EFFECT OF COMPOST AND SOME BIO-STIMULANT TREATMENTS ON: A. GROWTH AND FRUIT YIELD PRODUCTION OF CUMIN (CUMINUM CYMINUM, L.) PLANTS

M.A.H. Abdou; M.K. Aly; A.A. El-Sayed; E.T. Ahmed and T.A. Helmy Hort. Dept., Fac. Agric., Minia Univ., Egypt.



Scientific J. Flowers & **Ornamental Plants**, 2(3):227-236 (2015).

Received: 15/10/2015

Revised by: Prof. Dr. M.S. Hanafy, Cairo Univ.

farm of Fac. of Agric., Minia Univ. during two seasons (2012/2013 and 2013/2014) to study the effect of compost (0, 2.5, 5 and 7.5 ton/fed) and eight bio-stimulant treatments (salicylic acid (Sal.) at 100 and 200 ppm, ascorbic acid (Asc.) at 100 and 200 ppm, active yeast at 5 and 10 g/l, vit. E at 50 and 100 ppm and control) on growth and fruit yield production of cumin plants. The data showed that using 7.5 ton/fed compost significantly increased vegetative growth parameters (plant height, stem diameter, number of branches/plant and herb dry weight/plant) and vield and vield components characters (number of umbels/plant, fruit yield/plant and / weight of 1000 fruits) comparing with other treatments. Treating plants with Sal., Asc., active yeast and vit. E, each at two concentrations significantly increased vegetative growth parameters and yield and yield component characters comparing with control treatment, except Asc. at 100 ppm for weight of 1000 fruits during the first season. The best treatments were Sal. followed by Asc., then active yeast and vit. E, each at high Prof. Dr. E.A.E. El-Ghadban, concentrations in this concern.

ABSTRACT: A field experiment was carried out at the experimental

Hort. Res. Inst., ARC.

Key words: Cumin, compost, salicylic acid, ascorbic acid, vitamin E and active yeast.

INTRODUCTION

Cumin (*Cuminum cyminum*) is regarded as the most important medical herb in the country. It is a small and annual umbellifera plant (Apiaceae family) that has a short growing season, low water consumption and a relatively high resistance to environmental tensions (Kafi, 2002), Its aromatic fruits and can be used in curing indigestion and dyspepsia and for increasing milk. It was also shown that cumin can affect grampositive bacteria (Ani et al., 2006).

Organic material improve soil physical and chemical properties that important for plant growth (Synman et al., 1998); Badran et al. (2007); Ahmadian et al. (2011), Asl and Moosavi (2012), Seghatoleslami (2013) and Patel et al. (2013) on cumin found that application of organic fertilization resulted in

a significant increase in growth and yield characters.

Active yeast caused a significant increase in vegetative growth and vield production of crops such as cumin (Al-Doghachi et al., 2012), coriander (Rekaby, 2013), Nigella sativa (Ismail, 2008 and Abdou et al., 2013b), caraway (Botros, 2013).

Salicylic acid (Sal.) is an endogenous plant growth regulator. It is involved in various physiological processes of plant growth and development (Cleland, 1974). Furthermore, SA significantly enhance plant growth and yield of many medical plants such as cumin (Al-Shewailly, 2012 and Rahimi et al., 2013), caraway (Shala, 2012, Botros, 2013), coriander (Rekaby, 2013 and Arzandi, 2014).

Some vitamins have been reported to induce significant adverse effects in environment stress (Khan et al., 2006). So, many authors concluded that some vitamins (vit. C and vit. E) had positive effect on growth and yield of medicinal and aromatic plants example: Botros (2013) on caraway and Badran et al. (2013) on coriander found that ascorbic acid increased all vegetative and growth traits vield production. Moreover, Ismail (2008) on black cumin and Abdou et al. (2013a) on caraway concluded that vit. E significantly increased all studied growth characters and fruit production.

The present investigation aimed to study the effect of compost, active yeast, some antioxidants (ascorbic and salicylic acids and vit. E) on vegetative growth and fruit yield of *Cuminum cyminum* plants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This field experiment was carried out during the two successive seasons of 2012/2013 and 2013/2014 at the Experimental Farm, Fac. of Agric. Minia Univ.

A randomized complete block design was used in a split plot arrangement with

three replicates. The main plots (A) included four levels of compost (0, 2.5, 5 and 7.5 ton/fed), while nine treatments (salicylic acid (Sal.) at 100 and 200 ppm, ascorbic acid (Asc.) at 100 and 200 ppm, vit. E at 50 and 100 ppm, active yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) at 5 and 10 g/l in addition to control) occupied the sub plots (B), therefore, the interaction treatments (A×B) were 36 treatments.

The fruits of cumin were sown on October, 5^{th} in both seasons. The experimental unit (plot) was 3×3 m and containing 4 rows, 60 cm apart and seeds were cultivated in hills, 25 cm apart, therefore plot contained 48 hills and plant were thinned to two plants/hill after 5 weeks from sowing date. The physical and chemical analysis of the used soil is shown in Table (a).

Compost (called El-Neel compost) was obtained from the Egyptian company for solid waste utilization, El-Minia El-Gdeda City. This compost was added during preparing the soil to cultivation in both seasons. The physical and chemical analysis of the used compost were shown in Table (b).

Soil Character	Value	Soil Ch	aracter	Value
Sand %	27.20	Available P %	, D	15.12
Silt %	30.70	Exch. K ⁺ (mg	g/100 g soil)	2.11
Clay %	42.10	Exch. Ca ⁺⁺ (m		31.74
Soil type	Clayey loam	Exch. Na ⁺ (mg		2.40
Organic matter %	1.65		Fe	8.34
CaCO ₃ %	2.09	DTDA	Cu	2.06
E.C. (mmhos/cm)	1.04	DTPA	Zn	2.71
pH (1:2.5)	7.82	Ext. ppm	Mn	8.16
Total N %	0.08			

Table a. Physical and chemical analysis of the experimental soil.

Table b. Physical and chemical properties of the used compost.

Properties	Values	Properties	Values
Dry weight of 1 m ³	450 kg	C/N ratio	14.1-18.5
Fresh weight of 1 m ³	650-700 kg	NaCl %	1.1-1.75
Moisture (%)	25-30	Total P %	0.5-0.75
рН (1:10)	7.5-8	Total K %	0.8-1.0
E.C. (m mhose/cm)	2-4	Fe ppm	150-200
Total N %	1-1.4	Mn ppm	25.56
Org. matter %	32-34	Cu ppm	75-150
Org. carbon %	18.5-19.7	Zn ppm	150-225

The treatments used were applied as foliar spray twice for each season; the first spray was applied after 38 days from sowing date and after a month thereafter in both seasons. All other agricultural practices were carried out as usual in the two seasons.

