# RELATIVE TOLERANCE OF EGYPTIAN CLOVER GENOTYPES TO DODDER INFESTATION

El-Refaey, R.A.<sup>1</sup>; E. H.El-Seidy<sup>1</sup>; I.ESoliman<sup>2</sup>and Shereen M. El-Nahrawy<sup>3</sup> Agron. Dept., Fac. of Agric., Tanta Univ., Egypt. Weed Res. Central Lab., Agric.Res.Cent, Giza, Egypt. Forage Crops Res. Dept., Field Crops Res. Inst., ARC, Egypt.

# ABSTRACT

Egyptian clover or berseem (Trifolium alexandrinum L.) is the principle forage crop in Egypt. Unfortunately, the uncertified and uncontrolled local seeds are being contaminated with dodder (Cuscuta spp.) seeds, which affecting negatively both productivity and quality of produced forage. Three field experiments were carried out at Sakha Agricultural Research Station Farm, Kafer El-Sheikh Governorate, ARC, Egypt, during three successive winter seasons; 2008/09, 2009/10 and 2010/11, to investigate the performance of 100 berseem genotypes; 96 farmers seed lots and four commercial cultivars for its resistance/tolerance to dodder infestation. Highly significant differences were observed among the 100 genotypes under evaluation as well as the interaction between the sets and genotypes for all cuts and seasonal yield in the 1<sup>st</sup> season. The commercial cultivar; Helali (Genotype no.111) has high potential and high-yielding ability in comparison with other cultivars and landraces. It was bred for relatively high ability to rapid re-growth which may be associated with tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses. In addition to, some landraces retained the least reduction percent of total chlorophyll. In general, the berseem genotypes under investigation manifested highly significant differences at all cuts and seasonal fresh and dry yield as well as chlorophyll content for reduction percent due to dodder infestation.

# INTRODUCTION

Egyptian clover or berseem (Trifolium alexandrinum L.) is a winter annual legume widely grown in several countries for fresh fodder, hay and silage. In Egypt, the most limiting factor for animal wealth development is the lack of local feed due to the wide gap between the consumed and available local feed sources (ACSAD, 2008). Therefore, berseem is not only endogenous to Egypt but also the principal forage crop and occupying about 2.0-2.8 million Fadden (El-Nahrawy, 2009b). The animal wealth development is depending mainly on berseem in the winter season because most of the animal protein requirement is fulfilled with feeding on berseem (ACSAD, 2008). It is considered a principal source of animal feed for the most whole year specifically the smallholders due to its high yielding and quality potential. Moreover, it is the fertility foundation of agriculture in the Nile Delta and the valley and playing a vital role in sustaining the Egyptian agriculture (El-Nahrawy, 2009a). In addition, berseem still considered indispensable in rotation with cotton and other crops due to its high nitrogen fixation potential, so, it fixes more than 714 thousand tons of atmospheric N2 annually in Egypt (Kennedy & Mackie, 1925; Abd EL-Hady, 1993; Graves et al, 1996). Furthermore, berseem is one of the important sources for honey bees foraging. Nevertheless, berseem has not received much attention compared to cereal crops; i.e. wheat, rice and corn (The Strategy for Sustainable

#### El-Refaey, R.A. et al.

Agricultural Development Towards; 2030). The remarkable increase in cereal productivity in the last two decades (from 8 million MT in 1980 to more than 22 million MT in 2009) is mainly due to developing high-yielding cultivars and making their certified seed available to growers. Unfortunately, this is not the case for berseem. Farmers have traditionally produced their own seed or purchased their requirements from the local markets. However, local seed is both uncertified and uncontrolled and the quality of such seed on local market is rather poor and most of it is contaminated with many weed seeds, especially, dodder seeds (Cuscuta spp.). Recently, an increasing number of farmers (85%) have been reporting troubles due to dodder infestation in berseem fields which affect both productivity and quality of produced forage (Abd El-Hamid & El-Khanagry, 2006). Dodder affects the growth and yield of infested plants and causes losses in crop. Infested berseem with dodder could lead to reduced protein content, fresh as well as dry forage yield and nutritive value. Therefore, dodder is considered a very big problem overall the world including Egypt and one of the main parasitic weed for crop plants not only berseem but also alfalfa, vegetables and fruits. Dodder can germinate alone if the weather is fit to germination, but, it cannot survive alone without attachment to a host. It must attach itself with a host plant by the suckers of the dodder. The hard seeds of dodder can remain in the soil for many years viable (Abd El-Wahed, 1996). Dodder species are distributed worldwide and continued to attack many different host plants. International trade, mainly with contaminated crop seeds, has led to the wide distribution of this parasite (Parker & Riches, 1993). It lives entirely on the host plant thus reducing the growth and yield of the host. Several herbicides have been successfully shown to selectively suppress attached dodder but complete control is rarely obtained. Dodder attached to genetically modified, herbicide resistant crops; have not been successfully killed by treatment with herbicide in all cases, indicating that, these crops will only be a partial solution to the problem. Dodder control will require an integrated approach conducted over a period of many years (Lanini & Kogan, 2005). Therefore, the main goals of this study were to assess the effect of dodder on growth and development of available berseem germplasm; commercial and high-yielding developed cultivars as well as farmers' seed lots. In addition to, estimate the losses occurred due to dodder infestation and to identify the most tolerant genotypes under artificial infestation.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

Three field experiments were carried out at Sakha Agricultural Research Station Farm, Kafer El-Sheikh-Governorate, Forage Crops Research Department (FCRD), Field Crops Research Institute (FCRI), Agricultural Research Center (ARC), Egypt, during three successive winter seasons; 2008/09, 2009/10 and 2010/11 to investigate the performance of some Egyptian clover genotypes for forage yield and its tolerance to dodder infestation (*Cuscuta planiflora*). One hundred genotypes were used in this investigation. Ninety six genotypes were chosen randomly from big collection of berseem farmers' seed lots (landraces) through survey overall most of the

country issued by FCRD to capture most of the existing genetic variation and the rest four genotypes were commercial cultivars; Helali, Sakha-4, Sids-1 and Serw-1.

