

THE INFLUENCE OF PHOSPHORUS SOURCES ON CHEMICAL COMPOSITION AND PRODUCTION OF GRAPE VINE UNDER FERTIGATION SYSTEM

Abou-Zied, S.T.; Y.A. Abdel-Aal; Amal L. Abd El Latif and M.M. Afify
Soils Science Dept., Fac. of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.

○

ABSTRACT

Field experiment was conducted with grapevine grown in sandy soil under drip irrigation at El Sadat city, Menoufiya Governorate, Egypt for two consecutive seasons (2006 and 2007) to investigate the effect of different sources of phosphorous fertilizers on Thompson seedless grapevine yield, average cluster weight, berry juice measurements, (TSS, Acidity, TSS/acidity) and chemical composition of blades. Four sources of phosphorous were used, i.e. phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4), monoammonium phosphate (MAP), ammonium polyphosphate (APP) and urea phosphate (UP) with two rates, 20 and 40 kg P_2O_5 fed.⁻¹ applied as once, twice and three times /week through irrigation water. Results revealed that phosphorous at 40 kg P_2O_5 fed.⁻¹ increased significantly vine yield and improved fruit quality more than 20 kg P_2O_5 fed.⁻¹ under all treatments. As regards to sources of phosphorous, yield, cluster weight, berry juice measurements and chemical composition of blades, UP and APP were better than H_3PO_4 and MAP under the two rates and the different patterns of applications. Also the results showed that application of phosphorous fertilizers at three times /week was the best.

Keywords: Grapevine, Thompson seedless, phosphorous fertilizers, fertigation.

INTRODUCTION

On world wide basis, grapes (*vitis vinifera*, L.) considered the fourth crop while it ranked the first largest deciduous fruit crop. Egypt ranks on the world production scale as 14 th largest producer of grapes. Grape vines are heavily planted in the newly reclaimed areas in Egypt. While grape quality is affected by vineyard conditions, it is also depend on cultural practices such as variety and fertilization.

Phosphorous is an essential plant nutrient and commonly limiting nutrient for grape especially in newly reclaimed soil. Because of the low mobility of P in the soil, P fertilizers should be placed near the roots, using the most efficient methods of application. Banding the fertilizer in concentrated zone near the plant root should be more efficient than broadcasting, Randall and Hoelt, (1988). Although the use of P fertilizers in fertigation may cause clogging problems to the system, these problems can be overcome by using acidic fertilizers sources such as H_3PO_4 and UP, Mikkelson, (1989). Fertigation minimizes leaching of water and nutrients from the rhizosphere, thus minimizes ground water contamination, Hagin and Lowengart, (1995) and improves fertilizers use efficiency compared to preplant incorporation, Mohammed *et al.*, (1999), Hagin *et al.*, (2002) and Mohammed *et al.*, (2004).

Kafkafi, (1994) Considered fertigation as an efficient method for providing and supplying available forms of immobile elements such as P, at a desirable level in root zone. Also successful P fertigation has been reported

by Papadopoulos, (2000) who found that the superiority of P fertigation over conventional methods was through maintaining continuous higher concentration of P in the soil solution. In addition Jagdev *et al.*, (2008) reported that fertigation treatment in Thompson seedless grapevine increased P fertilizer use efficiency by 73.6% and gave higher yield than the conventional method of P fertilizer application.

This study was initiated to evaluate the effect of different sources, rates and suitable application patterns of phosphorus fertilizers on chemical composition and production of Thompson seedless grape vine grown in sandy soil under fertigation system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted for two years (2006 and 2007) on one feddan of 5 years old Thompson seedless grape vine supported by Y shape in a vineyard located in El-Sadat city, Minoufya governorate, Egypt.

The soil of the experiment was sandy in texture (Table1). The selected vines were of normal growth, healthy and uniform in figure. Rows and vines spacing were 1.5 X 3 M irrigated by ground water of which EC 0.9 dSm⁻¹ and pH 7.60 under drip irrigation system (two lateral lines per row and emitters 50cm. space of GR type each at 4Lh⁻¹). Twenty four treatments were carried out in three replicates arranged in a complete randomized block design in split split plot. The sources of phosphorus fertilizers used were phosphoric acid (H₃PO₄ 45% P₂O₅), monoammonium phosphate (MAP 60% P₂O₅ and 12%N), ammonium polyphosphate (APP 52% P₂O₅ and 15% N) and urea phosphate (UP 44% P₂O₅ and 18% N). Two rates of phosphorus were used, 20 and 40 Kg P₂O₅fed.⁻¹

Patterns of application were once, twice and three times / week. The phosphorous fertilization started from the first of March up to 15th of April while the rate of ammonium nitrate and potassium sulphate were 80 kg N/Fadden and 120 kg K₂Ofed⁻¹ according to the recommendation of ministry of agriculture for Thompson seedless grapevine were applied from first of March up to 15th of June.