Plants were harvested in the start of mature stage (70%) at the second week of April in both seasons.

Data were recorded as follows:

- 1- Vegetative growth parameters: plant height (cm), stem diameter (mm), number of main branches and herb dry weight (g)/plant.
- 2- Yield and yield components: number of umbels/plant, fruit yield/plant (g) and fruit yield per fed (kg) and weight of 1000 fruits.

The obtained data were subjected to the statistical analysis of variance MSTAT-C (1986) and L.S.D test at 0.05 was used to compare between average of treatments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1- Vegetative growth parameters:

Data presented in Tables (1 and 2) showed the response of growth of cumin plants to compost, salicylic acid, ascorbic acid, active yeast and vit. E, as well as, their interaction on vegetative growth (plant height, stem diameter, number of branches and herb dry weight/plant).

Effect of compost: The three levels of compost significantly increased growth parameters comparing with control in both seasons, except, low level (2.5 ton/fed) for stem diameter in the first season and low level for herb dry weight in the second season. Moreover, the data indicated that the obtained values were increased by increasing the level used of compost in the two growing seasons. Therefore, the application of high level of compost (7.5 ton/fed) gave the tallest plants (32.9 and 32.3 cm), the thickest stem diameter (2.68 and 2.99 mm), maximum branch number (4.56 and 4.94) and the heaviest herb dry weight (3.41 and 3.74 g/plant in both seasons, respectively). The promoting effect of compost on vegetative growth parameters may be due to physical and chemical properties of the soil, the microbial function and chelated of macro and microelements content that provide biological and mineral nutrition. These findings were in agreement with those obtained by Badran *et al.* (2007); Ahmadian *et al.* (2011) and Seghatoleslami (2013) on cumin.

Effect of spraying with bio-stimulants: It was clear that applying salicylic acid, active yeast, ascorbic acid (vit. C) and alphatocopherol E). each (vit. at two concentrations significantly increased all vegetative growth parameters in both seasons, except active yeast (5 g/l) in the two seasons and ascorbic acid (100 ppm) in the second season for number of branches/plant. Among eight treatments, salicylic acid at 200 ppm recorded the tallestplants (35.6 and 33.4 cm), the thickest stems (2.64 and 2.78 mm), more branches (4.62 and 4.38) and the heaviest herb dry weight (3.36 and 3.38 g/plant in both seasons, respectively), Salicylic acid as an endogenous growth regulators have been reported to induce significant adverse effects in environment stress and have important roles in plant growth and development (Behjou et al., 2014). Our results are in some direction with that of Al-Shewailly (2012) and Rahimi et al. (2013) on cumin and Shala (2012) and Botros (2013) on caraway.

Effect of interaction: The combined treatment of compost at 7.5 ton/fed and salicylic acid at 200 ppm resulted in the highest values on most cases.

2- Yield and yield components:

Effect of compost: Data presented in Tables (3 and 4) indicated that the three levels of compost significantly increased umbels number/plant, fruit yield/plant and /fed, as well as, weight of 1000 fruits, in both seasons, as compared with control, except, low level for umbels number in both seasons.

Compost levels (ton/fed) (A)												
Bio-stimulant treatments (B)	1 st season (2012/2013) Mean					2 nd season (2013/2014)						
	0.0	2.5	5.0	7.5	(B)	0.0	2.5	5.0	7.5	(B)		
Plant height (cm)												
Control	25.4	27.0	28.6	29.8	27.7	22.8	26.2	28.0	29.0	26.5		
Sal. at 100 ppm	27.6	28.5	31.5	31.4	29.8	25.7	25.6	29.2	32.2	28.2		
Sal. at 200 ppm	34.3	35.4	36.0	36.6	35.6	31.1	32.2	34.1	36.1	33.4		
Asc. at 100 ppm	28.7	29.7	33.3	33.1	31.2	26.3	27.3	28.5	32.2	28.6		
Asc. at 200 ppm	31.5	32.9	34.1	35.5	33.5	29.5	31.2	33.7	34.6	32.3		
Active yeast at 5 g/l	30.1	30.5	32.3	32.1	31.2	24.2	28.0	30.0	31.2	28.4		
Active yeast at 10 g/l	29.7	30.0	32.7	33.6	31.5	27.0	28.8	31.7	32.9	30.1		
Vit. E at 50 ppm	27.0	27.4	30.3	30.9	28.9	27.0	28.4	29.8	30.6	28.9		
Vit. E at 100 ppm	29.2	30.0	31.9	33.0	31.0	26.5	29.7	32.2	32.2	30.2		
Mean (A)	29.3	30.2	32.3	32.9		26.7	28.6	30.8	32.3			
L.S.D. at 5 %	A= 0	.51	B= 0.89	AB=	2.81	A= 1.42		B= 1.41	AF	B= 2.82		
			Stem	diamete	er (mm)							
Control	1.28	1.35	2.04	2.21	1.72	1.31	1.62	2.40	2.61	1.98		
Sal. at 100 ppm	1.63	1.77	2.10	2.69	2.05	1.46	1.93	2.47	3.10	2.24		
Sal. at 200 ppm	2.10	2.41	2.63	3.42	2.64	2.51	2.58	2.82	3.23	2.78		
Asc. at 100 ppm	1.91	2.21	2.23	2.86	2.30	1.48	2.16	2.43	2.56	2.16		
Asc. at 200 ppm	2.10	2.38	2.44	2.66	2.39	2.15	2.39	2.55	3.13	2.56		
Active yeast at 5 g/l	1.79	1.90	2.03	2.53	2.06	2.18	2.25	2.44	3.03	2.48		
Active yeast at 10 g/l	1.90	1.95	2.53	2.61	2.25	2.10	2.33	2.48	3.04	2.49		
	1.83	2.04	2.28	2.48	2.16	1.82	2.32	2.59	2.90	2.41		
Vit. E at 50 ppm	1.00											
Vit. E at 50 ppm Vit. E at 100 ppm	1.91	2.22	2.28	2.66	2.27	2.08	2.38	2.59	3.33	2.60		
		2.22 2.02	2.28 2.29	2.66 2.68	2.27	2.08 1.90	2.38 2.22	2.59 2.53	3.33 2.99	2.60		
Vit. E at 100 ppm	1.91	2.02		2.68	2.27 0.40				2.99	2.60 3=0.36		

Table 1. Effect of compost, salicylic acid, ascorbic acid, active yeast and vitamin E on
plant height (cm) and stem diameter (mm) of Cuminum cyminum, L., during
the first and second seasons.