In the 1<sup>st</sup> season (2008/2009): Simultaneously, two adjacent as well as similar layouts were conducted. The recommended cultural practices for berseem such as preparing good seed bed, adding NPK fertilizers, cutting and irrigation were applied. The first layout accommodated the 100 genotypes which were divided into five sets and each set contained 20 genotypes. Genotypes were randomly distributed within each set and sets were randomly distributed within each replicate of the four replicates in complete block design without infestation of dodder seed. The second layout was carried out in a similar way to the first one but berseem seeds of each plot were mixed with dodder seeds in rate of 5% of berseem seeds, as it stated by Soliman (2002) to achieve the artificial infestation. The plot size was 1.2 x 2.0 m which contained four rows. The seeds of each genotype were seeded within the four rows according to the layout of the experiment. The space between the rows was 30cm and 1.50m between the plots. The sowing dates of the experiments were October 20, 15 and 18 for the three seasons, respectively. Four cuts were taken. Fresh forage yield/plot were weighted (Kg/plot) in the non-infested experiment and after separating dodder material from forage in the infested experiment. Percentage of reduction (R %) in forage yield due to dodder infestation was calculated according to Topps & Wain (1957) formula as following:  $R\% = (A - B / A) \times 100$ . Where; A: Fresh forage weight on non-infested,

B: Fresh forage weight on infested.

At the end of 1<sup>st</sup> season, 25 genotypes were selected based on the least value of reduction in descending order of the fresh forage yield out of the 100 genotypes under evaluation. After the 4<sup>th</sup> cut, the selected 25 genotypes were left for open cross-pollination and the rest of genotypes were discarded to eliminate the possibility of producing pollen and providing good isolated area for the selected genotypes. Three hives of honey bees were provided during the flowering time to insure intercross pollination among the selected genotypes. Seeds of each genotype of the 25 selected genotypes were harvested, separately, after reaching maturity stage.

In the 2<sup>nd</sup> season (2009/2010): Seeds of the selected 25 genotypes along with two lots; genotypes no. 29 and 94, which were identified to be very sensitive to dodder infestation in the 1<sup>st</sup> season evaluated in a randomized complete block design (RCDB) with four replicates. The plot size, number of rows within the plot, the distance between plots and number of cuts were similar to that in the 1<sup>st</sup> season. At the end of 2<sup>nd</sup> season, selection was conducted for the genotypes that had the least percent of reduction in descending order. Six genotypes were selected based on the least value of reduction of the fresh and dry forage yield out of the 25 genotypes under evaluation. Seeds of each genotype of the six selected genotypes were harvested, separately. Reduction percentages for fresh and dry forage yield were estimated. Total chlorophyll content was determined from 10 fresh berseem plants mechanically by using chlorophyll meter content (spade

value) for 10 genotypes which represent four degrees of dodder infestation tolerance; high, medium, low tolerance and sensitive. Also, percentage of reduction for total chlorophyll was estimated.

In the 3<sup>rd</sup> season (2010/2011): remnant seeds of the selected six genotypes which represent the parents as well as seeds of the same genotypes which selected in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season which represent the progenies of these parents and two check commercial varieties Giza-6 and Gemmiza-1 were evaluated on a RCDB with four replicates as stated in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season. The materials were left for seed production in isolated area and few honey bees' hives were provided during flowering time. Percentages of reduction for fresh as well as dry forage yield were estimated.

Analysis of variance for RCDB with four replications was carried out according to Sendecor & Cochran (1971) for each experiment (infested and non-infested). Moreover, the variance components were calculated according to Comstock & Robinson (1952).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 1<sup>st</sup> season (2008/2009)

Highly significant differences among the 100 genotypes under evaluation, the interaction between the sets and genotypes for all cuts and seasonal yield had been detected (Table 1). Means of fresh forage yield reduction percentages (FFY R %) due to infestation with dodder for the 100 genotypes at the four cuts and seasonal yield in the 1<sup>st</sup> season (2008/09) are shown (Table 2). FFY R % ranged from 4.75 for genotype no. 111 to about 54.0 for genotype no.108 at the 1<sup>st</sup> cut. Moreover, FFY R % ranged from 10.2 for genotype no.51 to 54.6 for genotype no.32 at the 2<sup>nd</sup> cut. In addition, FFY R % ranged from 8.93 for genotype no.51 to about 46.35 for genotype no.70 at the 3rd cut. While, FFY R % ranged from 14.03 for genotype no. 51 to 61.9 for genotype no.13 in the 4<sup>th</sup> cut. Regarding seasonal forage yield, R% value ranged from 11.44 for genotype no.51 to 47.9 for genotype no.32. In general, genotype no.51 has the least reduction value (R %) among all the genotypes at all cuts and seasonal yield except at 1<sup>st</sup> cut, where, it is preceded by genotype no.111 which gave 4.75% for FFY then followed by genotype no.74, where, it gave 5.26%. Moreover, the average of FFY R % across the cuts increased in ascending order i.e. R % at  $4^{th}$  cut >  $3^{rd}$  cut >  $2^{nd}$  cut >  $1^{st}$ cut. However, the highest FFY R % value was manifested by genotype no. 13, where, it gave 61.9 at 4<sup>th</sup> cut and genotype no. 94 where it gave 50.56 regarding the seasonal yield.

