Evaluation of Some Bread Wheat Cultivars under Different Seeding Rates and Weed Control Treatments

Mahmud, M. Sh.¹; A. S. M. Morsy² and A. A. O. Fakkar³

¹ Wheat Res. Dep., Field Crops Res. Inst., ARC, Egypt

²Department of Agronomy Faculty Agriculture Natural and Resources Aswan University Aswan 81528, Egypt.

³Weed Central Lab, Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt

Email of auther:drahmed1122@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted during 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 growing seasons at Shandaweel Agriculture Research Station, Sohag Governorate, Egypt to study the effect of two seeding rates (50 and 60 kg/fed), three bread wheat cultivars (Shandaweel-1, Sids-12 and Giza-168) and six weed control treatments (Atlantis (T1), Garnstar + Tpik (T2), Garnstar + Axial (T3), Garnstar + Traxos (T4), hand weeding twice (T5) and unweeded control (T6))on weeds growth, yield and yield components of wheat. Split-split plot design with four replications was used. Results indicated that seeding rates were significantly on annual weeds (g/m²) and yield and yield components in both seasons. Increased seeding rate to 60 (kg/fed) significantly decreased the dry weight of total weeds (g/m²) by 23.48 and 36.81% in first and second seasons, respectively compared to seeding rate of 50 (kg/fed). Seeding rate at 60 (kg/fed)give highest values of spike/length, No. of spikes/m² and grains yield (arad/fed) in both seasons. Wheat cultivars were significantly effect on dry weight of weeds in both seasons. Giza-168 cultivar decreased the dry weight of broad, grassy and total weeds by 19.98, 23.22 and 21.7% in the first season and by 25.02, 30.58 and 35.67% in the second season, respectively as compared to Sids-12cultivar. Wheat cultivarswere significantly effect of spike/length, No.of spikes/m², grains weight/spike, weight of spike and grains yield (arad/fed) in both seasons. Weed control treatments were significantly reduced dry weight of grassy, broad-leaved and total weeds (g/m²) in both seasons compared to (T_6) . Using of (T_2) , (T_4) and (T_5) twice gave the highest reduction the dry weight of grass, broad-leaved weed and total weeds in both seasons. Weed control treatments were significant effect of spike length, No. of spikelets/spike, No. of spikes/m², No. of grains/spike, spikeweight, seed index and grains yield (arad/fed) in both seasons. The interactions among highest seeding rate of 60 kg/fed, wheat Cultivar Giza 168 and (T₅) reduced dry weight of the grassy weeds by 94.9 and 97.3% in 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 seasons, respectively, and the dry weight of total weeds by 97.5% in 2015/2016 season as compared to sowing Sids-12 cultivar by seeding rate at 50 kg/fed and unweededtreatment.Correlation analyses weresignificantly negative correlation with weight of grassy, broad-leaved and total weeds and yield in the first and second seasons, and significantly positive correlation between yield and its components in both seasons. Economic evaluation of the results indicated that seeding rates 60 kg/fed with wheat cultivars Giza 168 and using of (T₂), (T₄) and (T₅) gave the highest economic values, gross income, net income and profitability in the average of two seasons. Generally, cultivation Giza-168 at a rate 60kg/fed seed and application of (T2), (T4) and (T5)obtained by better crop of wheat under the experiment conditions.

INTRODUCTION

Wheatis considered to be the first strategic food crop in Egypt and supplies about 20 percent of the food calories for the consumers. Also, wheat straw is an important fodder (Gomma, 1999). In Egypt, the total cultivated area of wheat reached 1.425 million hectares and the final production exceeded 9.0 million tons with an average of 6.0 t/ha (FAO, 2016). The gap between wheat production and consumption is about 40% of the national demand, it's imported from foreign markets. For these reasons, efforts should be done toward increasing and enhancing the wheat yield, in order to fill this gap.

Seeding rate strongly affects the capacity of wheat to utilize environmental resources because it affects the relative importance of intra-plant competition for light, water and nutrients during crop development (Tompkins et al, 1991, a and b). The occurrence of lodging and diseases may increase at higher densities and leads to grain yield reduction. Low seed rate reduced competition, especially during vegetative growth, but increase intra-plant competition during filling because plants tend to produce more spike-bearing tillers (Marshall and Ohm, 1987). Tomar (2004) found that wheat grain yield was at the maximum with the highest seeding rate of 150 kg/ha.Ibrahim et al (2008) indicated that the interaction between sowing dates and wheatcultivars had a significant effect on grain yield and other characters as in plant height, days to heading and maturity, weight of grains/ spike and 1000-grain weight. The highest grain yield was obtained when wheat was grown during Nov. Seed rate also affects

the plant densities, No. of tillers/m², 1000-grain weight and biological yield Amanullah *et al*(2008).

Increasing wheat yield per unit area can be achieved by breeding high yielding cultivars and applying the optimum cultural practices such as seed rates and weed control treatment (Abd-Alla and Bassiouny, 1994). Wheat cultivars differed in yield and its components, superiority of Giza-168 cultivar with regard to grain yield and its was investigated by components many authors (Omar(2007), El-Ganayni and Mahmoud (2008),Hassan(2008), El-Nady (2009), Abd El-Ghany et al(2013), Mehasen et al(2014), Seadh (2014) and Abdrabbo et al (2016).Similarly,Kandil et al (2016) found that Giza-168 cultivar exceed (Shandweel-1 and Sides-12) cultivars in spik length, No. of grains/spik, grains weight/spik 1000grain weight and grain yield in the first and second seasons, respectively.

Weeds are one of the major constraints in wheat production as they reduce production due to competition Abbas et al(2009) indicated that crop losses due to weed competition allover the world as a whole, are greater than those resulting from combined effect of diseasesandinsects. It causes yield reduction in wheat from 10 to 65 %, Genene and Habtamu (2001). Application of herbicides significantly decreased dry weight of weeds as compared to dry weight in non-applied plots and increased wheat yield and yieldcomponents, Ashrafi et al(2009) and Bibi et al(2008) indicated that herbicidal control of weed must be considered in combination with other improved agronomic such as seed rates. Fakkar (2005) reported that the application of Topik at 100 cc fed⁻¹. and hand weeding at



30, 45 days after growing significantly increased plant height, spike length, weight of grains plant⁻¹, weight of grains spike⁻¹ and grain yield fed⁻¹.Yasin *et al* (2010) found that clodinafop (Topic-15 WG) at rate of 37 g.a.i. ha⁻¹ produced relatively less weed biomass, more plant height, wheat yield components and grain yield. Salahuddin *et al* (2016) showed that using of herbicidesimproved of wheat yield components and grain yield comparing with unweeded treatment.

The objective of this study aimed to study the effect of seeding rate, wheat cultivars and some weed control treatments on weeds growth, yield and yield components of wheat.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out at Shandaweel Agricultural Research Station, Agricultural Research Center, Sohag Governorate (Upper Egypt) in both winter growing seasons of 2014/2015 and 2015/2016. The preceding summer crop was maize in both seasons. Physical and chemical analysis of the soil of the experimental sites indicated that the soil was clay loam and containing of 35.0, 910 and 307 ppm for N, P and K, respectively with 8.52 pH and total 1.260f N.

The sowing dates were 28th and 25th of November and harvested were 15th and 18th May in the 1st and 2nd seasons, respectively. The plot area was 10.5 m². Phosphorus fertilizer was applied as mono-calcium super phosphate (15.5 % P_2O_5) during preparation at the rate of 150 kg fed⁻¹. N-fertilizer was added in the form of urea (46.5% N) at the rate of 75 kg N fed⁻¹ in two equal portions before the first and second irrigation. The other recommended agricultural practices of growing wheat in the region were done. A split-split plot with RCBD design was used.Seeding rates were allocated in the main plots, the cultivars in the sup-plots and weed control treatments in the sub-sub plots as follows:

A-Main-plots: two seeding rates of 50 and 60kg/fed.