Table 2. Effect of compost, salicylic acid, ascorbic acid, active yeast and vitamin E onnumber of branches/plant and herb dry weight (g) of Cuminum cyminum, L.,during the first and second seasons.

	Compost levels (ton/fed) (A) 1 st season (2012/2013) 2 nd season (2013/2014)												
Bio-stimulant treatments (B)	0.0	1 st sea 2.5	ason (2012 5.0	2/2013) 7.5	Mean (B)	0.0	2 nd se 2.5	ason (2013 5.0	3/2014) 7.5	Mean (B)			
Number of branches/plant													
Control	3.28	3.49	3.96	4.26	3.75	3.25	3.85	3.98	4.6	3.92			
Sal. at 100 ppm	3.31	3.54	4.10	4.96	3.98	3.41	3.93	4.37	5.14	4.21			
Sal. at 200 ppm	4.01	4.32	4.62	5.53	4.62	3.83	4.21	4.56	5.93	4.38			
Asc. at 100 ppm	3.46	3.86	3.96	4.87	4.04	3.39	3.8	3.95	4.57	3.93			
Asc. at 200 ppm	3.64	3.86	3.99	4.37	3.97	3.76	4.15	4.35	5.12	4.35			
Active yeast at 5 g/l	3.48	3.71	3.82	4.08	3.77	3.7	4.11	4.28	4.21	4.08			
Active yeast at 10 g/l	3.73	3.95	4.29	4.50	4.12	3.8	4.21	4.37	5.06	4.36			
Vit. E at 50 ppm	3.64	3.89	3.83	4.13	3.87	3.68	4.06	4.27	4.7	4.18			
Vit. E at 100 ppm	3.31	3.81	3.98	4.30	3.85	3.83	4.19	4.25	5.01	4.32			
Mean (A)	3.54	3.83	4.06	4.56		3.63	4.06	4.26	4.94				
L.S.D. at 5 %	A= 0	.27	B=0.10	AB=	0.20	A= 0.42		B= 0.26	AI	B= 0.52			
			Herb dr	y weigh	t (g)/pla	nt							
Control	2.30	2.38	2.58	2.99	2.56	2.30	2.68	2.89	3.36	2.81			
Sal. at 100 ppm	2.27	2.40	2.62	3.60	2.72	2.30	2.82	3.12	4.01	3.06			
Sal. at 200 ppm	2.84	3.19	3.26	4.17	3.36	2.85	3.13	3.49	4.03	3.38			
Asc. at 100 ppm	2.31	2.59	2.74	3.48	2.78	2.74	2.90	2.98	3.37	3.00			
Asc. at 200 ppm	2.89	2.94	3.04	3.45	3.08	2.76	2.79	3.04	3.57	3.04			
Active yeast at 5 g/l	2.35	2.45	2.74	3.25	2.70	2.93	3.03	3.11	3.88	3.24			
Active yeast at 10 g/l	2.50	2.53	2.93	3.37	2.83	2.90	2.96	3.26	3.96	3.27			
Vit. E at 50 ppm	2.15	2.83	2.94	3.28	2.80	2.65	3.23	3.35	3.62	3.21			
Vit. E at 100 ppm	2.39	2.82	2.90	3.08	2.80	2.81	2.83	3.17	3.89	3.17			
Mean (A)	2.44	2.68	2.86	3.41		2.69	2.93	3.16	3.74				
L.S.D. at 5 %	A= 0	.21	B=0.14	AB=	0.28	A= 0.47		B= 0.14	AI	B= 0.28			

