# CHILLING TOLERANCE ENHANCEMENT IN TOMATO (Solanum lycopersicum) PLANT BY SPRAYING OF SOME ANTI-STRESS COMPOUNDS.

Hoda I. Ahmed ; Abeer I. Shabana and A. Y. Elsayed Vegetable Res. Dept., Hort. Res. Institute, Agric. Res. Center, Agric. Ministry, Egypt.



### ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were conducted during two successive winter seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 at El-Baramoun farm, Mansoura Horticulture Research station to study the effect of spraying by ATP (100ppm), amino acids (2.5ml/l), glycinebetaine (50ppm), salicylic acid (20ppm), Zinc (100ppm) and Boron (50ppm) compared with tap water (control treatment) on vegetative growth parameters, photosynthetic pigments, mineral constituents, yield components and fruit quality of tomato cv. Super strain B grown under low temperature conditions. Significant increases in vegetative growth parameters and photosynthetic pigment contents were recorded relative to corresponding control treatment. ATP treatment was more pronounced in these increments. Marked increases were observed in N, P, K, Ca, Mg and Zn percentages as a result of all applied treatments. However, Zn treatment decreased N and P percentages and gave the highest percentage of Zn during both seasons. B treatment at 50ppm had the highest fruit set percentage, while ATP treatment had the highest yield per plant, early yield and total yield per feddan. In addition, all spraying treatments significantly enhanced tomato fruit quality characters (TSS, TA, VC and lycopene), except for salicylic acid treatment which had no effect on TA (tetratable acidity) relative to control plants. The behavior of most studied traits was not changed from season to other except Chl A, N%, Ca%, F set%, YP, TSS and lycopene indicating the magnitude of the environmental factors on the genetic expression of these traits as controlled by more than one gene. In view of the obtained results, it was found that vegetative growth, yield and fruit quality of tomato plants cv. Super strain B could be enhanced under cold stress condition by spraying by anti-stressor under study (ATP, amino acid, glycinebetain, salicylic acid, Zn or B). It is worthy to mention that ATP spraying treatment at 100 ppm was the most optimum treatment.

Keywords: Lycopersicum esculentum, Mill, cold stress, ATP, glycinebetain, amino acids, salicylic acid, Zn, B.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) is one of the most important horticultural crops grown in Egypt. It has a great importance as fresh vegetable besides it tops the list of canned vegetables. Tomato as a warm season perennial is injured when exposed to non freezing low temperature (below 12°C) (Sakveit and Morrris, 1990). Plants of tropical and subtropical origins are sensitive to chilling stress and lack the mechanism of cold acclimation. Generally, plants differ in their tolerance to chilling (0-15°C) and freezing (<0°C) temperatures. Low temperature may affect several aspects of crop growth; viz., survival, cell division, photosynthesis, water transport, growth and finally crop yield. In addition, the cellular changes induced by either high or low temperature include responses those lead to the excess accumulation of toxic compounds, especially reactive oxygen species (ROS). The final result of ROS accumulation is oxidative stress (Suzuki and Mittler,2006). Over accumulation of ROS damage almost all cell components including membrane lipids, chloroplasts, pigments, enzymes and nucleic acids ( Goud and Kachole, 2011). Low temperature affects the plants in every stage of life starting from germination up to maturity. Exposure to temperatures below 13°C may inhibit fruit-set (Atherton and Rudich 1986), while extended exposure to temperatures below 6°C can kill plants. Thus, a serious reduction in tomato fruit yield and quality was observed in winter and early summer seasons every year in Egypt where level of night temperature drops several times below 10°C. Therefore, tomato and other sensitive plants should be exogenously applied by antioxidants and /or stimulants to be capable of tolerating stresses. These applications may offer an economical and simple method for low temperature protection.

Herein, it is beneficial to review the advantageous roles of the assumed treatments i.e., ATP, amino acids, glycinebetaine, salicylic acid, B and Zn. ATP (adenosine triphosphate) is a ubiquitous energy source, but acts extracellularly as a neurotransmitter. ATP and other nucleoside triphosphates not only drive energy- dependent reactions inside cells, but can also function outside the plasma membrane in the extracellular matrix, where they function as agonists that can induce diverse physiological responses without being hydrolyzed. This external role of ATP is well established in animal cells but only recently has become apparent that extracellular ATP (eATP) can also function as a signaling agent in plants (Roux and Steinebrunner, 2007). Recent data have shown that eATP and other nucleotides can induce an increase in the cytosolic Ca concentration and diverse downstream changes that influence plant growth and defense responses (Demidchik et. al., 2003).

Amino acids have been considered as precursors and constituents of proteins and other nitrogen compounds e.g., nucleic acids. Plants subjected to stress show accumulation of proline and other amino acids. The role played by accumulated amino acids in plants varied from acting as osmolyte, regulation of ion transport, modulating stomatal opening and detoxificacation of heavy metals. Amino acids also affected synthesis of some enzymes, gene expression and redox-homeostasis (Rai,2002).

Meanwhile glycinebetaine (GB) is synthesized in plants at elevated rates in response to abiotic stresses. Although Tomato plants do not naturally accumulate (GB) (Wyn Jones and Storey 1981), exogenously applied GB increases their tolerance to stress from salt and drought (Mäkelä et. al., 1998, Mäkelä et. al., 1999) and chilling (Park et. al., 2004). Eung-Jun (2006) stated that exogenously applied GB is effectively taken up through the tomato leaf surface and then translocated into different organs. A relatively low endogenous level of GB (>0.09 µmol g-1 FW) in treated plants can provide protection sufficient against chilling temperatures. The majority of the GB is localized to the cytosol, with only a small amount being translocated into the chloroplasts and probably other sub cellular compartments. This action effectively protects the photosynthetic apparatus and enhances chilling tolerance in treated plants. Interestingly, large amounts of GB can be found in meristematic tissues, including the shoot apices and flower buds of treated plants. The high levels in these tissues may be critical for plant survival and enhanced recovery of growth after release from chilling temperatures. Finally, exogenous, foliar application of GB increases levels of H2O2 catalase gene expression and catalase activity. In addition to a possible direct protective effect on macromolecules such as membranes and proteins, it is likely that the induced chilling tolerance conferred by exogenously applied GB may result from the induction of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>mediated antioxidant mechanisms, e.g. enhanced catalase expression and catalase activity.

On the other hand, salicylic acid (SA) acts as an endogenic phytohormone from phenolic compounds (among the group of ortho hydroxyl benzoic acid), having the ability of antioxidant defense system and regulates various physiological and biochemical processes in plant such as: stomata conductivity of photosynthesis pigments activities (Hayat et al., 2010), maintenance of tissue water contents and reduced membrane permeability (Farooq et.al., 2008), adjustment the activity of antioxidant enzymes (Carvalho et al., 2011) and tolerance to environmental stresses (Kabiri et al., 2012). In addition, Sakhabutdinova et.al., (2003) reported that salicylic acid treatments maintain IAA and cytokinin levels in the plant tissues, which enhanced the cell division and dry weight. Miura and Tada (2014) stated that the effects of SA on the physiological processes of plants depend on its concentration, type of plant, the stage of plant growth and environmental conditions. In general, low concentrations of SA may enhance the antioxidant capacity and tolerance to abiotic stresses but high concentrations of SA may cause cell death or susceptibility to abiotic stresses (Hara et al., 2012). Salicylic acid is an important signaling molecule in plants, improved chilling tolerance and synchronous emergence of maize by activation of antioxidants (Farooq et al., 2008). Furthermore, Miura and Tada (2014) suggested that cold signaling and SA signaling may be interrelated and that the effect of SA on cold

tolerance may be species-specific and dependent on the concentration and period of application.