- **B- Sub-plots:** three broad wheat cultivars, Shandaweel-1, Sids-12 and Giza-168.
- C- Sub-Sub plots: Six weed control treatments were used as follows:
- 1 Atlantis 42 % OD (Iodosulfuron +Mesosulfuron) at 400 cm/fed at 30 days after sowing (T_1) .
- 2- Granstar 75 % DF (Tribenuron-methyl) at 8.0 g/fed at 20 days after sowing+ +Topik 24% (Clodinafoppropargyl) at 140g / fed at 30 days after sowing (T₂).
- 3- Granstar + Axial 10% EC (Pinoxaden) at 1.0 L/fed at 30 days after sowing(T₃).
- 4- Granstar + Traxos 45% EC at 500 cm³/fed at 30 days after sowing (T₄).
- 5 Hand weeding twice at 30-45 days after sowing (T_5) .
- 6 Unweeded (Control) (T_6) .

 Table 1. Trade, common and chemical names of the herbicides used in the study.

Trade name	Common name	Chemical name			
Atlantia 12% OD	Mesosulfuran methyl+indosulfuran methyl-	(Mesosulfuron 10g/L. + iodsulfuron 2g/L. +mefenpyr-diethyl			
Atlantis 42%0D	sodium.	30g/L.).			
Tonik 15% WD	Cladinaton proparayl	{2-propnil (®-2-[4-(5-chloro-3-fluoro-2- pyridnyloxy)			
10ptk 15% W1	Clouinalop- propargyi	phenoxy]-propionate}.			
Avial 10% EC	Dinovadan	8-(2,6-diethyl-4-methylphenyl)-1,2,4,5-tetrahydro-7-oxo-7H-pyrazolo			
Axiai 10%EC	Tinoxaden	[1,2-d][1,4,5]oxadiazepin-9-yl 2,2-dimethylpropanoate.			
Granstar 75 %	Tribanuran mathul	[Methyl 2-(N- (4-methoxy - 6 - methyl - 1, 3, 5 triazin 2 -cultivars [13,			
DF	Thenuron-meury	14]. Y) methylamine) caronyl)amino)sulful) benzoate.			
Travos 45% EC	Pinovadan Cladinaton proparavl	8-(2,6-diethyl-p-tolyl)-1,2,4,5-tetrahydro-7-oxo-7H-pyrazolo[1,2-			
110XUS 4J% EC	i moxaden + Ciodinatop piopargyi	d][1,4,5]oxadiazepin-9-yl 2,2-dimethylpropionate.			

The following data were recorded: 1-Weed data:

The dominant weed species in the present study were recorded: Avena spp. (wild oats) and Phalaris sp. (canary grass) as annual grassy weeds; Brassicasp. (Kabar, Emexspinosus(spiny black mustard), emex), Chenopodiumsp. (Lambsquarters), Ammi majus (common bishop) and Rumex dentatus (curly dock) as annual broadleaved weeds. The other weed species in rare infestation rates were Lolium sp. (ryegrass) as annual grassy weed and Anagallisarvensis (preinpernel), Beta vulgaris beet), (wild beet, sea Medicago polymorpha(medic, toothed medik), Melilotus indica (sweet clover) and Sonchus oleraceus (annual sowthistle) as annual broadleaved Weeds were hand pulled from one square meter randomly of each plot after 60 DAS (days after sowing), then identified into species and classified into the following two groups:

1-Dry weight ofgrassy weeds.

- 2-Dry weight of broad-leaved weeds.
- 3-Dry weight oftotal weeds: combined of grassy weeds and broad-leaved weeds.

Weeds were air dried for 3 days and dried on oven at 70 C for 24 hours. Therefore, the dry weight of total weeds was recorded in gram m⁻². Herbicides were sprayed by Cp3 knapsack sprayer with 200 litter of water fed⁻¹.

2-Yield and yield components of wheat:

At harvest time, ten fertile stems were chosenrandomly from each sub-subplot for measuring, plant height (cm), weight of spike (g),No. of spikelets/spike, No. of grains/spike, weight of grain/spike, No. of spikes/ m^2 , counted in randomly chosen m^2 in each plot, 100-seed weight (g) and grain yield (ardab fed⁻¹)determined by threshing the harvested area in each plot (10.5 m^2) and weighing the resulting grain.

3- Correlation analysis:

Asimple correlation matrix was carried out for the two seasons to investigate the relationships between dry weight different weed categories and wheat yield as well as between yield and its components to Steel and Torrie (1980).

4-Economic evaluation:

The economic evaluation of the experimental grain yield data was done using the methods described by CIMMYT (1988).

The economic evaluation included four estimates follows as:

- 1-Total cost = cost of all operations in the production of wheat crop.
- 2-Gross income = Price (L.E. /ard) x Yield (ard /fed).
- 3-Net income = Gross income Total income.
- 4-Benefit: cost ratio (B/C) = Gross income / Total cost.

Price of the yield and the cost of agriculture practices were considered according to the Agriculture Research Center, Administration center of the Agriculture Extension in 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 seasons.

5-Statistical analysis:

The data were statistically analyzed according to techniqueanalysisof variance (ANOVA) for the splitsplit plot design as mentioned by Gomez and Gomez (1984) by mean of "MSTAT-C" computer software package and Least Significant Differences (LSD) at 5% level of probability was calculated for compare between treatments means.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A-Effect of seeding rates, cultivarsand weed control treatments on dry weight of weeds:

I-Effect of seeding rates

Data in Table 2 reported that seeding rates had significantly effect on dry weight of weeds (g) in both seasons. Increasing seeding rateto60 kg/fed reduceddry weight of broad, grassy and total weeds by 23.98, 23.03 and 23.48% and 35.55, 38.40 and 36.81% in the first and second seasons, respectivelyas combated to the rate of50 kg/fed in 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 seasons. These results might be due to increase the No. of plants with using rate of 60 kg/fed which could decrease the numbers of weeds. Marwat *et al*(2002), Khan *et al*(2002), Zoheir *et al*(2009) and Meysam and Saeed (2015) supported these results.

II- Effect of wheat cultivars

Table 2 showed that wheat cultivarshad significantly effect on dry weight of weeds in two seasons.Giza-168 cultivar decreased the dry weight of broad, grassy and total weeds by 19.98, 23.22 and 21.7% in the first season and by 25.02, 30.58 and 35.67% in the second season, respectivelyas compared to Sids-12 variety. Giza-168 variety of low dry weight of broad, grassy and total weeds followed by Shandaweel-1 cultivar due to vigor growth of these cultivarsand increase number of tillers and leaf area index than Sids-12cultivar.Sids-12cultivar has the highest dry weight of broad, narrow and total weeds. These results are in good agreement with those obtained byAbouziena *et al* (2008).