Sal.: Salicylic acid Asc.: Ascorbic acid Vit. E: Vitamin E

Bio-stimulant treatments (B)1* season (2012/2013)2 nd season (2013/2014)Under treatments (B)1.55.07.5Mean (B)0.02.55.07.5Mean (B)Control25.62.62.4.525.32.6.424.924.62.7.32.8.633.22.8.4Sal. at 100 ppm24.826.130.634.529.027.229.630.834.730.6Sal. at 200 ppm31.032.034.538.434.031.932.233.736.333.5Asc. at 100 ppm27.327.830.533.429.729.329.531.732.130.6Asc. at 200 ppm31.431.932.433.632.331.031.131.037.632.7Active yeast at 5 g/l24.725.828.530.827.528.329.130.635.130.8Active yeast at 10 g/l27.129.030.230.129.130.130.831.134.731.7Vit. E at 100 ppm27.429.830.332.730.130.630.831.435.532.1Mean (A)27.328.430.232.229.230.131.134.731.7Vit. E at 100 ppm7.548.449.232.130.630.831.134.731.7Sal. at 100 ppm7.958.449.233.199.		Compost levels (ton/fed) (A)											
Unit Unit Store J.S. (B) 0.0 Z.S S.0 J.S. (B) Umbels number/plant Control 25.6 24.5 25.3 26.4 24.9 24.6 27.3 28.6 33.2 28.4 Sal. at 100 ppm 24.8 26.1 30.6 34.5 29.0 27.2 29.6 30.8 34.7 30.6 Sal. at 200 ppm 31.0 32.0 34.5 38.4 34.0 31.9 32.2 33.7 36.3 33.5 Asc. at 100 ppm 27.3 27.8 30.5 33.4 29.7 29.3 29.5 31.7 32.1 30.6 Active yeast at 5 g/l 24.7 25.8 28.5 30.8 27.5 28.3 29.1 30.6 35.1 30.8 Active yeast at 10 g/l 27.1 29.0 29.3 30.1 29.1 30.1 30.8 31.1 34.7 31.7 Vit. E at 100 ppm 27.4 29.8 30.3			Moon				2 nd season (2013/2014)						
Control 25.6 24.5 25.3 26.4 24.9 24.6 27.3 28.6 33.2 28.4 Sal. at 100 ppm 24.8 26.1 30.6 34.5 29.0 27.2 29.6 30.8 34.7 30.6 Sal. at 200 ppm 31.0 32.0 34.5 38.4 34.0 31.9 32.2 33.7 36.3 33.5 Asc. at 100 ppm 27.3 27.8 30.5 33.4 29.7 29.3 29.5 31.7 32.1 30.6 Asc. at 200 ppm 31.4 31.9 32.4 33.6 32.3 31.0 31.1 31.0 37.6 32.7 Active yeast at 5 g/l 24.7 25.8 28.5 30.8 27.5 28.3 29.1 30.6 35.1 30.8 Active yeast at 10 g/l 27.1 29.0 30.2 30.4 29.2 29.8 30.2 31.4 35.5 32.1 Vit. E at 100 ppm 27.4 29.8 30.3 32.7 30.1 30.6 30.8 31.1 35.5 32.1 Mean (A	treatments (B)	0.0	2.5	5.0	7.5		0.0	2.5	5.0	7.5			
Sal. at 100 ppm 24.8 26.1 30.6 34.5 29.0 27.2 29.6 30.8 34.7 30.6 Sal. at 200 ppm 31.0 32.0 34.5 38.4 34.0 31.9 32.2 33.7 36.3 33.5 Asc. at 100 ppm 27.3 27.8 30.5 33.4 29.7 29.3 29.5 31.7 32.1 30.6 Asc. at 200 ppm 31.4 31.9 32.4 33.6 32.3 31.0 31.1 31.0 37.6 32.7 Active yeast at 5 g/l 24.7 25.8 28.5 30.8 27.5 28.3 29.1 30.6 35.1 30.8 Active yeast at 10 g/l 27.1 29.0 30.2 30.4 29.2 29.8 30.2 31.4 35.5 31.7 Vit. E at 50 ppm 28.1 29.0 29.3 30.1 29.1 30.6 30.8 31.4 35.5 32.7 Vit. E at 100 ppm 27.3 28.4 30.2 32.2 29.2 30.1 31.1 34.7 35.5 32.1 <t< th=""><th colspan="13">Umbels number/plant</th></t<>	Umbels number/plant												
Sal. at 200 ppm 31.0 32.0 34.5 38.4 34.0 31.9 32.2 33.7 36.3 33.5 Asc. at 100 ppm 27.3 27.8 30.5 33.4 29.7 29.3 29.5 31.7 32.1 30.6 Asc. at 200 ppm 31.4 31.9 32.4 33.6 32.3 31.0 31.1 31.0 37.6 32.7 Active yeast at 5 g/l 24.7 25.8 28.5 30.8 27.5 28.3 29.1 30.6 35.1 30.8 Active yeast at 10 g/l 27.1 29.0 30.2 30.4 29.2 29.8 30.2 31.4 35.5 31.7 Vit. E at 50 ppm 28.1 29.0 29.3 30.1 29.1 30.6 35.5 32.1 Mean (A) 27.3 28.4 30.2 32.2 29.2 30.1 31.1 35.5 32.1 Mean (A) 27.3 28.4 30.2 32.2 29.2 30.1 31.1 35.0 LS.D. at 5 % A=1.4 B=1.8 AB=3.6 A=1.5 B	Control	25.6	24.5	25.3	26.4	24.9	24.6	27.3	28.6	33.2	28.4		
Asc. at 100 ppm 27.3 27.8 30.5 33.4 29.7 29.3 29.5 31.7 32.1 30.6 Asc. at 200 ppm 31.4 31.9 32.4 33.6 32.3 31.0 31.1 31.0 37.6 32.7 Active yeast at 5 g/l 24.7 25.8 28.5 30.8 27.5 28.3 29.1 30.6 35.1 30.8 Active yeast at 10 g/l 27.1 29.0 30.2 30.4 29.2 29.8 30.2 31.4 35.5 31.7 Vit. E at 50 ppm 28.1 29.0 29.3 30.1 29.1 30.6 30.8 31.1 34.7 31.7 Vit. E at 100 ppm 27.4 29.8 30.3 32.7 30.1 30.6 30.8 31.4 35.5 32.1 Mean (A) 27.3 28.4 30.2 32.2 29.2 30.1 31.1 35.0 24.9 LS.D. at 5 % A=1.4 B=1.8 AB=3.6 A=1.5 B=1.6 AB=3.2 Sal. at 100 ppm 7.95 8.44 9.92 13.19 <th>Sal. at 100 ppm</th> <th>24.8</th> <th>26.1</th> <th>30.6</th> <th>34.5</th> <th>29.0</th> <th>27.2</th> <th>29.6</th> <th>30.8</th> <th>34.7</th> <th>30.6</th>	Sal. at 100 ppm	24.8	26.1	30.6	34.5	29.0	27.2	29.6	30.8	34.7	30.6		