Table 1 : Mean squares of FFY R % for Egyptian clover genotypes infested by dodder at different cuts and seasonal yield in 1<sup>st</sup> season (2008/09).

S. O.V	d. f.	Mean squares									
5.0.0	a. 1.	Cut1	Cut2	Cut3	Cut4	Seasonal yield					
Sets (S)	4	104.118	333.257	847.456	1309.798	341.716					
Reps/S	7	120.271	353.056	868.245	1331.266	353.217					
Genotypes(G)	19	961.623	388.440	292.503	864.297	411.520					
SxG	76	538.991	274.575	252.966	461.00	283.900					
Error	258	8.485	10.870	10.877	3.920	4.768					

\*\*: Significant at the 0.01 level of probability.

(2008/2009).										
Genotype	1 <sup>st</sup> Cut	2 <sup>nd</sup> Cut	3 <sup>rd</sup> Cu3	4 <sup>th</sup> Cut	Seasonal yield					
1	44.725	34.900	32.675	44.425	38.328					
2	33.00	26.375	13.475	28.750	24.745					
4	37.700	37.625	32.150	41.975	37.238					
6	43.625	40.100	33.450	30.450	36.338					
7	48.325	45.750	39.275	49.725	27.477					
8	27.425	24.900	23.875	33.725	27.477					
9	44.625	36.700	34.325	52.500	42.198					
10	47.525	46,625	43.350	50.075	46.725					
11	35.975	39.775	36.275	59.850	43.840					
12	16.750	14.925	16.625	27.525	19.160					
13	40.025	40.625	28.100	61.900	42.945					
14	8.103	29.900	18.100	18.950	20.253					
15	15.100	19.100	15.875	14.875	16.395					
16	6.450	27.400	21.625	17.975	20.055					
17	39.200	29.900	26.45	43.250	34.047					
18	26.575	33.325	26.975	32.125	30.563					
19	34.450	41.125	35.800	32.975	35.477					
20	29.225	28.775	30.350	27.200	28.920					
20	9.200	30.975	16.275	36.325	25,023					
22	24.675	37.325	32.625	39.700	34.885					
22	30.850	31.550	23.600	29.625	28.717					
24	25.350	37.000	25.200	33.300	31.040					
25	12.725	26.650	21.275	21.825	21.695					
26	25.150	29.450	27.150	29.100	28.037					
29	46.300	49.025	38.900	43.325	44.245					
31	31.125	39.400	40.400	34.800	37.212					
32	50.200	54.550	45.075	42.125	47.900					
33	29.875	40.675	39.000	47.175	40.420					
34	39.325	43.800	36.350	38.725	39.682					
35	9.050	20.050	17.475	22.250	17.827					
36	28.350	39.800	37.800	40.875	37.792					
37	11.775	29.100	22.700	22.575	22.800					
38	16.000	25.875	15.225	19.950	21742					
39	29.975	45.000	34.525	41.900	38.837					
40	33.900	53.200	40.375	40.150	43.175					
41	30.800	33.225	29.075	29.700	30.737					
42	44.550	43.500	30.975	49.950	41.688					
43	40.200	32.775	23.800	41.850	34.557					
44	44.475	43.275	37.925	36.575	40.120					
45	26.675	33.000	32.150	32.300	31.855					
48	34.250	41.175	35.675	39.925	37.398					
49	25.225	39.750	36.125	50.900	39.470					
50	36.250	39.250	33.050	49.800	39.809					
51	14.175	10.200	8.925	14.025	11.443					
52	31.125	37.900	32.575	40.050	35.640					
53	45.625	52.575	39.100	52.600	47.778					
54	42.450	51.500	36.950	54.050	46.898					
55	27.225	37.075	35.700	42.075	36.483					
56	17.075	32.975	29.725	38.175	30.488					
57	24.500	33.325	45.500	50.500	40.467					
58	30.500	44.500	31.950	50.050	40.645					
59	40.600	42.750	37.075	38.900	39.910					

Table 2 : Means of FFY R % of Egyptian clover genotypes infested by<br/>dodder at different cuts and seasonal yield in 1<sup>st</sup> season<br/>(2008/2009).

