#### EFFECT OF PHOSPHORUS FERTILIZATION AND FARMYARD MANURE APPLICATION ON GROWHT AND YIELD OF PEANUT

### Diab, M. ; M. S. Zaid and M. A. Akila

Soil and Water Dept., Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar University .

#### ABSTRACT

This work aims to study the effect of farmyard manure addition in combined with different rates of inorganic phosphate fertilizer on the growth and yield of peanut grown in sandy soil . Sprinkler irrigation system was used during two summer seasons (2005 and 2006) at Ahmed Ramy village , El-Bustan , El-Beheira Governorate .

The natural organic manure was added for improving soil characteristics as well as enhancing nutrients availability were taken into consideration .

The obtained results strongly confirmed that application of farmyard manure increased organic matter content, CEC and decreased the hydraulic conductivity of the investigated soil .

The results also showed that the application of organic materials either alone or in combination with inorganic P fertilizers caused a substantial increase in available N, P,K and micro nutrients (Fe , Mn , Cu , Zn ) as well as peanut yield ( straw and kernel ).

The highest yield (biomass and kernel) and other growth parameters were obtained when farmyard manure was applied in combination with the recommended dose of inorganic phosphorus fertilizer.

The results also showed that application of farmyard manure combined with inorganic phosphorus fertilizer caused a substantial increase in the peanut kernel content from NPK and nutrients availability in soil.

Keywords ; phosphorus fertilization , farmyard manure , peanut , growth , yield .

#### INTRODUCTION

The increase of population in Egypt requires putting new desert soil , either sandy & calcareous ( which occupies about 96 % of the total area ) under cultivation .

As it is well known that desert sandy soil is poor in organic matter content , both total and available essential nutrients for plant growth as well as inadequate water retention .

In additions the application of either organic manure or inorganic fertilizer alone or in combination with each other lead to raise the nutrients content of soil and improve soil characteristics.

Under such severe conditions, the productivity of different crops grown in this soil tends to decrease markedly. The resultant widespread chemical fertilizers demand and their high prices make their use uneconomic for certain crops in sandy soils. It is widely recognized that organic substances play a direct role in sustaining soil fertility, as they are sources of plant nutrients.

Amendment of the soils with organic manure improves their physical, chemical and biological properties which in turn, influence the growth and

development of plants (Khadr *et al*., 1988; Antoun *et al*., 1989). When organic solid composts are incorporated into the soil, a gradual assimilation occurs through chemical and biological reactions. Abdel-Sabour *et al*., (1997) evaluated the effect of organic waste composts on the hydro-physical properties of a desert sandy soil. They found that all treatments resulted in reduced bulk density and greater water holding capacity. Abdel-Aziz *et al*., (1996) found that the application of farmyard manure did not show any change in soil texture, while soil bulk density was decreased as well as infiltration rate and hydraulic conductivity were increased.

Fiedler . et al. (1989), carried out an experiment in Nebraska to predict the most profitable amount of P fertilizer to winter wheat in terms of grain yield increase as influenced by soil test P and method of P application vield increase to applied P was related by multiple regression to rate and method of P application. When grain yield increased with applied P, seed applied P results in much higher grain yield increase per kilogram of applied P than broadcast P Khalil et al. (2000), found that the addition of different organic manures significantly increased the dry matter yield of corn plants in both sandy and clayey soil, In addition, the application of organic manure significantly increased N, P and K uptake by corn plants. They recommended the use of any organic manure in combination with inorganic fertilizers (NPK) increased dry matter yield ,N,P and K uptake, particularly in sandy soils.El-Fayoumy and Ramadan (2002), found that peanut pod yield was significantly affected by organic manure application. Basyony et al. (2004), studied the response of plants grown in sandy soil to organic and inorganic fertilization. Peanut pods yield, grains, and straw yields of wheat were enhanced by the addition of compost combined with inorganic fertilizers. The effect of compost application on the yields of wheat was enhanced by the addition of compost combined with mineral fertilizers. The effect of compost application on the yields of peanut and wheat, gave higher yields than that of the untreated soil, whereas, the results indicated the superiority of compost for increasing the pod yields and 100 seed weight of peanut and grain and straw yields of wheat as compared to untreated one (without compost).