Micronutrients also play an important role in tomato production. Among the micro elements, boron and zinc which play an important role directly and indirectly in improving the yield and quality of tomato in addition to tolerance of various diseases and physiological disorders (Magalhaes et. al., 1980). Zinc plays an important role in chlorophyll formation, cell division, meristematic activity of tissue expansion of cell and formation of cell wall. Zinc application also helps in increasing the uptake of nitrogen and potash. Application of zinc sulphate, copper sulphate and ammonium molybdate stimulates chlorophyll synthesis and fruit quality of tomato (Kalloo, 1985). Kumar, (2003) stated that zinc is an important micronutrient that is closely involved in the metabolism of RNA and ribosomal content in plant cells, also it leads to stimulate carbohydrates, proteins and the DNA formation. It is required for the synthesis of tryptophan, a precursor of IAA, which acts as a growth promoting substance. Zn has also shown to have an important role in photosynthesis and related enzymes which is resulted in increasing sugar and decreasing acidity (Abedy, 2001). Boron plays a major role in plant vital activities such as cell division, leaf and flower bud formation, glucose and hydrocarbons metabolism and transport, root growth, cell wall formation and material transportation between cells (Majid, et.al., 2012).

Finally, this work aimed to investigate the role of some exogenous applications in enhancement of growth, photosynthetic pigments, mineral composition, yield quantity and quality of tomato plant grown under low temperature stress conditions.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tow field experiments were conducted at El-Baramoun farm, Mansoura Horticulture Research Station during two successive winter seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 to study the effect of foliar application by anti-stress compounds i.e., ATP, amino acids mixture, glycinbetaine, salicylic acid, boron and zinc compared with tap water. On 1st. week of December, tomato at 40 day after sowing were transplanted at 30 cm apart on one side of ridge 3.5m long and 1m width with experimental unit area of 10.5m<sup>2</sup>. During this experiment, plants were grown in the first three months under field low temperature conditions where level of night temperature drops several times below 10°C (Table1a). A Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) of three replicates was adopted in both seasons. Plants sprayed four times with different assigned treatments, the first one was at 20 days after transplanting and repeated each 10 days. All cultural practices were performed as recommended. Air temperature during both seasons of this work is presented in Table 1a.

Months	2012	2/13	2013	3/14
	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum
November	24.6	12.5	25.7	13.7
December	21.6	9.5	22.2	9.7
January	18.7	8.3	17.2	7.8
February	19.9	9.6	20.6	8.7
March	27.5	17.7	28.1	18.9
April	32.3	19.9	29.6	20.1

Air temperature °C

Treatments were as follows; control treatment was sprayed only with tap water, ATP at 100 ppm, amino acids mixture at 2.5ml/l, glycinebetaine (Sigma chemical Co., U.S.A.)at 50ppm, salicylic acid at 20ppm, boron at 50ppm in form of boric acid(16% B)and zinc at 100ppm in form of zinc citrate(12% Zn). ATP is a chemical agent(Adenosine-tri-phosphate) obtained from El- Gommhoria Co., Egypt in powder crystallized form kept as it and as stock solution at 4°C. Amino acid composition: A soluble liquid, Spanish compound (delfan) was obtained from Techno green group Cairo, Egypt, was used as a source of amino acids mixture with characteristics as follow: Free amino acids (34.5% w/w); Total nitrogen (4.3% w/w); Organic nitrogen (3% w/w); Organic carbon:(9% w/w); Organic matter (20% w/w).

Amino acids	content	Amino acids	content	Amino acids	content
Aspartic	2.3	Glutamic	4.2	Serine	2.8
Glycine	4.6	Histidine	0.3	Argnine	2.6
Threionine	1.2	Alanine	2.5	Proline	2.8
Tyrosine	0.9	Cystine	0.2	Valine	1.8
Methionine	0.2	Iso-Leucine	1.1	Leucine	2.1
Phenyl-alanine	1.1	Hidroxiproline	2.7	Lysine	1.1

#### **Data Recorded:**

### 1-Plant growth and photosynthetic Pigments:

Three plants from each treatment were randomly taken at 55 days after transplanting and the following data were recorded: plant height, leaf area per plant and dry weight of whole plant. Chlorophyll A and B were determined as described by Wettstein (1957).

### 2-Chemical analysis:

Nitrogen was determined in dry matter of leaves at 55 days after transplanting by using wet digestion according to Piper (1947), using microkjeldahl and Miller, 1998). Phosphorus was (Horneck determined calorimetrically according to Sandell (1950). Potassium was determined according to Horneck and Hanson (1998). Calcium and magnesium were determined according to Jackson (1967). Zinc was determined by using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer 3110) as described by A.O.A.C. (1990).

### 3- Fruit set percentage and fruit yield:

At flowering stage, fruit set percentage was calculated according to the following equation:

= n. of fruit set in the flower clusters of the plant

Fruit set % total n. of flowers anthesis in these clusters × 100

At harvesting time yield expressed as number of fruits per plant and yield per plant (kg) were recorded. Total yield and early yield (the sum of the first three pickings) as kg/plot were recorded, then calculated as ton/fed.

### 4- Fruit quality:

At breaker stage, ten ripe tomato fruits/plot were picked and used for determination of TSS% by hand refract meter and vitamin C, titratable acidity and lycopene according to A.O.A.C. (1990).

# 5- Individual and combined analysis of variance Statistical analysis:

The Individual and combined data for two seasons were subjected to analysis of variance and the means were compared using the Least Significant Difference test (L.S.D.) at 5% and 1% levels according to Snedecor and Cachran (1980).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# 1-The mean performance of growth characters and chlorophyll contents

All applied treatments significantly increased different estimated characteristics i.e., plant height, leaf area and dry weight as well as chlorophyll A and B of tomato compared with those of the control during early seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 which cold stress occurs. The exceptions were with plant height in case of salicylic acid (20ppm) and leaf area in case of B (50ppm) in the second season which the increase did not

### Hoda I. Ahmed et al.

reach to the level of significance at 5% (Table 2). However, ATP (100ppm) was more superior in increasing all studied characters during both seasons followed by amino acids (2.5ml/L). Data in the same Table illustrate that photosynthetic pigments i.e., chlorophyll A and B were significantly increased by all treatments and the highest records were obtained with ATP and zinc treatments, respectively in both seasons of this study, except chlorophyll A in the second season since the highest records were given by ATP and salicylic acid, respectively.

 Table (2): Effect of different applied treatments on vegetative growth characters and photosynthetic pigments of tomato grown under low temperature condition.

	Pla	ant hei	ght	Lea	f area/p	olant	Dry	y wt./pl	ant	Chl	orophy	'll A	Chl	orophy	yll B
Treat.					$(\mathrm{cm}^2)$			(gm)		(	mg/gfv	7)	(1	ng/gfv	V)
	1 <sup>st</sup> s	2 <sup>nd</sup> s	mean	1 <sup>st</sup> s	2 <sup>nd</sup> s	mean	1 <sup>st</sup> s	2 <sup>nd</sup> s	mean	1 <sup>st</sup> s	2 <sup>nd</sup> s	mean	$1^{st} s$	2 <sup>nd</sup> s	mean
Control	36.8	38.3	37.57	994.3	811.4	994.4	8.31	9.87	9.088	2.63	2.44	2.535	1.47	1.25	1.360
ATP	47.3	49.2	48.28	2722.0	2613.8	2722.0	37.93	35.60	36.77	4.69	4.52	4.615	2.70	2.73	2.715
A. acids	45.5	45.4	45.45	2510.0	2693.5	2513.5	31.27	34.70	32.99	3.65	3.61	3.630	2.34	2.21	2.227
Gb.	42.8	41.7	42.25	2275.0	2204.8	2275.0	25.27	27.47	26.37	3.66	3.49	3.573	2.03	2.01	2.022
Sa. acid	41.8	40.9	41.35	2176.0	2204.9	2176.0	27.56	29.20	28.38	3.74	3.60	3.667	2.13	2.13	2.132
Zn	44.0	42.7	43.28	2290.0	2804.8	2290.0	30.78	31.17	30.97	3.84	3.48	3.658	2.49	2.49	2.488
В	45.1	43.5	44.30	1433.3	817.0	1433.2	22.32	22.50	22.41	3.14	3.03	3.088	2.35	2.31	2.333
LSD 5%	3.696	2.011	2.749	80.98	122.1	100.5	1.139	3.246	2.573	0.126	0.188	0.153	0.108	0.151	0.139
LSD 1%	5.560	3.026	3.994	121.8	183.7	146.1	1.713	4.883	3.739	0.190	0.283	0.222	0.162	0.226	0.202

control: tap water ; ATP(100 ppm); A. acids: amino acids mixture (2.5ml/l); G.b.: glycinebetaine (50 ppm), Sa. Acid: salicylic acid (20ppm), Zn: zinc (100 ppm); B: boron (50ppm).