### El-Refaey, R.A. et al.

#### Cont. Table 2

Cont. Table 2					
60	36.225	36.825	32.075	38.150	35.633
61	21.150	47.000	40.675	51.075	42.627
62	13.800	24.200	18.850	21.050	20.160
64	40.025	47.275	41.725	54.525	46.388
65	18.250	27.125	26.550	18.425	23.400
67	43.225	36.500	34.525	40.050	37.970
68	33.500	35.200	36.475	44.475	37.592
69	35.650	36.325	38.200	46.050	39.443
70	40.375	40.050	46.350	36.850	38.093
72	15.025	35.975	35.825	42.625	34.647
73	12.075	14.700	21.050	23.675	18.573
74	5.260	25.025	20.025	22.975	19.935
75	38.450	47.650	41.650	55.625	46.583
76	42.500	46.375	42.650	52.350	46.332
77	30.000	45.000	38.125	52.050	37.930
78	29.825	38.775	36.825	48.450	39.490
79	23.800	40.425	39.375	53.100	41.250
80	39.500	38.775	36.600	54.100	42.455
82	22.450	38.150	38.450	52.650	39.970
83	23.250	36.825	34.525	35.750	33.908
84	26.925	44.650	39.275	60.000	45.200
85	26.675	37.900	38.900	50.025	39.733
86	33.575	36.350	37.525	49.075	39.778
87	27.200	44.925	43.000	16.825	37.015
88	27.375	32.575	35.675	44.875	30.642
90	39.500	39.200	40.100	49.950	42.495
93	20.050	33.825	30.225	29.850	29.807
94	43.325	47.700	46.575	61.750	50.562
95	19.800	33.650	29.200	25.975	28.088
95	33.525	39.000	36.900	52.425	41.540
90					
	18.200	32.950	36.950	51.750	37.533
98	33.500	40.900	39.750	52.175	42.642
99	28.675	32.700	18.575	30.425	27.638
100	34.850	44.550	41.825	58.075	46.407
101	42.975	34.600	35.100	56.725	41.920
103	34.775	36.325	37.725	47.150	39.023
104	38.750	38.025	36.125	45.125	39.557
105	35.800	38.150	35.725	40.000	37.338
106	16.300	34.600	36.950	43.125	34.500
107	10.600	31.050	26.000	28.550	25.582
108	53.975	42.300	37.325	41.525	42.887
109	45.725	47.925	40.525	48.150	46.297
110	33.275	42.775	42.525	53.550	43.997
111	4.750	12.577	10.500	22.625	13.530
112	10.600	31.750	29.075	27.950	26.852
113	23.825	29.950	33.750	38.725	32.210
115	10.675	25.425	21.025	38.875	25.688
116	39.550	41.075	37.250	52.925	43.308
F.test	**	**	**	**	**
		4 - 4 4	a a = a	F 400	3.039
LSD 0.05	4.054 5.341	4.589	2.053 2.705	5.462 7.196	3.039

\*\* Significant at the 0.01 level of probability.

Similar results and conclusion were reached by AL-Menoufi & Hassan, 1977; AL-Menoufi *et al.* 1985; Lanini & Kogan, 2005; Abd El-Hamid & El-Khanagry, 2006; Goldwasser *et al.*, 2001). These results may indicate that both genotypes no 51 and no. 111 had the least R % for most of the cuts and seasonal yield. Genotype no. 111 is commercial cultivar, Helali. Helali cv. has high potential and high-yielding ability in comparison with other cultivars. It was bred for relatively high ability to rapid re-growth which may be associated with good tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses. Therefore, to be less affected due to dodder infestation it could be expected in comparison with other material under evaluation. Moreover, as it was reported by (Abd El-Hamid & El-Khanagry, 2006), about 85% of farmers' fields are infested with dodder. Existing of genotype no.51 among farmers' seed lots which retains some tolerance to dodder infestation is, also, expected due to co-evolution among parasite-host relationships.

#### 2<sup>nd</sup> season (2009/10):

The mean squares of fresh as well as dry forage yield reduction percentages at different cuts and seasonal yield in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season (2009/10) are presented (Table 3).

Table 3 : Mo	ean	squares of	fresh and dry forag	je yields re	educ	tion perc	ent			
			clover-genotypes							
different cuts and seasonal yield in 2 <sup>nd</sup> season (2009/2010).										
		Maana	nuarea of reduction of fr	ach farana ui	에서 /E					

	d.	wean s	quares of redu	uction of fresh	rorage yield (Fi	-YR%)
S.O.V.	f.	1 <sup>st</sup> Cut	2 <sup>nd</sup> Cut	3 <sup>rd</sup> Cu3	4 <sup>th</sup> Cut	Seasonal yield
Genotypes	26	216.47	200.41	581.65	650.92	269.5
Error	78	2.88	2.23	2.03	3.50	0.776
		Mean s	squares of red	luction of dry for	orage yield (DF	Y R %)
Genotypes	26	277.81	129.88	608.31	720.17	365.91
Error	78	11.92	12.99	17.73	15.88	4.47
**· Significan	t at the	o 0 01 lovale o	f probability			

\*\*: Significant at the 0.01 levels of probability.

Highly significant differences are shown (Table 3) among evaluated genotypes at all cuts and the seasonal yield for R% due to dodder infestation of both fresh and dry forage yields in  $2^{nd}$  season (2009/10). The means of FFY R% as well as DFY R% of 27 genotypes at different cuts and seasonal yield in  $2^{nd}$  season (2009/10) are presented (Table 4). It is shown from the recorded data that genotype no.29 had the highest FFY R% (44.0%) due to dodder infestation for the seasonal yield in  $2^{nd}$  season (2009/10). On the other hand, genotype no.111 had the least R% (10.1%) followed by genotype no.51 where R % = 10.3 for the same trait and it is shown to retain good tolerance to dodder infestation.

It is shown from the results that genotype no.29 had the highest DFY R% (50.90%). While genotype no.111 had the least R% (14.5%) and it may be considered most tolerant genotype under the dodder infestation among the tested genotypes. Likewise, genotype no.111 was followed by genotype no.51 which had R% = 14.6% of DFY. In general, the berseem genotypes under investigation manifested highly significant differences at all cuts and seasonal dry yield for R% due to dodder infestation. These results are in

#### El-Refaey, R.A. et al.

agreement with similar investigations by AL-Menoufi & Hassan, 1977; AL-Menoufi *et al.* 1985; Lanini & Kogan, 2005; Abd El-Hamid & El-Khanagry, 2006; Goldwasser *et al.*, 2001). Similarly, the DFY R % by dodder infestation was existed. Its grand mean increased from the 1<sup>st</sup> cut to 2<sup>nd</sup> cut then decreased in the 3<sup>rd</sup> cut and start to increase again in the 4<sup>th</sup> cut starting with R % = 20.1%, 41.5%,29.8%, and 31.1%, respectively, while, R % for seasonal yield are 32.4%.