Table 1: Particles size distribution and chemical analysis of soil sample from the experimental sites.

Particle size distribution		Chemical Analysis	
• clay %	4.7	• pH (1-2.5)	8.2
• Silt %	5.0	• EC dSm ⁻¹	0.34
• Fine sand %	22.8	• CaCo3 %	3.7
• Coarse sand %	67.5	• O.M %	0.06
• Texture class	Sandy	• Available nutrients(ppm)	N 32 P 4.6 K 61

The soil samples were air dried, ground in a wooden mortar and passed through a 2 mm pores sieve to be analyzed for physical and chemical characteristics. According to many workers, the following properties were

recorded: texture and total CaCO₃ Black, (1965), pH, EC, organic matter, available K, Jackson, (1973), available P Olsen *et al.*, (1958) and available N, Nelson and Sommers, (1982).

Measurements:-

1- Yield

Yield = average cluster weight per vine X number of clusters per vine

2- Average cluster weight (g)

3- Berry Juice measurements

- a) TSS , expressed as Brix by using hand refract meter
- b) Titratable acidity percentage according to A.O.A.C., (1985)
- c) TSS/acidity

4- Chemical composition of leaf

Representative blades sample was taken and analyzed for macro and micronutrients after washing in sequence with tap water, 0.01 N HCL acidified bidistilled water and bidistilled water, respectively and then dried in a ventilated oven at 70°C for 72 h. till constant weight. The plant sample were ground in stainless steel mill 0.5 mm sieve and kept in plastic containers for chemical analysis. Total nitrogen was determined using micro-kjeldahal, while P and K were measured using spectrophotometer and flame photometer, respectively. Fe, Zn and Mn were measured using atomic absorption spectrophotometer, Perkin Elemer model 1100 according to Jackson, (1973).

Data analysis:

The obtained data were stastically analyzed according to Snedecor and Cochran, (1990), treatments means were compared using the least significant differences LSD at 5% of probability.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1- Yield:-

Results in Table 2 show that UP and APP as P sources gave the highest yield compared with MAP and H₃PO₄. This can be explained by the double acidification effect of UP. These results are in accordance to those obtained by Papadopoulos and Ristimaki – Leena. (2000) and Salem *et al.*, (2004). As regards to the rate of P₂O₅, data revealed that 40 kg P₂O₅fed.⁻¹ increased yield significantly than 20 kg P₂O₅fed.⁻¹ under the four P sources in both seasons. These results are in agreement with the findings obtained by Sidhu *et al.*, (2002), Usha *et al.*, (2004) and Rakicevic *et al.*, (2007). A progressive increase in vine yield was found under the three times of application compared to once, for instance, yield increased by about 10% and 13% in the both seasons, respectively.

Table 2: Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on yield (kg .vine⁻¹) of Thompson seedless grape vine.

Sources	Rates and patterns of application							
	Season 2006							
	20 kg.fed. ⁻¹			Mean	40 kg.fed. ⁻¹			Mean
	Once/week	Twice/week	Three times/week		Once/week	Twice/week	Three times/week	
H ₃ PO ₄	6.42	7.39	7.16	6.99	8.00	8.33	8.97	8.43
MAP	7.20	7.32	7.56	7.36	8.10	8.40	9.00	8.50
APP	7.20	7.50	7.90	7.53	8.20	8.80	9.40	8.80
UP	8.27	8.21	8.27	8.25	8.30	8.90	9.80	9.00
Mean	7.27	7.61	7.72	7.27	8.15	8.61	9.29	8.68
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.61				
	Rates			0.41				
	Patterns			0.31				
Season 2007								
H ₃ PO ₄	7.90	8.17	8.67	8.25	8.53	9.10	9.10	8.91
MAP	7.77	8.10	8.43	8.10	8.43	9.13	9.90	9.15
APP	7.83	8.53	9.07	8.48	8.10	9.60	10.40	9.37
UP	8.00	8.43	9.20	8.54	8.93	9.53	10.87	9.78
Mean	7.88	8.31	8.84	8.34	8.50	9.34	10.07	9.30
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.60				
	Rates			0.23				
	Patterns			0.35				

2- Average cluster weight (g.)