Meanwhile, progressive depression in all morphological characters under study was noticed in untreated control plant and this is in agreement with Farooq et.al., (2008) who suggested that the adverse effects of stress on plant height and root length may be due to its effects on meristimatic cell division and elongation as well as root penetration. In addition, Rezaei et.al., (2012) reported that at any time of crop development, stress reduced crop photosynthesis rate, by which the total assimilates are being available to the crop. Thereby, under stress, cell expansion is inhibited, which expresses itself as reduction of leaf area expansion. Moreover, Fathy et.al., (2000) stated that during cold stress severe disturbances in respiration pathways and reduction in ATP content occur during the first few days of growth at low temperature as a result of P and sugars shortage. So, an actually agent ATP demanded is required by plants of limited chilling tolerance capacity as tomatoes.

For amino acids, alternative routes of IAA synthesis exist in plants, all starting from tryptophan (Phillips, 1971). Hass (1975) reported that the biosyntheses of cinamic acids (which are the starting materials for the synthesis of phenols) are derived from phenylalanine and tyrosine. Tyrosine is hydroxy phenyl amino acid that is used to build neurotransmitters and hormones. Organic nitrogenous compounds are the building blocks in the synthesis of proteins, which are formed by a process in which ribosomes catalyze the polymerization of amino acids. Amino acids are particularly important for stimulation cell growth. They can serve as a source of carbon and energy as well as protect the plants against stress. Amino acids also function in the synthesis of other organic compounds, such as protein, amines, purines and pyrimidines, alkaloids, vitamins, enzymes and terpeniods (Attoa et. al., (2002).

Salicylic acid is a key signaling molecule in induction of plant defense mechanism and reduces symptoms of environmental stress as well as regulates plant growth and development (Horváth et al., 2007). The increases in fresh and dry matter of stressed plants in response to SA might be related to the induction of antioxidant response that increased the tolerance of plants to damage (Gunes et al., 2005). SA treatments increased the level of cell division by stimulating the mitotic system of the apical meristem of seedling roots which caused an increase in plant growth (Sakhabutdinova et al., 2003). Moreover, Salwa et. al., (2015) found that SA treatment increased the chlorophyll,carotenoid contents, improved the photosynthetic efficiency and enhanced photosynthetic rate, dry weights and maintained membrane integrity, leading to improvement of plant growth in tomato plants under cold stress conditions.

On the other hand, accordingly to beneficial effects of Zn known to enhance cell division and differentiation, viability and repeatability of the reproductive organs and induce an active and balanced hormonal status of higher IAA and GA's content vs. low ABA and ethylene within such reproductive and other plant organs (Domingo et al., 1990). Also, it plays a defensive protective role against adverse effects of higher temperature via its antioxidant and gene regulatory functions. Moreover, it was reported that zinc enhances translocation of bioassimilates and nutrients within plant tissues as they activate the membrane transporter enzymes. In addition, foliar application of zinc improved growth and productivity of sweet pepper crop (Cakmak and Marschner, 1988). Singh and Tiwari (2013) obtained that zinc application increased

chlorophyll content. Mohsen (2013) demonstrated that by adding Zn and Fe, leaf surface, vegetative growth, net photosynthetic rate and chlorophyll content of plants increased. Zinc is a component of carbonic anhydrase, as well as several dehydrogenases and auxin production which in turn will enhance plant growth.

Also, boron is important in energy storage or structural integrity functions including sugar transport, cell wall synthesis, signification and cell wall structure, carbohydrate, IAA and phenol metabolism and respiration (Bondok, 1996). This proved the efficiency of the anti-oxidants in protection of the plants against low temperature inducible toxic oxygen free radicals. Similarly, Sajid *et. al.*, (2013) and Singh and Tiwari (2013) also reported somewhat similar findings regarding tomato plant height in response to different micronutrients (Zn and B) application as a foliar feeding.

### 2- Chemical analysis:

Concerning the effect of various treatments on mineral constituents i.e., N, P, K, Ca, Mg and Zn, Table 3 clearly shows that all treatments increased mineral contents of tomato leaves under cold stress condition relative to those of control plants during the two seasons of this work, whereas the only exception was with N and P percentages since they were decreased as a result of Zn treatments in both seasons of this work. It is also obvious that ATP was more superior in increasing all mineral concentrations under study, except for zinc content which had the highest records in case of Zn application during both seasons.

Fathy *et.al.*, (2000) demonstrated that under cold stress condition, untreated plants might be in energy starved case due to their poor content of P and sugars those known to be involved in energy metabolism. In addition, the exposure of limited chilling capacity plants such as tomato to low temperature stress lead to depletion in carbohydrate reserves, sever decrease in P uptake and more expenditure of ATP (Sobczyk *et. al.*, 1985) and consequently a restriction in mineral uptake and carbohydrate synthesis occurs(ATP dependent processes).

The beneficial effect of exogenous ATP treatment on mineral, carbohydrates and sugar content might be explained as ATP activated  $H^+$ -ATP-ase

membrane pumps of ions absorption, translocation and retention (Palta, 1990). Also, ATP via its cytokinins function-might be increased water and nutrients uptake and photo-metabolites translocation by controlling sink-source relationship (Fathy *et. al.*, 2000).

Additionally, the main function of anti-oxidants such as SA was protective of cell membranes and their binding transporter proteins (H<sup>+</sup>-ATP-ase membrane pumps), maintained their structure and function against the toxic and destructive effects reactive oxygen species (ROS) during stress, in turn, more absorption and translocation of minerals (Dicknson et .al., 1991). Moreover, Dube et. al,. (2003) obtained that the highest zinc content of leaves with the highest rate of zinc, however, micronutrient fertilizing decreased P and N content in leaves. Zinc decreases phosphorous content because there is competitive effect between phosphorous and zinc in uptake of ions. The increase obtained with Zn treatment might be attributed to its role in preventing the formation of free radicals  $(O_2,$  $H_2O_2$ , OH ....etc.) and thereby, the membrane leakage chlorosis and necroses of leaves. Besides, it may prevent the oxidative degeneration of IAA and consequently increases the level of IAA in plants. Such increase causes an enhancement of plant growth and mineral nutrients uptake and translocation or partially due to that sugar acts as an osmoregulator in plant cell; the process that participates in enhancing mineral uptake and translocation in plants and consequently the higher concentration of minerals in plant tissues(Marschner, 1995).

### 3- Fruit set percentage and fruit yield

It is quite clear from Table 4 that fruit set percentage and number and weight of fruit per plant as well as early and total yield per fedden were significantly increased as a result of all applied treatments of the two seasons. On the contrary, the control plants (sprayed by tap water) were strongly stressed. It is also observed that treatments considerably differed among of them in their effects since great enhanced fruit weight per plant and early and total yield per feddan were obtained by ATP treatment followed by B treatment, while the highest fruit set percentage and number of fruits per plant were obtained by B treatment.

Table(3):Chemical composition of tomato leaves as affected by different applied treatments under low temperature condition.

