

DOI

July.2021 Volume 27 Issue 4

Manuscript ID ZUMJ-1908-1457 (R1)

10.21608/zumj.2019.16251.1457

ORGINAL ARTICLE

Evaluation of Placenta Accreta Index For Prenatal Diagnosis of Morbidly Adherent Placenta in Zagazig University Hospital

Ali Elshabrawy Ali ⁽¹⁾, Mohamed Sabry Mahdy ⁽¹⁾, Basem Mohamed Hamed ⁽²⁾, Alya Abdullah Salem Moftah ⁽³⁾



⁽¹⁾ Professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine Zagazig University
 ⁽²⁾ Lecturer of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine Zagazig University
 ⁽³⁾ Resident of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Sirt University, Libya

Corresponding author : Alya Abdullah Salem Moftah Resident of Obstetrics & Gynecology Sirt University, Libya

salemalia00@gmail.com Submit Date 2019-08-27 Revise Date 2019-09-06 Accept Date 2019-10-18

ABSTRACT

Background: Maternal and fetal mortality and morbidity from placenta previa and placenta accreta present a challenge to the obstetricians and were related with high demands on health resources. With the increase incidence of caesarean sections combined with the increasie of maternal age, the cases of placenta praevia with complications, will continue to increase. Aim of the Work: This study aimed to find out diagnostic value of Placenta Accreta Index Score (PAIS) in prenatal diagnosis of morbidly adherent placenta. Patients and methods: The study was carried at ultrasound unit of obstetrics and gynecology department in Zagazig university hospitals. The study involved 92 cases of placenta previa delivered at zagazig university hospitals. Study Design is Prospective descriptive study. Results: It was found that placenta accreta significantly increased, with increase No. of previous C.S , anterior placenta previa, increase grading of placental lacunae, presence of vascularity in placenta-bladder interface and with obliteration of uteroplacental demarcation, It was found that there is a significant impact of PAIS in diagnosis of placenta accrete. Conclusion: The application of the Placenta Accreta Index may be helpful in predicting individual patient risk for morbidly adherent placenta and significantly improved the antenatal detection of morbidly adherent placenta compared to prior standard interpretation

The application of the score system can be helpful in stratifying individual risk of invasion above the a prior risk based on number of prior cesarean deliveries and placental location. Assigning a score in clinical practice may be helpful in the antenatal diagnosis of MAP and seems to be a key factor in reducing maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality,

Keywords: Placenta Accreta Index, parental Morbidly, Adherent Placenta

INTRODUCTION

orbidly adherent placenta (MAP) defined as a group of conditions, including placenta accreta, increta and percreta, which are related significantly to maternal and fetal mortality and morbidity ⁽¹⁾.

Antenatal diagnosis of MAP and a multidisciplinary aspect to care have the potential to reduce maternal and fetal intrapartum complications, including loss of maternal blood, requirement for transfusion, hysterectomy, intraoperative urological and gastrointestinal injuries and even maternal death ⁽²⁾.

Recently, many studies showed that placenta accreta spectrum (PAS) disorders were undiagnosed before delivery in 50-67% of cases. A study of specialist diagnostic units in the USA, showed that 33% of cases of PAS disorders were not diagnosed during pregnancy. Maternal morbidity and mortality were reduced when women with PAS disorders, especially the invasive formsplacenta increta or percreta-deliver in an excellent center by a multidisciplinary care team with high experience in the management of surgical risks and perioperative challenges for these disorders. Transfer to an excellent center, depends on both recognition of the women at risk of PAS disorders and on accurate prenatal diagnosis ⁽³⁾.

Ultrasound evaluation, with grayscale and color Doppler imaging, is the first-line modality recommended for the diagnosis of MAP. Gravscale ultrasound features suggestive of placenta accreta include the myometrial interface loss or retroplacental clear space, decreased myometrial thickness and presence of intraplacental lacunae⁽⁴⁾.

The next step in predicting placental invasion by ultrasound was to integrate some of the individual sonographic parameters related with MAP into a well-defined scoring system. Previous investigator have proposed a standardized evaluation of women at risk for MAP ⁽⁵⁾. However, these results were based retrospective studies small which recommended further study for validation of scoring model.