III- Effect of weed control treatments:

Data in Table 2 show that the use ofweed control treatments were significantly reduced dry weight of total annual weeds in both seasons, as compared to(T_6). Atlantis at 400 cm/fed at 30 days after sowing, Granstar at 8.0 g/fed at 20 days after sowing+ Topik at 140g / fed at 30 days after sowing, Granstar + Axial at 1.0 L/fed at 30 days after sowing, Granstar + Traxos at 500 cm³/fed at 30 days after sowing decreased the dry weight of broad-leaved weeds by88.45, 93.23, 88.33, 92.32 and 94.25%, grassy weeds by 86.31, 88.8, 85.60, 90.99 and 91.22% and total weeds by 87.71, 91.39, 87.22, 92.23 and 93.55% in 2014/2015 season,

respectively as compared to (T_6) . In 2015/2016 seasons, (T_1) , (T_2) , (T_3) , (T_4) and (T_5) decreased the dry weight of broad-leaved weeds by 90.28, 92.87, 91.56, 93.70 and 94.52%, grassy weeds by 84.68, 88.06, 86.09, 88.01 and 88.05%) and total weeds by 87.66, 90.69, 89.06, 91.17 and 91.69%, respectively as compared to (T_6) . These results may be due to that the application $of(T_1)$, (T_4) and (T_2) is a good measure for eradiating weeds during early growth period or during seedling. These treatments were efficiency in control of weeds because the weeds escape a weeding to likeness the weeds with wheat plants especially in early stages. These results are in harmony with those obtained byseveral researchers, such as El-Metwally and Saudy (2009), El-Metwally et al(2010), Tagour et al(2011), Shehzad et al (2012) and Ibrahim et al (2015).

Table 2. Effect of seeding rates, cultivarsand weed
control treatments on dry weight of
broad, grassy and total weeds (g/m²) in
2014/2015 and 2015/2016 seasons.

	2014	/2015 se	ason	2015	/2016 s	eason
Treatments	Broad	Grassy	Total	Broad	Grassy	Total
	weeds	weeds	weeds	weeds	weeds	weeds
	A-S	Seeding	rates			
50 kg / fed.	86.54	95.07	181.61	83.13	66.01	149.14
60 kg / fed.	65.79	73.18	138.97	53.58	40.66	94.24
F. test	**	**	**	**	**	**
	В	- Cultiv	ars			
Shandaweel1	74.23	84.06	158.29	66.28	51.81	118.09
Sids12	85.70	95.21	180.91	79.32	60.92	140.24
Giza168	68.58	73.09	141.67	59.47	42.29	101.76
L.S.D 0.05	6.80	4.71	7.32	4.88	4.82	3.34
C	- Weed	control	treatme	ents		
(T ₁) Atlantis	37.34	43.97	81.31	29.09	29.69	58.78
(T ₂)Garanstar +Topik	21.89	35.99	57.88	21.33	23.14	44.47
(T ₃)Garanstar + Axial	37.73	46.26	83.99	25.25	26.96	52.21
(T ₄)Garanstar + Traxos	24.84	28.95	53.79	18.86	23.24	42.10
(T ₅)Hand weeding twice	18.60	28.16	46.76	16.40	23.15	39.55
(T ₆)Unweeded (Control).	323.24	321.26	644.50	299.31	193.79	493.10
L.S.D 0.05	5.57	5.33	7.88	3.54	3.70	4.22
	I	nteractio	on			
A x B	NS	**	NS	NS	**	NS
A x C	**	**	**	NS	**	NS
B x C	**	**	**	NS	**	**
A x B x C	NS	**	NS	NS	**	**

B-Effect of seeding rates, wheat cultivarsand weed control treatments on wheat yield and its components: 1-Effect of seeding rates:

Tables 3and 4 reveals that seeding rates have significantly influence onyield and its component in both seasons except with plant height and No. of grains/spike in both seasons, No. of spikelets /spike in 2014/2015 season and spike length and seed index in 2015/2016 season. In 2015/2016 season the highest value of spike length 11.76 cm was obtained from sowing rate of 60 kg/fed compared to 10.07cm with the sowing by 50 kg/fed. The highest value of No. of spikes/m² in both season and No. of spikelets/spike in the second season was obtained from theseeding rate at of 60 kg/fed ac ompared to sowing by seeding rate of 50 kg/fed due to increased number of wheat plants/unite area and decrease in weeds plant. Sowing by seeding

Mahmud, M. Sh. et al.

rate 50 kg/fed give the highest value of spike weight in the first season , grains weight/spike and seed index in both seasons than sowing by seeding rate at60 kg/fed, due to decreased the competition between wheat plant on nutrient and waterof soil. The highest valuesof grain yield 21.02 and 21.58 were obtained from seeding rate at 60 kg/fed as compared with 19.10 and 19.68 at seeding rate of50 kg/fed in 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 seasons, respectively, due to increased No. of spike/m² and seed index. Higher yield with higher seed rate was also indicated by Ali *et al*(2010),Ahmed *et al*(2012) and Essam(2014). Similarly, Geleta *et al* (2002) found that 33% more grain yield from seeded wheat at 65 and 130 Kg/ha as compared with the 16 Kg/ha seeding rate.

2 - Effect of wheat cultivarson yield and its component:

Tables 3 and 4 show that wheat cultivarswere significantly effect on yield and its components in both seasons except plant height in both seasons, No. of spikelets/spike in the secondseason ,No. of grains/spike and seed index in the first season. Giza-168 have the greatest spike length, No. of spikelets/spike, No. of spikes/m², grains weight/spike, No. of grains/spike .spike weight, seed indexand grain yield followed by Shandaweel-1, but, Sids-12havethe lowest value of these traits. This true found in the two seasons of these experiments. The greatest grain yield (20.78 and 21.4 ardab/fed.) resulted from Giza-168 and the least grain yield (19.37 and 19.78 ardab/fed.) was obtained by Sids-12 in 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 seasons, respectively. This increase in economic yield may be due to increase of spike length, No. of spike/m², No. of spikelet/spike, spike weight and seed index. These results are in line with those of Essam (2014) and Abdrabbo et al (2016).

3 - Effect of weed control treatments on wheatyield and yield component:

Data in Tables 3 and 4 shows that all weed control treatments were significantly effect on grain

yield and its componentof wheatinboth seasons except plant height and grainsweight/ spike in the first season. (T₅)give the greatest values of spike length (cm), No. of spikelets/spike, No. of spikes/m², grains weight of spike, No. of grains/spike, spike weight and seed index followed by (T_4) , (T_2) and (T_3) , but, the value of these traits were resulted from (T_1) and (T_6) , in both seasons. The maximum wheat grain yield (ard/fed) was obtained by applying (T_5) and (T_4) they gave 21.62 and 21.11 (ard/fed) in 2014/15 season and 22.61 and 22.14 (ard/fed)in 2015/16 season compared with $(T_6)(17.53)$ and 18.52 ard/fed) respectively, in 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 seasons. It is argued that by (T_4) , (T_2) , (T_3) and (T_5) of weed control effectively reduced the weed population which led to better utilization of available resources and resulted in of maximum grain yield thus giving maximum 1000-grain weight. These results are in agreement with those found by Pandey et al(2001), Amit et al(2008) and Salahuddin et al (2016).

4- Effect of the interaction between seeding rates and wheat cultivarson dry weight of weeds and wheat grain yield and itscomponent:

The interaction among seeding rates and wheatcultivars under study hadinsignificant effect on broad-leaved, total weeds, grain yield and yield components in both seasons, except weight of grains/spike (g) in the first season and grassy weeds in two seasons. Data in Table 5reported that the interaction between wheatcultivars and seeding rates had a significant effect on weight of grassy weeds in both season and weight of grains/spike (g) in the first season. The lowest dry weight of grassy weeds (62.05 and 33.37 g/m^2) was resulted from the interaction between seeding rate of 60 kg/fed and Giza168 variety, but, the highest dry weight of grassy weed (106.57 and 81.37 g/m^2) was obtained from the interaction amongseeding rate of 50 kg/fed and Sids-12 2015/2016 cultivar in 2014/2015 and seasons, respectively.Essam (2014) supported these trends.