Data presented in Table 3 indicate that UP produced higher vine clusters than the other three sources in the first season while in the second season; MAP was the best under 20kg P₂O₅fed.⁻¹ Similar findings were reported by Salem *et al.*, (2004) who found that cluster weight was heavier by MAP than the other sources. Fertigation at the rate of 40kg P₂O₅fed.⁻¹ resulted in higher cluster weight than 20 kg P₂O₅fed.⁻¹ in both growing seasons (Table 2). This is in agreement with the findings of Sidhu *et al.*, (2002) who reported that bunch weight increased with increasing rate of P.

The highest cluster weight with application at three times compared to once and twice could be attributed to the more uptake of phosphorus. This attribution is in agreement with Mohammed *et al.*, (2004) who found that more P uptake by squash plants grown under continuous P fertigation.

Table 3: Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on average cluster weight (kg.vine⁻¹) of Thompson seedless grape vine.

Sources	Rates and patterns of application								
	Season 2006								
	20 kg.fed. ⁻¹				Mean	40 kg.fed. ⁻¹			Mean
	Once/week	Twice/week	Three times/week	Once/week		Twice/week	Three times/week		
H ₃ PO ₄	0.45	0.48	0.50	0.48	0.46	0.50	0.51	0.49	
MAP	0.45	0.48	0.49	0.47	0.43	0.50	0.51	0.48	
APP	0.47	0.47	0.50	0.48	0.51	0.51	0.52	0.51	
UP	0.48	0.50	0.51	0.50	0.48	0.51	0.53	0.51	
Mean	0.46	0.48	0.50	0.48	0.47	0.51	0.52	0.50	
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.016					
	Rates			0.012					
	Patterns			0.013					
Season 2007									
H ₃ PO ₄	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.48	0.50	0.50	0.49	
MAP	0.44	0.50	0.50	0.48	0.47	0.50	0.50	0.49	
APP	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.49	0.51	0.51	0.50	
UP	0.47	0.46	0.45	0.46	0.50	0.52	0.52	0.51	
Mean	0.46	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.49	0.51	0.51	0.50	
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.03					
	Rates			0.02					
	Patterns			0.02					

3- Berry Juice measurements

a – Total soluble solid (TSS):-

Data presented in Table 4 indicate that APP as P source gives the best measurable TSS, followed by UP and MAP in the two growing seasons. On the other hand, the least TSS was observed with H₃PO₄ treatment. As regards to P rate, 40 kg P₂O₅fed.⁻¹ was better than 20 kg P₂O₅fed.⁻¹ in all treatments in both seasons. These results could be enhanced by those obtained by Salem *et al.*, (2004) and Patil *et al.*, (2008) who reported that raising P rate improved TSS in Thompson seedless grapevine. The results also indicate that the application of P three times / week give the best TSS compared with once and twice in all treatments in both seasons.

b- Acidity:-

Data in Table 5 indicate that the juice acidity was affected by P source, since the accumulation of acid was reduced by APP application. Percentage of acidity in fruit from vines treated with APP was lower than that treated with other sources in the two seasons.

Slightly lower level of acidity was recorded with 20 P₂O₅fed.⁻¹ in the first season, while at the second season lower level of acidity was recorded with 40 kg P₂O₅/fed. compared to 20 kg P₂O₅fed.⁻¹ This is in agreement with the findings of Dhillon *et al.*, (1998) who reported that increasing the rate of phosphorus reduced the fruit acidity.

With regard to the pattern of application the presented data revealed that the mean values of juice acidity under various patterns of application ranged from 0.40 to 0.41 and from 0.41 to 0.40 % for 20 and 40 kg P₂O₅fed.⁻¹ in the second season, respectively.

Table 4 : Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on TSS (%) of Thompson seedless grape vine.

Sources	Rates and patterns of application								
	Season 2006								
	20 kg.fed. ⁻¹				Mean	40 kg.fed. ⁻¹			Mean
	Once/week	Twice/week	Three times/week	Once/week		Twice/week	Three times/week		
H ₃ PO ₄	20.17	20.60	21.33	20.70	21.00	21.50	22.17	21.56	
MAP	21.00	21.53	22.17	21.57	21.67	22.33	23.00	22.33	
APP	21.33	22.17	22.67	22.06	21.17	23.00	24.00	22.72	
UP	21.53	21.67	22.00	21.73	22.17	22.67	22.83	22.56	
Mean	21.01	21.49	22.04	21.51	21.50	22.38	23.00	22.29	
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.22					
	Rates			0.15					
	Patterns			0.19					
Season 2007									
H ₃ PO ₄	20.33	20.70	21.30	20.78	21.00	21.50	22.30	21.60	
MAP	21.00	22.00	23.00	22.00	22.00	22.50	23.40	22.63	
APP	22.00	22.00	23.60	22.53	22.33	23.00	24.00	23.11	
UP	22.00	22.00	23.00	22.33	22.33	22.90	23.37	22.87	
Mean	21.33	21.68	22.73	21.91	21.92	22.48	23.27	22.55	
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.21					
	Rates			0.24					
	Patterns			0.20					

Table 5: Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on Acidity (%) of Thompson seedless grape vine.