AIM OF THE WORK

This study aimed to find out the diagnostic value of Placenta Accreta Index Score (PAIS) in prenatal diagnosis of morbidly adherent placenta.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This prospective cohort study was carried out in the ultrasound unit of obstetrics and gynecology department in Zagazige university hospitals during the period from January 2019 to July 2019. The study included 92 pregnant women undergoing evaluation of placenta accreta index for prenatal diagnosis of morbidly adherent placenta.

Written informed consent obtained from all patients and the study was carried according to the research ethicalii. Score system (placenta accreta index): for committee of Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University. The work has been carried out in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for studies involving humans. **Inclusion criteria:**

- Age (20-45years).
- Sonographic confirmation of placenta previa or low lying anterior placenta in third trimester.
- Presence of uterine scar e.g. previous previous caesarean section. myomectomy history of uterine or perforation.

Exclusion criteria:

- Low lying placenta in first & second trimester.
- Patient with unstable vital signs or in attack of vaginal bleeding.
- Patient without previous uterine scar.

PAIS calculation

We used the reported PAI index that provides a score based on number of previous C.S, Number and maximum dimension of lacunae, obliteration of uteroplacental demarcation. location of placenta, and presence of hypervascularity in placenta-bladder interface **II. Operational design:**

- 1. An informed written consent was taken from all patients.
- 2. A detailed history was taken at first visit including maternal age, parity, gestational age, Number of prior cesarean deliveries, Previous uterine surgeries.
- 3. General examination
- 4. Ultrasound examination: using twodimensional (2D) grayscale imaging and color Doppler flow mapping.

Ultrasound examinations was performed with either a 2-5 MHz curvilinear transabdominal transducer or a 5-9 MHz transvaginal probe. Reports of ultrasound images was used in the clinical management of every patient were recorded electronically in a picture archive and communication system during the study period. Therefore every patient had a hard copy and an electronic archived file of images.

- wasi. Routine ultrasound examination: fetal biometry, amniotic fluid and placental location.
 - diagnosis of MAP, which include:
 - Previous Cesarean deliveries
 - Placental location(placenta previa, anterior)
 - size and number of placental lacunae (an irregular area of low echogenicity larger than 1×1cm in the placental parenchyma)

- Obliteration of the demarcation between the uterus and the placenta.
- Color Doppler assessment of flow in the placental lacunae and placenta–bladder and/or utero-placental interface hyper vascularity.

Follow up:

The patient selected under the inclusion criteria was followed by ultrasound and Doppler ultrasound, complete investigations, any attack of bleeding (nature, amount, duration, frequency, recurrency, hemodynamic stability).

This follow up was regular every three weeks till time of delivery whatever elective or emergency delivery.

Statistical analysis

Data were assessed, entered and analyzed using SPSS version 20. P<0.05 was considered to be the level of statistical significance.

RESULTS

Table (1), showed that the age of the study group was (30.1 ± 6) years ranged from (20-41) years, (34.8%) of them were between (25-30) years. Table (2), showed that there was statistical significant difference between placenta previa and accreta patients regarding gravidity, parity number of previous C.S. But regarding maternal age and abortion, there was no statistical significant difference between the studied patients. Table (3), showed that there was statistical significant difference difference between placenta previa and accreta patients.

accreta patients regarding all PAIS domains including Lacunae maximum dimension and numbers, number of previous C.S. obliteration of utero-placental demarcation and Doppler assessment. Table (4), showed that there was statistical significant difference between placenta previa and accreta patients regarding total PAIS and its classification with (73.8%) of placenta accreta patients had high total PAIS. Table (5), showed that there significant was statistically positive correlation between total PAIS with gravidity, parity, No. of previous C.S and blood transfusion. But regarding gestational age and abortion, there was no statistically significant correlation with total PAIS in the studied group. Table (6), showed that there was statistically significant difference between placenta previa and accreta patients regarding need for ICU and blood transfusion with high need in placenta accreta patients (89.2% and 40.0% respectively). Table (7), showed that operation duration ranged from (1.5 - 2 hours)in (91.3%) of the studied group and more than 2 hours in (8.7%). Table (8), showed that the total PAIS of the studied group was (5.4 ± 1.5) (59.8%) of them were severe class, (23.9%) were moderate and (16.3%) were low class. Table (9), showed that the ability of total PAIS to detect morbidly adherent placenta among the studied group was 98.0% while the ability to exclude negative cases was 54.0% with 76.0% accuracy.