 Table 3. Effect of seeding rates, wheatcultivarsand weed control treatments on yield and yield component in 2014/2015 seasons

2011/2010 500	N C		NT C	DI	• • • •		NL C		• • •
Treatments	No of spikes	spike	No. of spikelets	Plant height	grains weight	spike weight	No. of grains/	Seed index	grain yield
	/m²	(cm)	/spike	(cm)	/spike(g)	(g)	spike	muex	(ard/fed)
			A- seed	ling rates					
50 kg / fed.	398.66	10.07	20.02	110.41	2.38	3.63	44.30	46.02	19.10
60 kg / fed.	431.89	11.76	19.64	112.34	2.11	3.28	43.30	43.51	21.02
F. test	*	*	NS	NS	*	*	NS	*	*
			B- Ci	ultivars					
1- Shandaweel-1	413.18	10.69	20.46	110.49	2.27	43.61	3.44	45.02	20.02
2- Sids-12	405.58	9.94	18.44	109.38	2.17	42.40	3.32	43.05	19.37
3- Giza-168	427.07	12.05	20.59	114.26	2.30	46.02	3.60	46.23	20.78
L.S.D 0.05	15.05	0.18	0.42	NS	0.05	NS	0.14	NS	0.60
			C- Weed con	trol treatm	ents				
(T ₁) Atlantis	397.12	10.63	18.69	110.04	2.18	42.63	3.49	43.07	19.20
(T ₂) Garanstar +Topik	422.18	10.78	20.07	111.63	2.32	45.07	3.60	46.28	20.71
(T_3) Garanstar + Axial	414.21	10.81	19.83	112.24	2.32	44.12	3.58	44.29	20.17
(T_4) Garanstar + Traxos	426.93	11.56	20.46	112.63	2.41	47.61	3.71	46.39	21.11
(T_5) Hand weeding twice	427.79	11.64	21.11	112.37	2.42	47.79	3.69	48.28	21.62
(T ₆) Unweeded (Control).	403.42	9.94	18.84	109.36	1.80	36.86	2.65	40.31	17.53
L.S.D 0.05	14.38	0.73	0.19	NS	NS	1.71	0.07	1.69	0.97
			Inter	action					
AxB	NS	NS	NS	NS	**	NS	NS	NS	NS
AxC	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	**	NS	NS	NS
BxC	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
A x B x C	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Treatments	No of spikes	spike length	No. of spikelets	Plant height	grains weight	spike weight	No. of grains/	Seed	grain yield
	/m ²	(cm)	/spike	(cm)	/spike(g)	(g)	spike	mutx	(ard/fed)
			A- see	ding rates					
50 kg / fed.	459.84	9.82	19.81	112.22	2.50	3.15	44.26	46.64	19.68
60 kg / fed.	557.73	10.84	21.15	111.20	2.31	3.54	44.78	44.86	21.58
F. test	*	NS	*	NS	*	*	NS	NS	*
			B- C	ultivars					
Shandaweel-1	500.18	10.31	20.45	109.85	2.43	42.84	3.36	45.58	20.70
Sids-12	478.28	9.66	20.09	112.52	2.30	40.78	3.20	43.53	19.78
Giza-168	547.89	11.02	20.89	112.76	2.47	46.92	3.48	48.14	21.41
L.S.D _{0.05}	8.20	0.76	NS	NS	0.05	2.72	0.08	3.18	0.56
			C- Weed con	ntrol treatn	nents				
(T ₁) Atlantis	499.33	9.38	19.57	110.01	2.40	41.32	3.37	44.05	19.82
(T ₂) Garanstar +Topik	524.65	10.74	21.01	112.10	2.47	45.59	3.48	47.94	21.84
(T_3) Garanstar + Axial	514.57	10.25	20.71	114.20	2.43	43.06	3.41	45.19	21.10
(T_4) Garanstar + Traxos	528.18	11.14	21.17	115.19	2.50	45.79	3.54	47.89	22.14
(T ₅)Hand weeding twice	536.08	11.37	21.24	114.58	2.56	46.47	3.56	48.62	22.61
(T ₆) Unweeded (Control).	449.87	9.09	19.17	104.17	2.06	36.87	2.70	40.82	18.52
L.S.D _{0.05}	9.06	0.58	1.03	1.33	0.09	2.85	0.09	2.23	0.81
			Inte	raction					
AxB	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
AxC	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
B x C	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
A x B x C	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

 Table 4. Effect of seeding rates, wheatcultivarsand weed control treatments on yield and yield component in 2015/2016 seasons

Table 5. Effect of the interaction between seeding
rates and wheatcultivars on dry weight of
grassy weeds (g/m²), weight of grain/spike
(g) in 2014/2015 and 2015/2016seasons.

		2014/201	5 season	2015/2016 season
Trootm	onte	Weight of	grains	Weight of
Treatments		grassy	Weight	grassy
		weeds (g)	/spike (g)	weeds (g)
50	Shandaweel-1	94.51	2.36	65.46
JU Ka/fad	Sids-12	106.57	2.25	81.37
Kg/leu	Giza-168	84.13	2.52	51.20
60	Shandaweel-1	73.61	2.17	38.15
00 Va/fad	Sids-12	83.88	2.08	50.47
Kg/leu	Giza-168	62.05	2.09	33.37
L.S.D 0.4	05	6.66	0.08	6.82

5- Effect of the interaction between seeding rates and weed control treatments on dry weight of weeds and wheat grain yield and its components:

The interaction among seeding rates and weed control treatments had no significant effect on yield and

its components in both seasons, except spike weight in the first season, but, it significantly affectedbroad and total weeds in the first season and grassy weeds in both seasons.Table 6show that the interaction between seeding rate of 60 kg/fed and weed control by (T₅)resulted the best reduction in dry weight of broadleaved, grassy and total weeds in the first season. In the second season the interaction between seeding rate at 60 kg/fed and weeds control by (T₄) or (T₅)significantly reduced grassy weeds than all interactions between seeding rates and weed control treatments. The lowest dry weight of grassy and total weeds resulted from the interaction effect between seeding rate at 50 kg/fed and weed control by (T_3) in the 1st season. The maximum of weight spike was resulted from seeding rate at 50 kg/fed and weed control by (T_5) , but the lowest value was obtained with seeding rate at 60 kg/fed and (T_6) .

Fable 6. Effect of the intera	action between seeding rates	s and weed control tr	reatments on dry	weight of weeds
(g/m ²), yield and	yield component in 2014/20	15 and 2015/2016seas	sons.	

Treatments				2015/2016 season		
		Grassy weeds	Broad leaved weeds	Total weeds	Spike weight (g)	Grassy weeds
	Atlantis	46.23	41.02	87.26	3.64	36.22
	Granstar +Topik	35.30	21.98	57.28	3.81	27.57
50 Kg/fed	Granstar + Axial	49.47	40.14	89.61	3.75	32.91
•	Granstar + Traxos	28.69	27.28	55.97	3.90	29.02
	Hand weeding twice	29.98	15.58	45.56	3.92	28.37
	Unweeded (Control).	380.68	373.30	753.98	2.74	241.86
	Atlantis	41.70	30.96	72.56	3.33	23.15
	Granstar +Topik	37.74	16.90	54.64	3.39	18.70
60 Kg/fed	Granstar + Axial	43.05	33.55	76.60	3.42	21.00
C	Granstar + Traxos	29.20	15.86	45.06	3.51	17.45
	Hand weeding twice	25.58	12.80	38.38	3.46	17.93
	Unweeded (Control).	261.88	284.71	546.59	2.56	145.72
L.S.D 0.05		7.99	7.88	11.15	0.07	5.23

6- Effect of the interaction among wheat cultivars and weed control treatments on dry weight of weeds and yield and its components:

The interaction between wheat cultivars and weed control treatments was significantly effectondry weight of broad-leaved weeds, grassy, total weeds (g/m^2) in the first seasons and grassy, total weeds in the second season, but, not had significant effect on yield and yield components in both seasons. Table 7 show that the interaction between Giza-168 cultivar and weed control by(T₅)resulted the best reduction in dry weight

of broad, grassy and total weeds in the first season, which did not significant than the interaction Giza-168 cultivar and weed control by (T_4) or Sandaweel-1 cultivar and weed control by (T_5) . In the second season the interaction between Giza-168and weeds control by (T_5) reduced significantly grassy weeds than all interactions between cultivarsand weed control treatments. The least effect on grassy and total weeds in both seasons was resulted from the interactions between Sids-12 or Shandweel-1 cultivar and weed control by (T_3) .