Treat.		N %			Р%			K%			Ca%			Mg%		Z	n (ppm	l)
Treat.	$1^{st} s$	$2^{nd}  s$	mean	$1^{st} s$	$2^{nd}s$	mean	$1^{st}  s$	$2^{nd}  s$	mean	$1^{st}  s$	$2^{nd}  s$	mean	$1^{st} s$	$2^{nd}  s$	mean	1 <sup>st</sup> s	$2^{nd}  s$	mean
Control	2.51	2.70	2.758	0.160	0.150	0.155	1.70	1.71	1.707	1.35	1.32	1.337	0.400	0.398	0.399	75.85	73.27	74.56
ATP	3.34	2.87	3.105	0.513	0.500	0.507	3.08	3.06	3.072	3.11	3.07	3.092	0.736	0.712	0.724	166.53	166.53	166.5
A. acids	3.25	2.90	3.075	0.233	0.321	0.277	1.80	1.90	1.850	2.00	1.95	1.975	0.461	0.501	0.481	118.21	108.60	113.4
Gb.	3.19	2.80	2.993	0.347	0.293	0.320	1.96	1.91	1.935	2.20	2.11	2.155	0.440	0.450	0.445	115.06	109.33	112.2
Sa. acid	3.10	2.65	2.878	0.400	0.420	0.410	1.80	1.82	1.812	2.13	2.01	2.070	0.631	0.599	0.615	112.75	110.30	111.5
Zn	2.51	2.49	2.498	0.100	0.109	0.104	2.01	1.97	1.992	2.91	2.55	2.732	0.451	0.473	0.462	176.53	181.50	178.9
В	3.00	2.67	2.833	0.430	0.400	0.415	2.05	2.01	2.030	2.70	2.40	2.552	0.616	0.609	0.612	160.29	157.23	159.0
																7.575		
LSD 1%	0.262	0.114	0.181	0.062	0.022	0.064	0.170	0.165	0.157	0.247	0.105	0.169	0.022	0.022	0.000	11.396	6.156	10.151

control: tap water; ATP(100ppm); A.acids: amino acids(2.5ml/l); Gb.: glycinebetain (50 ppm); Sa. Acid:salicylic acid( 20ppm); Zn: zinc (100 ppm); B: boron(50ppm).

Tured	F	'ruit set'	%	Ň	lo.F/pla	nt	Yiel	d/plan	t (kg)	Early	v yield t	ton/fed	Tota	yield t	on/fed
Treat.	$1^{st} s$	$2^{nd}  s$	mean	$1^{st} s$	$2^{nd}  s$	mean	$1^{st} s$	$2^{nd}  s$	mean	$1^{st} s$	$2^{nd}  s$	mean	$1^{st} s$	$2^{nd}  s$	mean
Control	19.60	20.37	19.98	11.00	10.67	10.83	0.65	0.65	0.650	1.8	1.7	1.75	8.2	7.8	8.00
ATP	50.33	56.07	53.20	21.33	22.33	21.83	1.51	1.60	1.555	4.8	4.5	4.65	20.1	20.4	22.50
A. acids	44.29	46.27	45.28	22.00	22.00	22.00	1.35	1.42	1.385	3.9	3.7	3.80	17.1	18.0	17.55
G b.	38.10	40.33	39.22	22.33	20.00	21.17	1.17	1.44	1.305	3.2	4.0	3.60	15.3	18.2	16.75
Sa. Acid	48.10	50.07	49.08	18.00	19.33	18.67	1.25	1.32	1.285	3.4	3.8	3.60	15.8	16.7	16.25
Zn	47.29	51.17	49.23	20.33	20.00	20.17	1.40	1.32	1.360	3.9	3.6	3.75	17.8	16.5	17.15
В	54.17	60.53	57.35	24.67	22.00	23.33	1.40	1.42	1.410	4.1	4.4	4.25	18.0	18.2	18.1
LSD 5%	2.98	2.08	2.37	0.98	1.91	1.40	0.04	0.11	0.076	0.23	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.24	0.22
LSD 1%	4.48	3.12	3.45	1.47	2.88	2.04	0.06	0.16	0.111	0.35	0.33	0.31	0.32	0.35	0.31
control:tap	water;	ATP(1	00ppm);	A.acids	s:amino	acids(2.	5ml/l);	Gb.:	glycineb	etain	(50 pj	om); Sa	. Acid	l:salicyl	ic acid(

Table (4): Tomato fruit yield as affected by different applied treatments under low temperature condition.

20ppm);Zn:zinc(100 ppm);B boron(50ppm).

The effect of cold stress on flowering and fruit set on the different plant axes had influenced on yield and yield components. Similar results were obtained in tomato (Mady, 2009) with SA and ( Fathy, et. al., 2000 ) with ATP under cold stress condition. Moreover, the explanation of yield increase of stressed-plants after application of GB has been proposed to be partly located on the increased net photosynthesis, decreased rate of photorespiration, stomatal conductance, induced more efficient gas exchange (Makela et al., 1998) and thus, better availability of carbon for photosynthetic processes and ability to avoid possibly photo-inhibition (Makela et al., 1999), water use efficiency (Bergmann and Eckert,1984) and increased chlorophyll content in plants (Whapman et al., 1993). In addition, GB protects, stabilizes and activates the proteins of photosynthetic (Papageourgiou and Murata, reactions 1995). Exogenous application of GB also results in higher yields in the greenhouse and field, mainly due to improved net photosynthesis and growth rates (Mäkelä et al. 1998). The soybean crop stability and yield was often increased due to foliar applications of GB when sprayed during the stress( Rezaei, et. al., 2012).

The high fruit set percentage and number of fruits per plant might be owing to the optimum application of boron along with zinc as a foliar feeding (Sajid, et. al., 2013). Nonnecke (1989) also reported similar information regarding fruit set of tomato and enhanced nutrients uptake due to foliar application that resulted in increased assimilation rate percentage. Positive effect of  $ZnSO_4$  on fruit number is well documented by Tamilselvi et. al,. (2002), who stated that ZnSO<sub>4</sub> is applied to raise fruit number, size and quality and the increase in total fruit yield was due to enhancement of growth biosynthesis tomato vegetative and accumulation consequential by optimal availability of some required nutrients. In addition, Boron is important in pollen germination and pollen tube growth, which is likely to increase fruit set. Therefore, boron fertilization may increase yield. Day (2000) also reported that optimum amount of boron may have promoted flower clusters development. The increase in number and weight of fruit per plant as a result of boron may be attributed to greater photosynthetic activity, resulting increased production and accumulation of the carbohydrates and favorable effect on vegetative growth and retention of flowers and fruits, which might have increased number and weight of fruits. The results of this finding is in agreement with studies done in brinjal crop by Suganiya and Kumuthini (2015)

### 4- Fruit quality

Respecting with fruit quality, data in the Table 5 reveal that most applied treatments increased all quality parameters( TSS, VC, TA and lycopene) and ATP treatment was almost superior in increasing vitamin C, TSS%, acidity % lycopene content in both seasons of this work .The exception was SA in both seasons which had no significant increase on acidity% in both seasons. Moreover, lycopene content also did not reach to the significant level at 5% with glycinebetaine treatment in both early summer seasons of this work.

Huseyin et .la.,(2009) stated that foliar applications of SA positively affected TSS and ascorbic acid (AA) content of strawberry fruit, but had no effect on TA of strawberry fruits, while Mady, (2009) stated that SA increased TSS,TA,VC in tomato fruits. Under cold stress condition, Fathy et. al., (2000), Salwa, et. al., (2015) show that TSS levels were increased as a result of ATP and salicylic acid treatment, respectively in tomato fruits. Regarding with Zn and B, Salam et. al.,(2010) reported that acidity content of the fruits which was better for canning performance increased with the increasing boron and zinc levels, while lycopene content was improved by Zn application (Dube et. al., 2003). Resembling results were obtained by Mohsen (2013) in tomato.Zinc has also shown to have an important role in photosynthesis and related enzymes, which will lead to increasing sugar and decreasing acidity (Abedy, 2001).