Table (1). Socio-demographic characteristics of the studied group							
Variable	The studied group(92)						
	mean ± SD						
	(Range)						
Age (years)	30.1±6						
	(20-41)						
Variable	NO (92) %						
Age group							
20-25 years	21	22.8%					
25-30 years	32	34.8%					
30-35 years	17	18.5%					
>35 years	22	23.9%					

Table (1): S	ocio-demogra	uphic charac	cteristics of t	he studied gro	oup
	oero erennogra				~ ~ P

Table (2):Comparing age and obstetric history between placenta previa and accreta patients

Table (2):Comparing age a	mu obstet	The mistory of	etween place	inta previa a	nu accie	la patients
Variable	Placenta previa Placenta previa accreta		t-test	p-value		
	Not accreta			mean \pm SD		
	mean \pm SD		(Range)			
	(Range)					
Age	28.4±3.9		30.8±6.6	30.8±6.6		0.08
	(21-36)		(20-41)			
Gravidity	3.1±0.8		4.9±1.4		6.3	0.001**
·	(2-4)		(3-8)			
Devite	1.0.07		2 2 1 1		6	0.001**
Parity	1.9 ± 0.7		3.3 ± 1.1		6	0.001***
	(1-3)		(1-5)			
Gestational age (weeks)	37±0.78		37.9±0.5	37.9±0.5		0.8
	(36-38)		(36-38)			
Variable	Placenta p	revia	Placenta a	Placenta accreta		p-value
					χ²	
	No(27)	%	No(65)	%	_	
Abortion					5.1	0.2
No	23	85.2%	46	70.8%		
Once	4	14.8%	8	12.3%		
Twice	0.0	0.00%	7	10.8%		
Three times	0.0	0.00%	4	6.2%		
No. of previous C.S					11.1	0.001**
1	17	63.0%	17	26.2%		
≥ 2	10	37.0%	48	73.8%		

** Statistically highly significant difference ($P \le 0.001$)

Table (3): Comparing PAIS domains between placenta previa and accreta patients

Variables	Placenta prev	ta previa Placenta accrete		χ^2	p-value	
	No(27)	%	No(65)	%		
Lacunae maximum dimension					20.1	0.001**
No	16	59.3%	11	16.9%		
$\leq 2 \text{ cm}$	7	25.9%	15	23.1%		
-2 cm	4	14.8%	39	60.0%		
Number of Lacunae					21.9	0.001**
No	16	59.3%	11	16.9%		
≤ 2	4	14.8%	9	13.8%		
>2	7	25.9%	45	69.2%		
No. of previous C.S					11.1	0.001**
1	17	63.0%	17	26.2%		
≥ 2	10	37.0%	48	73.8%		
Obliteration of utero-placental					19.4	0.001**
demarcation	10	37.0%	63	96.9%		
Yes	17	63.0%	2	3.1%		
No						
Doppler assessment	6	22.2%	4	6.2%	9.4	0.008*
Blood flow in placental Lacunae						
Hyper-vascularity of placental	21	77.8%	61	93.8%		
bladder						

* Statistically significant difference ($P \le 0.05$)

** Statistically highly significant difference ($P \le 0.001$)

Variable	Placenta previa mean ± SD (Range)		Placenta accreta mean ± SD (Range)		t-test	p-value
Total PAIS	6±1.5 (3-8)		10.6±1.6 (6-12)		9.8	0.001**
Variable	Placenta previa		Placenta accreta		χ²	p-value
	No (27)	%	No (65)	%		
PAIS classification					20.2	0.001**
Low	10	37.0%	5	7.7%		
Moderate	10	37.0%	12	18.2%		
High	7	25.9%	48	73.8%		

Table (4): Comparing total PAIS and its classification between placenta previa and accreta patients

** Statistically highly significant difference ($P \le 0.001$)

Table (5): Correlation between total PAIS with clinical data in the study group

Variable	Total PAIS		
	r^	Р	SIG
Age (years)	0.2	>0.05	NS
Gravidity	0.44	0.001**	HS
Parity	0.49	0.001**	HS
Gestational age (weeks)	0.2	>0.05	NS
Abortion	0.14	>0.05	NS
No. of previous C.S	0.41	0.001**	HS
Blood transfusion	0.33	0.001**	HS

* Statistically significant difference ($P \le 0.05$),

r⁺= correlation coefficient,

NS; Non significant, HS; highly significant.