Table 7. Effect of the interaction between wheatcultivars and weed control treatments on dry weight of weeds (g/m²) in 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 seasons

			2014 / 2015 seaso	n	2015 / 2016 season		
Treatments		Grassy weeds	Broad leaved weeds	Total weeds	Grassy weeds	Total weeds	
	Atlantis	43.05	32.82	75.87	28.44	59.65	
	Granstar +Topik	39.27	18.79	58.06	22.13	42.00	
Shandawaal1	Granstar + Axial	48.52	34.77	83.29	25,55	50.94	
Shandaweell	Granstar + Traxos	28.69	20.68	49.37	20.22	38.07	
	Hand weeding twice	23.65	12.34	35.99	22.23	38.03	
	Unweeded (Control).	321.20	325.99	647.19	192.09	479.82	
	Atlantis	49.52	40.70	90.22	36.82	71.60	
	Granstar +Topik	39.82	21.50	61.32	29.44	55.86	
C: 4-10	Granstar + Axial	51.22	39.82	91.03	33.85	63.7	
S10812	Granstar + Traxos	33.67	24.19	57.86	30.90	53.48	
	Hand weeding twice	37.53	16.74	54.27	34.05	53.97	
	Unweeded (Control).	359.58	371.22	730.80	230.45	572.58	
	Atlantis	39.33	34.30	73.64	23.80	44.85	
	Granstar +Topik	30.39	18.13	48.52	17.84	35.54	
C = 169	Granstar + Axial	39.05	35.80	74.85	21.47	41.72	
Gizalos	Granstar + Traxos	24.57	19.75	44.32	18.60	34.74	
	Hand weeding twice	22.15	13.50	35.65	13.17	26.78	
	Unweeded (Control).	283.05	289.82	572.86	158.84	376.89	
L.S.D 0.05		7.99	7.88	19.31	6.41	7.31	

7- Effect of the interaction among seeding rates, wheatcultivars and weed control treatments on dry weight ofweeds and wheat grain yield and itscomponent:

The interaction among seeding rates, wheat cultivars and weed control treatments hada significant effect on dry weight of grassy weeds in the 1st season, grassy and total weeds in the 2nd season, but, did not significantly affected yield and yield components in both seasons. Table 8show that the interaction among seeding rate at 60 kg/fed, Giza-168 cultivar and weed control by (T_5) gives the best reduction in dry weight of grassy weeds in the first season, grassy and total weeds in the second season, which did not significant than the interaction effect among seeding rate at 60 kg/fed, Giza-168cultivar and weed control by (T_4) or seeding rate of 60 kg/fed, Shandaweel-1 cultivar and weed control by (T_5) , seeding rate at 60 kg/fed, Sandaweel-1 cultivar and weed control by (T_4) . The lowest effect on grassy weeds in the first season, grassy and total weeds in the second season wasobtained from the interactions among seeding rate at 50 kg/fed, Sids-12 cultivar and weed control by(T_1) or (T_3) (Table 8).

C- Correlation coefficients

Results in Table 9 indicated that grain yield was positively and significantly correlated with spike length (0.992 and 0.914), No. of spikelets/spike (0.529 and 0.588), No of spikes/m² (0.651 and 0.872), weight of grains/spike (0.339 and 0.557), spike weight (0.465 and 0.855), No. of grains/spike (0.746 and 0.684) and seed index (0.489 and 0.391), in 2014/2015 and 2015/2016, respectively. This indicated that increased attributes, invariability resulted in increasing grain yield. These findings in most cases were in accordance with those obtained by Mondal and khadjura (2001), El.Ganavni and Mahmoud (2008), Hassan (2008) and Essam (2014). Meanwhile, grain yield was not significantly correlated with plant height 0.201 and 0.175 in 2014/15 and 2015/2016 seasons, respectively. Conversely, grain yield was negatively and significantly correlated with grassy -0.598 and -0.654) broad-leaved (-0.495 and -0.587) and total weeds (-0.565 and -0.607) in 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 seasons.

	Traatmants		2014/2015 season	2015/2016 season		
	Ireatme	nts	Grassy weeds	Grassy weeds	Total weeds	
		Atlantis	43.87	35.10	69.77	
		Granstar +Topik	35.50	26.03	48.63	
		Granstar + Axial	52.10	32.00	59.57	
	Shandaweel-1	Granstar + Traxos	27.13	28.10	51.23	
		Hand weeding twice	24.57	29.23	50.13	
		Unweeded	383.87	241.97	591.77	
		Atlantis	53.40	45.67	88.67	
50 Valfad		Granstar +Topik	38.30	36.10	65.07	
JU Kg/leu	Sids-12	Granstar + Axial	54.63	42.07	77.20	
		Granstar + Traxos	34.40	36.87	65.33	
		Hand weeding twice	42.13	37.27	62.87	
	Unweeded		416.53	290.23	717.13	
		Atlantis	41.43	27.90	53.77	
		Granstar +Topik	32.10	20.57	44.07	
	Giza-168	Granstar + Axial	41.67	24.67	48.60	
	012a-108	Granstar + Traxos	24.70	22.10	42.60	
		Hand weeding twice	23.23	18.60	35.73	
		Unweeded	341.63	193.37	512.37	
		Atlantis	42.23	21.77	49.53	
		Granstar +Topik	43.03	18.23	35.37	
	Chandamaal 1	Granstar + Axial	44.93	19.10	42.30	
	Shandaweel-1	Granstar + Traxos	30.23	12.33	24.90	
		Hand weeding twice	22.73	15.23	25.93	
		Unweeded	258.53	142.20	367.87	
		Atlantis	45.63	27.97	54.90	
		Granstar +Topik	41.33	22.77	46.67	
60 Kg/fed	Sids-12	Granstar + Axial	47.80	25.63	50.20	
		Granstar + Traxos	32.93	24.93	41.63	
		Hand weeding twice	32.93	30.83	45.07	
		Unweeded	302,63	170.67	428.03	
		Atlantis	37.23	19.70	35.93	
		Granstar +Topik	28.67	15.10	27.00	
	Giza-168	Granstar + Axial	36.43	18.27	34.83	
	012a-106	Granstar + Traxos	24.43	15.10	26.87	
		Hand weeding twice	21.07	7.73	17.83	
		Unweeded	224.47	124.30	241.40	
L.S.D 0.05			13.05	9.06	10.34	

 Table 8. Effect of interaction among seeding rates, wheat cultivars and weed control treatments on dry weight of weeds (g/m²) in 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 seasons.

Table 9. Correlation coefficients of grain yield(ard./fed) as influenced by yield components and dry weight of weeds in 2014/15 and 2015/16 seasons.