The aim of this investigation was to study the effect of farmyard manure and inorganic phosphorus application in combination with each other or in alone on peanut plants yields and nutrient availability in sandy soil.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted to study the effect of different rates of either organic manure or inorganic P fertilizer (ordinary supper phosphate 15.5 % P O ) added to soil alone or in combination with each other on the growth and yield of peanut crop growing in sandy soils under sprinkler irrigation system during the two summer seasons of 2005 and 2006 at Ahmed Ramy village, El-Bustan, El-Beheira Governorate . In order to achieve the above objective the experimental site was classified into equal parts of experimental area where the plant area was 20 m<sup>2</sup> (2 m× 10 m).

This experiment consisted 17 treatments arranged in complete randomized design with four replicates including the control.

All treatments received the recommended rates of N,P ,K and micronutrients ( Zn , Cu , Fe and Mn ) and also the recommended dose of farmyard manure ( control ) .

Four additional rates (250,300,350 and 400 kg fed <sup>-1</sup>) from inorganic phosphorus fertilizer( ordinary super phosphate 15.5% P O ) and four additional rates (25, 30, 35 and 40 m<sup>3</sup> fed <sup>-1</sup>) from farmyard manure and also the combination of P fertilizer and FYM were 200 kg P fed <sup>-1</sup> + 5,10,15,20 m<sup>3</sup> (FYM) and 20 m<sup>3</sup> fed <sup>-1</sup> from FYM +50, 100,150 and 200 kg fed <sup>-1</sup> from P fertilizer . All additional rates from inorganic phosphorus fertilizer and organic manure (FYM) represent (25,50,75 and 100%) from recommended dose (200 kg fed <sup>-1</sup> supper phosphate ) and ( 20 m<sup>3</sup> fed <sup>-1</sup> FYM ).

Surface (o – 30 cm ) and sub surface ( 30 - 60 cm ) soil samples were collected to analyze the main physical, chemical and fertility characteristics Table 1 according to the methods described by Black (1965). The applied farmyard manure analysis was done and results are recorded in Table 2 .

Giza 5 peanut cultivar seeds were sown at the first week of May and harvested at the second week of September for two growing seasons and 360 plants per plot were kept after thinning to one plant per hill .

After 40 days of planting, five random plants per plot were picked from all the treatments, thoroughly washed with tap water, followed by distilled water, dried in an oven at 65 c for 48 hr and ground in a stainless steel mill, then stored for chemical analysis. The number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, pods weight plant<sup>-1</sup>, 100 kernel weight, were determined for each treatment. Total pod and kernel yield for each plot were weight and related to kg fed <sup>-1</sup>.

Plant samples were digested to determine N,P ,K and micronutrients (Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu) contents according to Page *et al* (1982) and Jackson , (1973) .

After harvesting soil samples from experimental plots were collected for the determination of available N,P ,K and micronutrients (Fe,Mn,Zn and Cu) using the methods described by Page *et al* (1982).

| ſ |      | Ec                    | C/N   | Organic | c<br>Organic<br>matter, % | Total |      |      |     |     |     |     |  |  |
|---|------|-----------------------|-------|---------|---------------------------|-------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
|   | pН   | (dS m <sup>-1</sup> ) | ratio | %       |                           | N %   | Р%   | Κ%   | Fe, | Mn, | Zn, | Cu, |  |  |
|   | рп   |                       |       | /0      |                           |       |      | r 70 | ppm | ppm | ppm | ppm |  |  |
|   | 3.42 | 7.53                  | 1:19  | 5.82    | 10                        | 0.31  | 0.21 | 0.61 | 207 | 71  | 36  | 14  |  |  |

Table 2 : Some chemical properties of the used farmyard manure .

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Effect of phosphorus fertilization and farmyard manure application on peanut growth parameters and yield

The results shown in Table 1 indicate that the soil layers up to 60 cm depth are characterized by sand texture with low content of CaCo3 (1.98 -2.90 %), slightly alkaline and Ec values varied between 0.12 and 0.21 dS  $m^{-1}$ . The hydraulic conductivity is very high (20.60 – 25.01 cm/h). In view of soil fertility soil samples were deficient in nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, organic matter and micro nutrients .