Table (6):	Comparison be	tween placents	a previa a	and accreta	patients	regarding	blood
transfusion a	and need for ICU						

Variables	Placenta previa		Placenta accreta		χ^2	p-value
	No (27)	%	No (65)	%		
ICU					40.4	0.001**
Yes	6	22.2%	58	89.2%		
No	21	77.8%	7	10.8%		
Blood transfusion					14.6	0.002*
No	4	14.8%	5	7.7%		
1-2 units	12	44.4%	10	15.4%		
3-4 units	9	33.3%	24	36.9%		
\geq 5 units	2	7.4%	26	40.0%		

* Statistically significant difference ($P \le 0.05$)

** Statistically highly significant difference ($P \le 0.001$)

DISCUSSION

In our study it was found that the risk of accreta was 26%, 73.8% in women with 1, prior cesarean deliveries, respectively, ≥2 which were in agreement with the study of Silver et al., ⁽⁶⁾ found that in the cases of placenta previa, the risk of accreta was 11%, 40%, and >60% in women with 1, 2, and 3 prior cesarean deliveries, respectively. The present study showed that there was positive association between morbidly adherent placenta and the following variables : location. smallest mvometrial placental thickness, and hypervascularity in placentabladder interface. Which in agreement withy the results of Comstock (7) and Finberg and Williams⁽⁸⁾ as well as meta-analyses and systematic reviews of **D'Antonio et al.**, ⁽⁴⁾, who showed that there was high sensitivity for these parameters.

The present study confirmed the predictive value of combining patient characteristics with ultrasound variables associated with placental invasion, and it also reported the interaction between the different variables which contribute to individual risk. Which in agreement with the results of Weiniger et al. ⁽⁹⁾, found that the combination of placenta previa, number of prior cesarean deliveries, and ultrasound suspicion of invasion were predictive more than ultrasound variables only, with an area under the receiver operator characteristic curve of 0.85.

In the present study showed that there was statistical significant difference between placenta previa and accreta patients regarding total PAIS and its classification with (73.8%) of placenta accreta patients had high total PAIS. which in agreement with the study of **Abbas et al.** ⁽¹⁰⁾ who found that PAIS is highly predictive of morbidly adherent placenta in patients at risk.

The present study showed that there was statistically significant difference between placenta previa and accreta patients regarding need for ICU and blood transfusion with high need in placenta accreta patients, which coincide with the results of **El Gelany et al.** ⁽¹¹⁾ and **Kandil et al.** ⁽¹²⁾ studies.

The present study showed that the diagnostic value of PAIS with

histopathological findings in placenta previa patient had a sensitivity 98%, a specificity 54%, positive predictive value (PPV) 68%, and negative predictive value (NPV) 96%, which nearly agreement with the study of Sefty et al. ⁽¹³⁾, who reported that the of diagnostic value PAIS with histopathological findings in placenta previa patient had a sensitivity 70%, a specificity 81,8%, positive predictive value (PPV) 77.8%, and negative predictive value (NPV) 75%

Rac ⁽¹⁴⁾ study design was retrospective study from 1997 till 2011, where our study was prospective study from January 2019 till July 2019. In their study, of 184 gravidas who met inclusion criteria, 54 (29%) had invasion confirmed on hysterectomy specimen. All sonographic parameters were associated with placental invasion (P < .001), where our study involved 92 cases 65 of them were placenta previa accreta, the other 27 were placenta previa without invasion.