Asc. at 200 ppm 31.4 31.9 32.4 33.6 32.3 31.0 31.1 31.0 37.6 32.7 Active yeast at 5 g/l 24.7 25.8 28.5 30.8 27.5 28.3 29.1 30.6 35.1 30.8 Active yeast at 10 g/l 27.1 29.0 30.2 30.4 29.2 29.8 30.2 31.4 35.5 31.7 Vit. E at 50 ppm 28.1 29.0 29.3 30.1 29.1 30.1 30.8 31.1 34.7 31.7 Vit. E at 100 ppm 27.4 29.8 30.3 32.7 30.1 30.6 30.8 31.4 35.5 32.1 Mean (A) 27.3 28.4 30.2 32.2 29.2 30.1 31.1 34.7 35.0 L.S.D. at 5 % A=1.4 B=1.8 AB=3.6 A=1.5 B=1.6 AB=3.2 Sal. at 100 ppm 7.95 8.44 9.92 13.19 9.87 9.60 10.48 11.17 14.60 11.46 Sal. at 200 ppm 10.64 11.40 12.76	Sal. at 200 ppm	31.0	32.0	34.5	38.4	34.0	31.9	32.2	33.7	36.3	33.5		
Active yeast at 5 g/l 24.7 25.8 28.5 30.8 27.5 28.3 29.1 30.6 35.1 30.8 Active yeast at 10 g/l 27.1 29.0 30.2 30.4 29.2 29.8 30.2 31.4 35.5 31.7 Vit. E at 50 ppm 28.1 29.0 29.3 30.1 29.1 30.1 30.8 31.1 34.7 31.7 Vit. E at 100 ppm 27.4 29.8 30.3 32.7 30.1 30.6 30.8 31.4 35.5 32.1 Mean (A) 27.3 28.4 30.2 32.2 29.2 30.1 31.1 35.0 L.S.D. at 5 % A=1.4 B=1.8 AB=3.6 A=1.5 B=1.6 AB=3.2 Fruit yiel/plant (g/plant) Control 6.96 7.64 8.88 10.08 8.39 7.12 7.40 9.64 12.72 9.22 Sal. at 100 ppm 7.95 8.44 9.92 13.19 9.87 9.60 10.48 11.17 14.60 11.46 Sal. at 200 ppm 10.64	Asc. at 100 ppm	27.3	27.8	30.5	33.4	29.7	29.3	29.5	31.7	32.1	30.6		
Active yeast at 10 g/l 27.1 29.0 30.2 30.4 29.2 29.8 30.2 31.4 35.5 31.7 Vit. E at 50 ppm 28.1 29.0 29.3 30.1 29.1 30.1 30.8 31.1 34.7 31.7 Vit. E at 100 ppm 27.4 29.8 30.3 32.7 30.1 30.6 30.8 31.4 35.5 32.1 Mean (A) 27.3 28.4 30.2 32.2 29.2 30.1 31.1 35.0 L.S.D. at 5 % A= 1.4 B= 1.8 AB= 3.6 A= 1.5 B= 1.6 AB= 3.2 Fruit yield/plant (g/plant) Control 6.96 7.64 8.88 10.08 8.39 7.12 7.40 9.64 12.72 9.22 Sal. at 100 ppm 7.95 8.44 9.92 13.19 9.87 9.60 10.48 11.17 14.60 11.46 Sal. at 200 ppm 10.64 11.40 12.76 15.00 12.45 11.76 11.92 13.16 15.16 13.00 Asc. at 100 ppm 8.73<	Asc. at 200 ppm	31.4	31.9	32.4	33.6	32.3	31.0	31.1	31.0	37.6	32.7		
Vit. E at 50 ppm 28.1 29.0 29.3 30.1 29.1 30.1 30.8 31.1 34.7 31.7 Vit. E at 100 ppm 27.4 29.8 30.3 32.7 30.1 30.6 30.8 31.4 35.5 32.1 Mean (A) 27.3 28.4 30.2 32.2 29.2 30.1 31.1 35.0 L.S.D. at 5 % A=1.4 B=1.8 AB=3.6 A=1.5 B=1.6 AB=3.2 Fruit yield/plant (g/plant) Control 6.96 7.64 8.88 10.08 8.39 7.12 7.40 9.64 12.72 9.22 Sal. at 100 ppm 7.95 8.44 9.92 13.19 9.87 9.60 10.48 11.17 14.60 11.46 Sal. at 200 ppm 10.64 11.40 12.76 15.00 12.45 11.76 11.92 13.16 15.16 13.00 Asc. at 100 ppm 8.73 10.07 9.32 12.88 10.25 10.00 10.20 10.45 12.32 10.74 Asc. at 200 ppm 11.08	Active yeast at 5 g/l	24.7	25.8	28.5	30.8	27.5	28.3	29.1	30.6	35.1	30.8		
Vit. E at 100 ppm 27.4 29.8 30.3 32.7 30.1 30.6 30.8 31.4 35.5 32.1 Mean (A) 27.3 28.4 30.2 32.2 29.2 30.1 31.1 35.0 L.S.D. at 5 % A= 1.4 B= 1.8 AB= 3.6 A= 1.5 B= 1.6 AB= 3.2 Fruit yiel/plant (g/plant) Control 6.96 7.64 8.88 10.08 8.39 7.12 7.40 9.64 12.72 9.22 Sal. at 100 ppm 7.95 8.44 9.92 13.19 9.87 9.60 10.48 11.17 14.60 11.46 Sal. at 200 ppm 10.64 11.40 12.76 15.00 12.45 11.76 11.92 13.16 15.16 13.00 Asc. at 100 ppm 8.73 10.07 9.32 12.88 10.25 10.00 10.20 10.45 12.32 10.74 Asc. at 200 ppm 11.08 11.64 11.92 12.72 11.84 11.60 11.68 12.04 14.21 12.38 Active yeast at 5 g/l	Active yeast at 10 g/l	27.1	29.0	30.2	30.4	29.2	29.8	30.2	31.4	35.5	31.7		
Mean (A) 27.3 28.4 30.2 32.2 29.2 30.1 31.1 35.0 L.S.D. at 5 % A= 1.4 B= 1.8 AB= 3.6 A= 1.5 B= 1.6 AB= 3.2 Fruit yield/plant (g/plant) Control 6.96 7.64 8.88 10.08 8.39 7.12 7.40 9.64 12.72 9.22 Sal. at 100 ppm 7.95 8.44 9.92 13.19 9.87 9.60 10.48 11.17 14.60 11.46 Sal. at 200 ppm 10.64 11.40 12.76 15.00 12.45 11.76 11.92 13.16 15.16 13.00 Asc. at 100 ppm 8.73 10.07 9.32 12.88 10.25 10.00 10.20 10.45 12.32 10.74 Asc. at 200 ppm 11.08 11.64 11.92 12.72 11.84 11.60 11.68 12.04 14.21 12.38 Active yeast at 5 g/l 9.37 9.68 10.68 11.75 10.37 10.64 10.84 11.12 14.37 11.74	Vit. E at 50 ppm	28.1	29.0	29.3	30.1	29.1	30.1	30.8	31.1	34.7	31.7		
L.S.D. at 5 % A= 1.4 B= 1.8 AB= 3.6 A= 1.5 B= 1.6 AB= 3.2 Fruit yield/plant (g/plant) Control 6.96 7.64 8.88 10.08 8.39 7.12 7.40 9.64 12.72 9.22 Sal. at 100 ppm 7.95 8.44 9.92 13.19 9.87 9.60 10.48 11.17 14.60 11.46 Sal. at 200 ppm 10.64 11.40 12.76 15.00 12.45 11.76 11.92 13.16 15.16 13.00 Asc. at 100 ppm 8.73 10.07 9.32 12.88 10.25 10.00 10.20 10.45 12.32 10.74 Asc. at 200 ppm 11.08 11.64 11.92 12.72 11.84 11.60 11.68 12.04 14.21 12.38 Active yeast at 5 g/l 9.37 9.68 10.68 11.75 10.37 10.64 10.84 11.12 14.37 11.74 Active yeast at 10 g/l 10.16 10.40 10.69 11.69 10.74 10.68 11.61 12.76 14.72	Vit. E at 100 ppm	27.4	29.8	30.3	32.7	30.1	30.6	30.8	31.4	35.5	32.1		