Data of Table 3 and Figure 1 and 2 show that the values of peanut growth parameters and yield increased with increasing the rate of both phosphorus and farmyard manure fertilization added to soil alone or in combination with each other .

|         |                          | applicat                                 |   | boundt                                |  |                                |                                      |   |   |
|---------|--------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| Trea    | atments                  | Biomass<br>yield<br>kg fed <sup>-1</sup> | pod<br>weight<br>plant <sup>-1</sup><br>(g) | No, of<br>pods<br>plant <sup>-1</sup> | Kernel<br>yield<br>kg<br>fed <sup>-1</sup> | 100<br>kernel<br>weight<br>(g) | Pod<br>yield kg<br>fed <sup>-1</sup> | Fresh<br>weight<br>of kg<br>fed <sup>-1</sup> | Dry<br>weight<br>of kg<br>fed <sup>-1</sup> |
| Control | (P <b>0</b> F <b>0</b> ) | 1960                                     | 30  | 29                                    | 340  | 51                             | 659                                  | 1275  | 600   |
|         | F1                       | 2998                                     | 49  | 34                                    | 525  | 67                             | 998                                  | 2000  | 950   |
| Р*      | F2                       | 3526                                     | 59  | 40                                    | 610  | 69                             | 1176                                 | 2350  | 1095  |
|         | F3                       | 3730                                     | 64  | 43                                    | 645  | 73                             | 1230                                 | 2500  | 1130  |
|         | F4                       | 4060                                     | 47  | 47                                    | 695  | 78                             | 1360                                 | 2700  | 1235  |
| Mean    |                          | 3578.5                                   | 59.75                                       | 41                                    | 618.75                                     | 71.75                          | 1191                                 | 2387.5  | 1102.5                                      |
|         | P1                       | 2660                                     | 37  | 29                                    | 449  | 62                             | 870                                  | 1790  | 799   |
| Р*      | P2                       | 2780                                     | 42  | 34                                    | 471  | 65                             | 920                                  | 1860  | 850   |
|         | P3                       | 2950                                     | 45  | 38                                    | 495  | 67                             | 980                                  | 1970  | 900   |
|         | P4                       | 3070                                     | 52  | 41                                    | 520  | 70                             | 1020                                 | 2050  | 925   |
| Mean    |                          | 2865                                     | 44  | 35.5                                  | 483.75                                     | 66                             | 947.5                                | 1917.5  | 868.5                                       |
|         | P1                       | 2735                                     | 40  | 30                                    | 470  | 64                             | 919                                  | 1835  | 835   |
| F*      | P2                       | 2978                                     | 45  | 34                                    | 500  | 67                             | 1015                                 | 2000  | 915   |
|         | P3                       | 2270                                     | 52  | 38                                    | 563  | 70                             | 1130                                 | 2200  | 995   |
|         | P4                       | 2440                                     | 60  | 42                                    | 590  | 75                             | 1185                                 | 2310  | 1050  |
| Mean    |                          | 2855.75                                  | 49.25                                       | 36                                    | 530.75                                     | 69                             | 1062.25                              | 2086.25                                       | 948.75                                      |
|         | F1                       | 2754                                     | 43  | 32                                    | 480  | 66                             | 915                                  | 1820  | 850   |
| F*      | F2                       | 3015                                     | 49  | 37                                    | 513  | 70                             | 998                                  | 1980  | 925   |
|         | F3                       | 3330                                     | 56  | 40                                    | 575  | 72                             | 1100                                 | 2170  | 1010  |
|         | F4                       | 3495                                     | 64  | 45                                    | 600  | 72                             | 1150                                 | 2290  | 1070  |
| Mean    |                          | 3148.5                                   | 53  | 38.5                                  | 542  | 70                             | 1040.75                              | 2065  | 963.75                                      |
|         |                          |  |   |                                       |  |                                |                                      |   |   |

Table 3 : Effect of phosphorus fertilization and farmyard manure application on peanut growth parameters and yield.

P\*:The recommended dose (200 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> super phosphate 15.5% P2 O5 )

F\*: The recommended dose (20 m<sup>3</sup> fed<sup>-1</sup> FYM)

P1 : P<sup>\*</sup> + 25 % from P<sup>\*</sup> ( 225 kg ) P2 : P<sup>\*</sup> + 50 % from P<sup>\*</sup> ( 250 kg ) P3 : P<sup>\*</sup> + 75 % from P<sup>\*</sup> ( 275 kg )

F 1 : F<sup>\*</sup> + 25 % from F\* (25 m<sup>3</sup>) F 2 : F<sup>\*</sup> + 50% from F\* (30 m<sup>3</sup>)

P4 : P<sup>\*</sup> + 100% from P\* (400 kg )

F 3 : F<sup>\*</sup> + 75 % from F\*(35 m<sup>3</sup>) F 4 : F<sup>\*</sup> + 100% from F\*(40 m<sup>3</sup>)

Diab, M.; M. S. Zaid and M. A. Akila

Figure 1:Effect of phosphorus fertilization and farmyard manure application on peanut yield (biomass and kernel).