### J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., Vol. 7 (2), February, 2016

Table (5): Tomato fruit o	mality as affected b	v different annlied	I treatments under cold condition.
	quality as affected b	y uniterent applied	i il cumento unaci cola conalitoni

V.C	C (mg/100g	fw)		TSS (%	)	A	Acidity (	%)	Lycop	ene (mg	/100g fw)
1 <sup>st</sup> s	2 <sup>nd</sup> s	mean	1 <sup>st</sup> s	$2^{nd}$ s	mean	$1^{st} s$	$2^{nd}$ s	mean	$1^{st} s$	$2^{nd} s$	mean
17.41	16.33	16.87	3.76	4.31	4.033	0.357	0.356	0.357	4.05	4.05	4.052
21.56	20.77	21.16	4.50	4.55	4.527	0.387	0.446	0.446	4.65	4.99	4.817
20.55	19.27	19.90	4.60	4.54	4.570	0.420	0.436	0.436	4.29	4.52	4.403
20.10	19.50	19.80	4.46	4.55	4.505	0.400	0.400	0.400	4.10	4.06	4.078
19.33	21.37	20.34	4.60	4.42	4.510	0.372	0.364	0.364	4.37	4.42	4.392
20.25	20.04	20.14	4.41	4.40	4.407	0.410	0.400	0.402	4.40	4.53	4.468
21.40	21.43	21.41	4.39	4.37	4.383	0.400	0.403	0.403	4.28	4.30	4.290
0.138	2.405	2.308	0.025	0.065	0.044	0.015	0.015	0.014	0.052	0.135	0.098
0.208	3.618	3.354	0.038	0.098	0.064	0.022	0.022	0.020	0.079	0.203	0.143
	1st s           17.41           21.56           20.55           20.10           19.33           20.25           21.40           0.138           0.208	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$1^{st}$ s $2^{nd}$ smean17.4116.3316.8721.5620.7721.1620.5519.2719.9020.1019.5019.8019.3321.3720.3420.2520.0420.1421.4021.4321.410.1382.4052.3080.2083.6183.354	$1^{st}$ s $2^{nd}$ smean $1^{st}$ s $17.41$ $16.33$ $16.87$ $3.76$ $21.56$ $20.77$ $21.16$ $4.50$ $20.55$ $19.27$ $19.90$ $4.60$ $20.10$ $19.50$ $19.80$ $4.46$ $19.33$ $21.37$ $20.34$ $4.60$ $20.25$ $20.04$ $20.14$ $4.41$ $21.40$ $21.43$ $21.41$ $4.39$ $0.138$ $2.405$ $2.308$ $0.025$ $0.208$ $3.618$ $3.354$ $0.038$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$1^{st}$ s $2^{nd}$ smean $1^{st}$ s $2^{nd}$ smean17.4116.3316.873.764.314.03321.5620.7721.164.504.554.52720.5519.2719.904.604.544.57020.1019.5019.804.464.554.50519.3321.3720.344.604.424.51020.2520.0420.144.414.404.40721.4021.4321.414.394.374.3830.1382.4052.3080.0250.0650.0440.2083.6183.3540.0380.0980.064	$1^{st} s$ $2^{nd} s$ mean $1^{st} s$ $2^{nd} s$ mean $1^{st} s$ $17.41$ $16.33$ $16.87$ $3.76$ $4.31$ $4.033$ $0.357$ $21.56$ $20.77$ $21.16$ $4.50$ $4.55$ $4.527$ $0.387$ $20.55$ $19.27$ $19.90$ $4.60$ $4.54$ $4.570$ $0.420$ $20.10$ $19.50$ $19.80$ $4.46$ $4.55$ $4.505$ $0.400$ $19.33$ $21.37$ $20.34$ $4.60$ $4.42$ $4.510$ $0.372$ $20.25$ $20.04$ $20.14$ $4.41$ $4.40$ $4.407$ $0.410$ $21.40$ $21.43$ $21.41$ $4.39$ $4.37$ $4.383$ $0.400$ $0.138$ $2.405$ $2.308$ $0.025$ $0.065$ $0.044$ $0.015$ $0.208$ $3.618$ $3.354$ $0.038$ $0.098$ $0.064$ $0.022$	$1^{st} s$ $2^{nd} s$ mean $1^{st} s$ $2^{nd} s$ mean $1^{st} s$ $2^{nd} s$ 17.4116.3316.873.764.314.0330.3570.35621.5620.7721.164.504.554.5270.3870.44620.5519.2719.904.604.544.5700.4200.43620.1019.5019.804.464.554.5050.4000.40019.3321.3720.344.604.424.5100.3720.36420.2520.0420.144.414.404.4070.4100.40021.4021.4321.414.394.374.3830.4000.4030.1382.4052.3080.0250.0650.0440.0150.0150.2083.6183.3540.0380.0980.0640.0220.022	$1^{st}$ s $2^{nd}$ smean $1^{st}$ s $2^{nd}$ smean $1^{st}$ s $2^{nd}$ smean17.4116.3316.873.764.314.0330.3570.3560.35721.5620.7721.164.504.554.5270.3870.4460.44620.5519.2719.904.604.544.5700.4200.4360.43620.1019.5019.804.464.554.5050.4000.4000.40019.3321.3720.344.604.424.5100.3720.3640.36420.2520.0420.144.414.404.4070.4100.4000.40221.4021.4321.414.394.374.3830.4000.4030.4030.1382.4052.3080.0250.0650.0440.0150.0150.0140.2083.6183.3540.0380.0980.0640.0220.0220.020	$1^{st} s$ $2^{nd} s$ mean $1^{st} s$ $2^{nd} s$ mean $1^{st} s$ $2^{nd} s$ mean $1^{st} s$ $17.41$ $16.33$ $16.87$ $3.76$ $4.31$ $4.033$ $0.357$ $0.356$ $0.357$ $4.05$ $21.56$ $20.77$ $21.16$ $4.50$ $4.55$ $4.527$ $0.387$ $0.446$ $0.446$ $4.65$ $20.55$ $19.27$ $19.90$ $4.60$ $4.54$ $4.570$ $0.420$ $0.436$ $0.436$ $4.29$ $20.10$ $19.50$ $19.80$ $4.46$ $4.55$ $4.505$ $0.400$ $0.400$ $0.400$ $4.10$ $19.33$ $21.37$ $20.34$ $4.60$ $4.42$ $4.510$ $0.372$ $0.364$ $0.364$ $4.37$ $20.25$ $20.04$ $20.14$ $4.41$ $4.40$ $4.407$ $0.410$ $0.400$ $0.402$ $4.40$ $21.40$ $21.43$ $21.41$ $4.39$ $4.37$ $4.383$ $0.400$ $0.403$ $0.403$ $4.28$ $0.138$ $2.405$ $2.308$ $0.025$ $0.065$ $0.044$ $0.015$ $0.014$ $0.052$ $0.208$ $3.618$ $3.354$ $0.038$ $0.098$ $0.064$ $0.022$ $0.020$ $0.079$	$1^{st} s$ $2^{nd} s$ mean $1^{st} s$ $2^{nd} s$ 17.4116.3316.873.764.314.0330.3570.3560.3574.054.0521.5620.7721.164.504.554.5270.3870.4460.4464.654.9920.5519.2719.904.604.544.5700.4200.4360.4364.294.5220.1019.5019.804.464.554.5050.4000.4000.4004.104.0619.3321.3720.344.604.424.5100.3720.3640.3644.374.4220.2520.0420.144.414.404.4070.4100.4000.4034.284.300.1382.4052.3080.0250.0650.0440.0150.0150.0140.0520.135

control: tap water; ATP(100 ppm); A. acids: amino acids mixture (2.5ml/l); G.b.: glycinebetaine (50 ppm); Sa: salicylic acid ( 20ppm); Zn: zinc (100 ppm); B: boron (50ppm ).