Sources	Rates and patterns of application								
	Season 2006								
	20 kg.fed. ⁻¹				Mean	40 kg.fed. ⁻¹			Mean
	Once/week	Twice/week	Three times/week	Once/week		Twice/week	Three times/week		
H ₃ PO ₄	0.41	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.41	0.43	0.43	0.42	
MAP	0.40	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.42	0.42	0.43	0.42	
APP	0.40	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.40	0.41	0.42	0.41	
UP	0.40	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.40	0.41	0.43	0.41	
Mean	0.40	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.42	0.43	0.42	
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.003					
	Rates			0.002					
	Patterns			0.003					
Season 2007									
H ₃ PO ₄	0.43	0.42	0.41	0.42	0.41	0.41	0.40	0.41	
MAP	0.42	0.42	0.41	0.42	0.41	0.41	0.40	0.41	
APP	0.42	0.41	0.40	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.40	0.41	
UP	0.43	0.41	0.41	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.41	0.42	
Mean	0.43	0.42	0.41	0.42	0.41	0.41	0.40	0.41	
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.002					
	Rates			0.002					
	Patterns			0.003					

C-TSS / acidity:-

Data in Table 6 indicate that APP as P source gives the best measurable TSS / Acidity, followed by UP and MAP in the two growing seasons. On the other hand, the least TSS/ acidity were obtained with H₃PO₄. These results were in agreement with Salem *et al.*, (2004) who reported that TSS/ acidity was higher in response to MAP than phosphoric acid in Thompson seedless grape vine.

As regards to P rate, 40 kg P₂O₅fed.⁻¹ was better than 20 kg P₂O₅fed.⁻¹ in all treatments in both seasons. Similar results were obtained by Patil *et al.*, (2008) who found that P at a rate of 500 P₂O₅ha⁻¹ increased TSS / acidity in Thompson seed les grapevine compared with low P rates.

The results also indicate that the application of P three times / week gave the best TSS / acidity compared with once and twice at all treatments in both seasons.

Table 6: Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on TSS / acidity of Thompson seedless grape vine.

Sources	Rates and patterns of application							
	Season 2006							
	20 kg.fed. ⁻¹			Mean	40 kg.fed. ⁻¹			Mean
	Once/ week	Twice/ week	Three times /week		Once/ week	Twice/w eek	Three times /week	
H ₃ PO ₄	47.27	48.29	52.00	49.19	50.00	51.19	54.13	51.77
MAP	48.83	50.87	54.57	51.42	52.87	54.55	57.50	54.97
APP	50.40	53.75	56.23	53.46	54.10	56.10	60.00	56.73
UP	49.70	52.44	57.03	53.06	54.10	55.69	57.10	55.63
Mean	49.05	51.34	54.96	51.78	52.77	54.38	57.18	54.78
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.75				
	Rates			0.41				
	Patterns			0.70				
Season 2007								
H ₃ PO ₄	47.47	49.29	51.97	49.58	50.00	51.19	54.43	51.87
MAP	50.00	52.38	56.13	52.84	53.70	54.88	58.53	55.70
APP	52.40	54.88	59.03	59.03	54.40	56.10	60.00	56.83
UP	50.27	53.66	56.10	53.34	54.40	55.85	58.47	56.24
Mean	49.25	51.78	55.81	53.70	53.13	54.51	57.86	55.16
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.56				
	Rates			0.44				
	Patterns			0.67				

4-Mineral composition:-

a- Nitrogen concentration:-

Data in Table 7 reveal that petiole nitrogen concentration (%) was no significantly affected by various P application sources, rates and patterns of P application in the first season. While in the second season the application of APP led to a significantly higher concentration of nitrogen compared to H₃PO₄. This result is in agreement to some extent with, Dhillon *et al.*, (1998).

Table 7 : Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on nitrogen (N %) of Thompson seedless grape vine.