Figure 2 : Effect of phosphorus fertilization and farmyard manure application on peanut yield (pods and 100 kernel).

This was true for all treatments. The highest values for either growth parameters or peanut yields were obtained at rate of P combined with different rats of farmyard manure .This results indicated that recommended dose as organic fertilizer has a beneficial effect on the availability of phosphorus in soil which the results showed that the highest kernel yield (618.75 kg fed<sup>-1</sup>,1191 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> pod yield) and (3528.5 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> biomass yield) were obtained by adding the recommended dose of P with FYM application .

In this respect the mean lowest values were obtained at different rates of P indicating that organic manure improve soil characteristics and has supplying power of nutrients to plant.

Moreover the other treatments represent an intermediate case . These results are in harmony with there obtained by EI- Fayoumy and Ramadan (2002), who found that peanut pod yield was significantly affected by organic manure application . From this results , it can be concluded that mixing the organic materials with recommended dose of P fertilizers more benefits than increasing the dose of P fertilizers alone .

Effect of phosphorus fertilization and farmyard manure application on the content of N P K in peanut kernel.

Data in Table 4 and Figure 3 indicate that all treatments increased the kernel content of N , P and K .The highest amounts of phosphorus absorbed were obtained from the treatments which received the phosphorus application (134.75 ppm). It is noticed also that as the rate of phosphorus increased P content in kernel peanut increased.

| Table   | 4 | :Effect | of | phosphorus | fertilization | and | farmyard | manure |  |  |
|---|---|---------|----|------------|---------------|-----|----------|--------|--|--|
| application on the content of N P K in peanut kernel. |   |         |    |            |               |     |          |        |  |  |

| r       | application |            |            |            |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|         | Treatments  | N<br>(ppm) | P<br>(ppm) | K<br>(ppm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control |             | 110        | 85         | 180        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | F1          | 180        | 120        | 260        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P *     | F2          | 195        | 129        | 295        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | F3          | 215        | 139        | 310        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | F4          | 225        | 144        | 325        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean    | •           | 203.75     | 133        | 297.5      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | P1          | 160        | 120        | 235        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Р*      | P2          | 175        | 131        | 246        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | P3          | 190        | 140        | 257        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | P4          | 210        | 148        | 265        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean    | •           | 183.75     | 134.75     | 250.75     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | P1          | 185        | 119        | 250        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F*      | P2          | 200        | 128        | 265        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | P3          | 219        | 137        | 290        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | P4          | 230        | 143        | 315        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean    | ·           | 208.5      | 131.75     | 280        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | F1          | 200        | 114        | 255        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F*      | F2          | 210        | 124        | 275        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | F3          | 219        | 130        | 295        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|         | F4          | 239        | 135        | 310        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean    | •           | 217        | 125.75     | 288.75     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3 : Effect of phosphorus fertilization and farmyardmanure application on the content NPK in peanut kernel .

The results show that the highest values of kernel content of N were obtained with FYM treatments (217 ppm) while kernel content of K were obtained with recommended dose of P in combination with FYM (297.5 ppm)

From above mentioned results it can be concluded that additions of farmyard manure with inorganic phosphorus fertilizer increased the contents of NPK in peanut . This is may be due to the increasing availability of nutrients in soil . These results are in agreement with Samya E.H. Omran , Eman A.I.Mohamed and Amal H. El – Guibali . (2009). They found that application of FYM with the recommended dose of N and P increased N,P and K contents in kernel.

## Effect of phosphorus fertilization and farmyard manure application on the content of N P K in peanut straw.

Data of Table 5 and Figure 4. Show the effect of phosphorus fertilizers and FYM application on content of N,P and K peanut straw.