In conclusion, spraying any of the assumed treatments (ATP, amino acids, glycine-betaine, salicylic acid, Zn or B) on tomato plants cv. Super strain B grown in open field in early summer and winter seasons ,where chilling stress occurs, could improve the yield and quality of fruits as a reflection of enhancing vegetative growth, photosynthetic pigments and mineral constituents. ATP at 100ppm was more effective in ameliorating the adverse effects of chilling.

### 5- Individual and combined analysis of variance:

The analysis of variance and mean squares of vegetative traits, chemical composition, yield and fruit

quality were obtained and the results are presented in Table 6. It appeared from the Table that the mean squares of the treatments were highly significant for the majority of studied traits in both seasons except for VC which was only significant in the  $2^{nd}$  season. These results indicated that there were significant differences not only among the exogenous applications, but also among the control and other treatments. The presence of significant differences would indicate that the comparison among the means of treatments would be a valid test.

Table(6):The individual analysis of variance and mean squares of vegetative traits, chemical composition,
yield and fruit quality of tomato affected by different applied treatments under low temperature
condition.

			Mean S	Square			
Traits	Replicatio	n. (d.f: 2)	Treatmer	nts (d.f: 6)	Error (d.f: 12)		
	$1^{s\overline{t}} s$	$2^{nd} s$	$1^{st} s$	$2^{nd} s$	1 <sup>st</sup> s	$2^{nd} s$	
PH	11.25	8.583	34.50**	36.67**	6.451	1.911	
LA	11912.3	61.68	1140814**	1144135**	3097.9	7045.4	
DW	32.66	10.21	261.1**	234.6**	0.613	4.976	
Chl A	0.008	0.010	1.212**	1.212**	0.008	0.017	
Chl B	0.009	0.032	0.471**	0.654**	0.006	0.011	
N %	0.007	0.032	0.247**	0.061**	0.014	0.003	
Р%	0.003	0.000	0.069**	0.062**	0.001	0.000	
K %	0.003	0.011	0.657**	0.622**	0.006	0.006	
Ca %	0.000	0.007	1.106**	0.900**	0.013	0.002	
Mg %	0.000	0.0001	0.048**	0.0360**	0.000	0.0001	
Zn	1.542	198.2	3987.9**	4609.5**	27.10	7.908	
F Set %	1.003	3.366	398.0**	522.0**	4.182	2.036	
NFP	0.619	0.619	59.05**	49.54**	0.452	1.730	
YP(kg/p)	0.005	0.0002	0.244**	0.281**	0.001	0.006	
EY(ton/fed)	0.056	0.023	2.654**	2.617**	0.026	0.023	
TY(ton/fed)	0.009	0.053	43.39**	49.48**	0.021	0.026	
V.C	0.009	0.020	5.946**	9.253*	0.009	2.732	
TSS	0.001	0.0041	0.252**	0.0290**	0.000	0.0020	
Acid.	0.000	0.0000	0.003**	0.0033**	0.000	0.0000	
Lyco.	0.001	0.0053	0.120**	0.3101**	0.001	0.0086	

PH:plant height(cm) ; LA :leaf area(cm<sup>2</sup>/plant); DW: dry weight(gm/plant); chl A and B: chlorophyll A and B (mg/g fresh

weight); FSet%: fruit set%; NFP: No of fruits/plant; YP: yield/plant(kg); EY: early yield (ton/fed); TY: total yield(ton/fed).

\*\* and \* significant at 1 and 5% probability levels, respectively by F test.

The combined analysis over the two seasons as shown in Table (7) revealed that the mean square of treatments for VC. was significant at 5% of probability and not significant for TSS. While the behavior of most studied traits was not changed from season to other except Chl A, N%, Ca%, F set %, YP, TSS and lycopene. Indicating the magnitude of the environmental factors on the genetic expression of these traits as polygenic type controlled by more than one gene like most abiotic stress tolerance (Wahid *et al.*, 2007).

Table(7):The combined analysis of variance and mean squares of vegetative traits, chemical composition, yield and fruit quality of tomato affected by different applied treatments under low temperature condition over two years.

Traits	Replications df = 2	Treatments df =6	Years df =1	Treat.xYears df =6	Error df =26
PH	19.39	68.18**	0.619 <sup>ns</sup>	2.996 <sup>ns</sup>	3.894
LA	5130	2284938**	9.734 <sup>ns</sup>	10.66 <sup>ns</sup>	5208
DW		490.6**	$10.68^{ns}$	5.055 <sup>ns</sup>	
	32.05				3.412
Chl A	0.005	2.409**	0.292**	$0.015^{ns}$	0.012
Chl B	0.004	1.113**	$0.034^{ns}$	0.012 <sup>ns</sup>	0.01
N %	0.035	0.264*	0.984**	0.044**	0.008
Р%	0.001	0.127**	$0.000^{ns}$	0.003**	0.001
K%	0.001	1.275**	$0.000^{ns}$	$0.004^{ns}$	0.006
Ca%	0.004	1.979**	0.216**	0.027**	0.007
Mg%	0.000	0.083**	$0.000^{ns}$	0.001**	0.000
Zn	82.95	8566**	74.05 <sup>ns</sup>	31.87 <sup>ns</sup>	25.15
F Set %	3.969	913.3**	112.6**	$6.682^{ns}$	2.901
NFP	1.143	105.1**	2.381 <sup>ns</sup>	3.492*	1.015
YP(kg/p)	0.003	0.507**	0.041**	0.018**	0.003
EY(ton/fed)	0.074	5.004**	$0.077^{ns}$	0.267**	0.023
TY(ton/fed)	0.027	90.37**	$2.429^{ns}$	2.507**	0.024
V.C	0.004	13.33*	$0.760^{ns}$	1.869 <sup>ns</sup>	2.745
TSS	0.001	$0.200^{ns}$	0.036**	0.080**	0.001
Acid.	0.0001	0.0066**	$0.0000^{ns}$	$0.0000^{ns}$	0.0001
Lyco.	0.002	0.402**	0.117**	0.028**	0.005

PH: plant height(cm); LA : leaf area(cm<sup>2</sup>/plant); DW: dry weight(gm/plant); chl A and B: chlorophyll A and B(mg/g fresh weight); FSet%: fruit set%; NFP: No of fruits/plant; YP: yield/plant(kg); EY: early yield (ton/fed); TY: total yield(ton/fed). \*\* and \* significant at 1 and 5% probability levels, respectively by F test.

Regarding treatment x years interactions, the analysis of variance shows that the absence of any significant interaction for vegetative traits, pigment contents and fruit set % indicating the stability of our exogenous applications effects on these traits in addition to certain chemical composition as K% and Zn (Table 7). In contrast, this interaction was significant and highly significant for NFP and YP, respectively.

### REFERENCES

- Abedy A. (2001).Effects of zinc sulfate and citric acid spray on fruit characteristics of tomato cultivar 'Urbana'. MSc. Thesis, Shiraz University.
- A. O. A. C. (1990): Official Method of Analysis, 15th Ed., Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Inc., USA
- Atherton, J.G. and Rudich, J. (1986) The Tomato Crop. Chapman and Hall Ltd,New York.
- Attoa, G.E.; Wahba, H.E. and Farahat, A.A., 2002. Effect of some amino acids and sulphur fertilization on growth and chemical composition of Iberis amara L. plants.Egyption J. Hort., 29: 17-37.

