I. Y. S. 1987. Use of steel fibers as shear reinforcement. ACI Structural Journal, Vol 84(3) 216-227.
- 9- PARRA-MONTESINOS, G. J. Shear strength of beams with deformed steel fibers. Concrete International, v. 28 n. 11, p. 57-66, 2006.
- 10-SLATER, E.; MONI, M.; ALAM, M. S. Predicting the shear strength of steel fiber reinforced concrete beams. Construction and Building Materials, v. 26, n. 1, p. 423-436, 2012.
- 11-SUSETYO, J.; GAUVREAU, P.; VECCHIO, F. J. Effectiveness of steel fiber as minimum shear reinforcement. ACI Structural Journal, v. 108, n. 4, p. 488-496, 2011.
- 12-Swamy, R.N., Jones, R., and Chiam, T. Shear transfer in steel fiber reinforced concrete ACI, SP-105-,1989, pp. 565-592.
- 13-Tan, K.H., Mansur, M.A., Shear transfer in reinforced fiber concrete, Journal of Materials in Civil Eng. ASCE ,Vol.2, No.4,1990, pp.202-213.
- 14- YAKOUB, H. E. Shear stress prediction: steel fiber reinforced concrete beams without stirrups. ACI Structural Journal, v. 108, n. 3, p. 304-314, 2011.