Data of Table 5 show that all treatments increased N,P and K content and also, increased by the application rate .

|         | Treatments | N<br>(ppm) | P<br>(ppm) | K<br>(ppm) |
|---------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Control |            | 120        | 90         | 185        |
|         | F1         | 160        | 110        | 210        |
| P *     | F2         | 185        | 117        | 221        |
|         | F3         | 199        | 123        | 230        |
|         | F4         | 210        | 130        | 239        |
| Mean    |            | 188.5      | 120        | 225        |
|         | P1         | 146        | 116        | 199        |
| Р*      | P2         | 155        | 125        | 210        |
|         | P3         | 165        | 130        | 215        |
|         | P4         | 175        | 138        | 223        |
| Mean    |            | 160.25     | 127.25     | 211.75     |
|         | P1         | 155        | 111        | 209        |
| F*      | P2         | 175        | 118        | 219        |
|         | P3         | 195        | 126        | 228        |
|         | P4         | 205        | 131        | 237        |
| Mean    |            | 182.5      | 121.5      | 223.25     |
|         | F1         | 162        | 112        | 200        |
| F*      | F2         | 183        | 117        | 212        |
|         | F3         | 200        | 122        | 229        |
|         | F4         | 215        | 123        | 245        |
| Mean    |            | 190        | 118.5      | 221.50     |

# Table 5 : Effect of phosphorus fertilization and farmyard manure application on the content of N P K in peanut straw.

Again as mentioned for kernel content of N,P and K, the straw content of P was more pronounced in P treatments and then P and FYM combination while straw content of K,P and FYM combination treatments were more than other treatments .

In all cases kernel and straw content from N in FYM treatments were the highest .

Figure 4 : Effect of phosphorus fertilization and farmyard manure application on the content of N P K in peanut straw.

Comparing the content of peanut kernel and straw . It is noticed that the values of kernel content of N , P and K were more than of straw content this is may be due to that the increasing P fertilizers increased the yield and P,N, K content by plants . That is P may have increased the physiological activity of the roots and accordingly P fertilization can enhance P content by plants .

Also, increasing K in grains with increasing P and farmyard manure fertilizers could be explained by forming greater ATP which could increase nutrient influx . Also , P significantly increased root surface area and this was important in supplying the nutrients needed by plants . Hallmark and Barber (1984) , Khalil and Aly (2004) who found that the additions of chicken manure at planting give the highest levels of N ,P and K percentage in grains and protein . Reading to the increase of grains content of N by increasing P application rate , this may be due to the interaction effect of N and P on grain yield and content were significant. Increasing grains content of K by increasing the application rate of P may be due to the energy–rich phosphorus (in the form of ATP) and the close relations ship between K-content and ATP as activity .

## Effect of phosphorus fertilization and farmyard manure application on the availability of some nutrients in soils .

Data of Table 6 and Figure 5 show the values of available nutrients in soils after peanut cultivation. The results indicated that all treatments increased the studied available nutrients FYM application was the best treatments for increasing N and K availability in soil.

|        |         | N     | Р     | K     | Ν     | Micro nutrient (ppm) |      |      |  |
|--------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------------|------|------|--|
| Tre    | atments | (ppm) | (ppm) | (ppm) | Fe    | Mn                   | Zn   | Cu   |  |
| Contro |         | 14.10 | 5.10  | 65    | 7.05  | 3.80                 | 1.25 | 0.50 |  |
|        | F1      | 30.10 | 11.48 | 82    | 8.55  | 7.40                 | 1.91 | 0.71 |  |
| Р*     | F2      | 36.15 | 12.60 | 86    | 10.09 | 8.20                 | 2.72 | 0.95 |  |
|        | F3      | 39.22 | 13.70 | 89    | 10.80 | 10.80 9.90 3.4       |      | 1.02 |  |
|        | F4      | 43.25 | 14.90 | 96    | 11.40 | 10.70                | 3.97 | 1.20 |  |
| Mean   |         | 37.18 | 13.17 | 88.25 | 10.21 | 9.5                  | 3    | 0.97 |  |
|        | P1      | 23.09 | 11.97 | 79    | 8.45  | 6.90                 | 1.65 | 0.60 |  |
| Р*     | P2      | 25.12 | 12.98 | 82    | 9.14  | 7.95                 | 1.87 | 0.75 |  |
| Maan   | P3      | 27.14 | 14.18 | 84    | 9.18  | 8.75                 | 2.35 | 0.81 |  |
|        | P4      | 31.16 | 15.26 | 89    | 11.91 | 9.76                 | 3.20 | 0.89 |  |
| Mean   |         | 26.62 | 13.80 | 83.50 | 9.75  | 8.34                 | 2.26 | 0.76 |  |
|        | P1      | 29.11 | 13.45 | 78    | 8.90  | 7.50                 | 2.05 | 0.69 |  |
| F*     | P2      | 31.14 | 13.60 | 82    | 9.14  | 8.60                 | 2.35 | 0.92 |  |
|        | P3      | 32.16 | 14.00 | 86    | 11.11 | 9.20                 | 2.95 | 0.99 |  |
|        | P4      | 34.19 | 14.10 | 90    | 11.81 | 10.30                | 3.15 | 1.10 |  |
| Mean   |         | 31.65 | 13.78 | 84    | 10.24 | 8.90                 | 2.62 | 0.92 |  |
|        | F1      | 32.00 | 11.05 | 83.10 | 8.65  | 7.50                 | 1.95 | 0.76 |  |
| F*     | F2      | 37.00 | 12.10 | 86.70 | 10.15 | 8.31                 | 2.79 | 1.05 |  |
|        | F3      | 39.50 | 13.17 | 89.60 | 10.95 | 9.95                 | 3.50 | 1.10 |  |
|        | F4      | 44.10 | 14.25 | 96.90 | 11.97 | 10.79                | 4.12 | 1.27 |  |
| Mean   |         | 38.15 | 12.64 | 89    | 10.43 | 9.13                 | 3.1  | 1.05 |  |