Characters	2014/2105	2015/2016
Grain yield (ardab/fed)		
1-Plant height (cm)	0.201 ^{ns}	0.175^{ns}
2-Spike length (cm)	0.922^{**}	0.914^{**}
3-No. spikelets/spike	0.529^{**}	0.588^{**}
4-No. of spikes/ m^2	0.651^{**}	0.872^{**}
5- Weight of grains /spike (g)	0.339^{*}	0.557^{**}
6-Spike weight (g)	0.465^{**}	0.855^{**}
7-No. of grains/spike	0.746^{**}	0.684^{**}
8-1000-seed weight (g)	0.489^{**}	0.391**
9-Grassy weeds	-0.598**	-0.654**
10-Broad -leaved weeds	-0.495**	-0.587**
11-Total leaved weeds	-0.565**	-0.607**
*and**: Significant at 0.05 and 0	.01 probability	levels, respectively.

ns: not significant

D- Economics of crop production:

Table 10 showed that the cost of all operation included in the production of wheat crop was calculated/fed (4169.00 L. E/fed)*i.e.* land preparation, seeding and planting, fertilizers, water rates, insect control, harvesting and land rent/fed and average random cost was about 300, 305, 580, 360 and 400 L.E. /fedfor using (T_1), (T_2), (T_3 , (T_4)and (T_5).Thegreatest

gross, net income and B/C ratio was recorded withcultivar Giza.168, (8649.52 L. E/fed, 4131.35 L.E/fed and 1.91)followed by Shandaweel-1 (8336.16 L.E/fed 3817.99 L.E/fed and 1.85), while the lowest was in sids-12, (8025.07 L.E./fed, 3506.90 L.E/fed and 1.78), respectively might by due to higher grain yields observed in the seed rate of at 60 Kg seed/fed was recorded the highest gross, net income and B:C ratio, it might be due to higher grain yield recorded than in 50 Kg seed/fed.Data inTable 10 indicated that the highest gross income (8988.57 and 8796.21 L.E/fed), net income (4394.57 and 4242.21 L.E. /fed) and B: Cratios (1.96 and 1.93) were recorded from (T_5) which was closely followed by with application of Granstar and Traxos treatment. The lowest gross income 7296.47 L.E/fed, net income 3102.47 L. E/fed and 1.74 B: C ratio were recorded from unweeded treatment. The average of gross income/fed of wheat yield ranged from about 7037.65 L.E. to about 9704.70 L.E. with interaction among a2xb3xc5 and with interaction among a1xb1xc6 as minimum and maximum values. The average of net income of wheat yield/fed recorded about 5085.70 L. E/fed with interactionamong a2xb3xc5. While, thelowest values with interactionamong a1xb2xc6 about 2548.85 L.E. /fed Also, the trend of B: C ratio is the same interaction.

Mahmud, M. Sh. et al.

	Ті	reatments	Total costs	Gross income	Net income	Benefit/cost
(A)	(B)	(C)	L.E/fed	L.E/fed	L.E./fed	Ratio (B/C)
		Atlantis (c1)	4469.00	7550.15	3081.15	1.69
		Granstar +Topik (c2)	4474.00	8124.15	3650.15	1.82
	Shandaweel1	Granstar + Axial (c3)	4749.00	7878.15	3129.15	1.66
	(b1)	Granstar + Traxos (c4)	4529.00	8536.20	4007.20	1.88
		Hand weeding twice (c5)	4569.00	8665.35	4096.35	1.90
-		Unweeded (Control). (c6)	4169.00	7037.65	2868.65	1.69
		Atlantis (c1)	4469.00	7195.50	2726.50	1.61
-		Granstar +Topik (c2)	4474.00	7708.00	3234.00	1.72
50	Sids12	Granstar + Axial (c3)	4749.00	7535.80	2786.80	1.61
Kg/fed	(b2)	Granstar + Traxos(c4)	4529.00	8214.35	3685.35	1.81
(a1)		Hand weeding twice (c5)	4569.00	8413.20	3844.20	1.84
(a1)		Unweeded (Control). (c6)	4169.00	6717.85	2548.85	1.61
-		Atlantis (c1)	4469.00	7796.15	3327.15	1.74
		Granstar + Topik (c2)	4474.00	8562.85	4088.85	1.91
	Giza168	Granstar + Axial (c3)	4749.00	8212.23	3463.23	1.73
	(b3)	Granstar + Traxos (c4)	4529.00	8706.35	4177.35	1.92
	(88)	Hand weeding twice (c5)	4569.00	8767.85	4198.85	1.92
		Unweeded (Control) (c6)	4169.00	7455.85	3286.85	1 79
Mean of	f A1		4493.17	7948 76	3455 59	1.75
iviculi o		Atlantis (c1)	4519.00	8472.65	3953.65	1.87
		Granstar + Topik (c2)	4524.00	9020.00	4496.00	1.07
	Shandaweel1	Granstar + Axial (c3)	4799.00	8767.85	3968.85	1.83
	(b1)	Granstar + Traxos (c4)	4579.00	8993.35	4414.35	1.96
	(01)	Hand weeding twice (c5)	4619.00	9471.00	4852.00	2.05
		Unweeded (Control). (c6)	4219.00	7517.35	3298.35	1.78
-		Atlantis (c1)	4519.00	8138.50	3619.50	1.80
60		Granstar +Topik (c2)	4524.00	8718.65	4194.65	1.93
60 V (6 1	Sids12	Granstar + Axial (c3)	4799.00	8507.50	3708.50	1.77
Kg/red	(b2)	Granstar + Traxos (c4)	4579.00	8849.85	4270.85	1.93
(a2)		Hand weeding twice (c5)	4619.00	8909.30	4290.30	1.93
		Unweeded (Control). (c6)	4219.00	7392.30	3173.30	1.75
-		Atlantis (c1)	4519.00	8829.35	4310.35	1.95
		Granstar +Topik (c2)	4524.00	9585.80	5061.80	2.09
	Giza168	Granstar + Axial (c3)	4799.00	9143.00	4344.00	1.91
	(b3)	Granstar + Traxos (c4)	4579.00	9477.15	4898.15	2.07
		Hand weeding twice (c5)	4619.00	9704.70	5085.70	2.10
		Unweeded (Control). (c6)	4219.00	7658.80	3439.80	1.82
Mean of	f A2		4543.17	8725.07	4427.73	1.92
		Shandweel-1 (b1)	4518.17	8336.16	3817.99	1.85
Mean of	f B	Sids-12 (b2)	4518.17	8025.07	3506.90	1.78
		Giza-168 (b3)	4518.17	8649.52	4131.35	1.91
		Atlantis (c1)	4494.00	7997.05	3503.05	1.78
		Granstar +Topik (c2)	4499.00	8602.28	4103.28	1.91
Mean o	fC	Granstar + Axial (c3)	4774.00	8340.76	3566.76	1.75
		Granstar + Traxos (c4)	4554.00	8796.21	4242.21	1.93
		Hand weeding twice (c5)	4594.00	8988.57	4394.57	1.96
			110		a t o c · =	a = ·

Table 10.	Total costs, gross income,	net income (L.	E/fed.) and Be	enefit: cost rat	tio as affected b	y seeding rates,
	wheatcultivars and weed	control treatme	ents (average (of two seasons).	

Note: A- Average prevaling market prices of herbicides during 2014/2015 to 2015/20161- Atlintis500 L.E/lit.,2- Axial370 L.E/lit.,3- Granstar10 L.E/8gB- Price of wheat grain=410 L.E/ard.(during season 2014-15)

C- Labor for herbicide application = 2 workers.50 L.E/worker/day. D-The price of seeds150 L.E/30 kg seed.