yield in 2 <sup>114</sup> season (2009/10).												
Conotypos	1 <sup>st</sup>	Cut	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Cut	3 <sup>rd</sup> (	Cu3	<b>4</b> <sup>th</sup>	Cut	Seasor	al yield		
Genotypes	FFY	DFY	FFY	DFY	FFY	DFY	FFY	DFY	FFY	DFY		
2	29.70	39.55	20.20	44.35	34.20	28.90	32.50	30.22	29.50	37.00		
8	7.60	14.82	21.90	44.45	37.80	37.47	42.10	44.15	29.90	39.10		
12	7.20	11.75	7.80	33.40	18.60	27.22	22.50	23.72	15.10	28.02		
14	14.40	24.80	25.60	47.10	17.60	23.07	21.90	24.75	20.10	28.94		
15	8.10	14.35	10.30	36.32	25.40	23.65	27.90	28.55	19.20	28.41		
16	14.90	18.12	23.10	47.42	19.70	23.55	34.00	37.87	23.80	30.66		
21	12.10	21.90	20.80	42.24	36.10	36.85	26.70	26.42	25.30	34.66		
23	16.10	23.70	21.50	45.15	41.90	43.18	38.90	36.87	31.40	38.66		
25	13.30	19.40	21.80	43.37	31.80	38.25	35.50	38.62	26.40	36.02		
26	25.60	32.47	24.40	46.45	29.00	26.57	43.10	48.37	30.90	39.49		
29	23.60	29.00	34.80	52.70	55.90	50.95	51.90	51.87	44.00	50.92		
35	7.70	8.82	10.00	33.82	15.80	27.02	24.20	23.20	15.10	24.24		
37	8.60	16.35	16.80	40.67	20.50	21.12	23.60	22.05	18.20	25.19		
38	6.10	16.57	12.60	36.35	26.80	26.42	27.50	28.72	20.10	25.70		
51	3.30	3.25	12.40	33.20	17.90	12.17	5.20	5.50	10.30	14.61		
56	25.90	30.50	21.90	42.87	35.40	16.22	46.80	47.47	33.60	39.81		
62	5.00	10.62	11.60	32.85	30.80	44.50	22.60	21.27	19.10	31.59		
65	9.40	18.17	8.70	35.87	19.20	13.50	26.90	24.95	17.20	30.21		
73	15.30	17.02	16.90	40.40	19.20	17.10	11.40	9.17	15.80	17.77		
74	8.00	17.80	14.20	40.45	25.40	37.80	22.20	24.95	18.30	31.17		
93	21.60	27.80	32.90	53.25	62.20	62.62	65.60	65.82	36.40	56.41		
95	18.20	24.35	22.70	43.20	35.30	35.42	31.40	32.72	27.90	35.53		
99	19.10	28.80	18.50	42.05	33.10	32.77	28.90	33.12	26.10	35.87		
107	17.90	23.52	22.10	44.02	39.80	41.37	43.60	44.62	32.40	42.28		
111	3.00	7.47	8.50	33.25	10.80	10.75	15.50	12.25	10.10	14.54		
112	10.10	17.97	16.00	39.62	18.40	24.65	22.70	24.47	17.70	26.30		
115	18.90	25.80	25.10	45.57	22.10	21.42	27.90	30.52	23.70	30.82		
F. test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**		
LSD 0.05	2.39	4.86	2.11	5.07	2.01	5.93	2.64	5.61	29.50	37.00		
LSD 0.01	3.17	6.45	2.79	6.73	2.66	7.86	3.49	7.44	29.90	39.10		
: Significant	at the 0	01 leve	ls of pro	obability	1							

Table 4 : Means of fresh and dry forage yield of Egyptian clovergenotypes infested by dodder at different cuts and seasonal yield in 2<sup>nd</sup> season (2009/10).

\*\*: Significant at the 0.01 levels of probability.

Mean squares of R % of total chlorophyll content due to dodder infestation at different cuts and their mean in  $2^{nd}$  season (2009/010) and  $3^{rd}$  season (2010/2011) are presented (Table 5). It could be shown from the results that highly significant differences existed among the evaluated genotypes at all cuts and their mean for R % of total chlorophyll content in  $2^{nd}$  season (2009/10) and  $3^{rd}$  season (2010/2011).

Table 5 : Mean squares of total chlorophyll reduction percent (R %) of<br/>Egyptian clover-genotypes infested by dodder at different<br/>cuts and their means in 2<sup>nd</sup> season (2009/10) and 3<sup>rd</sup> season<br/>(2010/2011).

(2010/201													
S. O. V.	d. f.	1 <sup>st</sup> Cut	2 <sup>nd</sup> Cut	3 <sup>rd</sup> Cut									
5. U. V.	a. 1.	2 <sup>nd</sup> season (2009/10)											
Replications	3	0.539	2.650	1.139	0.593	0.793							
Genotypes	26	65.80	69.56	111.56	82.29	65.12							
Error	78	4.91	6.22	5.07	6.99	1.43							
			3 <sup>rd</sup> sea	son (2010/2	2011)								
Replications	3	0.548	2.340	1.138	0.459	0.835							
Genotypes	26	13.07**	15.29	27.62	21.88**	9.77**							
Error	78	1.731	1.631	1.009	1.553	0.164							

\*\*: Significant at the 0.01 levels of probability.

Mean of R % for total chlorophyll content of 27 genotypes at different cuts and their mean in  $2^{nd}$  season (2009/010) are presented (Table 6).