 Table 6 : Effect of phosphorus fertilization and farmyard manure application on the availability of some nutrients in soil .

Figure 5: Effect of phosphorus fertilization and farmyard manure application on the availability of some nutrients in soil.

Data also, indicate that the availability of micronutrients (Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu) were increased by the applied rates of all treatments.

The highest availability of macro and micronutrients of FYM in combinations with P fertilizers could be attributed to the difference between the rate of loss and the rate of supplement the nutrient under different treatments.Khater et al.(1997), indicted that the application of 600 kg fed ordinary super phosphate to tomato plant in a calcareous soil has increased phosphorus uptake . This increase was due to the increase of phosphorus availability in soil solution and minimum phosphorus fixation in the growth medium . Hammad et al .(1990) , found that increasing P fertilizers level in a sandy soil, has resulted in an increase soil available P. Locascio et al,(1990). El-Garhi (1996) studied the residual effect of P fertilizer in a sandy soil under broad beans. He found that available P, after harvesting the crop, was higher in the soil receiving phosphorus fertilization than the unfertilized soil. Eghball et al. (1999), stated that manure or compost application to provide for corn N requirements greatly increases soil levels of P due to the N/P ratios of beef cattle feedlot manure and composted manure are significantly smaller than N/P uptake ratios of most crops.

The N/P ratio was 2.6 for feedlot manure and 1.9 for composted manure. Mohamed (2001) , stated that the application of organic residues significantly increased the chemically available N, P and K in the cultivated sandy soil and DTPA-extractable Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu .

#### REFERENCES

- Abdel-Aziz, S.M., El-Fayoumy , M.E., Gomaa ,M.H. and Ramadan , H.M, (1996). Soil and crop responses to applied soil conditioners . Alex . Sci . Exch . 17 (3) , 261.
- Abdel-Sabour , M.F ., Ogden, C.B . and El-Gendi, R.W. (1997) . Conditioning effect of organic waste composts on hydro- physical properties of Inshas sandy soil . Egypt .J. Soil Sci ., 37 (3), 355.
- Antoun , G.G., Hamdi , Y.A and Farag , F.A. (1989). Studies on agricultural residue in Egypt .Microbiol . Res .Dep. Bull . No . 1, Agric . Min ., A.R.E
- Basyouny, E.A:Gawish, S.M. and Behairy , SS . (2004). Response of plants grown on sandy soil to organic and mineral fertilization. Egypt j . appl . Sci , 19:375 – 387.
- Egyball , B . and Power , J . F (1999 ) . Phosphorus and nitrogen-based manure and compost application corn production and phosphorus. Soil Sci.Am J.,63:895–901 .
- El-Fayoumy , M.E. and Ramadan , H.M. (2002). Effect of bio-organic manure on sandy soils amelioration and peanut productivity under sprinkler irrigation system . Egypt J. Soil Sci., 42: 383-415.
- El-Garhi, I.A.(1996). Coating of fertilizer P in comparison to localized and broadcast prior ploughing in a sandy soil under Beans. Zagazig J. Agric. Res. 23: 187-197.