REFERENCES

- Abbas,S.H.; S. Muhammad ; M. K. Muhammad ; M.M. Yaqub ; H. Mahmood, and S.Rashid (2009).
 Weed density and grain yield of wheat as affected by spatial arrangement and weeding techniques under rain fed conditions of Pakistan. J.of Agric.Sci., 46(4):354-359.
- Abd-Alla, M.M. and A.H.Bassiouny(1994). Response oftwo wheat cultivars to various planting densities. Egypt.J.Appl.Sci.9 (8):836-849.
- Abd El-Ghany, H. M. ; E.A El-Housini and M.H. M. Afifi(2013). Effect of certain macronutrients foliar application on growth, yield and nutrients content of grains for two bread varieties in sandy soil.J.Appl.Sci.Res. 9(2):1110-1115.
- Abouziena,H.F.; A.A. Sharara and E.R.El-desoki (2008). Efficacy of cultivars selectivity and weed control treatments on wheat yield and associated weeds in sandy soil. World,J.Agron. and Sci., 4(3):384-389.

- Abdrabbo, M.A.A.; F.A.Hashem and A.F.Abdou-Hadid (2016). Irrigation requirements for some bread wheat cultivars in relation to planting dates. J. Agric. Sci. and Res., 3(1):23-40.
- Ahmed, S.; G.Hasina;S.Beene; H.Bibi; L.B. Nishat and P. Latafat (2012).Response of wheat to different planting dates and seeding rates for yield and yield components.Arpn,J.of Agric. and Bio.Sci.,7(2):138-140.
- Ali,M.; Ali, L. ; M. Sattar and M. A. Ali (2010). Improvement in wheat (*Triticum aestivium* L.) yield by manipulating seed rate and row spacing in Vehaizone.J.Anim and Plant Sci.,(20):225-230.
- Amanullah, Z.; K.Ahmed, and D.Jan (2008).Performance of wheat cultivars sown at different seeding rate under drought stress conditions. Archives of Agron. and soil Sci. (56):99-105.
- Amit, J.J.; S.C.Shah;H.R.Paresh and H.Bhatt(2008). Integrated effect of seed rates and weed management treatments in wheat (*Triticum aestivium* L.).Res.J.Agric and Biol.Sci. 4(6):704-711.
- Ashrafi, Z.Y.; A.Rahnavard and S.Sedigheh(2009). Analogy potential effects of planting methods and tank mixed herbicides on wheat yield and weed population. J. of Aric.Technology, 5(2):391-403.
- Bibi,K.B.;G.Hassan and N.K.Maula (2008). Effect of herbicides and wheat population on control of weeds in wheat.PakistanJ. of weed Sci.Res.,14(3-4):111-119.
- CIMMYT (1988). From agronomic data to farmer recommendation: an economic work book D.F:31-33.
- El-Ganayni,A.A. and G.A.Mahmoud (2008). Productivity of tow bread wheat cultivars under three seeding rates. J.Agric.Sci., Mansoura Univ.,33(6):3993-4000.
- El-Metwally,I.M. and H.S.Saudy (2009). Herbicides tank-mixtures efficiency on weeds and wheat productivity. In Annals of Agric.Sci., Moshtohor 47(2):95-109.
- El-Metwally,I.M.; M.S.Abd El-Salam and R.M.H Tagour (2010). Nitrogen fertilizer levels and some weed control treatments effects on barley and associated weeds. In Agric. and Biology J. of North America, 1(5):992-1000.
- Essam,A.A.(2014). Determining the optimization seeding rate for improved productivity of wheat under Southern Egypt conditions.Inter.J.Agro. and Agric.Res.,4(1):47-57.
- El-Nady,H.E.A.(2009).Breeding bread wheat drought and earliness. Ph.D.Thesis,Fac.Agric., Mohtohor, Univ.,Egypt.
- FAO, FAOSTAT, FAO Statistics Division 2016, March, 2016.
- Fakkar, A. A. O. (2005). Efficiency of some weed control methods under different levels of nitrogen fertilizers in wheat. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric, El-Minia Univ., Egypt.
- Geleta,B.;M.Atak;P.S.Baenziger;L.A.Welson;D.D.Balte nesperger;K.M.Eskridge;M.J. Shipnian and D.R.Sheton(2002). Seeding rate and use quality of winter wheat.Crop Sci., 42:827-832.

- Genene, G. and S. Habtamu (2001). Agronomic research recommendation and seed production maintenance techniques for major crops training manual for DA of highland Bale Sinan-Ethiopia: 9-15.
- Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez(1984). Statistical procedures for Agricultural Research. 2nd Ed., John Wiley Son, New York, USA.
- Gomma,A.S.A.(1999). Wheat improvement in Egypt: History and future prospects.Egypt.J.Plant Breeding, 3:1-14.
- Hassan,M.A.(2008). Effect of seeding rate and row spacing on productivity and resistance to powdery mildew of tow bread wheat cultivars. Egypt.J.Appl.Sci. 23(10A):169-182.
- Hayatullah, K.; A.K.Muhamad; H.Iqtidar and Z.KMuhammad (2000). Effects of sowing rates and methods on weed control and yield of wheat. Pakistan J. ofBio.Sci., 3(5):829-832.
- Ibrahim, M.El.; A.M. Osama, and T.A. Magdi(2015). Response of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and associated grassy weeds grown in salt-affected soil to effects of graminicides and indole acetic acid. Agric.(*polnohospodarstvo*), 61(1):1-11.
- Ibrahim, A. F.; KA.A.andil; A. H. El-Hattab and A. K. Eissa (2008) Effect of sowing date and weed control on grain yield and its Components in some wheat cultivars.J. of Agro. and Crop Sci., 157(3):199 207 ·
- Kandil,A.A.; A.E.M.Sharief; S.E. Seadh, and D.S.K Altai (2016). Role of humic acid and amino acids in limiting loss of nitrogen fertilizer and increasing productivity of some wheat cultivars grown undernewly reclaimed sandy soil.Int.J.Res.Bio.Sci. 3(4):123-136.
- Khan, I.;G.Hassan and K.B. Marwat (2002). Efficacy of different herbicides for controlling weeds in wheat crop-II. Weed dynamics and herbicides. Pakistan J. Weed Sci. Res., 8(1-2):41-47.
- Marwat, M.I.; H.K.Ahmad; K.B.Marwat and G.Hassan (2002). Integrated weed management in wheat. I-Weed density, dry weed biomass, absolute growth rate and grain yield.Pakistan J.Weed Sci.Res., 8(1-2):81-93.
- Marwat, M.I.;H.K.Ahmad;H.H. Khan, and A.Khan (2002a). Effect of weed management practices on economic traits on wheat. Online J. Biol. Sci., 2(11):722-724.
- Mehasen,S.A.S.; M.A. Ahmed and M.A.M.Morsy (2014). Evaluation of some wheat genotypes under different seeding rates.Egypt.J.Appl.Sci. 19(2):129-150.
- Meysam,B. and S.Saeed (2015). Effect of seed rate and post emergence herbicide application on weed infestation and subsequent crop performance of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Walla, J., 31(53):158-162.
- Mondal,S.K. and M.R. Khajuria, (2001).Correlation and path analysis in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under rainfed conditions. Environment and Ecology, 18:405-408.
- Omar,A.E.A.(2007). Productivity of some wheat cultivars as affected by sowing date and seeding rate. Egypt.J. Appl.Sci.22 (3):103-116.