Table 6: Mean of total chlorophyll R % a	at different cuts and seasonal
yield of clover in 2 <sup>nd</sup> season (	(2009/010).

<b>G</b> 2 8 12 14	Cut1 12.900 19.650 9.675 11.605 7.425	Cut2 12.875 13.325 7.450 11.450	Cut3 14.975 12.425 12.800	Cut4 14.250 8.425	<b>mean</b> 13.4
8 12 14	19.650 9.675 11.605	13.325 7.450	12.425	8.425	13.4
12 14	9.675 11.605	7.450	-		13.4
14	11.605		12.800	0 105	
		11 150		9.425	13.6
	7 4 2 5	11.450	9.125	12.775	9.9
15	1.425	6.175	10.925	11.550	11.3
16	12.325	10.100	11.800	15.650	9.1
21	13.900	17.00	16.350	14.700	12.6
23	10.200	14.500	10.725	13.575	15.6
25	10.900	12.700	12.750	15.725	12.3
26	10375	15.00	16.550	20.125	13.1
29	15.750	18.700	29.200	25.00	15.7
35	6.550	6.875	8.750	9.575	22.3
37	6.625	8.900	8.925	10.100	7.9
38	8.200	7.750	9.775	9.500	8.7
51	6.575	8.900	8.075	10.800	8.9
56	9.200	7.275	10.275	14.100	8.6
62	6.825	8.550	10.050	9.125	10.3
65	8.650	10.875	14.625	12.950	8.7
73	8.675	7.425	10.925	11.125	11.8
74	6.375	8.650	10.125	9.875	9.6
93	19.650	22.750	25.900	20.800	8.9
95	13.875	17.175	18.575	20.350	22.1
99	19.425	13.675	15.250	16.325	17.5
107	14.800	14.600	18.950	15.625	16.1
111	9.325	7.028	6.650	5.925	16.0
112	8.700	11.575	7.450	9.825	7.2
115	8.850	13.350	13.200	7.900	9.5
F.test	* *	* *	* *	* *	11.0
L.S.D0.05	3.12	3.511	3.17	3.723	* *
0.01	4.138	4.6570	4.205	4.938	

\*\* Significant at the 0.01 level of probability, respectively.

Significant differences among genotypes at all cuts concerning total chlorophyll R % was observed. Genotype no. 29 had the highest R% (22.3%) of total chlorophyll by dodder infestation while genotype no.111 had the lowest R % (7.2%) and it was followed by the genotype no.35 and 51, where they had 7.9% and 8.7% of reduction for the total chlorophyll content. The obtained results for total chlorophyll R % are in agreement with those obtained by Dinelli *et al.*, 1993; Parker and Riches, 1993; Soliman, 2002; and Soliman & Abd El-Hamid, 2009.

# 3<sup>rd</sup> Season (2010/11):

Mean squares of fresh and dry forage yield R % due to dodder infestation in  $3^{rd}$  season (2010/11) are presented (Table 7). Highly significant differences among evaluated genotypes at all cuts and seasonal yield for fresh as well as dry forage yield R % due to dodder infestation in  $3^{rd}$  season (2010/11) are detected.

# Table 7 : Mean squares of fresh and dry forage yield reduction (R %) of berseem genotypes infested by dodder at different cuts and seasonal yield in 3<sup>rd</sup> season (2010/11).

S. O.V.	d. f.	Reduction of Fresh Forage Yield (R %)									
3. U.V.	u. I.	1 <sup>st</sup> Cut	1 <sup>st</sup> Cut 2 <sup>nd</sup> Cut 3 <sup>rd</sup> Cut 4 <sup>th</sup>		4 <sup>th</sup> Cut	Seasonal yield					
Replication	3	8.373	10.611	1.824	46.285	7.710					
Genotypes	13	345.61	301.32	294.76	547.40	327.47					
Error	39	3.67	6.54	4.54	8.87	1.86					
			Reductio	on of Dry Fo	orage Yield	(R %)					
Replication	3	17.70	23.68	5.70	53.42	7.59					
Genotypes	13	327.81	332.21	317.85	573.62	355.90					
Error	39	5.32	7.11	5.62	8.87	2.40					

\*\*: significant at the 0.01 levels of probability.

Mean of FFY R % at different cuts and seasonal yield due to dodder infestation in  $3^{rd}$  season (2010/11) are shown (Table 8). It is shown from the results that genotype (Giza 6) had the highest R% (43.3%) of the seasonal yield. On the other hand, the lowest R% (11.1%) recorded for genotype no.1110 (parent) then followed by genotype no. 111 (progeny) where it R % = 11.3% for FFY in  $3^{rd}$  season (2010/11). Similarly, mean of R % for dry forage yield due to dodder infestation at different cuts and their seasonal yield in  $3^{rd}$ season are presented in Table (8). It could be indicated from the data that genotype no. 51 had the lowest R% (11.9%) among the 14 genotypes under evaluation then followed by genotype no.111 where its R % = 12.4%. However, the highest R % (46.5%) was obtained by genotype Giza-6. Highly significant differences of R % among evaluated genotypes at all cuts and the seasonal yield in  $3^{rd}$  season (2010/11) were detected.