Fruit yield/plant (g/plant)Control6.967.648.8810.088.397.127.409.6412.729.22Sal. at 100 ppm7.958.449.9213.199.879.6010.4811.1714.6011.46Sal. at 200 ppm10.6411.4012.7615.0012.4511.7611.9213.1615.1613.00Asc. at 100 ppm8.7310.079.3212.8810.2510.0010.2010.4512.3210.74Asc. at 200 ppm11.0811.6411.9212.7211.8411.6011.6812.0414.2112.38Active yeast at 5 g/l9.379.6810.6811.7510.3710.6410.8411.1214.3711.74Active yeast at 10 g/l10.1610.4010.6911.6910.7410.6811.6112.7614.7212.44	Mean (A)	27.3	28.4	30.2	32.2		29.2	30.1	31.1	35.0			
Control6.967.648.8810.088.397.127.409.6412.729.22Sal. at 100 ppm7.958.449.9213.199.879.6010.4811.1714.6011.46Sal. at 200 ppm10.6411.4012.7615.0012.4511.7611.9213.1615.1613.00Asc. at 100 ppm8.7310.079.3212.8810.2510.0010.2010.4512.3210.74Asc. at 200 ppm11.0811.6411.9212.7211.8411.6011.6812.0414.2112.38Active yeast at 5 g/l9.379.6810.6811.7510.3710.6410.8411.1214.3711.74Active yeast at 10 g/l10.1610.4010.6911.6910.7410.6811.6112.7614.7212.44	L.S.D. at 5 %	A= 1	.4	B=1.8	AB=	= 3.6	A=1.5		B= 1.6	A	B= 3.2		
Sal. at 100 ppm7.958.449.9213.199.879.6010.4811.1714.6011.46Sal. at 200 ppm10.6411.4012.7615.0012.4511.7611.9213.1615.1613.00Asc. at 100 ppm8.7310.079.3212.8810.2510.0010.2010.4512.3210.74Asc. at 200 ppm11.0811.6411.9212.7211.8411.6011.6812.0414.2112.38Active yeast at 5 g/l9.379.6810.6811.7510.3710.6410.8411.1214.3711.74Active yeast at 10 g/l10.1610.4010.6911.6910.7410.6811.6112.7614.7212.44				Fruit y	ield/plan	t (g/plan	t)						
Sal. at 200 ppm 10.64 11.40 12.76 15.00 12.45 11.76 11.92 13.16 15.16 13.00 Asc. at 100 ppm 8.73 10.07 9.32 12.88 10.25 10.00 10.20 10.45 12.32 10.74 Asc. at 200 ppm 11.08 11.64 11.92 12.72 11.84 11.60 11.68 12.04 14.21 12.38 Active yeast at 5 g/l 9.37 9.68 10.68 11.75 10.37 10.64 10.84 11.12 14.37 11.74 Active yeast at 10 g/l 10.16 10.40 10.69 11.69 10.74 10.68 11.61 12.76 14.72 12.44	Control	6.96	7.64	8.88	10.08	8.39	7.12	7.40	9.64	12.72	9.22		
Asc. at 100 ppm 8.73 10.07 9.32 12.88 10.25 10.00 10.20 10.45 12.32 10.74 Asc. at 200 ppm 11.08 11.64 11.92 12.72 11.84 11.60 11.68 12.04 14.21 12.38 Active yeast at 5 g/l 9.37 9.68 10.68 11.75 10.37 10.64 10.84 11.12 14.37 11.74 Active yeast at 10 g/l 10.16 10.40 10.69 11.69 10.74 10.68 11.61 12.76 14.72 12.44	Sal. at 100 ppm	7.95	8.44	9.92	13.19	9.87	9.60	10.48	11.17	14.60	11.46		
Asc. at 200 ppm 11.08 11.64 11.92 12.72 11.84 11.60 11.68 12.04 14.21 12.38 Active yeast at 5 g/l 9.37 9.68 10.68 11.75 10.37 10.64 10.84 11.12 14.37 11.74 Active yeast at 10 g/l 10.16 10.40 10.69 11.69 10.74 10.68 11.61 12.76 14.72 12.44	Sal. at 200 ppm	10.64	11.40	12.76	15.00	12.45	11.76	11.92	13.16	15.16	13.00		
Active yeast at 5 g/l 9.37 9.68 10.68 11.75 10.37 10.64 10.84 11.12 14.37 11.74 Active yeast at 10 g/l 10.16 10.40 10.69 11.69 10.74 10.68 11.61 12.76 14.72 12.44	Asc. at 100 ppm	8.73	10.07	9.32	12.88	10.25	10.00	10.20	10.45	12.32	10.74		
Active yeast at 10 g/l 10.16 10.40 10.69 11.69 10.74 10.68 11.61 12.76 14.72 12.44	Asc. at 200 ppm	11.08	11.64	11.92	12.72	11.84	11.60	11.68	12.04	14.21	12.38		
	Active yeast at 5 g/l	9.37	9.68	10.68	11.75	10.37	10.64	10.84	11.12	14.37	11.74		
Vit. E at 50 ppm 8.72 10.88 10.96 11.71 10.57 10.24 11.70 12.12 12.75 11.57	Active yeast at 10 g/l	10.16	10.40	10.69	11.69	10.74	10.68	11.61	12.76	14.72	12.44		
	Vit. E at 50 ppm	8.72	10.88	10.96	11.71	10.57	10.24	11.70	12.12	12.75	11.57		
Vit. E at 100 ppm 9.36 10.65 10.92 12.47 10.85 10.64 11.88 12.24 14.63 12.35	Vit. E at 100 ppm	9.36	10.65	10.92	12.47	10.85	10.64	11.88	12.24	14.63	12.35		
Mean (A) 9.22 10.09 10.67 12.39 10.25 10.80 11.63 13.94	Mean (A)	9.22	10.09	10.67	12.39		10.25	10.80	11.63	13.94			
L.S.D. at 5 % A= 0.56 B= 0.65 AB= 1.30 A= 0.53 B= 0.63 AB= 1.26	L.S.D. at 5 %	A=0	.56	B= 0.65	AB=	1.30	A= 0.53		B= 0.63	AE	B = 1.26		