- Pandey,J.; B.N. Mishra,and A.K.Verma (2001). Effect of varying doses of weedicides on yield attributes, yield and nitrogen uptake by wheat. J. Appl. Biol. 8:144-147.
- Salahuddin;M.Akram and Alluddin (2016). Impact of various herbicides on dicot weeds in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.).Current Sci. perspectives 2(3):45-51.
- Shehzad, M.A.; M.A. Nadeem and M. Iqbal (2012). Weed control and yield attributes against postemergence herbicides application in wheat crop, Punjab, Pakistan. In Global Advanced Res. J. of Agric. Sci., 1(1):7-16.
- Steel, R. G. D and ,J. H. Torrie (1980).Principles and procedures of statistics. MC Graw Hill Book Company Inc.NEW york,481 pp.
- Tagour, R. M. H.; G. M. Abd El-Hamed and I. M. El-Mwtwally (2011). Improving herbicides efficacyof topik and traxos on wheat plants and associated weeds by adjuvants Arkopal. In Nature and Sci., 9(11):176-183.

- Tasfay,A.; J.J. Sharma andZ. Kassahun(2011). Effect of weed control methods on weeds and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) yield. World,J.of Agric.Res.,2(3):124-128.
- Tomar,S.K.(2004). Response of rain fed wheat to sowing methods and seed rate under Diara land conditions.Madras,Agric.J. 91:47-51.
- Tompkins, D.K.; D.B. Fowler and A.T.Wright(1991b). Water use by no-till winter wheat. Influence of seed rate and row spacing. Agron. J., (83):766-769.
- Tompkins, D.K; G.E Hultgreen; A.T. Wright and D.B. Fowler (1991a). Seed rate and row spacing of notill winter wheat. Agron.J. 83:684-689.
- Yasin,M.;A.Tanveer; Z.Iqbal and A.Ali (2010).Effect of herbicides on narrow leaved weeds and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International J.of Biological, Bimolecular, Agricultural, food and Biotechnological Engineering, 4(8):619-621.
- Zoheir, Y.A.; S.Sadeghi and H.R.Mashhadi (2009). Study of integrate methods chemical and cultural control of weeds to wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.).J.Agric.Sci.,1(2):113-119.

"تقييم بعض أصناف قمح الخبز تحت معدلات مختلفة من التقاوى ومعاملات مكافحة الحشائش" محمود شمروخ محمد محمود (أحمد صلاح محمد مرسى و عادل أحمد عمران فكار " (معهد بحوث المحاصيل الحقلية - مركز البحوث الزراعية - مصر عسم المحاصيل - كلية الزراعة والموارد الطبيعية - جامعة اسوان – مصر.

" المعمل المركزي لبحوث الحشائش - مركز البحوث الزراعية- الجيزة- مصر.

أجريت تجربتان حقليتان بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بشندويل محافظة سوهاج خلال موسمي الزراعة ٢٠١٥/٢٠١٤ و ٢٠١٦/٢٠١٥ لدراسة تأثير معدلين من التقاوى (٥٠ و٢٠ كجم للفدان) وثلاث أصناف قمح الخبز (شندويل اوسدس ١٢ و جيزة ١٦٨) وست معاملات لمقاومة العشائش (مبيد أطلانتس، جرنستار +توبيك، جرنستار +أكسيال، جرنستار +تر أكسوس، نقاوة يدوية مرتين وبدون معاملة)على الحشائش والمحصول ومكوناته في القمح الخبز تم إستخدام تصميم القطع منشقه مرتين مع أربعة مكررات وأوضحت النتائج. ان معدلات التقاوي أثرت معنوياً علي الوزن الجاف للحشائش (جم/م٢) والمحصول ومكوناته في الموسمين مقارنة بمعاملة الكنترول. وجد أن زيادة معدل التقّاري الي ٢٠ كجم/فَّ أدت إلي إنخفاض الوزَّنُ الجافُ للحشائش الكلية بنسبة (٢٣,٤٨) و (٣٦,٨١) في الموسَّم الأول والثاني على التوالي مُقارنة بمعدلُ التقاوي • مُكجم/ف أعطى معدل تقاوى ٦٠ كجم/فدان أعلى ألقيم في وُزِنُ السنبلة وأعدد الحبوب/سنبلة ووزن الحبوب/سنبلة وعدد السنابل/م٢ ووزن الـ ١٠٠٠ حبة ومحصول الحبوب (اردب/ف) في الموسمين . اوضحت النتائج ان زراعة الصنف جيزة ١٦٨ قد قلل معنويا الوزن الجاف للحشائش الضيقة والعريضة بنسبة (١٩,٩٨- ٢٣,٢٢ - ٢١,٧٠٪) في الموسم الاول وبنسبة (٢٠,٥٢ - ٣٠,٥٨ - ٣٥,٥٧) في الموسم الثاني مقارنة بالصنف سدس٢٢ ويرجع ذلك الي زيادة التفريع وطول النبآت في الصنف جيزة ١٦٨ سجل الصنف جيزة ١٦٨ أعلى متوسطات لكل من عدد السنابل/م٢، طول السنبلة، عدد حبوب السنبلة، وزن السنبلة، عدد السنيبلات بالسنبلة، عدد السنابل/م٢، وزن الـ ١٠٠٠ ومحصول الحبوب(أر دب/ف)في الموسمين أثرت معاملات مكافحة الحشائش معنويا علي الوزن الجاف للحشائش العريضة والضيقة والكلية في الموسمين بالمقارنه بمعاملة الكنترول وجد أن معاملات جرنستار +توبيك، جرنستار +تراكسوس، نقاوة يدوية مرتين أعطّت أعلي إنخفاض في الوزن الجاف للحشائش العريضة والصيقة والكلية في الموسمين بالمقارنه بمعاملة الكنترول أثرت جميع معاملات الحشائش تأثيراً معنوياً على المحصول ومكوناته بموسمي الزراعية. معاملات جرنستار +توبيك، جرنستار +تراكسوس و َنقاوة يدوية مرتين أعطت أعلي القيم في وزن السنبلة وعدد الحبوب/سنبلة ووزن الحبوب/سنبلة وعدد السنابل/م٢ٍ ووزن الـ١٠٠٠ حبة ومحصول الحبوب (أردب/فدان) في الموسمين كان التفاعل بين معدلات التقاوي ومعاملات مكافحة الحشائش معنوياً لصفات الوزن الجاف للحشائش الضيقة والعريضة والكلية بالموسم الأول من الزراعة ، وكذلك لصفة الوزن الجاف للحشائش الضيقة للموسم الثاني . وكان التفاعل معنوى بين الأصناف ومعاملات مقاومة الحشائش لصفة الوزن الجاف للحشائش الضيقة والكلية خـلال الموسمين، والـوزن الجـاف للحشـائش العريضـة بالموسم الأول فقـط وبعـض مكونـات المحصـول ومحصـول الحبوب(اردب/فدان) في الموسمين. وجد ان هناك ارتباط معنوي سالب بين الحسَّائش الضيقة والعريضة والكلية والمحصول ومكوناتةفي حين وجد ارتباط معنوياً موجبا بين المحصول ومكوناته في الموَّسمين أشار التقييم الاقتصادي إلى أن معدل التقاوتي ٦٠ كجم/ف وزراعةً الصنف جيزة ١٦٨ واستخدام معاملة جرنستار +توبيك، جرنستار +تراكسوس، نقاوة يدوية مرتيناعطي اعلي زيادة معنوية في الدخل الإجمالي والعائد الصافي وهامش الربح والفائدة/معدل التكلفة والأربحية الاقتصادية في متوسط الموسمينِّ وعلَّى ضوء نتائج هذه الدر اسة فإن زراعة الصنف جيزة ١٦٨ بمعدل ٢٠ كجم للفدان تقاوى وتطبيق مبيد الجرنستارمع التوبيك أو تراكسوس وكذلك النقاوة اليدوية حقق أفضل محصول من القمح خلال الموسمين تحت منطقة الدر اسة