Constimus	1 <sup>st</sup>	Cut	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Cut	3 <sup>rd</sup> (	Cu3	4 <sup>th</sup> (	Cut	Seaso	nal yield		
Genotypes	FFY	DFY	FFY	DFY	FFY DFY FFY		FFY	DFY	FFY	DFY		
120	14.3	18.8	15.90	17.5	10.7	23.5	18.00	28.7	14.9	23.0		
350	6.9	12.4	16.10	16.2	14.5	21.2	19.2	24.9	15.2	20.1		
510	7.1	12.9	15.20	16.6	9.8	13.7	13.5	22.5	11.8	17.3		
730	10.3	12.4	8.90	9.4	10.8	11.2	31.3	37.1	16.1	19.9		
740	9.3	11.3	14.50	17.3	18.4	21.9	15.8	22.5	15.0	19.6		
1110	6.1	5.2	9.20	11.6	12.0	12.7	13.8	25.1	11.1	15.3		
12	13.4	14.7	17.10	17.9	11.4	14.6	19.0	21.2	15.5	17.7		
35	6.5	10.8	16.50	17.7	14.9	15.5	19.6	18.0	15.7	16.4		
51	6.6	12.5	14.10	14.7	9.7	10.5	12.8	10.9	11.9	11.9		
73	10.1	13.2	10.40	12.3	11.4	9.9	29.5	29.2	16.0	17.2		
74	8.8	10.6	14.80	14.2	17.4	17.1	15.0	14.8	14.5	14.8		
111	5.1	10.2	11.00	10.2	11.8	11.9	14.5	15.1	11.3	12.4		
Gemmiza1	23.8	27.7	29.90	32.1	31.8	33.1	38.7	42.1	32.2	35.6		
Giza 6	39.5	41.3	41.10	43.3	38.1	39.9	52.9	55.7	43.3	46.5		
F .test	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**		
L .S. D 0.5	2.74	3.30	3.76	3.81	3.05	3.39	4.26	4.60		2.23		
L .S. D 0.1	3.67	4.42	4.90	5.11	4.08	4.54	5.71	5.71		2.97		

Table 8 : Mean of fresh (F) and dry (D) forage yields reduction (FY R %) of berseem genotypes infested by dodder at different cuts and seasonal yield in 3<sup>rd</sup> season (2010/11).

\*\*: significant at the 0.01 levels of probability.

Mean of total chlorophyll reduction (R %) due to dodder infestation at different cuts and their mean in  $3^{rd}$  season (2010/11) are presented in Table (9).

Table	9:	Mean	of	total	chloi	rop	hyll	redu	uct	ion	(R	%)	of	ber	seem
		genot	ype	s infe	sted	by	dode	der	at	diff	eren	t cu	its	and	their
		means	s in	3 <sup>rd</sup> sea	ason (	201	0/11	).							

Genotypes	1 <sup>st</sup> Cut	2 <sup>nd</sup> Cut	<sup>3<sup>rα</sup> Cu3</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup> Cut	Mean
120 <sup>p</sup>	7.8	6.4	7.2	6.4	6.9
350 <sup>p</sup>	9.2	8.7	9.9	7.5	8.8
510 <sup>p</sup>	5.9	5.7	5.8	4.4	5.5
730 <sup>p</sup>	6.9	4.8	7.6	2.3	5.5
740 <sup>p</sup>	8.7	7.9	10.2	6.1	8.2
1110 <sup>p</sup>	4.5	8.6	2.5	4.9	5.2
12 <sup>g</sup>	7.2	12.8	5.3	7.1	8.2
35 <sup>g</sup>	8.0	6.2	6.6	7.3	7.1
51 <sup>g</sup>	7.6	6.3	8.1	3.8	6.5
73 <sup>g</sup>	8.4	7.8	9.9	5.4	8.0
74 <sup>g</sup>	4.9	7.2	6.0	5.2	5.8
111 <sup>g</sup>	4.4	6.9	1.2	6.6	4.8
Gemmiza1 <sup>c</sup>	9.4	9.3	7.4	10.6	9.1
Giza 6 <sup>c</sup>	9.9	8.1	8.1	10.7	9.4
F .test	**	**	**	**	**
L .S. D 0.5	1.882	1.827	1.437	1.282	0.579
L .S. D 0.1	2.519	2.445	1.923	2.386	0.775

\*\*: significant at the 0.01 levels of probability. P: parent, g: progeny, c: check.

Highly significant differences among all the genotypes under evaluation at all the cuts and their mean are manifested. It is clear that Giza 6 had the highest (9.4) total chlorophyll R %, while, genotype no.111 had the least (4.8) total chlorophyll R % then followed by genotype no.1110 and genotype no.510 where R %, values were 5.2 and 5.5, respectively. The obtained results are in line with the following research findings by AL-Menoufi *et al.* 1985; Lanini & Kogan, 2005; Abd El-Hamid & El-Khanagry, 2006; Goldwasser *et al.*, 2001.

#### CONCULUSION

Even though resistance/tolerance among and within crop plants to pests, especially for parasitic weed like dodder is difficult to obtain, highly significant differences among the evaluated berseem genotypes for fresh and dry forage yield reduction percentages due to

dodder infestation in all cuts and seasonal yield during the study were detected

These differences are highly supported with obtaining less reduction percentages of fresh as well as dry forage yields for the tolerant berseem genotypes due to infestation with dodder. Indictor's traits which are highly associated i.e. total chlorophyll R%, CP R%, CF R%, and ash well performed for tolerant genotypes in comparison with the sensitive ones. The obtained results may be encouraging to use the tolerant genotypes, which had the least reduction percentages due to dodder infestation, for developing compost or synthetic cultivar(s) which retain tolerance to dodder infestation. This cultivar could be used by itself as a way of control of dodder in farmers' fields or integrated with the other factors of dodder control.