- Bergmann H and Eckert H. (1984). Effect of glycine betaine on water use efficiency of wheat (Triticum aestivum), Biologia Plantarum 26: 384-387.
- Bondok ,M. A. (1996). The role of boron in regulating growth , yield and hormonal balance in sugar beet (Beta vulgaris var. vulgaris).Annals Agric.Sci.,Ain Univ.,Cairo,41(1):15-33
- Cakmak, I. and Marschner, H. (1988). Increase in membrane permeability and exudation in roots of zinc deficient plants. Journal of Plant Physiology 132: 356-361.
- Carvalho RF, Piotto FA, Schmidt D, Peters LP, Monterio CC, Azevedo RA. (2011). Seed priming with hormones dose not alleviate induced oxidative stress inmaize seedling subjected to salt stress. Sci. Agric. (Piracicaba, Braze.). 68:598-602.
- Day S. C., 2000. Tomato crop in vegetable growing. Agrobios, New Dehli, India, 59-61
- Demidchik, V., C. Nichols, M. Oliynyk, A. Dark, B.J. Glover and J.M. Davies (2003). Is ATP a signaling agent in plants?. Plant Physiol.,133: 456-461..

- Dicknson, C.D.; Altabella,T. and Chrispeels, M.J. (1991). Slow growth Phenotyp of transgenic tomato expressing plastic invertasl. Plant Physiol., 95 :420-425.
- Domingo,A.L.; Nayatomes,Y.; Tamai,M. and Takaki,H. (1990). Indole carboxylic acid in zinc- deficient radish shoots. Soil Sci. Plant Nut., 36:555-560
- Dube, B. K., S. Pratima and C. Chatterjee (2003). Effects of zinc on the yield and quality of tomato. Indian J. Hort. 60(1): 59-63
- Eung-Jun Park , Zoran Jeknic and Tony H. H. Chen (2006), Exogenous Application of Glycinebetaine Increases Chilling Tolerance in Tomato Plants, Plant Cell Physiol., 47(6): 706– 714.
- Farooq M, Aziz T, Hussain M, Rehman H, Jabran K, Khan MB (2008). Glycine betaine improves chilling tolerance in hybrid maize. J Agron Crop Sci 194: 152-160
- Fathy, El- S.L.; Farid, S. and El-Desouky, S.A. (2000). Induce cold toleranc of outdoor tomatoes during early summer season by using ATP, yeast other natural and chemical treatments to improve their fruiting and yield. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 25(1):377-401.
- Goud P.B, Kachole MS.2011. Effect of exogenous hydrogen peroxide on peroxidase and polyphenol oxidase activities in Cajanuscajan (L.) Millsp.detached leaves. Inter. J. of Current Res. 3: 61-65.
- Gunes A, Inal A, Alpaslan M, Cicek N, Guneri E, Eraslan F, Guzelordu (2005). Effects of exogenously applied salicylic acid on the induction of multiple stress tolerance and mineral nutrition in maize (Zea mays L.). Arch. Agron. Soil Sci., 51: 687-695.
- Hara M, Furukawa J, Sato A, Mizoguchi T, Miura K. (2012). Abiotic stress and role of salicylic acid in plants,"in Abiotic Stress Responses in Plants, eds A Parvaiza and M.N.V., Prasad (NewYork, NY: Springer), 235-251.
- Hass, D.(1975)Molecualr biochemical and physiologyical fundamentals of metabolism and development.Pl.Physiol.512-610 Springer– Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- Hayat Q, Hayat S, Irfan M, Ahmad A. (2010). Effect of exogenous salicylic acid under changing environment: A review. Enviro.and Experi. Botany, 68: 14-25.
- Horneck, D. A. and Hanson, D. (1998). Determination of potassium and sodium by Flame sion Spectrophotometry. In Handbook of Reference Methods for Plant Analysis, pp. 153-155.
- Horneck, D. A. and Miller, R. O. (1998): Determination of total nitrogen in plant tissue. In Handbook of Reference Methods for Plant Analysis, pp. 75-83.
- Horváth E, Szalai G, Janda T. (2007). Induction of abiotic stress tolerance by salicylic acid signalling. J. Plant Growth Regul., 26:290-300.

- Huseyin Karlidag, Ertan Yildirim, and Metin Tura (2009). Exogenous applications of salicylic acid affect quality and yield of strawberry grown under antifrost heated greenhouse conditions,Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Sci., 172, 2, 270–276.
- Jackson, M. L. (1967). Soil chemical analysis prentic hallpvt. Itd. India. PP. 398.
- Kalloo. (1985). Tomato. Allied Publishers Private Limited, New Delhi, India. pp. 204-211.
- Kabiri R, Farahbakhsh H, Nasibi F. (2012). Effect of drought and its interaction with salicylic acid on black cumin germination and seedling growth. World Appl. Sci. J., 18:520-527.
- Kumar, P. 2003. Effect of integrated nutrient management on sustainable cabbage and tomato production. Ph.D. Thesis. Department of Vegetable Crops, Dr. YS Parmar University of Horticulture & Forestry, Nauni, Solan.p.282.
- Mady, M.A. (2009). Effect of foliar application with salicylic acid and vitamin E on growth and productivity of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum, Mill.) PLANT. J. Agric. Sci. 34 (6): 6735 6746.
- Majid J. moghadam, H.H.Sharifabad, G.N.Seyed, Y.S.Motahar and S.A.Siadat, (2012). The Effect of Zinc, Boron and Copper Foliar Application, on Yield and Yield Components in Wheat (Triticum aestivum), Annals of Biological Research, 3 (8):3875-3884.
- Magalhaes, J.R. Dc., C.E.W.L. Solwa Dc. and P.H. Monnerat (1980). Levels and methods of boron application in tomatoes. Pesquisa Agropecuria Brasilesia 10 (2): 153-157 [Cited from Hort. Abstr. 50(4): 2031, 19811.
- Makela P., Munns R., Colmer T.D., Cundon A.G., Peltonen-Sainio P. (1998). Effect of foliar applications of glycine betaine on stomatal conductance, abscisic acid and solute concentrations of salt and drought stressed tomato. Aust J Plant Physiol 25: 655-663.
- Makela P., Kontturi M, Pehu E, Somersalo S. (1999). Photosynthetic response of drought- and saltstressed tomato and turnip rape plants to foliarapplied glycine betaine. Phys. Plantarum 105: 45-50.
- Marschner, H. (1995). Mineral nutrition of higher plants, 2nd ed. London, UK: Academic Press.
- Miura K, Tada YY. (2014). Regulation of water, salinity and cold stress responses by salicylic acid. Frontiers in Plant Sci. Plant Physiology, 5: 1-12.
- Mohsen Kazemi (2013). Effects of Zn, Fe and their Combination Treatments on the growth and yield of tomato, Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., 3 (1): 109-114
- Nonnecke IBL.(1989). Vegetable Production. Avi Book Publishers. New York, USA, 200-229.
- Palta, J. P. (1990). Stress interactions at the cellular and membrane level. Hort.Science, 25(11): 1377-1381.