Table 3. Effect of compost, salicylic acid, ascorbic acid, active yeast and vitamin E on
umbels number/plant and fruit yield/plant (g/plant) of Cuminum cyminum, L.,
during the first and second seasons.

Sal.: Salicylic acid Asc.: Ascorbic acid Vit. E: Vitamin E

Table 4. Effect of compost, salicylic acid, ascorbic acid, active yeast and vitamin E onfruit yield/fed (kg/fed) and weight of 1000 fruits (g) of Cuminum cyminum, L.,during the first and second seasons.

	Compost levels (ton/fed) (A)										
Bio-stimulant treatments (B)	1 st season (2012/2013) 0.0 2.5 5.0 7.5 Mean					2 nd season (2013/2014)					
	0.0	2.5	5.0	7.5	(B)	0.0	2.5	5.0	7.5	(B)	
	Fruit yield/fed (kg/fed)										
Control	296.96	325.97	378.88	430.08	357.97	303.79	315.73	411.31	542.72	393.39	
Sal. at 100 ppm	339.20	360.11	423.25	562.77	421.12	409.60	447.15	476.59	622.93	488.96	
Sal. at 200 ppm	453.97	486.40	544.43	640.00	531.20	501.76	508.59	561.49	646.83	554.67	
Asc. at 100 ppm	372.48	429.65	397.65	549.55	437.33	426.67	435.20	445.87	525.65	458.24	
Asc. at 200 ppm	472.75	496.64	508.59	542.72	505.17	494.93	498.35	513.71	606.29	528.21	
Active yeast at 5 g/l	399.79	413.01	455.68	501.33	442.45	453.97	462.51	474.45	613.12	500.91	
Active yeast at 10 g/l	433.49	443.73	456.11	498.77	458.24	455.68	495.36	544.43	628.05	530.77	
Vit. E at 50 ppm	372.05	464.21	467.63	499.63	450.99	436.91	499.20	517.12	544.00	493.65	
Vit. E at 100 ppm	399.36	454.40	465.92	532.05	462.93	453.97	506.88	522.24	624.21	526.93	
Mean (A)	393.39	430.51	455.25	528.64		437.33	460.80	496.21	594.77		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A= 23	.92 I	3= 26.95	AB= 5	3.90	A=21.68	3 I	B= 26.43	AB	= 52.86	
	Weigl			ht of 1000 fruits (g)							
Control	2.74	2.91	3.36	3.87	3.22	2.88	2.93	3.46	3.76	3.26	
Sal. at 100 ppm	3.06	3.41	3.58	3.97	3.51	3.31	3.85	4.27	5.06	4.12	
Sal. at 200 ppm	3.86	4.27	4.69	5.36	4.55	4.23	4.60	4.69	5.23	4.69	
Asc. at 100 ppm	3.15	3.24	3.37	3.95	3.43	3.51	3.70	3.88	3.93	3.76	
Asc. at 200 ppm	3.89	4.17	4.46	5.43	4.49	3.91	4.08	4.31	5.01	4.33	
Active yeast at 5 g/l	3.46	3.65	3.91	4.83	3.96	3.62	3.96	4.00	4.91	4.12	
Active yeast at 10 g/l	3.72	3.93	4.17	4.62	4.11	3.74	4.11	4.39	5.17	4.35	
Vit. E at 50 ppm	3.33	4.01	4.12	4.45	3.98	3.20	3.67	3.84	3.98	3.67	
Vit. E at 100 ppm	3.62	3.97	4.25	4.38	4.06	3.49	3.82	3.96	5.14	4.10	
Mean (A)	3.43	3.73	3.99	4.54		3.54	3.86	4.09	4.69		
L.S.D. at 5 %	A= 0.		B= 0.23	AB= (0.46	A=0.17		B= 0.27	AE	B = 0.54	
Sal · Saliavlia agid											

Sal.: Salicylic acid Asc.: Ascorbic acid Vit. E: Vitamin E

M.A.H. Abdou et al.

The highest values of four characters were obtained with the high level of compost (7.5 ton/fed). The obtained results indicated the favorable effect of compost on cumin plant productivity, these results might be due to the role of organic material for continues supply of nutrients, growth stimulants, disease suppressors, support biologically diverse and metabiologically dynamic process during the plant growth (Fliessbach *et al.*, 2000).

The effect of compost agreed with the results of Asl and Moosavi (2012) and Patel *et al.* (2013) on cumin plants.

Effect of spaying with bio-stimulants: Data presented in Tables (3 and 4) indicated that all used eight treatments of biostimulants significantly increased yield parameters (umbels number/plant, fruit yield (g/plant and kg/fed) and weight of 1000 fruits) over the control in both seasons. except, the treatment of Asc. (100 ppm) for weight of 1000 fruits in the first season. The treatments of Sal. (200 ppm) followed by Asc. (200 ppm) resulted the highest values in the second season with significant differences between them regarding fruit yield/fed In the second season, the maximum values were obtained with Sal. (200 ppm), Asc. (200 ppm) and active yeast (10 g/l) treatments without significant differences among them in most cases. Salicylic acid plays an important role in plant defense response against pathogen attack and is essential for the plant development and biomass. Salicylic acid and ascorbic acid are considered as auxinic action since they have synergistic effect on growth and productivity of most aromatic plants. Similar results were obtained by Rahimi et al., 2013 on cumin, Botros (2013) on caraway. Rekaby (2013) and Arzandi (2014) on coriander regarding salicylic acid and Botros (2013) on caraway and Badran et al. (2013) on coriander in concern of ascorbic acid role.

Yeast is consider as a natural sources of cytokinins that stimulate cell division and enlargement, as well as, the synthesis of proteins, nucleic acids and chlorophyll. Application of active yeast caused significant increase in growth parameters and yield of medical plants such as cumin (Al-Doghachi *et al.*, 2012), coriander (Rekaby, 2013), caraway (Botros, 2013) and black cumin (Abdou *et al.*, 2013b).

Effect of interaction: The interaction compost and bio-stimulant between treatments was significant, in both seasons. for four parameters as clear shown in Tables (3 and 4). The highest values were obtained due to compost (7.5 ton/fed) in combination with Sal. (200 ppm) for all studied parameters and with Asc. (200 ppm) for weight of 1000 fruits in the first season. While, in the second season, the best interaction treatments were compost at 7.5 ton/fed with Sal. and Asc., each at 200 ppm or active yeast at 10 g/l.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It may be recommended to use compost at the level of 7.5 ton/fed in combination with Sal. or Asc., each at 200 ppm to give the highest values of vegetative growth and fruit yield production of *Cuminum cyminum* plants.

REFERENCES

- Abdou, M.A.H.; Aly, M.K.; Attia, F.A.;
 Ahmed, E.T. and Al-Shareif, A.M.O. (2013b). Physiological studies on black cumin plant. The 1st Under Press Inter of Hort. Agric. Assuit, February 2013.
- Abdou, M.A.H.; El-Sayed, A.A.; Taha, R.A. and Botros, W.S. (2013a). Physiological studies on caraway plants. Proc. 1st Inter of Hort. Agric. Assuit, February 2013.
- Ahmadian, A.; Tavassoli, A. and Amiri, E. (2011). The interaction effect of water on vield stress and manure oil components, essential oil and chemical composition of cumin (Cuminum African J. Agric. Res., cvminum). 6(10):2309-2315.