- Fiedler, R. J., D. H. Sander, and G.A. peterson .(1989). Fertilizer phosphorus recommendation for winter wheat in terms of method of phosphorus application, soil pH, and yield goal. Soil Sci .Soc. Am ... 53 : 1282 – 1287.
- Hallmark ,W.B. , and S.A. Barber . (1984). Root growth and morphology , nutrient uptake and nutrient status early growth of soybean as affected by soil P and K , Agronomy . J . , 76 , 209 .
- Hammad , S.A., E.E. Kaoud , K.Matter , and M.A . Khamis.(1990). Effect of soil and water management practices on Broad bean in sandy soils . Egypt . J .soil Sci .,30 : 341 – 355 .
- Jackson , M.L (1967) ."Soil Chemical Analysis Advanced course " puble . By the auther , Dept . of soils Univ . of wise ., Madison 6 , wishensin , U.S.A.
- Khadr , M.S., Abd El-Hadi , A.H., Mohamed , Y.H. , and El-Moatasem, M . O . (1988) . Effect of some soil amendments on the productivity of sandy and calcareous alkaline soil . Inter. Symp . on the Use of Soil Conditioners for reclamation and farming desert lands , Cairo , Egypt , Egypt/ EEC project No . SEM 101/ 220/1021 .
- Khalil , F.A. and Aly , S.A. (2004) . Effect of organic fertilizers as substitutions of mineral nitrogen fertilizer applied at planting on yield and quality of wheat . Minufiya J. Agric . Res ., 2 : 435 449 .
- Khalil , M.E.A.; Nadia , M.B. and El-Emam , M.A.A (2000). Effect of different organic manures on growth and nutritional status of corn . Egypt . J . Soil Sci .,40 : 245 263 .
- Khater , A.H ., A. Ibrahim , and U-EI-Sedfy .(1997).Phosphorus and potassium fertilization management under fertigation system . J . Agric . Sci . Mansoura Univ ., 22 : 2495 2505 .
- Locascio, S-L, and Rhue –RD . (1990) . Phosphorus and micro nutrient sources for potato . American Potato Journal , 67 : 217 226 .
- Mohamed, L.K. (2001).Use the improved organic fertilizers as nutrients sources . Ph . D . Theses , Fac . Agric ., Ain Shams Univ .
- Page A. L.; R.H. Miller and D.R. Jkeeney (1982)."Methods of Soil Analysis part 2 . Chemical and Microbiological properties " Second Edition Agronomy monograph, No.9, Madison Wisconsin . part 2, A.L. page Ed, Agronomy Nomograph, No. 9, Madison, Wisconsin P: 181 – 197
- Samya E.H. Omran , Eman A.I.Mohamed and Amal H. El Guibali.(2009). Influence of organic and Bio-Fertilization on Productivity , Viability and Chemical Components of Flax Seeds . Egypt . J . Soil Sci .49, (1) , 245 – 263 .

ت أثير التسميد الفوسفاتى مع إضافة السماد البلدى على نمو و محصول الفول السودانى محمد دياب ، محمد سليمان زيد ومرضى عوض عقيله

قسم الاراضي والمياه – كلية الزراعة – جامعة الأزهر

اقيمت تجربة حقلية فى قرية أحمد رامى – منطقة البستان – النوبارية بمحافظة البحيرة خلال موسمى 2005 - 2006 ( التربة الرملية ) بهدف در اسة تأثير اضافة المادة العضوية ( FYM ) بمعدلات مختلفة ( 20 م<sup>3</sup>/ فدان ) و هو المعدل الموصى به ثم اضافات متزايدة بما يوازي (25 ، 50 ، 75 ، 100 %) من الموصى به بما يعادل (25 , 30 ، 35 ، 40 م<sup>3</sup>/ فدان ) مع اضافات من سماد معدنى (السوبر فوسفات العادى 15.5 فو2أ5) بمعدلات (200 كجم/ فدان ) الكمية الموصى بها ثم اضافات متزايدة بما يوازى (25 ، 50 ، 500 %) من الموصى به بما يعادل (20 ، 30 ، 35 ، 40 م فوسفات العادى 50، 50، 100 %) من الموصى به بما يعادل (200 ، 300 ، 300 ، 400 كجم / فدان ) يوازى متر خلط السماد العضوى مع السماد المعدنى فكانت المعاملات 17 معاملة بالكنترول فى تجربة قطاعات كاملة العشوائية حيث تم خلط التربة بالكميات السابقة قبل زراعة محصول الفول السودانى جيزه 5 وذلك بهدف معرفة تأثير هذه المعاملات على نمو محصول الفول السودانى ومحتواه من العناصر الغذائية .