The present study showed that there was statistical significant difference between placenta previa and accreta patients regarding number of previous C.S, which in agreement with the study of **Chattopadhyay et al.** ⁽¹⁵⁾, who reported a higher incidence of placenta accreta in patients with two or more previous CS (59.2%) compared with patients with one previous CS (10%) of their patients.

As regarding, duration of operation there was significant difference in duration of operation which is longer in placenta accreta cases.

Conclusion: The application of the Placenta Accreta Index may be helpful in predicting individual patient risk for morbidly adherent placenta and significantly improved the antenatal detection of morbidly adherent placenta compared to prior standard interpretation

No conflict of interest

No financial disclosures

REFERENCES

1- Gielchinsky Y., Rojansky N., Fasouliotis S., Ezra Y. Placenta accrete summary of 10 years: a survey of 310 cases. Placenta, 2002; 23 (2-3): 210-214.

- **2- Abuhamad A.** Morbidly adherent placenta. Seminars in perinatology 2013; 37 (5): 359-364.
- **3- Jauniaux E, Collins S, Burton G.** Placenta accreta spectrum: pathophysiology and evidence-based anatomy for prenatal ultrasound imaging. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2018; 218: 75–87.
- 4- D'Antonio F, Iacovella C, Bhide A. Prenatal identification of invasive placentation using ultrasound: systematic review and metaanalysis. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2013; 42:509–517.
- 5- Gilboa Y, Spira M, Mazaki-Tovi S, Schiff E, Sivan E, Achiron R. A novel sonographic scoring system for antenatal risk assessment of obstetric complications in suspected morbidly adherent placenta. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine 2015; 34 (4):561-567.
- 6- **Silver RM.** Abnormal placentation: placenta previa, vasa previa, and placenta accreta. Obstet Gynecol. 2015; 126 : 654–668.
- 7- Comstock CH. Antenatal diagnosis of placenta accreta: a review. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2005; 26:89–96.
- 8- Weiniger CF, Einav S, Deutsch L, Ginosar Y, Ezra Y, Eid L. Outcomes of prospectivelycollected consecutive cases of antenatalsuspected placenta accreta. Int J Obstet Anesth. 2013; 22 : 273-279.
- **9- Finberg HJ and Williams JW.** Placenta accreta: prospective sonographic diagnosis in patients with placenta previa and prior cesarean section. J Ultrasound Med. 1992; 11: 333–343.

- 10- Abbas A, Hussein A, Abdelaleem A, Salah M. 816: Prediction of Morbidly adherent placenta using ultrasonographic Placenta Accreta Index (PAI). American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology 2019; 220 (1): S534-S535.
- 11- El Gelany S, Mosbeh M, Ibrahim E, Khalifa M, Abdelhakium A, Yousef. Placenta Accreta Spectrum (PAS) disorders: incidence, risk factors and outcomes of different management strategies in a tertiary referral hospital in Minia, Egypt: a prospective study. BMC pregnancy and childbirth 2019; 19 (1): 1-8.
- 12- Kandil M, Sayyeda T, Salaha A, Al Gilanyb
 N. Maternal and neonatal outcomes of placenta accreta: a descriptive case series study. Menoufia Medical Journal 2019; 32(1): 368.
- **13-** Sefty M, Setyorini I, Dian Tjahyadi. Diagnostic tests of placenta accreta index score (PAIS) as supporting prenatal diagnosis and outcomes of maternal neonatal in abnormally invasive placenta management at general hospital of Hasan Sadikin Bandung. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol. 2017; 6(9):3765-3769
- 14- Rac MW, Dashe JS, Wells CE, Moschos E, McIntire DD, Twickler DM. Ultrasound predictors of placental invasion: the Placenta Accreta Index. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology 2015; 212 (3): 343-e1.
- **15- Chattopadhyay S, Kharif H, Sherbeeni M.** Placenta praevia and accreta after previous caesarean section. European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology 1993; 52(3) : 151-156.

How to Cite

Salem Moftah, A., elshabrawi, A., Mahdy, M., hamed, B. Evaluation of Placenta Accreta Index For Prenatal Diagnosis of Morbidly Adherent Placenta in Zagazig University Hospital. *Zagazig University Medical Journal*, 2021; (745-751): -. doi: 10.21608/zumj.2019.16251.1457