- Al-Doghachi, E.H.A.; Hamza, R.K. and Essa, W.M. (2012). Physiological study of the effect spraying with seaweed extracts and addition methods of active dry yeast on vegetative and flowering growth of Cumin plant (*Cuminum cyminum*, L.). Basrah J. Agric. Sci., 25(1):1-12.
- Al-Shewailly, M.SH.R. (2012). Physiological study of the effect planting method and number of plant in hole and spraying of salicylic acid on vegetative growth of cumin plant (*Cuminum cyminum*, L.). Basrah J. Agric. Sci., 25(2):37-46.
- Ani, V., Varadaraj, M.C. and Akhilender, K. (2006). Antioxidant and antibacterial activities of polyphenolic compounds from bitter cumin (*Cuminum nigrum*, L.). Eur. Food Ress. Technol., 224 (1):109-150.
- Arzandi, B. (2014). The effect of salicylic acid different levels on two *Coriandrum sativum* varieties under deficit irrigation condition. European Journal of Zoological Research, 3(1):118-122.
- Asl, S.G. and Moosavi, S.S. (2012). A study and evaluation in organic fertilizers: Effects on seed yield and some main agricultural characteristics on cumin plant Ardabil region conditions. Annals of Biological Research, 3(11):5130-5132.
- Badran, F.S.; Attia. F.A. and Ayat, A.M. (2013). Effect of macro/micro fertilization treatments, as well as, salicylic and ascorbic acids on growth, fruit yield and essential oil of coriander. Plants grown in sandy soil. The first Assuit Inter. Conf. of Horticulture, 24-27th Feb., 2013.
- Badran, F.S.; Aly, M.K.; Hassan, E.A. and Shalaltet, Sh.G. (2007). Effect of organic and biofertilization treatments on cumin plants, The third conf. of Sustainable Agric. Dev., Fayoum, Egypt, Nov. 12-14, 2007:371-380.
- Behjou, F.K.; Holghoomi, R.; Esfahan, E.Z. and Ramezani, M. (2014). Effects of seed

priming with salicylic acid and ascorbic acid on chlorophyll, carotenoids and anthocyanin content in *Matricaria aurea*, L. under drought stress. Euro. J. Exp. Bio., 4(3):595-599.

- Botros, W.S.E. (2013). Physiological Studies on Caraway Plants. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ. Egypt.
- Cleland, C.F. (1974). Journal of plant physiology, 54:899-903.
- Fliessbach, A., Mader, P., Dubois, D. and Gunst, L. (2000). Results from 21 years old field trial. Organic farming enhances soil fertility and biodiversity. Bulletin Research of Organic Agriculture, 1, 15-19.
- Ismail, S.I.I. (2008). Anatomical and Physiological Studies on *Nigella sativa*, L. Plant. Ph.D. Thesis. Fac. Agric., Mansoura Univ., Egypt.
- Kafi, M. (2002). Green cumin, production and processing. Ferdosi University Publication, Mashhad, 195.
- Khan, M.A.; Ahmed, M.Z. Aand Hameed, A. (2006). Journal of Arid Environment, 67, 535-540.
- MSTAT-C (1986). A Microcomputer Program for the Design, Management and Analysis of Agronomic Research Experiments (Version 4.0), Michigan Stat Univ., U.S.
- Patel, N.D.; Patel, Y.B. and Mankad, A.U. (2013). Evaluating growth and development of *Cuminum cyminum*, L. under different fertigations. International Journal of Pharmacy and Life Science, 5(11):3977-3981.
- Rahimi, A.R.; Rokhzadi, A.; Amini, S. and Karami, E. (2013). Effect of salicylic acid and methyl jasmonate on growth and secondary metabolites in *Cuminum cyminum*, L. Journal of Biodiversity and Environmental Sciences, 3(12):140-149.
- Rekaby, A.M. (2013). Improving The Productively of Coriander Plants by The Use of Some Unconventional

Treatments. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Minia Univ.

- Seghatoleslami, M. (2013). Effect of water stress, bio-fertilizer and manure on seed and essential oil yield and some morphological traits of cumin, Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science, 19(6):1268-1274.
- Shala, A.Y.E. (2012). Response of *Foeniculum vulgare*, Mill. and *Carum carvi*, L. to NPK and Ascorbic and

Salicylic Acid Treatments. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Kafr El-Sheikh Univ., Egypt.

Synman, H.G., Jong, D.E. and Aveling, T.A.S. (1998). The stabilization of sewage sludge applied to agricultural land and the effects on maize seedlings. Water Science and Technology, 38(2):87-95.

تأثير معاملات الكمبوست و بعض المنشطات الحيوية على: أ. النمو وإنتاج محصول الثمار لنباتات الكمون

محمود عبد الهادي حسن عبده و محمد كمال عبد العال علي و أحمد عبد المنعم السيد و عماد الدين توفيق أحمد و طارق عبد الناصر حلمي قسم البساتين، كلية الزراعة، جامعة المنيا، مصر .

أجري هذا البحث في مزرعة كلية الزراعة جامعة المنيا خلال موسمي ٢٠١٣/٢٠١٢ و ٢٠١٤/٢٠١٣ بهدف دراسة تأثير سماد الكمبوست (صفر، ٢,٥، ٥,٥ و ٥,٧ طن/فدان) وثمانية معاملات حيوية نشطة (حمض السالس اليك ١٠٠ و ٢٠٠ جزء/مليون، حمض الأسكوربيك ١٠٠ و ٢٠٠ جزء/مليون، الخميرة النشطة عند ٥ و ١٠ جم/لتر، فيتامين ه عند ٥٠ و ١٠٠ جزء/مليون و الكنترول) على النمو وإنتاج محصول الثمار لنباتات الكمون.

أوضحت النتائج أن استعمال الكمبوست (٧,٥ طن/فدان) زاد معنوياً صفات النمو الخضري (إرتفاع النبات وقطر الساق وعدد الفروع والوزن الجاف للعشب/نبات) والصفات المحصولية (عدد النور ات/نبات ومحصول الثمار للنبات وللفدان ووزن ١٠٠٠ ثمرة) مقارنة بالمعاملات الأخرى.

معاملة النباتات بحمض السالساليك وحمض الأسكوربيك والخميرة النشطة وفيتامين هكل بالتركيزين أدى إلى زيادة معنوية في صفات النمو الخضري والصفات المحصولية مقارنة بمعاملة الكنترول عدا حمض الأسكوربيك عند ١٠٠ جزء/مليون على صفة وزن ١٠٠٠ ثمرة في الموسم الأول. وكانت أفضل المعاملات في هذا الشأن هي حمض السالساليك يليه حمض الأسكوربيك ثم الخميرة النشطة وفيتامين هكل عند التركيز العالي و يمكن التوصية باستخدام الكمبوست ٢٥ طن/فدان مع حمض السالساليك أو حمض الأسكوربيك كل بمعدل ٢٠٠ جزء/مليون للحصول على أعلى القيم للنمو الخضري ومحصول الثمار لنباتات الكمون.