كانت النتائج المتحصل عليها توضح زيادة جميع مقاييس النمو وأهمها الكتلة الحيوية ومحصول القرون وكذلك محتوى القرون من عناصر النتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم وذلك فى جميع المعاملات وتتناسب الزيادة تناسبا طرديا مع زيادة المعدل عن كل اضافة سواء كانت الاضافات منفردة أو مخلوطة مع بعضها وكانت أعلى النتائج المتحصل عليها فى المعاملات التى يتم فيها خلط الاسمدة العضوية مع السماد الفوسفاتى

اوضحت الدراسة أيضا زيادة تيسير عناصر النتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم وكذلك العناصر الصغري (حديد–منجنيز–زنك–نحاس) في التربة بعد الزراعة .

توصبى الدراسة باستخدام معدل الاضافة (30 م<sup>3</sup>/ فدان ) من السماد العضوى مع اضافة الكمية الموصبي بها من السماد الفوسفاتي المعدني وذلك للحصول على أعلى النتائج من المحصول في الاراضي الرملية .

قام بتحكيم البحث

أ.د / خالد حسن الحامدى أ.د / محمود احمد عمر

كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنصورة كلية الزراعة – جامعة الازهر

| Location |   | ء                | Caco3 % | Par         | Particle size distribution |      |              |                 | ity                              | i. c      |                                     | m <sup>-1</sup> ) |                            |                |
|----------|---|------------------|---------|-------------|----------------------------|------|--------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
|          | Year                                    | l depth<br>c m ) |         |             | %                          |      |              | Soil<br>texture | t density<br>n cm <sup>3</sup> ) | Hydraulic | Hydraulic<br>onductivity<br>(cm/hr) |                   | Soil pH<br>(1:2.5 )        | . М %          |
|          | -                                       | soil<br>(c       |         | coarse sand | fine sand                  | silt | clay         | te              | Bulk c<br>(gm                    | H J       | 0                                   | EC (dS<br>(1:2.5  | S S E                      | 0              |
|          |   | 0-30             | 2.45    | 40.08       | 49.32                      | 2.80 | 7.80         | Sandy           | 1.51                             | 25.       | 01                                  | 0.18              | 7.9                        | 0.14           |
| e        |   | 30-60            | 2.90    | 36.51       | 45.23                      | 6.60 | 11.86        | Sandy           | 1.42                             | 20.       | 60                                  | 0.12              | 7.8                        | 0.07           |
| ag       |   | 0-30             | 1.98    | 39.11       | 45.40                      | 5.73 | 9.76         | Sandy           | 1.39                             | 23.       | 72                                  | 0.21              | 8.10                       | 0.22           |
| Village  | ~                                       | 30-60            | 2.52    | 35.44       | 41.50                      | 9.33 | 13.73        | Sandy           | 1.28                             | 21.       | 80                                  | 0.15              | 7.90                       | 0.09           |
| Ramy     | Soluble ions ( 1:2.5 Soil water extract |                  |         |             |                            |      |              | er extract )    | ) meq L <sup>-1</sup>            |           |                                     |                   | Available<br>P<br>(p p m ) | ailable<br>N % |
| Ahmed    | 2005                                    |                  | CO3්    | HCO3ି       | CLÓ                        | SC   | ) <b>4</b> ဴ | Na⁺             | K⁺                               | Ca⁺⁺      | Mg                                  | ++                | هم<br>P                    | Av<br>Av       |
| Ē        |   | 0-30             | 0.00    | 1.11        | 0.95                       | 0.   | 20           | 0.36            | 0.24                             | 0.95      | 0.7                                 | '1                | 2.13                       | 0.020          |
| A        |   | 30-60            | 0.00    | 0.91        | 0.86                       | 0.   | 14           | 0.24            | 0.17                             | 0.85      | 0.6                                 | 5                 | 1.25                       | 0.012          |
|          |   | 0-30             | 0.00    | 1.25        | 1.04                       | 0.   | 36           | 0.52            | 0.26                             | 1.06      | 0.8                                 | 81                | 2.95                       | 0.030          |
|          |   | 30-60            | 0.00    | 1.01        | 0.95                       | 0.   | 20           | 0.29            | 0.20                             | 0.95      | 0.7                                 | 2                 | 1.82                       | 0.019          |

Table (1): some physical and chemical characteristics of the studied soil samples .