# REFERENCES

- Abd El-Hady, A. (1993). Potassium and its effects on crop productivity in Egyptian soils. Bull. (In Arabic). Soils and Water Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.
- Abd El-Hamid, M.M. and S.S. El-Khanagry (2006). Studies on dodder *Cuscuta spp.* infestation in clover *T. alexandrinum* L. fields in some governorates in Nile Delta. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 84 (1), 2006.
- Abd El-Wahed, R. (1996). Studies on the effect of certain herbicides of dodder *C. spp.* control in certain leguminous crops. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric. Cairo Univ.
- ACSAD, 2008. Development Program for Feed Sources in Arab Countries; Feed Budget in Egypt, Arab Republic of, Damascus 2008. (In Arabic).
- Al-Menoufi, O.A. and M. Hassan. (1977). Studies on the parasitism of *Cuscuta spp*. Series 2. The effect of dodder *Cuscuta planiflora* Ten. on the production and chemical composition of Egyptian clover *Trifolium alexandrinum* L. Alex. J. Agric. Res. 25 (2):337-341.
- Al-Menoufi O.A., M. A. Rezk, A.K.Mostafa and S. EL-Shair (1985). Studies on the parasitism of *Cuscuta spp.* 6- Losses in Egyptian clover and flax due to dodder infection. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 10(4):1262-1266.

- Comstock, R.E., and H.F. Robinson. (1952). Genetic parameters, their estimation and significance. Proc. 6<sup>th</sup> Int. grasslands Congr. 1; 284-291.
- Dinelli, C., A. Bone Hi and E. Tibiletti. (1993). Play to synthetic and accessory pigments in *Cuscuta campestris* Yuncker and some host species. Weed Res.33-253-260.
- El-Nahrawy, M.A. (2009a). 'Berseem Clover and Its Vital Role in Egyptian Agriculture.' FAO Regional Expert Consultation Meeting on Irrigated Fodder Crops in the Near East Region-Forage Production, Conservation, and Utilization for Sustainable Animal Production. IAV Hassan II, 15-19 June 2009, Rabat, Morocco.
- El-Nahrawy, M.A. (2009b). Forage crops status in Egypt.' FAO Regional Expert Consultation Meeting on Irrigated Fodder Crops in the Near East Region-Forage Production, Conservation, and Utilization for Sustainable Animal Production. IAV Hassan II, 15-19 June 2009, Rabat, Morocco.
- Goldwasser. Y., R.L.Worobel and W.T. Lanini (2001). Tolerance of tomato varieties to lespedeza dodder. Weed Sci.49:520 523.
- Graves, W. L., W. A. Williams and C. D. Thomsen (1996). Berseem clover: A winter annual forage for California agriculture. University of California Division of Agric. and Natural Resources, Publication No. 21536, pp: 12.
- Kennedy, P.B. and W.W. Mackie (1925). Berseem or Egyptian clover *Trifolium alexandrinum* L. A preliminary Report. Bull. 389 June, 1925 Univ. of Calf. Printing Office, Berkeley, Calf.
- Lanini, W. T. and M. Kogan (2005). Biology and management of *Cuscuta* in crops. Ciencia E Inestigacion Agraria.Vol. 32 N° 3 Sept.-Dec. 2005. Pp. 165-179.
- Parker, C. and C.R. Riches.(1993). Parasitic weeds of the world: Biology and control, CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran (1971). Statistical Methods 6<sup>th</sup> ed., Iowa State Univ., Ames, Iowa, U.S.A.
- Soliman, I.E. (2002). Herbicidal activity evaluation of some herbicides used to control of dodder *Cuscuta spp.* in some field crops. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. of Agric. Mansoura Univ.
- Soliman, I.E. and M.M. Abd El-hamid (2009). Effect of sowing methods and some weed control treatments on dodder control in clover crop. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ. 34(4):3211-3221.
- Topps, T. H. and R. L. Wain (1957). Investigation on fungicides III. The fungitoxicity of 3- and 5 alkyl salicylanilide and P-chloronilines. Ann. Applied Biol., 45: 506-514.

ثلاث تجارب حقلية أجريت بمزرعة محطة البحوث الزراعية بسخا – كفر الشيخ خلال ثلاث مواسم شتوية متتالية هي : ٢٠٠٩/٢٠٠٩، ٢٠٠٩/٢٠٠٩ و ٢٠١١/٢٠١٦م واشتملت هذه الدراسة على ١٠٠ تركيب وراثي من البرسيم المصرى ناتجة من تراكيب وراثية مأخوذة من ( ٩٦ عينة من المزار عيين و ٤ أصناف تجارية) وكان الهدف من الدراسة هو تقييم التراكيب الوراثية ودراسة تحملها للاصابة بالحامول .

- أظهرت النتائج أن هناك فروق معنوية عالية بين ال ١٠٠ تركيب وراثى الخاضعة للتقييم ف نسبة الفقد في محصول العلف الأخضر والجاف وكذلك اختلافات معنوية عالية في التفاعل بين المجاميع والتراكيب الوراثية لجميع الحشات والحشات الكلية الموسمية.

- أظهر الصنف هلالى ( تركيب وراثى رقم ١١١) قدرة عالية لتحمل الاصابة بالحامول مقارنة بالتراكيب الوراثية الأخرى وذلك لقدرة التركيب الوراثى على سرعة اعادة النمو حيث سجل اقل نسبة فقد فى المحصول الأخضر و الجاف واقل نسبة نقص فى محتوى الكلوروفيل الكلى والبروتين والألياف مقارنة بالتراكيب الوراثية الأخرى التى تم اصابتها بالحامول.

من نتائج هذا البحث يمكن استخدام الصنف هلالى ضمن حزم المكافحة التكاملة للحامول حيث أنه تحمل الاصابة بالحامول مقارنة بغيره من التراكيب الوراثية الأخرى محل الدراسة.