Sources	Rates and patterns of application								
	Season 2006								
	20 kg.fed. ⁻¹				Mean	40 kg.fed. ⁻¹			Mean
	Once/week	Twice/week	Three times/week	Once/week		Twice/week	Three times/week		
H ₃ PO ₄	2.29	2.30	2.32	2.30	2.30	2.31	2.33	2.31	
MAP	2.39	2.40	2.41	2.40	2.40	2.41	2.42	2.41	
APP	2.40	2.41	2.43	2.41	2.41	2.42	2.44	2.42	
UP	2.38	2.39	2.40	2.39	2.39	2.40	2.41	2.40	
Mean	2.37	2.38	2.39	2.38	2.38	2.39	2.40	2.39	
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.13					
	Rates			0.03					
	Patterns			0.09					
Season 2007									
H ₃ PO ₄	2.24	2.25	2.45	2.31	2.39	2.40	2.42	2.40	
MAP	2.47	2.48	2.50	2.48	2.49	2.50	2.51	2.50	
APP	2.47	2.49	2.52	2.49	2.50	2.51	2.53	2.51	
UP	2.46	2.47	2.49	2.47	2.48	2.49	2.50	2.49	
Mean	2.41	2.42	2.49	2.44	2.47	2.48	2.49	2.48	
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.04					
	Rates			0.07					
	Patterns			0.08					

b- Phosphorus concentrations:-

Phosphorus concentration in petiole under the two rates of P differed significantly among P sources (Table 8). At 20 kg, P concentration ranged from 0.21 to 0.24 and from 0.24 to 0.26 in the two seasons, respectively. While at 40 kg, P concentration ranged from 0.24 to 0.26 and from 0.28 to 0.29 in the two seasons, respectively. In the present study, APP gives the highest values of P concentration compared to the other sources. As regards to P rate, 40 kg P₂O₅fed.⁻¹ was better than 20 kg P₂O₅fed.⁻¹ Similar results were obtained by Osmar and George, (2000) who reported that application of P at rates greater than 25 kg ha⁻¹ increased leaf P concentration. Also Dihlon *et al.*, (1998) indicated that the level of leaf petiole P increased with increasing P doses up to 800g P₂O₅ vine⁻¹ over the control.

The results also indicate that the application of P three times / week gave the highest petiole P content compared with once and twice in all treatments in both seasons.

C-Potassium concentrations:-

The results from data in Table 9 indicate that APP and UP as P sources gave better values than MAP and H₃PO₄. Also application of phosphorous at high rate (40 kg P₂O₅fed.⁻¹) enhanced the uptake of potassium by the vines as compared to the low rate (20 kg P₂O₅fed.⁻¹). These results were in agreement with Dhillon *et al.*, (1998) who reported that increasing P rate from 0 up to 800 g.vine⁻¹ lead to increase petiole K content. Also Nijjar, (1972) recorded that varying levels of P increase K content in Thompson seedless grapevine.

Also the results indicated that application of P three times/week gave better K content of blades than other patterns.

Table 8: Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on phosphorus (P%) of Thompson seedless grape vine.

Sources	Rates and patterns of application								
	Season 2006								
	20 kg.fed. ⁻¹				Mean	40 kg.fed. ⁻¹			Mean
	Once/week	Twice/week	Three times/week	Once/week		Twice/week	Three times/week		
H ₃ PO ₄	0.19	0.21	0.24	0.21	0.23	0.25	0.26	0.25	
MAP	0.20	0.23	0.24	0.22	0.22	0.25	0.26	0.24	
APP	0.21	0.24	0.27	0.24	0.24	0.26	0.27	0.26	
UP	0.21	0.22	0.23	0.22	0.23	0.24	0.26	0.24	
Mean	0.20	0.23	0.25	0.22	0.23	0.25	0.26	0.25	
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.005					
	Rates			0.004					
	Patterns			0.003					
Season 2007									
H ₃ PO ₄	0.22	0.24	0.26	0.24	0.25	0.28	0.30	0.28	
MAP	0.23	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.24	0.28	0.31	0.28	
APP	0.24	0.25	0.28	0.26	0.26	0.30	0.32	0.29	
UP	0.24	0.25	0.28	0.26	0.26	0.30	0.32	0.29	
Mean	0.23	0.25	0.27	0.25	0.25	0.29	0.31	0.29	
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.004					
	Rates			0.005					
	Patterns			0.006					

Table 9: Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on potassium (K%) of Thompson seedless grape vine.