- Papageorgiou G.C, Murata N. (1995). The unusually strong stabilizing effects of glycine betaine on the structure and function of the oxygen-evolving photosystem II complex. Photosynthesis Res 44: 243-252.
- Park, E.J., Jeknic, Z., Sakamoto, A., DeNoma, J., Yuwansiri, R., Murata, N. and Chen, T.H.H. ( 2004). Genetic engineering of glycinebetaine synthesis in tomato protects seeds, plants, and flowers from chilling damage. Plant J. 40: 474– 487.
- Phillips, L.D.J. (1971). Introduction to the Biochemistry and Physiology of Plant Growth Hormones. Mc. Graw-Hill Book Co.
- Piper, G S. (1947). Soil and plant analysis. The Univ. of Adelaide, Adelaide.
- Rai,V.K. (2002). Role of amino acids in plant responses to stress.Biologia Plantarum,45,4: 487.
- Rezaei M. A., I. Jokar, M. Ghorbanli, B. Kaviani and A, Kharabian-Masouleh (2012). Morphophysiological improving effects of exogenous glycine betaine on tomato (Lycopersicum esculentum Mill.) cv. PS under drought stress conditions POJ 5(2):79 86.
- Roux, S.J. and I. Steinebrunner (2007). Extracellular ATP: an unexpected role as a signaler in plants.Trends Plant Sci., 12: 522-527.
- Sajid A., H.U.Javed, R.N. Rehma, I.A. Sabir, M.S.Naeem, M.Z. Siddiqui, D.A. Saeed, M.A. Nawaz, 2013. Foliar application of some macro and micro nutrients improves tomato growth, flowering and yield. International Journal of Biosciences I.J.B.,3,10: 280-287.
- Sakviet, M. E. and L. L. Morris (1990). Overview of chilling injury of horticultural crops. In: C. Y. Wang (ed.) . Chilling injury of Hort. Crop. CRCPress Boca, Raton, Fla.
- Sakhabutdinova A.R., Fatkhutdinova D.R., Bezrukova M.V., Shakirova F.M. (2003). Salicylic acid prevents the damaging action of stress factors on wheat plants Bulg. J. Plant Physiol., special issue, 314-319
- Salam M.A., M.A. Siddique, M.A. Rahim M. A. R. and M.G. Saha (2010). Quality of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.)as influenced by boron and zinc under different levels of NPK fertilizers, Bangladesh J. Agril. Res. 35(3): 475-488.

- Salwa A. Orabi , Mona G. Dawood, S.R. Salman (2015). Comparative study between the physiological role of hydrogen peroxide and salicylic acid in alleviating the harmful effect of low temperature on tomato plants grown under sand-ponic culture.Sci. Agri.9 (1), 2015: 49-59.
- Sandell, R. (1950). Colorimetric determination of traces of metal 2nd Ed. Interscience pub., Inc. New York.
- Singh H.M, Tiwari J.K. (2013). Impact of micronutrient spray on growth, yield and quality of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill). Ho t Flora Research Spectrum. 2(1), 87–89.
- Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran, 1980. Statistical methods. 7th Ed. Iowa State Univ. Press Ames. Iowa, USA.
- Sobczyk, E. A., Marszalek and P.A. Kacperska (1985). ATP involvement in plant tissue response to low temperature. Physiol. Plant., 63:399.
- Suganiya, S.a, Kumuthini, D. Harrisb (2015). Effect of Boron on flower and fruit set and yield of ratoon Brinjal crop, International Journal of Scientific Research and Innovative Technology (2), 1; 135-141.
- Suzuki, N, & Mittler, R., 2006. Reactive oxygen species and temperature stresses: a delicate balance between signaling and destruction. Physiol. Planta.,126,45-51.
- Tamilselvi, P., Vijayakumar, R.M. and Nainar, P. (2002). Studies on the effect of foliar application of micronutrients on growth and yield of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill). Cv. PKM-1. South Ind. Hort., 53(1-6) : 46-5
- Wahid A, Gelani S, Ashraf M and Foolad MR (2007). Heat tolerance in plants: an overview. Environ. Exp. Bot. 61: 199-223.
- Wettstein, D. (1957). Chlorophyll, letal und der submicroskpische formmech sell-der- plastiden. Exptl. Cell. Res., 12: 427
- Whapman C.A., Blunden G., Jenkins T., Hankins S.D. (1993). Significance of betaines in the increased chlorophyll content of plants treated with seaweed extract. J Appl Phycol 5: 231-234.
- Wyn Jones, R.G. and Storey, R. (1981). Betaines. In The Physiology and Biochemistry of Drought Resistance in Plants. Edited by Paleg, L.G. Aspinal, D. pp. 171–204. Academic Press, New York.

### J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., Vol. 7 (2), February, 2016

# تحسين تحمل نباتات الطماطم للبروده بالرش ببعض المركبات المضادة للاجهاد هدى ابراهيم أحمد, عبير ابراهيم عبد الغفار شبانه وأحمد يوسف عبد النبى قسم بحوث الخضر- معهد بحوث البساتين – مركز البحوث الزراعيه

أجريت تجربتان حقليتان على نبات الطماطم صنف سوبر سترين بى خلال العروه الشتويه لموسمى ٢٠١٣/٢٠١٢ و ٢٠١٤/٢٠١٣ و في المزر عه البحثيه بالبر امون التابعه لمحطة بحوث البساتين بالمنصوره وذلك لمقارنة تأثير الرش بمحلول أدينوزين تراى فوسفات (١٠ جزء فى المليون), والأحماض الأمينيه (٢.٥ مل/لتر)، الجلايسن بيتايين (٥٠ جزء في المليون)، حمض السلسليك (٢٠ جزء فى المليون)، عنصر الزنك (١٠٠ جزء فى المليون) وعنصر البورون (٥٠ جزء فى المليون) مقارنة بالرش بالماء على كل من صفات النمو الخصرى، محتوى الأوراق من الكلوروفيل والعناصر المعدنيه وعلى نسبة العقد فضلا عن كمية وجودة محصول الثمار الناتجه فى ظروف انخفاض درجة حرارة الجو. وقد أظهرت النتائج زياده معنويه في جميع صفات النمو الخضرى ومحتوى الأوراق من كلوروفيل أو ب مقارنة بمعاملة المقارنه وكان الرش بالأدينوزين تراى فوسفات الأكثر تفوقا بينما تباينت باقى المعاملات فيما بينها لتعكس درجات متفاوته من تحمل النباتات خلال موكان الرش بالأدينوزين تراى فوسفات الأكثر تفوقا بينما تباينت باقى المعاملات فيما بينها لتعكس درجات متفاوته من تحمل النباتات للبروده. كما أظهرت النتائج زياده معنويه فى جميع صفات النمو الخضرى ومحتوى الأوراق من كلوروفيل أو ب مقارنة بمعاملة بينما أدت معامله الرش بالأدينوزين تراى فوسفات الأكثر تفوقا بينما تباينت باقى المعاملات فيما بينها لتعكس درجات متفاوته من تحمل النباتات للبروده. كما أظهرت النتائج زياده ملحوظه فى المليون) الى الحصول على أعلى محتوي من الزنك وأقل محتوي من الفوسفور والنيتروجين بينما أدت معامله الرش بالزنك (١٠٠ جزء فى المليون) الى الحصول على أعلى محتوي من الزنك وأقل محتوي من الفوسفور والنيتروجين البرودي فوقا في وزن الثمار النبات والمحصول المبكر و الكلى للفدان. كما حسنت جميع المعاملات المروس المراس مالان لنوزين تراى فوسفات الغير معومان المواد الصليورا النبات والمحتوى المبكر و الكل مولي المون أعلى نسبة عقد بينما كان الرش بالأدينوزين تراى فوسفور والنيتر وجزن المعاطم(المواد الصلبه الذائبه الكليه-حمض الأسليورين الموضه الليون أعلى نسبة عقد بينما كان الرش بالأدينوزين تراى فوسفور الغير معامله(المواد الصليه الذائيه الكلي بالمكور بي كلي المون أعلى نسبة عقد المرار المرار مالمولوس مالول معات الخوضه بالمقار مالول معلم النور المرار والى الموان معان جودة ثمار الغير معامله(المواد الصلبه

ُوفى ضوء النتائج المتحصّل عليها وُجد أن رش نباتات الطماطم بأحد مضادات الأجهاد مثل أدينوزين تراى فُوسفات، الأحماض الأمينيه، جلايسين بيتابين،حمض السلسليك، الزنك أو البورون أدى الى تحسين النمو الخضرى مع زيادة المحصول كما و نوعا تحت ظروف الحراره المنخفضه.و جدير بالذكر أن أكثر المعاملات تفوقا كانت معاملة أدينوزين تراى فوسفات بتركيز ١٠٠ جزء في المليون.