Sources	Rates and patterns of application								
	Season 2006								
	20 kg.fed. ⁻¹				Mean	40 kg.fed. ⁻¹			Mean
	Once/week	Twice/week	Three times/week	Once/week		Twice/week	Three times/week		
H ₃ PO ₄	1.58	1.71	1.77	1.69	1.70	1.83	1.92	1.82	
MAP	1.54	1.70	1.73	1.66	1.68	1.81	1.90	1.80	
APP	1.74	1.76	1.86	1.79	1.84	2.10	2.20	2.05	
UP	1.69	1.76	1.82	1.76	1.87	2.20	2.34	2.14	
Mean	1.64	1.73	1.80	1.72	1.77	1.99	2.09	1.95	
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.08					
	Rates			0.05					
	Patterns			0.07					
Season 2007									
H ₃ PO ₄	1.71	1.81	1.90	1.81	1.80	1.95	2.10	1.95	
MAP	1.60	1.79	1.83	1.74	1.75	1.95	2.02	1.91	
APP	1.80	1.86	1.96	1.87	1.95	2.15	2.25	2.12	
UP	1.78	1.85	1.93	1.85	1.91	2.20	2.20	2.10	
Mean	1.72	1.83	1.91	1.82	1.85	2.06	2.14	2.02	
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.03					
	Rates			0.02					
	Patterns			0.02					

d- Micronutrients (Fe – Zn- Mn) :-

Results presented in Tables 10, 11 and 12 show significant variations in Fe, Zn and Mn concentrations under different P sources during the two seasons. The petiole Fe, Zn and Mn concentration were higher under APP applications compared with other sources. This effect may be attributed to the benefit from the presence of APP in solutions is the fact that iron and zinc can be sequestered by being attached to the various polyphosphate species between two adjacent hydroxyl group. The net effect is to render these materials more soluble and in essence prevent the precipitation. The other advantage for the use of polyphosphates through the sequestering reaction is the fact that these materials are able to maintain higher concentrations of certain micronutrient metals in solution without precipitation (Follet *et al.*, 1981).

Irrespective of P sources, Fe, Zn and Mn concentration responded to increase phosphorus level from 20 to 40 kg/fed. there was an increase in Fe concentration from 120 to 127 ppm and from 123 to 130 ppm in the two seasons respectively. This increase in the micronutrients concentration is similar to the findings of Dhillon, *et al.*, (1998).

Concerning the patterns of application effects, the data in Tables 10, 11 and 12 show that Fe, Zn and Mn were increased slightly under three times of application compared to other patterns.

Table 10: Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on iron (Fe ppm) of Thompson seedless grape vine.

Sources	Rates and patterns of application							
	Season 2006							
	20 kg.fed. ⁻¹			Mean	40 kg.fed. ⁻¹			Mean
	Once/week	Twice/week	Three times/week		Once/week	Twice/week	Three times/week	
H ₃ PO ₄	117.67	118.00	118.00	117.89	124.33	125.00	126.33	125.22
MAP	116.67	117.00	117.00	116.89	123.67	124.00	125.00	124.22
APP	127.30	127.67	129.00	127.99	133.33	131.00	135.00	133.11
UP	117.33	118.67	119.00	118.33	125.33	126.00	127.00	126.11
Mean	119.74	120.34	120.75	120.28	126.67	126.50	128.33	127.17
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			1.27				
	Rates			0.87				
	Patterns			0.95				
Season 2007								
H ₃ PO ₄	121.00	121.00	122.00	121.33	127.00	128.00	129.00	128.00
MAP	120.00	120.00	121.00	120.33	126.67	127.00	127.00	126.89
APP	131.00	131.00	132.00	131.33	136.00	138.00	140.00	138.00
UP	121.00	122.00	122.00	121.67	128.00	129.00	130.00	129.00
Mean	123.25	123.50	124.25	123.67	129.42	130.50	131.50	130.47
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.79				
	Rates			0.45				
	Patterns			0.52				

Table 11: Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on zinc (Zn ppm) of Thompson seedless grape vine.

Sources	Rates and patterns of application							
	Season 2006							
	20 kg.fed. ⁻¹			Mean	40 kg.fed. ⁻¹			Mean
	Once/week	Twice/week	Three times/week		Once/week	Twice/week	Three times/week	
H ₃ PO ₄	27.00	27.00	27.33	27.11	28.00	28.67	29.00	28.56
MAP	26.00	27.00	27.33	26.78	27.00	27.67	28.33	27.67
APP	33.00	33.33	34.00	33.44	35.67	38.33	38.67	37.56
UP	27.33	27.33	28.00	27.55	27.67	28.67	29.00	28.45
Mean	28.33	28.67	29.17	28.72	29.59	30.84	31.25	30.56
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.61				
	Rates			0.47				
	Patterns			0.58				
Season 2007								
H ₃ PO ₄	30.00	31.00	31.00	30.67	31.00	32.00	32.00	31.67
MAP	29.00	30.00	30.00	29.67	30.00	31.00	31.00	30.67
APP	35.00	36.00	38.00	36.33	38.00	41.00	41.00	40.00
UP	30.00	31.00	31.00	30.67	31.00	32.00	32.00	31.67
Mean	31.00	32.00	32.50	31.83	32.50	34.00	34.00	33.50
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.94				
	Rates			0.58				
	Patterns			0.52				

Table 12: Effect of different sources, rates and patterns of phosphorus fertilizers application on manganese (Mn ppm) of Thompson seedless grape vine.

Sources	Rates and patterns of application							
	Season 2006							
	20 kg.fed. ⁻¹			Mean	40 kg.fed. ⁻¹			Mean
	Once/week	Twice/week	Three times/week		Once/week	Twice/week	Three times/week	
H ₃ PO ₄	31.00	31.33	32.00	31.44	33.00	34.67	35.33	34.33
MAP	31.33	31.67	32.00	31.67	32.33	34.33	35.33	34.00
APP	33.33	35.33	38.00	35.55	37.33	39.67	41.67	39.56
UP	31.67	31.67	33.67	32.34	32.67	34.67	35.00	34.11
Mean	31.83	32.50	33.92	32.75	33.83	35.84	36.83	35.50
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.37				
	Rates			0.42				
	Patterns			0.57				
Season 2007								
H ₃ PO ₄	34.00	35.00	36.00	35.00	36.00	37.00	38.00	37.00
MAP	34.00	35.00	35.00	34.67	35.00	37.00	38.00	36.67
APP	38.00	39.00	40.00	39.00	40.00	42.00	44.00	42.00
UP	35.00	35.00	36.00	35.33	36.00	38.00	38.00	37.33
Mean	35.25	36.00	36.75	36.00	36.75	38.50	39.50	38.25
LSD _{0.05}	Sources			0.77				
	Rates			0.51				
	Patterns			0.44				

REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (1990). *Official Methods of Analysis* 14th ed. Association of Official Agricultural Chemists, Washington, D.C.USA.
- Black, C.A. (ed.) (1965). *Method of Soil Analysis*. Agronomy. No. 9, part 2: Amer. Soc., Agronomy, Madison, Wisconsin.
- Dhillon, W.S.; Bindra, A.S. and Brar, B.S. (1998). Response of grapes to phosphorus fertilizer on fruit yield, quality and nutrient status. *J. of the Indian Society of Soil Science* 46 (4): 633-636.
- Follett, R.H.; Murphy, L.S. and Donahue, R.L. (1981). *Fertilizers and Soil Amendments*. ch. (3) P: 32.
- Hagin, J. and Lowengart, A. (1995). Fertigation for minimizing environmental pollution by fertilizers. *Fertilizers Res.*, 43: 5-7.
- Hagin, J.; Sneh, M. and Lowengart, A. (2002). *Fertigation: fertilization through irrigation*. International Potash Institute, Basel, Switzerland.
- Jagdev, S.; Upadhyay, A.K.; Shikhamany, S.D. and Singh, R.K. (2008). Effect of fertilizer application through irrigation water on Thompson seedless grape yield and fertilizer use efficiency. *Acta Horticulturae*; (785):399-408.
- Jakson, M.L. (1973). *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice- Hall Inc. N.J., U.D.A.
- Kafkafi, U. (1994). Combined irrigation and fertigation in arid zones. *Israel. J. Plant. Sci.*, 42: 301-320.
- Mikkelsen, R.L. (1989). Phosphorus fertilization through drip irrigation. *J. Prod. Agr.* 2(3): 279-286.
- Mohammad, M.J.; Ahmed, H. and Ferdows, A.E. (2004). Phosphorus fertigation and preplant conventional soil application of drip irrigated summer squash. *J. Agron.* 3(3): 162-169.
- Mohammed, M.J. ; Zuraiqi, S. ; Quasmch, W. and Papadopoulos, I. (1999). Yield response and nitrogen utilization efficiency by drip irrigated potato. *Nutrient Cycling in Agro ecosystems*, 54: 243-249.
- Nelson, D.W. and Sommers, L.E. (1982). Total Carbon, Organic Carbon and Organic Matter. In: Page, A.L., R. H. Miller and D.R. Keeney, (Eds.), *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part II* (2nd ed.). Madison, WI. Am. Soc. Agron., P: 1159.
- Nijjar, G.S. (1972). Third Int. symp. on Subtropical and Tropical Horticulture, HIS, Bangalore, Feb., P. 188.
- Olsen, S.R.; Cole, C.W.; Wantanabe, F.S. and Dean, L.A. (1958). Estimation of available phosphorus in soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. U.S. Dep. Agric. Circular No. 930, 1-19.
- Osmar, A.C. and George, H. (2000). Tomato responses to preplant incorporated or fertigated phosphorus on soils varying in mehlich-1 extractable phosphorus. *HORTSCIENCE*, 35(1):67-72.
- Papadopoulos, I. and Ristimaki Leena, M. (2000). Nitrogen and phosphorus fertigation of tomato and eggplant. *Acta Horticulturae* (511) : 73-79.
- Papadopoulos, I. (2000). Fertigation: present situation and future prospects. In: Ryan, J. (Ed), *Plant nutrient management under pressurized irrigation systems in the Mediterranean region*. Proceedings of the IMPHOS International Fertigation Work Shop organized by the World Phosphate Institute (IMPHOS). Amman, Jordan. ICARDA, Aleppo, Syria, PP: 232-245.

- Patil, D.R.; Sulikeri, G.S.; Patil, H.B. and Balikai, R.A. (2008). Studies on the integrated nutrient management in Thompson seedless grapes. Acta Horticulturae (785): 383-387.
- Rakicevic, M.; Ogasanovic, D.; Mitrovic, M.; Blagojevic, M. and Stajic, Z.K. (2007). The effects of plant density and rate of fertilizers on yield and fruit size of plum cultivar (cacanska leptica) Acta Horticulturae (734): 401-405.
- Randall, G.W. and Hoefl, R.G. (1988). Placement methods for improved efficiency of P and K fertilizers. A review. J. Prod. Agron. 1:70-78.
- Salem, A.T.; Kilany, A.E. and Shaker, G.S. (2004). The influence of NPK, phosphorus source and potassium foliar application on growth and fruit quality of Thompson seedless grape vines. Acta Horticulturae (640): 163-173.
- Sidhu, A.S.; Tomer, N.S.; Chahil, B.S. and Brar, J.S. (2002). Effect of N, P and K on physicochemical characteristics of grapes (Vitis Vinifera L.) during development. Haryana J. Hort. Sci. 31(1/2): 19-22.
- Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1990). Statistical Methods. The Iowa State Univ. 7th ed. PP. 507.
- Usha, K.; Mathew, R. and Sing, B. (2004). Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) on growth, nutrient uptake and yield of grape (Vitis Vinifera L.). Karnataka J. Hort. 1(1) 56-60.

تأثير مصادر الفوسفور تحت نظام الري التسميدي على التركيب الكيميائي و انتاج محصول العنب

سيد طه أبو زيد ، يوسف علي عبد العال ، أمل لطفي عبد اللطيف و مصطفى محمد عفيفي
قسم علوم الأراضي – كلية الزراعة – جامعة القاهرة – الجيزة – مصر .

أجريت تجربة حقلية في أرض رملية منزرعة بالعنب تحت نظام الري بالتنقيط في مدينة السادات – محافظة المنوفية – مصر لمدة موسمين 2006-2007 لدراسة تأثير المصادر والمعدلات المختلفة للأسمدة الفوسفاتية علي عنب الطومسون سيدلس من حيث المحصول ، متوسط وزن العنقود ، المواد الصلبة الكلية ، الحموضة ، المواد الصلبة الكلية / الحموضة والتركيب الكيميائي في أنصال الأوراق مستخدماً أربع مصادر للفوسفور وهي (حمض الفوسفوريك ، فوسفات أحادي الأمونيوم ، بولي فوسفات الأمونيوم و يوريا فوسفات) ومعدلين للإضافة وهما (20 ، 40 كجم فوراً / فدان) ونمط إضافة مرة واحدة ، مرتين وثلاث مرات في الأسبوع من خلال مياه الري .

- وقد أوضحت النتائج ما يلي :

- معدل الإضافة 40 كجم / فدان أعطي أفضل نتائج مقارنة بمعدل 20 كجم / فدان في كل المعاملات في كلا الموسمين
- اليوريا فوسفات و بولي فوسفات الامونيوم كانا أفضل من حامض الفوسفوريك و فوسفات أحادي الأمونيوم
- الإضافة ثلاث مرات في الأسبوع أعطت نتائج أفضل من الإضافة مرة واحدة أو مرتين في الأسبوع على التوالي.

قام بتحكيم البحث

كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنصورة
كلية الزراعة – جامعة القاهرة

أ.د / خالد حسن الحامدي
أ.د / محمد إبراهيم الخرباوي

